RAMIFIED PERIODS AND FIELD OF DEFINITION

GIUSEPPE ANCONA, DRAGOŞ FRĂŢILĂ, ALBERTO VEZZANI

ABSTRACT. Let L/K be an extension of number fields that is ramified above p. We give a new obstruction to the descent to K of smooth projective varieties defined over L. The obstruction is a matrix of p-adic numbers that we call "ramified periods" arising from the comparison isomorphism between de Rham cohomology and crystalline cohomology. As an application, we give simple examples of hyperelliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})$ that are isomorphic to their Galois conjugates but such that their Jacobians do not descend to \mathbb{Q} even up to isogeny.

CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	l
2.	The Hyodo–Kato isomorphism	3
3.	Ramified periods	6
4.	Filtered ramified periods	8
5.	An obstruction to descent	9
6.	Hyperelliptic curves	10
References		12

1. INTRODUCTION

By a classical theorem of Shimura, we know that there are hyperelliptic curves over \mathbb{C} which are isomorphic to their complex conjugates but such that their Jacobians do not descend to \mathbb{R} [Shi72, Thm. 3]. The equations are pretty complicated and need the presence of transcendental numbers in their coefficients. Over number fields, similar results have been obtained in genus 2, see [Mes91], [FFG24, Propositions 8.2, 8.4].

Our main contribution to such questions is the following.

Theorem 1.1. Let g be a natural number and p be a prime number such that both are congruent to 1 modulo 4 and p does not divide g + 1. For $a \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})^{\times}$, let \mathcal{C}_a be the genus g hyperelliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})$ defined by the affine equation

(1.2)
$$C_a: y^2 = x^{2g+2} - a.$$

Then, for infinitely many $a \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})^{\times}$, C_a is isomorphic to its Galois conjugate $C_{\bar{a}}$, but there is no abelian variety A over \mathbb{Q} such that the Jacobian $J(C_a)$ is isogenous to $A \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})$.

The elements a are constructed from solutions to the negative Pell equation $x^2 - py^2 = -1$ and can be computed in practice. The pleasant side of this theorem is that the equation is particularly simple and the genus can be arbitrary big.

Remark 1.3. A related, but quite different, question concerns the descent to the field of moduli. This has a more geometric rather than arithmetic flavor. Given a finite extension $K \subset L$ and a variety X over L, one can take the base change $\overline{X} := X_{\overline{K}}$ of X to an algebraic closure and ask whether \overline{X} descends to K. It is possible that X does not descend to K but \overline{X} does. For example, it is a classical fact that an elliptic curve over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ descends to its field of moduli $\mathbb{Q}(j_E)$. In particular, if the *j*-invariant is rational, the curve descends to \mathbb{Q} . However, it is not true that an elliptic curve defined over a number field descends to \mathbb{Q} if its *j*-invariant is rational. In the case of hyperelliptic curves, Huggins [Hug07], has proved that if $\operatorname{Aut}(X)/\langle \iota \rangle$ is not cyclic, then the hyperelliptic curve descends to its field of moduli. Recently, it was shown in [Bre23] that any plane curve of degree coprime to 6 descends to its field of moduli.

Idea of the proof. We pick $a \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})$ for which C_a has good reduction modulo p. In particular, one can make sense of the crystalline cohomology of its reduction modulo p, which is, in this setting, a cohomology with coefficients in \mathbb{Q}_p . Berthelot constructed a comparison theorem with de Rham cohomology [Ber74]:

(1.4) $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathcal{C}_a/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})} \mathbb{Q}_p(\sqrt{p}) \simeq \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{crys}}(\mathcal{C}_a, \mathbb{Q}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p(\sqrt{p}).$

Then one can ask the following question.

(*) Is there a $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})$ -basis of $H_{dR}(\mathcal{C}_a/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p}))$ that corresponds to a \mathbb{Q}_p -basis of $H_{crys}(\mathcal{C}_a, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ through the identification (1.4)?

If the curve descends to a curve C' over \mathbb{Q} with good reduction modulo p, then the answer is affirmative: one can choose a basis of $H_{dR}(C'/\mathbb{Q})$ that is mapped, via the Berthelot comparison isomorphism, to $H_{crys}(C', \mathbb{Q}_p)$, which is canonically identified with $H_{crys}(\mathcal{C}_a, \mathbb{Q}_p)$.

Thus, the question (*) gives rise to an *obstruction to descent* (with good reduction at p) in the form of a double coset in $\operatorname{GL}(\mathbb{Q}_p) \setminus \operatorname{GL}(\mathbb{Q}_p(\sqrt{p})) / \operatorname{GL}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p}))$.

We refer to such an element as a *ramified period*. This terminology reflects two key aspects: first, in the context of comparison isomorphisms, the coordinates of a basis of one cohomology group with respect to another are typically called *periods*; second, the ramification of the number field extension at p plays a crucial role in extracting nontrivial information from (\star) .

This elementary observation serves as the starting point for our paper. However, it does not yet provide an obstruction to descent to \mathbb{Q} , since the argument just outlined relies on the assumption that \mathcal{C}' has good reduction. To address this, we consider some Galois descent datum associated to the cohomology theories we compare. Ultimately, the "obstruction to descent" criterion that we propose is rather intricate (see Theorem 5.4). Nevertheless, when applied to the special case of the curve \mathcal{C}_a introduced above, it leads to the following:

Theorem 1.5. For the curve C_a defined by (1.2) consider the Berthelot comparison isomorphism

(1.6)
$$\wedge^{g} \operatorname{H}^{1}_{\operatorname{dR}}(\mathcal{C}_{a}/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})} \mathbb{Q}_{p}(\sqrt{p}) \simeq \wedge^{g} \operatorname{H}^{1}_{\operatorname{crys}}(\mathcal{C}_{a},\mathbb{Q}_{p}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} \mathbb{Q}_{p}(\sqrt{p}).$$

Consider a non-zero vector v in the g-th step $\operatorname{Fil}^g(\wedge^g \operatorname{H}^1_{\operatorname{dR}}(\mathcal{C}_a/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})))$ of the Hodge filtration and assume that there is a non-zero vector w in $\wedge^g \operatorname{H}^1_{\operatorname{crys}}(\mathcal{C}_a, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ such that v and w generate the same $\mathbb{Q}_p(\sqrt{p})$ -line (via the isomorphism (1.6)). Assume also that $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p}) \cdot v \cap \mathbb{Q}_p \cdot w = 0$. Then there is no abelian variety A over \mathbb{Q} such that the Jacobian $J(\mathcal{C}_a)$ is isogenous to $A \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})$.

Remark 1.7. The examples we construct are such that $C_a \times_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})} \mathbb{Q}_p(\sqrt{p})$ descends to \mathbb{Q}_p . This aligns with the fact that the obstruction we consider is global rather than local in nature. Therefore the use of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})$ -coefficients arising from de Rham cohomology is essential to our approach.

Organization of the paper. In Section 2 we recall the Hyodo–Kato comparison isomorphism, which is a generalization of the Berthelot comparison theorem mentioned above. In Section 3 ramified periods as sketched in the introduction are defined. They provide an obstruction to

descent with good reduction. To drop the hypothesis of good reduction we construct a filtered version of ramified periods (Section 4). We prove the generalization of Theorem 1.5 in Section 5. Finally, in Section 6 we apply this obstruction to hyperelliptic curves and prove Theorem 1.1.

Acknowledgements. We thank Emiliano Ambrosi, Olivier De Gaay Fortman and Francesc Fité for useful discussions.

This project started on the island of Nisyros, during a summer school on periods. We thank Bruno Klingler and Yiannis Sakellaridis for the wonderful organization and the warm welcome.

This research was partially supported by the grants CYCLADES ANR-23-CE40-0011, DAG-ARTS ANR-24-CE40-4098 of *Agence National de la Recherche*, by the PNRR Grant CF 44/14.11.2022 and by the PRIN Grant 20222B24AY by *Ministero dell'Università e della Ricerca*.

2. The Hyodo-Kato isomorphism

Throughout the article we will work with the following convention.

Assumption 2.1. We fix L/K an extension of number fields, and we fix a prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of L. We let \mathfrak{q} [resp. p] be the prime ideal of K [resp. of \mathbb{Q}] over which it lies. We also let $\hat{L} = L_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be the \mathfrak{p} -adic completion of L and \hat{K} be the \mathfrak{q} -adic completion of K. They are finite extensions of \mathbb{Q}_p with residue field l, respectively k. We let \hat{L}_0 be the field $\hat{L}_0 := W_{\mathcal{O}(\hat{K})}(l)[1/p]$ which is the maximal unramified extension of \hat{K} in \hat{L} .

We recall generalities on the Hyodo–Kato isomorphism and the motivic setting where it is stated, following [DN18] and [BGV25].

2.1. Recollections on the Hyodo-Kato cohomology and isomorphism. There are two natural Weil cohomology theories for algebraic (even: rigid analytic) varieties over \hat{L} : the *de Rham* cohomology and the *Hyodo-Kato* cohomology. They both satisfy étale descent and are \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant; in other words, they are *motivic*. We briefly recall what that means and entails, and how they compare to each other.

Definition 2.2. We let $DA(L) := SH_{\text{ét}}(L, \mathbb{Q})$ be the category of rational, étale motives over L in the sense of e.g. [Ayo07, Définition 4.5.21], [Ayo20, §2.1]. It is a compactly generated, symmetric monoidal category. For any variety X/L, we let $M(X) \in DA(L)$ be the associated (homological) motive.

Remark 2.3. Similarly, one can define the rigid analytic motivic category $\operatorname{RigDA}(\hat{L})$ and there is an analytification functor

$$\mathrm{DA}(L) \to \mathrm{Rig}\mathrm{DA}(\hat{L}), \qquad M \mapsto M^{\mathrm{an}}$$

that is monoidal, preserves Tate-twists and sends a set of compact generators to a set of compact generators (see [AGV22, §2.1], [Ayo20, Proposition 2.31]).

Remark 2.4. Any field extension L'/L induces a (left adjoint) monoidal functor $DA(L) \rightarrow DA(L')$ which sends the motive of a smooth variety X to the motive of the variety $X_{L'}$ obtained by base change. We typically denote this functor by $M \mapsto M \times_L L'$ or simply by $M \mapsto M_{L'}$.

Definition 2.5. We say that a motive $M \in DA(L)$ has good reduction (at p) if its analytification M^{an} lies in the subcategory $\operatorname{RigDA}_{\operatorname{gr}}(\hat{L})$ which is generated (under colimits, shifts and twists) by analytic varieties with good reduction, i.e., admitting a smooth formal model over $\mathcal{O}_{\hat{L}}$ (see [BGV25, Definition 4.5]). The resulting full subcategory is denoted by $DA_{\operatorname{gr}}(L)$.

Remark 2.6. By definition, all proper varieties with good reduction at \mathfrak{p} lie in $DA_{gr}(L)$. More remarkably, all proper varieties with *poly-stable* reduction at \mathfrak{p} (e.g. with semi-stable reduction) also lie in $DA_{gr}(L)$, see [**BKV22**, Proposition 3.29].

Definition 2.7. The de Rham homology functor from smooth quasi-compact and quasiseparated varieties over L to (the derived category of) L-vector spaces extends canonically to a monoidal functor

$$\mathcal{R}_{dR}$$
: DA(L) $\rightarrow \mathcal{D}(L)$

which we call the *de Rham homology* realization. The dual groups of its homology will be denoted, as usual, by $H^*_{dR}(M/L)$ or simply by $H^*_{dR}(M)$ if we do not need to emphasize the coefficients. Considering the Hodge filtration, this functor can be extended to a functor

$$\mathrm{DA}(L) \to \mathrm{Fil}\,\mathcal{D}(L).$$

See e.g. [DN18, §4.15].

If we let \mathcal{R}_{rig} : DA $(l) \to \mathcal{D}(\hat{L}_0)$ be the rigid realization functor (with coefficients in \hat{L}_0) then we can define the Hyodo–Kato realization, which is the monoidal functor

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{HK}} \colon \mathrm{DA}_{\mathrm{gr}}(L) \to \mathcal{D}(\hat{L}_0)$$

defined by $X \mapsto \mathcal{R}_{rig}(\Psi X^{an})$ (see [BGV25, §4.6]). The associated cohomology groups will be denoted by $H^*_{HK}(X)$. See also [HK94, Bei13, CN20, EY25, DN18, BKV22].

Remark 2.8. The Hyodo–Kato realization is a motivic extension of the crystalline realization which exists for proper varieties X with good reduction at \mathfrak{p} (in this case, $\Psi M(X)$ is the motive of the special fiber). It can actually be extended to a monoidal functor

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{HK}}$$
: $\mathrm{DA}_{\mathrm{gr}}(L) \to \mathcal{D}_{(\varphi,N)}(L_0)$

where the category on the right denotes the derived category of (φ, N) -modules over \hat{L}_0 . We will not use this extra structure in what follows.

Also the comparison between crystalline and de Rham cohomology extends to the Hyodo–Kato case as follows (see the references above and [BGV25, Corollary 4.54]).

Theorem 2.9 (The Hyodo–Kato isomorphism). *There is an equivalence between* \mathcal{R}_{dR} *and* $\mathcal{R}_{HK} \otimes_{\hat{L}_0} \hat{L}$, as functors from $DA_{gr}(\hat{L})$ to $\mathcal{D}(\hat{L})$. In particular, for any motive M in $DA_{gr}(L)$, there is a functorial equivalence

$$\mathrm{H}^*_{\mathrm{dR}}(M) \otimes_L \hat{L} \simeq \mathrm{H}^*_{\mathrm{HK}}(M) \otimes_{\hat{L}_0} \hat{L}.$$

Remark 2.10. Note that the left hand side of the equivalence is canonically equipped with its de Rham filtration, while the right hand side of the equivalence has the structure of a (φ, N) -module over \hat{L}_0 . As such, the Hyodo–Kato isomorphism induces a "syntomic" (or "ramified") homological realization (cfr. [DN18])

$$\mathcal{R}_{\operatorname{ram}} \colon \operatorname{DA}_{\operatorname{gr}}(L) \to \operatorname{Fil} \mathcal{D}(L) \times_{\mathcal{D}(\hat{L})} \mathcal{D}_{\varphi,N}(\hat{L}_0)$$

or, forgetting some of the extra structures:

$$\mathcal{R}_{\operatorname{ram}} \colon \operatorname{DA}_{\operatorname{gr}}(L) \to \operatorname{Fil} \mathcal{D}(L) \times_{\mathcal{D}(\hat{L})} \mathcal{D}(\hat{L}_0) \qquad \mathcal{R}_{\operatorname{ram}} \colon \operatorname{DA}_{\operatorname{gr}}(L) \to \mathcal{D}(L) \times_{\mathcal{D}(\hat{L})} \mathcal{D}(\hat{L}_0).$$

Note that in the case L = K, we obviously have $\hat{L}_0 = \hat{K}$, and the realization \mathcal{R}_{ram} coincides with the de Rham realization.

Example 2.11. Pick a motive $M \in DA_{gr}(\hat{L}_0)$. The Hyodo–Kato isomorphism gives an isomorphism of \hat{L}_0 -vector spaces

$$\mathrm{H}^*_{\mathrm{dR}}(M) \simeq \mathrm{H}^*_{\mathrm{HK}}(M).$$

Note also that $\Psi M \simeq \Psi(M_{\hat{L}})$ as objects in DA(l). As such, we obtain natural isomorphisms of \hat{L}_0 -vector spaces

$$\mathrm{H}^*_{\mathrm{dR}}(M) \simeq \mathrm{H}^*_{\mathrm{HK}}(M) \simeq \mathrm{H}^*_{\mathrm{HK}}(M_{\hat{L}}).$$

Suppose now one has also an object $N \in DA_{gr}(L)$ and an isomorphism $N_{\hat{L}} \xrightarrow{\sim} M_{\hat{L}}$, which induces the following commutative square:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{H}^*_{\mathrm{HK}}(M_{\hat{L}}) \otimes_{\hat{L}_0} \hat{L} &\xrightarrow{\hat{L}_0} \mathrm{H}^*_{\mathrm{dR}}(M/\hat{L}_0) \otimes_{\hat{L}_0} \hat{L} \\ &\sim \Big| \hat{L}_0 & & \Big| \sim \\ \mathrm{H}^*_{\mathrm{HK}}(N_{\hat{L}}) \otimes_{\hat{L}_0} \hat{L} &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{H}^*_{\mathrm{dR}}(N/L) \otimes_L \hat{L}) \end{aligned}$$

Using what shown above, the top isomorphism (and not just the left one) descends as an isomorphism between \hat{L}_0 -vector spaces. In particular, the Hyodo–Kato isomorphism for $N_{\hat{L}}$ can be interpreted as an isomorphism between de Rham cohomologies of the two models N and Mof $N_{\hat{L}}$, each one carrying a model over L resp. \hat{L}_0 .

2.2. The Galois equivariant version of the Hyodo-Kato isomorphism. We give now the Galois-equivariant version of the Hyodo-Kato isomorphism from Theorem 2.9. This version is needed to deal with varieties (or, more generally, motives) having potentially good reduction and it will be used in Section 5.

Definition 2.12. Let $DA_{\hat{L}gr}(\hat{K})$ [resp. $DA_{\hat{L}gr}(K)$] be the full subcategory of $DA(\hat{K})$ [resp. of DA(K)] whose objects M are such that $M_{\hat{L}}$ lies in $DA_{gr}(\hat{L})$.

Remark 2.13. The category $DA_{\hat{L}gr}(\hat{K})$ contains $DA_{gr}(\hat{K})$. By étale descent, assuming for simplicity that \hat{L}/\hat{K} is Galois, the former can be described as the category $\mathrm{DA}_{\mathrm{gr}}(\hat{L})^{\mathrm{Gal}(\hat{L}/\hat{K})}$ of motives with good reduction with a Galois-descent datum over \hat{K} (note that $DA_{gr}(\hat{L})$ is Galois-stable in $DA(\hat{L})$).

Definition 2.14. Assume for simplicity that \hat{L}/\hat{K} is Galois. We may define the Hyodo–Kato realization on $DA_{\hat{L}gr}(\hat{K})$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{HK}^{+}} \colon \mathrm{DA}_{\hat{L}\mathrm{gr}}(\hat{K}) \simeq \mathrm{DA}_{\mathrm{gr}}(\hat{L})^{\mathrm{Gal}(\hat{L}/\hat{K})} \to \mathcal{D}(\hat{L}_{0})^{\mathrm{Gal}(\hat{L}/\hat{K})}$$

landing in the category of ${\rm Gal}(\hat{L}/\hat{K})$ -semilinear representations. Note that the base change along $\hat{L}_0 \rightarrow \hat{L}$ defines a functor

$$\mathcal{D}(\hat{L}_0)^{\operatorname{Gal}(\hat{L}/\hat{K})} \to \mathcal{D}(\hat{L})^{\operatorname{Gal}(\hat{L}/\hat{K})} \simeq \mathcal{D}(\hat{K}).$$

Remark 2.15. As in Remark 2.8, we can actually enrich the functor \mathcal{R}_{HK^+} as a functor to the category $\mathcal{D}_{(\omega,N)}(\hat{L}_0)^{\operatorname{Gal}(\hat{L}/\hat{K})}$. We won't use this enrichment in what follows.

We have then the following form of the Hyodo–Kato isomorphism, cfr. [DN18].

Proposition 2.16 (Galois-equivariant Hyodo–Kato isomorphism). Assume that \hat{L}/\hat{K} is Galois. There is a natural transformation between the realization functors

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{dR}} \colon \mathrm{DA}_{\hat{L}\mathrm{gr}}(K) \to \operatorname{Fil} \mathcal{D}(K) \to \mathcal{D}(\hat{K})$$

and

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{HK}^+} \colon \mathrm{DA}_{\hat{L}\mathrm{gr}}(K) \to \mathcal{D}(\hat{L}_0)^{\mathrm{Gal}(\hat{L}/\hat{K})} \to \mathcal{D}(\hat{K})$$

giving rise to a functor

$$\mathcal{R}_{per} \colon \mathrm{DA}_{\hat{L}\mathrm{gr}}(K) \to \mathrm{Fil}\,\mathcal{D}(K) \times_{\mathcal{D}(\hat{K})} \mathcal{D}(\hat{L}_0)^{\mathrm{Gal}(\hat{L}/K)}$$

In particular, for any $M \in DA_{\hat{L}gr}(K)$ there is a $Gal(\hat{L}/\hat{K})$ -semilinear equivariant isomorphism

$$\mathrm{H}^*_{\mathrm{HK}}(M_L) \otimes_{\hat{L}_0} \hat{L} \simeq \mathrm{H}^*_{\mathrm{dR}}(M) \otimes_K \hat{L}.$$

Proof. The functor $\operatorname{RigDA}_{\operatorname{gr}}(\hat{L})$ has descent with respect to unramified extensions of finite valued fields above \hat{K} (by [BGV25, Corollary 4.14]) and its étale sheafification coincides with $\operatorname{RigDA}(-)$ (this is because $\operatorname{RigDA}(C) = \operatorname{RigDA}_{\operatorname{gr}}(C)$ if C is algebraically closed), see [AGV22, Theorems 3.3.3(2), 3.7.21]. Also the functor $\mathcal{D}((-)_0)$ has descent with respect to unramified extensions, and its étale sheafification is, by construction, the functor $\mathcal{D}(K^{nr})^{\operatorname{Gal}(-)}$ where we let $\mathcal{D}(K^{nr})$ be $\operatorname{Ind} \varinjlim_F \operatorname{Perf}(F)$ as F runs through finite unramified extensions of K in \overline{K} , and where $\operatorname{Gal}(K)$ acts via its quotient to $\operatorname{Gal}(k)$. This implies that the étale sheafification induces a functor

$$\operatorname{RigDA}(\hat{K}) \to \mathcal{D}(K^{nr})^{\operatorname{Gal}(K)}$$

which, by construction, restricts (via analytification) to the functor \mathcal{R}_{HK^+} on the category $DA_{\hat{L}gr}(K)$.

After such sheafification, the Hyodo–Kato isomorphism extends canonically to a Galoisequivariant equivalence between \mathcal{R}_{dR} and \mathcal{R}_{HK^+} . When restricted to the category $DA_{\hat{L}gr}(K)$, it gives the content of the statement.

3. RAMIFIED PERIODS

In this section, we define ramified periods based on the Hyodo–Kato comparison isomorphism. We show that they form some obstruction to descending a motive to a smaller field in the case of good reduction.

We keep the notation from Assumption 2.1 and we consider a motive M over L with good reduction at \mathfrak{p} (in the sense of Definition 2.5). As an example, we may consider (the motive of) a smooth projective variety having good reduction at \mathfrak{p} .

Definition 3.1. Let M be a motive in $DA_{gr}(L)$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Consider the identification

$$\operatorname{H}^n_{\operatorname{dR}}(M/L) \otimes_L L \simeq \operatorname{H}^n_{\operatorname{HK}}(M, L_0) \otimes_{\widehat{L}_0} L$$

from Theorem 2.9. Let d be the dimension of the vector spaces in this identification. If one chooses an L-basis of $\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathrm{dR}}(M/L)$ and an \hat{L}_0 -basis of $\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathrm{HK}}(M, \hat{L}_0)$, one can write the coordinates of one basis with respect to the other and get a matrix in $\mathrm{GL}_d(\hat{L})$. Accounting for the choice of bases, we obtain a well-defined double coset

$$\mathcal{P}_{\operatorname{ram}}(M,n) \in \operatorname{GL}_d(L) \setminus \operatorname{GL}_d(\hat{L}) / \operatorname{GL}_d(\hat{L}_0).$$

We call it the n-th ramified period of M.

Remark 3.2. Based on the Betti–de Rham comparison theorem for varieties over
$$\mathbb{Q}$$

$$\mathrm{H}^{i}_{\mathrm{dR}}(X/\mathbb{Q})\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}}\mathbb{C}\simeq\mathrm{H}^{i}_{\mathrm{Betti}}(X(\mathbb{C}),\mathbb{Q})\otimes\mathbb{C}$$

classical periods are defined as the matrix (with entries in \mathbb{C}) of the isomorphism taken with respect to some choice of rational bases on both sides. This matrix is only well defined up to multiplication on the left and on the right by invertible rational matrices. In this setting, one

typically considers the *ring of periods* $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{C}}(X)$ as the \mathbb{Q} -subalgebra of \mathbb{C} generated by all such entries. This is a well defined object independent on the choice of bases.

In our *p*-adic setting, the analogous ring would not be interesting: since the compositum $\hat{L}_0 \cdot L$ coincides with the whole \hat{L} , the ring generated by the entries of $\mathcal{P}_{ram}(M, n)$ would always be the whole of \hat{L} . Even in the classical context, considering the period as a double coset in $\operatorname{GL}_d(\mathbb{Q}) \setminus \operatorname{GL}_d(\mathbb{Q})$ is a finer invariant that has never been considered in the literature (as far as we are aware). In our setting this point of view is needed in order to have a non trivial information. Albeit elementary, this is a crucial observation of this work.

Let us first see that there is a lot of space to have non-trivial periods:

Lemma 3.3. If \hat{L}/\hat{K} is ramified, then for all $d \ge 1$ the double quotient

 $\operatorname{GL}_d(L) \setminus \operatorname{GL}_d(\hat{L}) / \operatorname{GL}_d(\hat{L}_0)$

has cardinality of the continuum.

Proof. If r and s are the dimensions of \hat{L} and \hat{L}_0 as \mathbb{Q}_p -vector spaces, then $\operatorname{GL}_d(\hat{L})$ and $\operatorname{GL}_d(\hat{L}_0)$ are p-adic Lie groups of dimension d^2r and d^2s . In particular, the quotient $\operatorname{GL}_d(\hat{L})/\operatorname{GL}_d(\hat{L}_0)$ is a p-adic analytic variety of dimension $d^2(r-s)$, which is positive because r > s by the hypothesis. One concludes since $\operatorname{GL}_d(L)$ is countable. \Box

Remark 3.4. The space $\operatorname{GL}_d(L) \setminus \operatorname{GL}_d(\hat{L}) / \operatorname{GL}_d(\hat{L}_0)$ does not have a nice topology. As the proof above shows, it has the shape of something like \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Q} . The only information we will use in this paper is whether an element is trivial or not in this double quotient. We do not know yet if finer information can be read off of it.

Remark 3.5. The target category of the realization

$$\mathcal{R}_{per}$$
: $\mathrm{DA}_{\mathrm{gr}}(L) \to \mathcal{D}(L) \times_{\mathcal{D}(\hat{L})} \mathcal{D}(L_0)$

of Remark 2.10 can be written as the category of triples (V, W, α) with $V \in \mathcal{D}(L)$, $W \in \mathcal{D}(\hat{L}_0)$ and $\alpha \colon V_{\hat{L}} \simeq W_{\hat{L}}$, with mapping spaces computed by the fiber of

$$\operatorname{map}(V,V') \oplus \operatorname{map}(W,W') \xrightarrow{\alpha^* - \alpha'_*} \operatorname{map}(V_{\hat{L}},W'_{\hat{L}'}).$$

By trivializing the complexes V and W, any object (V, W, α) is given by a direct sum of shifts of elements α in $\operatorname{GL}(\widehat{K})$ and $\alpha \simeq \beta$ iff $\alpha = A\beta B$ for some $A \in \operatorname{GL}(K)$, $B \in \operatorname{GL}(\widehat{K}_0)$. These matrices correspond to the ramified periods introduced before.

The following shows that ramified periods are an obstruction to descent with good reduction:

Proposition 3.6. Let M be a motive in $DA_{gr}(L)$. If it has a model M_0 in $DA_{gr}(K)$, then the ramified periods $\mathcal{P}_{ram}(M, n)$ are all trivial.

Proof. We note that the essential image of the natural functor $P \mapsto P_L = (P_L, P_{\hat{L}_0})$ from $\mathcal{D}(K) \to \mathcal{D}(L) \times_{\mathcal{D}(\hat{L})} \mathcal{D}(\hat{L}_0)$ lies in the subcategory of matrices α which are trivial in $\operatorname{GL}(L) \setminus \operatorname{GL}(\hat{L}) / \operatorname{GL}(\hat{L}_0)$. By functoriality, the object $\mathcal{R}_{\operatorname{per}}(M_{0L})$ coincides with $\mathcal{R}(M_0)_L$ where now $\mathcal{R}(M_0)$ lies in $\mathcal{D}(K) \times_{\mathcal{D}(\hat{K})} \mathcal{D}(\hat{K}) = \mathcal{D}(K)$.

Remark 3.7. One would like to say that if some ramified period of a motive M with good reduction is non-trivial, then M can not have a model M_0 over K possibly without good reduction. This is not straightforward and a version of this criterion will be proved in Section 5 based on the invariants defined in Section 4.

4. FILTERED RAMIFIED PERIODS

In this section we refine ramified periods by taking into account the Hodge filtration. This will improve Proposition 3.6, at least in some special (but crucial) cases.

We keep notation from Assumption 2.1. Unless otherwise stated, M denotes a motive over the number field L with good reduction at p (in the sense of Definition 2.5).

Definition 4.1. Let V be a finite dimensional \hat{L}_0 -vector space. Let Fil* be a decreasing filtration by \hat{L} -subvector spaces in $V \otimes_{\hat{L}_0} \hat{L}$. We say that Fil* *descends to* V if, for all *i*, we have

$$(\operatorname{Fil}^i \cap V) \otimes_{\hat{L}_0} \hat{L} = \operatorname{Fil}^i$$

Our definition is motivated by the following observation:

Proposition 4.2. For a motive $M \in DA_{gr}(\hat{L})$ and a cohomological degree n, consider the comparison isomorphism

$$\mathrm{H}^{n}_{\mathrm{dR}}(M/\hat{L}) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{n}_{\mathrm{HK}}(M,\hat{L}_{0}) \otimes_{\hat{L}_{0}} \hat{L}$$

from Theorem 2.9. Assume that there exists a motive $N_0 \in DA_{gr}(\hat{L}_0)$, such that $M \simeq N_0 \times_{\hat{L}_0}$ \hat{L} . Then the Hodge filtration descends to the Hyodo-Kato cohomology (and coincides with the Hodge filtration on $H^n_{dR}(N_0/\hat{L}_0)$). In particular, the induced filtration on $H^n_{HK}(M, \hat{L}_0)$ is independent of N_0 .

Proof. By Theorem 2.9 applied to both M/\hat{L} and N_0/\hat{L}_0 , we have a commutative diagram of isomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{H}^{n}_{\mathrm{dR}}(M/\hat{L}) & & \overset{a}{\longrightarrow} \mathrm{H}^{n}_{\mathrm{HK}}(M,\hat{L}_{0}) \otimes_{\hat{L}_{0}} \hat{L} \\ & & \downarrow^{b} & & \downarrow^{c} \\ \mathrm{H}^{n}_{\mathrm{dR}}(N_{0}/\hat{L}_{0}) \otimes_{\hat{L}_{0}} \hat{L} & & \overset{d}{\longrightarrow} \mathrm{H}^{n}_{\mathrm{HK}}(N_{0},\hat{L}_{0}) \otimes_{\hat{L}_{0}} \hat{L} \end{array}$$

(see also Example 2.11). On the one hand, the map b is compatible with the Hodge filtrations. On the other hand, the maps c and d identify the \hat{L}_0 -structures. Hence, the Hodge filtration on $\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathrm{dR}}(N_0/\hat{L}_0)$ defines a filtration on $\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathrm{HK}}(M, \hat{L}_0)$ which induces the Hodge filtration on $\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathrm{dR}}(M/\hat{L})$.

Note that, contrary to Proposition 3.6, the criterion given above is local (at \mathfrak{p}) and gives an obstruction to the existence of a model (with good reduction) over \hat{L}_0 .

Definition 4.3. Assume that there exists a motive with good reduction $N_0 \in DA_{gr}(\hat{L}_0)$ such that $M_{\hat{L}} \simeq (N_0)_{\hat{L}}$. We can consider the filtration on $H^n_{HK}(M, \hat{L}_0)$ induced by Proposition 4.2, and define P_{HK} to be the parabolic group of automorphisms of $H^n_{HK}(M, \hat{L}_0)$ respecting this filtration. Similarly, P_{dR} is the parabolic group of automorphisms of $H^n_{dR}(M/L)$ respecting the Hodge filtration.

Remark 4.4. In general, the Hyodo–Kato cohomology (of a motive over \hat{L}) is *not* canonically equipped with a Hodge filtration. In the above definition, it is crucial to have an *algebraic* model over \hat{L}_0 with good reduction.

Remark 4.5. Under the assumption of Definition 4.3, the identification Theorem 2.9

$$\mathrm{H}^{n}_{\mathrm{dR}}(M/L) \otimes_{L} \tilde{L} \simeq \mathrm{H}^{n}_{\mathrm{HK}}(M, \tilde{L}_{0}) \otimes_{\hat{L}_{0}} \tilde{L}$$

induces an identification of algebraic groups

$$(4.6) P_{\mathrm{dR}} \times_L \hat{L} \simeq P_{\mathrm{HK}} \times_{\hat{L}_0} \hat{L}$$

Definition 4.7. We keep the assumptions of Definition 4.3, and we fix $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. If one chooses an L-basis of $\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathrm{dR}}(M/L)$ adapted to the Hodge filtration and a \hat{L}_0 -basis of $\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathrm{HK}}(M, \hat{L}_0)$ adapted to the filtration induced by Proposition 4.2, one can write the coordinates of one basis with respect to the other and get a matrix in $P_{\mathrm{dR}}(\hat{L}) = P_{\mathrm{HK}}(\hat{L})$ (by (4.6)). In particular, the identification (2.9) gives a well-defined element

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{Fil}}(M,n) \in P_{\mathrm{dR}}(L) \setminus P_{\mathrm{dR}}(\hat{L}) / P_{\mathrm{HK}}(\hat{L}_0)$$

which does not depend on the choice of the bases.

As in Proposition 3.6 and Proposition 4.2, we can easily deduce the following obstruction.

Proposition 4.8. Under the assumptions of Definition 4.3, if $M \in DA_{gr}(L)$ has a model M_0 in $DA_{gr}(K)$, then the ramified periods $\mathcal{P}_{Fil}(M, n)$ are all trivial.

This is not yet the obstruction we want, as M_0 is still assumed to have good reduction. We will overcome this in Section 5.

5. AN OBSTRUCTION TO DESCENT

In this section we improve Proposition 3.6 and Proposition 4.8, at least in some special (but crucial) cases. The obstruction to descent we prove is based on filtered ramified periods (Definition 4.7).

We keep notation from Assumption 2.1 and fix a motive M over the number field L with good reduction modulo \mathfrak{p} (in the sense of Definition 2.5), such as the motive of a smooth variety with good reduction at \mathfrak{p} .

Definition 5.1. We say that the motive M is minimal in cohomological degree n

if the smallest nonzero subspace of the Hodge filtration on $H^n_{dR}(M)$ is of dimension one.

Example 5.2. If A is an abelian variety of dimension g, the motive $H^g(A)$ is of dimension $(2g)!/(g!)^2$ and is minimal (in cohomological degree g).

Definition 5.3. Assume that the motive M is minimal (in cohomological degree n) and that there exists a motive N_0 defined over \hat{L}_0 with good reduction such that

$$M \times_L \hat{L} \simeq N_0 \times_{\hat{L}_0} \hat{L}$$

We define the *minimal period* of M (in cohomological degree n) as the element

$$\mathcal{P}_{\min}(M,n) \in L^{\times} \backslash \hat{L}^{\times} / \hat{L}_0^{\times}$$

induced by $\mathcal{P}_{Fil}(M)$ by restricting to the smallest nonzero subspace of the Hodge filtration.

Theorem 5.4. Suppose that the extension L/K is Galois and totally ramified at q. Let $M \in DA_{gr}(L)$ be minimal in cohomological degree n, and assume the following:

- (1) There exists a motive $N_0 \in DA_{gr}(\hat{K})$ and an isomorphism $M \times_L \hat{L} \simeq N_0 \times_{\hat{K}} \hat{L}$.
- (2) There exists a motive $M_0 \in DA(K)$ and an isomorphism $M \simeq M_0 \times_K L$.

Then the minimal period $\mathcal{P}_{\min}(M, n)$ of M is trivial.

Remark 5.5. We point out that the second condition does *not* imply the first: indeed M_0 may not have good reduction, whereas N_0 does. Note also that the existence of an *analytic* model of M^{an} in $\operatorname{RigDA}_{\operatorname{gr}}(\hat{K})$ is automatic from the equivalences $M^{\text{an}} \in \operatorname{RigDA}_{\operatorname{gr}}(\hat{L}) \simeq \operatorname{RigDA}_{\operatorname{gr}}(\hat{K})$.

Proof. Let G be the Galois group of the extension L/K. It coincides with the decomposition group $\operatorname{Gal}(\hat{L}/\hat{K})$. Consider the comparison isomorphism

(5.6)
$$\operatorname{H}^{n}_{\mathrm{dR}}(M/L) \otimes_{L} \hat{L} \simeq \operatorname{H}^{n}_{\mathrm{HK}}(M, \hat{K}) \otimes_{\hat{K}} \hat{L}$$

from Theorem 2.9. The existence of M_0 implies that both sides of (5.6) are equipped with a *G*-semilinear action compatible with the isomorphism; see Proposition 2.16. Both sides are equipped with a filtration (see Proposition 4.2) and the isomorphism is filtered.

Since the Galois action respects the Hodge filtration and the Hyodo–Kato \hat{K} -structure, the isomorphism (5.6) induces G-equivariant isomorphisms for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$

(5.7)
$$\operatorname{Fil}^{i}(\operatorname{H}^{n}_{\operatorname{dR}}(M/L)) \otimes_{L} \hat{L} \simeq \operatorname{Fil}^{i}(\operatorname{H}^{n}_{\operatorname{HK}}(M,\hat{K})) \otimes_{\hat{K}} \hat{L}.$$

In particular, since M is minimal in cohomological degree n, by considering the smallest nonzero piece of the Hodge filtration, we obtain a pair of lines $\ell_{dR} \subset H^n_{dR}(M, L)$ and $\ell_{HK} \subset H^n_{HK}(M, \hat{K})$ together with a G-equivariant isomorphism

$$\ell_{\mathrm{dR}} \otimes_L \hat{L} = \ell_{\mathrm{HK}} \otimes_{\hat{K}} \hat{L}.$$

On the one hand, by Galois descent for vector spaces, there is a *K*-vector space *T* of dimension one endowed with the trivial action of *G* such that $\ell_{dR} = T \otimes_K L$ as *G*-representations. On the other hand, there is a character χ of *G* such that the isotypical component $(\ell_{HK} \otimes_{\hat{K}} \hat{L})^{G,\chi}$ is ℓ_{HK} . Hence, a vector in $(T \otimes_K L)^{G,\chi} \subset \ell_{dR}$ is sent to ℓ_{HK} , which proves that the minimal period $\mathcal{P}_{\min}(M, n)$ is trivial.

6. HYPERELLIPTIC CURVES

In this section we give examples of hyperelliptic curves over $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})$ that are isomorphic to their conjugate and such that their Jacobian does not descend to \mathbb{Q} . The obstruction to descent will be provided by Theorem 5.4.

The Galois conjugate of $a \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})$ will be denoted by \bar{a} . For any nonzero t in some field k we write C_t for the hyperelliptic curve over k of genus g defined by the affine equation

$$\mathcal{C}_t: y^2 = x^{2g+2} - t$$

Theorem 6.1. Let g be a natural number and p be a prime number such that both are congruent to 1 modulo 4 and p does not divide g + 1. Let $v \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})$ be such that its norm $N_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})/\mathbb{Q}}(v)$ equals -1. Let $b \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})$ be such that $v^{g+1} = b/\overline{b}$. Define $a \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})$ as an element of p-adic valuation zero and of the form $a = b^2 \cdot p^n$ for some integer n. Then the hyperelliptic curve C_a is isomorphic to its Galois conjugate $C_{\overline{a}}$, but there is no abelian variety A over \mathbb{Q} such that the Jacobian $J(C_a)$ is isogenous to $A \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})$.

Remark 6.2. The equation $N_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})/\mathbb{Q}}(v) = -1$ is a Pell equation with infinitely many solutions, as p is congruent to 1 modulo 4, see [Mor69, p. 55]. Furthermore, since g is odd, v^{g+1} has norm 1, so by Hilbert 90, there is an element $b \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})$ such that $v^{g+1} = b/\bar{b}$. From the construction, we get the equality $v^{2g+2} = a/\bar{a}$, therefore, there are infinitely many possible values for a to which the statement of the theorem applies. This justifies the "infinitely many" assertion of Theorem 1.1.

Proof. From the construction, we have the relation $v^{2g+2} = a/\bar{a}$. It implies that the morphism

$$(x,y) \mapsto (vx, v^{g+1}y)$$

induces an isomorphism between C_a and $C_{\bar{a}}$.

To show that an abelian variety as in the statement cannot exist, we use the obstruction coming from Theorem 5.4 based on the notion of minimal period (Definition 5.3). First, in Proposition 6.3, we show that $C_a \times \mathbb{Q}_p(\sqrt{p})$ has a model over \mathbb{Q}_p with good reduction. Moreover, we also compute the minimal period of the motive $M := \wedge^g H^1(\mathcal{C}_a) \simeq H^g(J(\mathcal{C}_a))$. Then we show that the period is non-trivial in Proposition 6.5 (as g(g+1)/2 is odd according to the hypothesis on g). Finally, we conclude that the Jacobian $J(\mathcal{C}_a)$ is not isogeneous to an abelian variety defined over \mathbb{Q} invoking Theorem 5.4. **Proposition 6.3.** Let a, p, g be as in Theorem 6.1. There exists an integer $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that a and c are congruent modulo p.

The curve C_c is defined over \mathbb{Q}_p (and even over \mathbb{Q}), it has good reduction and satisfies

$$\mathcal{C}_c \times_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p(\sqrt{p}) \cong \mathcal{C}_a \times_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})} \mathbb{Q}_p(\sqrt{p}).$$

Moreover, there is an $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}_p(\sqrt{p})$ such that $\alpha^{2g+2} = a/c$. For such an α , the minimal period (Definition 5.3) of the motive $M := \wedge^g \operatorname{H}^1(\mathcal{C}_a)$ is given by

$$\mathcal{P}_{\min}(M) = \alpha^{g(g+1)/2}.$$

Proof. As the residue field of $\mathbb{Q}_p(\sqrt{p})$ is \mathbb{F}_p the existence of c follows. Since a is nonzero modulo p, so is c and the curve C_c has good reduction.

Since a/c equals 1 modulo p, the equation $\alpha^{2g+2} = a/c$ can be solved by Hensel's Lemma, since we assumed that p and 2g + 2 are coprime. The map

$$\phi \colon \mathcal{C}_c \to \mathcal{C}_a$$
 given by $(x, y) \mapsto (\alpha^{-1}x, \alpha^{-(g+1)}y)$

induces an isomorphism between C_c and C_a over $\mathbb{Q}_p(\sqrt{p})$. Notice that the former is defined over \mathbb{Q}_p (and even over \mathbb{Q}) and it has good reduction as c is an invertible integer modulo p. In particular, the Hyodo-Kato¹ cohomology of C_a is identified with the de Rham cohomology of C_c and we can compute the period $\mathcal{P}_{\min}(M)$ through this identification. More precisely, from Example 2.11 we have a commutative square of isomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{H}^{1}_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathcal{C}_{a}/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p}))\otimes\mathbb{Q}_{p}(\sqrt{p}) & \stackrel{\mathcal{P}_{a}}{\longrightarrow} \mathrm{H}^{1}_{\mathrm{HK}}(\mathcal{C}_{a},\mathbb{Q}_{p})\otimes\mathbb{Q}_{p}(\sqrt{p}) \\ & & \downarrow^{\phi^{*}_{\mathrm{HK}}} \\ \mathrm{H}^{1}_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathcal{C}_{c}/\mathbb{Q}_{p})\otimes\mathbb{Q}_{p}(\sqrt{p}) & \stackrel{\mathcal{P}_{c}}{\longrightarrow} \mathrm{H}^{1}_{\mathrm{HK}}(\mathcal{C}_{c},\mathbb{Q}_{p})\otimes\mathbb{Q}_{p}(\sqrt{p}) \end{array}$$

Since \mathcal{P}_c and ϕ_{HK}^* are defined over \mathbb{Q}_p , the minimal period $\mathcal{P}_{\min}(M)$ is the determinant of the isomorphism induced by ϕ_{dR}^* :

(6.4)
$$\operatorname{Fil}^{1} \operatorname{H}^{1}_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathcal{C}_{a}/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})} \mathbb{Q}_{p}(\sqrt{p}) \simeq \operatorname{Fil}^{1} \operatorname{H}^{1}_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathcal{C}_{c}/\mathbb{Q}_{p}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} \mathbb{Q}_{p}(\sqrt{p}),$$

viewed as an element in $\mathbb{Q}_p^{\times} \setminus \mathbb{Q}_p(\sqrt{p})^{\times} / \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})^{\times}$.

A basis of $\operatorname{Fil}^1 \operatorname{H}^1_{\mathrm{dR}}$ is given by the classes of the differential forms $x^i dx/y$ for *i* between 0 and g-1. With respect to this choice of bases, the map (6.4) is diagonal with coefficients α^{g-i} , again for *i* between 0 and g-1. Taking the determinant gives the desired formula.

Proposition 6.5. For α as in Proposition 6.3 and d an odd integer, the class of α^d in $\mathbb{Q}_p^{\times} \setminus \mathbb{Q}_p(\sqrt{p})^{\times} / \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})^{\times}$ is non-trivial.

Proof. By contradiction, suppose one can write

$$\alpha^d = \beta(x + \sqrt{py})$$

with $\beta \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{Q}$. Raising to the power 2g + 2 gives the relation

$$a^d/(c^d(x+\sqrt{py})^{2g+2}) = \beta^{2g+2}.$$

Since the left hand side is in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})$ and the right hand side in \mathbb{Q}_p and $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p}) \cap \mathbb{Q}_p = \mathbb{Q}$, we deduce $a^d/(c^d(x+\sqrt{p}y)^{2g+2}) \in \mathbb{Q}$. In particular, as $c \in \mathbb{Q}$, we can write

$$a^d = rw^{2g+2}$$

for some $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $w \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})$. Hence, we obtain the equality

$$(a/\bar{a})^d = (w/\bar{w})^{2g+2}.$$

¹same as crystalline in this case

From the hypothesis of Theorem 6.1, we have the relation $v^{2g+2} = a/\bar{a}$, which implies

$$(v^d)^{2g+2} = (w/\bar{w})^{2g+2}.$$

Since v and w belong to $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})$, they are real numbers, so the above equality reduces to

$$v^d = \pm (w/\bar{w}).$$

However, by construction, v has norm -1, and since d is odd, the same holds for v^d . Meanwhile, any number of the form $\pm w/\bar{w}$ has norm 1, leading to the desired contradiction.

REFERENCES

- [AGV22] Joseph Ayoub, Martin Gallauer, and Alberto Vezzani. The six-functor formalism for rigid analytic motives. *Forum Math. Sigma*, 10:Paper No. e61, 182, 2022.
- [Ayo07] Joseph Ayoub. Les six opérations de Grothendieck et le formalisme des cycles évanescents dans le monde motivique. II. *Astérisque*, (315):vi+364, 2007.
- [Ayo20] Joseph Ayoub. Nouvelles cohomologies de Weil en caractéristique positive. *Algebra Number Theory*, 14(7):1747–1790, 2020.
- [Bei13] A. Beilinson. On the crystalline period map. *Camb. J. Math.*, 1(1):1–51, 2013.
- [Ber74] Pierre Berthelot. Cohomologie cristalline des schémas de caractéristique p > 0. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 407. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1974.
- [BGV25] Federico Binda, Martin Gallauer, and Alberto Vezzani. Motivic monodromy and *p*-adic cohomology theories. *JEMS*, To appear, 2025.
- [BKV22] Federico Binda, Hiroki Kato, and Alberto Vezzani. On the p-adic weight-monodromy conjecture for complete intersections in toric varieties. *arXiv:2207.00369*, 2022.
- [Bre23] Giulio Bresciani. The field of moduli of plane curves. arXiv:2303.01454, 2023.
- [CN20] Pierre Colmez and Wiesł awa Nizioł. On *p*-adic comparison theorems for rigid analytic varieties, I. *Münster J. Math.*, 13(2):445–507, 2020.
- [DN18] Frédéric Déglise and Wiesława Nizioł. On *p*-adic absolute Hodge cohomology and syntomic coefficients. I. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 93(1):71–131, 2018.
- [EY25] Veronika Ertl and Kazuki Yamada. Rigid analytic reconstruction of Hyodo–Kato theory. *arXiv:1907.10964*, 2025.
- [FFG24] Francesc Fité, Enric Florit, and Xavier Guitart. Abelian varieties genuinely of GL_n -type. arXiv:2412.21183, 2024.
- [HK94] Osamu Hyodo and Kazuya Kato. Semi-stable reduction and crystalline cohomology with logarithmic poles. In *Périodes p-adiques (Bures-sur-Yvette, 1988)*, number 223 in Astérisque, pages 221–268. 1994.
- [Hug07] Bonnie Huggins. Fields of moduli of hyperelliptic curves. *Mathematical research letters*, 14(2):249–262, 2007.
- [Mes91] Jean-François Mestre. Construction de courbes de genre 2 à partir de leurs modules. In *Effective methods in algebraic geometry*, pages 313–334. Springer, 1991.
- [Mor69] L. J. Mordell. *Diophantine equations*, volume Vol. 30 of *Pure and Applied Mathematics*. Academic Press, London-New York, 1969.
- [Shi72] Goro Shimura. On the field of rationality for an abelian variety. *Nagoya Mathematical Journal*, 45:167–178, 1972.