

A SATELLITE FORMULA FOR REAL SEIBERG–WITTEN FLOER HOMOTOPY TYPES

JIN MIYAZAWA, JUNGHWAN PARK, AND MASAKI TANIGUCHI

ABSTRACT. We establish a satellite formula for the real Seiberg–Witten Floer homotopy types of knots with odd patterns. Using this, we derive several applications to knot concordance theory. The satellite formula follows from a version of the excision theorem for real Floer homotopy types. Additionally, we show that the concordance invariants arising from real Seiberg–Witten theory depend only on the knot’s zero-framed surgery.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathcal{C} denote the smooth knot concordance group. A knot is called *slice* if it represents the same class as the unknot U in \mathcal{C} . For a knot K in the three-sphere S^3 and a knot P in the solid torus $S^1 \times D^2$, called a *pattern*, we can construct the *untwisted satellite knot* of K , denoted by $P(K)$. This construction induces a map, known as the *satellite operation*, given by

$$P: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}; \quad [K] \mapsto [P(K)].$$

In this paper, we investigate satellite operations from the perspective of real Seiberg–Witten theory.

The *winding number* of a pattern P is defined as the algebraic intersection number of P with a meridional disk of the solid torus. Roughly speaking, we will show that any nontrivial topological phenomena detected by real Seiberg–Witten theory persist under the satellite operation, provided the pattern has an odd winding number. As a result, we obtain the following theorem on satellites of $E_{2,1}$, where $E_{2,1}$ denotes the $(2, 1)$ -cable of the figure-eight knot throughout the paper.

Theorem 1.1. *If P is a pattern with an odd winding number and $P(U)$ is slice, then any finite self-connected sum of $P(E_{2,1})$ does not bound a normally immersed disk in the four-ball B^4 with only negative double points. In particular, the knot $P(E_{2,1})$ has infinite order in \mathcal{C} .*

Here, we say that a surface is *normally immersed* if it is smoothly immersed, with the only singularities being transverse double points in the interior. Note that Theorem 1.1, in particular, applies to patterns such as $(\text{odd}, 1)$ -cables, the Mazur pattern, and their iterates.

For the proof of Theorem 1.1, we divide the argument into two main steps. First, we examine the knot $E_{2,1}$, which has garnered significant attention due to its potential as a counterexample to the slice-ribbon conjecture [Fox62, Miy94]. Recent work [DKM⁺24] established that $E_{2,1}$ is not slice and has infinite order in \mathcal{C} , with related results discussed in subsequent works [ACM⁺23, KMT23, KPT24]. We provide a new proof of this and further strengthen the result using real Seiberg–Witten theory [KMT21, KMT24], showing that any finite self-connected sum of $E_{2,1}$ does not bound a normally immersed disk in B^4 with only negative double points. We refer the readers to [TW09, Nak13, Nak15, Kat22, KMT21, Li22, KMT23, Miy23, Li23, BH24b, Bar25] for background on real Seiberg–Witten theory. In particular, we use the K -theoretic real Frøyshov invariant κ_R , introduced by Konno, Miyazawa, and Taniguchi in [KMT21]. In Section 6, we improve the real 10/8-type inequality established in [KMT21, Theorem 1.3], and prove that

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq \kappa_R(-E_{2,1}),$$

where $-E_{2,1}$ denotes the reverse of the mirror image of $E_{2,1}$. We also show that the same inequality holds for any finite self-connected sum of $-E_{2,1}$.

Secondly, we uncover a surprising feature of real Seiberg–Witten theory: for any knot K , if P is a pattern with odd winding number and $P(U)$ is slice, then K and $P(K)$ belong to the same local equivalence class of real Floer homotopy type, a notion defined in [KMT24]. This is particularly notable, as an analogous phenomenon fails in many other theories, including Heegaard Floer theory [OS04], involutive Heegaard Floer

theory [HM17], Khovanov homology theory [Kho00], equivariant singular instanton Floer theory [DS24, DIS⁺22], and equivariant Seiberg–Witten theory [BH24a, Bar24, IT24].¹ Moreover, we remark that the assumption of an odd winding number is necessary. For an even winding number, the relation remains unclear. For instance, while U and its $(2, 1)$ -cable $U_{2,1}$ both have trivial local equivalence classes, E and $E_{2,1}$ have distinct local equivalence classes [KMT21, KMT24, KPT24].

The fact that K and $P(K)$ belong to the same local equivalence class can be expressed as follows: if P is a pattern with an odd winding number and $P(U)$ is slice, then

$$P_*: \mathcal{LE} \rightarrow \mathcal{LE}; \quad [SWF_R(K)]_{\text{loc}} \mapsto [SWF_R(P(K))]_{\text{loc}}$$

is the identity map, where \mathcal{LE} denotes the local equivalence group of real Seiberg–Witten theory and $[SWF_R(K)]_{\text{loc}}$ denotes the local equivalence class of the real Floer homotopy type of K . For any knot K and a nonnegative integer n , let nK represent the connected sum of K with itself n times. Then the fact that P_* acts as the identity map implies that

$$n[SWF_R(P(mK))]_{\text{loc}} = n[SWF_R(mK)]_{\text{loc}} = nm[SWF_R(K)]_{\text{loc}} \in \mathcal{LE},$$

for all nonnegative integers n and m . In particular, any conclusion drawn about a knot mK and its finite self-connected sum also applies to $P(mK)$ and its finite self-connected sum. Specifically, the conclusion of Theorem 1.1 extends to $P(mE_{2,1})$ for any positive integer m . Moreover, the assumption that $P(U)$ is slice in Theorem 1.1 is, in fact, unnecessary. Combining the above discussions, the largest family of knots obtained from satellites of $E_{2,1}$, for which we can conclude that they have infinite order in \mathcal{C} , is described as follows:

Theorem 1.2. *If P is a pattern with an odd winding number, then $P(mE_{2,1}) \# -P(U)$ has infinite order in \mathcal{C} , where m is any nonzero integer.*

In slightly more detail, the proof proceeds as follows. Using the equivalence of local equivalence classes of knots and their satellites, together with the inequality for $\kappa_R(-mE_{2,1})$, we conclude that for any winding number odd pattern \bar{P} and any positive integer m ,

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq \kappa_R(-mE_{2,1}) = \kappa_R(\bar{P}(-mE_{2,1}) \# -\bar{P}(U)),$$

and the same inequality holds for any finite self-connected sum of such satellites. The inequality itself is of independent interest, as the invariant κ_R is known to be notoriously difficult to compute.

We make the following two remarks. First, we consider the example $E_{2,1}$ to emphasize that, given the current state of other theories, the conclusions in this paper cannot be derived from them. In general, analogous conclusions hold for any knot representing a nontrivial class in \mathcal{LE} . For instance, consider the positive torus knot $T_{3,11}$. For a knot K with $\text{Arf}(K) = 0$, the *stabilizing number* $\text{sn}(K)$ is defined as the minimum number of copies of $S^2 \times S^2$ that must be connected summed to B^4 for K to bound a smooth null-homologous disk in it. It was shown in [KMT21, Theorem 1.11] that $\text{sn}(K)$ can differ arbitrarily from its topological counterpart, the *topological stabilizing number* $\text{sn}^{\text{Top}}(K)$, by taking finite self-connected sums of $T_{3,11}$ and applying real Seiberg–Witten theory along with results from [McC21, FMPC22, BBL20]. Our work readily implies the following:

Theorem 1.3. *There exists a knot K in S^3 with $\text{Arf}(K) = 0$, such as $T_{3,11}$ or any of its finite self-connected sums, for which the limit*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\text{sn}(nP(K)) - \text{sn}^{\text{Top}}(nP(K))) = \infty$$

holds for any pattern P with odd winding number where $P(U)$ is the unknot.

Secondly, the truly interesting aspect of these results lies in their conclusion for finite self-connected sums of satellites. For example, when the pattern is the Mazur pattern Q , it is known that the satellite knot $Q(K)$ bounds a smoothly embedded disk in a homology 4-ball if and only if K does. This result follows from the fact that the zero-framed surgery on the satellite knot $Q(K)$ is smoothly homology cobordant to the zero-framed

¹These theories provide slice-torus invariants [Liv04, OS03, Ras10, DIS⁺22, IT24]. Since an $(n, 1)$ -cable of a positive torus knot is strongly quasipositive for any $n > 1$ [Rud93, Rud98], it follows that the slice-torus invariants of K and $P(K)$ differ when K is a positive torus knot and P is an $(n, 1)$ -cable with $n > 1$. In fact, the ability of these theories to distinguish between K and $P(K)$ has led to the discovery of many interesting phenomena [Liv16, KP18, FPR19, HKPS22, Col22].

surgery on K relative to their meridians [CFHH13, Corollary 2.2] (see also [CDR14, Corollary 5.2]). However, this equivalence does not extend to nontrivial finite self-connected sums of satellites, as the satellite operation is not a homomorphism in general (see e.g. [Mil23]), and there is no direct relationship between the zero-framed surgery of a knot and that of its finite self-connected sum.

The following theorem is the key ingredient underlying all the results presented thus far:

Theorem 1.4. *Let K be a knot in S^3 . If P is a pattern with an odd winding number, then the real Floer homotopy types*

$$SWF_R(P(K)) \quad \text{and} \quad SWF_R(K) \wedge SWF_R(P(U))$$

are \mathbb{Z}_4 -equivariantly stably homotopy equivalent.

This follows from a real version of the excision theorem, given in Theorem 5.1, where the original theorem was proved by Floer in [Flo90]. We adopt Kronheimer and Mrowka’s approach to the excision theorem from [KM10]. In particular, the homotopy equivalences in Theorem 1.4 arise as the real Bauer–Furuta invariants of the excision cobordisms used in [KM10].

In [KMT21, KMT24], the authors defined the concordance invariants $\delta_R, \bar{\delta}_R, \underline{\delta}_R$, and κ_R from the real Floer homotopy type $SWF_R(K)$. As an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.4, we obtain:

Corollary 1.5. *Let K be a knot in S^3 . If P is a pattern with an odd winding number, then the knots K and $P(K)\# - P(U)$ share the same values for the concordance invariants:*

$$\delta_R, \quad \bar{\delta}_R, \quad \underline{\delta}_R, \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa_R. \quad \square$$

Furthermore, we define a real Seiberg–Witten Floer homotopy type for homology $S^1 \times S^2$ ’s and show that $SWF_R(S_0^3(K))$ and $SWF_R(K)$ are locally equivalent, where $S_0^3(K)$ denotes the zero-framed surgery on a knot K . Recall that many concordance invariants are known *not* to be determined by the zero-framed surgery on a knot [Yas15] (see also [CFHH13, Pic19, HMP21]). Examples include the τ, ν, ν^+ , and ε invariants from Heegaard Floer theory [OS03, OS11, Hom14, HW16], as well as the s invariant from Khovanov homology [Ras10] and, more broadly, all slice-torus invariants [Lob09, Wu09, Lob12, Lew14, LS14, LL16, GLW24, BS21, SS22, DIS⁺22, IT24]. In contrast, the local equivalence between $SWF_R(S_0^3(K))$ and $SWF_R(K)$ implies that all concordance invariants arising from the local equivalence class of $SWF_R(K)$ are determined by the zero-framed surgery on K .

Theorem 1.6. *Let K be a knot in S^3 . Then, the local equivalence class of $SWF_R(K)$ is determined by the orientation preserving diffeomorphism type of $S_0^3(K)$. In particular, the concordance invariants*

$$\delta_R(K), \quad \bar{\delta}_R(K), \quad \underline{\delta}_R(K), \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa_R(K)$$

depend only on the orientation preserving diffeomorphism type of $S_0^3(K)$.

Furthermore, since the homotopy type of $SWF_R(P(K))$ can be computed via Theorem 1.4, the associated cohomological invariants are also determined. In particular, the degree-type invariant

$$|\deg(K)| \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$$

defined as the absolute value of the signed count of $\{\pm 1\}$ -framed real Seiberg–Witten solutions on the double branched cover of a knot K with respect to its unique spin structure (see [Miy23, Definition 4.28]; see also [KPT24]), can be computed as follows:

Theorem 1.7. *For any knot K in S^3 and any pattern P with an odd winding number, we have*

$$|\deg(K)| \cdot |\deg P(U)| = |\deg(P(K))|.$$

Note that the theorem also allows us to compute Miyazawa’s 2-knot invariants [Miy23, Definition 3.4] for 2-knots obtained via twisted roll spinning [Miy23, Section 4].

Finally, we prove that Miyazawa’s 2-knot invariants depend only on the orientation preserving diffeomorphism type of the surgery manifold.

Theorem 1.8. *Let K be a smooth 2-knot in S^4 . Then, Miyazawa’s degree invariant $|\deg(K)|$ depends only on the orientation preserving diffeomorphism type of the 4-manifold obtained by performing the surgery along K .*

For the proof, in analogy with real invariants of smooth homology $S^1 \times S^2$ manifolds, we define an invariant $|\deg(X)| \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ for any oriented homology $S^1 \times S^3$ manifold X , and show that

$$|\deg(X(K))| = |\deg(K)|,$$

where $X(K)$ denotes the manifold obtained by performing surgery along a 2-knot K in S^4 . Using the invariant $|\deg(X)|$, we also obstruct the existence of a positive scalar curvature metric on certain homology $S^1 \times S^3$ manifolds. See Section 7 for further discussion.

Acknowledgements. This joint work began at the conference *The East Asian Conference on Gauge Theory and Related Topics II*, held in Nara. We thank the organizers for inviting all of the authors and for providing a stimulating environment. The first author is partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 24K22832. The second author is partially supported by the Samsung Science and Technology Foundation (SSTF-BA2102-02) and the NRF grant RS-2025-00542968. The third author was partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 22K13921.

Notation and conventions. Throughout this paper, all 3-manifolds are assumed to be smooth, connected, closed, and oriented, and all 4-manifolds are smooth, connected, compact, and oriented. All maps between manifolds are smooth. Given a manifold Y , we denote its reverse orientation by $-Y$. Homology is taken with integral coefficients unless specified otherwise. For any spin^c structure \mathfrak{s} , we denote its conjugate spin^c structure by $\bar{\mathfrak{s}}$.

2. REAL STRUCTURES ON ZERO-FRAMED SURGERY TRACE

In this section, we discuss real spin^c and real spin structures on the zero-framed surgery traces of knots.

2.1. Real spin^c structures. First, we review the definitions of real spin^c and real spin structures and classifications of them. As a related reference see, [Nak13, Li22, KMT24, Bar25].

Let X be an oriented smooth 3- or 4-manifold, and let \mathfrak{s} be a spin^c structure on X . Let $\tau: X \rightarrow X$ be a smooth involution that preserves the orientation of X and satisfies

$$\tau^* \mathfrak{s} \cong \bar{\mathfrak{s}}.$$

Additionally, we fix an τ -invariant metric on X . We identify \mathfrak{s} with its spinor bundle \mathbb{S} , equipped with the Clifford multiplication

$$\rho: \Lambda T^* X \otimes \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathbb{S}).$$

Definition 2.1. Let n be either 3 or 4. Let X be an n -manifold with a spin^c structure \mathfrak{s} . We denote by \mathbb{S} the spinor bundle associated with \mathfrak{s} and by ρ its Clifford multiplication. A *real structure* on \mathbb{S} is an anti-complex linear map

$$I: \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$$

that satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) I covers τ ,
- (ii) $I(\rho(\xi)\phi) = \rho(\tau^*(\xi))I(\phi)$ for all $\xi \in T_x X$ and $\phi \in \mathbb{S}_x$
- (iii) $I^2 = \text{Id}$,
- (iv) I preserves the hermitian metric on \mathbb{S} .

Two real structures (\mathbb{S}, ρ, I) and (\mathbb{S}', ρ', I') are isomorphic if there is spin^c isomorphism $\phi: \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}'$ which commutes with I and I' .

We will see that the notion of a real spin^c structure on X with a free involution τ corresponds to the notion of a spin^{c-} structure on X/τ , which was introduced by Nakamura in [Nak13].

Definition 2.2. Let n be either 3 or 4. Let M be an oriented Riemannian n -manifold, and let l be a \mathbb{Z} -local coefficient on M . We call (P, π) a spin^{c-} structure on (M, l) if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) Let $Fr(TM)$ be a oriented orthonormal frame bundle. Then there is a smooth map $\pi: P \rightarrow Fr(TM)$ such that for all $p \in P$ and $[g, u] \in \text{Spin}(n) \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \text{Pin}^-(2)$, we have

$$\pi(p \cdot [g, u]) = \pi(p)\pi_0(g)$$

where π_0 is the projection $\text{Spin}(n) \rightarrow \text{SO}(n)$.

- (ii) Let $\rho_0: \text{Pin}^-(2) \rightarrow \text{O}(2)$ be the projection and $\rho'_0: \text{Spin}^{c-}(n) \rightarrow \text{O}(2)$ be a map $[g, u] \mapsto \rho_0(u)$. Then the associated bundle $E = P \times_{\rho'_0} \mathbb{R}^2$ satisfies

$$\det(E) \cong l \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$$

as real line bundles.

Two spin^{c-} structures (P, π) and (P', π') are isomorphic if there is an isomorphism $f: P \rightarrow P'$ of principal $\text{Spin}^{c-}(n)$ -bundle such that $\pi = \pi' \circ f$.

A nearly complete proof of the following lemma appears in [Nak15, Section 2.5].

Lemma 2.3. *Let n be either 3 or 4. Let X be a n -manifold with Riemannian metric. Let $\tau: X \rightarrow X$ be a free involution and let $l := X \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}$ be a \mathbb{Z} -local system on $M := X/\tau$. Then there is a one-to-one correspondence between the set of isomorphism class of real spin^c structure on (X, τ) and the set of isomorphism class of spin^{c-} structure on (M, l) .*

Proof. We prove this theorem in the case that $n = 4$ since the case $n = 3$ is similar. Firstly, let us recall the definition of the real spin^c structure on X using a principal $\text{Spin}^c(4)$ -bundle, while we used a complex vector bundle with Clifford multiplication in Definition 2.1. Let P be a principal $\text{Spin}^c(4)$ -bundle on X and let $\pi: P \rightarrow Fr(X)$ be a projection to the orthonormal oriented frame bundle. The spinor bundle, which is a complex vector bundle with Clifford multiplication, is an associated vector bundle of P . Conversely, if a rank 4 complex vector bundle \mathbb{S} with Clifford multiplication is given, then P_x is given by a subset of the unitary frame $\langle u_1, \dots, u_4 \rangle$ of \mathbb{S}_x such that there exist an oriented orthonormal frame $\langle e^1, \dots, e^4 \rangle$ of T_x^*X and the Clifford multiplication is represented by the Gamma matrices with the basis $\langle u_1, \dots, u_4 \rangle$ (see [KM07, p.2]). Therefore these two definitions are equivalent. Using the principal $\text{Spin}^c(4)$ -bundle P over M , let us prove that our definition of real spin^c structure is equivalent to the triple (P, π, J) such that $J: P \rightarrow P$ is a lift of τ with

$$J^2 = -1 \quad \text{and} \quad J(p)[g, u] = J(p)[g, \bar{u}]$$

where $g \in \text{Spin}(4)$ and $u \in \text{U}(1)$. If we have such J on P , then the involution I on \mathbb{S} is given as follows. The group $\text{Spin}^c(4)$ is isomorphic to $(\text{Sp}(1) \times \text{Sp}(1) \times \text{U}(1))/\{\pm 1\}$ and let $\rho_{\pm}: (\text{Sp}(1) \times \text{Sp}(1) \times \text{U}(1))/\{\pm 1\} \rightarrow \text{U}(2)$ be a representation given by

$$\rho_{\pm}([(g_+, g_-, u)])\phi = g_{\pm}\phi u^{-1}$$

where $\phi \in \mathbb{H} \cong \mathbb{C} \oplus j\mathbb{C} \cong \mathbb{C}^2$, $g_{\pm} \in \text{Sp}(1)$ and $u \in \text{U}(1)$. Using these representations, the spinor bundle is given by the associated bundles $P \times_{\rho_{\pm}} \mathbb{H} = \mathbb{S}^{\pm}$ and $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}^+ \oplus \mathbb{S}^-$. Then we define I by $I([p, \phi]) = [J(p), \phi j]$. One can check that this I satisfies the properties (i)~(iv) in Definition 2.1. Let us assume that a triple (\mathbb{S}, ρ, I) in Definition 2.1 is given. Then J is given by

$$J\langle u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4 \rangle = \langle I(u_2), -I(u_1), I(u_4), -I(u_3) \rangle.$$

One can check that the Clifford multiplication of $\tau^*e^1, \dots, \tau^*e^4$ is given by the Gamma matrices with basis

$$\langle I(u_2), -I(u_2), I(u_4), -I(u_3) \rangle.$$

In [Nak15, Section 2.5] the following one-to-one correspondence are proved: the set of isomorphism class of spin^{c-} structure on (M, τ) corresponds to the set of the isomorphism class of (P, π, J) . This completes the proof. \square

Remark 2.4. *Although the proof of Lemma 2.3 can be extended to any dimension, we focus on the 3- and 4-dimensional cases in this paper.*

We define real spin structure as follows:

Definition 2.5. Let n be either 3 or 4. Let X be an n -manifold with a spin structure \mathfrak{s} . We denote by \mathbb{S} the spinor bundle associated with \mathfrak{s} and by ρ its Clifford multiplication. A *real structure* on \mathbb{S} is an anti-complex linear map

$$I: \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$$

that satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) I covers τ ,
- (ii) $I(\rho(\xi)\phi) = \rho(\tau^*(\xi))I(\phi)$ for all $\xi \in T_x X$ and $\phi \in \mathbb{S}_x$
- (iii) $I^2 = \text{Id}$,
- (iv) I preserves the hermitian metric on \mathbb{S} ,
- (v) I commute with $j \in \mathbb{H}$.

Two real structures (\mathbb{S}, ρ, I) and (\mathbb{S}', ρ', I') are isomorphic if there is spin isomorphism $\phi: \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}'$ which commutes with I and I' .

There is a principal bundle formulation of real spin structure.

Definition 2.6. Let n be either 3 or 4. Let M be an oriented Riemannian n -manifold, and let l be a \mathbb{Z} -local coefficient on M . We call (P, π) a spin^- structure on (M, l) if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) Let $Fr(TM)$ be a oriented orthonormal frame bundle. Then there is a smooth map $\pi: P \rightarrow Fr(TM)$ such that for all $p \in P$ and $[g, u] \in \text{Spin}(n) \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \langle j \rangle$, we have

$$\pi(p \cdot [g, u]) = \pi(p)\pi_0(g)$$

where π_0 is the projection $\text{Spin}(n) \rightarrow \text{SO}(n)$.

- (ii) Let $\rho_0: \mathbb{Z}_4 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$ be the projection and $\rho'_0: \text{Spin}^-(n) \rightarrow \text{O}(1)$ be a map $[g, u] \mapsto \rho_0(u)$. Then the associated bundle $E = P \times_{\rho'_0} \mathbb{R}$ satisfies

$$E \cong l \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$$

as real line bundles.

Two spin^- structures (P, π) and (P', π') are isomorphic if there is an isomorphism $f: P \rightarrow P'$ of principal $\text{Spin}^-(n)$ -bundle such that $\pi = \pi' \circ f$.

The following Lemma is proved by the similar way of the proof of Lemma 2.3.

Lemma 2.7. *Let n be either 3 or 4. Let X be a n -manifold with Riemannian metric. Let $\tau: X \rightarrow X$ be a free involution and let $l := X \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}$ be a \mathbb{Z} -local system on $M := X/\tau$. Then there is a one-to-one correspondence between the set of isomorphism class of real spin structure on (X, τ) and the set of isomorphism class of spin^- structure on (M, l) . \square*

2.1.1. *Dimension four.* The following lemma describes the existence and the classification of real spin^c or real spin structures on involutive 4-manifolds.

Lemma 2.8. *Suppose X is an oriented 4-manifold possibly with boundary equipped with a smooth involution*

$$\tau: X \rightarrow X.$$

Regarding the existence, we have

- If τ has a non-empty fixed point set of codimension 2, the condition

$$\tau^* \mathfrak{s} \cong \bar{\mathfrak{s}} \quad \text{and} \quad H^1(X, \mathbb{Z})^{-\tau^*} = 0$$

is sufficient to ensure the existence of a real structure on \mathfrak{s} .

- If τ is free, then X is a regular double cover of X/τ . In this case, the existence of an $\text{O}(2)$ -bundle E over X/τ such that

$$w_2(X/\tau) + w_2(E) + w_1(E)^2 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad w_1(E) = w_1(l)$$

is sufficient to ensure the existence of a real spin^c structure on X , where l is the local system with fiber \mathbb{Z} induced by the double cover.

For the classification, if we fix a spin^c structure \mathfrak{s} on X , there is a one-to-one correspondence between isomorphism classes of real structures and

$$H^2(X)^{-\tau^*} \oplus \frac{H^1(X)^{\tau^*}}{\text{im}(1 + \tau^*: H^1(X) \rightarrow H^1(X))}$$

in the non-free case. In the free case, the classification is given by

$$H^2(X/\tau; l).$$

Proof. For the existence, the first claim is proved in [KMT24, Lemma 2.9], and the second claim is proved in [Nak13, Proposition 3.4]. For the classification, see [Li22, Section 3.1] and [Nak15, Proposition 2.3]. Here, we use the fact that, in the free case, the existence and classification results for real spin^c structures on X coincide with those for spin^{c-} structures on X/τ , by Lemma 2.3. \square

Lemma 2.9. *Suppose X is an oriented 4-manifold possibly with boundary equipped with a smooth involution*

$$\tau: X \rightarrow X.$$

Suppose \mathfrak{s} is a spin structure on X . Regarding the existence, we have

(i) *If τ has a non-empty fixed point set of codimension 2, then the condition*

$$\tau^* \mathfrak{s} \cong \mathfrak{s}$$

is a sufficient condition for \mathfrak{s} to admit a real spin structure. In this case, τ is called an odd involution.

(ii) *If τ is free, then X is a regular double cover of X/τ . In this case, the condition*

$$w_2(X/\tau) + w_1(l)^2 = 0$$

is a sufficient condition for X to admit a real spin structure. Here, l is the real line bundle

$$l = X \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X/\tau.$$

In this case, X/τ also admits a real spin structure.

For the classification, if a real spin structure exists, its classification is given by the isomorphism classes of real line bundles with an order 2 lift of τ and a choice of the sign of I . In particular, if we fix a spin structure \mathfrak{s} on X , there are exactly two choices of real spin structures on it.

Remark 2.10. *The two choices of the real spin structure are given as I and $-I$. A choice of such data does not change real Seiberg–Witten theory since multiplication by $i \in U(1)$ gives a bijective correspondence between these configuration spaces. Therefore, the real Floer homotopy types or the real Bauer–Furuta invariants do not depend on these choices essentially. We do not care these choices in this paper.*

Proof. Note that in the case of a free involution, we can identify real spin structures on X with spin^{c-} structures whose structure group reduces to

$$\text{Spin}(n) \times_{\pm 1} \{\pm 1, \pm j\} \subset \text{Spin}(n) \times_{\pm 1} \text{Pin}^-(2)$$

from Lemma 2.7.

For the existence of real spin structures, the item (i) is proved in [Kat22, Lemma 4.2], and the item (ii) is proved in [Nak13, Remark 3.5]. Next, we classify real spin structures. Recall that the classification of spin structures is given by real line bundles when a spin structure \mathfrak{s} is fixed. The correspondence is given by tensoring a line bundle l with the spinor bundle \mathbb{S} of \mathfrak{s} , where the j -action is given by $j \otimes \text{id}_l$. If there exists an order-two lift $\tilde{\tau}$ of τ on l , then

$$I' = \pm I \otimes \tilde{\tau}$$

defines a real spin structure. Now, assume that $\mathfrak{s}' = \mathfrak{s} \otimes l$ admits a real spin structure I' . Let \mathbb{S}' be a spinor bundle for \mathfrak{s}' . We now consider the line bundle

$$\left\{ f: \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}' \left| \begin{array}{l} f \text{ is a } \mathbb{C}\text{-linear bundle map,} \\ f \circ \rho(\xi) = \rho'(\xi) \circ f \text{ for all } \xi \in T^*X, \\ f \circ j = j \circ f \end{array} \right. \right\},$$

which is actually isomorphic to l . Also, this line bundle l admits a lift of τ defined by

$$f \mapsto I \circ f \circ I',$$

which has order two. This completes the proof. \square

2.1.2. *Dimension three.* Next, we discuss real spin^c and spin structures on 3-manifolds. Note that if we have a real spin or spin^c structure (\mathbb{S}, I) on a 3-manifold Y with an involution τ , we can construct a real spin or spin^c structure on $[0, 1] \times Y$ by setting

$$\mathbb{S}^+ = \pi^*\mathbb{S} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{S}^- = \pi^*\mathbb{S},$$

with the natural Clifford multiplication and an involution given by the pullback of I . For the formula of Clifford multiplication, see [KM07, page 89]. On the other hand, if we are given a real spin or spin^c structure (\mathbb{S}, I) on $[0, 1] \times Y$, the Clifford multiplication provides an identification

$$\rho(dt): \mathbb{S}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^-.$$

Moreover, $\mathbb{S} := \mathbb{S}^+|_{\{1\} \times Y}$ is equipped with the induced Clifford multiplication. If we assume that the involution $\tau: [0, 1] \times Y \rightarrow [0, 1] \times Y$ preserves the time direction, then we obtain a naturally induced real spin^c or spin structure

$$I: \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}.$$

This ensures the following:

Lemma 2.11. *Let Y be an oriented 3-manifold with a smooth involution τ . Then the following holds:*

- *The set of isomorphism classes of real spin structures on $[0, 1] \times Y$ bijectively corresponds to that on Y .*
- *The set of isomorphism classes of real spin^c structures on $[0, 1] \times Y$ bijectively corresponds to that on Y .*

Lemma 2.12. *Suppose Y is a closed, oriented 3-manifold equipped with a smooth involution*

$$\tau: Y \rightarrow Y.$$

Regarding existence, we have the following:

- *If τ has a non-empty fixed point set of codimension 2, then the condition*

$$\tau^*\mathfrak{s} \cong \bar{\mathfrak{s}} \quad \text{and} \quad H^1(Y; \mathbb{Z})^{-\tau^*} = 0$$

is sufficient to ensure the existence of a real spin^c structure on \mathfrak{s} .

- *If τ is free, then we have a regular double cover $Y \rightarrow Y/\tau$. In this case, the existence of an $O(2)$ -bundle E over Y/τ such that*

$$w_2(E) + w_1(E)^2 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad w_1(E) = w_1(l)$$

is sufficient to ensure the existence of a real spin^c structure on Y , where l is the local system with fiber \mathbb{Z} induced by the double cover.

For the classification, if we fix a spin^c structure \mathfrak{s} on Y , there is a one-to-one correspondence between isomorphism classes of real structures and

$$H^2(Y)^{-\tau^*} \oplus \frac{H^1(Y)^{\tau^*}}{\text{im}(1 + \tau^*: H^1(Y) \rightarrow H^1(Y))}$$

in the non-free case. In the free case, the classification is given by

$$H^2(Y/\tau; l).$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.11, the proof follows by taking Y , forming the product $[0, 1] \times Y$, and applying Lemma 2.8. \square

Similarly, we obtain the following result for real spin structures:

Lemma 2.13. *Suppose Y is a closed, oriented 3-manifold equipped with a smooth involution*

$$\tau: Y \rightarrow Y.$$

Suppose \mathfrak{s} is a spin structure on Y . Regarding existence, we have

- If τ has a non-empty fixed point set of codimension 2, then the condition

$$\tau^* \mathfrak{s} \cong \mathfrak{s}$$

is sufficient for \mathfrak{s} to admit a real spin structure. In this case, τ is called an odd involution.

- If τ is free, then we have a double cover $Y \rightarrow Y/\tau$. In this case, the condition

$$w_1(l)^2 = 0,$$

is a sufficient condition for Y to admit a real spin structure. Here, l is the real line bundle

$$l = Y \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{R} \rightarrow Y/\tau.$$

In this case, Y/τ also admits a real spin structure.

For the classification, if a real spin structure exists, its classification is given by the isomorphism classes of real line bundles with an order 2 lift of τ and the choice of the sign of I . In particular, if we fix a spin structure \mathfrak{s} on Y , there are exactly two choices of real spin structures on it. \square

2.2. Real structures on surgeries. Let Y be an oriented \mathbb{Z}_2 -homology 3-sphere, and let K be a null-homologous knot in Y . Then, there exists a unique double branched cover along K because there is a unique non-trivial homomorphism

$$H_1(Y \setminus K) \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2.$$

Let us denote the double branched cover by $\Sigma_2(Y, K)$ and let τ be the covering involution. In this situation, we have the following lemma:

Lemma 2.14. *There exists unique real spin structure on $\Sigma_2(Y, K)$ up to isomorphism and sign.*

Proof. Since $H^1(\Sigma_2(Y, K); \mathbb{Z}_2) = 0$, there exists a unique spin structure on $\Sigma_2(Y, K)$. The involution τ preserves this spin structure, and its fixed point set has codimension 2. Therefore, by Lemma 2.13, we conclude that this spin structure admits a real spin structure. On the other hand, there is no non-trivial line bundle over Y . This means there is no non-trivial line bundle with involutions over $\Sigma_2(Y, K)$. Again from Lemma 2.13, we see the uniqueness. This completes the proof. \square

Fix an even integer n and a null-homologous knot K in an oriented \mathbb{Z}_2 -homology 3-sphere Y . Consider the trace of n -framed surgery $X_n(K)$, which can be viewed as a cobordism from Y to the n -framed surgery $Y_n(K)$ along K . Let S_K denote the core of the 2-handle of $X_n(K)$, regarded as a knot cobordism from K to the empty set

$$S_K: (Y, K) \rightarrow (Y_n(K), \emptyset).$$

The following computation follows directly from the Mayer–Vietoris sequence:

Lemma 2.15. *We have the following homological properties of $X_n(K)$:*

$$(i) \ H_i(X_n(K)) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}, & \text{if } i = 0, 2 \\ H_1(Y), & \text{if } i = 1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(ii) *The intersection form of $X_n(K)$ is represented by (n) .*

$$(iii) \ H_1(X_n(K) \setminus S_K) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} \oplus H_1(Y), & \text{if } n = 0 \\ \mathbb{Z}_{|n|} \oplus H_1(Y), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(iv) *The inclusion-induced map $H_1(Y \setminus K) \twoheadrightarrow H_1(X_n(K) \setminus S_K)$ is surjective.* \square

Since we assume that n is even, there exists a unique non-trivial homomorphism

$$H_1(X_n(K) \setminus S_K) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{|n|} \oplus H_1(Y) \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2.$$

Thus, we can consider the double branched cover of $X_n(K)$ along S_K , denoted by $\tilde{X}_n(K)$, which can be viewed as a \mathbb{Z}_2 -equivariant 4-manifold cobordism from $\Sigma_2(Y, K)$ to $\tilde{Y}_n(K)$. Here, $\tilde{Y}_n(K)$ is the regular double cover associated with the unique non-trivial homomorphism

$$H_1(Y_n(K)) \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2.$$

We collect the following computations for the double branched cover of $X_n(K)$.

Lemma 2.16. *Suppose n is non-positive and divisible by 4. Then the following holds:*

- (i) $\tilde{X}_n(K)$ is spin.
- (ii) $b^+(\tilde{X}_n(K)) = 0$.
- (iii) $H^1(\tilde{X}_n(K), \partial\tilde{X}_n(K); \mathbb{Z}_2) = 0$.

Proof. Note that $\tilde{X}_n(K)$ can be viewed as the $(n/2)$ -framed surgery trace of $\tilde{K} \subset \Sigma_2(Y, K)$, where \tilde{K} is a lift of K . Since $n/2$ is even, it follows from Lemma 2.15 that $\tilde{X}_n(K)$ is spin. Moreover, the assumption that n is non-positive implies that $b^+(\tilde{X}_n(K)) = 0$. The final bullet point follows from the Mayer–Vietoris sequence, similar to the computations in Lemma 2.15. \square

Corollary 2.17. *For any null-homologous knot K in an oriented \mathbb{Z}_2 -homology 3-sphere Y , the double branched cover $\tilde{X}_0(K)$ of the trace of zero-framed surgery $X_0(K)$ has a real spin structure.*

Proof. From Lemma 2.16 (i), we have that $\tilde{X}_0(K)$ is spin. Moreover, the fixed point set of the branched covering involution on $\tilde{X}_0(K)$ is non-empty and has codimension two. From Lemma 2.9 (i), we obtain a real spin structure on $\tilde{X}_0(K)$. \square

3. PRELIMINARIES OF REAL FLOER HOMOTOPY TYPE FOR KNOTS

3.1. Representations. For a finite-dimensional vector space V , we denote by V^+ the one-point compactification of V . We define the group $G = \mathbb{Z}_4$ as the cyclic group of order 4 generated by $j \in \text{Pin}(2)$, i.e.,

$$G = \{1, j, -1, -j\}.$$

Define a subgroup H of G by

$$H = \{1, -1\} \subset G.$$

Let \mathbb{R} denote the trivial 1-dimensional real representation of G . Let $\tilde{\mathbb{R}}$ be the 1-dimensional real representation of G defined via the surjection $G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 = \{1, -1\}$ and the corresponding scalar multiplication of \mathbb{Z}_2 on \mathbb{R} . Similarly, let $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}$ be the 1-dimensional complex representation of G defined via the surjection $G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$ and the scalar multiplication of \mathbb{Z}_2 on \mathbb{C} . We introduce also G -representations \mathbb{C}_+ and \mathbb{C}_- where \mathbb{C}_+ and \mathbb{C}_- are complex 1-dimensional representations defined by assigning to $j \in G$ multiplication by i and $-i$, respectively. Note that we have the relation $\mathbb{C}_- = \tilde{\mathbb{C}} \cdot \mathbb{C}_+$ in the complex representation ring $R(G)$ of G . It is straightforward to check that the representation ring $R(G)$ is given by

$$R(G) = \mathbb{Z}[w, z]/(w^2 - 2w, w - 2z + z^2).$$

Here, the generators w and z are given as the K -theoretic Euler classes of $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}$ and \mathbb{C}_+ , namely,

$$w = 1 - \tilde{\mathbb{C}} \quad \text{and} \quad z = 1 - \mathbb{C}_+.$$

The augmentation map is given by

$$R(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}; \quad w, z \mapsto 0,$$

so the augmentation ideal is given by $(w, z) \subset R(G)$. Readers may compare this expression with the standard expression of $R(G)$, given by

$$R(G) = \mathbb{Z}[t]/(t^4 - 1).$$

An isomorphism is given as follows:

$$\mathbb{Z}[w, z]/(w^2 - 2w, w - 2z + z^2) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[t]/(t^4 - 1); \quad w \mapsto 1 - t^2, \quad z \mapsto 1 - t.$$

3.2. Construction of real Floer homotopy type. In this section, we review the construction of real Floer homotopy types for knots. For details, see [Man03, KMT21, KMT24].

Although not essential, we focus on the case $Y = S^3$ in this section. Let \mathfrak{s}_0 be the unique spin structure on the double branched cover $\Sigma_2(K)$, $\tau: \Sigma_2(K) \rightarrow \Sigma_2(K)$ be the deck transformation, and P be the principal $\text{Spin}(3)$ bundle for \mathfrak{s}_0 . Since the fixed point set is codimension 2, we can take an order 4 lift $\tilde{\tau}: P \rightarrow P$ of the induced map

$$\tau_*: \text{SO}(T\Sigma_2(K)) \rightarrow \text{SO}(T\Sigma_2(K)),$$

where $\text{SO}(T\Sigma_2(K))$ is the orthonormal frame bundle of $\Sigma_2(K)$ with respect to a fixed invariant metric g on $\Sigma_2(K)$. Then, we have the infinite-dimensional functional

$$CSD: \mathcal{C}_K := \left(i \ker d^* \subset i\Omega_{\Sigma_2(K)}^1 \right) \oplus \Gamma(\mathbb{S}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R},$$

called the *Chern–Simons–Dirac functional*, where \mathbb{S} is the spinor bundle with respect to \mathfrak{s}_0 , $\Gamma(\mathbb{S})$ denotes the set of sections of \mathbb{S} , and d^* denotes the L^2 -formal adjoint of $d: i\Omega_{\Sigma_2(K)}^0 \rightarrow i\Omega_{\Sigma_2(K)}^1$. The Seiberg–Witten Floer homotopy type is defined as the Conley index of the finite-dimensional approximation of the formal gradient flow of CSD . For that purpose, we describe the formal gradient of CSD as the sum $l + c$, where l is a self-adjoint elliptic part and c is a compact map. Then, we decompose \mathcal{C}_K into eigenspaces of l . Define $V_{-\lambda}^\lambda(K) \oplus W_{-\lambda}^\lambda(K)$ to be the direct sums of the eigenspaces of l whose eigenvalues are in $(-\lambda, \lambda]$ for form and spinor parts respectively and restrict the formal gradient flow $l + c$ to $V_{-\lambda}^\lambda(K) \oplus W_{-\lambda}^\lambda(K)$ for a fixed $\lambda \gg 0$. Then by considering the Conley index (N, L) for $(V_{-\lambda}^\lambda(K) \oplus W_{-\lambda}^\lambda(K), l + p_{-\lambda}^\lambda c)$ with a certain cutting off, we get the Manolescu’s Seiberg–Witten Floer homotopy type

$$SWF(\Sigma_2(K), \mathfrak{s}_0) := \Sigma^{-V_{-\lambda}^0 \oplus W_{-\lambda}^0 - n(\Sigma_2(K), \mathfrak{s}_0, g)} \mathbb{C} N / L,$$

where $n(Y, \mathfrak{s}_0, g)$ is the quantity given in [Man03] and g is a Riemann metric on $\Sigma_2(K)$. For the meaning of desuspensions and how to formulate a well-defined homotopy type in a certain category, see [Man03]. For the latter purpose, we take g as \mathbb{Z}_2 -invariant metric. Since we are working with the spin structure \mathfrak{s}_0 , we have an additional $\text{Pin}(2)$ -action on the configuration space \mathcal{C}_K which preserves the values of CSD . Now, we define an involution on \mathcal{C}_K

$$I := j \circ \tilde{\tau},$$

where j is the quaternionic element in $\text{Pin}(2) = S^1 \cup j \cdot S^1$, which represents the real spin structure on $\Sigma_2(K)$.²

Since I also acts on \mathbb{S} *anti-complex linearly*, the lift I is called a *real structure* on \mathfrak{s}_0 . Combined with the $\text{Pin}(2)$ -action of the Seiberg–Witten Floer homotopy type, we can take the *Conley index* so that we have a $\text{Pin}(2) \times_{\pm 1} \mathbb{Z}_4$ -action on $SWF(\Sigma_2(K), \mathfrak{s}_0)$. We use the j -action on $\Gamma(\mathbb{S})^I$ to get a complex structure on it, which is modeled as \mathbb{C}_+^∞ .

Recall that $G = \langle j \rangle$ and $H = \langle -1 \rangle \subset G$. Now, we define

$$\begin{aligned} SWF_R(K) &:= \Sigma^{-(V_{-\lambda}^0 \oplus W_{-\lambda}^0)^I - \frac{1}{2}n(\Sigma_2(K), \mathfrak{s}_0, g)} \mathbb{C}_+ N^I / L^I \\ &= \left[\left(N^I / L^I, \dim_{\mathbb{R}} (V_{-\lambda}^0)^I, \dim_{\mathbb{C}} (W_{-\lambda}^0)^I + \frac{n(Y, \mathfrak{t}, g)}{2} \right) \right] \in \mathfrak{C}_G \end{aligned}$$

which we call the *real Seiberg–Witten Floer homotopy type* for K . Here, we take a $\text{Pin}(2) \times_{\pm 1} \mathbb{Z}_4$ -invariant index pair (N, L) for the flow $(V_{-\lambda}^\lambda(K) \oplus W_{-\lambda}^\lambda(K), l + p_{-\lambda}^\lambda c)$ with a certain cutting-off. Since the action of j commutes with I , we obtain a G -action on the stable homotopy types $SWF_R(K)$.

Here, we briefly explain the category \mathfrak{C}_G containing our Floer homotopy type. The objects of this category are tuples (X, m, n) , where:

- X is a pointed finite G -CW complex satisfying the following properties:
 - X^H is G -homotopy equivalent to $(\mathbb{R}^s)^+$ for some $s \geq 0$.
 - G acts freely on $X \setminus X^H$.
- m is an integer.

²The map $-I$ also induces another real involution on the configuration space. One can easily check the invariants $\delta_R, \underline{\delta}_R$ and $\bar{\delta}_R$ we will focus on in this paper do not depend on such choices.

- n is a rational number.

The set of morphisms from (X, m, n) to (X', m', n') is given by

$$\operatorname{colim}_{l \rightarrow \infty} \left[(\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^{m+l} \oplus \mathbb{C}_+^{n+l})^+ \wedge X, (\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^{m'+l} \oplus \mathbb{C}_+^{n'+l})^+ \wedge X' \right]_G.$$

The Floer homotopy type determines a well-defined element

$$[SWF_R(K)] \in \mathfrak{C}_G.$$

Therefore, we have the following two equivariant cohomologies:

$$\widetilde{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}^*(SWF_R(K); \mathbb{F}_2) := \widetilde{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}^{*+\dim(V_{-\lambda}^0)^I+2\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(W_{-\lambda}^0)^I+n(\Sigma_2(K), \mathfrak{so}, g)}(N^I/L^I; \mathbb{F}_2),$$

and

$$\widetilde{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_4}^*(SWF_R(K); \mathbb{F}_2) := \widetilde{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_4}^{*+\dim(V_{-\lambda}^0)^I+2\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(W_{-\lambda}^0)^I+n(\Sigma_2(K), \mathfrak{so}, g)}(N^I/L^I; \mathbb{F}_2),$$

which are modules over $H^*(B\mathbb{Z}_2; \mathbb{F}_2)$ and $H^*(B\mathbb{Z}_4; \mathbb{F}_2)$, respectively.

Remark 3.1. *In the above construction of the Floer homotopy type $SWF_R(K)$, we first take a finite-dimensional approximation of the gradient flows of CSD and the Conley index, and then we take the I -invariant part. As an alternative construction, we can first take the I -invariant of the configuration space and then compute the G -equivariant Conley index. One can see that these two constructions are equivalent, which follows from formal properties of equivariant Conley indices. In a later section, when we define real Floer homotopy types for homology $S^1 \times S^2$, we shall first take the I -invariant part of the configuration space and then apply equivariant Conley index theory.*

Next, we consider the local equivalence classes which leads to the concordance invariants:

Definition 3.2. We say that two objects (X, m, n) and (X', m', n') are *locally equivalent* if there exist G -equivariant maps

$$\begin{aligned} f: (\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^{m+\ell} \oplus \mathbb{C}_+^{n+\ell})^+ \wedge X &\rightarrow (\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^{m'+\ell} \oplus \mathbb{C}_+^{n'+\ell})^+ \wedge X', \\ f': (\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^{m'+\ell} \oplus \mathbb{C}_+^{n'+\ell})^+ \wedge X' &\rightarrow (\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^{m+\ell} \oplus \mathbb{C}_+^{n+\ell})^+ \wedge X \end{aligned}$$

for sufficiently large $\ell \gg 0$, which induce G -homotopy equivalences on the H -fixed point sets.

We denote by \mathcal{LE} the set of all objects $[(X, m, n)]_{\text{loc}}$ up to local equivalence. We regard \mathcal{LE} as a group, with the group operation defined by

$$[(X, m, n)]_{\text{loc}} + [(X', m', n')]_{\text{loc}} := [(X \wedge X', m + m', n + n')]_{\text{loc}}.$$

The element $[(S^0, 0, 0)]_{\text{loc}}$ serves as the identity element, and the Whitehead–Spanier dual with $(-m, -n)$ provides the inverse. With respect to this group structure, the following result is proven in [KMT24]:

Theorem 3.3. *The map*

$$\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{LE}; \quad [K] \mapsto [SWF_R(K)]_{\text{loc}}$$

defines a group homomorphism, where \mathcal{C} denotes the smooth knot concordance group.

The invariants δ_R , $\underline{\delta}_R$, and $\bar{\delta}_R$ are defined via factorization through \mathcal{LE} , meaning that we have functions:

$$\delta_R, \underline{\delta}_R, \bar{\delta}_R: \mathcal{LE} \rightarrow \frac{1}{16}\mathbb{Z}.$$

See [KMT24] for more details.

To define the real kappa invariant κ_R , we perform the *doubling construction* in [KMT21, Section 3.3], which can be described as the functor:

$$\mathfrak{C}_G \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}_G,$$

where \mathfrak{D}_G is a category of spectrum whose objects are double in the sense of Definition 6.4 and morphisms are natural doubled morphisms described in [KMT21, Section 3.3]. More detailed explanations are given in Subsection 6.1. The invariant κ_R is defined using G -equivariant K -theoretic information for objects in \mathfrak{D}_G . See [KMT21] for further details.

4. $\text{Pin}^-(2)$ -MONOPOLES FOR HOMOLOGY $S^1 \times S^2$

In this section, we introduce a *real Floer homotopy type*

$$SWF_R(Y) \in \mathfrak{C}_G$$

for a given oriented homology $S^1 \times S^2$ manifold Y .

4.1. Real spin structures for homology $S^1 \times S^2$. Let Y be a homology $S^1 \times S^2$. Then, there exists a unique double cover $\tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$ determined by the unique surjection

$$\pi_1(Y) \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2.$$

Let \mathfrak{s}_0 be a spin structure on Y , and let $\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}_0$ be its pullback spin structure on \tilde{Y} . Denote by $\tau: \tilde{Y} \rightarrow \tilde{Y}$ the covering involution.

Lemma 4.1. *We have $H^1(\tilde{Y}; \mathbb{Z}_2) = \mathbb{Z}_2$. In particular, there are exactly two isomorphism classes of real line bundles over \tilde{Y} .*

Proof. Recall that the double cover $p: \tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$ induces a long exact sequence in cohomology, where tr^* is the transfer map:

$$\cdots \rightarrow H^i(Y; \mathbb{Z}_2) \xrightarrow{p^*} H^i(\tilde{Y}; \mathbb{Z}_2) \xrightarrow{\text{tr}^*} H^i(Y; \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow H^{i+1}(Y; \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow \cdots.$$

Since $H^1(\tilde{Y}; \mathbb{Z}_2) \neq 0$, this implies in particular that

$$H^1(\tilde{Y}; \mathbb{Z}_2) \xrightarrow{\text{tr}^*} H^1(Y; \mathbb{Z}_2) = \mathbb{Z}_2 \quad \text{and} \quad H^2(Y; \mathbb{Z}_2) = \mathbb{Z}_2 \xrightarrow{p^*} H^2(\tilde{Y}; \mathbb{Z}_2)$$

are isomorphisms, which completes the proof. \square

Proposition 4.2. *The 3-manifold \tilde{Y} with the covering involution $\tau: \tilde{Y} \rightarrow \tilde{Y}$ admits a real spin structure. Moreover, the real spin structure is unique up to isomorphism and sign.*

Proof. One can see the unique non-trivial local system $l: \pi_1(Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$ satisfies

$$w_1^2(l) = 0.$$

This follows from the following general argument: Suppose Y is a homology $S^1 \times S^2$. Then, the generator of $H_2(Y)$ is represented by a smoothly embedded oriented surface F in Y . Take a normal neighborhood ν of F in Y , which is a trivial bundle over F . Thus, we have a map from $\nu \cong F \times I$ to S^1 , where each $\{x\} \times I$ wraps around S^1 exactly once. Now, extend this map to all of Y by sending every point outside of ν to $1 \in S^1$. The induced map $Y \rightarrow S^1$ induces an isomorphism on the first cohomology $H^1(S^1) \cong H^1(Y)$. This shows that $a^2 = 0$ for the generator $a \in H^1(Y)$.

Then, from Lemma 2.13, we obtain a real spin structure on \tilde{Y} . Again, from Lemma 2.13, the isomorphism classes of real spin structures correspond to real line bundles on \tilde{Y} with involutive lifts of the involution. However, this construction induces a line bundle on Y , and the pullbacks of all line bundles on Y to \tilde{Y} are trivial. This completes the proof. \square

4.2. Real Floer homotopy type for homology $S^1 \times S^2$. In this section, we introduce a real Seiberg–Witten Floer homotopy type for homology $S^1 \times S^2$ manifolds.

Let Y be a homology $S^1 \times S^2$, and let l be the unique non-trivial \mathbb{Z}_2 -bundle over Y . Take a Riemannian metric g on Y and pull it back to \tilde{Y} , denoted by \tilde{g} . We choose the spin structure \mathfrak{s} on \tilde{Y} such that the natural lift $\tilde{\tau}$ of the covering involution has order 4. Let \mathbb{S} denote the spinor bundle for \mathfrak{s} . Then, we have an antilinear involution

$$I: \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$$

as a real spin structure, which acts on $\Lambda_{\tilde{Y}}^1(i\mathbb{R})$ by $-\tau^*$. Let A_0 denote the spin connection with respect to \tilde{g} . This defines an I -invariant Chern–Simons–Dirac functional on \tilde{Y} :

$$CSD: C(\tilde{Y}) = (A_0 + \Omega_{\tilde{Y}}^1(i\mathbb{R})) \oplus \Gamma(\mathbb{S}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R},$$

where A_0 is the spin connection. We consider the fixed point set with respect to I :

$$CSD: C^I(\tilde{Y}) = (A_0 + \Omega_{\tilde{Y}}^1(i\mathbb{R}))^I \oplus \Gamma^I(\mathbb{S}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

The gauge group in this setting is written as

$$\mathcal{G}^I(\tilde{Y}) := \{g \in \text{Map}(\tilde{Y}, U(1)) \mid \tau^*g = \bar{g}\}.$$

In this situation, the global slice of $C^I(\tilde{Y})$ with respect to the action of $\mathcal{G}^I(\tilde{Y})$ is given by

$$V^I(\tilde{Y}) = (A_0 + \text{Ker } d^*)^{-\tau^*} \oplus \Gamma^I(\mathbb{S})$$

with a $G = \langle j \rangle$ -action. We take a finite-dimensional approximation

$$V_\mu^\lambda \oplus W_\mu^\lambda \subset V^I(\tilde{Y})$$

by the sums of certain eigenspaces for $\lambda, -\mu \gg 0$.

Now, we claim a compactness result for the flow lines.

Lemma 4.3. *The gradient flow for the restricted vector field*

$$l + p_\mu^\lambda \circ c: V_\mu^\lambda \oplus W_\mu^\lambda \rightarrow T(V_\mu^\lambda \oplus W_\mu^\lambda)$$

of *CSD* has a large ball as an isolating neighborhood.

Proof. Originally, when defining the Seiberg–Witten Floer homotopy type for homology $S^1 \times S^2$ manifolds, we need to carefully consider the action of the subgroup of the gauge group

$$S^1 \times \mathcal{G}_0(Y) \times \mathbb{Z} = S^1 \times \mathcal{G}_0(Y) \times H^1(Y; \mathbb{Z}) \subset \mathcal{G}_Y$$

to ensure the usual compactness of the critical point sets and flow lines, where $\mathcal{G}_0(Y)$ denotes the contractible identity component of the gauge group.

In our case, since we are considering the restricted I -invariant gauge group

$$\mathcal{G}^I(\tilde{Y}) = \{g \in \text{Map}(\tilde{Y}, U(1)) \mid \tau^*g = \bar{g}\}$$

which is homotopy equivalent to the constant gauge group \mathbb{Z}_2 , we can ignore the action of such a non-compact group after taking the I -invariant part.

For this reason, on the I -fixed point set, we obtain the same compactness properties for the critical point set and flow lines as in the case of Seiberg–Witten Floer homotopy for rational homology 3-spheres. Thus, the lemma follows from essentially the same argument as in [Man03]. \square

Recall that $G = \langle j \rangle$. Now, we follow Manolescu’s construction to obtain the Seiberg–Witten Floer homotopy type and define the G -equivariant Conley index

$$I_\mu^\lambda(\tilde{Y}, g)$$

for λ and μ . Then, we define

$$\begin{aligned} SWF_R(Y) &:= \Sigma^{-(V_\mu^0)^I - (W_\mu^0)^I} \Sigma^{\mathbb{C}_+^{n(\tilde{Y}, g)}} I_\mu^\lambda(\tilde{Y}, g) \\ &= \left[\left(N^I/L^I, \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(V_{-\lambda}^0)^I, \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(W_{-\lambda}^0)^I + \frac{n(\tilde{Y}, \mathfrak{t}, g)}{2} \right) \right] \in \mathfrak{C}_G, \end{aligned}$$

where the correction term $n(\tilde{Y}, g)$ is defined by

$$n(\tilde{Y}, g) := \text{ind}_{\mathbb{C}}(D_{\tilde{g}}) + \frac{c_1(\mathfrak{s})^2 - \sigma(X)}{8},$$

and X is any real spin 4-manifold bounding \tilde{Y} such that there exists a real spin structure \mathfrak{s}' on X that restricts to the given real spin structure on \tilde{Y} .

Let \tilde{g} be a $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -equivariant metric on X that coincides with g on \tilde{Y} . Let $D_{\tilde{g}}$ be the Dirac operator on \mathfrak{s}' with respect to the metric \tilde{g} . We can construct such an X for any \tilde{Y} as follows: let l be a loop in \tilde{Y} representing the generator of $H_1(Y, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. Define the 4-manifold

$$X := [0, 1] \times \tilde{Y} \cup_{\{1\} \times \nu(l) = D^2 \times \partial \tilde{D}^2} D^2 \times \tilde{D}^2,$$

where \tilde{D}^2 is the 2-disc $\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| \leq 1\}$ equipped with the involution $z \mapsto -z$. One can easily check that X satisfies the conditions above. Since the \mathbb{R} -spectral flow of a family of real Dirac operators is equal to half the \mathbb{R} -spectral flow of the corresponding family of the usual Dirac operators, the class $SWF_R(Y)$ is independent of the choice of metric, as an element of \mathfrak{C}_G . This, in particular, implies the following:

Proposition 4.4. *The G -equivariant stable homotopy class of $SWF_R(Y)$ is independent of the choices of g , λ , and μ . \square*

Remark 4.5. *Although we focus on homology $S^1 \times S^2$ manifolds, our real Floer homotopy type can be defined for any real spin^c or spin 3-manifold $(Y, \tau, \mathfrak{s}, I)$ satisfying*

$$b_1(Y) - b_1(Y/\tau) = \dim H^1(Y; \mathbb{Q})^{-\tau^*} = 0.$$

When we have a real spin structure, the corresponding Floer homotopy type

$$SWF_R(Y, \tau, \mathfrak{s}, I)$$

lies in \mathfrak{C}_G . In contrast, for a real spin^c structure, we only obtain a well-defined \mathbb{Z}_2 -action on the spectrum.

4.3. Cobordism maps between homology $S^1 \times S^2$ manifolds. Let Y and Y' be homology $S^1 \times S^2$ manifolds. Let W be an oriented connected cobordism from Y to Y' , and let l be a real line bundle satisfying the following properties:

- (i) $l|_Y$ and $l|_{Y'}$ are non-trivial real line bundles.
- (ii) $w_2(W) + w_1(l)^2 = 0$.

Proposition 4.6. *For the cobordism (W, l) , there exists a $\text{Spin}^-(4)$ structure associated with l . In particular, the double cover \widetilde{W} corresponding to l admits a real spin structure.*

Proof. This corresponds to the boundary version of Lemma 2.9. The original proof by Nakamura [Nak13], which uses obstruction theory, remains valid in the case of 4-manifolds with boundary. Therefore, the condition $w_2(W) + w_1(l)^2 = 0$ is sufficient to obtain a $\text{Spin}^-(4)$ structure associated with l . \square

Moreover, we also suppose

$$b_1(W) - b_1(W/\tau) = 0$$

for simplicity in this section. For this cobordism (W, l) , we claim that it induces the following cobordism map:

Theorem 4.7. *There exists a G -equivariant map*

$$BF_W^R: SWF_R(Y) \wedge (\mathbb{R}^{\frac{-\sigma(W)}{8}})^+ \rightarrow SWF_R(Y') \wedge (\mathbb{R}^{b^+(W;l)})^+.$$

Here, $b^+(W;l)$ denotes the dimension of a maximal positive definite subspace of $H^2(W;l)$ with respect to the intersection form of the local coefficient cohomology:

$$H^2(W;l) \otimes H^2(W;l) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

The quantity $\sigma(W)$ refers to the signature of the intersection form restricted to the image of

$$\text{im}(H^2(W, \partial W) \rightarrow H^2(W)).$$

In particular, if $b^+(W;l) = 0$, we obtain a local map from $SWF_R(Y)$ to $SWF_R(Y')$.

Proof. We give a sketch of the proof. The proof is analogous to Nakamura's construction [Nak13] and the construction of the Bauer–Furuta map described in [KMT24, Miy23].

Let \mathbb{S}^\pm denote the positive and negative spinor bundles on \widetilde{W} , and let \mathbb{S} and \mathbb{S}' be the spinor bundles on \widetilde{Y} and \widetilde{Y}' , where \widetilde{Y} and \widetilde{Y}' are the double covers of Y and Y' associated with $l|_Y$ and $l|_{Y'}$, respectively. We take a real spin structure

$$I: \mathbb{S}^\pm \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^\pm.$$

Here, we consider the Sobolev norms L_k^2 for the spaces $\Omega^*(W)$ and $\Gamma(\mathbb{S}^\pm)$, obtained from τ -invariant metrics and τ -invariant connections, for a fixed integer $k \geq 3$. We define the Seiberg–Witten map on \widetilde{W} as a finite-dimensional approximation of the map

$$(1) \quad SW: \Omega_{CC}^1(W) \times \Gamma(\mathbb{S}^+) \rightarrow \Omega^+(W) \times \Gamma(\mathbb{S}^-) \times \hat{V}(-Y)_{-\infty}^\mu \times \hat{V}(Y')_{-\infty}^\mu$$

for large μ . The following gives precise definitions of the notations appeared in (1).

- The notation

$$\Omega_{CC}^1(W) = \left\{ a \in \Omega^1(W) \mid d^*a = 0, d^*\mathbf{t}a = 0, \int_Y \mathbf{t} * a = 0, \int_{Y'} \mathbf{t} * a = 0 \right\}$$

is the space of 1-forms satisfying the double Coulomb condition, introduced by Khandhawit in [Kha15], where $*$ denotes the Hodge star operator and \mathbf{t} is the restriction as a differential form.

- For a general rational homology 3-sphere Y equipped with a spin^c structure, $\hat{V}(Y, \mathbf{t})_{-\infty}^\mu$ is a subspace of

$$\hat{V}(Y, \mathbf{t}) = \text{Ker } d^* \times \Gamma(\mathbb{S})$$

defined as the direct sum of eigenspaces whose eigenvalues are less than μ with respect to the operator $l = (*d, D_{A_0})$ for the spin connection A_0 .

The $\Omega^+(W) \times \Gamma(\mathbb{S}^-)$ factor of the map SW corresponds to the Seiberg–Witten equations, while the $\hat{V}(-Y)_{-\infty}^\mu \times \hat{V}(Y')_{-\infty}^\mu$ factor is, roughly, the restriction of 4-dimensional configurations to 3-dimensional ones. One can verify this map SW is I -equivariant. As in the case of 3-manifolds, we first take the I -invariant part of the Seiberg–Witten equation:

$$(2) \quad SW^I: (\Omega_{CC}^1(W) \times \Gamma(\mathbb{S}^+))^I \rightarrow (\Omega^+(W) \times \Gamma(\mathbb{S}^-) \times \hat{V}(-Y)_{-\infty}^\mu \times \hat{V}(Y')_{-\infty}^\mu)^I.$$

Again, one can check a certain compactness to obtain a finite-dimensional approximation of the map (2) as in the usual Seiberg–Witten theory [Man03, Kha15]. Taking a finite-dimensional approximation of this, we obtain a G -equivariant map of the form

$$f: \Sigma^{m_0 \tilde{\mathbb{R}}} \Sigma^{n_0 \mathbb{C}^+} I_{-\mu}^{-\lambda}(Y) \rightarrow \Sigma^{m_1 \tilde{\mathbb{R}}} \Sigma^{n_1 \mathbb{C}^+} I_\lambda^\mu(Y'),$$

where $m_i, n_i^\pm \geq 0$ and $-\lambda, \mu$ are sufficiently large. Then, $m_0 - m_1$ and $n_0 - n_1$ can be computed as the indices of certain operators with APS boundary conditions, given by:

$$\begin{aligned} m_0 - m_1 &= \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(V(Y)_\lambda^0) - \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(V(Y')_{-\mu}^0) - b^+(W) + b_\tau^+(W), \\ n_0 - n_1 &= \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(W(Y)_\lambda^0) - \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(W(Y')_{-\mu}^0) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{c_1(\mathfrak{s})^2 - \sigma(W)}{8} + n(Y', \mathbf{t}', g') - n(Y, \mathbf{t}, g) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where the vector spaces $V(Y)_\lambda^0$, $W(Y)_\lambda^0$, $V(Y')_{-\mu}^0$, and $W(Y')_{-\mu}^0$ denote the I -invariant parts of 1-forms and spinors on the global slices:

$$\begin{aligned} V(Y)_\lambda^0 \oplus W(Y)_\lambda^0 &= \left(\hat{V}(Y)_\lambda^0 \right)^I, \\ V(Y')_{-\mu}^0 \oplus W(Y')_{-\mu}^0 &= \left(\hat{V}(Y')_{-\mu}^0 \right)^I. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

Based on this, we introduce the following class of cobordisms:

Definition 4.8. Let Y and Y' be oriented homology $S^1 \times S^2$ manifolds. We say that Y and Y' are *real homology cobordant* if there exists a smooth \mathbb{Z}_2 -homology cobordism W from Y to Y' such that the regular non-trivial double cover \widetilde{W} of W satisfies

$$b_2(\widetilde{W}, \widetilde{Y}) = b_2(\widetilde{W}, \widetilde{Y}') = 0,$$

where \widetilde{Y} and \widetilde{Y}' denote the regular non-trivial double covers of Y and Y' , respectively.

The local equivalence classes of homology $S^1 \times S^2$ manifolds depend only on their real homology cobordism classes:

Proposition 4.9. *If Y and Y' are real homology cobordant, then $SWF_R(Y)$ and $SWF_R(Y')$ are locally equivalent.*

Proof. Since W is a \mathbb{Z}_2 -homology cobordism, the non-trivial line bundles on Y and Y' extend uniquely to W , which we denote by l . On the boundaries Y and Y' , we have real spin structures on \widetilde{Y} and \widetilde{Y}' since

$$w_2(Y) + w_1(l|_Y)^2 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad w_2(Y') + w_1(l|_{Y'})^2 = 0.$$

We claim that these real spin structures extend to \widetilde{W} . This follows from the condition

$$w_2(W) + w_1(l)^2 = 0.$$

Thus, we obtain a G -equivariant real Bauer–Furuta invariant:

$$BF_W^R: SWF_R(Y) \wedge (\mathbb{R}^{-\frac{\sigma(\widetilde{W})}{8}})^+ \rightarrow SWF_R(Y') \wedge (\mathbb{R}^{b^+(W;l)})^+.$$

Here, we assume that $\sigma(\widetilde{W}) = 0$ and

$$b^+(W;l) = b^+(\widetilde{W}) - b_\tau^+(\widetilde{W}) = 0 - 0 = 0.$$

Thus, this gives a local map from $SWF_R(Y)$ to $SWF_R(Y')$. The opposite direction of a local map is obtained by considering the real Bauer–Furuta invariant for $-\widetilde{W}$. This completes the proof. \square

4.4. Results on zero-framed surgery. In this section, we prove Theorem 1.6, which follows from the following general theorem:

Theorem 4.10. *For any knot K in an oriented homology 3-sphere Y , we have*

$$[SWF_R(K)]_{loc} = [SWF_R(Y_0(K))]_{loc}.$$

Proof. From Corollary 2.17, we see that there exists a \mathbb{Z}_2 -equivariant cobordism

$$\widetilde{X}_0(K): \Sigma_2(Y, K) \rightarrow \widetilde{S}_0^3(K),$$

where $\widetilde{S}_0^3(K)$ denotes the unique non-trivial double cover of $S_0^3(K)$, obtained as the double branched cover along the 2-handle core of the trace of the zero-framed surgery on K . Again by Corollary 2.17, there exists a unique real spin structure on this cobordism. One can readily verify that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(\widetilde{X}_0(K)) &= 0, \\ b_2(\widetilde{X}_0(K)) - b_2^\tau(\widetilde{X}_0(K)) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Using an argument similar to that in Theorem 4.7, we obtain a real cobordism map

$$BF_{\widetilde{X}_0(K)}^R: SWF_R(K) \rightarrow SWF_R(S_0^3(K)),$$

which is a local map. The reverse direction is given by considering the cobordism $-\widetilde{X}_0(K)$. This completes the proof. \square

As a corollary, we immediately obtain Theorem 1.6, whose statement we recall:

Corollary 4.11. *For any knot K in S^3 , the local equivalence class $[SWF_R(K)]_{loc}$ only depends on the orientation preserving diffeomorphism type of $S_0^3(K)$. In particular, the concordance invariants*

$$\delta_R(K), \quad \bar{\delta}_R(K), \quad \underline{\delta}_R(K), \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa_R(K)$$

depend only on the orientation preserving diffeomorphism type of $S_0^3(K)$. \square

Note that, in fact, the invariants $\delta_R(K)$, $\bar{\delta}_R(K)$, $\underline{\delta}_R(K)$, and $\kappa_R(K)$ depend only on the real homology cobordism class of $S_0^3(K)$ by Proposition 4.9.

5. EXCISION THEOREM IN REAL SEIBERG–WITTEN THEORY

In this section, we prove the satellite formula Theorem 1.4. To achieve this, we first establish an excision theorem in real Seiberg–Witten theory.

5.1. Statement of the excision theorem and satellite formula. Fix the convention of orientation so that the volume form is written as $dt \wedge d_Y$ on $[0, 1] \times Y$.

Theorem 5.1 (Real Excision Theorem). *Let Y and Y' be \mathbb{Z}_2 -homology 3-spheres with odd involutions. Suppose there exist \mathbb{Z}_2 -equivariant decompositions:*

$$Y = Y_1 \cup_{T^2} Y_2 \quad \text{and} \quad Y' = Y'_1 \cup_{T^2} Y'_2,$$

where the actions on T^2 are identified via $(z, w) \mapsto (-z, w)$. Define

$$Z = Y_1 \cup_{T^2} Y'_2 \quad \text{and} \quad Z' = Y'_1 \cup_{T^2} Y_2.$$

We suppose the restricted natural real spin structures on T^2 from Y , Y' , Z , and Z' are the same up to sign. Then, we have

$$SWF_R(Y) \wedge SWF_R(Y') \simeq SWF_R(Z) \wedge SWF_R(Z')$$

as G -equivariant stable homotopy types with respect to natural real spin structures on Z and Z' .

As a corollary, we obtain Theorem 1.4. We recall the statement:

Theorem 5.2. *Let K be a knot in S^3 . If P is a pattern with an odd winding number, then the real Floer homotopy types*

$$SWF_R(P(K)) \quad \text{and} \quad SWF_R(K) \wedge SWF_R(P(U))$$

are G -equivariantly stably homotopy equivalent.

Proof. Let Y and Y' be the double branched covers of $P(K)$ and the unknot U , respectively. Then, by the assumption that P has an odd winding number, we have the following \mathbb{Z}_2 -equivariant decompositions:

$$\begin{aligned} Y &= Y_1 \cup_{T^2} Y_2 = \left(S^3 \widetilde{\setminus \nu(K)} \right) \cup_{T^2} \Sigma_2(S^1 \times D^2, P), \\ Y' &= Y'_1 \cup_{T^2} Y'_2 = \left(S^3 \widetilde{\setminus \nu(U)} \right) \cup_{T^2} \Sigma_2(S^1 \times D^2, S^1 \times \{0\}), \end{aligned}$$

where $S^3 \widetilde{\setminus \nu(K)}$ and $S^3 \widetilde{\setminus \nu(U)}$ are the 2-fold covers of the complements of open tubular neighborhoods of K and U , respectively, and $\Sigma_2(S^1 \times D^2, P)$ and $\Sigma_2(S^1 \times D^2, S^1 \times \{0\})$ are the double branched covers of $S^1 \times D^2$ branched along P and the trivial knot $S^1 \times \{0\}$, respectively. Note that the actions on T^2 are given by $(z, w) \mapsto (-z, w)$.

Now, we apply Theorem 5.1. Observe that we have

$$Z = Y_1 \cup_{T^2} Y'_2 \quad \text{and} \quad Z' = Y'_1 \cup_{T^2} Y_2,$$

so that Z is the double branched cover of K and Z' is the double branched cover of $P(U)$. The conclusion then follows. \square

We also prove Theorem 1.7 as a corollary. The statement is as follows:

Corollary 5.3. *For any knot K in S^3 and any pattern P with an odd winding number, we have*

$$|\deg(K)| \cdot |\deg P(U)| = |\deg(P(K))|.$$


Proof. From Theorem 5.2, we have

$$\widetilde{H}^*(SWF_R(P(K))) \cong \widetilde{H}^*(SWF_R(K)) \otimes \widetilde{H}^*(SWF_R(P(U))).$$

Since it was shown in [KPT24, Proposition 5.2] that

$$|\deg(K)| = |\chi(\widetilde{H}^*(SWF_R(K)))|,$$

the desired result follows. \square


 FIGURE 1. The manifold U with eight codimension-one faces.

5.2. Proof of the excision theorem. We use the same notation as in Theorem 5.1 and define a \mathbb{Z}_2 -equivariant cobordism


$$W: Y \sqcup Y' \rightarrow Z \sqcup Z'$$

as follows.

First, we consider a 2-manifold U with eight corners, which is diffeomorphic to $[0, 1]^2$ and illustrated in Figure 1. The manifold U has eight codimension-one faces, four of which are labeled ℓ_1, ℓ_2, ℓ_3 , and ℓ_4 . Next, we define the space

$$X^0 := (U \times T^2) \cup_{\ell_1} (Y_1 \times [0, 1]) \cup_{\ell_2} (Y'_1 \times [0, 1]) \cup_{\ell_3} (-Y_2 \times [0, 1]) \cup_{\ell_4} (-Y'_2 \times [0, 1]),$$

as described in Figure 2, where $T^2 = S^1 \times S^1$. Then, we may regard X^0 as a cobordism from $Y \sqcup Y'$ to $Z \sqcup Z'$. We define an involution on X^0 by acting on the T^2 -component via $(z, w) \mapsto (-z, w)$ and extending it naturally to each Y_i and Y'_i . Let τ denote this \mathbb{Z}_2 -action.


 FIGURE 2. The manifold obtained by gluing $U \times T^2$ with $Y_1 \times [0, 1]$, $Y'_1 \times [0, 1]$, $-Y_2 \times [0, 1]$, and $-Y'_2 \times [0, 1]$.

Lemma 5.4. *There is a unique real spin structure on X^0 up to isomorphism and sign. Furthermore, we have*

$$b_2(X^0) - b_2(X^0/\tau) = 0, \quad b_1(X^0) - b_1(X^0/\tau) = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma(X^0) = 0.$$

Proof. Note that if a manifold M is equipped with a smooth \mathbb{Z}_2 -action τ , then we have

$$b_k(M) - b_k(M/\tau) = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(H_k(M; \mathbb{Q})^{-\tau*}).$$

Note that we have

$$H_i(T^2; \mathbb{Q})^{-\tau*} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad H_i(Y; \mathbb{Q})^{-\tau*} = H_i(Y_1; \mathbb{Q})^{-\tau*} \oplus H_i(Y_2; \mathbb{Q})^{-\tau*} = 0,$$

which follow from the Mayer–Vietoris exact sequence. Therefore we have

$$H_i(Y_j \times [0, 1]; \mathbb{Q})^{-\tau^*} = H_i(Y'_j \times [0, 1]; \mathbb{Q})^{-\tau^*} = H_i(U \times T^2; \mathbb{Q})^{-\tau^*} = 0$$

and

$$H_i([0, 1] \times T^2; \mathbb{Q})^{-\tau^*} = 0,$$

which implies that $H_i(X^0; \mathbb{Q})^{-\tau^*} = 0$. The calculation of the signature follows from a similar Mayer–Vietoris exact sequence.

Next, we discuss real spin structures. First, observe that if T^2 is equivariantly embedded in a \mathbb{Z}_2 -homology 3-sphere Y equipped with a real spin structure, then the induced real spin structure on T^2 is unique up to isomorphism. Divide Y into two pieces, Y_1 and Y_2 , along the embedded T^2 . We define a real spin structure on T^2 as one that extends to a real spin structure on $S^1 \times T^2$, invariant under the S^1 -rotation action. From the classification result in Lemma 2.13, we know that there are two such real spin structures on T^2 up to sign. However, one of them does not extend to Y_- . Therefore, the real spin structures on T^2 induced from Y , Y' , Z , and Z' are all the same.

Using this real spin structure on $U \times T^2$, we can induce a real spin structure on X^0 . By Lemma 2.9, the uniqueness follows from the vanishing of $H^1(X^0/\tau; \mathbb{Z}_2)$, which can be seen from the Mayer–Vietoris exact sequence in \mathbb{Z}_2 -cohomology. \square

Then, using [KMT24, Miy23], we obtain the real Bauer–Furuta invariant

$$BF_{X^0}^R : SWF_R(Y) \wedge SWF_R(Y') \rightarrow SWF_R(Z) \wedge SWF_R(Z')$$

for the unique real spin structure on X^0 . With the opposite orientation, we have

$$BF_{-X^0}^R : SWF_R(Z) \wedge SWF_R(Z') \rightarrow SWF_R(Y) \wedge SWF_R(Y').$$

The proof of the excision theorem Theorem 5.1 reduces to the following theorem. We remark that the proof follows essentially the same strategy as the excision cobordism argument by Kronheimer–Mrowka [KM10]. Originally the excision theorem is stated by Floer in [Flo90] with a different proof.

Theorem 5.5. *The two maps $BF_{X^0}^R$ and $BF_{-X^0}^R$ are homotopy inverses of each other up to G -equivariant stable homotopy.*

Proof. We consider the compositions $X^0 \circ -X^0$ and $-X^0 \circ X^0$. One can also glue the real spin structures on X^0 and $-X^0$ to obtain real spin structures on $X^0 \circ -X^0$ and $-X^0 \circ X^0$.

From Lemma 5.8 below, we have

$$BF_{X^0}^R \circ BF_{-X^0}^R \cong BF_{X^0 \circ -X^0}^R \quad \text{and} \quad BF_{-X^0}^R \circ BF_{X^0}^R \cong BF_{-X^0 \circ X^0}^R.$$

Therefore we only have to prove that $BF_{X^0 \circ -X^0}^R$ and $BF_{-X^0 \circ X^0}^R$ are homotopic to the identity. Since these cases are symmetric, we focus only on $-X^0 \circ X^0$. We perform fiberwise surgeries (whose fibers are T^2) along $S^1 \times T^2$, as described in Figure 3, and obtain

$$((X^0 \circ -X^0) \setminus D^1 \times S^1 \times T^2) \cup (S^0 \times D^2 \times T^2) \cong Z \times I \sqcup Z' \times I,$$

where the \mathbb{Z}_2 -action on $S^0 \times D^2 \times T^2$ is given by $\text{id} \times \text{id} \times \tau$ with $\tau(u, v) = (u, -v)$. The real spin structure on $X^0 \circ -X^0$ extends naturally to the surgery

$$((X^0 \circ -X^0) \setminus D^1 \times S^1 \times T^2) \cup (S^0 \times D^2 \times T^2),$$

which coincides with the product real spin structure on $Z \times I \sqcup Z' \times I$.

Now, using the gluing formula Corollary 5.7 for the real Bauer–Furuta invariants from [Miy23], which is stated below, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
BF_{X^0 \circ -X^0}^R &= BF_{X^0 \circ -X^0 \setminus D^1 \times S^1 \times T^2}^R \circ_{SWF_R(T^3)} BF_{D^1 \times S^1 \times T^2}^R \\
&= BF_{X^0 \circ -X^0 \setminus D^1 \times S^1 \times T^2}^R \\
&= BF_{X^0 \circ -X^0 \setminus D^1 \times S^1 \times T^2 \circ_{SWF_R(T^3)} S^0}^R BF_{S^0 \times D^2 \times T^2}^R \\
&= BF_{(X^0 \circ -X^0 \setminus D^1 \times S^1 \times T^2) \cup S^0 \times D^2 \times T^2}^R \\
&= BF_{Z \times I \sqcup Z' \times I}^R = \text{Id},
\end{aligned}$$

where:

- (i) The G -stable homotopy type $SWF_R(T^3)$ is the real Floer homotopy type for T^3 equipped with the involution

$$S^1 \times S^1 \times S^1 \rightarrow S^1 \times S^1 \times S^1; \quad (x, y, z) \mapsto (x, y, -z)$$

with the real spin structure. Note that we have

$$b_1(T^3) - b_1^r(T^3) = 3 - 3 = 0.$$

Thus, the real Floer homotopy type for T^3 with this involution can be defined analogously to the usual Floer homotopy type for a rational homology 3-sphere.

- (ii) The G -stable map

$$BF_{D^1 \times S^1 \times T^2}^R : SWF_R(T^3) \rightarrow S^0$$

is the real Bauer–Furuta invariant for $D^1 \times S^1 \times T^2$ equipped with the involution

$$D^1 \times S^1 \times T^2 \rightarrow D^1 \times S^1 \times T^2; \quad (t, x, y, z) \mapsto (t, x, y, -z).$$

This 4-manifold with involution has a unique extension of the real spin structure of T^3 defined in (i).

- (iii) The G -equivariant stable map

$$BF_{X^0 \circ -X^0 \setminus D^1 \times S^1 \times T^2}^R : SWF_R(Y) \wedge SWF_R(Y') \rightarrow SWF_R(Z) \wedge SWF_R(Z') \wedge SWF_R(T^3)$$

is the real Bauer–Furuta invariant for the restricted real spin structure on $X^0 \circ -X^0 \setminus D^1 \times S^1 \times T^2$ inherited from the real spin structure on $X^0 \circ -X^0$.

- (iv) The G -equivariant stable map

$$BF_{S^0 \times D^2 \times T^2}^R : SWF_R(T^3) \rightarrow S^0$$

is the real Bauer–Furuta invariant for $S^0 \times D^2 \times T^2$ equipped with the involution

$$S^0 \times D^2 \times T^2 \rightarrow S^0 \times D^2 \times T^2; \quad (a, z, x, y) \mapsto (a, z, x, -y)$$

and the real spin structure extending the real spin structure described in (i).

- (v) The G -equivariant stable map

$$BF_{Z \times I \sqcup Z' \times I}^R : SWF_R(Y) \wedge SWF_R(Y') \rightarrow SWF_R(Y) \wedge SWF_R(Y')$$

is the real Bauer–Furuta invariant for the product real spin structure on $Z \times I \sqcup Z' \times I$.

From straightforward observations by taking flat or positive scalar curvature metrics with cylindrical metrics on the boundaries, we obtain


$$SWF_R(T^3) = S^0, \quad BF_{D^1 \times S^1 \times T^2}^R = \pm \text{id} : S^0 \rightarrow S^0, \quad \text{and} \quad BF_{S^0 \times D^2 \times T^2}^R = \pm \text{id} : S^0 \rightarrow S^0.$$

However, the statement

$$BF_{Z \times I \sqcup Z' \times I}^R = \text{Id}$$

is nontrivial. This can be verified by an equivariant modification of the proof of $BF_{Y \times I} = \text{id}$, which will appear in [SS]. This completes the proof. \square

We use the following type of gluing formula in real Seiberg–Witten theory, proven in [Miy23]:

FIGURE 3. The manifold $-X^0 \circ X^0$ and fiberwise surgeries.

Theorem 5.6 ([Miy23, Theorem 2.12]). *Let X_0 and X_1 be compact, oriented 4-manifolds with boundaries $\partial X_0 = Y$ and $\partial X_1 = -Y$. Suppose that τ_0 , τ_1 , and τ' are involutions on X_0 , X_1 , and Y , respectively, such that $\tau_0|_Y = \tau_1|_Y = \tau'$. Let $X := X_0 \cup_Y X_1$ and let $\tau := \tau_0 \cup_{\tau'} \tau_1$ be the induced involution on X .*

Assume that

$$H^1(X; \mathbb{R})^{-\tau^*} \cong H^1(X_0; \mathbb{R})^{-\tau_0^*} \cong H^1(X_1; \mathbb{R})^{-\tau_1^*} \cong H^1(Y; \mathbb{R})^{-\tau'^*} = 0,$$

$$H^0(X; \mathbb{Z})^{-\tau^*} = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad H^0(X_0; \mathbb{Z})^{-\tau_0^*} = 0.$$

Also suppose that X/τ , X_0/τ_0 , X_1/τ_1 , and Y/τ' are all connected.

Then the following statements hold:

- Let (\mathfrak{s}, I) be a real spin^c structure on X that covers τ , and let (\mathfrak{t}, I) be the induced real spin^c structure on Y via restriction. Then there exist unique relative real spin^c structures (\mathfrak{s}_i, I_i) on (X_i, Y) covering τ_i for $i = 0, 1$, such that

$$(\mathfrak{s}, I)|_{X_i} = (\mathfrak{s}_i, I_i), \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathfrak{s}_i, I_i)|_Y = (\mathfrak{t}, I).$$

- Let ϵ' denote the Spanier–Whitehead duality map in \mathfrak{C}_G . Then there exists a map

$$\epsilon: \pi_{V_{X_0}}^{\text{st}} \left(\Sigma^{H^+(X_0)^{-\tau^*}} \text{SWF}(Y, \mathfrak{t}, I) \right) \times \pi_{V_{X_1}}^{\text{st}} \left(\Sigma^{H^+(X_1)^{-\tau^*}} \text{SWF}(-Y, \mathfrak{t}, I) \right) \rightarrow \pi_{V_X}^{\text{st}} \left(S^{H^+(X)^{-\tau^*}} \right),$$

given by composing the smash product with the map ϵ' , where V_{X_0} , V_{X_1} , and V_X are objects in \mathfrak{C}_G defined by

$$V_{X_i}^+ := \left[\left(\ker D_g^+, 0, \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\text{coker } D_g^+) + \frac{n(Y, \mathfrak{t}, g)}{2} \right) \right],$$

in terms of the indices of AHS operators $D_{\bar{g}}$ or Dirac operators D_g^+ with APS boundary conditions. Here, π_V^{st} denotes the equivariant stable homotopy group with respect to the representation V , and $n(Y, \mathfrak{t}, g)$ is the correction term defined in [Miy23]. Moreover, the real Bauer–Furuta invariant satisfies the following gluing formula:

$$BF^R(X, \mathfrak{s}, I) = \epsilon (BF^R(X_0, \mathfrak{s}_0, I_0) \wedge BF^R(X_1, \mathfrak{s}_1, I_1)).$$

Corollary 5.7. *Let X_0 and X_1 be real spin 4-manifolds satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 5.6, each having a boundary component identified with T^3 , such that the restrictions of their real spin structures to T^3 coincide with the one described in (i) of the proof of Theorem 5.5. Let $X := X_0 \cup_{T^3} X_1$ be equipped with the induced \mathbb{Z}_2 -action and the glued real spin structure. Then*

$$BF_X^R = BF_{X_0}^R \circ_{\text{SWF}_R(T^3)=S^0} BF_{X_1}^R$$

as G -equivariant stable maps, up to G -equivariant homotopy.

An analogous statement holds when T^3 is replaced with $S^1 \times S^2$, equipped with the involution

$$S^1 \times S^2 \rightarrow S^1 \times S^2, \quad (x, y) \mapsto (-x, y),$$

and the unique real spin structure.

To prove Theorem 5.5, we use the following version of the gluing theorem, which is not covered by Corollary 5.7.

Lemma 5.8. *Let X_0 , X_1 , and X be 4-manifolds, and let τ_0 , τ_1 , and τ be involutions on X_0 , X_1 , and X , respectively. Suppose that $\partial X_0 = -\partial X_1 = Y$ is a 3-manifold with two connected components $Y = Y_0 \cup Y_1$, and let τ' be an involution on Y that preserves each component. Assume that X_0 , X_1 , X , Y , τ_0 , τ_1 , τ , and τ' satisfy the hypotheses of Corollary 5.7, except for the condition that Y/τ' is connected. In particular, assume that $H^0(Y; \mathbb{Z})^{-\tau'^*} = 0$. Then the real Bauer–Furuta invariant satisfies the gluing formula:*

$$BF^R(X, \mathfrak{s}, I) = \epsilon \left(BF^R(X_0, \mathfrak{s}_0, I_0) \wedge BF^R(X_1, \mathfrak{s}_1, I_1) \right).$$

Proof. The proof of this lemma is identical to that of Corollary 5.7, except for Step 5. In Step 5, we consider the homotopy of the boundary condition operator D_H . Here, we must carefully distinguish between the two spaces

$$i\Omega^0(Y)^{-\tau'^*} \quad \text{and} \quad i\Omega_0^0(Y)^{-\tau'^*} := \left\{ f \in i\Omega^0(Y)^{-\tau'^*} \mid \int_{Y_j} f = 0 \text{ for all connected components } Y_j \subset Y \right\}$$

in order to construct a 1-parameter family of gauge transformations to deform the boundary condition.

If Y is connected, then these two spaces coincide, and Step 5 proceeds without difficulty. This is the only step where the assumption that Y/τ' and X_1/τ_1 are connected is used. However, if we assume that $H^0(Y; \mathbb{Z})^{-\tau'^*} = 0$, then we again have

$$i\Omega^0(Y)^{-\tau'^*} = i\Omega_0^0(Y)^{-\tau'^*},$$

so the gluing formula can be proved in exactly the same manner as in the proof of Corollary 5.7. \square

6. PROOF OF THE APPLICATIONS

6.1. Real 10/8-type inequalities. We first present a slightly stronger version of the real 10/8-type inequality compared to [KMT21, Theorem 1.3]. Before stating the result, we introduce the following definition:

Definition 6.1. We say that the real Floer homotopy type of $SWF_R(K)$ is *strongly spherical* if $SWF_R(K)$ is G -equivariant stable homotopy equivalent to \mathbb{C}_+^m for some m .

Now, we state the inequality.

Theorem 6.2. *Let K and K' be knots in S^3 , and let X be an oriented smooth compact connected cobordism from S^3 to S^3 with $H_1(X) = 0$. Suppose that S is an oriented smooth compact connected properly embedded cobordism in X from K to K' such that the homology class $[S]$ of S is divisible by 2 and that the homology class $[S]/2$ in $H_2(X, \partial X)$ reduces to $w_2(X)$. Then, we have*

$$\kappa_R(K) - \frac{1}{16}\sigma(\Sigma_2(S)) \leq \kappa_R(K') + b^+(\Sigma_2(S)) - b^+(X).$$

Moreover, if $b^+(\Sigma_2(S)) - b^+(X) \geq 1$ and the real Floer homotopy type of $SWF_R(K)$ is strongly spherical, then we have

$$\kappa_R(K) - \frac{1}{16}\sigma(\Sigma_2(S)) + \frac{1}{2} \leq \kappa_R(K') + b^+(\Sigma_2(S)) - b^+(X).$$

The first inequality in Theorem 6.2 is already follows from [KMT21, Theorem 1.3]. In this section, we shall prove the second inequality.

Remark 6.3. *For the original relative 10/8-type inequality, Manolescu [Man14] established a +1-version under the $K_{\text{Pin}(2)}$ -splitting condition. In this setting, one has*

$$\tilde{K}_{\text{Pin}(2)}(S^0) \cong \mathbb{Z}[w, z]/(w^2 - 2w, wz = 2w).$$

The $K_{\text{Pin}(2)}$ -splitting condition is defined as follows: an arbitrary element of the ideal

$$\text{Im} \left(\tilde{K}_{\text{Pin}(2)}(\text{SWF}(Y)) \rightarrow \tilde{K}_{\text{Pin}(2)}(\text{SWF}(Y)^{S^1}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[w, z]/(w^2 - 2w, wz = 2w) \right)$$

must be of the form

$$z^k(\lambda w + P(z)) = \lambda 2^k w + z^k P(z),$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $P(z)$ is a polynomial in z .

In the setting of the real 10/8-type inequality, we replace $\text{Pin}(2)$ with $G = \langle j \rangle$. Accordingly, the representation ring $R(\text{Pin}(2))$ is replaced by

$$R(G) = \mathbb{Z}[w, z]/(w^2 - 2w, w - 2z + z^2).$$

When K and K' are the unknots, the corresponding statement in the real setting is proved in [Kon24].

Before proceeding to the proof, we briefly recall the setting of the real 10/8-type inequality. A pointed finite G -CW complex X is called a *space of type G -SWF* if:

- The fixed-point set X^H is G -homotopy equivalent to $(\mathbb{R}^s)^+$ for some $s \geq 0$.
- The G -action on $X \setminus X^H$ is free.

The natural number s is called the *level* of X . For a space of type G -SWF, define

$$k(X) := \min \{ k \geq 0 \mid \exists x \in \mathfrak{J}(X) \text{ such that } wx = 2^k w \},$$

where $\mathfrak{J}(X)$ is an ideal of $R(G)$ defined by the property that the image of

$$\tau^*: \tilde{K}_G(X) \rightarrow \tilde{K}_G(X^H)$$

is equal to $\mathfrak{J}(X) \cdot b_{i\mathbb{C}}$, where $b_{i\mathbb{C}}$ denotes the Bott element (see [Man14, Section 2.1]).

The following doubling construction is used to define the real κ -invariant. Define a group automorphism $\alpha: G \rightarrow G$ by $\alpha(j) = -j$.

Definition 6.4. Let X be a space of type G -SWF at level t . Define X^\dagger to be the space of type G -SWF at level t given by the same underlying topological space as X , but with the G -action twisted by α , that is, the action is given by composing the original G -action with α . Then the smash product $X \wedge X^\dagger$ is also a space of type G -SWF, now at level $2t$. We define the *double* of X to be the space of type G -SWF:

$$D(X) := X \wedge X^\dagger.$$

Similarly, for a real or complex representation V of G , define the twisted representation V^\dagger to be the same underlying vector space as V , but with G -action given by composing the original action with α . Define the *double* of V by

$$D(V) := V \oplus V^\dagger.$$

In order to prove the latter statement in Theorem 6.2, we establish the following:

Proposition 6.5. *Let X and X' be spaces of type G -SWF at levels t and t' , respectively, with $t < t'$. Suppose X is stably homotopy equivalent to $(\mathbb{C}_+^m)^+ \wedge (\mathbb{R}^t)^+$ and there exists a pointed G -equivariant map $f: X \rightarrow X'$ whose restriction to the G -fixed-point sets is a homotopy equivalence. Then we have*

$$k(DX) + t + \frac{1}{2} \leq k(DX') + t'.$$

Proof. The map f induces a map

$$Df: DX \rightarrow DX'.$$

We begin with the following commutative diagram:

$$(3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{K}_G(DX') & \xrightarrow{Df^*} & \tilde{K}_G(DX) \\ (Di')^* \downarrow & & Di^* \downarrow \\ \tilde{K}_G((DX')^H) & \xrightarrow{(Df^H)^*} & \tilde{K}_G(DX^H) \end{array}$$

Since $\tilde{K}_G((DX')^H)$ and $\tilde{K}_G(DX^H)$ are free $R(G)$ -modules of rank 1, we may view the maps in the diagram as multiplications by elements of $R(G)$. The vertical maps are given by multiplication with the K -theoretic Euler classes $w^{t'}$ and w^t , respectively. It is shown in [KMT21] that $(Df^H)^*$ in diagram (3) corresponds to multiplication by $2^{t'-t-1}w$ when we suppose $t' - t > 0$.

Now take $x \in \mathfrak{J}(DX')$ such that $wx = 2^{k(X')}w$. By the commutativity of (3), we have

$$2^{t'-t-1+k(X')}w = 2^{t'-t-1}w \cdot x = (Df^H)^*x = i^*Df^*(y),$$

where y is an element satisfying $(i')^*y = x$. On the other hand, we are assuming

$$DX = (\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^t)^+ \wedge (\mathbb{C}_+^s \oplus \mathbb{C}_-^s)^+$$

for some $s \geq 0$. In this case, we have $k(X) = s$. Under this identification, the map i^* corresponds to multiplication by $(z\bar{z})^s$. Hence,

$$(4) \quad 2^{t'-t-1+k(X')}w = (z\bar{z})^s \cdot Df^*(y) = (z\bar{z})^s \cdot h,$$

where we set $h := Df^*(y)$.

Since $t' - t > 0$, the left-hand side of (4) lies in the kernel of the forgetting map

$$R(G) = \mathbb{Z}[w, z]/(w^2 - 2w, w - 2z + z^2) \longrightarrow R(H) = \mathbb{Z}[z]/(-2z + z^2).$$

Therefore, $(z\bar{z})^s h$ also lies in the kernel. In particular, h has the form

$$h(w, z) = g(z - 2) + wg'$$

for some $g, g' \in \mathbb{Z}[w, z]/(w^2 - 2w, w - 2z + z^2)$. We now consider the doubling of (4):

$$(5) \quad 2^{2t'-2t+2k(DX')-1}w = (z\bar{z})^{2s} \cdot h \cdot \bar{h}.$$

For a polynomial $P \in \mathbb{Z}[w, z]/(w^2 - 2w, w - 2z + z^2)$, define

$$\bar{P}(w, z) := P(w, \bar{z}), \quad \text{where } \bar{z} = z + w - wz.$$

We compute:

$$h \cdot \bar{h} = wq + g \cdot \bar{g}(z - 2)(\bar{z} - 2) = wq + g \cdot \bar{g}(z\bar{z} + 2q')$$

for some polynomials q and q' in $\mathbb{Z}[w, z]/(w^2 - 2w, w - 2z + z^2)$. Plugging this into (5) and multiplying both sides by w , we get:

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{2t'-2t+2k(DX')}w &= w \cdot (z\bar{z})^{2s} \cdot (wq + g \cdot \bar{g}(z\bar{z} + 2q')) \\ &= 2^{2s}w \cdot (wq + g \cdot \bar{g} \cdot z\bar{z} + 2q') \\ &= 2^{2k(DX)+1} \cdot (q + g \cdot \bar{g} + q'). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain the inequality

$$k(DX') + t' - t \geq k(DX) + \frac{1}{2}.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 6.2. The first inequality follows from [KMT21]. We now consider the second inequality. Recall that the definition of the K -theoretic Frøyshov invariant is given by

$$\kappa_R(K) := k \left(\Sigma^{-D(V_\lambda^0)} \Sigma^{-D(W_\lambda^0)} \Sigma^{-D((W^\dagger)_\lambda^0)} D(I_\lambda^\mu(\Sigma_2(K), \mathfrak{t}, \iota, g)) \right) - \frac{n(Y, \mathfrak{t}, g)}{2},$$

where the notations follow [KMT21].

Now, set $\Sigma_2(K) = Y_0$, $\Sigma_2(K') = Y_1$, and $\Sigma_2(S) = W$. From the assumptions, W admits a real spin structure. Thus, we obtain a G -equivariant map of the form:

$$f: \Sigma^{m_0 \mathbb{R}} \Sigma^{n_0^\dagger \mathbb{C}_+ \Sigma^{n_0^- \mathbb{C}_+} I_{-\mu}^{-\lambda}(Y_0) \rightarrow \Sigma^{m_1 \mathbb{R}} \Sigma^{n_1^\dagger \mathbb{C}_+ \Sigma^{n_1^- \mathbb{C}_+} I_\lambda^\mu(Y_1),$$

where $I_\lambda^\mu(Y_i) := I_\lambda^\mu(Y_i, \mathfrak{t}_i, \iota_i, g_i)$, and $m_i, n_i^\pm \geq 0$, with $-\lambda, \mu$ taken sufficiently large. Taking the double of f , we obtain the *doubled cobordism map*, or the *doubled relative Bauer–Furuta invariant*,

$$(6) \quad D(f): \Sigma^{m_0 \mathbb{C}} \Sigma^{n_0(\mathbb{C}_+ \oplus \mathbb{C}_-)} D(I_{-\mu}^{-\lambda}(Y_0)) \rightarrow \Sigma^{m_1 \mathbb{C}} \Sigma^{n_1(\mathbb{C}_+ \oplus \mathbb{C}_-)} D(I_\lambda^\mu(Y_1)),$$

where $n_i := n_i^+ + n_i^-$. Denote by $V_i(\tilde{\mathbb{R}})_\lambda^\mu$ the vector space $V(\tilde{\mathbb{R}})_\lambda^\mu$, which is a finite-dimensional approximation of the I -invariant part for Y_i . We use similar notation for other representations as well.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} m_0 - m_1 &= \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(V_1(\tilde{\mathbb{R}})_\lambda^0) - \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(V_0(\tilde{\mathbb{R}})_{-\mu}^0) - b^+(W) + b_i^+(W), \\ n_0 - n_1 &= \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(V_1(\mathbb{C}_+)_{\lambda}^0) + \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(V_1(\mathbb{C}_-)_{\lambda}^0) \\ &\quad - \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(V_0(\mathbb{C}_+)_{-\mu}^0) - \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(V_0(\mathbb{C}_-)_{-\mu}^0) \\ &\quad - \frac{\sigma(W)}{16} + \frac{n(Y_1, \mathfrak{t}_1, g_1)}{2} - \frac{n(Y_0, \mathfrak{t}_0, g_0)}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

We apply Proposition 6.5 to (6). Note that $t' - t$ corresponds to $b^+(W) - b_i^+(W)$. This completes the proof. \square

6.2. Proof of main theorems. We now proceed to prove Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2, which are implied by the following theorem:

Theorem 6.6. *Let P be a pattern with odd winding number, and let m be a positive integer. Then any finite self-connected sum of $P(mE_{2,1}) \# -P(U)$ does not bound a normally immersed disk in B^4 with only negative double points.*

Proof. We first prove that for any positive integer m ,

$$(7) \quad \frac{1}{2} \leq \kappa_R(-mE_{2,1}),$$

by applying Theorem 6.2. There exists an oriented, compact, connected, properly, and smoothly embedded cobordism S_m in the twice-punctured $2m\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$, denoted by X , from $mT_{2,19}$ to $-mE_{2,1}$ [ACM⁺23]. Moreover, S_m represents the homology class

$$\underbrace{(2, \dots, 2)}_m, \underbrace{(6, \dots, 6)}_m \in H_2(X, \partial X) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2m}.$$

The Mayer–Vietoris sequence and the G -signature theorem (see, e.g., [KMT24, Lemma 4.5]) yield

$$\begin{aligned} b^+(\Sigma_2(S_m)) - b^+(X) &= b^+(X) - \frac{1}{4}[S_m]^2 - \frac{1}{2}\sigma(mT_{2,19}) \\ &= 2m - \frac{1}{4}((2)^2m + (6)^2m) + \frac{1}{2}(18m) \\ &= m. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(\Sigma_2(S_m)) &= 2\sigma(X) - \frac{1}{2}[S_m]^2 - \sigma(mT_{2,19}) \\ &= 4m - \frac{1}{2}((2)^2m + (6)^2m) + 18m \\ &= 2m. \end{aligned}$$

Additionally, by [KMT21, Theorem 1.6 and Theorem 1.8], we have

$$\kappa_R(mT_{2,19}) = \frac{9}{8}m.$$

Finally, since the real Floer homotopy type of $mT_{2,19}$ is strongly spherical [KMT21, Proposition 3.53], we apply the latter inequality of Theorem 6.2 to obtain

$$m + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{9}{8}m - \frac{1}{8}m + \frac{1}{2} \leq \kappa_R(-mE_{2,1}) + m,$$

which proves the claim.

Next, we prove that this implies that $mE_{2,1}$ does not bound a normally immersed disk in B^4 with only negative double points. Suppose, for contradiction, that it does, with ℓ negative double points. Then, there

exists a smooth concordance S' in the twice-punctured $\ell\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$, denoted by X' , from $-mE_{2,1}$ to the unknot. Moreover, S' represents the homology class

$$[S'] = (2, 2, \dots, 2) \in H_2(X', \partial X').$$

Applying the first inequality of Theorem 6.2 in a similar manner as above, we conclude that

$$\kappa_R(-mE_{2,1}) \leq 0,$$

which is a contradiction.

Finally, Theorem 1.4 implies that the connected sum of any finite number of copies of $P(mE_{2,1})\# -P(U)$ lies in the same local equivalence class as the connected sum of the same number of copies of $mE_{2,1}$. We denote such a connected sum by J . In particular, by Corollary 1.5 together with (7), we conclude that $-J$ has κ_R -invariant at least $1/2$. Hence, the same argument as above applies to J , completing the proof. \square

Remark 6.7. *Since we have constructed a geometric real spin cobordism*

$$X^0: \Sigma_2(P(mE_{2,1})) \rightarrow \Sigma_2(mE_{2,1}) \sqcup \Sigma_2(P(U))$$

satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} b^2(X^0) - b_\tau^2(X^0) &= 0, \\ \sigma(X^0) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

we can slightly refine the non-sliceness result for $P(mE_{2,1})\# -P(U)$, for any pattern P with odd winding number, as follows:

If $m = 3$, then

$$\text{sn}(P(mE_{2,1})\# - P(U)) \geq 2,$$

and if $m = 6$, then

$$\text{sn}(P(mE_{2,1})\# - P(U)) \geq 3.$$

The proof is similar to the argument in [FT25], combined with the cobordism X^0 .

Finally, we prove Theorem 1.3, whose statement we recall below.

Theorem 6.8. *Suppose that K is a finite self-connected sum of $T_{3,11}$. Then, for any pattern P with an odd winding number such that $P(U)$ is the unknot, we have*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\text{sn}(nP(K)) - \text{sn}^{\text{Top}}(nP(K))) = \infty.$$

Proof. Suppose that K is $mT_{3,11}$ for some positive integer m . In [BBL20], Baader, Banfield, and Lewark proved that $mT_{3,11}$ can be transformed into the unknot using $8m$ null-homologous twists. Recall that a *null-homologous twist* is an operation on an oriented knot that inserts a full twist into 2ℓ parallel strands, where ℓ strands are oriented upwards and ℓ strands are oriented downwards. By the work of McCoy [McC21, Theorem 1.1], this implies that the *algebraic genus* $g_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\text{Top}}$, defined as the minimal genus of a locally flat oriented surface embedded in B^4 that is bounded by the knot and whose complement has fundamental group \mathbb{Z} , for $mT_{3,11}$ is at most $8m$.

Next, we apply the inequality

$$g_{\mathbb{Z}}(P(K)) \leq g_{\mathbb{Z}}(P(U)) + g_{\mathbb{Z}}(K),$$

which is due to McCoy [McC21, Theorem 1.4] and Feller, Miller, and Caicedo [FMPC22, Theorem 1.1]. From this, we obtain

$$g_4^{\text{Top}}(P(K)) \leq g_{\mathbb{Z}}(P(K)) \leq 8m,$$

for any pattern P such that $P(U)$ is the unknot. Here, $g_4^{\text{Top}}(K)$ denotes the standard *topological slice genus* of a knot K , defined as the minimal genus of a locally flat oriented surface embedded in B^4 bounded by K .

By a theorem of Conway and Nagel [CN20, Theorem 5.15], which states that for a knot K with $\text{Arf}(K) = 0$, the stabilizing number $\text{sn}^{\text{Top}}(nP(K))$ is bounded above by $g_4^{\text{Top}}(nP(K))$, we conclude that

$$\text{sn}^{\text{Top}}(nP(K)) \leq g_4^{\text{Top}}(nP(K)) \leq ng_4^{\text{Top}}(P(K)) \leq 8nm$$

for each positive integer n and m .

Now, we will prove that

$$9nm \leq \text{sn}(nP(K)),$$

which will complete the proof. To do so, we apply the first inequality of Theorem 6.2, as in [KMT21, Theorem 1.10]. Let $p = \text{sn}(nP(K))$, and take X to be the twice-punctured $pS^2 \times S^2$, and S to be a null-homologous disk bounded by $nP(K)$ in $pS^2 \times S^2$. The inequality then yields:

$$(8) \quad -\frac{9}{16}\sigma(nP(K)) - \kappa_R(nP(K)) \leq \text{sn}(nP(K)).$$

A formula of Litherland [Lit79, Theorem 2] implies that

$$\sigma(nP(K)) = \sigma(nK) = \sigma(nmT_{3,11}) = -16nm.$$

Finally, by Theorem 1.4, we have that the local equivalence class of $nP(K)$ is equal to the local equivalence class of $nmT_{3,11}$. Therefore, combining this with Corollary 1.5 and [KMT21, Theorem 1.7 and Theorem 1.8], we obtain

$$\kappa_R(nP(K)) = \kappa_R(nmT_{3,11}) = nm(\kappa_R(T_{3,11})) = nm\left(-\frac{1}{2}\bar{\mu}(\Sigma(2, 3, 11))\right) = 0,$$

where $\bar{\mu}$ is the Neumann-Siebenmann invariant. Applying the above computations to (8) completes the proof. \square

7. INVARIANTS OF HOMOLOGY $S^1 \times S^3$

Let X be an oriented homology $S^1 \times S^3$, and let \tilde{X} denote its unique nontrivial double cover. A similar argument to the homology $S^1 \times S^2$ case yields the following:

Lemma 7.1. *There is a unique real spin^c structure on \tilde{X} up to isomorphism and sign.*

Proof. This follows by an argument similar to that of Proposition 4.2. Let l be the nontrivial real line bundle over X corresponding to the double cover $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$. Then we have

$$w_2(X) + w_1(l)^2 = 0.$$

By Lemma 2.9, this implies that \tilde{X} admits a real spin structure.

Moreover, by the same classification, the isomorphism classes of such structures correspond to real line bundles on \tilde{X} equipped with lifts of the involution. However, this construction lifts to a complex line bundle over X , and all such bundles are topologically trivial. Hence, the real spin^c structure on \tilde{X} is unique. \square

With respect to the real spin^c structure on \tilde{X} , we have the associated real Bauer–Furuta invariant

$$BF_{\tilde{X}}^R: S^{-\frac{1}{16}\sigma(\tilde{X})} = S^0 \rightarrow S^{b^+(\tilde{X})-b^+(X)} = S^0.$$

We define the invariant

$$|\deg(X)| \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$$

to be the degree of the real Bauer–Furuta invariant of X with respect to the real spin^c structure. One can easily verify the following.

Lemma 7.2. *The value $|\deg(X)|$ is invariant under orientation preserving diffeomorphisms between oriented homology $S^1 \times S^3$ manifolds. Moreover, if X admits a positive scalar curvature metric, then*

$$|\deg(X)| = 1.$$

Proof. The invariance under diffeomorphisms is routine.

Suppose now that X admits a positive scalar curvature metric. Using the standard argument involving the Weitzenböck formula, we see that there are no real solutions to the Seiberg–Witten equations on \tilde{X} for any real spin^c structure. This implies that only the reducible solution exists. In particular, the real Bauer–Furuta invariant $BF_{\tilde{X}}^R$ has mapping degree ± 1 , so $|\deg(X)| = 1$. This completes the proof. \square

Recall that for a given 2-knot K in S^4 , we denote by $X(K)$ the 4-manifold obtained by performing surgery along K . We now examine the relationship between $|\deg(K)|$ and $|\deg(X(K))|$. Although we assume that the 2-knot lies in S^4 , the proof in fact applies to any 2-knot in a homology S^4 .

Proposition 7.3. *For any smooth 2-knot K in S^4 , we have*

$$|\deg(K)| = |\deg(X(K))|.$$

Proof. This follows from the standard gluing argument in real Seiberg–Witten theory; see [Miy23]. We briefly sketch the proof.

Decompose S^4 as

$$S^4 = (S^4 \setminus \nu(K)) \cup_{S^1 \times S^2} \nu(K),$$

and similarly decompose the double branched cover as

$$\Sigma_2(K) = \left(S^4 \widetilde{\setminus} \nu(K) \right) \cup_{S^1 \times S^2} \Sigma_2(S^2 \times D^2, S^2 \times \{0\}).$$

Here, $\nu(K)$ is a closed neighborhood of K and the involution on $S^1 \times S^2$ is given by $(x, y) \mapsto (-x, y)$.

On the other hand, the surgery $X(K)$ admits the decomposition

$$X(K) = (S^4 \setminus \nu(K)) \cup_{S^1 \times S^2} D^3 \times S^1.$$

Taking the double cover, we obtain

$$\widetilde{X(K)} = \left(S^4 \widetilde{\setminus} \nu(K) \right) \cup_{S^1 \times S^2} \widetilde{D^3 \times S^1}.$$

Note that the gluing region $S^1 \times S^2$ is equipped with the \mathbb{Z}_2 -action $\tau: (x, y) \mapsto (-x, y)$. Under this involution, one can verify that the real Floer homology type of $S^1 \times S^2$ is S^0 .

With respect to these decompositions, we have

$$\begin{aligned} BF_{\Sigma_2(K)}^R &= BF_{S^4 \widetilde{\setminus} \nu(K)}^R \wedge_{SWF_R(S^1 \times S^2)} BF_{\Sigma_2(S^2 \times D^2, S^2 \times \{0\})}^R, \\ BF_{X(K)}^R &= BF_{S^4 \widetilde{\setminus} \nu(K)}^R \wedge_{SWF_R(S^1 \times S^2)} BF_{D^3 \times S^1}^R, \end{aligned}$$

where:

- The notation $SWF_R(S^1 \times S^2)$ denotes the real Floer homology type of $S^1 \times S^2$ equipped with the involution

$$S^1 \times S^2 \rightarrow S^1 \times S^2; \quad (x, y) \mapsto (-x, y).$$

- The stable map

$$BF_{S^4 \widetilde{\setminus} \nu(K)}^R : S^0 \rightarrow SWF_R(S^1 \times S^2)$$

is the real Bauer–Furuta invariant of $S^4 \widetilde{\setminus} \nu(K)$, defined using the restricted real spin structure.

- The stable map

$$BF_{\Sigma_2(S^2 \times D^2, S^2 \times \{0\})}^R : SWF_R(S^1 \times S^2) \rightarrow S^0$$

is the real Bauer–Furuta invariant of $\Sigma_2(S^2 \times D^2, S^2 \times \{0\})$, defined using the restricted real spin structure.

- The stable map

$$BF_{D^3 \times S^1}^R : SWF_R(S^1 \times S^2) \rightarrow S^0$$

is the real Bauer–Furuta invariant of $D^3 \times S^1$, defined with respect to the involution

$$D^3 \times S^1 \rightarrow D^3 \times S^1; \quad (x, y) \mapsto (x, -y),$$

together with the unique real spin structure on this space.

It is straightforward to check that:

$$SWF_R(S^1 \times S^2) = S^0, \quad BF_{\Sigma_2(S^2 \times D^2, S^2 \times \{0\})}^R = \pm \text{Id}, \quad \text{and} \quad BF_{D^3 \times S^1}^R = \pm \text{Id}.$$

By the definition of $|\deg(K)|$, we have

$$|\deg(BF_{\Sigma_2(K)}^R)| = |\deg(K)|.$$

Combining the identities above, we find

$$|\deg(BF_{\Sigma_2(K)}^R)| = |\deg(BF_{S^4 \widetilde{\setminus} \nu(K)}^R)| = |\deg(BF_{X(K)}^R)| = |\deg(X(K))|.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 7.4. *Let K be the 1-roll spun knot of $P(k(-2, 3, 7))$, where P is any odd pattern satisfying $P(U) = U$, and $k(-2, 3, 7)$ denotes the pretzel knot of type $(-2, 3, 7)$. Then the surgery $X(K)$ does not admit a positive scalar curvature metric.*

Proof. From the formula in [Miy23, Section 4 and Theorem 4.32], we have

$$|\deg(K)| = |\deg(P(k(-2, 3, 7)))| = 3.$$

Applying Proposition 7.3, we obtain

$$|\deg(X(K))| = |\deg(P(k(-2, 3, 7)))| = |\deg(k(-2, 3, 7))| = 3.$$

The conclusion then follows from Lemma 7.2. \square

Using [KPT24, Corollary 1.6], one can also treat more general Montesinos knots in a similar way.

Remark 7.5. *We remark that the known obstructions to the existence of positive scalar curvature metrics on closed 4-manifolds are as follows:*

- the signature of spin 4-manifolds,
- the Seiberg–Witten invariant for 4-manifolds with $b^+ > 1$,
- Schoen–Yau’s hypersurface method [SY87],
- enlargeability [GL80], and the Dirac obstruction [Ros86].

For example, a homology $S^1 \times S^3$ obtained as a mapping torus of an enlargeable 3-manifold is itself enlargeable, and therefore cannot admit a positive scalar curvature metric. Furthermore, for a homology $S^1 \times S^3$ with a rational homology 3-sphere of a certain class as a cross-section, there exist obstructions [LRS18, Lin19, KT20, KT23] arising from Seiberg–Witten gauge theory for periodic-end 4-manifolds or from monopole Floer theory applied to the 4-manifold cut open along the cross-section.

Our examples involve surgeries on twisted roll-spun 2-knots. In general, an m -twisted l -roll spun 2-knot is fibered when $m \neq 0$, in which case the resulting surgery yields a mapping torus. Depending on whether the fibered 3-manifold is enlargeable, this may obstruct the existence of a positive scalar curvature metric. This is why we specifically focus on the case of 0-twisted 1-roll spun knots.

Remark 7.6. *It is interesting to compare our invariant $|\deg(X)|$ for a homology $S^1 \times S^3$ manifold X with other numerical invariants of such manifolds arising from Yang–Mills or Seiberg–Witten theory.*

There are two well-known invariants of homology $S^1 \times S^3$ manifolds:

- the Furuta–Ohta Casson-type invariant λ_{FO} [FO93], defined via instanton counting, and
- the Mrowka–Ruberman–Saveliev Casson-type invariant λ_{MRS} [MRS11], defined via Seiberg–Witten solutions with a correction term.

These two invariants are conjectured to be equal up to sign. However, when compared with $|\deg(X)|$, one can easily see that $|\deg(X)|$ is independent of them. For example, consider the case where $X = S^1 \times Y$ for an oriented integral homology 3-sphere Y . Then it is easy to check that $|\deg(X)| = 1$, while

$$\lambda_{FO}(Y) = -\lambda_{MRS}(Y) = \lambda(Y),$$

where $\lambda(Y)$ denotes the classical Casson invariant of Y .

REFERENCES

- [ACM⁺23] Paolo Aceto, Nickolas A. Castro, Maggie Miller, JungHwan Park, and András Stipsicz, *Slice obstructions from genus bounds in definite 4-manifolds*, preprint arXiv:2303.10587 (2023). \uparrow 1, 26
- [Bar24] David Baraglia, *Knot concordance invariants from Seiberg–Witten theory and slice genus bounds in 4-manifolds*, Internat. J. Math. **35** (2024), no. 10, Paper No. 2450032, 29. MR4793229 \uparrow 2
- [Bar25] ———, *Exotic embedded surfaces and involutions from Real seiberg-witten theory*, preprint arXiv:2504.00281 (2025). \uparrow 1, 4
- [BBL20] Sebastian Baader, Ian M. Banfield, and Lukas Lewark, *Untwisting 3-strand torus knots*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **52** (2020), no. 3, 429–436. MR4171377 \uparrow 2, 27
- [BH24a] David Baraglia and Pedram Hekmati, *Equivariant Seiberg–Witten–Floer cohomology*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **24** (2024), no. 1, 493–554. MR4721374 \uparrow 2

- [BH24b] ———, *New invariants of involutions from Seiberg–Witten Floer theory*, preprint arXiv:2403.00203 (2024). $\uparrow 1$
- [BS21] John A. Baldwin and Steven Sivek, *Framed instanton homology and concordance*, *J. Topol.* **14** (2021), no. 4, 1113–1175. MR4332488 $\uparrow 3$
- [CDR14] Tim D. Cochran, Christopher W. Davis, and Arunima Ray, *Injectivity of satellite operators in knot concordance*, *J. Topol.* **7** (2014), no. 4, 948–964. MR3286894 $\uparrow 3$
- [CFHH13] Tim D. Cochran, Bridget D. Franklin, Matthew Hedden, and Peter D. Horn, *Knot concordance and homology cobordism*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **141** (2013), no. 6, 2193–2208. MR3034445 $\uparrow 3$
- [CN20] Anthony Conway and Matthias Nagel, *Stably slice disks of links*, *J. Topol.* **13** (2020), no. 3, 1261–1301. MR4125756 $\uparrow 27$
- [Col22] Sally Collins, *Homology cobordism, smooth concordance, and the figure eight knot*, preprint arXiv:2210.10308 (2022). $\uparrow 2$
- [DIS⁺22] Aliakbar Daemi, Hayato Imori, Kouki Sato, Christopher Scaduto, and Masaki Taniguchi, *Instantons, special cycles, and knot concordance*, preprint arXiv:2209.05400 (2022). $\uparrow 2, 3$
- [DKM⁺24] Irving Dai, Sungkyung Kang, Abhishek Mallick, JungHwan Park, and Matthew Stoffregen, *The (2, 1)-cable of the figure-eight knot is not smoothly slice*, *Invent. Math.* **238** (2024), no. 2, 371–390. MR4809438 $\uparrow 1$
- [DS24] Aliakbar Daemi and Christopher Scaduto, *Equivariant aspects of singular instanton Floer homology*, *Geom. Topol.* **28** (2024), no. 9, 4057–4190. MR4845451 $\uparrow 2$
- [Flo90] Andreas Floer, *Instanton homology, surgery, and knots*, *Geometry of low-dimensional manifolds*, 1 (Durham, 1989), 1990, pp. 97–114. MR1171893 $\uparrow 3, 20$
- [FMPC22] Peter Feller, Allison N. Miller, and Juanita Pinzón-Caicedo, *The topological slice genus of satellite knots*, *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* **22** (2022), no. 2, 709–738. MR4464463 $\uparrow 2, 27$
- [FO93] Mikio Furuta and Hiroshi Ohta, *Differentiable structures on punctured 4-manifolds*, *Topology Appl.* **51** (1993), no. 3, 291–301. MR1237394 $\uparrow 30$
- [Fox62] Ralph H. Fox, *Some problems in knot theory*, *Topology of 3-manifolds and related topics* (Proc. The Univ. of Georgia Institute, 1961), 1962, pp. 168–176. MR0140100 $\uparrow 1$
- [FPR19] Peter Feller, JungHwan Park, and Arunima Ray, *On the Upsilon invariant and satellite knots*, *Math. Z.* **292** (2019), no. 3–4, 1431–1452. MR3980298 $\uparrow 2$
- [FT25] Yoshihiro Fukumoto and Masaki Taniguchi, *On the connected sums of the (2, 1)-cable of the figure eight knot*, preprint arXiv:2501.07910 (2025). $\uparrow 27$
- [GL80] Mikhael Gromov and H. Blaine Lawson Jr., *The classification of simply connected manifolds of positive scalar curvature*, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **111** (1980), no. 3, 423–434. MR577131 $\uparrow 30$
- [GLW24] Sudipta Ghosh, Zhenkun Li, and C.-M. Michael Wong, *On the tau invariants in instanton and monopole Floer theories*, *J. Topol.* **17** (2024), no. 2, Paper No. e12346, 53. MR4821358 $\uparrow 3$
- [HKPS22] Jennifer Hom, Sungkyung Kang, JungHwan Park, and Matthew Stoffregen, *Linear independence of rationally slice knots*, *Geom. Topol.* **26** (2022), no. 7, 3143–3172. MR4540903 $\uparrow 2$
- [HM17] Kristen Hendricks and Ciprian Manolescu, *Involutive Heegaard Floer homology*, *Duke Math. J.* **166** (2017), no. 7, 1211–1299. MR3649355 $\uparrow 2$
- [HMP21] Kyle Hayden, Thomas E. Mark, and Lisa Piccirillo, *Exotic Mazur manifolds and knot trace invariants*, *Adv. Math.* **391** (2021), Paper No. 107994, 30. MR4317407 $\uparrow 3$
- [Hom14] Jennifer Hom, *The knot Floer complex and the smooth concordance group*, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **89** (2014), no. 3, 537–570. MR3260841 $\uparrow 3$
- [HW16] Jennifer Hom and Zhongtao Wu, *Four-ball genus bounds and a refinement of the Ozv ath–Szab o tau invariant*, *J. Symplectic Geom.* **14** (2016), no. 1, 305–323. MR3523259 $\uparrow 3$
- [IT24] Nobuo Iida and Masaki Taniguchi, *Monopoles and transverse knots*, preprint arXiv:2403.15763 (2024). $\uparrow 2, 3$
- [Kat22] Yuya Kato, *Nonsmoothable actions of $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ on spin four-manifolds*, *Topology Appl.* **307** (2022), Paper No. 107868, 13. MR4365044 $\uparrow 1, 7$
- [Kha15] Tirasan Khandhawit, *A new gauge slice for the relative Bauer–Furuta invariants*, *Geom. Topol.* **19** (2015), no. 3, 1631–1655. MR3352245 $\uparrow 16$
- [Kho00] Mikhail Khovanov, *A categorification of the Jones polynomial*, *Duke Math. J.* **101** (2000), no. 3, 359–426. MR1740682 $\uparrow 2$
- [KM07] Peter Kronheimer and Tomasz Mrowka, *Monopoles and three-manifolds*, *New Mathematical Monographs*, vol. 10, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007. MR2388043 $\uparrow 5, 8$
- [KM10] ———, *Knots, sutures, and excision*, *J. Differential Geom.* **84** (2010), no. 2, 301–364. MR2652464 $\uparrow 3, 20$
- [KMT21] Hokuto Konno, Jin Miyazawa, and Masaki Taniguchi, *Involutions, knots, and Floer K-theory*, preprint arXiv:2110.09258 (2021). $\uparrow 1, 2, 3, 11, 12, 23, 25, 26, 28$
- [KMT23] Hokuto Konno, Abhishek Mallick, and Masaki Taniguchi, *From diffeomorphisms to exotic phenomena in small 4-manifolds*, preprint arXiv:2304.05997 (2023). $\uparrow 1$
- [KMT24] Hokuto Konno, Jin Miyazawa, and Masaki Taniguchi, *Involutions, links, and Floer cohomologies*, *J. Topol.* **17** (2024), no. 2, Paper No. e12340, 47. MR4821360 $\uparrow 1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 11, 12, 15, 20, 26$
- [Kon24] Hokuto Konno, *Dehn twists and the Nielsen realization problem for spin 4-manifolds*, *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* **24** (2024), no. 3, 1739–1753. MR4767887 $\uparrow 24$

- [KP18] Min Hoon Kim and Kyungbae Park, *An infinite-rank summand of knots with trivial Alexander polynomial*, J. Symplectic Geom. **16** (2018), no. 6, 1749–1771. MR3934241 \uparrow 2
- [KPT24] Sungkyung Kang, JungHwan Park, and Masaki Taniguchi, *Cables of the figure-eight knot via real Frøyshov invariants*, preprint arXiv:2405.09295 (2024). \uparrow 1, 2, 3, 18, 30
- [KT20] Hokuto Konno and Masaki Taniguchi, *Positive scalar curvature and 10/8-type inequalities on 4-manifolds with periodic ends*, Invent. Math. **222** (2020), no. 3, 833–880. MR4169052 \uparrow 30
- [KT23] ———, *Positive scalar curvature and homology cobordism invariants*, J. Topol. **16** (2023), no. 2, 679–719. MR4637974 \uparrow 30
- [Lev16] Adam Simon Levine, *Nonsurjective satellite operators and piecewise-linear concordance*, Forum Math. Sigma **4** (2016), Paper No. e34, 47. MR3589337 \uparrow 2
- [Lew14] Lukas Lewark, *Rasmussen’s spectral sequences and the \mathfrak{sl}_N -concordance invariants*, Adv. Math. **260** (2014), 59–83. MR3209349 \uparrow 3
- [Li22] Jiakai Li, *Monopole Floer homology and real structures*, preprint arXiv:2211.10768 (2022). \uparrow 1, 4, 7
- [Li23] ———, *Real monopole Floer homology and skein exact triangles*, preprint arXiv:2304.01742 (2023). \uparrow 1
- [Lin19] Jianfeng Lin, *The Seiberg–Witten equations on end-periodic manifolds and an obstruction to positive scalar curvature metrics*, J. Topol. **12** (2019), no. 2, 328–371. MR3911569 \uparrow 30
- [Lit79] R. A. Litherland, *Signatures of iterated torus knots*, Topology of low-dimensional manifolds (Proc. Second Sussex Conf., Chelwood Gate, 1977), 1979, pp. 71–84. MR547456 \uparrow 28
- [Liv04] Charles Livingston, *Computations of the Ozsváth–Szabó knot concordance invariant*, Geom. Topol. **8** (2004), 735–742. MR2057779 \uparrow 2
- [LL16] Lukas Lewark and Andrew Lobb, *New quantum obstructions to sliceness*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) **112** (2016), no. 1, 81–114. MR3458146 \uparrow 3
- [Lob09] Andrew Lobb, *A slice genus lower bound from $\mathfrak{sl}(n)$ Khovanov–Rozansky homology*, Adv. Math. **222** (2009), no. 4, 1220–1276. MR2554935 \uparrow 3
- [Lob12] ———, *A note on Gornik’s perturbation of Khovanov–Rozansky homology*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **12** (2012), no. 1, 293–305. MR2916277 \uparrow 3
- [LRS18] Jianfeng Lin, Daniel Ruberman, and Nikolai Saveliev, *A splitting theorem for the Seiberg–Witten invariant of a homology $S^1 \times S^3$* , Geom. Topol. **22** (2018), no. 5, 2865–2942. MR3811774 \uparrow 30
- [LS14] Robert Lipshitz and Sucharit Sarkar, *A refinement of Rasmussen’s S -invariant*, Duke Math. J. **163** (2014), no. 5, 923–952. MR3189434 \uparrow 3
- [Man03] Ciprian Manolescu, *Seiberg–Witten–Floer stable homotopy type of three-manifolds with $b_1 = 0$* , Geom. Topol. **7** (2003), 889–932. MR2026550 \uparrow 11, 14, 16
- [Man14] ———, *On the intersection forms of spin four-manifolds with boundary*, Math. Ann. **359** (2014), no. 3–4, 695–728. MR3231012 \uparrow 23, 24
- [McC21] Duncan McCoy, *Null-homologous twisting and the algebraic genus*, 2019–20 MATRIX annals, 2021, pp. 147–165. MR4294766 \uparrow 2, 27
- [Mil23] Allison N. Miller, *Homomorphism obstructions for satellite maps*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. Ser. B **10** (2023), 220–240. MR4545198 \uparrow 3
- [Miy23] Jin Miyazawa, *A gauge theoretic invariant of embedded surfaces in 4-manifolds and exotic P^2 -knots*, preprint arXiv:2312.02041 (2023). \uparrow 1, 3, 15, 20, 21, 22, 29, 30
- [Miy94] Katura Miyazaki, *Nonsimple, ribbon fibered knots*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **341** (1994), no. 1, 1–44. MR1176509 \uparrow 1
- [MRS11] Tomasz Mrowka, Daniel Ruberman, and Nikolai Saveliev, *Seiberg–Witten equations, end-periodic Dirac operators, and a lift of Rohlin’s invariant*, J. Differential Geom. **88** (2011), no. 2, 333–377. MR2838269 \uparrow 30
- [Nak13] Nobuhiro Nakamura, *$\text{Pin}^-(2)$ -monopole equations and intersection forms with local coefficients of four-manifolds*, Math. Ann. **357** (2013), no. 3, 915–939. MR3118618 \uparrow 1, 4, 7, 15
- [Nak15] ———, *$\text{Pin}^-(2)$ -monopole invariants*, J. Differential Geom. **101** (2015), no. 3, 507–549. MR3415770 \uparrow 1, 5, 7
- [OS03] Peter Ozsváth and Zoltán Szabó, *Knot Floer homology and the four-ball genus*, Geom. Topol. **7** (2003), 615–639. MR2026543 \uparrow 2, 3
- [OS04] ———, *Holomorphic disks and topological invariants for closed three-manifolds*, Ann. of Math. (2) **159** (2004), no. 3, 1027–1158. MR2113019 \uparrow 1
- [OS11] ———, *Knot Floer homology and rational surgeries*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **11** (2011), no. 1, 1–68. MR2764036 \uparrow 3
- [Pic19] Lisa Piccirillo, *Shake genus and slice genus*, Geom. Topol. **23** (2019), no. 5, 2665–2684. MR4019900 \uparrow 3
- [Ras10] Jacob Rasmussen, *Khovanov homology and the slice genus*, Invent. Math. **182** (2010), no. 2, 419–447. MR2729272 \uparrow 2, 3
- [Ros86] Jonathan Rosenberg, *C^* -algebras, positive scalar curvature, and the Novikov conjecture. III*, Topology **25** (1986), no. 3, 319–336. MR842428 \uparrow 30
- [Rud93] Lee Rudolph, *Quasipositivity as an obstruction to sliceness*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **29** (1993), no. 1, 51–59. MR1193540 \uparrow 2
- [Rud98] ———, *Quasipositive plumbing (constructions of quasipositive knots and links. V)*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **126** (1998), no. 1, 257–267. MR1452826 \uparrow 2
- [SS22] Taketo Sano and Kouki Sato, *A family of slice-torus invariants from the divisibility of reduced Lee classes*, preprint arXiv:2211.02494 (2022). \uparrow 3

- [SS] Hirofumi Sasahira and Matthew Stoffregen, *To appear*. ↑21
- [SY87] Richard Schoen and Shing-Tung Yau, *The structure of manifolds with positive scalar curvature*, Directions in partial differential equations (Madison, WI, 1985), 1987, pp. 235–242. MR1013841 ↑30
- [TW09] Gang Tian and Shuguang Wang, *Orientability and real Seiberg–Witten invariants*, Internat. J. Math. **20** (2009), no. 5, 573–604. MR2526308 ↑1
- [Wu09] Hao Wu, *On the quantum filtration of the Khovanov–Rozansky cohomology*, Adv. Math. **221** (2009), no. 1, 54–139. MR2509322 ↑3
- [Yas15] Kouichi Yasui, *Corks, exotic 4-manifolds and knot concordance*, preprint arXiv:1505.02551 (2015). ↑3

RESEARCH INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, JAPAN
Email address: miyazawa.jin.5a@kyoto-u.ac.jp

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, KAIST, REPUBLIC OF KOREA
Email address: jungpark0817@kaist.ac.kr

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, JAPAN
Email address: taniguchi.masaki.7m@kyoto-u.ac.jp