

ON THE EXISTENCE OF NEHARI GROUND STATES FOR THE NONLINEAR SCHRÖDINGER EQUATION ON DISCRETE GRAPHS

SETENAY AKDUMAN, MATTHIAS HOFMANN, SEDEF KARAKILIÇ

In memory of Alexander Pankov

ABSTRACT. We study standing waves for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation on a discrete graph. We characterize for a self-adjoint realizations of Schrödinger operators conditions related with the geometry of the graph that guarantee discreteness of the spectrum and study ground states on the generalized Nehari manifold in order to prove the existence of standing wave solutions in the self-focusing and defocusing case. In this context, we show properties of the solutions, such as integrability. Finally, we discuss decay properties of solutions and the bifurcation of solutions from the trivial solution.

Keywords: Discrete graph, Nonlinear Schrödinger equation, generalized Nehari manifold.

1. INTRODUCTION

The investigation of stability of nonlinear optical systems has attracted significant interest in the past few decades. For instance, the soliton dynamics in Kerr waveguides arrays can be shown to be effectively described by a discrete nonlinear Schrödinger (NLS) equation of the type

$$i \frac{dA_n}{dz} + \beta A_n + C(A_{n+1} + A_{n-1}) + \gamma |A_n|^2 A_n = 0.$$

For a survey on Kerr waveguides, we refer to [11]. Of particular interest are solutions that arise as standing waves of the form

$$A_n(t) = e^{i\lambda t} u_n,$$

which results in the stationary discrete NLS equation

$$\beta u_n + C(u_{n+1} + u_{n-1}) + \gamma |u_n|^2 u_n = 0.$$

The existence of solutions to such equations and their stability was previously studied in [17]. In [7], the connection between the existence of solutions with specific properties and the spectrum on infinite graphs is investigated. In [15], the existence of nontrivial exponentially decaying solutions to periodic stationary discrete NLS equations was given. In a setting where the potential is unbounded, some elementary existence results for standing wave solutions of discrete NLS equations were shown in [22, 16, 14].

In the following, we will investigate in a similar spirit the existence of solutions to the NLS equation on combinatorial graphs, and characterize several geometric assumptions that allow us to recover questions regarding the existence of solitons. We plan to address these questions for graphs with finite and infinite measures. In this context, we extend the spectral theory from [5], developed for graphs with finite measure, to graphs with infinite measure, with the key results being

- continuous and compact imbeddings of the energy space to weighted ℓ_m^p -spaces for $p \in [1, \infty]$;

Acknowledgements. Setenay Akduman is grateful to Ognjen Milatovic and Stephen Shipman for interesting discussions.

- development of conditions on the potential in order to guarantee the discreteness of the spectrum.

The obtained results contribute to the development of a unified and extensive framework for graphs with both finite and infinite measures, enabling us to apply the critical point theory (see [20]) to the NLS energy functional on graphs via the generalized Nehari manifold approach to investigate

- the existence of solutions to the NLS equation,
- integrability properties of solutions,
- relations to decay properties of eigenfunctions of Schrödinger operators,
- bifurcation of solutions from the trivial solution.

Similar results were achieved previously in the metric graph case [1] based on spectral theoretical results established in [2] for infinitely growing potential. In the related paper, the authors showed that the growth assumption on the potential is, in fact, a necessary and sufficient condition for the discreteness of the spectrum. In the domain case, this was shown in [13]. A novelty in our considered setting is the inclusion of geometric conditions in the framework to obtain results, and we conjecture that similar phenomena could be observed in the continuous case as well.

The article here adapts the method from [1] in the discrete graph setting. Since the original method was strongly dependent on results from the domain case, let us summarize a few notable differences:

- a spectral theoretical framework needed to be developed in order to include a large class of graphs in one unified approach;
- the integrability of solutions in the metric graph case is related to the exponential growth of a graph. In the discrete case, this phenomenon is replaced by a volume growth assumption;
- results from the domain case can not as easily be adapted in this case, and the assumptions accordingly changed to account for this.

Our article is structured as follows. In Section 2, we summarize the framework and the main results including the existence and bifurcation results. In Section 3, we develop the spectral-theoretic results for the proofs of the main results. The remaining sections are dedicated to the proofs of the main results.

2. FORMULATION OF PROBLEM AND MAIN RESULTS

2.1. Setting the stage. Let \mathcal{V} be an infinite countable set and $m : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ define a measure on \mathcal{V} via

$$m(A) := \sum_{x \in A} m(x),$$

for any subset A of \mathcal{V} . We say that a set A of \mathcal{V} has finite measure if $m(A) < \infty$ and say that m is a finite measure if $m(\mathcal{V}) < \infty$. We assume that the graph is a weighted graph Γ over the measure space (\mathcal{V}, m) (see e.g. [5], [8] and [10]). More precisely; Γ is determined by a pair (b, c) consisting of two maps; the edge weight $b : \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and the killing term $c : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfying the following properties:

Assumption 2.1 (Assumptions on the edge weights.).

- (b_0) (vanishing on the diagonal) $b(x, x) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{V}$
- (b_1) (symmetry) $b(x, y) = b(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{V}$,
- (b_2) (summability) for all $x \in \mathcal{V}$, we have $\sum_{y \sim x} b(x, y) < \infty$, where $y \sim x$ if and only if x and y are adjacent; that is, $b(x, y) > 0$,

These conditions allow us to define an essentially self-adjoint operator in terms of quadratic forms as in [9].

Let ℓ_m^p stand for the Banach space of all $u : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\|u\|_{\ell_m^p}^p = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{V}} m(x) |u(x)|^p < \infty,$$

for $p \in [1, \infty)$ and ℓ_m^2 be a real Hilbert space with the inner product

$$(u, v)_m = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{V}} m(x) u(x) v(x).$$

If $m \equiv 1$, we drop the index m in the notation of these spaces. ℓ^∞ denotes the space of bounded functions on \mathcal{V} endowed with the sup-norm

$$\|u\|_\infty := \sup_{x \in \mathcal{V}} |u(x)|.$$

For any function $u : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the m -Laplacian of u is defined as

$$\Delta u(x) = \frac{1}{m(x)} \sum_{y \sim x} b(x, y) (u(y) - u(x)).$$

We use the notation $C_c(\mathcal{V})$ for the vector space of finitely supported functions on \mathcal{V} . Let $H^1(\mathcal{V})$ be the completion of $C_c(\mathcal{V})$ under the norm

$$\|u\|_{H^1}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x, y \in \mathcal{V}, y \sim x} b(x, y) (u(y) - u(x))^2 + \sum_{x \in \mathcal{V}} m(x) u^2(x).$$

Clearly, $H^1(\mathcal{V})$ is a Hilbert space with the inner product

$$(u, v)_{H^1} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x, y \in \mathcal{V}, y \sim x} b(x, y) (u(y) - u(x))(v(y) - v(x)) + \sum_{x \in \mathcal{V}} m(x) u(x) v(x),$$

for each $u, v \in H^1(\mathcal{V})$.

First we introduce the operator L_0 in ℓ_m^2 with domain $D(L_0) = C_c(\mathcal{V})$ defined by

$$L_0 u(x) = -\Delta u(x) + V(x) u(x),$$

for each vertex $x \in \mathcal{V}$, where $V(x) := \frac{c(x) + m(x)}{m(x)} : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow [1, \infty)$. Applying the discrete analogue of the Green's formula (see Proposition 3.3 in [9]), one can show that L_0 is a symmetric operator. Moreover, one easily verifies that $L_0 \geq I$, where I is the identity operator on ℓ_m^2 .

From [21, Theorem X.23], if q_0 is the quadratic form associated to the operator L_0 defined by $q_0(u) = (L_0 u, u)$, $u \in D(L_0)$ then q_0 is closable and one can obtain that

$$q_0(u) \geq \|u\|_{\ell_m^2}^2,$$

for each $u \in D(L_0)$. Let us denote the closure of q_0 by q with the domain $D(q)$ which consists of all u in $H^1(\mathcal{V})$ such that

$$q(u) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x, y \in \mathcal{V}, y \sim x} b(x, y) (u(y) - u(x))^2 + \sum_{x \in \mathcal{V}} m(x) V(x) u^2(x) < \infty,$$

that is,

$$E := D(q) = \{u \in H^1(\mathcal{V}) : V^{1/2} u \in \ell_m^2\}$$

$$= \{u \in H^1(\mathcal{V}) : \sum_{x \in \mathcal{V}} c(x)u^2(x) < \infty\}$$

with the norm

$$\|u\|_E^2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x,y \in \mathcal{V}, x \sim y} b(x,y)(u(y) - u(x))^2 + \sum_{x \in \mathcal{V}} m(x)V(x)u^2(x).$$

E is also a Hilbert space with the inner product

$$(u, v)_E = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x,y \in \mathcal{V}, x \sim y} b(x,y)(u(y) - u(x))(v(y) - v(x)) + \sum_{x \in \mathcal{V}} m(x)V(x)u(x)v(x),$$

for each $u, v \in E$ with induced norm $\|u\|_E = q(u)^{1/2}$. Let L be the Friedrichs extension corresponding to the symmetric, coercive bilinear form q . The operator L is defined on the domain

$$D(L) = \{u \in D(q) \mid Lu \in \ell_m^2\},$$

and contained in the domain of $D(q)$.

Now, we formulate the assumptions on the potential that will guarantee the spectrum's discreteness for the operator L .

Definition 2.2. *We say that a subset \mathcal{K} of \mathcal{V} is a canonically compactifiable subset if*

$$\begin{aligned} r_{\mathcal{K}} : u &\mapsto \chi_{\mathcal{K}}u \\ E &\rightarrow \ell^\infty, \end{aligned}$$

is a continuous operator, where $\chi_{\mathcal{K}}$ denotes the characteristic function on \mathcal{K} . We write in this case $\mathcal{K} \Subset \mathcal{V}$.

This generalizes a concept introduced in [5]:

Definition 2.3. *The graph $\Gamma = (\mathcal{V}, b, c)$ is canonically compactifiable if E imbeds continuously into ℓ^∞ .*

Throughout the paper, we assume:

Assumption 2.4 (growth assumption on the potential). *We assume*

$$\sup_{\mathcal{K} \Subset \mathcal{V}, m(\mathcal{K}) < \infty} \inf_{x \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \mathcal{K}} V(x) = \infty. \quad (2.1)$$

Remark 2.5. A necessary and sufficient condition for the discreteness of the spectrum was shown for example in [8, Theorem 20] or [6, Lemma 2.2]. Therein conditions for a compact imbedding $E \subset \ell_m^2$ were considered as well as for the discreteness of the spectrum of the discrete Laplacian under stricter assumptions than the ones considered here.

Under Assumption 2.4, we have $E \hookrightarrow \ell^\infty$ and we will see in section 3.1 that the assumptions guarantee the discreteness of the spectrum of $\sigma(L)$. We denote the eigenvalues of L by

$$0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots,$$

where the eigenvalues are counted with their multiplicities, meaning that any eigenvalue appears as many times as its algebraic multiplicity indicates. Let us define the (closed) subspaces generated by the eigenvectors with eigenvalues $< \lambda$, $= \lambda$ and $> \lambda$ which are denoted by E^- , E^0 and E^+ , respectively:

$$E^- = \bigoplus_{\{n: \lambda_n < \lambda\}} \ker(L - \lambda_n I), \quad E^0 = \ker(L - \lambda I), \quad E^+ = \bigoplus_{\{n: \lambda_n > \lambda\}} \ker(L - \lambda_n I).$$

By the spectral decomposition theorem we have $E = E^- \oplus E^0 \oplus E^+$.

2.2. Formulation of the problem. In the present paper, we consider the discrete NLS equations

$$-\Delta u(x) + V(x)u(x) - \lambda u(x) = \kappa f(x, u(x)), \quad x \in \mathcal{V}, \quad (2.2)$$

where u is a real-valued function on \mathcal{V} , $\kappa = 1$ (self-focusing) or $\kappa = -1$ (defocusing), λ is a real parameter, and the Laplacian is defined by

$$\Delta u(x) = \frac{1}{m(x)} \sum_{y \sim x} b(x, y)(u(y) - u(x)).$$

We also assume:

Assumption 2.6 (Assumptions on the nonlinearity). *We assume*

- (f₁) $u \mapsto f(x, u)$ is a measurable, continuous function and $f(x, 0) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{V}$.
- (f₂) For all $x \in \mathcal{V}$,

$$|f(x, u)| \leq \mu(R)|u|$$

whenever $|u| \leq R$, where $\mu(R)$ is non-decreasing, $\mu(R) > 0$ if $R > 0$, and $\mu(R) \rightarrow 0 = \mu(0)$ as $R \rightarrow 0$.

- (f₃) The function $f(x, u)/|u|$ (extended by 0 to $u = 0$) is strictly increasing.
- (f₄) $F(x, u)/u^2 \rightarrow \infty$ as $|u| \rightarrow \infty$ for all $x \in \mathcal{V}$, where

$$F(x, u) = \int_0^u f(x, s) ds.$$

Our goal is to use the method in [20] under our assumptions to prove the existence of solutions of (2.2). Let us emphasize the flexibility in the approach. The method allows the treatment of the focusing and defocusing case and is not limited to the case, when local minimizers exist.

We will study (2.2) via the critical points of the functionals

$$J_\lambda(u) = \frac{1}{2}q_\lambda(u) - \kappa \sum_{x \in \mathcal{V}} m(x)F(x, u(x)), \quad (2.3)$$

where the quadratic form q_λ is defined by

$$q_\lambda(u) = q(u) - \lambda(u, u)_m = q(u) - \lambda \|u\|_{\ell_m^2}^2$$

on the space E .

Proposition 2.7. *Let $\Gamma = (\mathcal{V}, b, c)$ be canonically compactifiable, then the functional J_λ is of class C^1 on the energy space E and its derivative $\nabla J_\lambda(u)$, $u \in E$, as a linear functional on E , is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla J_\lambda(u)v &= q_\lambda(u, v) - \kappa \sum_{x \in \mathcal{V}} m(x)f(x, u(x))v(x) \\ &= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{V}} (\Delta u(x) + V(x)u(x))v(x) - \kappa \sum_{x \in \mathcal{V}} m(x)f(x, u(x))v(x), \quad \forall v \in E \end{aligned}$$

via $\kappa = 1$ and $\kappa = -1$.

An immediate consequence is that the critical points of J_λ characterize the solutions to (2.2).

Corollary 2.8. *Let $\Gamma = (\mathcal{V}, b, c)$ be canonically compactifiable. $u \in E$ is a critical point of J_λ , i.e. $\nabla J_\lambda(u) = 0$ if and only if $u \in E$ solves (2.2).*

2.3. Main results. We can now introduce our main results on the existence and bifurcation of solutions of (2.2):

Theorem 2.9. *Let $\Gamma = (\mathcal{V}, b, c)$ be canonically compactifiable.*

- (a) *For the case $\kappa = +1$, the problem (2.2) has a nontrivial solution $u \in E$. If, in addition, $f(x, s)$ is odd with respect to s , then there exist infinitely many pairs of nontrivial solutions.*
- (b) *For the case $\kappa = -1$ and $\lambda > \lambda_1$, there exists a nontrivial solution of the problem (2.2) in E . If, in addition, the nonlinearity is odd and $\lambda > \lambda_n$, then the problem has at least N pairs of nontrivial solutions, where*

$$N = \sum_{k=1}^n \dim \ker(L - \lambda_k I).$$

- (c) *For the case $\kappa = -1$ and $\lambda \leq \lambda_1$, the problem (2.2) has no nontrivial solution in E .*

Remark 2.10. We apply the critical point theory for J_λ in order to prove the existence of critical points (see Appendix A). Let us now very briefly summarize the approach. If we define $F = E^- \oplus E^0$ and for $u \in E \setminus F$ we consider the minimax problem

$$c_\lambda := c = \inf_{w \in E \setminus F} \max_{\substack{u=v+tw \\ v \in F, t \in \mathbb{R}}} J_\lambda(u).$$

Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.9, we will construct a critical point $u_\lambda \in E$ of J_λ for which $J_\lambda(u_\lambda) = c_\lambda$ attains the critical level (see Theorem A.1 and Remark A.2). We henceforth refer to u_λ as the *ground critical point* of J_λ .

We continue the section with a result, which is an immediate consequence of the better Sobolev imbedding, which we will discuss in Proposition 3.8.

Corollary 2.11. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.9, if*

$$\inf_{\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{V}, m(\mathcal{K}) < \infty} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \mathcal{K}} \frac{(m(x))^2}{m(x) + c(x)} < \infty \quad (2.4)$$

holds, then the solutions of (2.2) are in ℓ_m^p for all $p \in [1, \infty]$.

Remark 2.12. Note that only the case $p \in [1, 2)$ requires the additional assumption (2.4) (see section 3.2).

Remark 2.13. In [4], the authors introduced an Agmon-type distance function governing the decay of eigenfunctions to discrete eigenvalue problems. In particular, decay estimates for the eigenvectors of the discrete Schrödinger operator (c.f. [18]).

In this article, we will not investigate the decay of solutions further. However, let us highlight how decay estimates can be used to show decay rates for the eigenfunctions of Schrödinger operators. Let us define for $u \in D(L)$

$$V_0(x) := \frac{\kappa f(x, u(x))}{u(x)},$$

then by (f_2) we have $V_0 \in \ell^\infty$. Then an immediate consequence of the Kato–Rellich theorem is that $\mathfrak{L} = L + V_0$ is a relatively compact perturbation of the operator L and the spectrum $\sigma(\mathfrak{L})$ is purely discrete, provided that $\sigma(L)$ is discrete. If $u \in D(L)$ is a solution of (2.2), then it is an eigenfunction of \mathfrak{L} . In particular, such decay estimates will be inherited for the solutions of (2.2).

In the next result, we discuss the behavior of solutions as $\lambda \notin \sigma(L)$ approaches an eigenvalue. In this context, we will obtain estimates on $\|u_\lambda\|_E$ for the ground critical points u_λ in (2.3) depending on the distance to the spectrum

$$\delta(\lambda) := \text{dist}(\lambda, \sigma(L)).$$

Theorem 2.14. *Let $\Gamma = (\mathcal{V}, b, c)$ be canonically compactifiable. Suppose for some $q > 2$, $p \geq 2$ and $a_0, a_1 > 0$ that the nonlinearity satisfies*

$$0 < qF(x, s) \leq f(x, s)s, \quad s \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} \quad (2.5)$$

$$F(x, s) \geq a_0|s|^p \quad (2.6)$$

$$|f(x, s)| \leq a_1|s|^{p-1}. \quad (2.7)$$

Let u_λ be the ground critical point of (2.3), then:

a) If $\lambda < \lambda_1$ and $\kappa = 1$, then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\|u_\lambda\|_E \leq C(\lambda_1 - \lambda)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} \quad (2.8)$$

b) If $\lambda \in (\lambda_{k-1}, \lambda_k)$ for $k > 1$.

- Suppose $\delta(\lambda) = \lambda_k - \lambda$ and $\kappa = 1$, then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\|u_\lambda\|_E \leq C(\lambda_k - \lambda)^{\frac{1}{p-2}}$$

holds.

- Suppose $\delta(\lambda) = \lambda - \lambda_{k-1}$ and $\kappa = -1$, then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\|u_\lambda\|_E \leq C(\lambda - \lambda_{k-1})^{\frac{1}{p-2}} \quad (2.9)$$

Remark 2.15. Note that, one example that satisfies the assumptions on the nonlinearity (f_1)-(f_4), (2.5), (2.6), (2.7) is the function $f(x, s) = g(x)|s|^{p-2}s$, where $p > 2$ and $g(x) \geq c$ for some $c > 0$. Then

$$F(x, s) = \frac{g(x)}{p}|s|^p$$

and one easily verifies all the properties.

3. PRELIMINARIES: SPECTRAL THEORY OF SCHRÖDINGER OPERATORS

3.1. On discrete Sobolev inequalities. First we review a condition that guarantees the imbedding $E \hookrightarrow \ell^\infty$ for a class of graphs, and in the next step find conditions, where the imbedding holds in the general setting.

Canonical compactifiability was studied extensively in [5] and can be related to a geometric condition, that is closely related to the diameter of a graph. A natural choice for a metric on $\Gamma = (\mathcal{V}, b, c)$ is given via

$$d(x, y) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{b(x_{i-1}, x_i)} : (x_0, \dots, x_n) \text{ is a path from } x \text{ to } y \right\}.$$

The diameter of a set $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{V}$ is then defined via

$$\text{diam}_d(\mathcal{K}) := \sup_{x, y \in \mathcal{K}} d(x, y).$$

It was shown in [5, Corollary 4.4]:

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\Gamma = (\mathcal{V}, b, c)$ be connected. Then $\Gamma = (\mathcal{V}, b, c)$ is canonically compactifiable if*

$$\text{diam}_d(\Gamma) := \sup_{x, y \in \mathcal{V}} d(x, y) < \infty. \quad (3.1)$$

Remark 3.2. Due to [5, Theorem 4.3], for connected graphs the condition (3.1) is equivalent to canonically compactifiability when $c \equiv 0$. By [12, Theorem 3.2], Γ is then canonically compactifiable if and only if a global Poincaré inequality holds, i.e. there exists a positive constant $c > 0$ with

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{V}}^2 := \sup f - \inf f \leq c \|f\|_E$$

for all $f \in E$.

Furthermore, even when $c \neq 0$ there exists a metric σ such that a connected graph is canonically compactifiable if and only if

$$\text{diam}_\sigma(\Gamma) := \inf_{x, y \in \mathcal{V}} \sigma(x, y) < \infty.$$

Canonical compactifiability is hence a purely geometric condition.

We introduce an additional concept to generalize the spectral theory on graphs with infinite measure:

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\Gamma = (\mathcal{V}, b, c)$ be a connected graph and $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{V}$. Suppose $\text{diam}_d(\mathcal{K}) < \infty$, then $\mathcal{K} \Subset \mathcal{V}$.¹*

Proof. Suppose $\text{diam}(\mathcal{K}) < \infty$, then for $f \in E$ and any path

$$x = x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n = y$$

with $x, y \in \mathcal{K}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) - f(y) &= \sum_{j=1}^n f(x_j) - f(x_{j-1}) \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{b(x_j, x_{j-1})} \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n b(x_j, x_{j-1}) |f(x_j) - f(x_{j-1})|^2 \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$\sup_{x \in \mathcal{K}} f(x) - \inf_{y \in \mathcal{K}} f(y) \leq \text{diam}_d(\mathcal{K})^{1/2} q(f)^{1/2}$$

and we have $\|\chi_{\mathcal{K}} f\|_\infty \leq C \|f\|_E$ for some $C > 0$. In particular, $r_{\mathcal{K}} : E \hookrightarrow \ell^\infty$ is bounded. \square

Corollary 3.4. *Let $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{V}$ is a connected subset of Γ . Then $\mathcal{K} \Subset \mathcal{V}$ if*

$$\sum_{x, y \in \mathcal{K}, b(x, y) > 0} \frac{1}{b(x, y)} < \infty.$$

Proof. Then $\text{diam}_d(\mathcal{K}) < \infty$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{x \in \mathcal{K}} f(x) - \inf_{y \in \mathcal{K}} f(y) &\leq \text{diam}_d(\mathcal{K})^{1/2} q(f)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{x, y \in \mathcal{K}, b(x, y) > 0} \frac{1}{b(x, y)} \right)^{1/2} q(f)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, we have $\|f\|_\infty \leq C \|f\|_E$ for some $C > 0$. \square

¹Recall the notation from Definition 2.2

Remark 3.5. It is easy to see that under our assumption any finite set is a canonically compactifiable subset. It is immediate that in this case $r_{\mathcal{K}} : E \hookrightarrow \ell^\infty$.

Proposition 3.6. *Let $\Gamma = (\mathcal{V}, b, c)$ be a combinatorial graph and suppose*

$$\sup_{\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{V}: \text{diam}_d(\mathcal{K}) < \infty} \inf_{x \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \mathcal{K}} (c(x) + m(x)) > 0, \quad (3.2)$$

and holds then $E \hookrightarrow \ell^\infty$.

Proof. We separate the proof into two cases.

- (i) If $\text{diam}_d(\mathcal{V}) < \infty$, then we do not need any other assumption. From Proposition 3.1, we can say that the graph is canonically compactifiable. This implies a continuous imbedding $E \hookrightarrow \ell^\infty$ by Lemma 3.1.
- (ii) If $\text{diam}(\mathcal{V})$ is not finite, then by (3.2), there exists $\tilde{c} > 0$ such that

$$\inf_{x \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \mathcal{K}} (c(x) + m(x)) \geq \tilde{c},$$

for a subset $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{V}$ with $\text{diam}_d(\mathcal{K}) < \infty$, which implies

$$c(x) + m(x) \geq \tilde{c} \quad (3.3)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \mathcal{K}$. On the other hand,

$$q(u) \geq (c(x) + m(x))|u(x)|^2,$$

for all $u \in E$ and $x \in \mathcal{K}$. Together with this and (3.3), we can obtain

$$\frac{1}{\tilde{c}} \|u\|_E \geq \frac{1}{\tilde{c}} (c(x) + m(x)) |u(x)|^2 \geq |u(x)|^2,$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \mathcal{K}$. Then $\mathcal{K} \Subset \mathcal{V}$ by Lemma 3.3 and there exists $\tilde{C} > 0$ such that

$$|u(x)|^2 \leq \frac{1}{\tilde{C}} \|u\|_E \quad (3.5)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{K}$. Then, combining (3.4) and (3.5) we have the required imbedding $E \hookrightarrow \ell^\infty$. \square

3.2. On interpolation inequalities and better Sobolev imbeddings. If $E \hookrightarrow \ell^\infty$, then for $p \in [2, \infty]$ we have via interpolation

$$\ell_m^2 \cap \ell^\infty \hookrightarrow \ell_m^p.$$

A sufficient condition for the imbedding $E \hookrightarrow \ell^\infty$ was derived in Proposition 3.6, and we will derive in this section a stronger assumption that will guarantee the better imbedding

$$\ell_m^2 \cap \ell^\infty \hookrightarrow \ell_m^p$$

for all $p \in [1, \infty]$. Such an imbedding was for example obtained in [6, Lemma 2.1] under the assumption $\frac{1}{V} \in \ell_m^1$. We will, however, generalize the idea to adapt it in our context under weaker conditions.

Lemma 3.7. *Suppose (2.4) holds, then $E \hookrightarrow \ell_m^1$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{V}$, $m(\mathcal{K}) < \infty$ such that

$$\sum_{x \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \mathcal{K}} \frac{(m(x))^2}{c(x) + m(x)} < \infty.$$

Then for $u \in E$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \mathcal{K}} m(x)|u(x)| &= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \mathcal{K}} m(x) \frac{1}{V(x)^{1/2}} V(x)^{1/2} |u(x)| \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{x \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \mathcal{K}} m(x) \frac{1}{V(x)} \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{x \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \mathcal{K}} m(x) V(x) |u(x)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{x \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \mathcal{K}} \frac{(m(x))^2}{c(x) + m(x)} \right)^{1/2} \|u\|_E. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, since $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{V}$, $m(\mathcal{K}) < \infty$, we have

$$\sum_{x \in \mathcal{K}} m(x)u(x) \leq m(\mathcal{K})^{1/2} \left(\sum_{x \in \mathcal{K}} m(x)|u(x)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq m(\mathcal{K})^{1/2} \|u\|_E$$

and we conclude $E \hookrightarrow \ell_m^1$. \square

Proposition 3.8. *Let $\Gamma = (\mathcal{V}, b, c)$ be canonically compactifiable. Suppose (2.4) holds, then $E \hookrightarrow \ell_m^p$ for all $p \in [1, \infty]$.*

Proof. By our assumptions, together with Lemma 3.7 we have $E \hookrightarrow \ell^\infty \cap \ell_m^1$. Then by interpolation we have

$$E \hookrightarrow \ell_m^p$$

for all $p \in [1, \infty]$. \square

3.3. On compact imbeddings and discreteness of spectrum. The concept of canonical compactifiability was previously used in [5] in order to discuss the discreteness of the spectrum of the Laplacian.

First we will prove that if $m(\mathcal{V}) < \infty$, then we have a compact imbedding $E \hookrightarrow \ell^2$.

Lemma 3.9. *Let $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{V}$ be a subset with $m(\mathcal{K}) < \infty$, then $r_{\mathcal{K}} : \ell^\infty \hookrightarrow \ell_m^p$ defined via*

$$(r_{\mathcal{K}}f)(x) = \begin{cases} f(x), & x \in \mathcal{K}, \\ 0, & x \notin \mathcal{K} \end{cases}$$

is compact for all $p \in [1, \infty)$.

Proof. Denote by \mathcal{K}^n be a sequence of finite subsets with $\mathcal{K} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{K}^n$ and let $r_{\mathcal{K}^n} : \ell^\infty \rightarrow \ell_m^p$ be the restriction operator

$$(r_{\mathcal{K}^n}f)(x) = \begin{cases} f(x), & x \in \mathcal{K}^n, \\ 0, & x \notin \mathcal{K}^n \end{cases}$$

Then each $r_{\mathcal{K}^n}$ is finite rank and the sequence $(r_{\mathcal{K}^n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to the imbedding $\iota : \ell^\infty \rightarrow \ell_m^p$ in operator norm, thus ι is compact. \square

Proposition 3.10. *$E \hookrightarrow \ell_m^2$ is compact. In particular, L has pure discrete spectrum.*

Proof. Let us prove it in the same way.

- (i) If $m(\mathcal{V}) < \infty$ and Γ is canonically compactifiable, then due to canonical compactifiability and Lemma 3.9, the imbedding from $E \hookrightarrow \ell_m^2$ is compact as a composition of a continuous and compact imbedding. Thus, L has compact resolvent and by standard results, L has pure discrete spectrum.

(ii) Otherwise, consider an exhausting sequence of canonically compactifiable subsets $\mathcal{K}_t \Subset \mathcal{V}$ with $m(\mathcal{K}_t) < \infty$ satisfying

$$\mathcal{K}_t \subset \mathcal{K}_{t+1} \quad \text{and} \quad \inf_{x \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \mathcal{K}_t} V(x) \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty,$$

which exists due to Assumption 2.4. Since \mathcal{V} is countable, we can introduce a list of vertices

$$\mathcal{V} = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots\}.$$

Then in order to guarantee $\bigcup_{t \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{K}_t = \mathcal{V}$, we can add $\{v_1, v_1, \dots, v_t\}$ to \mathcal{K}_t which does not change the conditions

$$m(\mathcal{K}_t) < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \inf_{x \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \mathcal{K}_t} V(x) \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

We want to show that if $\{u_n\}$ is a bounded sequence of functions in E , then there exists a convergent subsequence in ℓ_m^2 .

Let us take a bounded sequence $\{u_n\}$ in E such that for some $c > 0$ we have

$$\|u_n\|_{\ell_m^2}^2 \leq \|u_n\|_E^2 \leq c, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let \mathcal{K} be a canonically compactifiable subset with $m(\mathcal{K}) < \infty$ and

$$(r_{\mathcal{K}}f)(x) = \begin{cases} f(x), & x \in \mathcal{K} \\ 0, & x \notin \mathcal{K}. \end{cases}$$

Then $r_{\mathcal{K}} : E \rightarrow \ell_m^2$ is compact by Lemma 3.9 and that there exists $u \in E$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} u_n &\rightarrow u && \text{in } E \\ r_{\mathcal{K}}u_n &\rightarrow r_{\mathcal{K}}u && \text{in } \ell_m^2 \end{aligned}$$

To show that $u_{n_k} \rightarrow u$ in ℓ_m^2 passing to a subsequence, let us take such a sequence $\{\mathcal{K}_t\}$ of canonically compactifiable subsets satisfying

$$\chi_{\mathcal{K}_t} u_{n_k} \rightarrow \chi_{\mathcal{K}_t} u \quad \text{in } \ell_m^2 \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty,$$

or, there exists a subsequence $(u_{n_{k,t}})$ such that

$$\|\chi_{\mathcal{K}_t} u_{n_{k,t}} - \chi_{\mathcal{K}_t} u\|_{\ell_m^2} \leq \frac{1}{t},$$

that is, $\chi_{\mathcal{K}_t} u_{n_{k,t}} \rightarrow \chi_{\mathcal{K}_t} u$ in ℓ_m^2 as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} c &\geq \|u_{n_{k,t}}\|_E^2 \geq \sum_{x \in \mathcal{V}} (c(x) + m(x)) |u_{n_{k,t}}(x)|^2 \\ &\geq \sum_{x \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \mathcal{K}_t} (c(x) + m(x)) |u_{n_{k,t}}(x)|^2 \\ &\geq \inf_{x \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \mathcal{K}_t} V(x) \sum_{x \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \mathcal{K}_t} m(x) |u_{n_{k,t}}(x)|^2, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\frac{c}{\inf_{x \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \mathcal{K}_t} V(x)} \geq \sum_{x \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \mathcal{K}_t} m(x) |u_{n_{k,t}}(x)|^2 = 1 - \|\chi_{\mathcal{K}_t} u_{n_{k,t}}\|_{\ell_m^2}^2,$$

and we get

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (1 - \|\chi_{\mathcal{K}_t} u_{n_{k,t}}\|_{\ell_m^2}^2) \leq \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{c}{\inf_{x \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \mathcal{K}_t} V(x)} = 0.$$

By monotone convergence,

$$\|u\|_{\ell_m^2} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|\chi_{\mathcal{K}_t} u\|,$$

and we conclude

$$1 - \|u\|_{\ell_m^2}^2 = 0, \text{ thus, } \|u\|_{\ell_m^2}^2 = 1,$$

hence, $u_n \rightarrow u$ in ℓ_m^2 .

□

Remark 3.11. If $\Gamma = (\mathcal{V}, b, c)$ is canonically compactifiable and $m(\mathcal{V}) < \infty$, then Assumption 2.4 becomes obsolete and Proposition 3.10 remains true.



FIGURE 1. Visualization of the line graph in Theorem 3.12

Example 3.12. Let us compare our result on the compact imbedding $E \Subset \ell_m^2$ with previously obtained results via an example. If

$$\sup_{\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{V} \text{ finite}} \inf_{x \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \mathcal{K}} V(x) = \infty, \quad (3.6)$$

then the potential satisfies Assumption 2.4 and it is in fact known that such a condition implies discreteness of the spectrum of L .

Let us construct an example for which Assumption 2.4 holds, but (3.6) is not satisfied. Suppose we have a line graph Γ such that

$$m(i) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{i+1}, & i \geq 0 \\ \frac{1}{i^2}, & i < 0. \end{cases}$$

$$b(i, i+1) = \begin{cases} i+1, & i \geq 0 \\ i^2, & i < 0. \end{cases}$$

with potential

$$V(i) = \begin{cases} i, & i \geq 0 \\ 0, & i < 0. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\sup_{\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{V} \text{ finite}} \inf_{x \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \mathcal{K}} V(x) = 0$$

and (3.6) is not satisfied, since $-\mathbb{N}$ is a canonically compactifiable subset of $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}$. Note that the graph $\Gamma = (\mathbb{Z}, b, c)$ does not have finite measure and does not satisfy $\text{diam}_d(\Gamma) < \infty$. In particular, the conditions in Proposition 3.1 are not satisfied and we need to verify Assumption 2.4 (see Remark 3.11). Let us show that Γ is still canonically compactifiable and L has discrete spectrum since it still satisfies our assumptions by verifying (2.1), (2.4) and (3.2).

One easily verifies

$$m(-\mathbb{N}) = \sum_{z < 0} \frac{1}{z^2} < \infty$$

and

$$\text{diam}(-\mathbb{N}) = \sum_{z < 0} \frac{1}{b(z, z+1)} = \sum_{z < 0} \frac{1}{z^2} < \infty.$$

In particular, $\mathbb{K}_n = -\mathbb{N} \cup \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ is a canonically compactifiable, and subset and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{x \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathcal{K}_n} V(x) &= n + 1 \\ \inf_{x \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathcal{K}_n} c(x) &= \frac{n + 1}{n + 2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\mathcal{K} \in \mathbb{Z}} \inf_{x \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathcal{K}} V(x) &\geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{x \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathcal{K}_n} V(x) = \infty \\ \sup_{\mathcal{K} \in \mathbb{Z}} \inf_{x \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathcal{K}} c(x) &\geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{x \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathcal{K}_n} c(x) = 1 > 0. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, by Proposition 3.6 we have $E \hookrightarrow \ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z})$ and by Lemma 3.10 we have compact imbedding $E \hookrightarrow \ell_m^2(\mathbb{Z})$.

4. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 2.7

To prove Proposition 2.7, we need to investigate properties of the nonlinearity. One can see that similar properties hold in the continuous case as well (see [1, Lemma 3.1]).

For this purpose we define inspired by [3], f_R and F_R via

$$f_R(x, s) = \begin{cases} f(x, s), & |s| < R \\ \frac{f(x, R)}{R}s, & s \geq R \\ \frac{f(x, -R)}{-R}s, & s \leq -R \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

and

$$F_R(x, u) = \int_0^u f_R(x, s) ds, \quad (4.2)$$

respectively.

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose $u \in \ell_m^2$, then $f_R(\cdot, u(\cdot)) \in \ell_m^2$ for all $R > 0$ and $F_R(\cdot, u(\cdot)) \in \ell_m^1$.*

Proof. With (f₂) we have

$$|f_R(\cdot, s)| \leq \mu(R)|s|, \quad |F_R(x, s)| \leq \frac{\mu(R)}{2}|s|^2,$$

and we conclude

$$\|f_R(\cdot, u(\cdot))\|_{\ell_m^2} \leq \mu(R)\|u\|_{\ell_m^2}, \quad \|F_R(\cdot, u(\cdot))\|_{\ell_m^1} \leq \frac{\mu(R)}{2}\|u\|_{\ell_m^2}^2.$$

□

Let us denote the open ball with radius R with

$$B_R := \{u \in E : \|u\|_E \leq R\}. \quad (4.3)$$

Lemma 4.2. *Under the assumptions (f₁) and (f₂), for every $R > 0$, there exists $R' > 0$ such that $\|u\|_\infty \leq R'$ for each $u \in B_R \subset E$ and*

$$f(x, u(x)) = f_{R'}(x, u(x)), \quad F(x, u(x)) = F_{R'}(x, u(x)), \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{V},$$

where $f(\cdot, u(\cdot)) \in \ell_m^2$ and $F(\cdot, u(\cdot)) \in \ell_m^1$.

Proof. First part of the proof follows from (4.1) and (4.2). For the remaining part of the proof, by the continuity of the imbedding $E \hookrightarrow \ell^\infty$, if $u \in E$ with $\|u\|_E < R$ then there is R' such that $\|u\|_{\ell^\infty} < R'$ and together with (f_2) , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(\cdot, u(\cdot))\|_{\ell_m^2}^2 &= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{V}} m(x) |f(x, u(x))|^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{x \in \mathcal{V}} m(x) C_{R'}^2 |u(x)|^2 = C_{R'}^2 \|u\|_{\ell_m^2}^2 < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

whenever $u \in B_R$, where $C_{R'} = \max\{\mu(R'), |f(x, R')|, |f(x, -R')|\}$. Also,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(\cdot, u(\cdot))\|_{\ell_m^1} &= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{V}} m(x) |f(x, u(x))| \leq \sum_{x \in \mathcal{V}} m(x) \int_0^{u(x)} |f(x, s)| ds \\ &\leq \sum_{x \in \mathcal{V}} m(x) |u(x)| \mu(R') |u(x)| \\ &= \mu(R') \|u\|_{\ell_m^2}^2 < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. \square

Lemma 4.3. *Let $R > 0$ and $\Psi_R : \ell_m^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by*

$$\Psi_R(u) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{V}} m(x) F_R(x, u(x)), \quad u \neq 0.$$

If (f_1) and (f_2) are satisfied, then the functional $\Psi_R(u)$ is C^1 and

$$\nabla \Psi_R(u)h = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{V}} m(x) f_R(x, u(x))h(x), \quad \forall h \in E.$$

Proof. For an arbitrary $u \in \ell_m^2$, if we take a bounded linear functional $A := \nabla \Psi_R(u)$ defined as

$$Ah = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{V}} m(x) f_R(x, u(x))h(x), \quad \forall h$$

then one can obtain that

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{|\Psi_{R'}(u+h) - \Psi_{R'}(u) - Ah|}{\|h\|_{\ell_m^2}} = 0.$$

Then $f(x, u) = \frac{\partial F}{\partial u}(x, u)$, and using

$$\frac{d}{dt} F(x, u + th(x)) = \frac{\partial F}{\partial u}(x, u + th(x))h(x),$$

we obtain

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\partial F}{\partial u}(x, u + th(x))h(x) dt = F(x, u + th(x)) - F(x, u(x)),$$

and so

$$F(x, u + th(x)) - F(x, u(x)) = \int_0^1 f(x, u + th(x))h(x) dt.$$

Using the last equation above and the Mean Value Theorem, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &|\Psi_{R'}(u+h) - \Psi_{R'}(u) - Ah| \\ &= \left| \sum_{x \in \mathcal{V}} m(x) [\tilde{F}_{R'}(x, (u+h)(x)) - F_{R'}(x, u(x))] - \sum_{x \in \mathcal{V}} m(x) \tilde{f}_{R'}(x, u(x))h(x) \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left| \sum_{x \in \mathcal{V}} m(x) \left(\int_0^1 (f(x, u(x) + th(x)) - f(x, u(x))) dt \right) h(x) \right| \\
&\leq \sum_{x \in \mathcal{V}} m(x) \left| \left(\int_0^1 (f(x, u(x) + th(x)) - f(x, u(x))) dt \right) \right| |h(x)| \\
&\leq \sum_{x \in \mathcal{V}} m(x) \left(\int_0^1 |f(x, u(x) + th(x)) - f(x, u(x))|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \|h\|_{\ell_m^2}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Then by (f₂) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|f_R(x, u(x) + th(x)) - f_R(x, u(x))|^2 &\leq 2|f_R(x, u(x) + th(x))|^2 + 2|f_R(x, u(x))|^2 \\
&\leq 2\mu(R)^2(|u(x) + th(x)|^2 + |u(x)|^2)
\end{aligned}$$

and by dominated convergence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{|\Psi_{R'}(u+h) - \Psi_{R'}(u) - Ah|}{\|h\|_{\ell_m^2}} \\
\leq \sum_{x \in \mathcal{V}} m(x) \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left(\left(\int_0^1 (f(x, u(x) + th(x)) - f(x, u(x))) dt \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that Ψ_R in C^1 and

$$\nabla \Psi_R(u)h = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{V}} m(x) f_R(x, u(x)) h(x), \quad \forall h \in E.$$

□

Lemma 4.4. *Let $\Psi : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by*

$$\Psi(u) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{V}} m(x) F(x, u(x)), \quad u \neq 0$$

If (f₁) and (f₂) are satisfied, then the functional $\Psi(u)$ is of the class C^1 and weakly continuous and

$$\nabla \Psi(u)h = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{V}} m(x) f(x, u(x)) h(x), \quad \forall h \in E.$$

Furthermore, $\nabla \Psi : E \rightarrow E'$ is completely continuous, i.e. every weakly compact subset is mapped to a compact subset.

Proof. Let $u \in E$ be arbitrary. Then there exists $R > 0$ such that $u \in B_R$ as defined (4.3). Then by Lemma 4.2 we have

$$\Psi(u) = \Psi_R(u)$$

and we conclude Ψ is C^1 with

$$\nabla \Psi(u)h = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{V}} m(x) f(x, u(x)) h.$$

Due to the compact imbedding $E \hookrightarrow \ell^2$ we have weak continuity. Furthermore,

One can obtain $\nabla \Psi(u)$ replacing f by f_R as follows

$$\nabla \Psi(u)h = Ah = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{V}} m(x) f_R(x, u(x)) h(x), \quad \forall h \in E.$$

Let $R > 0$ be arbitrary. We can then rewrite $\nabla \Psi : E \rightarrow E'$ as a composition of continuous operators.

Consider the imbedding

$$\begin{aligned} i_1 : B_R &\rightarrow \ell_m^2 \\ g &\mapsto g, \end{aligned}$$

the Nemytskii operator

$$\begin{aligned} N_R : \ell_m^2 &\rightarrow \ell_m^2 \\ u &\mapsto f(\cdot, u(\cdot)), \end{aligned}$$

and the dual imbedding

$$\begin{aligned} i_2 : \ell_m^2 &\rightarrow E' \\ g &\mapsto \left(h \mapsto \sum_{x \in \mathcal{V}} m(x)g(x)h(x) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\nabla \Psi = i_2 \circ N_R \circ i_1$$

is completely continuous since i_1 is compact by Proposition 3.10. □

Proof of Proposition 2.7: Since the quadratic part of J_λ is continuously differentiable, from Lemma 4.4,

$$J_\lambda(u) = \frac{1}{2}q_\lambda(u) - \kappa\Psi(u),$$

is C^1 , and its derivative as a linear functional is given by

$$\nabla J_\lambda(u)v = q_\lambda(u, v) - \kappa\nabla\Psi(u)v, \quad \forall v \in E$$

with $\kappa = 1$ and $\kappa = -1$. □

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.9

Now we consider the energy functional $J_\lambda(u)$ defined in (2.3) and apply Theorem A.1 to

$$\kappa J_\lambda(u) = \frac{\kappa}{2}q_\lambda(u) - \Psi(u).$$

In accordance with the notations of Section 6 (Appendix), in our case, $Q(u) = \kappa q_\lambda(u)$ and $\Phi(u) = \Psi(u)$ and $\Psi(u)$ is given in Lemma 4.4. Note that the cases $\kappa = 1$ and $\kappa = -1$ have certain differences.

Proof of Theorem 2.9. Under our new assumptions, we give the proof of this theorem as an adaptation of the one in [1] with a discrete setting. In order to apply Theorem A.1 to the functional $\kappa J_\lambda(u)$, we first prove that the assumptions (i) - (v) of Section A are satisfied.

(v) : It follows from Lemma 4.4.

(i) : Again by Lemma 4.4, Φ is weakly lower semicontinuous. Now we show that (A) holds, that is, the remaining part of (i) is satisfied. Since $f(x, s) > 0$ for $s > 0$ and $f(x, s) < 0$ for $s < 0$, it is clear that $F(x, s) > 0$ for all $s \neq 0$. From the Assumption (f_2) , we obtain the following estimation immediately

$$F(x, s) < \int_0^s |\tau||s|^{-1}f(x, s)d\tau = \frac{1}{2}f(x, s)s,$$

and hence,

$$\Psi(s) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{V}} m(x)F(x, s) < \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{V}} m(x)f(x, s)s = \frac{1}{2} \nabla \Psi(s)s, \quad \forall s \neq 0,$$

Thus, (A) holds, that is, the remaining part of (i) is satisfied.

(iii) : In view of Assumption (f₁), and the imbeddings $E \hookrightarrow \ell^\infty$ and $E \hookrightarrow \ell_m^2$, it is easy to see that if $u \in E$, then

$$\|f(x, u)\|_{\ell_m^2}^2 \leq \mu^2(R)\|u\|_{\ell_m^2}^2 \leq C\mu^2(R)\|u\|_E^2,$$

where $R = \|u\|_{\ell_m^\infty} \leq C_1\|u\|_E$. We know that $\mu(R) \rightarrow 0$ as $R \rightarrow 0$. Combining this with Lemma 4.4, we concluded that (iii) holds.

(iv) : Suppose to the contrary that there is a weakly compact set $W \subset E \setminus \{0\}$ and a sequence $\{u_n\}$ in W such that $\tau_n^{-2}\Psi(\tau_n u_n)$ is bounded as a sequence $\tau_n \rightarrow \infty$. Passing to a subsequence, we can assume that $u_n \rightarrow u \neq 0$ weakly in E and, by the assumption $E \hookrightarrow \ell_m^2$ compactly, strongly in ℓ_m^2 . Hence, passing to a further subsequence, $u_n(x) \rightarrow u(x)$ a.e. on \mathcal{V} . From Assumption (f₃), $\frac{F(x, \tau_n u_n)}{(\tau_n u_n)^2} \rightarrow \infty$ since $|\tau_n u_n(x)| \rightarrow \infty$. So if $u(x) \neq 0$, since $F \geq 0$, a consequence of the Fatou Lemma is

$$\frac{\Psi(\tau_n u_n)}{\tau_n^2} = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{V}} m(x) \frac{F(x, \tau_n u_n)}{(\tau_n u_n)^2} u_n^2 \rightarrow \infty, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

we get a contradiction.

(ii) : For the real number λ , let us define the (closed) subspaces generated by the eigenvectors with eigenvalues $< \lambda$, $= \lambda$ and $> \lambda$ which are denoted by E^- , E^0 and E^+ , respectively:

$$E^- = \bigoplus_{\{k: \lambda_k < \lambda\}} \ker(L - \lambda_k I), \quad E^0 = \ker(L - \lambda I), \quad E^+ = \bigoplus_{\{k: \lambda_k > \lambda\}} \ker(L - \lambda_k I),$$

The form q_λ is positive (respectively, negative) definite on E^+ (respectively, on E^-), i.e., there exists a constant $\beta = \beta(\lambda) > 0$ such that

$$\pm q_\lambda(u) \geq \beta \|u\|_E^2, \quad u \in E^\pm. \quad (5.1)$$

Here E^- and E^0 are finite dimensional subspaces, while E^+ has infinite dimension. If $\lambda_n < \lambda \leq \lambda_{n+1}$, then $E^- = \bigoplus_{k=1}^n \ker(L - \lambda_k I)$ and N is the dimension of this space. These subspaces serve the functional κJ_λ . If $\kappa = 1$, then $F = E^- \oplus E^0$. If $\kappa = -1$, since the form $-q_\lambda$ is positive (respectively, negative) on E^- (respectively, on E^+), $F = E^+ \oplus E^0$ in this case. As in the proof of [1, Theorem 4.1], we consider the case $\kappa = -1$ only. The other one being simpler.

Now we will show that $-J_\lambda$ attains its unique (positive) maximum on $\hat{E}(w) \cap \mathcal{N}$ following the following steps

- $-J_\lambda$ attains its unique maximum on $\hat{E}(w)$.
- $\hat{E}(w) \cap \mathcal{N} \neq \emptyset$ for any $w \in E \setminus F = E \setminus (E^0 \oplus E^+)$.
- the uniqueness of global maximum of $-J_\lambda$ on $\hat{E}(w) \cap \mathcal{N}$.

Since $\hat{E}(w) = \hat{E}(w^- / \|w^-\|)$, without loss of generality we may assume that $w \in E^-$ and $\|w\| = 1$. We will show that there exists $R > 0$ such that $-J_\lambda(u) \leq 0$ for all $u \in \hat{E}(w)$ with $\|u\| \geq R$. Suppose to the contrary that we can find a sequence $\{u_n\}$ such that $\|u_n\| \rightarrow \infty$ and $-J_\lambda(u_n) \geq 0$. We set $v_n = \|u_n\|^{-1} u_n$. Passing to a subsequence, we may assume that $v_n \rightarrow v$ weakly in the space

E . And it is easily seen that the first two terms of the following relation

$$0 \leq \frac{-J_\lambda(u_n)}{\|u_n\|_E^2} = -\frac{q_\lambda(v_n^-)}{2} - \frac{q_\lambda(v_n^+)}{2} - \frac{\Psi(\|u_n\|_E v_n)}{\|u_n\|_E^2}.$$

are bounded. It follows immediately from (iv) that if $v \neq 0$, then the third term tends to $-\infty$, a contradiction. Hence, $v = 0$. It implies that $v_n^- \rightarrow 0$ and $v_n^0 \rightharpoonup 0$ weakly. Since v_n^- and v_n^0 belong to finite dimensional subspaces $E^- = \mathbb{R}w$ and E^0 , respectively, $v_n^- \rightarrow 0$ and $v_n^0 \rightarrow 0$ weakly imply $v_n^- \rightarrow 0$ and $v_n^0 \rightarrow 0$ strongly. From the inequalities (5.1), (5), and since $\Psi \geq 0$, $\|v_n^+\|_E \leq \|v_n^-\|_E \rightarrow 0$.

On the other hand,

$$1 = \|v_n\|_E^2 = \|v_n^-\|_E^2 + \|v_n^0\|_E^2 + \|v_n^+\|_E^2 \rightarrow 0,$$

a contradiction.

Since $-J_\lambda \leq 0$ on $\hat{E}(w) \setminus B_R(0)$ for sufficiently large R , the boundedness of Ψ on bounded subsets of E implies that $\sup_{u \in \hat{E}(w)} (-J_\lambda(u)) < \infty$. Using (iii), we get $-J_\lambda(tw) = \gamma t^2 + o(t^2)$ as $t \rightarrow 0$, where $\gamma = -q_\lambda(w)/2 > 0$. Therefore, the supremum is positive. And we have $0 < \sup_{u \in \hat{E}(w)} (-J_\lambda(u)) < \infty$. We shall show that $-J_\lambda$ achieves its (positive) maximum value on $\hat{E}(w)$. To prove upper weakly semicontinuity of $-J_\lambda$ on $E(w)$ is sufficient for us. By (i), Ψ is weakly continuous, so it is enough to prove that q_λ is weakly low semicontinuous on $E(w)$. For this, let us take a weakly convergent sequence $u_n = t_n w + u_n^0 + u_n^+ \in E(w)$, which converges weakly in E . This implies $t_n \rightarrow t$, $u_n^+ \rightarrow u^+$ weakly, and $q_\lambda(u_n) = q_\lambda(t_n w) + q_\lambda(u_n^+)$. Since $q_\lambda|_{E(w)}$ is a positive definite continuous quadratic form, it is a convex continuous function on $E(w)$, and so, it must be weakly low semicontinuous. Since q_λ is weakly lower semicontinuous and it has a minimizing sequence $\{t_n w\}$, using the fact that $q_\lambda(t_n w) \rightarrow q_\lambda(tw)$, we can say that q_λ has a minimum on $\hat{E}(w)$. Because, $tw \in \mathcal{N}$ and so $\hat{E}(w) \cap \mathcal{N} \neq \emptyset$.

The uniqueness of global maximum of $-J_\lambda|_{\hat{E}(w)}$ can be obtained exactly as in [20, Proposition 39] (see also [19]). □

6. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.14

In this section we prove Theorem 2.14. The subspaces E^- , E^0 , and E^+ is defined as in Section 5. Under our assumption, we can say that $E^0 = \{0\}$. Let us recall the spectral decomposition for a given $\lambda \notin \sigma(L)$

$$E = E^- \oplus E^+, \quad E^- = \bigoplus_{1 \leq n \leq k-1} \ker(L - \lambda_n I), \quad E^+ = \bigoplus_{n \geq k} \ker(L - \lambda_n I). \quad (6.1)$$

The following lemma allows us a discrete version of well-known inequalities which are adapted version of (5.1). It may be useful to denote $\lambda_0 = -\infty$.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. If $\lambda \in (\lambda_{k-1}, \lambda_k)$, then*

$$q_\lambda(u) \geq \frac{\lambda_k - \lambda}{\lambda_k} \|u\|_E^2, \quad u \in E^+, \quad (6.2)$$

and for $k > 1$ we have

$$q_\lambda(u) \leq \frac{\lambda_{k-1} - \lambda}{\lambda_{k-1}} \|u\|_E^2, \quad u \in E^- . \quad (6.3)$$

Proof. The proof is an easy adaptation of [1, Lemma 6.1]. Let $\{e_n\}$ be an orthonormal basis of eigenfunctions corresponding to the eigenvalues λ_n .

Suppose $u \in E^+$, then there exists $\{a_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$u = \sum_{n \geq k} a_n e_n \in E^+.$$

Then by Parseval's theorem

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{\ell_m^2}^2 &= \sum_{n \geq k} a_n^2 \\ \|u\|_E^2 &= q(u, u) = \sum_{n \geq k} \lambda_n a_n^2. \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that $q_\lambda(u) = q(u) - \lambda \|u\|_{\ell_m^2}^2$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} q_\lambda(u) &= \sum_{n \geq k} (\lambda_n - \lambda) a_n^2 \\ &= \sum_{n \geq k} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_n}\right) \lambda_n a_n^2 \\ &\geq \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_k}\right) \sum_{n \geq k} \lambda_n a_n^2 \\ &= \frac{\lambda_k - \lambda}{\lambda_k} q(u). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly for any $u \in E^-$ there exists $\{b_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ for $1 \leq n \leq k-1$ and $1 \leq j \leq m_n$ such that

$$u = \sum_{1 \leq n \leq k-1} b_n e_n$$

and we obtain similarly

$$q_\lambda(u) \geq \frac{\lambda_{k-1} - \lambda}{\lambda_{k-1}} q(u).$$

Recall $\|u\| = q(u)$ and we obtain (6.2) and (6.3). \square

In the sequel, let us denote the orthogonal projectors in E onto the subspaces E^+ and E^- (defined in (6.1)) by P^+ and P^- , respectively. Then $P^- = I - P^+$, where I stands for the identity operator. Note also that P^+ and P^- extend to orthogonal projectors in ℓ_m^2 .

Lemma 6.2. *Suppose (2.4) holds. Let $\lambda \in (\lambda_{k-1}, \lambda_k)$ for $k > 1$, then suppose (3.2) holds, then the projectors P^+ and P^- are bounded operators with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{\ell_m^p}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.6 we have $E \hookrightarrow \ell^p$. Since $P^+ = I - P^-$, without loss of generality, we consider the projector P^- only.

Since E^- is finite dimensional, if $N = \dim E^-$, then one can have an ℓ_m^2 - orthonormal basis $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_N\}$ in E^- .

Thus, for each $u \in E$, P^-u has the following expression

$$P^-u = \sum_{j=1}^N \xi_j e_j.$$

Since all norms in E^- are equivalent, it is enough to show that

$$|\xi_j| \leq C \|u\|_{\ell_m^p}, \quad j = 1, \dots, N,$$

for any constant $C > 0$ independent of j and u . Clearly,

$$\xi_j = (u, e_j)_{\ell_m^2}, \quad j = 1, \dots, N.$$

Since $u \in E \subset \ell_m^p$ and $e_j \in \ell_m^{p'}$ for $j = 1, \dots, N$. With Hölder's inequality we have

$$(u, e_j)_{\ell_m^2} \leq \|u\|_{\ell_m^p} \|e_j\|_{\ell_m^{p'}},$$

that implies the desired one. \square

Recall in the following

$$\delta(\lambda) := \text{dist}(\lambda, \sigma(L)).$$

Assuming, in the lemma below, we get estimates for the ℓ_m^p - norms of a critical point in terms of its critical values.

Lemma 6.3. *In addition to assumptions $(f_1) - (f_4)$, assume that the nonlinearity satisfies (2.5) for some $q > 2$ and (2.6) for some $p \geq 2, a_0 > 0$. Let $\lambda \in (\lambda_{k-1}, \lambda_k)$ with $k > 1$.*

- *Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for any critical point $u \in E$ of κJ_λ ,*

$$\|u\|_{\ell_m^p}^p \leq C \kappa J_\lambda(u). \quad (6.4)$$

- *Assume, in addition, that the requirements of Lemma 6.2 are satisfied, and (2.7) holds for some $a_1 > 0$. Then*

$$\delta(\lambda) \|u\|_E^2 \leq C \kappa J_\lambda(u). \quad (6.5)$$

Proof. Consider the case $\kappa = 1$, as the other one can be obtained analogously. From (2.5), we get

$$J_\lambda(u) \geq (2^{-1} - q^{-1}) \sum_{x \in \mathcal{V}} m(x) f(x, u(x)) u(x).$$

Using both (2.5) and (2.6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\lambda(u)} &\geq (2^{-1} - q^{-1}) \sum_{x \in \mathcal{V}} m(x) f(x, u) u \\ &\geq (2^{-1} - q^{-1}) \sum_{x \in \mathcal{V}} m(x) q F(x, u) \\ &\geq q(2^{-1} - q^{-1}) a_0 \sum_{x \in \mathcal{V}} m(x) |u|^p \end{aligned}$$

and (6.4) follows immediately.

Now, let us prove the remaining part of the lemma. For now assume that $k > 1$. For $u \in E$ denote in the following

$$u^+ := P^+ u, \quad u^- := P^- u$$

the projections of u onto E^+ and E^- , respectively. Then

$$0 = \nabla J_\lambda(u) u^+ = q_\lambda(u^+) - \sum_{x \in \mathcal{V}} m(x) f(x, u) u^+,$$

and

$$0 = \nabla J_\lambda(u) u^- = q_\lambda(u^-) - \sum_{x \in \mathcal{V}} m(x) f(x, u) u^-.$$

From Lemma 6.1, we obtain that

$$\sum_{x \in \mathcal{V}} m(x) f(x, u) u^+ \geq \frac{\lambda_k - \lambda}{\lambda_k} \|u^+\|_E^2 \geq \frac{\delta(\lambda)}{\lambda_k} \|u^+\|_E^2, \quad (6.6)$$

$$-\sum_{x \in \mathcal{V}} m(x) f(x, u) u^- \geq \frac{\lambda - \lambda_k}{\lambda_{k-1}} \|u^-\|_E^2 \geq \frac{\delta(\lambda)}{\lambda_k} \|u^-\|_E^2. \quad (6.7)$$

by the spectral decomposition theorem we have $\|u\|_E^2 = \|u^+\|_E^2 + \|u^-\|_E^2$. If we add (6.6) and (6.7) together, we get together with (2.7)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta(\lambda)}{\lambda_k} \|u\|_E^2 &\leq \sum_{x \in \mathcal{V}} m(x) |f(x, u)| |u^+| + \sum_{x \in \mathcal{V}} m(x) |f(x, u)| |u^-| \\ &\leq a_1 \sum_{x \in \mathcal{V}} m(x) |u|^{p-1} |u^+| + a_1 \sum_{x \in \mathcal{V}} m(x) |u|^{p-1} |u^-|. \end{aligned}$$

Using Hölder's inequality with mutually conjugate exponents q and p , we get

$$\frac{\delta(\lambda)}{\lambda_k} \|u\|_E^2 \leq a_1 \|u\|_{\ell_m^p}^{p/q} (\|u^+\|_{\ell_m^p} + \|u^-\|_{\ell_m^p}).$$

From Lemma 6.2, we conclude that P^+ and P^- are bounded in ℓ_m^p , that is,

$$\|u^+\|_{\ell_m^p} + \|u^-\|_{\ell_m^p} \leq C \|u\|_{\ell_m^p}.$$

Then with (6.4) we obtain (6.5).

The case $k = 1$ is analogue, since in this case E^- will be trivial, and we have $u = u^+$. In particular, Lemma 6.2 is not needed. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.14. Let $\kappa = 1$ and assume that $\lambda < \lambda_k$ such that $\delta(\lambda) = \lambda_k - \lambda$. Choose an eigenvector $e \in D(L)$ corresponding to the eigenvalue λ_k with $\|e\|_{\ell_m^p} = 1$.

Let $u = te + w$, where $t > 0$, $w \in E$. Then since $q_\lambda(u) = q_\lambda(u^+) + q_\lambda(u^-)$ for each $u \in E$, we have $q_\lambda(u) = t^2 q_\lambda(e) + q_\lambda(w)$. Then by Remark A.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} c_\lambda := c &= \inf_{w \in E^-} \max_{u \in \dot{E}(e)} J_\lambda(u) \leq \max_{u \in \dot{E}(e)} J_\lambda(u) \\ &= \max_{t > 0, w \in E^-} \left(\frac{t^2 q_\lambda(e)}{2} - \frac{q_\lambda(w)}{2} - \sum_{x \in \mathcal{V}} m(x) F(x, te + w) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $q_\lambda(e) = \lambda_k - \lambda$ and $q_\lambda(w) \leq 0$, using 2.6, we get

$$c \leq \max_{t > 0, w \in E^-} \left(\frac{t^2(\lambda_k - \lambda)}{2} - a_0 \|te + w\|_{\ell_m^p}^p \right).$$

Since P^+ is a bounded operator on a finite dimensional space $E(e)$ with respect to ℓ_m^p norm from Lemma 6.2, then since $P^+(w + te) = te$ there exists $b_1 > 0$ such that

$$\|te + w\|_{\ell_m^p}^p \geq b_1 \|e\|_{\ell_m^p}^p.$$

Thus, we get

$$c \leq \max_{t > 0} \left(\frac{t^2(\lambda_k - \lambda)}{2} - a_0 b_2 t^p \right).$$

With an easy calculation we obtain

$$c \leq C(\lambda_k - \lambda)^{\frac{p}{p-2}}, \quad (6.8)$$

for some $C > 0$ depending only on p and b_2 .

From Theorem 2.10, there exists $u_\lambda \in E$ such that $c_\lambda = J_\lambda(u_\lambda)$. Combining (6.5) with (6.8) and obtain

$$\frac{(\lambda_k - \lambda) \|u_\lambda\|_E^2}{C} \leq J_\lambda(u_\lambda) = c \leq C(\lambda_k - \lambda)^{\frac{p}{p-2}}.$$

Hence,

$$\|u_\lambda\|_E^2 \leq C^2(\lambda_k - \lambda)^{\frac{2}{p-2}},$$

which implies (2.8).

Let us now consider the case $\kappa = -1$. Assume that $\lambda \in (\lambda_{k-1}, \lambda_k)$ such that $\delta(\lambda) = \lambda - \lambda_{k-1}$. In this case $c = -J_\lambda(u_\lambda)$ and suppose e is an eigenfunction to λ_{k-1} with $\|e\|_{\ell_m^p} = 1$. Then we obtain

$$c = \inf_{w \in E^+} \max_{t > 0} J_\lambda(w + te) \leq \max_{t > 0, w \in E^+} \left(\frac{t^2(\lambda - \lambda_{k-1})}{2} - a_0 \|w + te\|_{\ell_m^p}^p \right).$$

P_- is a bounded operator on ℓ_m^p by Lemma 6.2 and there exists $b_3 > 0$ such that

$$\|e + tw\|_{\ell_m^p}^p \leq b_3 \|e\|_{\ell_m^p}^p.$$

Then similarly we obtain

$$c \leq \max_{t > 0} \left(\frac{t^2(\lambda - \lambda_{k-1})}{2} - a_0 b_3 t^p \right),$$

and one shows easily similar as before (2.9). □

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Akduman, A. Pankov; *Nonlinear Schrödinger equations with growing potential on infinite metric graphs*, Nonlinear analysis, **184**, 258-272 (2019).
- [2] S. Akduman, A. Pankov; *Schrödinger operators with locally integrable potentials on infinite metric graphs*, Applicable Analysis, **96**, 2149-2161 (2017).
- [3] P. Drábek, J. Milota; *Methods of Nonlinear Analysis. Applications to Differential Equations*, Basel: Birkhäuser (2013).
- [4] Filoche, M., Mayboroda, S., Tao, T.; *The effective potential of an M-matrix*, Journal of Mathematical Physics, **62** (4) (2021).
- [5] A. Georgakopoulos, S. Haeseler, M. Keller, and D. Lenz; *Graphs of finite measure*, Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées, **103**(5), 1093–1131 (2015).
- [6] A. Grigor'yan, Y. Lin, Y. Yang; *Existence of positive solutions to some nonlinear equations on locally finite graphs*, Science China Mathematics, **60**, 1311–1324 (2017).
- [7] Haeseler, S., & Keller, M.; *Generalized solutions and spectrum for Dirichlet forms on graphs. In Random walks, boundaries and spectra*, Basel: Springer Basel, 181–199 (2011).
- [8] M. Keller, D. Lenz; *Unbounded Laplacians on graphs: basic spectral properties and the heat equation*, Mathematical Modelling of Natural Phenomena, **5**(4), 198–224 (2010).
- [9] Keller, M., Lenz, D.; *Dirichlet forms and stochastic completeness of graphs and subgraphs*, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal), **666**, 189–223 (2012).
- [10] Keller, M., Lenz, D., and Wojciechowski, R. K., *Graphs and discrete Dirichlet spaces*, Springer, Berlin, Germany, **358**, (2021).
- [11] F. Lederer, et al.; *Discrete solitons in optics*. Physics Reports **463**, 1–126 (2008).
- [12] D. Lenz, M. Schmidt, P. Stollmann; *Topological Poincaré type inequalities and lower bounds on the infimum of the spectrum for graphs*, Arxiv Preprint arXiv:1801.09279, (2018).
- [13] AM. Molchanov, *On the discreteness of the spectrum conditions for self-adjoint differential operators of second order*, **2**, 169–199 (1953).
- [14] A. Pankov, *Periodic nonlinear Schrödinger equation with application to photonic crystals*, Milan J. Math., **73**, 259–287 (2005).
- [15] Pankov, A., *Gap solitons in periodic discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equations with saturable nonlinearities*, Journal of mathematical analysis and applications, **71**(1), 254–263, (2010).
- [16] A. Pankov, *Standing waves for discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equations: sign-changing nonlinearities*, Applicable Analysis, **92**, 308–317 (2013).
- [17] D. E. Pelinovsky, P. G. Kevrekidis, and D. J. Frantzeskakis. *Stability of discrete solitons in nonlinear Schrödinger lattices*, Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena, **212**, 1–19 (2005).
- [18] S. Steinerberger, *An Agmon estimate for Schrödinger operators on graphs*, Lett. Math. Phys., **113**, **12**(1), 9 pp. (2023).

- [19] A. Szulkin, T. Weth; *Ground state solutions for some indefinite variational problems*, J. Funct. Anal., **257**, 3802–3822 (2009).
- [20] A. Szulkin, T. Weth, *The method of Nehari manifold*, Handbook of Convex Analysis and Applications, Somerville, MA: Intern. Press, 597–632 (2010).
- [21] Reed, M., Simon B., *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics, v. 2* Acad. Press, San Diego (1975).
- [22] G. Zhang, A. Pankov, *Standing wave solutions of the discrete non-linear Schrödinger equations with unbounded potentials II*, Applicable Analysis, **89**, 1541–1557 (2010).

APPENDIX A. CRITICAL POINT THEORY

Now, we give some of the results from [20]. For this, first recall some related concepts:

Let \mathcal{E} be an abstract Hilbert space and $Q(u, v)$ be a bounded, symmetric bilinear form on \mathcal{E} . Let $Q(u) = Q(u, u)$ be the associated quadratic form. With respect to the associated quadratic form $Q(u)$, we decompose the Hilbert space \mathcal{E} as follows

$$\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}^- \oplus \mathcal{E}^0 \oplus \mathcal{E}^+,$$

here the form Q is negative definite on \mathcal{E}^- , positive definite on \mathcal{E}^+ and $Q = 0$ on \mathcal{E}^0 . Thus, for any $u, v \in \mathcal{E}$ we have

$$u = u^- + u^0 + u^+, \quad Q(u, v) = Q(u^+, v^+) + Q(u^-, v^-),$$

where $u^-, v^- \in \mathcal{E}^-$, $u^0 \in \mathcal{E}^0$ and $u^+, v^+ \in \mathcal{E}^+$.

On the space \mathcal{E} , we have a functional J of the form

$$J(u) = \frac{1}{2}Q(u) - \Phi(u),$$

where Φ is a C^1 functional on \mathcal{E} such that $\Phi(0) = 0$. The derivative of J at u is given by

$$\nabla J(u)v = Q(u, v) - \nabla \Phi(u)v$$

for all $v \in \mathcal{E}$.

Set $F = \mathcal{E}^- \oplus \mathcal{E}^0$. For the existence of nontrivial critical points, we also need to define

$$\mathcal{E}(u) = \mathbb{R}u \oplus \mathcal{F} = \mathbb{R}u^+ \oplus \mathcal{F},$$

and

$$\hat{\mathcal{E}}(u) = \{tu + v : t \geq 0, v \in \mathcal{F}\} = \{tu^+ + v : t \geq 0, v \in \mathcal{F}\}.$$

In this section we suppose the following assumptions hold:

- (i) *The functional Φ is weakly lower semicontinuous and*

$$\frac{1}{2}\nabla \Phi(u)u > \Phi(u) > 0, \quad \forall u \neq 0.$$

- (ii) *For each $w \in \mathcal{E} \setminus \mathcal{F}$ the functional $J|_{\hat{\mathcal{E}}(w)}$ has a unique nonzero critical point $m(w) \in \hat{\mathcal{E}}(w)$. At that point, $J|_{\hat{\mathcal{E}}(w)}$ achieves its global maximum.*

The *generalized Nehari manifold* of the functional J is defined by

$$\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}(J) = \{u \in \mathcal{E} \setminus \mathcal{F} : \nabla J(u)u = 0 \text{ and } \nabla J(u)v = 0 \text{ for all } v \in \mathcal{F}\}.$$

If $u \neq 0$ is a critical point of J , then

$$J(u) = J(u) - \frac{1}{2}\nabla J(u)u = \frac{1}{2}\nabla \Phi(u)u - \Phi(u) > 0.$$

But, the critical value $J(u) \leq 0$ for each $u \in \mathcal{F}$. This implies that \mathcal{N} contains all nonzero critical points of J . Furthermore, if $w \in \mathcal{E} \setminus \mathcal{F}$, then $\mathcal{N} \cap \hat{\mathcal{E}}(w)$ consists of exactly one point $m(w)$, that is, $\mathcal{N} = \{m(w) : w \in \mathcal{E} \setminus \mathcal{F}\}$.

We will use the next result from [20] (see Theorem 35) to prove the existence of solutions.

Theorem A.1. *We suppose (i) and (ii), and the following assumptions*

- (iii) $\nabla\Phi(u) = o(\|u\|_{\mathcal{E}})$ as $u \rightarrow 0$;
- (iv) $\Phi(tu)/t^2 \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly for u on weakly compact subsets of $\mathcal{E} \setminus \{0\}$;
- (v) $\nabla\Phi$ is completely continuous.

are satisfied. Then

$$c = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}} J(u) > 0$$

is a nontrivial critical value of J . Additionally, if Φ is even, then the equation $\nabla J(u) = 0$ has at least $\dim \mathcal{E}^+$ pairs of nontrivial solutions.

Remark A.2. The infimum of J over \mathcal{N} has the following minimax characterization

$$c = \inf_{w \in \mathcal{E} \setminus \mathcal{F}} \max_{u \in \hat{\mathcal{E}}(w)} J(u) = \inf_{w \in \mathcal{E}^+, \|w\|=1} \max_{u \in \hat{\mathcal{E}}(w)} J(u).$$

The critical value c and the corresponding critical points are called *ground level* and *ground critical points* of the functional, respectively.

SETENAY AKDUMAN, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, IZMIR DEMOCRACY UNIVERSITY, IZMIR, 35140, TURKEY

SEDEF KARAKILIÇ, DOKUZ EYLÜL UNIVERSITY, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, IZMIR TURKEY

MATTHIAS HOFMANN, FAKULTÄT MATHEMATIK UND INFORMATIK, FERNUNIVERSITÄT IN HAGEN, D-58084 HAGEN, GERMANY