

Morrey spaces over the unit circle cannot be renormed to become rearrangement-invariant

Oleksiy Karlovykh and Eugene Shargorodsky

ABSTRACT. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $0 < \lambda < 1$. We consider the classical Morrey space $L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})$ over the unit circle \mathbb{T} . We show that there are equimeasurable functions $f, g : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $g \in L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})$ but $f \notin L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})$. This implies that the the space $L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})$ cannot be renormed to become rearrangement-invariant.

1. Introduction and the main result

Let \mathbb{T} be the unit circle and m be the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{T} normalised so that $m(\mathbb{T}) = 1$. For $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $0 \leq \lambda < 1$, the Morrey space $L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})$ is the collection of all measurable functions $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\|f\|_{L^{p,\lambda}} := \sup_{\omega \subset \mathbb{T}} \left(\frac{1}{m(\omega)^\lambda} \int_{\omega} |f(\zeta)|^p dm(\zeta) \right)^{1/p} < \infty,$$

where the supremum is taken over all arcs $\omega \subset \mathbb{T}$. It is well known that $L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})$ is a Banach function space in the sense of [1, Ch. 1, Definition 1.1] (cf. [3, Sections 1.2.1 and 1.2.4], where Morrey spaces over \mathbb{R}^n are considered).

Recall that two measurable functions $f, g : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are said to be equimeasurable if

$$m\{\zeta \in \mathbb{T} : |f(\zeta)| > \lambda\} = m\{\zeta \in \mathbb{T} : |g(\zeta)| > \lambda\}$$

for all $\lambda \geq 0$. A Banach function space $X(\mathbb{T})$ is said to be rearrangement-invariant if for every pair of equimeasurable functions $f, g : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ one has $\|f\|_X = \|g\|_X$. We are interested in the question whether the Morrey spaces $L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})$ are rearrangement-invariant.

One can replace the unit circle \mathbb{T} by the real line \mathbb{R} and define the Morrey space $L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R})$ in a similar fashion. The behaviour of functions in the Morrey space $L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R})$ near infinity may be quite complicated. In particular, it follows from [2, Lemma 6.1] that $L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R})$ is not embedded into $L^1(\mathbb{R}) + L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ if $0 < \lambda < 1$. Therefore, in view of [1, Ch. 1, Theorem 6.6], the Morrey space $L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R})$ is not rearrangement-invariant if $0 < \lambda < 1$.

The above complications do not occur in the case of the unit circle, and one has to use a different argument to show that $L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})$ is not rearrangement-invariant even after renorming. The following is the main result of the paper.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 46E30.

Key words and phrases. Morrey space, rearrangement-invariant space.

THEOREM 1.1. *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $0 < \lambda < 1$. Then there exist equimeasurable functions $f, g : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $g \in L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})$ but $f \notin L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})$.*

This theorem immediately implies that the Morrey space $L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})$ cannot be renormed so that it becomes rearrangement-invariant. This result is probably well known to experts, but we have not been able to find an appropriate reference. The main aim of the paper is to provide such a reference.

2. Proof

Let $0 < \varepsilon < \min\{\lambda/2, 1 - \lambda\}$. For $-\pi \leq \theta \leq \pi$, consider the functions

$$f(e^{i\theta}) := \begin{cases} n^{(1-\lambda+\varepsilon)/p}, & \frac{1}{n+1} < \theta < \frac{1}{n}, \quad n = 16, 17, \dots, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$g(e^{i\theta}) := \begin{cases} n^{(1-\lambda+\varepsilon)/p}, & \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} - \frac{1}{n(n+1)} < \theta < \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}, \quad n = 16, 17, \dots, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Clearly, f and g are equimeasurable.

Since

$$\frac{1}{n+1} < \theta < \frac{1}{n} \leq \frac{1}{16}, \quad n = 16, 17, \dots,$$

we have

$$n > \frac{1}{\theta} - 1 \geq \frac{1}{2\theta}, \quad n = 16, 17, \dots$$

and

$$f(e^{i\theta}) \geq (2\theta)^{-(1-\lambda+\varepsilon)/p}, \quad 0 < \theta < \frac{1}{16}.$$

Therefore, for every $t \in (0, 1/16)$ and $\omega_t := \{e^{i\theta} : 0 < \theta < t\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{m(\omega_t)^\lambda} \int_{\omega_t} |f(\zeta)|^p dm(\zeta) &\geq \frac{1}{(t/(2\pi))^\lambda} \frac{2^{-(1-\lambda+\varepsilon)}}{2\pi} \int_0^t \theta^{-(1-\lambda+\varepsilon)} d\theta \\ &= \frac{(4\pi)^{\lambda-1}}{2^\varepsilon} t^{-\lambda} \frac{1}{\lambda-\varepsilon} t^{\lambda-\varepsilon} = \frac{(4\pi)^{\lambda-1}}{2^\varepsilon(\lambda-\varepsilon)} t^{-\varepsilon} \rightarrow +\infty \end{aligned}$$

as $t \rightarrow 0+$. Hence $f \notin L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})$.

On the other hand, we are going to show that $g \in L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})$. Let $\mathbb{T}_+ := \{e^{i\theta} : 0 < \theta < \frac{1}{4}\}$. Since $g(\zeta) = 0$ for $\zeta \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{T}_+$, one has

$$\sup_{\omega \subset \mathbb{T}} \left(\frac{1}{m(\omega)^\lambda} \int_\omega |g(\zeta)|^p dm(\zeta) \right)^{1/p} = \sup_{\omega \subset \mathbb{T}_+} \left(\frac{1}{m(\omega)^\lambda} \int_\omega |g(\zeta)|^p dm(\zeta) \right)^{1/p}.$$

Take any $\omega \subset \mathbb{T}_+$. We only need to consider arcs ω that intersect at least one of the arcs

$$\gamma_n := \left\{ e^{i\theta} : \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} - \frac{1}{n(n+1)} < \theta < \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right\}, \quad n = 16, 17, \dots$$

Set

$$n_1 := \max \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \inf_{e^{it} \in \omega} t < \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right\},$$

$$n_0 := \min \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \sup_{e^{it} \in \omega} t > \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} - \frac{1}{n(n+1)} \right\}.$$

Since n_1 corresponds to the leftmost arc γ_n intersecting ω while n_0 corresponds to the rightmost such arc, $n_0 \leq n_1$.

If $n_0 = n_1$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{m(\omega)^\lambda} \int_\omega |g(\zeta)|^p dm(\zeta) &= \frac{1}{m(\omega)^\lambda} \int_{\omega \cap \gamma_{n_0}} |g(\zeta)|^p dm(\zeta) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{m(\omega \cap \gamma_{n_0})^\lambda} \int_{\omega \cap \gamma_{n_0}} |g(\zeta)|^p dm(\zeta) \\
&= m(\omega \cap \gamma_{n_0})^{1-\lambda} n_0^{1-\lambda+\varepsilon} \leq m(\gamma_{n_0})^{1-\lambda} n_0^{1-\lambda+\varepsilon} \\
&= (2\pi n_0(n_0+1))^{\lambda-1} n_0^{1-\lambda+\varepsilon} < n_0^{\lambda-1+\varepsilon} < 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Suppose now $n_0 < n_1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
m(\omega) &\geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_0}} - \frac{1}{n_0(n_0+1)} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_1}} \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n_0}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_1}} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n_0}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_0+1}} \right) - \frac{1}{n_0(n_0+1)} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n_0}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_1}} \right) + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{n_0}\sqrt{n_0+1}(\sqrt{n_0+1} + \sqrt{n_0})} - \frac{1}{n_0(n_0+1)} \\
&> \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n_0}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_1}} \right) + \frac{1}{4(n_0+1)\sqrt{n_0}} - \frac{1}{n_0(n_0+1)} \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n_0}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_1}} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality holds because $n_0 \geq 16$. Further,

$$\begin{aligned}
2\pi \int_\omega |g(\zeta)|^p dm(\zeta) &\leq \sum_{n=n_0}^{n_1} n^{1-\lambda+\varepsilon} \frac{1}{n(n+1)} < \sum_{n=n_0}^{n_1} n^{-1-\lambda+\varepsilon} \\
&< 4 \sum_{n=n_0}^{n_1} \int_n^{n+1} x^{-1-\lambda+\varepsilon} dx = 4 \int_{n_0}^{n_1+1} x^{-1-\lambda+\varepsilon} dx \\
&< 8 \int_{n_0}^{n_1} x^{-1-\lambda+\varepsilon} dx < 8 \int_{n_0}^{n_1} x^{-1-\lambda/2} dx \\
&= \frac{16}{\lambda} \left(n_0^{-\lambda/2} - n_1^{-\lambda/2} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{m(\omega)^\lambda} \int_\omega |g(\zeta)|^p dm(\zeta) &< 2^\lambda \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n_0}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_1}} \right)^{-\lambda} \frac{16}{2\pi\lambda} \left(n_0^{-\lambda/2} - n_1^{-\lambda/2} \right) \\
&= \frac{2^{\lambda+3}}{\pi\lambda} \left(\left(\frac{n_1}{n_0} \right)^{1/2} - 1 \right)^{-\lambda} \left(\left(\frac{n_1}{n_0} \right)^{\lambda/2} - 1 \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\phi_\lambda(y) := \left(y^{1/2} - 1 \right)^{-\lambda} \left(y^{\lambda/2} - 1 \right), \quad y > 1.$$

Since ϕ_λ is continuous on $(1, \infty)$ and

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow 1} \phi_\lambda(y) = 0, \quad \lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \phi_\lambda(y) = 1,$$

one has

$$M_\lambda := \sup_{y \in (1, \infty)} \phi_\lambda(y) < \infty,$$

and

$$\frac{1}{m(\omega)^\lambda} \int_\omega |g(\zeta)|^p dm(\zeta) < \frac{2^{\lambda+3} M_\lambda}{\pi \lambda}.$$

So, $g \in L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})$. □

The above method allows one to easily construct equimeasurable functions $f, g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $g \in L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R})$ but $f \notin L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R})$.

Funding. This work is funded by national funds through the FCT - Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia, I.P., under the scope of the projects UIDB/00297/2020 (<https://doi.org/10.54499/UIDB/00297/2020>) and UIDP/00297/2020 (<https://doi.org/10.54499/UIDP/00297/2020>) (Center for Mathematics and Applications).

References

- [1] C. Bennett and R. Sharpley. *Interpolation of operators*, volume 129 of *Pure and Applied Mathematics*. Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, 1988.
- [2] D. I. Hakim and Y. Sawano. Calderón's first and second complex interpolations of closed subspaces of Morrey spaces. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, 23(5):1195–1226, 2017.
- [3] Y. Sawano, G. Di Fazio, and D. I. Hakim. *Morrey spaces—introduction and applications to integral operators and PDE's. Vol. I*. Monographs and Research Notes in Mathematics. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2020.

CENTRO DE MATEMÁTICA E APLICAÇÕES, DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, FACULDADE DE CIÊNCIAS E TECNOLOGIA, UNIVERSIDADE NOVA DE LISBOA, QUINTA DA TORRE, 2829-516 CAPARICA, PORTUGAL

Email address: `oyk@fct.unl.pt`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KING'S COLLEGE LONDON, STRAND, LONDON WC2R 2LS, UNITED KINGDOM

Email address: `eugene.shargorodsky@kcl.ac.uk`