

FINITENESS OF PROJECTIVE PLURICANONICAL REPRESENTATION FOR AUTOMORPHISMS OF COMPLEX MANIFOLDS

KONSTANTIN LOGINOV AND CONSTANTIN SHRAMOV

ABSTRACT. We study the action of the group of bimeromorphic automorphisms $\text{Bim}(X)$ of a compact complex manifold X on the image of the pluricanonical map, which we call the projective pluricanonical representation of this group. If X is a Moishezon variety, then the image of $\text{Bim}(X)$ via such a representation is a finite group by a classical result due to Deligne and Ueno. We prove that this image is a finite group under the assumption that for the Kodaira dimension $\kappa(X)$ of X we have $\kappa(X) = \dim X - 1$. To this aim, we prove a version of the canonical bundle formula in relative dimension 1 which works for a proper morphism from a complex variety to a projective variety. In particular, this establishes the analytic version of Prokhorov–Shokurov conjecture in relative dimension 1. Also, we observe that the analytic version of this conjecture does not hold in relative dimension 2.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	5
3. Preparations	8
4. Canonical bundle formula	11
5. Equivariant fibrations	22
6. Bimeromorphic modifications	30
References	34

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we study groups of bimeromorphic automorphisms of complex manifolds. More precisely, we study the image of the pluricanonical representation of the group of bimeromorphic self-maps.

In what follows, we denote by $\text{Aut}(X)$ the group of automorphisms of a compact complex manifold X , by $\text{Bim}(X)$ the group of bimeromorphic self-maps of X , and

by $\kappa(X)$ the Kodaira dimension of X . Let us denote by ρ the *pluricanonical representation* of the group $\text{Bim}(X)$ which comes from the action of $\text{Bim}(X)$ on the space of holomorphic m -forms. Also, by $\bar{\rho}$ we denote the projectivization of the pluricanonical representation, that is, the homomorphism of $\text{Bim}(X)$ to the automorphism group of the projectivization of the space of holomorphic m -forms. Thus, $\bar{\rho}(\text{Bim}(X))$ acts on the image of the pluricanonical map, see Section 2.4 for details. For convenience, we call it the *projective pluricanonical representation*. Recall the following classical

Theorem 1.1 ([Ue75, Theorem 14.10]). *Let X be a compact complex manifold. Assume that X is Moishezon. Then the group $\rho(\text{Bim}(X))$, and hence also the group $\bar{\rho}(\text{Bim}(X))$, is finite.*

There exist analogous results for projective pairs, see [FG14], [HX16], and also [FX25]. Moreover, for arbitrary compact complex manifolds a weaker result is known. Namely, we say that a group has *bounded finite subgroups*, if the order of any finite subgroup of such group is bounded by a constant which is independent of the subgroup.

Theorem 1.2 ([Lo25, Theorem 1.4]). *Let X be a compact complex manifold. Then both the image of the pluricanonical representation $\rho(\text{Bim}(X))$ and the image of the projective pluricanonical representation $\bar{\rho}(\text{Bim}(X))$ have bounded finite subgroups.*

In contrast to Theorem 1.1, in Theorem 1.2 we do not need the assumption that X is Moishezon, but instead of finiteness, we establish a weaker property of $\rho(\text{Bim}(X))$. However, this is the best we can get as there exist compact complex manifolds with infinite $\rho(\text{Bim}(X))$, see Example 2.3 below. Thus, the best one can hope for in general is finiteness of the group $\bar{\rho}(\text{Bim}(X))$.

If X is a curve, then finiteness of $\rho(\text{Bim}(X))$ and $\bar{\rho}(\text{Bim}(X))$ follows either from Theorem 1.1 or from a simple case by case analysis. If X is a compact complex surface, then $\bar{\rho}(\text{Bim}(X))$ is finite. Indeed, finiteness of $\bar{\rho}(\text{Bim}(X))$ is trivial for $\kappa(X) = 0$, while for $\kappa(X) = 1$ it is proved in [PSh20, Proposition 1.2]. If $\kappa(X) = \dim X$, then the group $\text{Bim}(X)$ is itself finite by [Ue75, Corollary 14.3]. In higher dimensions, we do not know about finiteness results for $\bar{\rho}(\text{Bim}(X))$ when the Kodaira dimension is not maximal possible. Hence we formulate the following

Question 1.3. Does there exist a compact complex manifold X such that the image of the projective pluricanonical representation $\bar{\rho}(\text{Bim}(X))$ is infinite?

In this paper, we prove

Theorem 1.4. *Let X be a compact complex manifold of Kodaira dimension*

$$\kappa(X) = \dim X - 1.$$

Then $\bar{\rho}(\text{Bim}(X))$ is finite.

Remark 1.5. We point out that an analog of Theorem 1.4 does not hold if we replace the projective pluricanonical representation of the (bimeromorphic) automorphism group by the image under the algebraic reduction, even in the case of compact complex surfaces, see e.g. [Shr22].

We also formulate a similar question for varieties defined over a field of positive characteristic.

Question 1.6. Does there exist a projective variety X defined over a field of positive characteristic such that the image of the projective pluricanonical representation $\overline{\rho}(\text{Bim}(X))$ is infinite?

The negative answer to Question 1.6 is known only in the case of curves, varieties of general type, and elliptic surfaces of Kodaira dimension 1, see [Gu20, Corollary 1.5]. In particular, the answer is not known in the case of quasi-elliptic surfaces of Kodaira dimension 1.

To prove Theorem 1.4, we establish a version of the canonical bundle formula which works for a proper morphism from a complex variety to a projective variety. This proves a version of Prokhorov–Shokurov conjecture in the analytic setting in relative dimension at most 1, see Conjecture 4.15 and Proposition 4.16. Recall that the canonical bundle formula provides a way to relate the canonical class of the total space of the fibration with the canonical class of its base. It works under some natural assumptions on the fibration. We refer the reader to Section 2 for the relevant notation.

Proposition 1.7 (see Proposition 4.14, cf. [Fu86, 2.15]). *Let X be a compact complex manifold with a fibration $\sigma: X \rightarrow Y$ over a smooth projective variety Y such that the typical fiber of σ is an elliptic curve. Let R be a σ -vertical \mathbb{Q} -divisor on X . Assume further that $K_X + R \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0/Y$. Then*

$$K_X + R \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \sigma^*(K_Y + \Delta + M), \quad (1.1)$$

where M is a \mathbb{Q} -divisor on Y , and

$$\Delta = \sum_{Z \subset Y} (1 - \text{lct}(X, R + \sigma^*Z))Z.$$

Here Z runs through the set of all prime divisors on Y , and the log-canonical threshold is computed over a typical point of Z . If the j -invariant map $j: Y \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is a morphism, then $M \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \frac{1}{12}j^*Q$ for a point $Q \in \mathbb{P}^1$, in particular, M is nef. Moreover, if (X, R) is an lc sub-pair, then (Y, Δ) is an lc sub-pair.

The \mathbb{Q} -divisor Δ in (1.1) is called the *discriminant \mathbb{Q} -divisor* of σ , and M is called the *moduli \mathbb{Q} -divisor* of σ . This proposition is well known in the case when X is a projective variety, see [PS09, Example 7.16]. To prove Proposition 1.7, we reduce

it to the two-dimensional case, where it is proved in the same way as for projective surfaces, see e.g. [Fu86, §2]. More results on the canonical bundle formula can be found in Section 4, where we also note that Conjecture 4.15 does not hold for compact complex manifolds in the relative dimension 2, see Example 4.17.

We point out that many of our arguments used to prove Proposition 1.7 go in parallel with those of Fujita in [Fu86]. Moreover, in [Fu86, 2.17] the author expresses an expectation that most of his arguments should work in the analytic setting. In fact, our results confirm this expectation in the case when the morphism $\sigma: X \rightarrow Y$ is not necessarily projective, while Y is assumed to be projective.

Sketch of proof. We explain the idea of the proof of Theorem 1.4. Let $\sigma: X \dashrightarrow Y$ be the pluricanonical map, and $\Gamma = \overline{\rho}(\text{Bim}(X))$ be the image of the projective pluricanonical representation. Note that Γ acts on Y biregularly, and the map σ is $\text{Bim}(X)$ -equivariant.

Let us first consider an ideal situation, and then we explain how to treat the general case. Assume that the pluricanonical map $\sigma: X \rightarrow Y$ is a morphism, Y is smooth, a typical fiber of σ is an elliptic curve, and that the j -invariant map $j: Y \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is a morphism. Using Proposition 1.7, we can write the canonical bundle formula

$$K_X \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \sigma^*(K_Y + \Delta + M),$$

where Δ is the discriminant \mathbb{Q} -divisor, and M is the moduli \mathbb{Q} -divisor. Using the fact that the map σ is $\text{Bim}(X)$ -equivariant, in Proposition 5.3 we show that Δ is Γ -invariant. In Lemma 6.3, we explain that M can also be chosen Γ -invariant. Also, since X is smooth, the pair $(Y, \Delta + M)$ is lc. Since σ is the pluricanonical map, we have $K_X \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \sigma^*H$ for some ample \mathbb{Q} -divisor on Y . Hence, $K_Y + M + \Delta$ is an ample \mathbb{Q} -divisor on Y . In Proposition 5.3 we prove that the discriminant \mathbb{Q} -divisor Δ , as well as the moduli \mathbb{Q} -divisor M , is Γ -invariant. Then Proposition 3.4 implies that in this case the automorphism group of the pair $(Y, \Delta + M)$ is finite, which means that Γ is finite as well.

Now consider the general case. In this case, $\sigma: X \dashrightarrow Y$ need not be everywhere defined, Y need not be smooth and the j -invariant map need not be everywhere defined as well. In Proposition 6.1, we construct bimeromorphic modifications X_1 of X and Y_1 of Y , obtaining a $\text{Bim}(X)$ -equivariant fibration $\sigma_1: X_1 \rightarrow Y_1$ of complex manifolds. Moreover, Γ acts on Y_1 biregularly. In Proposition 6.2 we show that either its typical fiber is an elliptic curve, or X is Moishezon, in which case the result follows from Theorem 1.1. Then we pass to a further modification $\sigma_2: X_2 \rightarrow Y_2$ which enjoys the same properties as σ_1 , and moreover, the j -invariant map $j: Y_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is a morphism. At this point the canonical class K_{X_2} may not be \mathbb{Q} -linearly trivial over Y_2 . However, there exists a σ_2 -vertical \mathbb{Q} -divisor R_2 on X_2 such that $K_{X_2} + R_2$ is \mathbb{Q} -linearly trivial over Y_2 .

Now, using Proposition 1.7, we can write the canonical bundle formula

$$K_{X_2} + R_2 \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \sigma_2^*(K_{Y_2} + \Delta_{Y_2} + M_{Y_2}),$$

where Δ_{Y_2} is the discriminant \mathbb{Q} -divisor, and M_{Y_2} is the moduli \mathbb{Q} -divisor. By perturbing R by a divisor σ_2^*D , where D is a \mathbb{Q} -divisor on Y_2 , in Proposition 5.3 we prove that the discriminant \mathbb{Q} -divisor $\Delta_{Y_2} = \Delta(\sigma_2, R_2)$, as well as the moduli \mathbb{Q} -divisor M_{Y_2} , is Γ -invariant. It turns out that the \mathbb{Q} -divisor $K_{Y_2} + \Delta_{Y_2} + M_{Y_2}$ is big and nef. Then Proposition 3.4 implies that the automorphism group $(Y_2, \Delta_{Y_2} + M_{Y_2})$ is finite. Hence, Γ is finite as well.

Acknowledgements. The authors thank Andrey Soldatenkov for useful discussions, and Kento Fujita for providing us with a reference to [Wi81]. This work was performed at the Steklov International Mathematical Center and supported by the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Russian Federation (agreement no. 075-15-2022-265), supported by the HSE University Basic Research Program, and the Simons Foundation. The work of the first author is supported by the state assignment of MIPT (project FSMG-2023-0013). The first author is a Young Russian Mathematics award winner and would like to thank its sponsors and jury.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We work over the field of complex numbers. We refer the reader to [Ue75] for the basic facts and definitions concerning complex varieties and manifolds. We refer to [KM98] for the basic definitions concerning pairs and their singularities.

2.1. Complex varieties. By a *complex variety* we mean an irreducible reduced complex space. A smooth complex variety is called a *complex manifold*. A *complex surface* is a complex manifold of dimension 2. By a (Zariski) open subset of a complex variety X we mean a subset of the form $X \setminus Z$, where Z is a closed analytic subset in X . By a *typical point* of X we mean a point in some non-empty Zariski open subset of X . A *typical fiber* of a holomorphic map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a fiber over a typical point of Y .

A proper surjective holomorphic map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of reduced complex spaces is called a *bimeromorphic modification* if there exist nowhere dense closed analytic subsets $V \subset X$ and $W \subset Y$ such that f restricts to a biholomorphic map $X \setminus V \rightarrow Y \setminus W$. A *meromorphic map* $f: X \dashrightarrow Y$ of reduced complex analytic spaces X and Y is a holomorphic map defined outside a nowhere dense subset such that the closure of its graph $\overline{\Gamma_f} \subset X \times Y$ is a closed analytic subset of $X \times Y$, and the natural projection $\overline{\Gamma_f} \rightarrow X$ is a modification. The map f is called *bimeromorphic* if the natural projection $\overline{\Gamma_f} \rightarrow Y$ is a modification as well.

Given a compact complex variety X , by $\text{Bim}(X)$ we denote its group of bimeromorphic self-maps, and by $\text{Aut}(X)$ we denote its group of biholomorphic self-maps.

2.2. Divisors. By a divisor on a complex variety we mean a Weil divisor, and by a \mathbb{Q} -divisor we mean a \mathbb{Q} -Weil \mathbb{Q} -divisor. We write $D \leq D'$ if $D = \sum a_i D_i$ and $D' = \sum a'_i D'_i$, where D_i and D'_i are prime divisors, and $a_i \leq a'_i$ for any i . We say that a \mathbb{Q} -divisor is anti-effective if $-D \geq 0$, that is, if $-D$ is effective.

We use the following notation. For two \mathbb{Q} -divisors D and D' on a complex variety X , we write

$$D \sim_N D'$$

for some positive integer N , if ND and ND' are integral divisors and $ND \sim ND'$. Note that \sim_N is an equivalence relation on the set of \mathbb{Q} -divisors. Clearly, $D \sim_N D'$ implies $D \sim_M D'$ for any M divisible by N . We write

$$D \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} D',$$

if $D \sim_N D'$ holds for some N .

For a contraction $\sigma: X \rightarrow Y$ of compact complex varieties and for a \mathbb{Q} -divisor D on X , we write

$$D \sim_N 0/Y$$

if $D \sim_N \sigma^* D'$ holds for some \mathbb{Q} -divisor D' on Y . We write

$$D \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0/Y$$

if $D \sim_N 0/Y$ holds for some N . We say that a divisor D on X is σ -vertical, if $\sigma(D) \neq Y$ holds.

We say that a divisor $D = \sum a_i D_i$ with $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ has multiplicity m if m is the greatest common divisor of a_i .

Given a divisor D on a normal projective variety Y , by $[D]$ we will denote its linear equivalence class. By $\text{Aut}(Y, [D])$ we denote the subgroup of all automorphisms of Y which preserve the divisor class $[D]$. Furthermore, if Δ is a \mathbb{Q} -divisor on Y , we denote by $\text{Aut}(Y, \Delta)$ the subgroup of all automorphisms of Y which preserve Δ as a \mathbb{Q} -divisor. This means that $\text{Aut}(Y, \Delta)$ preserves the support of Δ as a set of points, but the components of Δ with the same coefficients may be permuted by this group.

2.3. Pairs and singularities. By a *contraction* we mean a proper morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of normal complex varieties such that $f_* \mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_Y$. In particular, f is surjective and has connected fibers. A *fibration* is defined as a contraction $f: X \rightarrow Y$ such that $\dim Y < \dim X$.

A *pair* (resp., a *sub-pair*) (X, B) consists of a normal complex variety X and a \mathbb{Q} -divisor B , called a *boundary* (resp., a *sub-boundary*), with coefficients in $[0, 1]$ (resp., in $(-\infty, 1]$) such that $K_X + B$ is \mathbb{Q} -Cartier. Let $\phi: W \rightarrow X$ be a log resolution of (X, B) and let

$$K_W + B_W = \phi^*(K_X + B)$$

be the log pull-back of (X, B) . The *log discrepancy* of a prime divisor D on W with respect to (X, B) is $1 - \text{coeff}_D B_W$ and it is denoted by $a(D, X, B)$. We say that (X, B) is lc if $a(D, X, B) \geq 0$ for every D .

Assume that (X, B) is an lc sub-pair. We denote by $\text{lct}(X, B; D)$ the log canonical threshold of (X, B) with respect to an effective \mathbb{Q} -divisor D :

$$\text{lct}(X, B; D) = \sup\{\lambda \in \mathbb{Q} \mid (X, B + \lambda D) \text{ is lc}\}.$$

2.4. Pluricanonical representation. Let X be a compact complex manifold. Assume that for the Kodaira dimension of X we have $\kappa(X) \geq 0$. For any $m \geq 1$, consider the map

$$\sigma_m: X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mK_X))^\vee).$$

For a sufficiently big and divisible m , we have $\dim \sigma_m(X) = \kappa(X)$. For such m , we put $\sigma = \sigma_m$. Thus, σ is a well defined meromorphic map (up to the natural equivalence of meromorphic maps).

Remark 2.1. By [Ue75, Lemma 5.6, Lemma 5.8] for a sufficiently big and divisible m we have that the closures Y_m of the images $\sigma_m(X)$ are bimeromorphic to each other, and the closure of a typical fiber of σ_m is connected (and, in fact, irreducible). However, it is not clear whether Y_m is normal. In the projective case, this can be proved using the finite generatedness of the canonical ring, see [BCHM10, Corollary 1.1.2]. On the other hand, there exists an example of a compact complex manifold (as well as an example of a projective variety with non-lc singularities) whose canonical ring is not finitely generated, see [Wi81, §4].

We have the following

Proposition 2.2 (see e.g. [Ue75, Lemma 6.3]). *The group $\text{Bim}(X)$ acts on $\sigma(X)$ biholomorphically.*

Put $\mathcal{V} = H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mK_X))$ and consider the induced homomorphism

$$\rho = \rho_m: \text{Bim}(X) \rightarrow \text{GL}(\mathcal{V}),$$

which is called a *pluricanonical representation* of $\text{Bim}(X)$. Consider the natural exact sequence of groups

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times \rightarrow \text{GL}(\mathcal{V}) \xrightarrow{p} \text{PGL}(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow 1.$$

Then by the *projective pluricanonical representation* of $\text{Bim}(X)$ we mean the homomorphism

$$\bar{\rho} = p \circ \rho: \text{Bim}(X) \rightarrow \text{PGL}(\mathcal{V}).$$

Observe that the action of $\bar{\rho}(\text{Bim}(X))$ on $\sigma(X)$ is faithful. We will denote $\bar{\rho}(\text{Bim}(X))$ by Γ and identify it with a subgroup of the automorphism group of $Y = Y_m$.

The following example shows that we may not have finite $\rho(\text{Bim}(X))$ when X is a compact complex manifold.

Example 2.3. By [MS08], there exists a non-algebraic K3 surface X that admits a non-symplectic automorphism of infinite order acting on a holomorphic two-form via multiplication by a complex number which is not a root of unity. Consequently, $\rho(\text{Bim}(X))$ is not a finite group. Note also that by [Ue75, Remark 14.6], there exists a 3-dimensional complex torus such that the group $\rho(\text{Bim}(X))$ is infinite.

We formulate the following result for later use.

Lemma 2.4 ([PS22, Lemma 2.9]). *Let X and Y be compact complex varieties and let*

$$\sigma: X \rightarrow Y$$

be a dominant holomorphic map. Suppose that $\kappa(X) \geq 0$. Let F be a typical fiber of σ , and let F' be an irreducible component of F . Then $\kappa(F') \geq 0$.

3. PREPARATIONS

In this section, we collect some auxiliary results that will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.4.

Lemma 3.1 ([Br22, Lemma 2.3]). *Let D be a big divisor on a normal projective variety X . Then $\text{Aut}(Y, [D])$ is a linear algebraic group.*

Remark 3.2. Let $\text{Aut}(Y, [D]_{\mathbb{Q}})$ be the group of all automorphisms of Y which preserve the class of \mathbb{Q} -linear equivalence of a \mathbb{Q} -divisor D . Then $\text{Aut}(Y, [D]_{\mathbb{Q}})$ may not be an algebraic group even if D is a big integral divisor. For instance, if P is a point on an elliptic curve Y , then, up to a finite index, the group $\text{Aut}(Y, [P]_{\mathbb{Q}})$ coincides with the group of torsion points in $\text{Pic}^0(Y)$.

Using Lemma 3.1, we deduce

Lemma 3.3. *Let Y be a normal projective variety, and Δ be a \mathbb{Q} -divisor such that $K_Y + \Delta$ is a big \mathbb{Q} -Cartier \mathbb{Q} -divisor. Then the group $\text{Aut}(Y, \Delta)$ is a linear algebraic group.*

Proof. Let N be a positive integer such that $N\Delta$ is integral. By Lemma 3.1 the group $\text{Aut}(Y, [N(K_Y + \Delta)])$ is a linear algebraic group. Observe that the classes K_Y and NK_Y are preserved by all automorphisms of Y . Hence we have

$$\text{Aut}(Y, [N(K_Y + \Delta)]) = \text{Aut}(Y, [N\Delta]).$$

Since

$$\text{Aut}(Y, N\Delta) = \text{Aut}(Y, \Delta)$$

is a closed subset of a linear algebraic group $\text{Aut}(Y, [N\Delta])$, it is a linear algebraic group itself. \square

The next proposition is just [Hu18, Lemma 2.1] adapted for our purposes; see also [Hu18, Theorem 1.1] for further results of the same kind. We refer the reader to [FG14, Theorem 1.2] for a stronger statement in the case of lc pairs.

Proposition 3.4. *Let Y be an irreducible projective variety, and Δ be a \mathbb{Q} -divisor such that (Y, Δ) is an lc sub-pair. Assume that $K_Y + \Delta$ is a big \mathbb{Q} -divisor. Then the group $G = \text{Aut}(Y, \Delta)$ is finite.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.3, we see that G is a linear algebraic group. Let $(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\Delta})$ be the log pull-back of (Y, Δ) via a G -equivariant log resolution $f: \tilde{Y} \rightarrow (Y, \Delta)$, see for instance [BM97, Theorem 13.2]. Put

$$\tilde{\Delta} = B - E,$$

where B and E are effective \mathbb{Q} -divisors. Thus we have

$$K_{\tilde{Y}} + B = f^*(K_Y + \Delta) + E.$$

Since $K_Y + \Delta$ is big, we see that $f^*(K_Y + \Delta)$ is big, so $K_{\tilde{Y}} + B$ is big as the sum of a big \mathbb{Q} -divisor and an effective \mathbb{Q} -divisor. Also note that the pair (\tilde{Y}, B) is lc.

Passing to a finite index subgroup of G , we may assume that the group G preserves the pair (\tilde{Y}, B) and all the irreducible components of the divisor B . Let G^0 be the connected component of identity in G . To prove that G is finite, it is enough to check that G^0 is trivial.

Suppose that it is non-trivial. It is well known that in this case G^0 contains a subgroup F isomorphic either to the multiplicative group \mathbb{C}^\times , or to the additive group \mathbb{C}^+ , see for instance [CS24, Lemma 7.2]. The closures of general orbits of F are rational curves which cover a Zariski open subset of Y . Let C be a general curve in this family. Then

$$BC \leq [B]C,$$

because C is not contained in the support of B . Furthermore, C meets $[B]$ at most two times; that is, the degree of the support of the pull-back of $[B]$ to the normalization of C is at most 2. Hence, by [KM99, Lemma 5.11] one has

$$(K_{\tilde{Y}} + B)C \leq (K_{\tilde{Y}} + [B])C \leq 0,$$

which gives a contradiction, because $K_{\tilde{Y}} + B$ is big. Therefore, G^0 is trivial, and G is finite. \square

Remark 3.5. The assumption that (X, Δ) is lc is necessary for Proposition 3.4 to hold. Indeed, the pair $(\mathbb{P}^2, L_1 + L_2 + L_3 + L_4)$, where L_1, \dots, L_4 are four lines sharing a common point, admits an infinite group of automorphisms.

We also need the following auxiliary result.

Proposition 3.6. *Let Y be a smooth projective variety of dimension $m \geq 2$, and let Θ be a non-trivial divisor on Y . Then there exists a very ample divisor L on Y , such that a general member R of the linear system $|L|$ is smooth and irreducible, and the divisor Θ restricts to a non-trivial divisor on R .*

Proof. Let L be an arbitrary divisor on Y . Then

$$h^1(Y, \Theta - L) = h^{m-1}(Y, K_Y - \Theta + L)$$

by Serre duality. Furthermore, we have

$$h^{m-1}(Y, K_Y - \Theta + L) = 0 \tag{3.1}$$

provided that L is a sufficiently high multiple of an ample divisor by Serre vanishing. Let L be a very ample divisor with this property, and let R be a general member of the linear system $|L|$. Then R is smooth and irreducible by Bertini theorem.

Put $\Theta_R = \Theta|_R$. Assume that the divisor $\Theta_R \in \text{Pic}(R)$ is trivial. Then

$$h^0(R, \Theta_R) = 1.$$

On the other hand, from the restriction exact sequence we obtain an exact sequence

$$H^0(Y, \Theta) \rightarrow H^0(R, \Theta_R) \rightarrow H^1(Y, \Theta - L).$$

By (3.1), the first homomorphism in this sequence is surjective, and hence

$$h^0(Y, \Theta) > 0.$$

This means that Θ is linearly equivalent to an effective divisor. Since Θ is non-trivial, we conclude that

$$\Theta_R \cdot L^{m-2} = \Theta \cdot L^{m-1} > 0,$$

which implies that Θ_R is non-trivial. The obtained contradiction completes the proof of the proposition. \square

Corollary 3.7. *Let Y be a smooth projective variety of dimension $m \geq 2$, and let Θ be a non-trivial divisor on Y . Then there exists a smooth irreducible curve $C \subset Y$ which is an intersection of general very ample divisors such that Θ restricts to a non-trivial divisor on C .*

Proof. Applying Proposition 3.6 several times, we obtain very ample divisors L_i and general divisors $R_i \in |L_i|$, $1 \leq i \leq m-1$, such that the intersection

$$C = R_1 \cap \dots \cap R_{m-1}$$

is a smooth irreducible curve, and Θ restricts to a non-trivial divisor on C . \square

We will use the following obvious remark without explicit reference.

Remark 3.8. Let Y be a smooth projective variety of dimension $m \geq 2$, and let Θ and Θ' be two \mathbb{Q} -divisors on Y . Assume that for a general curve

$$C = R_1 \cap \dots \cap R_{m-1},$$

where R_i are very ample divisors on Y , the \mathbb{Q} -divisors $\Theta|_C$ and $\Theta'|_C$ coincide. Then $\Theta = \Theta'$, i.e. Θ and Θ' coincide as \mathbb{Q} -divisors on Y .

4. CANONICAL BUNDLE FORMULA

In this section, we establish a version of the canonical bundle formula in relative dimension 1 which works for proper morphisms from a complex variety to a normal projective variety, see Proposition 4.14. Our exposition goes in parallel with the case of projective morphisms between projective varieties, see e.g. [PS09]. In fact, elementary properties of the discriminant and moduli \mathbb{Q} -divisors defined below are proven in the same way as in the projective case. The proof of Proposition 4.14 relies on the reduction to the case of a relatively minimal elliptic fibration from a compact complex surface to a curve, in which case we apply a version of the famous Kodaira formula, see Theorem 4.7. We also formulate a version of the conjecture of Prokhorov and Shokurov [PS09, Conjecture 7.13] in the analytic setting, see Conjecture 4.15. We prove it in the case when the relative dimension of the fibration is 1 in Proposition 4.16.

Definition 4.1. Let X be a normal compact complex variety with a contraction $\sigma: X \rightarrow Y$ over a smooth projective variety Y . (Note that we do not assume σ to be a projective morphism!) Suppose that there exists a \mathbb{Q} -divisor R on X such that

$$K_X + R \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0/Y.$$

If (X, R) is an lc sub-pair, then we say that $\sigma: (X, R) \rightarrow Y$ is an *lc-trivial contraction*. If moreover σ is a fibration, we say that it is an *lc-trivial fibration*.

Definition 4.2. Let $\sigma: (X, R) \rightarrow Y$ be an lc-trivial contraction. We define the \mathbb{Q} -divisor $\Delta = \Delta(\sigma, R)$ on Y by the formula

$$\Delta = \sum_{D \subset Y} (1 - \text{lct}(Y, R; \sigma^* D)) D, \quad (4.1)$$

where D runs through the set of all prime divisors on Y , and the log-canonical threshold is computed over the typical point of D . Then Δ is called the *discriminant \mathbb{Q} -divisor* of σ . We write $\Delta = \Delta(\sigma, R)$ to emphasize that Δ depends on σ and R . In the case when $R = 0$ we simply write $\Delta = \Delta(\sigma)$. Furthermore, there exists a \mathbb{Q} -divisor M on Y , called the *moduli \mathbb{Q} -divisor* of σ , such that

$$K_X + R \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \sigma^*(K_Y + \Delta + M), \quad (4.2)$$

cf. [PS09, Construction 7.5].

Note that the discriminant \mathbb{Q} -divisor Δ is defined as a \mathbb{Q} -divisor on Y , and it is effective if R is effective. On the other hand, the moduli \mathbb{Q} -divisor M is defined only up to \mathbb{Q} -linear equivalence.

Remark 4.3. Suppose that $\sigma: (X, R) \rightarrow Y$ is an lc-trivial contraction over Y with discriminant \mathbb{Q} -divisor Δ and moduli \mathbb{Q} -divisor M , and B is a \mathbb{Q} -divisor on Y such that $(X, R + \sigma^*B)$ is an lc subpair. Then

$$\sigma: (X, R + \sigma^*B) \rightarrow Y$$

is an lc-trivial contraction with discriminant \mathbb{Q} -divisor $\Delta + B$ and moduli \mathbb{Q} -divisor M . This property of the discriminant \mathbb{Q} -divisor is called the *semi-additivity property*, cf. [PS09, Lemma 7.4(ii)].

Remark 4.4. Let $\sigma: (X, R) \rightarrow Y$ be an lc-trivial contraction over Y , and $\psi: Y' \rightarrow Y$ be a generically finite morphism from a normal projective variety Y' . Let X' be the normalization of the main component of the fiber product $X \times_Y Y'$. Then X' fits into the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{\phi} & X \\ \downarrow \sigma' & & \downarrow \sigma \\ Y' & \xrightarrow{\psi} & Y \end{array}$$

We define a \mathbb{Q} -divisor R' on X' by the formula

$$K_{X'} + R' \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \phi^*(K_X + R).$$

Then $\sigma': (X', R') \rightarrow Y'$ is also an lc-trivial contraction. If ψ is birational, then $\phi_*M_{Y'} = M_Y$ and $\phi_*\Delta_{Y'} = \Delta_Y$, so these collections of data form b-divisors, see for instance [Am04, Section 1.2] for the proof in the case when σ is a morphism of projective varieties. However, the argument of [Am04] works in our setting as well. This property of the discriminant \mathbb{Q} -divisor is called the *base change property*.

Next, we make an observation on the behavior of the discriminant \mathbb{Q} -divisor under restriction to a hyperplane section.

Lemma 4.5 (cf. [PS09, Remark 7.3(i)]). *Let X be a compact complex manifold with a contraction $\sigma: X \rightarrow Y$ over a smooth projective variety Y . Let R be a σ -vertical \mathbb{Q} -divisor on X . Let $\Delta = \Delta(\sigma, R)$ be the discriminant \mathbb{Q} -divisor of σ . Let H be a general hyperplane section of Y , and let $V = \sigma^{-1}(H)$. Put $R_V = R|_V$. Let $\Delta_H = \Delta(\sigma_V, R_V)$ be the discriminant \mathbb{Q} -divisor of the contraction*

$$\sigma_V = \sigma|_V: V \rightarrow H.$$

Then $\Delta|_H = \Delta_H$.

Proof. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$. Since H is general, we see that the pair $(X, R + \lambda\sigma^*Z)$ is lc over a typical point of a prime divisor $Z \subset Y$ if and only if the pair $(X, R + V + \lambda\sigma^*Z)$ is lc over a typical point of $Z|_H$. By inversion of adjunction, this is equivalent to the condition that the pair $(V, R_V + \lambda\sigma_V^*Z|_H)$ is lc. Thus,

$$\text{lct}(X, R; \sigma^*Z) = \text{lct}(V, R_V; \sigma_V^*Z|_H).$$

This implies that $\Delta|_H = \Delta_H$. □

In this section, we will be mostly interested in elliptic fibrations. Let us start with a few observations on elliptic fibrations in dimension 2.

Let S be a smooth compact complex surface with a relatively minimal fibration $\sigma: S \rightarrow C$ over a curve C whose typical fiber is an elliptic curve. According to Kodaira's classification (cf. [BHPVdV04, §V.11]), a fiber F of σ can have one of the following types:

Type	$I_n, n \geq 0$	II	III	IV	${}_mI_n, n \geq 0, m \geq 1$
$1 - \text{lct}(S, F)$	0	1/6	1/4	1/3	$1 - 1/m$
Monodromy	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$	
Type	$I_n^*, n \geq 0$	II^*	III^*	IV^*	
$1 - \text{lct}(S, F)$	1/2	5/6	3/4	2/3	
Monodromy	$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & -n \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	

TABLE 1. Fibers of a relatively minimal elliptic fibration

Here, in the second row we indicate the coefficient of $\sigma(F)$ in the discriminant \mathbb{Q} -divisor $\Delta(\sigma)$ on C ; in the third row we indicate the monodromy matrix which acts on the middle cohomology of a typical fiber. The multiple fibers have type ${}_mI_n$ for $n \geq 0$ and $m \geq 1$.

The following proposition is well known to experts, cf. [Fu86, 2.6].

Proposition 4.6. *Let S be a smooth compact complex surface with a relatively minimal fibration $\sigma: S \rightarrow C$ over a curve C whose typical fiber is an elliptic curve. For a non-multiple fiber F of σ , the value $1 - \text{lct}(S, F)$ is uniquely determined by the conjugacy class of the monodromy matrix in $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$.*

Proof. The monodromy matrix for type I_n is unipotent, and for type I_n^* is quasi-unipotent but not unipotent; it has infinite order for $n \geq 1$. For the types I_0^* , II , II^* , III , III^* , IV and IV^* , the matrices have finite order.

The monodromy matrices for type I_0^* has trace -2 , for types II and II^* have trace 1 , for types III and III^* have trace 0 , for types IV and IV^* have trace -1 .

Finally, it is straightforward to check that the monodromy matrices for types II and II^* (resp., III and III^* ; IV and IV^*) are conjugate in $\mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{Z})$, but not in $\mathrm{SL}(\mathbb{Z})$. This shows that the type of a non-multiple fiber, and hence the value of $1 - \mathrm{lct}(S, F)$, is uniquely determined by the monodromy. \square

Recall the following classical result.

Theorem 4.7 (see [Kol07, Theorem 8.2.1], [Fu86, §2], [Ue73, Theorem 6.1], or [Kod64, Theorem 12]). *Let S be a smooth compact complex surface with a relatively minimal fibration $\sigma: S \rightarrow C$ over a curve C whose typical fiber is an elliptic curve. Let μ be the least common multiple of the multiplicities of all multiple fibers of σ . Let $j: C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be the map defined by the j -invariant of the fibers of σ . Then*

$$K_S \sim_{12\mu} \sigma^*(K_C + \Delta + M), \quad (4.3)$$

where $M \sim_{12} \frac{1}{12} j^* Q$ for a point $Q \in \mathbb{P}^1$, and $\Delta = \Delta(\sigma)$ is the discriminant \mathbb{Q} -divisor.

Sketch of proof. We explain the main idea of the proof given in [Fu86, §2], where it is assumed that the surface S is projective, but this assumption is not actually used.

We start with case when σ has no multiple fibers. In this case one can write

$$\omega_S \sim \sigma^*(\omega_C \otimes \sigma_* \omega_{S/C}), \quad (4.4)$$

where ω_S and ω_C are the canonical line bundles on S and C , respectively, and

$$\omega_{S/C} = \omega_S \otimes \sigma^* \omega_C^{-1}$$

is the relative canonical line bundle. We want to show that 12Δ and $12M$ are integral divisors, and

$$(\sigma_* \omega_{S/C})^{\otimes 12} \cong \mathcal{O}_C(12(\Delta + M)). \quad (4.5)$$

To this aim, we construct a holomorphic section of the line bundle $(\sigma_* \omega_{S/C})^{\otimes 12}$ and understand its zeroes on C . Let $U \subset C$ be the open set over which σ is a smooth morphism. Put $V = \sigma^{-1}(U)$ and

$$\varsigma = \sigma|_V: V \rightarrow U.$$

Let $\bar{\varsigma}: \mathrm{Jac}(\varsigma) \rightarrow U$ be the Jacobian fibration of ς , see e.g. [BHPVdV04, § V.9]. Then one has

$$\varsigma_* \omega_{V/U} \cong \bar{\varsigma}_* \omega_{\mathrm{Jac}(\varsigma)/U}.$$

Since $\bar{\varsigma}$ admits a section, its fibers can be brought to the Weierstrass form. In particular, one has a well-defined cusp form $\delta(z)$ of weight six on U , which provides a holomorphic section s of the line bundle $(\bar{\varsigma}_* \omega_{\mathrm{Jac}(\varsigma)/U})^{\otimes 12}$, and thus a meromorphic

section of $(\sigma_*\omega_{S/U})^{\otimes 12}$. Now, we use the extension method of [Ue73, §6] to obtain the isomorphism (4.5) in the case when there are no multiple fibers.

Let $z_0 \in C \setminus U$. Assume that the fiber $F = \sigma^*z_0$ is not of type I_n or I_n^* for $n \geq 1$. Then the extension of $\delta(z)$ to z_0 is holomorphic and has a zero of order $12(1 - \text{lct}(S, \sigma^*z_0))$ at z_0 . If F is of type I_n for $n \geq 1$, then the extension of $\delta(z)$ to z_0 is holomorphic and has a zero of order n , while the meromorphic function j has a pole of order $12n$ at z_0 , and the coefficient at z_0 in Δ equals 0. If F is of type I_n^* for $n \geq 1$, then the extension of $\delta(z)$ to z_0 is holomorphic and has a zero of order $6 + n$, while j has a pole of order $12n$ at z_0 , and the coefficient at z_0 in 12Δ equals 6. Furthermore, j has no other poles. Hence, the extension of $\delta(z)$ provides a section of the line bundle

$$(\sigma_*\omega_{S/C})^{\otimes 12} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(-12(\Delta + M))$$

without zeros and poles, which gives the desired isomorphism (4.5).

Now consider the case when σ has multiple fibers. Assume that σ^*z_0 for $z_0 \in C$ has type ${}_mI_n$ for $n \geq 0$, $m \geq 1$. We are going to establish an isomorphism

$$(\sigma_*\omega_{S/C})^{\otimes 12\mu} \cong \mathcal{O}_C(12\mu(\Delta + M)). \quad (4.6)$$

To this aim, we apply (the inverse of) a logarithmic transformation which replaces a fiber F of type ${}_mI_n$ by a fiber F' of type I_n , see [BHPVdV04, §V.13]. After eliminating all multiple fibers, we can apply the canonical bundle formula obtained above, and then compute the additional input of multiple fibers. Overall, from local computation it follows that the extension of $\delta(z)^m$ to z_0 is holomorphic and has a zero of order $12(m-1) + 12mn$ at z_0 . Hence the extension of $\delta(z)^\mu$ has a zero of order

$$\frac{\mu}{m} \cdot (12(m-1) + 12mn) = 12\mu\left(\left(1 - \frac{1}{m}\right) + n\right)$$

at z_0 . On the other hand, the coefficient at z_0 in Δ equals $1 - 1/m$, while j has a pole of order n at z_0 . Therefore, $\delta(z)^\mu$ extends to a section of the line bundle

$$(\sigma_*\omega_{S/C})^{\otimes 12\mu} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(-12\mu(\Delta + M))$$

without zeroes and poles. This gives us the isomorphism (4.6). Now it follows from (4.4) that formula (4.3) holds. \square

Remark 4.8. We point out that in the course of the proof of Theorem 4.7, even if we start with a projective surface, we may have to replace it with a non-projective surface when doing logarithmic transformations to deal with multiple fibers. On the other hand, passing to the Jacobian fibration brings us to the quasi-projective category anyway.

The following examples illustrate Theorem 4.7 in the case when there are no degenerate fibers of σ .

Example 4.9. Let α and β be complex numbers such that $0 < |\alpha|, |\beta| < 1$, and $\alpha^k = \beta^l$ for some positive integers k and l . Then the quotient of $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ by the group \mathbb{Z} generated by the transformation

$$(z_1, z_2) \mapsto (\alpha z_1, \beta z_2)$$

is a primary Hopf surface with an elliptic fibration $\sigma: S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$; we refer the reader to [BHPVdV04, § V.18] for more details on Hopf surfaces. Observe that all fibers of σ are isomorphic to each other; in particular, σ has no degenerate fibers. Thus, in the notation of Theorem 4.7 one has $\Delta = j^*Q = 0$, so that

$$K_S \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \sigma^* K_{\mathbb{P}^1}.$$

Example 4.10. Let S be a primary Kodaira surface, see e.g. [BHPVdV04, § V.5]. Then the algebraic reduction of S is an elliptic fibration $\phi: S \rightarrow E$ without degenerate fibers over an elliptic curve E . Thus, by Theorem 4.7 we have

$$K_S \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \sigma^* K_E \sim 0.$$

Remark 4.11. In fact, in Examples 4.9 and 4.10 one can show that the canonical divisor of S is linearly equivalent (not just \mathbb{Q} -linearly equivalent) to $\sigma^* K_{\mathbb{P}^1}$ and 0, respectively.

We proceed with a couple of observations concerning Theorem 4.7.

Remark 4.12. In the notation of Theorem 4.7, the condition that σ is relatively minimal is equivalent to the condition that K_S is \mathbb{Q} -linearly trivial over C .

The next result generalizes Theorem 4.7 to the case of not necessarily relatively minimal fibrations on complex surfaces, cf. [PS09, Example 7.16] in the projective setting.

Proposition 4.13 (cf. [Fu86, 2.10]). *Let S be a smooth compact complex surface with a (not necessarily relatively minimal) fibration $\sigma: S \rightarrow C$ over a curve C whose typical fiber is an elliptic curve. Let R be a σ -vertical integral divisor on S such that (S, R) is an lc sub-pair. Assume that*

$$K_S + R \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0/C. \tag{4.7}$$

Let $j: C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be the map defined by the j -invariant of the fibers of σ . Let μ be the least common multiple of the multiplicities of all multiple fibers of σ . Then there exists a \mathbb{Q} -divisor Δ on C such that

$$K_S + R \sim_{12\mu} \sigma^*(K_C + M + \Delta), \tag{4.8}$$

*where $M \sim_{12} \frac{1}{12} j^*Q$ for a point $Q \in \mathbb{P}^1$, and $\Delta = \Delta(\sigma, R)$ is the discriminant \mathbb{Q} -divisor. Moreover, (C, Δ) is an lc sub-pair.*

Proof. First, assume that $\sigma: S \rightarrow C$ is a relatively minimal elliptic fibration. Then Theorem 4.7 yields

$$K_S \sim_{12\mu} \sigma^*(K_C + \frac{1}{12}j^*Q + \Delta_0),$$

where Δ_0 is an effective \mathbb{Q} -divisor such that

$$\Delta_0 = \sum_{P \in C} (1 - \text{lct}(S; \sigma^*P))P.$$

Then (4.7) implies that R is \mathbb{Q} -linearly trivial over C , so that

$$R = \sum_{P \in C} c_P \sigma^*(P)$$

for some $c_P \in \mathbb{Q}$. It follows that

$$K_S + R \sim_{12\mu} \sigma^*(K_C + \frac{1}{12}j^*Q + \Delta)$$

where

$$\Delta = \sum_{P \in C} (1 - \text{lct}(S; \sigma^*P) + c_P)P = \sum_{P \in C} (1 - \text{lct}(S, R; \sigma^*P))P$$

by Remark 4.3. Hence the formula (4.8) holds for a relatively minimal elliptic fibration.

Now we treat the general case. Since in dimension 2 any fibration has a relatively minimal model, it is enough to suppose that the formula (4.8) holds for a fibration $\sigma: S \rightarrow C$, and prove it for a fibration $\sigma_1: S_1 \rightarrow C$ such that S_1 is obtained from S by a composition $\phi: S_1 \rightarrow S$ of blow ups, assuming that σ_1 satisfies (4.7), i.e. $K_{S_1} + R_1 \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \sigma_1^*D$ for some \mathbb{Q} -divisor D on C . So we have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S_1 & \xrightarrow{\phi} & S \\ \downarrow \sigma_1 & & \downarrow \sigma \\ C & \xlongequal{\quad} & C \end{array}$$

Hence $K_S + R \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \sigma^*D$, where $R = \phi_*R_1$. This gives $\phi^*(K_{S_1} + R_1) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} K_S + R$. By assumption, we have

$$K_S + R \sim_{12\mu} \sigma^*(K_C + \frac{1}{12}j^*Q + \Delta),$$

where Δ is defined by the formula

$$\Delta = \sum_{P \in C} (1 - \text{lct}(S, R; \sigma^*P))P.$$

It follows that

$$K_{S_1} + R_1 \sim \phi^*(K_S + R) \sim_{12\mu} \sigma_1^*(K_C + \frac{1}{12}j^*Q + \Delta)$$

and

$$\mathrm{lct}(S_1, R_1; \sigma_1^* P) = \mathrm{lct}(S, R; \sigma^* P),$$

thus $\Delta_1 = \Delta$.

The fact that (C, Δ) is an lc sub-pair is trivial, because the coefficients of Δ do not exceed 1 by construction. \square

Now we consider varieties of arbitrary dimension.

Proposition 4.14 (cf. [Fu86, 2.15]). *Let X be a compact complex manifold with a fibration $\sigma: X \rightarrow Y$ over a smooth projective variety Y whose typical fiber is an elliptic curve. Let R be a σ -vertical integral divisor on X such that (X, R) is an lc sub-pair. Assume further that*

$$K_X + R \sim_N 0/Y$$

for some positive integer N . Then

$$K_X + R \sim_{N'} \sigma^*(K_Y + M + \Delta)$$

for some positive integer N' , where

- (1) $\Delta = \Delta(\sigma, R)$ is the discriminant \mathbb{Q} -divisor,
- (2) the moduli \mathbb{Q} -divisor M is b -nef,
- (3) (Y, Δ) is an lc sub-pair.

Moreover, if the j -invariant map $j: Y \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is a morphism, then $M \sim_{12} \frac{1}{12} j^* Q$ for a point $Q \in \mathbb{P}^1$; in particular, M is nef.

Proof. We shall construct some modifications of X and Y and use the base change property of the discriminant part and the moduli part as in Remark 4.4. First of all, since the typical fiber of σ is an elliptic curve, there is a j -invariant map $j: Y \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. Resolving the indeterminacy of the map j , we obtain a morphism $\psi: Y_1 \rightarrow Y$ such that $j: Y_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is a morphism. We may assume that Y_1 is smooth. Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_1 & \xrightarrow{\phi} & X \\ \downarrow \sigma_1 & & \downarrow \sigma \\ Y_1 & \xrightarrow{\psi} & Y \\ \downarrow j & & \downarrow j \\ \mathbb{P}^1 & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathbb{P}^1 \end{array} \tag{4.9}$$

Here X_1 is the normalization of the main component of the fiber product $X \times_Y Y_1$. Resolving singularities, we may assume that X_1 is smooth.

We define a \mathbb{Q} -divisor R_1 on X_1 by the formula

$$K_{X_1} + R_1 \sim \phi^*(K_X + R).$$

Note that R_1 is an integral divisor since X is smooth and R is an integral divisor. Then

$$K_{X_1} + R_1 \sim_N \sigma_1^* D_1,$$

where $D_1 = \psi^* D$ is a \mathbb{Q} -divisor on Y_1 such that ND_1 is an integral divisor. We can write

$$K_{X_1} + R_1 \sim_N \sigma_1^*(K_{Y_1} + \tilde{\Delta})$$

for a \mathbb{Q} -divisor $\tilde{\Delta} = D_1 - K_{Y_1}$ on Y . Put $M_1 = \frac{1}{12}j^*Q$ for a point $Q \in \mathbb{P}^1$, and define Δ_1 by the formula

$$\Delta_1 = \sum_{Z \subset Y} (1 - \text{lct}(X_1, R_1; \sigma^* Z))Z,$$

where Z runs through the set of all prime divisors on Y_1 , and the log-canonical threshold is computed over the typical point of Z . It follows that $N_1 \Delta_1$ is an integral divisor for some $N_1 > 0$ which depends on the map σ_1 .

Denote by μ the least common multiple of the multiplicities of the fibers of σ_1 , that is, the least common multiple of the multiplicities of all pull-backs of prime divisors on Y_1 via σ_1 . Set

$$N' = 12NN_1\mu.$$

We are going to show that $\tilde{\Delta} \sim_{N'} M_1 + \Delta_1$.

Suppose that

$$\tilde{\Delta} - \Delta_1 - M_1 \not\sim_{N'} 0,$$

or, in other words,

$$N'(\tilde{\Delta} - \Delta_1 - M_1) \not\sim 0.$$

According to Corollary 3.7, there exists a smooth irreducible curve $C \subset Y$ which is a complete intersection of general very ample divisors L_1, \dots, L_{n-2} such that

$$N'(\tilde{\Delta} - \Delta_1 - M_1)|_C \not\sim 0,$$

or, in other words,

$$(\tilde{\Delta} - \Delta_1 - M_1)|_C \not\sim_{N'} 0. \tag{4.10}$$

Let S denote the preimage of C with respect to σ . Since L_i are general, we conclude from Bertini theorem, see e.g. [Ue75, Theorem 4.21], that S is a smooth compact complex surface. Let \mathcal{D} be the (finite) set of all prime divisors Z on Y_1 such that

$$\text{lct}(X_1, R_1 + \sigma^* Z) \neq 1.$$

It also follows from Bertini theorem that C is in general position with respect to all the divisors $D \in \mathcal{D}$. Put $R_S = R_1|_S$. Hence for the \mathbb{Q} -divisor $\Delta_C = \Delta_1|_C$ we have

$$\Delta_C = \sum_{P \in C} (1 - \text{lct}(S, R_S; \sigma^* P))P,$$

see Lemma 4.5.

By adjunction, one has

$$K_C \sim (K_{Y_1} + L_1 + \dots + L_{n-2})|_C.$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} K_S + R_S &\sim (K_{X_1} + R_1 + \sigma^* L_1 + \dots + \sigma^* L_{n-2})|_S \\ &\sim_{N'} (\sigma_1^*(K_{Y_1} + \tilde{\Delta} + L_1 + \dots + L_{n-2}))|_S \\ &\sim_{N'} \sigma_1^*((K_{Y_1} + \tilde{\Delta} + L_1 + \dots + L_{n-2})|_C) \\ &\sim_{N'} \sigma^*(K_C + \tilde{\Delta}|_C). \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

Note that R_S is an integral divisor. In particular, a typical fiber of the fibration $\sigma_1: S \rightarrow C$ is an elliptic curve. Also, we see that the least common multiple of the multiplicities of the fibers of this fibration equals μ . Thus, by Proposition 4.13 one has

$$K_S + R_S \sim_{12\mu} \sigma^*(K_C + M_C + \Delta_C), \quad (4.12)$$

where $M_C \sim_{12} \frac{1}{12} j^* Q$ for a point $Q \in \mathbb{P}^1$. Combining (4.11) and (4.12), we obtain

$$\sigma_1^*(\tilde{\Delta}|_C) \sim_{N'} \sigma_1^*(M_C + \Delta_C) = \sigma_1^*((M_1 + \Delta_1)|_C).$$

On the other hand, it follows from projection formula that the map

$$\sigma_1^*: \text{Pic}(C) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(S)$$

is injective. This gives

$$\tilde{\Delta}|_C \sim_{N'} (M_1 + \Delta_1)|_C.$$

The obtained contradiction with (4.10) shows that $\tilde{\Delta} \sim_{N'} M_1 + \Delta_1$, and

$$K_X + R \sim_{N'} \sigma^*(K_Y + M + \Delta).$$

The fact that (C, Δ) is an lc sub-pair follows from [PS09, Corollary 7.18]. Note that in [PS09] the authors work in the case of projective varieties, however, their argument works in the analytic setting as well.

Note that we have $\psi_* M_1 = M$ (and $\psi_* \Delta_1 = \Delta$), so M is b-nef. Also, from diagram (4.9) it is clear that if the j -invariant map $j: Y \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is a morphism, then $M \sim_{12} \frac{1}{12} j^* Q$ for a point $Q \in \mathbb{P}^1$. \square

We formulate a version of the conjecture of Prokhorov and Shokurov [PS09, Conjecture 7.13] which is applicable to our setting.

Conjecture 4.15. *Let X be a compact complex manifold with an lc-trivial contraction $\sigma: (X, R) \rightarrow Y$ over a smooth projective variety Y , where R is a \mathbb{Q} -divisor on X . (We emphasize that σ need not be a projective morphism!) Then we have*

$$K_X + R \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \sigma^*(K_Y + \Delta + M),$$

where $\Delta = \Delta(\sigma, R)$ is the discriminant \mathbb{Q} -divisor, and the moduli \mathbb{Q} -divisor M is b -semi-ample.

One could also formulate an effective version of this conjecture, cf. [PS09, 7.13.2, 7.13.3]. Also, one can allow mild singularities on X and Y .

Proposition 4.16. *Conjecture 4.15 holds in the case $\dim X - \dim Y \leq 1$.*

Proof. If $\dim X = \dim Y$ then X is projective and σ is projective as well. Then the claim follows from [PS09, Example 7.8].

Now consider the case $\dim X = \dim Y + 1$. Assume that R has a σ -horizontal component. Then X is Moishezon (cf. [Kol22, Lemma 19, Example 12]), so there exists a bimeromorphic map $f: X_1 \rightarrow X$ such that X_1 is a projective variety. Let $\sigma_1: X_1 \rightarrow Y$ be the induced map. Let $K_{X_1} + R_1 \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0/Y$ be the crepant pull-back of $K_X + R \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0/Y$. Observe that $\sigma_1: (X_1, R_1) \rightarrow Y$ is an lc-trivial fibration. By [PS09, Theorem 8.1], we have

$$K_{X_1} + R_1 \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \sigma_1^*(K_Y + \Delta_1 + M_1)$$

where $\Delta_1 = \Delta(X_1, \sigma_1)$ is the discriminant \mathbb{Q} -divisor and M_1 is the moduli \mathbb{Q} -divisor which is b -semi-ample. After passing to a higher model of X_1 , we may assume that M_1 is semi-ample. Taking the push-forward, we get

$$K_X + R \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \sigma^*(K_Y + \Delta + M),$$

where $f_*\Delta_1 = \Delta = \Delta(X, \sigma)$ (cf. Remark 4.4), and $M = f_*M_1$ is b -semi-ample.

Now assume that R has no σ -horizontal components, so R is σ -vertical. Then we conclude by Proposition 4.14. \square

In the projective setting, an effective version of Conjecture 4.15 is proven when the relative dimension of σ is at most 2, see [A++23, Theorem 1.4]. Furthermore, in the projective setting, for arbitrary relative dimension it is known that the weaker property always holds: namely, that the moduli \mathbb{Q} -divisor M is b -nef, see [Kol07, Theorem 8.3.7]. If the base of the fibration is a curve, this implies that the conjecture holds in this case in the projective setting, see [Am04, Theorem 0.1]. For a survey of other results in this direction, we refer the reader to [FL20].

However, the b -nef property fails in the complex setting even in relative dimension 2 as the following example shows.

Example 4.17 ([At58, §10]). Let $Y = \mathbb{P}^1$. Pick two non-proportional global sections s_1, s_2 of $\mathcal{O}_Y(1)$. Then (s_1, s_2) is a section of $\mathcal{O}_Y(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_Y(1)$ which is nowhere zero. Put

$$I_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad I_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad I_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{-1} \\ \sqrt{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad I_4 = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & -\sqrt{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let L be the total space of $\mathcal{O}_Y(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_Y(1)$, and let

$$\Lambda = \left(I_1 \begin{pmatrix} s_1 \\ s_2 \end{pmatrix}, I_2 \begin{pmatrix} s_1 \\ s_2 \end{pmatrix}, I_3 \begin{pmatrix} s_1 \\ s_2 \end{pmatrix}, I_4 \begin{pmatrix} s_1 \\ s_2 \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

Thus, the fiber of $\Lambda \subset L$ over any point in \mathbb{P}^1 is a lattice which has rank 4. Consider the quotient $X = L/\Lambda$, and let $\sigma: X \rightarrow Y$ be the natural projection. Then X is a compact complex manifold, and every fiber of σ is a (smooth) complex torus. Hence, $\sigma: X \rightarrow Y$ is an lc-trivial fibration whose discriminant \mathbb{Q} -divisor Δ vanishes. From [At58, Proposition 10] it follows that

$$K_X \sim \sigma^*(K_Y - 2Q),$$

where $Q \in \mathbb{P}^1$ is a point. Thus the moduli \mathbb{Q} -divisor $M \sim -2Q$ is not pseudo-effective, and so it is not b-nef.

Example 4.17 shows that Conjecture 4.15 has no chance to be true in the relative dimension at least 2 for arbitrary compact complex manifolds. However, it would be interesting to figure out if it holds when the total space of the fibration is Kähler.

5. EQUIVARIANT FIBRATIONS

In this section, we study bimeromorphic automorphisms of complex manifolds which preserve the structure of a fibration and act on the base of the fibration biregularly.

Definition 5.1. Let $\sigma: X \rightarrow Y$ be a fibration from a compact complex manifold to a normal projective variety. Put

$$\text{Bim}(X; \sigma) = \{ \alpha \in \text{Bim}(X) \mid \sigma \text{ is } \alpha\text{-equivariant} \}.$$

Then there is a natural homomorphism $\bar{\sigma}: \text{Bim}(X; \sigma) \rightarrow \text{Bim}(Y)$. Put

$$\text{Bim}_{\text{reg}}(X; \sigma) = \{ \alpha \in \text{Bim}(X; \sigma) \mid \bar{\sigma}(\alpha) \in \text{Aut}(Y) \}.$$

By construction, we have a homomorphism

$$\bar{\sigma}: \text{Bim}_{\text{reg}}(X; \sigma) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(Y).$$

We will work in the following setting.

Assumptions 5.2. Assume that

- (1) $\sigma: (X, R) \rightarrow Y$ is an lc-trivial fibration from a compact complex manifold to a normal projective variety whose typical fiber is an elliptic curve,
- (2) R is a σ -vertical and anti-effective \mathbb{Q} -divisor,
- (3) R is a simple normal crossing \mathbb{Q} -divisor.

Note that the third condition can always be obtained by passing to a higher model of X . Recall the definition of the discriminant \mathbb{Q} -divisors $\Delta(\sigma) = \Delta(\sigma, 0)$ and $\Delta(\sigma, R)$ as in (4.1). The main goal of this section is to prove the following.

Proposition 5.3. *Under Assumptions 5.2, there exists a divisor R' on X such that $R' \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} R/Y$, $R' \geq R$, and $\Delta(\sigma, R')$ is a $\overline{\sigma}(\text{Bim}_{\text{reg}}(X; \sigma))$ -invariant divisor on Y .*

We start with recalling the following well known result on bimeromorphic maps between relatively minimal elliptic fibrations from a surface to a curve.

Lemma 5.4. *Let $\sigma: S \rightarrow C$ and $\sigma': S' \rightarrow C'$ be relatively minimal elliptic fibrations, where S, S' are compact complex surfaces, and C, C' are (smooth) curves. Let $\alpha: S \dashrightarrow S'$ be a bimeromorphic map. Assume that there exists an isomorphism $\beta: C \rightarrow C'$ such that*

$$\beta \circ \sigma = \sigma' \circ \alpha.$$

Then α is an isomorphism.

Under Assumptions 5.2, we define the following divisor on Y . Denote by Δ_{sing} the maximal reduced effective divisor on Y such that the preimage via σ of a typical point in each component of Δ_{sing} is singular.

Corollary 5.5. *Let $\sigma: S \rightarrow C$ be a relatively minimal elliptic fibration where S is a smooth compact complex surface and C is a curve. Let $\Delta = \Delta(\sigma)$ be the discriminant \mathbb{Q} -divisor. Then*

- (1) $\text{Bim}(S; \sigma) = \text{Aut}(S; \sigma)$,
- (2) Δ and Δ_{sing} are $\overline{\sigma}(\text{Aut}(S; \sigma))$ -invariant.

Example 5.6 and Example 5.7 below show that the group $\overline{\alpha}(\text{Bim}_{\text{reg}}(X; \sigma))$ may not preserve Δ and Δ_{sing} if σ is not relatively minimal. Furthermore, Example 5.8 shows that $\Delta(\sigma, R)$ may be not preserved by $\overline{\alpha}(\text{Bim}_{\text{reg}}(X; \sigma))$ even if σ is relatively minimal.

Example 5.6. Put $\pi: X' = C \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, where C is an elliptic curve and π is the projection. Let $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(X')$ be an element which acts trivially on the first factor of $X' = C \times \mathbb{P}^1$, and as an element of order 2 on the second factor. Hence π is α -equivariant. Let P be a point on \mathbb{P}^1 , and let $F = \pi^{-1}(P)$. Blow up a point $Q \in F$ to obtain a morphism $f: X \rightarrow X'$. It induces a fibration

$$\sigma = \pi \circ f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$$

such that $\sigma^{-1}(P)$ is a reducible fiber. Hence $\Delta_{\text{sing}} = P$. Note that α lifts to an element of $\text{Bim}_{\text{reg}}(X; \sigma)$, but $\overline{\sigma}(\alpha)$ does not preserve Δ_{sing} .

Example 5.7. Let $\pi: X' \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be a relatively minimal elliptic fibration with only nodal singular fibers. Let F_1 and F_2 be two such singular fibers. Put $\pi(F_i) = P_i \in \mathbb{P}^1$

for $i = 1, 2$. Suppose that there exists an automorphism $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(X)$ which interchanges F_1 and F_2 . Blow up the node in F_1 to obtain a morphism $f: X \rightarrow X'$. Note that we have

$$f^*F_1 = F'_1 + 2E,$$

where F'_1 is the proper transform of F_1 and E is the f -exceptional curve. For the induced fibration $\sigma: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ we have that $\Delta(\sigma) = \frac{1}{2}P_1$ which is not $\overline{\sigma}(\alpha)$ -invariant.

Example 5.8. Put $\sigma: X = C \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, where C is an elliptic curve and σ is the projection. Let $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(X)$ be an element which acts trivially on the first factor of $X = C \times \mathbb{P}^1$, and as an element of order 2 on the second factor. Put $R = -F$, where F is a fiber of σ , and $\sigma(F) = P \in \mathbb{P}^1$. Then $\sigma: (X, R) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is an lc-trivial fibration. Note that $\Delta(\sigma, R) = -P$ is not $\overline{\sigma}(\alpha)$ -invariant.

Now we construct a divisor R' as in Proposition 5.3.

Construction 5.9. Under Assumptions 5.2, decompose R as follows:

$$R = R_1 + R_2,$$

where $\text{codim}_Y \sigma(R_1) = 1$ and $\text{codim}_Y \sigma(R_2) \geq 2$. Note that we have

$$\Delta(\sigma, R) = \Delta(\sigma, R_1).$$

Let R'_1 be a \mathbb{Q} -divisor on X of the form $R_1 + \sigma^*D$, where D is an effective \mathbb{Q} -divisor on Y , such that R'_1 is a maximal anti-effective \mathbb{Q} -divisor with respect to pull-backs of \mathbb{Q} -effective divisors from Y . This means that R'_1 is anti-effective, and $R'_1 + \sigma^*D$ is not anti-effective for any effective \mathbb{Q} -divisor D on Y . Put

$$R' = R'_1 + R_2,$$

where $R'_2 = R_2$. Since $R' - R = \sigma^*D$, we have $R' \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} R/Y$ and $R' \geq R$. Note that (X, R') is an lc sub-pair since so is (X, R) and R is a simple normal crossing \mathbb{Q} -divisor. Hence, $\sigma: (X, R') \rightarrow Y$ is an lc-trivial fibration. Put

$$\Xi = \Delta(\sigma, R') = \Delta(\sigma, R'_1). \tag{5.1}$$

Since $R' - R = \sigma^*D$, by Remark 4.3 we have $\Delta(\sigma, R) \leq \Xi$.

We are going to show that Ξ is $\overline{\sigma}(\text{Bim}_{\text{reg}}(X; \sigma))$ -invariant.

Example 5.10. We have $\Xi = 0$ in Examples 5.6, 5.7, and 5.8.

The next proposition is not necessary for the proof of the main result. However, we find that it clarifies the structure of the divisor Ξ (see, in particular, Corollary 5.13 below). The reader who is interested only in the proof of the main result is advised to skip to Definition 5.14.

Proposition 5.11. *Let $R' = R'_1 + R'_2$ be as in Construction 5.9. Then*

- (1) R'_1 and Ξ depend only on the fibration $\sigma: X \rightarrow Y$,

(2) Ξ is effective.

Proof. We will show that the coefficients of R'_1 are uniquely determined in terms of some geometric data associated with the fibration $\sigma: X \rightarrow Y$. Let C be a smooth curve which is an intersection of $\dim X - 2$ general very ample divisors on Y , and let S be the preimage of C via σ . Put $\sigma_S = \sigma|_S$. Then

$$\sigma_S: S \rightarrow C$$

is a (not necessarily relatively minimal) elliptic fibration. By adjunction, we have

$$K_S + R'_S \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0/C,$$

where

$$R'_S = R'|_S = R'_1|_S;$$

here the last equality holds since $\text{codim}_Y \sigma(R'_2) \geq 2$. Inductively applying Lemma 4.5, we see that

$$\Delta(\sigma, R')|_C = \Delta(\sigma, R'_1)|_C = \Delta(\sigma_S, R'_S).$$

Let $\phi: S \rightarrow T$ be the relatively minimal model of S over C . Thus, there is a relatively minimal elliptic fibration

$$\sigma_T: T \rightarrow C.$$

We have

$$\phi_*(K_S + R'_S) = K_T + R'_T \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0/C$$

and

$$K_S + R'_S = \phi^*(K_T + R'_T). \quad (5.2)$$

It follows that $\Delta(\sigma_T, R'_T) = \Delta(\sigma_S, R'_S)$.

Since $\sigma_T: T \rightarrow C$ is relatively minimal, we have $K_T \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0/C$. Hence, $R'_T \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0/C$. We claim that $R'_T = 0$. Indeed, suppose that $R'_T \neq 0$. We claim that under this assumption $-R'_1 \geq \sigma^*D$ for some non-zero effective divisor D on Y . Since R'_1 is anti-effective, R'_S and R'_T are anti-effective as well. Then $-R'_T \geq \sigma_T^*D_C$ for some non-zero effective \mathbb{Q} -divisor D_C on C . It follows from (5.2) that

$$-R'_S \geq \sigma_S^*D_C. \quad (5.3)$$

Write $R'_1 = \sum a_i D_i$, where D_i are σ -vertical prime divisors on X , $a_i \in \mathbb{Q}$, and $a_i \leq 0$. We know from (5.3) that

$$-R'_S = -R'_1|_S \geq \sigma^*D_C. \quad (5.4)$$

Let $R''_1 = \sum a_i D_i$ be the sum of the components of R'_1 such that $\sigma(D_i|_S)$ is contained in the support of D_C . From (5.4) we get $-R''_1 \geq \epsilon \sigma^*(\sigma(R''_1))$ for some $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$. Then

$$-R'_1 \geq -R''_1 \geq \epsilon \sigma^*(\sigma(R''_1)) > 0.$$

A contradiction with the choice of R'_1 shows that $R'_T = 0$.

Thus, the divisor $R'_S \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -K_S$ is defined uniquely by the formula

$$\phi^* K_T = K_S + R'_S.$$

Since $R'_1|_S = R'_S$, it follows that R'_1 is uniquely determined by the minimal model of S , and hence R'_1 depends only on the fibration $\sigma: X \rightarrow Y$. Furthermore, since

$$\Xi = \Delta(\sigma, R'_1),$$

we see that Ξ depends only on the fibration $\sigma: X \rightarrow Y$.

Finally, we show that Ξ is effective. Observe that $\Delta(\sigma_T, R'_T) = \Delta(\sigma_T, 0)$ is effective, and hence

$$\Xi|_C = \Delta(\sigma, R'_1)|_C = \Delta(\sigma_S, R'_S) = \Delta(\sigma_T, R'_T)$$

is effective. Therefore, Ξ is effective as well. \square

Corollary 5.12. *Under Assumptions 5.2, suppose that $R = R_1 + R_2$, where $\text{codim}_Y \sigma(R_1) = 1$, $\text{codim}_Y \sigma(R_2) \geq 2$, and R_1 is maximal anti-effective \mathbb{Q} -divisor on X with respect to pull-back of effective divisors from Y . Then $\Delta(\sigma, R) = \Xi$.*

Combining Construction 5.9 and Corollary 5.12, we obtain

Corollary 5.13. *Under Assumptions 5.2, we have*

$$\Delta(\sigma, R) \leq \Xi = \Delta(\sigma, R') \leq \Delta(\sigma) \leq \Delta_{\text{sing}}.$$

We show that the divisor Ξ admits an alternative characterization. In particular, it will give another proof of Proposition 5.11.

Definition 5.14. Under Assumptions 5.2, let $\Delta_{\text{mult}, m}$ be the maximal effective reduced divisor on Y such that the preimage via σ of each component of $\Delta_{\text{mult}, m}$ has multiplicity $m \geq 2$ (i.e., it is a divisor such that the greatest common divisor of the coefficients at its irreducible components equals $m \geq 2$). Put

$$\Delta_{\text{mult}} = \sum_{m \geq 2} (1 - 1/m) \Delta_{\text{mult}, m}.$$

Let $\Delta_{\text{mon}} = \sum a_i D_i$, where D_i are all the prime divisors on Y such that the monodromy around D_i is as in the types $I, II, III, IV, I^*, II^*, III^*, IV^*$ in Table 1 from Section 4, and the coefficients a_i are equal to $1 - \text{lct}(S, F)$ for the corresponding type.

Proposition 5.15. *Under Assumptions 5.2, we have*

$$\Xi = \Delta_{\text{mult}} + \Delta_{\text{mon}}.$$

Proof. Consider the following properties of a prime divisor D on Y :

- (1) $\sigma^* D$ is not multiple, and the monodromy of σ around D is not unipotent (and in particular, it is non-trivial), or

(2) σ^*D has multiplicity $m \geq 2$.

Note that the conditions (1) and (2) do not change under taking general hyperplane section H on Y and replacing σ by the fibration $\sigma|_V: V \rightarrow H$, where $V = \sigma^{-1}(H)$. Furthermore, if $\dim X = 2$, then conditions (1) and (2) are invariant under running a relative minimal program on the compact complex surface X over the curve Y . Thus it is enough to assume that $\sigma: X \rightarrow Y$ is a relatively minimal elliptic fibration over a curve. In this case, if σ^*D is not multiple, we can compute the coefficient at D in Ξ in terms of the monodromy around D by Proposition 4.6. If σ^*D is multiple and has multiplicity m , then the coefficient at D in Ξ is equal to $(1 - 1/m)$, see Table 1. \square

Using Proposition 5.15, we will show that Ξ is $\overline{\sigma}(\text{Bim}_{\text{reg}}(X; \sigma))$ -invariant. To do this, it is enough to show that both Δ_{mult} and Δ_{mon} are $\overline{\sigma}(\text{Bim}_{\text{reg}}(X; \sigma))$ -invariant.

Proposition 5.16. *Under Assumptions 5.2, the \mathbb{Q} -divisors $\Delta_{\text{mult},m}$ and Δ_{mult} are $\overline{\sigma}(\text{Bim}_{\text{reg}}(X; \sigma))$ -invariant.*

Proof. It is enough to prove the assertion for the divisor $\Delta_{\text{mult},m}$.

Take $\alpha \in \text{Bim}_{\text{reg}}(X; \sigma)$. Let $\overline{\alpha}$ be the image of α in

$$\overline{\sigma}(\text{Bim}_{\text{reg}}(X; \sigma)) \subset \text{Aut}(Y).$$

Resolve the indeterminacy of α . We obtain a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & W & \\ \phi \swarrow & & \searrow \psi \\ X & \overset{\alpha}{\dashrightarrow} & X \\ \downarrow \sigma & & \downarrow \sigma \\ Y & \xrightarrow{\overline{\alpha}} & Y \end{array}$$

where ϕ and ψ are bimeromorphic contractions. Let \overline{D} be an irreducible component of Δ_{mult} . This means that

$$D = \sigma^*(\overline{D}) = \sum d_i D_i$$

with the greatest common divisor of the coefficients d_i equal to $m \geq 2$. Then we have $D = mD'$ for some divisor D' . Thus, one has

$$\psi_* \phi^* D = m \psi_* \phi^* D' = \sigma^*(\overline{\alpha}(\overline{D})), \quad (5.5)$$

so $\overline{\alpha}(\overline{D})$ is an irreducible component of Δ_{mult} . Furthermore, if we write

$$\sigma^*(\overline{\alpha}(\overline{D})) = \sum_{27} d'_i D'_i,$$

and denote by m' the greatest common divisor of the coefficients d'_i , then (5.5) shows that m divides m' . Applying a similar argument to α^{-1} , we see that m' divides m , so that $m = m'$. This shows that $\Delta_{\text{mult},m}$ is $\overline{\alpha}$ -invariant. \square

Remark 5.17. One can generalize Proposition 5.16 to the case of arbitrary (not necessarily elliptic) fibrations from a smooth compact complex manifold. On the other hand, one cannot get rid of the smoothness assumption even for elliptic surfaces.

Proposition 5.18. *Under Assumptions 5.2, the divisor Δ_{mon} is $\overline{\sigma}(\text{Bim}_{\text{reg}}(X; \sigma))$ -invariant.*

Proof. Let α be an element in $\text{Bim}_{\text{reg}}(X; \sigma)$. Denote by I the union of the indeterminacy loci of α and α^{-1} on X , and all fibers of σ which are not elliptic curves. Set $\overline{I} = \sigma(I)$. Thus, \overline{I} is a closed subset of codimension at least 1 in Y . Let D be an irreducible component of Δ_{mon} . Let $\overline{\gamma}$ be a small loop going around D in the counter-clockwise direction (with respect to the natural orientation on Y), which has no common points with \overline{I} . Set $\gamma = \sigma^{-1}(\overline{\gamma})$. Then

$$\sigma|_{\gamma}: \gamma \rightarrow \overline{\gamma}$$

is a differentially locally trivial fibration into elliptic curves over a circle. We see that α induces a homeomorphism between γ and $\alpha(\gamma)$. It follows that the monodromy around D and $\overline{\alpha}(D)$ is the same. \square

Combining Propositions 5.16 and 5.18, we obtain

Corollary 5.19. *Under Assumptions 5.2, the divisor*

$$\Xi = \Delta_{\text{mult}} + \Delta_{\text{mon}}$$

is $\overline{\sigma}(\text{Bim}_{\text{reg}}(X; \sigma))$ -invariant.

We give another proof that Ξ is $\overline{\sigma}(\text{Bim}_{\text{reg}}(X; \sigma))$ -invariant, which could be useful for generalizations.

Proposition 5.20. *Under Assumptions 5.2, the divisor Ξ is $\overline{\sigma}(\text{Bim}_{\text{reg}}(X; \sigma))$ -invariant.*

Proof. Take $\alpha \in \text{Bim}_{\text{reg}}(X; \sigma)$. Denote by $\overline{\alpha}$ the image of α in

$$\overline{\sigma}(\text{Bim}_{\text{reg}}(X; \sigma)) \subset \text{Aut}(Y).$$

Taking successive hyperplane sections of Y and passing to their preimages via σ we obtain two fibrations $S \rightarrow C$ and $S' \rightarrow C'$ whose typical fibers are elliptic curves, where S and S' are smooth compact complex surfaces, C and C' are smooth projective curves with $\overline{\alpha}(C) = C'$. Also, we have a bimeromorphic map

$$\alpha_S = \alpha|_S: S \dashrightarrow S'.$$

We also have \mathbb{Q} -divisors R_S on S and $R_{S'}$ on S' such that $K_S + R_S \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0/C$ and $K_{S'} + R_{S'} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0/C'$, and both $-R_S$ and $-R_{S'}$ are effective.

Consider relatively minimal models $S \rightarrow T$ over C and $S' \rightarrow T'$ over C' , and let

$$K_T + R_T \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0/C, \quad K_{T'} + R_{T'} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0/C'$$

be the push-forwards of $K_S + R_S$ and $K_{S'} + R_{S'}$, respectively. We obtain the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (S, R_S) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_S} & (S', R_{S'}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (T, R_T) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_T} & (T', R_{T'}) \\ \downarrow \sigma_T & & \downarrow \sigma_{T'} \\ C & \xrightarrow{\bar{\alpha}_C} & C' \end{array}$$

Here by Lemma 5.4 the map α_T is an isomorphism between T and T' . It follows from Corollary 5.5 that $\bar{\alpha}_C(\Delta(\sigma_T)) = \Delta(\sigma_{T'})$. Inductively applying Lemma 4.5, we get

$$\Xi|_C = \Delta(\sigma_S, R_S) = \Delta(\sigma_T), \quad \Xi|_{C'} = \Delta(\sigma'_S, R_{S'}) = \Delta(\sigma_{T'}).$$

Therefore,

$$\bar{\alpha}(\Xi)|_{C'} = \bar{\alpha}_C(\Xi|_C) = \bar{\alpha}_C(\Delta(\sigma_T)) = \Delta(\sigma_{T'}) = \Xi|_{C'}.$$

It follows that $\Xi = \bar{\alpha}(\Xi)$, which gives the required assertion. \square

We conclude this section by an observation concerning Stein factorizations of certain morphisms.

Lemma 5.21. *Let $\sigma: X \rightarrow Y$ be a fibration from a compact complex manifold X to a projective variety Y . Let $G \subset \text{Bim}_{\text{reg}}(X; \sigma)$ be a subgroup. Denote its image by $\bar{G} \subset \text{Aut}(Y)$. Let*

$$X \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow Y \tag{5.6}$$

be the Stein factorization of σ . Then \bar{G} admits a lifting $\bar{G}_1 \subset \text{Aut}(X_1)$ such that $\bar{G}_1 \cong \bar{G}$, and factorization (5.6) is G -equivariant.

Proof. We argue that the claim follows from the universal property of the Stein factorization, cf. [GR84, 10, §6.1]. Let $\alpha \in G$. We shall construct a uniquely defined lifting $\bar{\alpha}_1 \in \text{Aut}(X_1)$ of $\bar{\alpha} \in \text{Aut}(X)$ which fits into the following commutative

diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
& W & \\
\phi \swarrow & & \searrow \psi \\
X & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & X \\
\downarrow \sigma_1 & & \downarrow \sigma_1 \\
X_1 & \xrightarrow{\bar{\alpha}_1} & X_1 \\
\downarrow \sigma & & \downarrow \sigma \\
Y & \xrightarrow{\bar{\alpha}} & Y
\end{array}$$

Here $X \xleftarrow{\phi} W \xrightarrow{\psi} X$ is a resolution of the indeterminacy of the map α . Note that the map $\sigma_1 \circ \psi: W \rightarrow X_1$ is constant on the fibers of the composition $\sigma \circ \sigma_1 \circ \phi$. Indeed, this follows from the commutativity of the diagram. Note also that the fibers of $\sigma_1 \circ \phi$ and $\sigma_1 \circ \psi$ are connected. In fact,

$$W \xrightarrow{\sigma_1 \circ \phi} X_1 \xrightarrow{\sigma} Y$$

is the Stein factorization of $W \xrightarrow{\sigma \circ \sigma_1 \circ \phi} Y$, and

$$W \xrightarrow{\sigma_1 \circ \psi} X_1 \xrightarrow{\sigma} Y$$

is the Stein factorization of $W \xrightarrow{\sigma \circ \sigma_1 \circ \psi} Y$. Hence, by the universal property of the Stein factorization there exists a unique morphism $\bar{\alpha}_1: X_1 \rightarrow X_1$ such that

$$\sigma_1 \circ \psi = \bar{\alpha}_1 \circ \sigma_1 \circ \phi.$$

Since the lifting $\bar{\alpha}_1 \in \text{Aut}(X_1)$ of $\bar{\alpha} \in \text{Aut}(X)$ is unique, it follows that the group \bar{G} admits a lifting $\bar{G}_1 \subset \text{Aut}(X_1)$ such that $\bar{G}_1 \cong \bar{G}$ and factorization (5.6) is G -equivariant. \square

6. BIMEROMORPHIC MODIFICATIONS

Let X be a compact complex manifold of dimension $n \geq 2$ such that for its Kodaira dimension we have $\kappa(X) = n - 1$. Our construction is similar to that of [Ue75, Theorem 6.11]. We fix the notation. Let m be a number such that the linear system $|mK_X|$ defines the pluricanonical map $\sigma: X \dashrightarrow Y$. In this section, we construct certain bimeromorphic modifications of X and Y and prove the main results of the paper. We denote by

$$\Gamma = \bar{\rho}(\text{Bim}(X)) \subset \text{PGL}(H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mK_X)))$$

the image of the projective pluricanonical representation.

Proposition 6.1. *Let X be a compact complex manifold, and let $\phi: X \dashrightarrow Y$ be the pluricanonical map. Then there exists the following $\text{Bim}(X)$ -equivariant commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_1 & \xrightarrow{\phi} & X \\ \downarrow \sigma_1 & & \downarrow \sigma \\ Y_1 & \xrightarrow{\psi} & Y \end{array} \quad (6.1)$$

such that

- (1) X_1 and Y_1 are compact complex manifolds (in particular, they are smooth),
- (2) ϕ and ψ are bimeromorphic modifications,
- (3) Γ acts on Y_1 biregularly and ψ is Γ -equivariant,
- (4) σ_1 is a fibration and

$$K_{X_1} + R_1 \sim_{N_1} \sigma_1^* H_1,$$

where H_1 is a big and nef \mathbb{Q} -divisor on Y_1 , and $-R_1$ is an effective integral divisor on X_1 ,

- (5) the sub-pair (X_1, R_1) is lc.

Proof. We construct the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X_1 & \xrightarrow{\phi_1} & X_0 & \xrightarrow{\phi_0} & X \\ \downarrow \sigma_1 & & \downarrow \sigma_0 & & \downarrow \sigma \\ Y_1 & \xrightarrow{\psi_1} & Y_0 & \xrightarrow{\psi_0} & Y \end{array}$$

where

- ϕ_0 is a resolution of the indeterminacy of the map σ ,
- σ_0 is the Stein factorization of $\sigma \circ \phi_0$, so the fibers of σ_0 are connected and Y_0 is normal,
- ψ_1 is a resolution of singularities of Y_0 , so Y_1 is smooth,
- X_1 is a resolution of singularities of the main component of the fiber product $X_0 \times_{Y_0} Y_1$, and $\phi_1: X_1 \rightarrow X_0$ and $\sigma_1: X_1 \rightarrow Y_1$ are the induced maps.

Put $\phi = \phi_0 \circ \phi_1$ and $\psi = \psi_0 \circ \psi_1$. By construction X_1 and Y_1 are smooth and compact, which proves (1). Also, by construction ϕ is a bimeromorphic modification, and ψ is generically finite. On the other hand, we know from Remark 2.1 that the closure of a typical fiber of σ is connected. Hence, ψ_0 is a bimeromorphic modification as well. This proves (2). By Lemma 5.21, the group Γ admits a lifting to $\Gamma \subset \text{Aut}(Y_0)$. By [BM97, Theorem 13.2], a resolution of singularities ψ_1 can be chosen Γ -equivariant. This proves (3). Since ϕ is a bimeromorphic modification, it is $\text{Bim}(X)$ -equivariant. By construction, σ_1 is $\text{Bim}(X)$ -equivariant as well, so the diagram (6.1) is $\text{Bim}(X)$ -equivariant.

Note that $mK_X \sim \sigma^*L$ where L is a very ample divisor on Y . Here by the pullback of a very ample divisor via a meromorphic map we mean the proper transform of a general divisor linearly equivalent to it. Put $H = 1/mL$, so H is an ample \mathbb{Q} -divisor on Y . We have $K_X \sim_m \sigma^*H$. Recall that X is smooth. Thus,

$$K_{X_1} = \phi^*K_X + E_1,$$

where E_1 is a ϕ -exceptional effective integral divisor on X_1 . Therefore

$$K_{X_1} = \phi^*K_X + E_1 \sim_m \phi^*\sigma^*H + E_1 \sim_m \phi^*\sigma_1^*H + E_1 \sim_m \sigma_1^*H_1 + E_1 \quad (6.2)$$

where $H_1 = \phi^*H$ is a big and nef \mathbb{Q} -divisor on Y_1 such that mH_1 is integral. We may assume that (possibly after a further blow-up of X_1) the divisor E_1 has simple normal crossings. Put $R_1 = -E_1$ and $N_1 = m$. This proves (4).

Finally, the sub-pair (X_1, R_1) is lc since R_1 has simple normal crossings and $-R_1$ is effective. This proves (5), and completes the proof of the proposition. \square

Proposition 6.2. *In the notation of Proposition 6.1, assume that for the Kodaira dimension of X we have $\kappa(X) = \dim X - 1$. Then either X is Moishezon, or a typical fiber of $\sigma_1: X_1 \rightarrow Y_1$ is an elliptic curve, and R_1 is σ_1 -vertical.*

Proof. Let F be a typical fiber of $\sigma_1: X_1 \rightarrow Y_1$, so F is a smooth curve. Since for the Kodaira dimension of X_1 we have $\kappa(X_1) = \kappa(X) \geq 0$, by Lemma 2.4 we obtain $\kappa(F) \geq 0$. On the other hand, if $\kappa(F) > 0$, we show that X_1 , and hence X , is Moishezon. Indeed, assume that $\kappa(F) > 0$. By [BS76, Theorem V.4.10], there exists a Zariski open subset $U \subset Y_1$ such that the morphism

$$\sigma_1|_V: V \rightarrow U$$

is smooth, where $V = \sigma_1^{-1}(U)$. Then K_{X_1} restricts to an ample line bundle on any fiber over a point in U . Thus, by [Kol22, Lemma 19, Example 12] it follows that X_1 is Moishezon. Hence X is Moishezon as well. Similarly, if R_1 is not σ_1 -vertical, then $-R_1$ has a component which dominates Y_1 . Such a component restricts to an ample line bundle on any fiber over a point in open subset U in Y . This implies that X_1 and X are Moishezon. We conclude that if X is not Moishezon, then $\kappa(F) = 0$ (so F is an elliptic curve) and R_1 is σ_1 -vertical. \square

By Proposition 6.2, if X is not Moishezon, then a typical fiber of σ is an elliptic curve. In this case, we can consider the j -invariant map $j: Y \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$.

Lemma 6.3. *In the notation of Proposition 6.1, assume that $\kappa(X) = \dim X - 1$ and the typical fiber of $\sigma_1: X_1 \rightarrow Y_1$ is an elliptic curve. Then $j: Y_1 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is Γ -equivariant, and Γ acts on \mathbb{P}^1 trivially.*

Proof. Indeed, since the morphism $\sigma_1: X_1 \rightarrow Y_1$ is $\text{Bim}(X)$ -equivariant, every element of $\text{Bim}(X)$ maps an elliptic curve which is a typical fiber of σ_1 to an isomorphic elliptic curve; hence these elliptic curves have the same values of the j -invariant.

This shows that the map $j: Y_1 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is Γ -equivariant with respect to the trivial action of Γ on \mathbb{P}^1 . \square

Proposition 6.4. *In the notation of Proposition 6.1, assume that $\kappa(X) = \dim X - 1$ and the typical fiber of $\sigma_1: X_1 \rightarrow Y_1$ is an elliptic curve. Then there exists the following $\text{Bim}(X)$ -equivariant commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X_2 & \xrightarrow{\phi_2} & X_1 \\
 \downarrow \sigma_2 & & \downarrow \sigma_1 \\
 Y_2 & \xrightarrow{\psi_2} & Y_1 \\
 \downarrow j & & \downarrow j \\
 \mathbb{P}^1 & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathbb{P}^1
 \end{array} \tag{6.3}$$

such that

- (1) X_2 and Y_2 are compact complex manifolds (in particular, they are smooth),
- (2) ϕ_2 and ψ_2 are bimeromorphic modifications,
- (3) Γ acts on Y_2 biregularly, and ψ_2 is Γ -equivariant,
- (4) σ_2 is a contraction, and

$$K_{X_2} + R_2 \sim_{N_2} \sigma_2^* H_2,$$

where H_2 is a big and nef divisor on Y_2 , and $-R_2$ is an effective σ_2 -vertical integral divisor on X_1 ,

- (5) the map $j: Y_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ coincides with the j -invariant map on the typical fiber of σ_2 ,
- (6) the sub-pair $(Y_2, \Delta_{Y_2} + M_{Y_2})$ is lc and Γ -invariant, where $\Delta_{Y_2} = \Delta(\sigma_2, R_2)$ is the discriminant \mathbb{Q} -divisor and M_{Y_2} is the moduli \mathbb{Q} -divisor as in (4.2),
- (7) the divisor $K_{Y_2} + \Delta_{Y_2} + M_{Y_2}$ is big and nef.

As a consequence, the group $\text{Aut}(Y_2, \Delta_{Y_2} + M_{Y_2})$ is finite, and so its subgroup Γ is finite as well.

Proof. Let us construct the diagram (6.3). By Lemma 6.3, the map $j: Y_1 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is Γ -equivariant where the action of Γ on \mathbb{P}^1 is trivial. Let $\psi_2: Y_2 \rightarrow Y_1$ be a resolution of the indeterminacy of $j: Y_1 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. Since j is Γ -invariant, it follows that ψ_2 can be chosen to be Γ -equivariant. This establishes (5). By further blowing-up, we may assume that Y_2 is smooth.

Let X_2 be the resolution of singularities of the main component of $X_1 \times_{Y_1} Y_2$, and let $\sigma_2: X_2 \rightarrow Y_2$ and $\phi_2: X_2 \rightarrow X_1$ be the induced maps. We see that X_2 and Y_2 are smooth compact complex manifolds, which proves (1). By construction, ϕ_2 and ψ_2 are bimeromorphic modifications, which proves (2). Note that σ_2' is a fibration.

Similarly to (6.2), we have

$$K_{X_2} + R_2 \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \sigma_2^* H_2, \quad (6.4)$$

where $-R_2$ is an effective integral divisor on X_2 , and $H_2 = \psi_2^* H_1$ is a big and nef \mathbb{Q} -divisor on Y_2 . By further blowing-up of X_2 we may assume that R_2 is a simple normal crossing \mathbb{Q} -divisor. This establishes (4).

As in Construction 5.9, by replacing R_2 with $R_2 + \sigma_2^* D$ for an effective \mathbb{Q} -divisor on Y_2 , we may assume that R_2 is maximal anti-effective \mathbb{Q} -divisor on X with respect to pull-backs from Y . This means that R_2 is anti-effective, and $R_2 + \sigma_1^* D$ is not anti-effective for any effective \mathbb{Q} -divisor D on Y . Note that R_2 is still a simple normal crossing \mathbb{Q} -divisor, and in particular, (X_2, R_2) is an lc sub-pair. By Proposition 4.14 we have

$$K_{X_2} + R_2 \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \sigma_2^* (K_{Y_2} + \Delta_{Y_2} + M_{Y_2}), \quad (6.5)$$

where $\Delta_{Y_2} = \Delta(Y_2, R_2; \sigma_2)$ is the discriminant \mathbb{Q} -divisor of σ_2 , and the moduli \mathbb{Q} -divisor M_{Y_2} is a nef \mathbb{Q} -divisor on Y_2 such that $M_{Y_2} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \frac{1}{12} j^* Q$ for a point Q in \mathbb{P}^1 . Moreover, the sub-pair (Y_2, Δ_{Y_2}) is lc. We may assume that the point $Q \in \mathbb{P}^1$ is general. Hence the sub-pair $(Y_2, \Delta_{Y_2} + M_{Y_2})$ is lc. From (6.4) and (6.5) it follows that $K_{Y_2} + \Delta_{Y_2} + M_{Y_2}$ is big and nef, which establishes (7).

Consider the group $\Gamma' = \text{Aut}(Y_2, \Delta_{Y_2} + M_{Y_2})$. By Proposition 3.4 we know that Γ' is finite. By Proposition 5.3 and Lemma 6.3 the \mathbb{Q} -divisors Δ_{Y_2} and M_{Y_2} are Γ' -invariant, so $\Gamma \subset \Gamma'$. Hence Γ is finite as well. This completes the proof of the proposition. \square

Finally, we are ready to prove the main result of the paper.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. This is the last assertion of Proposition 6.4. \square

REFERENCES

- [Am04] F. Ambro. *Shokurov's boundary property*. J. Differential Geom. **67** (2004), no. 2, 229–255.
- [A++23] K. Ascher, D. Bejleri, H. Blum, K. DeVleming, G. Inchiostro, Yu. Liu, X. Wang. *Moduli of boundary polarized Calabi-Yau pairs*. arXiv:2307.06522, 2023.
- [At58] M.F. Atiyah. *Some examples of complex manifolds*. Bonn Math. Schriften 6 (1958).
- [BS76] C. Bănică, O. Stănăşilă. *Algebraic methods in the global theory of complex spaces*. Editura Academiei, Bucharest; John Wiley & Sons, London-New York-Sydney, 1976.
- [BHPVdV04] W. P. Barth, K. Hulek, C. A. M. Peters, and A. Van de Ven. *Compact complex surfaces*, volume 4 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 2004.
- [BM97] E. Bierstone, P. Milman. *Canonical desingularization in characteristic zero by blowing up the maximum strata of a local invariant*. Invent. Math. **128** (1997), no. 2, 207–302.
- [BCHM10] C. Birkar, P. Cascini, C. Hacon, J. McKernan. *Existence of minimal models for varieties of log general type*. J. Amer. Math. Soc. **23** (2010), no. 2, 405–468.

- [Br22] M. Brion. *Automorphism Groups of Almost Homogeneous Varieties*. In: Aluffi P., Anderson D., Hering M., Mustață M., Payne S., eds. *Facets of Algebraic Geometry: A Collection in Honor of William Fulton's 80th Birthday*. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series. Cambridge University Press, 2022.
- [CS24] Y. Chen, C. Shramov. *Automorphisms of surfaces over fields of positive characteristic*. *Geometry & Topology* **28** (2024), no. 6, 2747–2791.
- [FG14] O. Fujino, Y. Gongyo. *Log pluricanonical representations and the abundance conjecture*. *Compos. Math.* **150** (2014), no. 4, 593–620.
- [FL20] E. Floris, V. Lazić. *A travel guide to the canonical bundle formula*. *Birational geometry and moduli spaces*, 37–55, Springer INdAM Ser. **39**, Springer, Cham, 2020.
- [FX25] O. Fujino, J. Xu. *Remarks on log pluricanonical representations*. arXiv:2501.16568, 2025.
- [Fu86] T. Fujita. *Zariski decomposition and canonical rings of elliptic threefolds*. *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **38** (1986), no. 1, 19–37.
- [Gu20] Yi Gu. *Surface fibrations with large equivariant automorphism group*. arXiv:2004.12656, 2020.
- [GR84] H. Grauert, R. Remmert. *Coherent analytic sheaves*. Grundle Math. Wiss. 265, Springer-Verlag, 1984.
- [HX16] C. Hacon, C. Xu. *On finiteness of B -representations and semi-log canonical abundance*. *Minimal models and extremal Rays (Kyoto, 2011)*, 361–378. *Adv. Stud. in Pure Math* **70**, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2016.
- [Hu18] F. Hu. *The dimension of automorphism groups of algebraic varieties with pseudo-effective log canonical divisors*. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **146** (2018), no. 5, 1879–1893.
- [KM99] S. Keel, J. McKernan. *Rational curves on quasi-projective surfaces*. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **140** (1999), no. 669.
- [Kod64] K. Kodaira. *On the structure of compact complex analytic surfaces, I*. *Amer. J. Math.* **86** (1964), 751–798.
- [KM98] J. Kollár, S. Mori. *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties*, with the collaboration of C. H. Clemens and A. Corti. Translated from the 1998 Japanese original. *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*, vol. 134, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [Kol07] J. Kollár. *Kodaira's canonical bundle formula and subadjunction*. *Flips for 3-folds and 4-folds*, 134–162, *Oxford Lecture Ser. Math. Appl.*, **35**, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 2007.
- [Kol22] J. Kollár. *Moishezon morphisms*. *Pure and Applied Mathematics Quarterly* **18** (2022), no. 4, 1661–1687.
- [Lo25] K. Loginov. *Jordan property for groups of bimeromorphic self-maps of complex manifolds with large Kodaira dimension*. *Math. Z.* **309** (2025), no. 2, paper No. 21, 14 pp.
- [MS08] E. Macrì, P. Stellari. *Automorphisms and autoequivalences of generic analytic surfaces*. *Journal of Geometry and Physics* **58** (2008), no. 1, 133–164.
- [PS09] Yu. G. Prokhorov, V. V. Shokurov. *Towards the second main theorem on complements*. *J. Algebraic Geom.* **18** (2009), no. 1, 151–199.
- [PSh20] Yu. Prokhorov, C. Shramov. *Bounded automorphism groups of compact complex surfaces*. *Sb. Math.* **211** (2020), no. 9, 1310–1322.
- [PSh22] Yu. Prokhorov, C. Shramov. *Finite groups of bimeromorphic selfmaps of nonuniruled Kähler threefolds*. *Sb. Math.* **213** (2022), no. 12, 1695–1714.
- [Shr22] C. Shramov. *Finite groups acting on elliptic surfaces*. *Eur. J. Math.* **8** (2022), no. 3, 1213–1224.
- [Ue73] K. Ueno. *Classification of algebraic varieties I*. *Compositio Math.*, 27 (1973).

- [Ue75] K. Ueno. *Classification theory of algebraic varieties and compact complex spaces*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. **439**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1975. Notes written in collaboration with P. Cherenack.
- [Wi81] P. M. H. Wilson. *On the canonical ring of algebraic varieties*. *Compositio Mathematica* **43** (1981), no. 3, 365–385.

STEKLOV MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE OF RUSSIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, 8 GUBKINA STREET, MOSCOW 119991, RUSSIA.

LABORATORY OF ALGEBRAIC GEOMETRY, NATIONAL RESEARCH UNIVERSITY HIGHER SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS, RUSSIAN FEDERATION.

CENTRE OF PURE MATHEMATICS, MIPT, MOSCOW, RUSSIA.

Email address: loginov@mi-ras.ru

STEKLOV MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE OF RUSSIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, 8 GUBKINA STREET, MOSCOW 119991, RUSSIA.

HSE UNIVERSITY, RUSSIAN FEDERATION, LABORATORY OF ALGEBRAIC GEOMETRY, 6 USACHEVA STR., MOSCOW, 119048, RUSSIA.

Email address: costya.shramov@gmail.com