

Talk2X - AN OPEN-SOURCE TOOLKIT FACILITATING DEPLOYMENT OF LLM-POWERED CHATBOTS ON THE WEB

A PREPRINT

 **Lars Krupp**

German Research Center for Artificial Intelligence,
RPTU Kaiserslautern-Landau
Kaiserslautern, Germany
lars.krupp@dfki.de

 **Daniel Geißler**

German Research Center for Artificial Intelligence
Kaiserslautern, Germany

 **Peter Hevesi**

German Research Center for Artificial Intelligence,
coneno GmbH
Kaiserslautern, Germany

 **Marco Hirsch**

German Research Center for Artificial Intelligence
Kaiserslautern, Germany

 **Paul Lukowicz**

German Research Center for Artificial Intelligence,
RPTU Kaiserslautern-Landau
Kaiserslautern, Germany

 **Jakob Karolus**

German Research Center for Artificial Intelligence,
RPTU Kaiserslautern-Landau
Kaiserslautern, Germany

April 7, 2025

ABSTRACT

Integrated into websites, LLM-powered chatbots offer alternative means of navigation and information retrieval, leading to a shift in how users access information on the web. Yet, predominantly closed-sourced solutions limit proliferation among web hosts and suffer from a lack of transparency with regard to implementation details and energy efficiency. In this work, we propose our openly available agent *Talk2X* leveraging an adapted retrieval-augmented generation approach (RAG) combined with an automatically generated vector database, benefiting energy efficiency. *Talk2X*'s architecture is generalizable to arbitrary websites offering developers a ready to use tool for integration. Using a mixed-methods approach, we evaluated *Talk2X*'s usability by tasking users to acquire specific assets from an open science repository. *Talk2X* significantly improved task completion time, correctness, and user experience supporting users in quickly pinpointing specific information as compared to standard user-website interaction. Our findings contribute technical advancements to an ongoing paradigm shift of how we access information on the web.

Keywords Large Language Models · Retrieval-Augmented Generation · Chatbot Usability

1 Introduction

Nowadays, we almost exclusively access information through the internet Singh et al. [2022]. Yet, flawed website experiences still frequently plague users Garfield [2023]. As such, with the ever-increasing amount of data available on the web finding relevant information becomes increasingly difficult Taylor [2023]. Large language models (LLMs) have surfaced as a possible alternative information provider, seemingly enabling convenient access to information. Paired with grounding methods, such as retrieval-augmented generation (RAG) Lewis et al. [2020], they become a valid alternative capable of accessing structured information, thus allowing them to cite sources and to reduce problematic LLM-induced hallucinations Huang et al. [2023].

Further pursuing this idea, researchers developed LLM-powered agents Deng et al. [2024] able to select and populate suited functions automatically to solve given tasks. This tool use¹ includes calling functions for data retrieval and analysis, allowing them to cope with complex search queries through self-evaluation of retrieved information.

These technologies steadily integrate into everyday web interaction. As an alternative way of retrieving information from a website, integrated LLM-powered chatbots change how users access information on the web. Yet, lack of transparency regarding implementation details and potentially poor energy efficiency limit the democratization of these technological advances. Especially for information retrieval on websites, large context sizes are necessary to encapsulate the web content, negatively impacting energy efficiency.

In this work, we present an adapted implementation through *Talk2X*, an openly available function calling agent² leveraging an array of optimized search functions that is capable of autonomously executing complex queries on its pre-generated vector database to access relevant information of a website. Leveraging similarity metrics on vector embeddings in its database allows *Talk2X* to work efficiently. Further, its system architecture is generalizable to arbitrary websites offering developers a ready to use tool for integration.

We evaluated the usability of *Talk2X* through a mixed-methods approach in two studies, tasking participants to retrieve assets and information from an open science website. Our first study (N=108) was conducted through Prolific. Choosing a between-subject design, we tasked participants to acquire the information either with the help of *Talk2X* or solely by accessing the website themselves. Our results showed significantly improved task completion times (TCT), effectiveness and usability in favor of *Talk2X*. To investigate individual interaction patterns for either conditions (*Talk2X* vs. *Website*), we conducted a second study (N=12), set up using a think-aloud protocol. We found that interaction patterns aligned well with behaviors reported in the literature Krupp et al. [2023], confirming the usability of our approach.

Our work contributes (1) technical advancements through an open-source agent² to an ongoing paradigm shift of how we access information on the web and (2) presents a systematic usability and sustainability evaluation of its feasibility.

2 Related Work

Offering convenient access through a natural language interface (chatbots), LLMs have become an important source of information for many McClain [2024]. In this section, we elaborate on this paradigm shift, looking at website technology, chatbots and LLMs.

2.1 Website Usability

The design of websites has changed significantly from the first text-based webpages to today’s design paradigms Ottervig [2022]. As the underlying technologies improved Postel [1981], Huitema [1995] and new technologies were added, such as CSS Lie and Bos [1996] or the semantic web Lassila et al. [2001], the users expectations of a website also underwent changes Baharum and Jaafar [2013].

Developers in turn have produced guidelines to ensure consistency for users Garrett et al. [2016]. This includes how the user interface should look like, the navigation bar dos Santos et al. [2011] and breadcrumbs Lida et al. [2003]. However, not all websites follow these guidelines, and even when followed, with increasing complexity the difficulty to find something on a website also increases. Leading to users struggling to find information even on well designed websites Ahmed [2022]. However, recently chatbots are increasingly being used on webpages to support user interaction.

2.2 Chatbots

Chatbots have been used in a number of fields, such as education Kerlyl et al. [2006], medicine Dharwadkar and Deshpande [2018] and customer service Cui et al. [2017]. In recent years many companies have started integrating some form of chatbot into their website. Airlines like Lufthansa, who introduced its chatbot Elisa in 2019 Shroff [2019], and Air Canada Yagoda [2024] serve as examples. While Elisa is still in use, Air Canada was recently forced to give a customer a discount that its chatbot hallucinated, which ultimately led to the chatbot being taken offline Loe [2024]. Before the emergence of LLMs, chatbots were rule-based or used natural language processing techniques to try to understand user queries Adamopoulou and Moussiades [2020]. In the last years, the amount of work on chatbots has increased drastically with LLMs identified as the next key technology further driving this growth Lee et al. [2023]. However, while the adoption of LLMs allows to build more complex chatbots than ever before, it also opens them up to

¹Tool use and function calling are often used interchangeably in the context of LLMs. In this work, we will refer to the action of an LLM calling an external function with inputs and waiting for the results of its execution as function calling.

²<https://github.com/aiondemand/aiod-chatbot>

the weaknesses of these models. Hallucinations, as seen in the airline example, serve as a prominent reminder of their shortcomings.

2.3 Large Language Models

Since Vaswani et al. Vaswani [2017] published their work on the transformer architecture, large strides have been made in the field. Spurred by the success of ChatGPT, a number of different model lines have been developed, such as LLaMA Touvron et al. [2023a,b], GPT-4 Dubey et al. [2024], Mistral Jiang et al. [2023, 2024], and GPT-4o OpenAI et al. [2024], Radford et al. [2019]. More recently, multi-modal LLMs have been gaining traction with models GPT-4V(ision) and PaLM-E Driess et al. [2023]. However, hallucinations are a common issue for these models Huang et al. [2023], leading to the adoption of retrieval-augmented generation (RAG) Shuster et al. [2021]. In the basic RAG system the user query is first embedded to deploy a semantic similarity search on a vector database, providing the most similar search results to the LLM as context to answer the query. More complex systems, called agents, use tools or call functions during the LLM execution Masterman et al. [2024]. Some agents use methods like ReAct Yao et al. [2022], allowing them to cyclically formulate thoughts, call functions depending on the formulated thoughts and evaluate the results until a stopping condition is reached. Others use linguistic feedback Shinn et al. [2023], chain-of-abstraction reasoning Gao et al. [2024] or even have multiple agents cooperate to solve a problem Shi et al. [2024]. In our work, we adapted some of these techniques, including the general concept of the cyclical ReAct approach in combination with an adapted RAG method. We integrated these ideas into *Talk2X* to increase its efficiency and effectiveness during search.

3 Methodology

Our work advocates the introduction of LLM-readable representations of website content. Here, retrieval-augmented generation (RAG) has been established as a way to efficiently provide LLMs with up-to-date sources of information Lewis et al. [2020], incidentally also reducing hallucinations Shuster et al. [2021]. We decided to adapt the RAG-based approach allowing us to store the website content in the form of a vector database, enabling the agent to retrieve information selectively. Our approach eliminates the need to crawl a website repeatedly by storing the website content in the vector database, increasing energy efficiency.

Additionally, we enable the agent to autonomously search for relevant information within its database through a set of functions (Section 4). This reduces the computational overhead (populating the database only once) and decreases the amount of tokens in the context (condensed database representation of the website). Ultimately, our approach allows agents to execute queries more sustainably and at scale.

To confirm the feasibility of this concept, we evaluated our system’s usability and user experience in two studies, analyzing and comparing users’ interaction behaviors. Our investigation was governed by the following research questions.

RQ1: What are (dis)advantages of using *Talk2X* compared to the standard website interaction? To answer this question we conducted a quantitative study (N=108) through Prolific, measuring task completion times and usability of *Talk2X* as compared to traditional website interaction (Section 5.1). This study serves as feasibility evaluation of our concept.

RQ2: How does *Talk2X* influence the participants’ usage behaviour? To answer this research question we conducted a follow-up study (N=12), where we employed a think-aloud protocol (Section 5.2) to analyze users’ interaction behaviors when using *Talk2X*. We confirmed whether user behavior with our system differs from reported behavior with LLM-powered chatbots as reported in literature.

4 Implementation of *Talk2X*

Talk2X is a function calling agent orchestrating a series of efficient search algorithms. It consists of an LLM prompted to plan how to answer a user query, use functions when required, evaluate their results, and repeat this process until a satisfactory output is produced. *Talk2X* is able to access a vector database containing a website’s content (website collection) and, if any, the content of its associated database (asset collection). Using a number of functions, *Talk2X* is able to retrieve the relevant context to answer the question from its database. As such, we only have to crawl the website once and are able to provide the needed context to *Talk2X*.

Talk2X consists of three main parts (see Figure 1). The first is the agent interaction flow which models the agent’s behavior when interacting with the user. The other two parts are only executed once to create the vector database needed for the agent interaction flow. They build the website collection and the asset collection as depicted in Figure 1.




Figure 1: Overview over the interactions and system architecture of *Talk2X*.




Figure 2: The agents internal interaction flow upon receiving a user query.

The general idea of *Talk2X* is to build a function calling agent with functions that allow it to retrieve information from the vector database and augment its result generation with it. Since the agent interacts with the vector database, instead of just getting information from it once, it is able to send multiple queries or to refine queries that did not yield the necessary information at first (see Figure 2).

We used LangChain³ to build *Talk2X*, employing GPT4o-mini⁴ as our LLM of choice, due to its performance to cost ratio and speed. The website content was stored in a Chroma⁵ database using OpenAI embeddings. The source code is available on github: <https://github.com/aiondemand/aiod-chatbot>.

4.1 Function Calling

There are multiple ways to make the information contained in the Chroma database available to the agent. We could employ the classical retrieval-augmented generation (RAG) Shuster et al. [2021] methodology and compute a similarity score of the embedding of each question asked by a user with the elements of both collections giving the LLM the best-fitting information as a context. However, this method lacks flexibility as it always searches both collections exactly once. To improve the agents flexibility and ability to answer complex questions, we use function calling instead allowing the agent to interact with the database multiple times per query.

By using function calling, we allow the LLM to decide based on the user query if it needs to get additional information to answer the question and what kind of information it needs. The LLM is then able to call functions to search for this needed information in a collection and evaluate the result. This approach is more flexible compared to the standard RAG and allows the LLM to search multiple times on the same collection with different parameters until it has retrieved all information needed to answer a user query.

We provide three functions for the LLM to call, giving it the option to search both collections effectively.

³<https://www.langchain.com/>

⁴<https://openai.com/index/gpt-4o-mini-advancing-cost-efficient-intelligence/>

⁵<https://www.trychroma.com/>




Figure 3: Building the website collection (a) and the asset collection (b).

- **Website Similarity Search:** Enables the LLM to retrieve relevant information from the website collection using similarity search with L2 as similarity measure.
- **Asset Similarity Search:** Enables the LLM to retrieve relevant information from the asset collection using similarity search with L2 as similarity measure. It can filter by specifying the asset type (publication, dataset, ...) it is looking for.
- **Asset Keyword Search:** Extends the **Asset Similarity Search** enabling the LLM to specify keyword terms that have to occur within the text returned by the similarity search.

4.2 Database Creation

The Chroma database used by *Talk2X* consists of two collections. One, called website collection, storing the content of the crawled webpages, where content was extracted from HTML and PDF. This content was then chunked and stored with its associated vector representation and the link to the webpage as source following the process depicted in Figure 3a. This automatic process can be used to create a collection for any website.

As lots of websites have one or more associated databases, we additionally present how databases can be integrated into *Talk2X*. In our evaluation, the website has an associated metadata database (see Section 5). The content of this database is stored in the asset collection. We first used the website API to access its metadata database and stored the extracted resources in a temporary SQLite database. We decided to store a subset of the complete metadata database in our SQLite database, restricting ourselves to five tables (*datasets*, *educational resources*, *experiments*, *ml models*, *publications*) with four columns (*name*, *link*, *description*, *keywords*) each. We then checked the description and keywords columns and filled empty cells by crawling the webpage associated with the *link* column and prompting an LLM to write a *description* or a list of *keywords* from the crawled information. For data provenance, we also added a column indicating which cells were automatically generated. Finally, we converted the results into a Chroma collection. This was done by converting *link*, *name*, *keywords* and *description* into one string and calculating its vector representation and storing both in the collection together with the string, as seen in Figure 3b. In addition, we stored the resource type (*dataset*, *educational resource*, *experiment*, *ml model* or *publication*) and the source (*link*) as metadata for each row in the collection. Since this process is highly dependent on the structure of the website-associated database there might be a need for adaptations depending on the data store to be transformed into an asset collection.

By providing all collection entries with sources we enabled *Talk2X* to cite its sources of information, making it easier for users to detect hallucinations or get additional information on a topic.

5 Evaluation

Through a combination of two user studies, a quantitative study through Prolific (Section 5.1) and a qualitative think-aloud study in person (Section 5.2), we provide a holistic evaluation of our proposed method as implemented through *Talk2X*.

We chose an open science website (AI on Demand (AIoD): www.aiod.eu) for the evaluation of *Talk2X*, calling the resulting chatbot *Talk2AIoD*. As the European answer to platforms like Zenodo and GitHub, AI on Demand (AIoD) consists of a multitude of different internal services and webpages, including an associated database, allowing us to highlight the advantages of our concept. This heterogeneous nature makes it an ideal candidate to evaluate *Talk2AIoD*.

5.1 Study 1: Usability Evaluation Through Prolific

Study 1 was done through Prolific⁶ employing a between-subject design. Study participants were randomly assigned to either the *Talk2AIoD* condition or the website condition and tasked with retrieving information and assets from the AIoD website. The participants in the *Talk2AIoD* condition were allowed to use *Talk2AIoD* and click on the provided links, while the participants in the website condition were allowed to navigate the website as they wished. Participants were not allowed to use a internet search engine or other external tools. We chose to use Prolific for Study 1 due to its high response quality Douglas et al. [2023], ultimately having to discard less than 2% of all responses.

We tasked the participants with six questions as listed in Table 1. These questions fall into two categories. First, the meta information questions which require the participants to retrieve knowledge contained within the HTML content of the website. Secondly, the asset questions which require information contained within assets, such as datasets, linked on the website.

Table 1: The tasks given to participants. Categorized into meta information questions and asset questions.

Meta information	
Q1	Who is behind the AIoD platform?
Q2	What is the main purpose of AIoD?
Q3	Name two services/functions that are part of the AIoD platform?
Assets	
Q4	Please find a dataset that interests you.
Q5	Please try to find the AIoD metadata catalogue.
Q6	Please try to find the mushroom dataset by Jeff Schlimmer.

5.1.1 Measurements

To evaluate the usability of each condition, we tasked participants to fill in multiple usability questionnaires, depending on their condition. Participants in both conditions were given the UMUX-Lite Lewis et al. [2013] questionnaire and the items USAB2 and USAB3 from the perceived website usability questionnaire (PWU) Flavián et al. [2006] (see Table 3). While participants in the website condition were then asked the other five items of the PWU, participants in the *Talk2AIoD* condition were given five selected questions from the Bot Usability Scale (BUS) Borsci et al. [2022, 2023] seen in Table 2. We decided on this configuration for multiple reasons. Using the questions asked in both conditions, we were able to directly compare them. Note that USAB2 and USAB3 are the only questions also applicable for *Talk2AIoD*, hence their choice. Additionally, by including the complete PWU we were able to evaluate the usability of the website in comparison to other existing websites. By adding the questions from the BUS we also received information on how participants viewed the usability of *Talk2AIoD* in a dedicated chatbot usability questionnaire. Note that administering the whole BUS scale was not possible, as the missing items were not applicable to our scenario.

5.1.2 Apparatus

For both our user studies, we set up a server with CASE CASE [2024], our survey tool of choice. We deployed *Talk2AIoD* on a Unicorn⁷ server. The frontend was implemented using streamlit⁸ and is depicted in Figure 4. In

⁶<https://www.prolific.com/>

⁷<https://unicorn.org/>

⁸<https://streamlit.io/>

Table 2: Selected Bot Usability Scale (BUS) Borsci et al. [2023] questions administered to participants in the *Talk2AIoD* condition.

Abbreviation	Selected Bot Usability Scale (BUS) questions
BUS3	Communicating with the chatbot was clear.
BUS6	I find that the chatbot understands what I want and helps me achieve my goal.
BUS8	The chatbot only gives me the information I need.
BUS9	I feel like the chatbot’s responses were accurate.
BUS11	My waiting time for a response from the chatbot was short.

Table 3: Question items in the perceived website usability (PWU) questionnaire Flavián et al. [2006]. Note that USAB2 and USAB3 were also administered for the *Talk2AIoD* condition.

Abbreviation	<i>Talk2AIoD</i>	Question
USAB1		In this website everything is easy to understand.
USAB2	✓	This website/chatbot is simple to use, even when using it for the first time.
USAB3	✓	It is easy to find the information I need from this website/with this chatbot.
USAB4		The structure and contents of this website are easy to understand.
USAB5		It is easy to move within this website.
USAB6		The organisation of the contents of this site makes it easy for me to know where I am when navigating it.
USAB7		When I am navigating this site, I feel that I am in control of what I can do.

addition we ran a ChromaDB CLI as vector database (Section 4.2) that was connected to *Talk2AIoD*. We logged all interactions with *Talk2AIoD*, with timestamps and user id, allowing for a seamless and complete understanding of the participants and agents behavior. We also implemented a simple memory for the chatbot, allowing it to answer questions about previously produced results and improving its conversational abilities.

Talk2AIoD

This Chatbot was built to guide you through the AIoD website and recommend you resources for your work.

Enter text:

User input query
Can you find the mushroom dataset by Jeff Schlimmer

Submit

Talk2AIoD can make mistakes. Verify important information.

System output
The mushroom dataset by Jeff Schlimmer can be found [here](#). It includes descriptions of mushrooms classified as poisonous or edible, and you can find more details about it in the dataset description.

Source of the dataset provided through AIoD

Figure 4: The user interface of *Talk2AIoD* depicting a search mask and response field.

5.1.3 Procedure

The whole study procedure is visualized in Figure 5 and took approximately 15 minutes to complete. Participants were first asked demographic questions (age, gender, country of residence, country of origin) followed by their familiarity with the AI on Demand (AIoD) platform. They were randomly assigned to either the *Talk2AIoD* or the website condition. Then, participants were provided with the three questions asking for meta information, followed by the three asset acquisition tasks, as seen in Table 1 both in randomized order. Afterwards, all participants were asked to answer their condition-specific usability questionnaires. The study procedure was approved by the DFKI ethics board.

5.1.4 Participants

We collected an initial 110 responses from participants. After removing duplicates, 108 responses were used for analysis. Participants in the *Talk2AIoD* condition (N=57; Age: $\bar{x} = 31.8$ y, $s = 10.7$ y; 24 female, 33 male) reported a low level




Figure 5: The procedure of Study 1. The meta information and asset questions can be found in Table 1.

of experience⁹ with AIoD ($\bar{x} = 17.1$, $s = 17.9$) similar to the website condition ($N=51$, Age: $\bar{x} = 33.0$ y, $s = 9.50$ y, 30 female, 21 male), who also report a low level of AIoD experience ($\bar{x} = 17.9$, $s = 23.8$). The unfamiliarity with AIoD is beneficial for our evaluation eliminating biases. People from more than 20 different countries and four different continents participated in this study. Of these, 64 were born in Europe, 12 in North America, 22 in Africa and 10 in Asia. The participants reported their residence in 16 countries and three different continents. 91 participants resided in Europe, 16 in North America and one in Asia. All participants were reimbursed with an equivalent of 9£ per hour.

5.1.5 Results

We analyzed task completion times, response correctness and usability as measured by the administered questionnaires using one-way ANOVAs after ART Wobbrock et al. [2011] to rank-align the data if normality was violated.

Task completion time (TCT) We found a statistically significant difference between the conditions ($F(1, 106) = 25.87$, $p < 1e^{-5}$) with participants in the chatbot condition ($\bar{x} = 790$ s, $s = 411$ s) completing the survey significantly faster compared to participants in the website condition ($\bar{x} = 1233$ s, $s = 544$ s). In addition to this, we analyzed the data for each question individually. We found statistically significant differences in five of six questions, where the average TCT is lower for participants in the *Talk2AIoD* condition. A visualization of these results is provided in Figure 6.

Response Correctness While participants using the website produced correct answers in 69% of cases, participants using *Talk2AIoD* answered correctly 88% of the time, as seen in Figure 7. We found significant differences for response correctness given the condition ($F(1, 106) = 35.234$, $p < 1e^{-7}$). Note that we excluded Q4 ("Please find a dataset that interests you.") from this analysis, since it is an exploratory question.

Usability To evaluate the **USABILITY** of the conditions we started by transforming the UMUX-Lite questionnaire into SUS Lewis et al. [2015]. We found a significant difference between the conditions ($F(1, 106) = 18.845$, $p < 1e^{-3}$). The difference between the chatbot condition ($\bar{x} = 70.8$, $s = 16.4$) and the website condition ($\bar{x} = 58.8$, $s = 16.8$) is visualized in Figure 8.

We administered the PWU questionnaire Flavián et al. [2006] using a seven point Likert-scale. The result ($\bar{x} = 3.87$, $s = 1.51$) indicates an average website usability Thielsch [2018]. The *Talk2AIoD* usability was evaluated using five selected questions from the Bot usability scale (BUS-11) questionnaire Borsci et al. [2022, 2023], as seen in Table 2. We decided to select these questions since a part of the BUS-11 scale deals with comparing chatbots between each other, which is not applicable in our case. The questions were rated on a seven point Likert-scale and showed above average values for all questions (see Figure 9).

Finally, we evaluated the two items from the PWU that were administered in both conditions, USAB2 and USAB3 (Table 3). We measured a significant difference between our conditions for both USAB2 ($F(1, 106) = 59.2$, $p < 1e^{-3}$) and USAB3 ($F(1, 106) = 35.58$, $p < 1e^{-3}$). Participants in the chatbot condition reported higher values for both USAB2 ($\bar{x} = 5.91$, $s = 1.43$) and USAB3 ($\bar{x} = 5.42$, $s = 1.69$) compared to the website condition ($\bar{x}_{USAB2} = 3.67$, $s_{USAB2} = 1.76$, $\bar{x}_{USAB3} = 3.45$, $s_{USAB3} = 1.83$) as can be seen in Figure 8.

5.2 Study 2: Investigating Interaction Patterns

Study 2 was conducted in person as a think-aloud study Blandford et al. [2016] using a between-subject design. For this qualitative study, all participants had a background in research, a target group of the AIoD platform, allowing us to collect expert feedback. We collected data using screen and audio recordings, and the *Talk2AIoD* logs.

⁹Measured on a visual analog scale from 0 to 100.




Figure 6: Boxplot depicting the TCT for each question with * indicating significance levels. Questions can be found in Table 1. Total is the sum of TCT per participant.

After the study was conducted, we transcribed the audio files using Whisper Radford et al. [2022]. We conducted a focused analysis based on the pragmatic approach by Blandford et al. Blandford et al. [2016]. Two researchers coded an initial representative set of transcripts and compared their results. Differences were discussed and an agreement for an initial coding tree was reached. One researcher then coded the remaining transcripts using the coding tree. A final discussion consolidated the coding tree.

5.2.1 Procedure

We used the same apparatus as in Study 1 but adapted the study protocol. We removed the usability questionnaires, country of origin and country of residence. Instead we asked for the field of research in the demographics and gave the participants five minutes to get acquainted with their condition before asking questions. We later asked for their impressions about the system they used. The study took approximately 20 minutes and was approved by the DFKI ethics board.

5.2.2 Participants

A total of $N=12$ participants took part in Study 2. Of the participants in the *Talk2AIOd* condition ($N=7$; Age: $\bar{x} = 29.9$ y, $s = 4.06$ y; 2 female, 5 male) and *Website* condition ($N=5$; Age: $\bar{x} = 31$ y, $s = 4.80$ y; 0 female, 5 male), only one had heard of AIOd at all. The fields of research reported by participants were computer science (4), embedded intelligence (3), physics and computer science (2), artificial intelligence (2) and human computer interaction (1).

5.2.3 Results

Our analysis surfaced two main themes: INTERACTION PATTERNS and USABILITY ISSUES. We elaborate on the content of each theme and associated quotes.




Figure 7: Boxplot depicting the amount of correct participant responses in percent per condition.




Figure 8: SUS scores (left) and PWU questions (right) given condition. Significance levels marked with *.

INTERACTION PATTERNS We identified several unique INTERACTION PATTERNS for the different conditions. In part, they are directed by the given interfaces. As such, the contrasting patterns depict specific methods on how users leverage these system. For our *Website* condition, users explored the website through its interface elements, mostly focusing on built-in search functions, the navigation bar as well as relying on web standards.

"(...) from the left side, it's very clear. Yeah. I can, for example, I'm not sure the metadata set is one of the very important topic of the website. If it is, I should find it from the menu." (P4)

"If you were on the right pages you had the ability to search for something like the data sets." (P7)




Figure 9: Boxplot depicting the results of the five BUS questions.




Figure 10: The procedure of Study 2. The meta information and asset questions can be found in Table 1.

These interaction patterns align with website guidelines Garrett et al. [2016], Baharum and Jaafar [2013] allowing for generalized interaction paradigms across websites. However, when not applicable, participants reported on fall back solutions, often degenerating in a random exploration of website content.

"I went to the main page. Scrolled down. What was that? Marketplace. Found. Found marketplace. Went back because I think marketplace was the wrong place. Sure. Then I found. Something related. On the main page. And searched. Next. Pages." (P7)

In our *Talk2AloD* condition, user behavior closely followed interaction paradigms from the literature Krupp et al. [2024], Arora et al. [2024]. Nearly all users simply directed their question at *Talk2AloD*, receiving a concrete and correct answer.

"Give me a medical dataset. And it worked. Good. I think that's correct." (P2)

However, users also were incited to probe and challenge the chatbot, testing out its limitations, a behavior well represented in related work Krupp et al. [2023].

USABILITY ISSUES Our interviews also surfaced a few **USABILITY ISSUES** that users struggled with during their tasks. For our *Website* condition in particular, users complained about navigation issues and often experienced expectation mismatches regarding their own ideas on where to find specific information.

"But the problem is, OK, so according to the logic, so I go into the metadata. Maybe there's some menu. I come back to the metadata set, metadata information. So actually, I didn't find it from here. So maybe it should have some information about such metadata" (P4)

Expectation mismatches also occurred in our *Talk2AloD* condition, but of a different nature. Here, users were confused that subtle changes to their prompt did not yield different results from *Talk2AloD*.

"So I ask it simply again, the same thing because the website looked a little weird to me and provides me with the same thing." (P2)

Interestingly, this caveat was also used to positive effect in a strategy that allowed for verification of initial results.

"Let's ask some additional questions. What groups are part of... Behind the AI on demand platform. Group creating AI on demand platform. What groups are part of... What groups are creating... Yes. And that's the same answer. I think unite AI community and promote European values. Alright." (P2)

Participants additionally reported slow responses from *Talk2AioD* that hindered their process.

6 Discussion

We discuss our findings focusing on the comparative performance of *Talk2X* and simple website search, and the limitations of our work. We further provide deployment scenarios for *Talk2X*.

6.1 *Talk2X* Outperforms Traditional Website Search

Our results of Study 1 (see Section 5.1) showed that agents like *Talk2X* can significantly reduce the time it takes to acquire information for users, increasing effectiveness and usability compared to website search (**RQ1**). This improvement mainly manifests in the employed interaction strategies (see Study 2, Section 5.2). Participants with the *Talk2AioD* condition generally asked *direct questions* (**RQ2**), an expected behavior previously reported in literature Krupp et al. [2024]. While negatively connotated there, this behavior can be interpreted positively in our case. Participants **explicitly search for specific information at little expense** and additionally get the information sources enabling them to validate the agents response, cf. Wu et al. [2024].

The extend of this adapted behavior is visible in Figure 6. **Participants using *Talk2AioD* completed their tasks significantly faster**, owed to the direct method of accessing the website's content provided by *Talk2X* (**RQ1**). Instead of sifting through the information themselves participants using *Talk2AioD* were essentially able to outsource this process, saving time. Additionally, we found that using *Talk2AioD* increased the amount of correct responses (**RQ1**).

In addition *Talk2AioD* was **considered significantly more usable** in comparison to the website (see Figure 8). On first glance, the reason for this could be that the website usability was lacking, however, we were able to assess an average PWU score for the website. As such there is a real user preference for *Talk2X* (**RQ1**). This conclusion is also supported by the high values achieved by *Talk2AioD* in the BUS items we evaluated. In particular participants reported that *Talk2AioD* understood their questions (BUS6), was accurate (BUS9) and only gave them the information they needed (BUS8) (**RQ1**).

Agents have the distinct advantage of being able to utilize a user's conversation history. As such, *Talk2X* is also able to converse with its user narrowing down requests and finding assets related to the conversation topic. This advantage is present in *Talk2AioD* for the task completion time of Q4 (see Figure 6) in which participants were asked to find a dataset that interests them. This question gave participants the freedom of choice and encouraged them to explore the available datasets. We found a strong statistical significant difference between the conditions for Q4 with participants using *Talk2AioD* finishing the task in about half the time (**RQ1**). While conversation flows have been shown to be beneficial in multiple domains, such as surveys Kim et al. [2019] and customer service Ngai et al. [2021], the design qualities of this approach remain to be investigated in future research, in particular for asset-heavy website like open science repositories. With increasing LLM capability the advantages of web agents over traditional website use will likely increase, especially in complex information aggregation tasks.

6.2 Limitations

In our evaluation, we implemented *Talk2X* for only one website (*Talk2AioD*) consisting of 19 webpages and its associated resource database. We have chosen *aioD.eu* as an example of a typical open science repository. Yet, our approach is not limited to any particular website (see Section 4) and can be easily adapted to other websites. Although, parts of the pipelines, in particular the asset collection (see Figure 3b), potentially require manual changes according to how data is stored or accessed on the website.

Likewise, we only used a limited set of questions to evaluate our approach. While we selected a variety of different questions, there is an theoretically unlimited amount of possible questions that users may ask. Our questions were informed through actual *aioD.eu* users and explicitly tested both the website collection and the asset collection, making sure that integral components of *Talk2X* were evaluated (see Section 4). In addition, to counteract restrictions through

specific questions, we chose to include Q4 (Table 1), an exploratory question tasking user to find an interesting dataset of their liking.

Finally, while RAG Shuster et al. [2021] increases the reliability of *Talk2X*, hallucinations can still occur. To further increase the reliability and auditability of *Talk2X*, it provided the links to all sources used for its responses. This allowed users to verify the response and check if a hallucination occurred, cf. Wu et al. [2024].

6.3 Deploying and Using an LLM-Powered Chatbot - *Talk2X* Applications

In our work we have shown that agents improve the user experience on websites, leading to increased acceptance and widespread use of the technology. To further illustrate this aspect, we present two use case scenarios involving *Talk2X* from two different perspectives: developer and user.

6.3.1 Deploying a *Talk2X* Agent

Christian has been hosting a blog about gardening for several years now. He is quite proud of his achievement as it is one of the most extensive on the internet, consisting of hundreds of detailed entries. However, users have contacted him, concerned with the increasing difficulty to find information about specific plants or gardening techniques on his blog. To alleviate this issue, Christian decides to implement a version of *Talk2X* tailored for his blog. He builds the vector database based on his blog entries and integrates the user interface into his website. His users have greatly appreciated the added simplicity in finding, accessing, and aggregating information within his blog.

6.3.2 Interacting with *Talk2X*

Ada is a computer scientist with a focus on human activity recognition. She has been searching for datasets with specific properties on the internet for a while and stumbled across the AIOd website. Ada notices the chatbot *Talk2AIOd* to interact with. Curious, she asks for the specific characteristics of a dataset she has been searching for. The chatbot thinks for a moment before it provides a few links to datasets available through the digital library associated with AIOd. One of the links provides exactly the information Ada has been looking for. She is satisfied about the speed of the process and visits the page more often in the future in search for suitable datasets.

7 Conclusion

We present *Talk2X*, a function calling agent that aids users in quickly pinpointing required information on modern-day websites. *Talk2X*'s architecture is designed for efficiency and generalizes to arbitrary websites. We evaluated our system in two studies showing a user preference for *Talk2X*. Participants using *Talk2X* were faster and delivered more correct results than their counterparts executing a baseline website search. Our openly available agent serves as a tool for web hosts to integrate LLM-powered chatbots.

References

- CK Singh, N Pavithra, and Renu Joshi. Internet an integral part of human life in 21st century: a review. *Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology*, 41(36):12–18, 2022.
- Tom Garfield. Why are there so many bad websites? <https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/why-so-many-bad-websites-tom-garfield>, 2023. Accessed: 2025-01-10.
- Petroc Taylor. Volume of data/information created, captured, copied, and consumed worldwide from 2010 to 2020, with forecasts from 2021 to 2025. <https://www.statista.com/statistics/871513/worldwide-data-created/>, 2023. Accessed: 2025-01-10.
- Patrick Lewis, Ethan Perez, Aleksandra Piktus, Fabio Petroni, Vladimir Karpukhin, Naman Goyal, Heinrich Küttler, Mike Lewis, Wen-tau Yih, Tim Rocktäschel, et al. Retrieval-augmented generation for knowledge-intensive nlp tasks. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 33:9459–9474, 2020.
- Lei Huang, Weijiang Yu, Weitao Ma, Weihong Zhong, Zhangyin Feng, Haotian Wang, Qianglong Chen, Weihua Peng, Xiaocheng Feng, Bing Qin, et al. A survey on hallucination in large language models: Principles, taxonomy, challenges, and open questions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.05232*, 2023.
- Xiang Deng, Yu Gu, Boyuan Zheng, Shijie Chen, Sam Stevens, Boshi Wang, Huan Sun, and Yu Su. Mind2web: Towards a generalist agent for the web. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36, 2024.

- Lars Krupp, Steffen Steinert, Maximilian Kiefer-Emmanouilidis, Karina E Avila, Paul Lukowicz, Jochen Kuhn, Stefan Küchemann, and Jakob Karolus. Challenges and opportunities of moderating usage of large language models in education. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.14969*, 2023.
- Colleen McClain. Americans’ use of ChatGPT is ticking up, but few trust its election information. <https://www.pewresearch.org/short-reads/2024/03/26/americans-use-of-chatgpt-is-ticking-up-but-few-trust-its-election-information/>, 2024. Accessed: 2025-01-10.
- Vegard Ottervig. Websites: past and present. <https://www.enonic.com/blog/websites-past-and-present>, 2022. Accessed: 2025-01-10.
- Jon Postel. Rfc0791: Internet protocol, 1981.
- Christian Huitema. *IPv6: the new Internet protocol*. Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1995.
- Håkon Wium Lie and Bert Bos. Cascading style sheets, level 1, 1996.
- Ora Lassila, J Hendler, and T Berners-Lee. The semantic web. *Scientific American*, 284(5):34–43, 2001.
- Aslina Baharum and Azizah Jaafar. Users’ expectation of web objects location: Case study of asean countries. In *Advances in Visual Informatics: Third International Visual Informatics Conference, IVIC 2013, Selangor, Malaysia, November 13-15, 2013. Proceedings 3*, pages 383–395. Springer, 2013.
- Renee Garrett, Jason Chiu, Ly Zhang, and Sean D Young. A literature review: website design and user engagement. *Online journal of communication and media technologies*, 6(3):1, 2016.
- Eduardo PB dos Santos, Silvana MA de Lara, Willian M Watanabe, Mario CA Filho, and Renata PM Fortes. Usability evaluation of horizontal navigation bar with drop-down menus by middle aged adults. In *Proceedings of the 29th ACM international conference on Design of communication*, pages 145–150, 2011.
- Bonnie Lida, Spring Hull, and Katie Pilcher. Breadcrumb navigation: An exploratory study of usage. *Usability News*, 5(1):1–7, 2003.
- Arooj Ahmed. Research Shows Bad Website Design Has Been Turning Customers Away. <https://www.digitalinformationworld.com/2022/12/research-shows-bad-website-design-has.html>, 2022. Accessed: 2025-01-10.
- Alice Kerlyl, Phil Hall, and Susan Bull. Bringing chatbots into education: Towards natural language negotiation of open learner models. In *International conference on innovative techniques and applications of artificial intelligence*, pages 179–192. Springer, 2006.
- Rashmi Dharwadkar and Neeta A Deshpande. A medical chatbot. *International Journal of Computer Trends and Technology (IJCTT)*, 60(1):41–45, 2018.
- Lei Cui, Shaohan Huang, Furu Wei, Chuanqi Tan, Chaoqun Duan, and Ming Zhou. Superagent: A customer service chatbot for e-commerce websites. In *Proceedings of ACL 2017, system demonstrations*, pages 97–102, 2017.
- Aneri Shroff. Lufthansa Group starts chatbot services for network airlines. <https://www.businessstraveller.com/business-travel/2019/05/10/lufthansa-group-starts-chatbot-services-for-network-airlines/>, 2019. Accessed: 2025-01-10.
- Maria Yagoda. Airline held liable for its chatbot giving passenger bad advice - what this means for travellers. <https://www.bbc.com/travel/article/20240222-air-canada-chatbot-misinformation-what-travellers-should-know>, 2024. Accessed: 2025-01-10.
- Molly Loe. Oh, Air Canada! Airline pays out after AI accident. <https://techhq.com/2024/02/air-canada-refund-for-customer-who-used-chatbot/>, 2024. Accessed: 2025-01-10.
- Eleni Adamopoulou and Lefteris Moussiades. An overview of chatbot technology. In *IFIP international conference on artificial intelligence applications and innovations*, pages 373–383. Springer, 2020.
- Sae Eun Lee, Naan Ju, and Kyu-Hye Lee. Service chatbot: Co-citation and big data analysis toward a review and research agenda. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 194:122722, 2023.
- Ashish Vaswani. Attention is all you need. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1706.03762*, 2017.
- Hugo Touvron, Thibaut Lavril, Gautier Izacard, Xavier Martinet, Marie-Anne Lachaux, Timothée Lacroix, Baptiste Rozière, Naman Goyal, Eric Hambro, Faisal Azhar, et al. Llama: Open and efficient foundation language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.13971*, 2023a.

- Hugo Touvron, Louis Martin, Kevin Stone, Peter Albert, Amjad Almahairi, Yasmine Babaei, Nikolay Bashlykov, Soumya Batra, Prajjwal Bhargava, Shruti Bhosale, et al. Llama 2: Open foundation and fine-tuned chat models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.09288*, 2023b.
- Abhimanyu Dubey, Abhinav Jauhri, Abhinav Pandey, Abhishek Kadian, Ahmad Al-Dahle, Aiesha Letman, Akhil Mathur, Alan Schelten, Amy Yang, Angela Fan, et al. The llama 3 herd of models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.21783*, 2024.
- Albert Q Jiang, Alexandre Sablayrolles, Arthur Mensch, Chris Bamford, Devendra Singh Chaplot, Diego de las Casas, Florian Bressand, Gianna Lengyel, Guillaume Lample, Lucile Saulnier, et al. Mistral 7b. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.06825*, 2023.
- Albert Q Jiang, Alexandre Sablayrolles, Antoine Roux, Arthur Mensch, Blanche Savary, Chris Bamford, Devendra Singh Chaplot, Diego de las Casas, Emma Bou Hanna, Florian Bressand, et al. Mixtral of experts. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.04088*, 2024.
- OpenAI, Josh Achiam, Steven Adler, Sandhini Agarwal, Lama Ahmad, Ilge Akkaya, Florencia Leoni Aleman, Diogo Almeida, Janko Altschmidt, Sam Altman, Shyamal Anadkat, Red Avila, Igor Babuschkin, Suchir Balaji, Valerie Balcom, Paul Baltescu, Haiming Bao, Mohammad Bavarian, Jeff Belgum, Irwan Bello, Jake Berdine, Gabriel Bernadett-Shapiro, Christopher Berner, Lenny Bogdonoff, Oleg Boiko, Madelaine Boyd, Anna-Luisa Brakman, Greg Brockman, Tim Brooks, Miles Brundage, Kevin Button, Trevor Cai, Rosie Campbell, Andrew Cann, Brittany Carey, Chelsea Carlson, Rory Carmichael, Brooke Chan, Che Chang, Fotis Chantzis, Derek Chen, Sully Chen, Ruby Chen, Jason Chen, Mark Chen, Ben Chess, Chester Cho, Casey Chu, Hyung Won Chung, Dave Cummings, Jeremiah Currier, Yunxing Dai, Cory Decareaux, Thomas Degry, Noah Deutsch, Damien Deville, Arka Dhar, David Dohan, Steve Dowling, Sheila Dunning, Adrien Ecoffet, Atty Eleti, Tyna Eloundou, David Farhi, Liam Fedus, Niko Felix, Simón Posada Fishman, Juston Forte, Isabella Fulford, Leo Gao, Elie Georges, Christian Gibson, Vik Goel, Tarun Gogineni, Gabriel Goh, Rapha Gontijo-Lopes, Jonathan Gordon, Morgan Grafstein, Scott Gray, Ryan Greene, Joshua Gross, Shixiang Shane Gu, Yufei Guo, Chris Hallacy, Jesse Han, Jeff Harris, Yuchen He, Mike Heaton, Johannes Heidecke, Chris Hesse, Alan Hickey, Wade Hickey, Peter Hoeschele, Brandon Houghton, Kenny Hsu, Shengli Hu, Xin Hu, Joost Huizinga, Shantanu Jain, Shawn Jain, Joanne Jang, Angela Jiang, Roger Jiang, Haozhun Jin, Denny Jin, Shino Jomoto, Billie Jonn, Heewoo Jun, Tomer Kaftan, Łukasz Kaiser, Ali Kamali, Ingmar Kanitscheider, Nitish Shirish Keskar, Tabarak Khan, Logan Kilpatrick, Jong Wook Kim, Christina Kim, Yongjik Kim, Jan Hendrik Kirchner, Jamie Kiros, Matt Knight, Daniel Kokotajlo, Łukasz Kondraciuk, Andrew Kondrich, Aris Konstantinidis, Kyle Kosic, Gretchen Krueger, Vishal Kuo, Michael Lampe, Ikai Lan, Teddy Lee, Jan Leike, Jade Leung, Daniel Levy, Chak Ming Li, Rachel Lim, Molly Lin, Stephanie Lin, Mateusz Litwin, Theresa Lopez, Ryan Lowe, Patricia Lue, Anna Makanju, Kim Malfacini, Sam Manning, Todor Markov, Yaniv Markovski, Bianca Martin, Katie Mayer, Andrew Mayne, Bob McGrew, Scott McKinney, Christine McLeavey, Paul McMillan, Jake McNeil, David Medina, Aalok Mehta, Jacob Menick, Luke Metz, Andrey Mishchenko, Pamela Mishkin, Vinnie Monaco, Evan Morikawa, Daniel Mossing, Tong Mu, Mira Murati, Oleg Murk, David Mély, Ashvin Nair, Reiichiro Nakano, Rajeev Nayak, Arvind Neelakantan, Richard Ngo, Hyeonwoo Noh, Long Ouyang, Cullen O’Keefe, Jakub Pachocki, Alex Paino, Joe Palermo, Ashley Pantuliano, Giambattista Parascandolo, Joel Parish, Emy Parparita, Alex Passos, Mikhail Pavlov, Andrew Peng, Adam Perelman, Filipe de Avila Belbute Peres, Michael Petrov, Henrique Ponde de Oliveira Pinto, Michael, Pokorný, Michelle Pokrass, Vitchyr H. Pong, Tolly Powell, Alethea Power, Boris Power, Elizabeth Proehl, Raul Puri, Alec Radford, Jack Rae, Aditya Ramesh, Cameron Raymond, Francis Real, Kendra Rimbach, Carl Ross, Bob Rotsted, Henri Roussez, Nick Ryder, Mario Saltarelli, Ted Sanders, Shibani Santurkar, Girish Sastry, Heather Schmidt, David Schnurr, John Schulman, Daniel Selsam, Kyla Sheppard, Toki Sherbakov, Jessica Shieh, Sarah Shoker, Pranav Shyam, Szymon Sidor, Eric Sigler, Maddie Simens, Jordan Sitkin, Katarina Slama, Ian Sohl, Benjamin Sokolowsky, Yang Song, Natalie Staudacher, Felipe Petroski Such, Natalie Summers, Ilya Sutskever, Jie Tang, Nikolas Tezak, Madeleine B. Thompson, Phil Tillet, Amin Tootoonchian, Elizabeth Tseng, Preston Tuggle, Nick Turley, Jerry Tworek, Juan Felipe Cerón Uribe, Andrea Vallone, Arun Vijayvergiya, Chelsea Voss, Carroll Wainwright, Justin Jay Wang, Alvin Wang, Ben Wang, Jonathan Ward, Jason Wei, CJ Weinmann, Akila Welihinda, Peter Welinder, Jiayi Weng, Lilian Weng, Matt Wiethoff, Dave Willner, Clemens Winter, Samuel Wolrich, Hannah Wong, Lauren Workman, Sherwin Wu, Jeff Wu, Michael Wu, Kai Xiao, Tao Xu, Sarah Yoo, Kevin Yu, Qiming Yuan, Wojciech Zaremba, Rowan Zellers, Chong Zhang, Marvin Zhang, Shengjia Zhao, Tianhao Zheng, Juntang Zhuang, William Zhuk, and Barret Zoph. Gpt-4 technical report, 2024. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2303.08774>.
- Alec Radford, Jeffrey Wu, Rewon Child, David Luan, Dario Amodei, Ilya Sutskever, et al. Language models are unsupervised multitask learners. *OpenAI blog*, 1(8):9, 2019.
- Danny Driess, Fei Xia, Mehdi SM Sajjadi, Corey Lynch, Aakanksha Chowdhery, Brian Ichter, Ayzaan Wahid, Jonathan Tompson, Quan Vuong, Tianhe Yu, et al. Palm-e: An embodied multimodal language model. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.03378*, 2023.

- Kurt Shuster, Spencer Poff, Moya Chen, Douwe Kiela, and Jason Weston. Retrieval augmentation reduces hallucination in conversation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.07567*, 2021.
- Tula Masterman, Sandi Besen, Mason Sawtell, and Alex Chao. The landscape of emerging ai agent architectures for reasoning, planning, and tool calling: A survey. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.11584*, 2024.
- Shunyu Yao, Jeffrey Zhao, Dian Yu, Nan Du, Izhak Shafran, Karthik Narasimhan, and Yuan Cao. React: Synergizing reasoning and acting in language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.03629*, 2022.
- Noah Shinn, Federico Cassano, Edward Berman, Ashwin Gopinath, Karthik Narasimhan, and Shunyu Yao. Reflexion: Language agents with verbal reinforcement learning, 2023. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2303.11366>.
- Silin Gao, Jane Dwivedi-Yu, Ping Yu, Xiaoqing Ellen Tan, Ramakanth Pasunuru, Olga Golovneva, Koustuv Sinha, Asli Celikyilmaz, Antoine Bosselut, and Tianlu Wang. Efficient tool use with chain-of-abstraction reasoning, 2024. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2401.17464>.
- Zhengliang Shi, Shen Gao, Xiuyi Chen, Yue Feng, Lingyong Yan, Haibo Shi, Dawei Yin, Pengjie Ren, Suzan Verberne, and Zhaochun Ren. Learning to use tools via cooperative and interactive agents, 2024. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2403.03031>.
- Benjamin D. Douglas, Patrick J. Ewell, and Markus Brauer. Data quality in online human-subjects research: Comparisons between mturk, prolific, cloudresearch, qualtrics, and sona. *PLOS ONE*, 18(3):1–17, 03 2023. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0279720. URL <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0279720>.
- James R Lewis, Brian S Utesch, and Deborah E Maher. Umux-lite: when there’s no time for the sus. In *Proceedings of the SIGCHI conference on human factors in computing systems*, pages 2099–2102, 2013.
- Carlos Flavián, Miguel Guinalú, and Raquel Gurra. The role played by perceived usability, satisfaction and consumer trust on website loyalty. *Information & Management*, 43(1):1–14, 2006. ISSN 0378-7206. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.im.2005.01.002>. URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0378720605000169>.
- Simone Borsci, Alessio Malizia, Martin Schmettow, Frank Van Der Velde, Gunay Tariverdiyeva, Divyaa Balaji, and Alan Chamberlain. The chatbot usability scale: the design and pilot of a usability scale for interaction with ai-based conversational agents. *Personal and ubiquitous computing*, 26:95–119, 2022.
- Simone Borsci, Martin Schmettow, Alessio Malizia, Alan Chamberlain, and Frank Van Der Velde. A confirmatory factorial analysis of the chatbot usability scale: a multilanguage validation. *Personal and Ubiquitous Computing*, 27(2):317–330, 2023.
- CASE. CASE framework. <https://github.com/case-framework/>, 2024. Accessed: 2025-01-10.
- Jacob O Wobbrock, Leah Findlater, Darren Gergle, and James J Higgins. The aligned rank transform for nonparametric factorial analyses using only anova procedures. In *Proceedings of the SIGCHI conference on human factors in computing systems*, pages 143–146, 2011.
- James R Lewis, Brian S Utesch, and Deborah E Maher. Investigating the correspondence between umux-lite and sus scores. In *Design, User Experience, and Usability: Design Discourse: 4th International Conference, DUXU 2015, Held as Part of HCI International 2015, Los Angeles, CA, USA, August 2–7, 2015, Proceedings, Part I*, pages 204–211. Springer, 2015.
- PD Dr Meinald T Thielsch. Toolbox. 2018.
- Ann Blandford, Dominic Furniss, and Stephann Makri. Qualitative HCI research: Going behind the scenes. *Synthesis lectures on human-centered informatics*, 9(1):1–115, 2016.
- Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Tao Xu, Greg Brockman, Christine McLeavey, and Ilya Sutskever. Robust speech recognition via large-scale weak supervision, 2022. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2212.04356>.
- Lars Krupp, Steffen Steinert, Maximilian Kiefer-Emmanouilidis, Karina E Avila, Paul Lukowicz, Jochen Kuhn, Stefan Küchemann, and Jakob Karolus. Unreflected acceptance—investigating the negative consequences of chatgpt-assisted problem solving in physics education. In *HAI 2024: Hybrid Human AI Systems for the Social Good*, pages 199–212. IOS Press, 2024.
- Chaitanya Arora, Utkarsh Venaik, Pavit Singh, Sahil Goyal, Jatin Tyagi, Shyama Goel, Ujjwal Singhal, and Dhruv Kumar. Analyzing llm usage in an advanced computing class in india. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.04603*, 2024.
- Kevin Wu, Eric Wu, Ally Casasola, Angela Zhang, Kevin Wei, Teresa Nguyen, Sith Riantawan, Patricia Shi Riantawan, Daniel E. Ho, and James Zou. How well do llms cite relevant medical references? an evaluation framework and analyses, 2024. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2402.02008>.

Soomin Kim, Joonhwan Lee, and Gahgene Gweon. Comparing data from chatbot and web surveys: Effects of platform and conversational style on survey response quality. In *Proceedings of the 2019 CHI conference on human factors in computing systems*, pages 1–12, 2019.

Eric WT Ngai, Maggie CM Lee, Mei Luo, Patrick SL Chan, and Tenglu Liang. An intelligent knowledge-based chatbot for customer service. *Electronic Commerce Research and Applications*, 50:101098, 2021.