# THE SINGULARITY CATEGORY AND DUALITY FOR COMPLETE INTERSECTION GROUPS

#### J.P.C.GREENLEES

ABSTRACT. If G is a finite group, some aspects of the modular representation theory depend on the cochains  $C^*(BG; k)$ , viewed as a commutative ring spectrum. We consider here its singularity category (in the sense of the author and Stevenson [17]) and show that if  $C^*(BG; k)$  is a homotopical complete intersection in a strong sense, then the singularity category is the bounded derived category of the k-nullification of the connective ring spectrum  $C_*(\Omega BG_p)$ . In the course of this we establish a form of Gorenstein duality for  $C_*(\Omega BG_p)$  for these groups.

#### CONTENTS

т.	Introduction	L
2.	Homotopy invariant commutative algebra and Morita equivalence	3
3.	Normalizations and the symmetric Gorenstein context	4
4.	The singularity category	
5.	Nullifying $k$	6
6.	And erson-Tate duality for $L_k C_*(\Omega B G_p)$	12
7.	The squeezed Tate construction	14
8.	Examples	16
References		16

### 1. INTRODUCTION

1.A. The enhanced group cohomology ring. Many structural features of the representation theory of a finite group G over a field k of characteristic p are reflected in the cohomology ring  $H^*(BG;k) = \operatorname{Ext}_{kG}^*(k,k)$ , starting with Quillen's theorem that the Krull dimension is the prank of G. This is a Noetherian ring (Venkov) and very special structurally: for example if it is Cohen-Macaulay, it is automatically Gorenstein (Benson-Carlson). However the structural features are more clearly reflected if we consider an enrichment: we consider the cochains  $C^*(BG) =$  $C^*(BG;k)$  rather than the cohomology ring  $H^*(BG) = \pi_*(C^*(BG))$ . For many purposes it is enough to consider it as an  $A_{\infty}$ -ring, which is familiar in algebraic contexts, but in fact we may take  $C^*(BG) = \max(BG, Hk)$  to be the spectrum of maps from BG into the Eilenberg-Maclane spectrum Hk. As such it is an  $E_{\infty}$ -ring, and we may capture relevant structures by working in a symmetric monoidal category of spectra in which it is a commutative ring.

Introduction

The author is grateful to Dave Benson for conversations about this work, and for permission to include Lemma 7.3. This research was supported by EPSRC grant EP/W036320/1. The author would also like to thank the Isaac Newton Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Cambridge, for support and hospitality during the programme Equivariant Homotopy Theory in Context, where later parts of work on this paper was undertaken. This work was supported by EPSRC grant EP/Z000580/1.

1.B. The spectrum of behaviour. A massive benefit of working with cochains is that  $C^*(BG)$  is Gorenstein [10] for all finite groups G without exception. At the other extreme, following Auslander, Buchsbaum and Serre in classical commutative algebra, one may define regular local rings in a homotopy invariant way, and it turns out that  $C^*(BG)$  is regular if and only if G is p-nilpotent.

It is then natural to consider the spread of behaviour on the spectrum between Gorenstein and regular, and to use the singularity category to place groups along the range.

Results of [17] (explained below) allow the apparatus of the singularity category to be applied for  $C^*(BG)$ . Some specific calculations have been made in [4, 1]. In these cases it was possible to calculate all coefficient rings and to give small and explicit algebraic models, but we cannot expect to be explicit in general. In the present paper we develop some structural and homotopy invariant methods we can apply more generally.

In particular, we prove that when groups are complete intersections in a rather strong sense then the singularity category is well behaved.

Our focus here is on the ring spectra  $C^*(BG)$  for finite groups G, but the methods are applicable to sufficiently nice ring spectra R with a map  $R \longrightarrow k$  to a field k. Certainly this applies to  $C^*(BG)$ for compact Lie groups G and to examples from rational homotopy theory.

1.C. Koszul duality. Morita theory allows us to take a kG-module M and obtain the module  $C^*(BG; M) := \operatorname{Hom}_{kG}(k, M)$  over the Koszul dual ring  $(kG)^! := \operatorname{Hom}_{kG}(k, k) \simeq C^*(BG)$ . One might hope this is one direction of a Morita equivalence, but the situation is a little more complicated: if we attempt to return to kG-modules we obtain an action of the ring  $\mathcal{E}$  of  $C^*(BG)$ endomorphisms of k. The Eilenberg-Moore spectral sequence arises from an equivalence

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{C^*X}(k,k) \simeq C_*(\Omega X),$$

provided X is connected, p-complete and  $\pi_1(X)$  is a finite p-group [8]. Since the Bousfield-Kan p-completion  $BG \longrightarrow (BG)_p^{\wedge}$  induces an isomorphism in  $H^*(\cdot; k)$ , we see

$$\mathcal{E} = C^*(BG)^! = \operatorname{Hom}_{C^*(BG)}(k, k) \simeq C_*(\Omega(BG_p^{\wedge}))$$

For brevity we write  $C_*(\Omega BG_p) = C_*(\Omega(BG_p^{\wedge}))$  from now on. The point is that  $C_*(\Omega BG_p) = ((kG)!)!$  is the double Koszul dual of kG, so we have a double-centralizer completion map  $kG \longrightarrow C_*(\Omega BG_p)$ . This is an equivalence if G is a p-group, but generally very far from it. For example if G is not p-nilpotent, the homology ring  $H_*(\Omega BG_p)$  is not finite dimensional.

1.D. Morita equivalence. The advantage of working with  $C_*(\Omega BG_p)$  is that we do get a precise Morita equivalence between appropriate categories of  $C^*(BG)$ -modules and  $C_*(\Omega BG_p)$ -modules. To establish the context, we must establish a good theory of 'finitely generated' modules. We explain this in more detail below, but there is a quick definition sufficient to let us to state our main results. We may say that a  $C^*(BG)$ -module M is finitely generated if  $\pi_*(M)$  is finitely generated over  $\pi_*(C^*(BG)) = H^*(BG)$ , and define the bounded derived category to be the homotopy category of modules with homotopy finitely generated over  $H^*(BG)$ ,

$$\mathsf{D}^{b}(C^{*}(BG)) = \mathrm{Ho}(\{M \mid \pi_{*}(M) \text{ is finitely generated over } H^{*}(BG)\})$$

It is shown in [17] that this has good formal properties, and that there is a Morita equivalence

$$\mathsf{D}^b(C^*(BG)) \simeq \mathsf{D}^b(C_*(\Omega BG_p)).$$

Accordingly, we can move back and forth between  $C^*(BG)$ -modules and  $C_*(\Omega BG_p)$ -modules, which makes precise what one learns about kG-modules by considering  $C^*(BG)$ -modules.

1.E. Complete intersections. We have already highlighted the Gorenstein duality property for  $C^*(BG)$ . This is closely related to the fact that there are two approaches to Tate duality: one which splices together homology and cohomology and one which kills finite free spectra. The fact that these two give the same answer is important: it is the Anderson self-duality of the Tate spectrum. Following the terminology in group cohomology, we might call this 'Tate duality'.

The point of the present paper is that under a complete intersection (ci) assumption, these two duality properties are also enjoyed by the non-commutative ring  $C_*(\Omega BG_p)$ . In commutative algebra Gulliksen has shown that ci rings are precisely those for which the Ext algebra  $\operatorname{Ext}_R^*(k, k)$  has polynomial growth. Without an assumption of that type, the ring  $H_*(\Omega BG_p) \simeq \pi_*(\operatorname{Hom}_{C^*(BG)}(k, k))$ has no hope of good Noetherian behaviour. Accordingly it seems reasonable to make a ci assumption. It was shown in [5] that there is a range of different ways to transpose the ci assumption to ring spectra like  $C^*(BG)$ , and we will show that when such a condition holds, the ring  $C_*(\Omega BG_p)$ has both the duality properties. The Anderson-Tate duality for  $C_*(\Omega BG_p)$  can be viewed as giving a calculation of the singularity category for  $C^*(BG)$ .

# 2. Homotopy invariant commutative algebra and Morita equivalence

The motivation for our methods comes from classical commutative algebra with the study of a Noetherian local ring with residue field k. In this section we introduce some basic homotopy invariant definitions and how they apply to our examples.

We note the recurrent theme that it is sometimes best to look at modules over the commutative algebra  $C^*(BG)$  and sometimes best to look at modules over  $C_*(\Omega BG_p)$ .

2.A. **Regularity.** By results of Auslander, Buchsbaum and Serre, a commutative local ring is regular if and only if k is small as an R-module, or equivalently that  $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{*}(k,k)$  is finite dimensional. For a ring spectrum R with a map  $R \longrightarrow k$  we define R to be regular if k is small over R. This is again equivalent to  $\pi_{*}\mathcal{E} = \pi_{*}(\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(k,k))$  being finite dimensional.

Thus  $C^*(BG)$  is regular if and only if  $\pi_*C_*(\Omega BG_p) = H_*(\Omega BG_p)$  is finite dimensional, and this happens if and only if G is p-nilpotent.

2.B. **Proxy regularity.** Of course regularity is a very restrictive condition, and we need a more inclusive finiteness condition to play the role of the Noetherian condition. In classical commutative algebra, we may choose generators of the maximal ideal and consider the Koszul complex K. The existence of such a complex is the finiteness condition we need.

For ring spectra with a map  $R \longrightarrow k$  with k a field, and we will require the finiteness hypothesis that R is *proxy-regular* in the sense [10, 4.14] that there is a small R-module K finitely built by k and so that k is built by K.

It is shown in [10, Subsection 5.7] that  $C^*(BG)$  is proxy regular if G is any finite or compact Lie group, and by [10, 4.17] this implies  $C_*(\Omega BG_p)$  is proxy regular.

2.C. The Gorenstein condition. We first consider the Gorenstein condition. In classical commutative algebra there are a number of different characterisations of Gorenstein local rings, one of which is the condition that  $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{*}(k, R)$  is 1-dimensional.

**Definition 2.1.** [10, 8.1] A proxy-regular augmented ring spectrum  $R \longrightarrow k$  is said to be *Gorenstein* of shift a if there is an equivalence of R-modules  $\operatorname{Hom}_R(k, R) \simeq \Sigma^a k$ .

It is shown in [10, Subsection 10.3] that for a finite group G, the ring  $C^*(BG)$  is Gorenstein of shift 0, and it follows from the Morita invariance statement [10, 8.5] that  $C_*(\Omega BG_p)$  is also Gorenstein of shift 0.

If G is a compact Lie group with k-orientable adjoint representation, then the rings are also Gorenstein of shift equal to the dimension of G.

2.D. Effective constructibility. The power of Koszul duality is that it gives very organized constructions of k-cellularization. This is based on the observation that  $\operatorname{Hom}_R(k, M)$  is a  $\mathcal{E}$ -module and hence built from  $\mathcal{E}$  and hence  $\operatorname{Hom}_R(k, M) \otimes_{\mathcal{E}} k$  is built from k.

**Definition 2.2.** [10, 4.3] We say k-cellularization is effectively constructible if the evaluation map

$$\operatorname{Hom}_R(k, M) \otimes_{\mathcal{E}} k \longrightarrow M$$

is the k-cellularization.

It is shown in [10, 4.10] that if R is proxy-regular then the cellularization is effectively constructible.

2.E. Gorenstein duality. If  $R ext{ a } k$ -algebra we may form the Brown-Comenetz dual  $R^{\vee} = \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(k, R)$ , which obviously has the Matlis lifting property

$$\operatorname{Hom}_R(T, R^{\vee}) = \operatorname{Hom}_k(T, k).$$

Thus if  $R \longrightarrow k$  is a k-algebra which is Gorenstein of shift a, we have equivalences

$$\operatorname{Hom}_R(k, R) \simeq \Sigma^a k \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_R(k, \Sigma^a R^{\vee}).$$

A priori this is only an equivalence of R-modules, but if  $\mathcal{E}$  has a unique action of k it is an equivalence of  $\mathcal{E}$ -modules and we may apply  $\otimes_{\mathcal{E}} k$  to deduce

$$\operatorname{Cell}_k R \simeq \Sigma^a \operatorname{Cell}_k(R^{\vee}).$$

The trivial action condition is automatic for finite groups G (since  $\pi_1(BG_p)$  is a finite *p*-group that can only act trivially on k). For a compact Lie group, the action is given by the action of  $\pi_1(BG_p)$ on  $H^d(S^{ad(G)};k)$ ; by definition it is trivial if the adjoint representation is *k*-orientable.

Finally, if R is connective or coconnective with  $R_0 = k$  a field, we see that  $R^{\vee}$  is already k-cellular, so that we have the Gorenstein duality statement

$$\operatorname{Cell}_k R \simeq \Sigma^a R^{\vee}.$$

Under further hypotheses we will also give an algebraic description of  $\operatorname{Cell}_k R$  putting the Gorenstein duality into the form of a local cohomology spectral sequence [14].

#### 3. Normalizations and the symmetric Gorenstein context

In this section we recall from [17] the apparatus for defining and working with  $C^*(BG)$ .

3.A. Normalization. In commutative algebra, a Noether normalization of R is a regular subring over which R is finitely generated as a module. There is a convenient counterpart to this in our context.

For any finite group G we may choose a faithful representation  $\rho : G \longrightarrow U$  into a connected compact Lie group U (such as the unitary group U = U(n)). This gives a map  $\theta = \rho^* : C^*(BU) \longrightarrow C^*(BG)$  of ring spectra.

Since U is connected  $C^*(BU)$  is regular in the sense that  $\Omega(BU_p) \simeq (\Omega BU)_p \simeq U_p$ , and hence  $H_*(\Omega(BU_p))$  is finite dimensional. The finite generation statement corresponds to the fact that the cofibre  $C^*(BG) \otimes_{C^*(BU)} k \simeq C^*(U/G)$  is finitely built from k. Accordingly we consider  $\phi$  to be a normalization of  $C^*(BG)$ .

3.B. Finitely generated modules. For regular local rings, finite generation is equivalent to smallness, so we may reasonably say that  $C^*(BU)$ -modules are fg precisely if they are small.

**Definition 3.1.** [17] A  $C^*(BG)$ -module M is finitely generated (fg) relative to  $\rho$  if  $\theta^*M$  is small over  $C^*(BU)$ . The bounded derived category relative to  $\rho$  is the full triangulated subcategory  $\mathsf{D}^b(C^*(BG))$  of fg modules.

This is independent of the choice of U and  $\rho$  and has the very concrete characterisation that we used in the introduction.

**Theorem 3.2.** [17, 7.5]

 $\mathsf{D}^{b}(C^{*}(BG)) = \{M \mid \pi_{*}M \text{ is finitely generated over } H^{*}(BG)\}$ 

3.C. The symmetric Gorenstein context. The representation  $\rho$  induces a fibration

 $U/G \longrightarrow BG \longrightarrow BU$ ,

which remains a fibration after p-completion and hence we have a cofibre sequence

$$\begin{array}{c|c} Q & R & S \\ \parallel & \parallel & \parallel \\ C^*(U/G) \longleftarrow C^*(BG) \xleftarrow{q} C^*(BU) \end{array}$$

of k-algebras and an associated cofibre sequence

of k-algebras. We note that  $C_*U = C_*(\Omega B U_p)$  and we will abbreviate  $C_*(\Omega U/G_p) = C_*(\Omega((U/G)_p))$ .

The map  $S \longrightarrow R$  is a normalization since  $S = C^*(BU)$  is regular (as U is mod p finite) and U/G is mod p-finite. The map  $\mathcal{D} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}$  is a normalization since  $\mathcal{D} = C_*(\Omega U/G_p)$  is regular (as U/G is mod p finite) and U is mod p-finite.

We say that a  $C_*(\Omega BG_p)$ -module X is finitely generated (fg) relative to  $\rho$  if  $\phi^*X$  is small over  $C_*(\Omega U/G_p)$ . These two notions of finite generation correspond under Koszul duality.

**Theorem 3.3.** [17, 9.1] Koszul duality induces an equivalence

$$\mathsf{D}^{b}(C^{*}(BG)) \simeq \mathsf{D}^{b}(C_{*}(\Omega BG_{n}))$$

between bounded derived categories of  $C^*(BG)$  and  $C_*(\Omega BG_p)$ .

#### 4. The singularity category

4.A. The definition. For a commutative local ring R the singularity category is defined by

$$\mathsf{D}_{sg}(R) := \frac{\mathsf{D}^b(R)}{\mathsf{D}^c(R)}$$

where the numerator (the bounded derived category) may be defined as the homotopy category of finite complexes of finitely generated modules, and the denominator (the derived category of compact objects) may be seen to be the homotopy category of finite complexes of finitely generated projectives. The point of the definition is that (by the Auslander-Buchsbaum-Serre Theorem)  $D_{sg}(R)$  is trivial if and only if R is a regular local ring. Its nontriviality therefore measures the deviation of R from being regular. It has especially good formal properties if R is at least Gorenstein. We need to extend the definition of the singularity category to  $R = C^*(BG)$  in a way that respects Koszul duality. We describe the symmetric Gorenstein context of [17] in the next section.

4.B. **BGG correspondence.** Having now justified the definition of the bounded derived category we may define the singularity category

$$\mathsf{D}_{sg}(R) := \frac{\mathsf{D}^b(R)}{\mathsf{D}^c(R)}$$

when  $R = C^*(BG)$  or  $C_*(\Omega BG_p)$ .

Alongside this, we have the definition of the dual *cosingularity* category

$$\mathsf{D}_{csg}(R) := \frac{\mathsf{D}^b(R)}{\langle k \rangle},$$

where the denominator is the thick subcategory generated by k. The significance of this is shown by Serre's Theorem showing that for a graded connected k-algebra R, it describes quasicoherent sheaves over  $\operatorname{Proj}(R)$ :  $\mathsf{D}_{csg}(R) \simeq \mathsf{D}^b(\operatorname{Proj}(R))$ . We may thus think of the cosingularity category as very geometric in flavour.

The Morita equivalence of bounded derived categories exchanges compact objects and finite dimensional objects.

**Theorem 4.1.** [17, 9.10] Koszul duality induces the equivalences

$$\mathsf{D}_{sg}(C^*(BG)) \simeq \mathsf{D}_{csg}(C_*(\Omega BG_p))$$
 and  $\mathsf{D}_{sg}(C_*(\Omega BG_p)) \simeq \mathsf{D}_{csg}(C^*(BG)).$ 

Note that it follows in particular that  $D_{sq}(C^*(BG))$  is trivial if and only if  $C^*(BG)$  is regular.

To see the relationship to the BGG correspondence consider the second when G is an elementary abelian 2-group of rank r: in the light of Serre's Theorem it shows the singularity category of an exterior algebra on r-generators is the derived category of quasi-coherent sheaves on the projective space  $\mathbb{P}^{r-1}$  in the familiar way.

# 5. Nullifying k

5.A. Tate localizations. When  $R = C^*(BG)$  or  $C_*(\Omega BG_p)$ , the field k is an R-module, so we may consider the Bousfield localization which nullifies the localizing subcategory generated by k. The localization  $M \longrightarrow L_k M$  is characterised in the homotopy category by the fact that  $[k, L_k M] = 0$ and the the mapping cone is built from k. We will write

$$\Gamma_k M \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow L_k M$$

for the associated triangle. Thus  $L_k M$  is the localization of M away from k, and  $\Gamma_k M \longrightarrow M$  is the k-cellularization of M. The functor  $L_k$  is monoidal and therefore takes ring spectra to ring spectra.

**Definition 5.1.** The *Tate localization* of R is the ring  $L_k R$ .

**Remark 5.2.** When  $R = C^*(BG)$  then  $L_k R \simeq \hat{C}^*(BG)$  is the fixed point spectrum of the usual Tate construction ([12, 16]), hence the name.

Altogether, in our case this gives a web of maps as follows.



We note that since  $C^*(U/G)$  and  $C_*(U)$  are finitely built from k, they are annihilated by  $L_k$ .

The object k is not a module over  $L_k C_*(\Omega B G_p)$ , so there is no obvious notion of Koszul duality for Tate localizations. Nonetheless, we will say that a  $L_k C_*(\Omega B G_p)$ -module X is fg if and only if  $(L_k \phi)^* X$  is small over  $\hat{T} C_*(\Omega U/G_p)$ .

5.B. A finiteness condition. To make this useful we need to understand the ring spectrum  $L_k C_*(\Omega B G_p)$ . At the crudest level we want to understand its coefficient ring, but we are working towards an understanding of its module category.

When  $H_*(\Omega BG_p)$  is periodic with periodicity element  $\tau$  (as in the case of cyclic Sylow subgroup [4]), then we need only check that  $\tau$  may be taken central, and then as a module, the Tate localization is just a mapping telescope, so that  $L_k C_*(\Omega BG_p) = C_*(\Omega BG_p)[1/\tau]$ . Furthermore we understand the terms in the telescope, and the homotopy groups are clear  $\pi_*(C_*(\Omega BG_p)[1/\tau]) = (H_*(\Omega BG_p))[1/\tau]$ .

Of course the general situation is more complicated. It is familiar from commutative algebra that the singularity category behaves much better for complete intersections. In fact we can make good progress here under a finiteness assumption directly analogous to the growth condition that characterises complete intersections in commutative algebra.

We will use some results from the study of complete intersections [5], starting with the growth condition.

**Definition 5.3.** [5] A *p*-complete space X is said to be gci if  $H_*(\Omega X)$  has polynomial growth.

It is essentially due to the work of Felix-Halperin-Thomas [11] that this finiteness condition gives good control over the structure of the homology.

**Lemma 5.4.** [5, 9.10] Let X be a Gorenstein gci space, then  $H_*(\Omega X)$  is left and right Noetherian and it is finitely generated over a central polynomial subalgebra.

Since  $X = BG_p$  is automatically Gorenstein, the first assumption is absolutely harmless. We will proceed on the assumption that  $X = BG_p$  is a gci space. If G is p-perfect, by Levi's Dichotomy Theorem [19],  $H_*(\Omega BG_p)$  otherwise has at least semi-exponential growth, so it will be much harder to make progress. In any case there are many gci examples to study. We will name the generators of the polynomial subring as follows.

Assumption 5.5. (fzp)  $H_*(\Omega X)$  is finite over a central polynomial subalgebra  $k[\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_s]$ .

5.C. Towards an algebraic model for the Tate localization. If we assume that  $C_*(\Omega X)$  is actually a module over a commutative ring R spectrum with a map  $k[\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_s] \longrightarrow R_*$  then there is a natural construction of  $L_k C_*(\Omega X)$  as an R-module.

Indeed we may construct the stable Koszul complex

$$\Gamma_{\tau_1,\ldots,\tau_s}M=\Gamma_{\tau_1}R\otimes_R\cdots\otimes_R\Gamma_{\tau_s}R\otimes_RM\simeq\Gamma_{\tau_1}(\Gamma_{\tau_2}(\cdots\Gamma_{\tau_s}M\cdots)),$$

and show it is the k-cellularization  $\Gamma_k M$  of M. Defining  $L_{\tau_1,\ldots,\tau_s}$  via the triangle

 $\Gamma_{\tau_1,\ldots,\tau_s}M\longrightarrow M\longrightarrow L_{\tau_1,\ldots,\tau_s}M$ 

we see  $L_k M \simeq L_{\tau_1,...,\tau_s} M$  and obtain a spectral sequence

 $CH^*_{\tau_1,\ldots,\tau_s}(M_*) \Rightarrow \pi_*(L_kM)$ 

based on Cech cohomology. If s = 1 this collapses to the familiar calculation

$$\pi_*(L_k M) = M_*[1/\tau].$$

We observe that this spectral sequence exists under rather weaker conditions. We will be content to pass to powers and find polynomial generators which are homotopically central. This is enough to define the  $\Gamma_{\tau_i} N = \mathbf{fib}(M \longrightarrow M[1/\tau_i])$  as a  $C_*(\Omega X)$ -module for any module N. Thus we may define

$$\Gamma_{\tau_1,\ldots,\tau_s}M=\Gamma_{\tau_1}\cdots\Gamma_{\tau_s}M$$

and see that it is the k-cellularization of M. We may then define  $L_{\tau_1,...,\tau_s}M$  via the triangle, and see it is the k-nullification, and still obtain the spectral sequence above.

In order to show that polynomial generators  $\tau_i$  may be chosen homotopically central, we need control over  $HH^*(C_*(\Omega X)) = HH^*(C^*X)$ . There are two obvious spectral sequences for this:

$$HH^*(H^*X) \Rightarrow HH^*(C^*X) \text{ and } HH^*(H_*(\Omega X)) \Rightarrow HH^*(C_*(\Omega X)).$$

In the second case the edge homomorphism is a map

$$HH^*(C^*(X)) = \pi_*(\operatorname{Hom}_{C^*X^e}(C^*X, C^*X)) \longrightarrow \pi_*(\operatorname{Hom}_{C^*(X)}(k, k)) \cong H_*(\Omega X).$$

In the first case one may expect that because  $C^*X$  is commutative, the whole spectral sequence is a module over  $H^*(X)$ .

In the case that G has a cyclic Sylow subgroup of order  $p^n$  [4] describes both. The differential in the first occurs later (at the  $(p^n - 1)$ st, whilst the second occurs precisely  $(p^n - 1)/q$  stages earlier, where 2q is the degree of the polynomial generator).

In that case the  $E_2$ -pages of the two spectral sequences are isomorphic (though graded quite differently), and the differential is on the same element (that corresponding to the exterior generator of  $H_*(\Omega X)$ ).

**Proposition 5.6.** Provided the spectral sequence collapses at a finite stage, there are powers of the polynomial generators that survive the spectral sequence to give  $HH^*$  elements.

**Proof:** In characteristic p every differential vanishes on pth powers by the Leibniz rule, so that if the spectral sequence collapses at the  $E_{r-2}$ -page,  $x^{p^r}$  survives for every element x.

Replacing the generators by powers as necessary, we suppose that  $\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_s$  may be represented by homotopically central elements of  $C_*(\Omega BG_p)$ . To summarize, we have an equivalence of modules

$$L_k C_*(\Omega X) \simeq C H_{\tau_1,\dots,\tau_s}(C_*(\Omega X)).$$

For many purposes, we only need the consequences of this for homotopy groups, so a weaker condition on the elements suffices. **Definition 5.7.** We say that  $C_*(\Omega X)$  has a weakly central system of parameters if there are central elements  $\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_s \in H_*(\Omega X)$  over which  $H_*(\Omega X)$  is finite and there is a map  $\mathcal{D} \longrightarrow C_*(\Omega X)$  of rings so that there are central elements  $\hat{\tau}_i \in \pi_*(\mathcal{D})$  mapping to the elements  $\tau_i$ .

A complete-intersection condition will be sufficient for the existence of a weakly central system of parameters.

**Definition 5.8.** We say that  $C^*(X)$  is *HHci* if it is gci and there is a normalization  $F \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow Y$  (i.e., a fibration of *p*-complete spaces with Y regular and F finite) for which the Hochschild cohomology spectral sequence

$$HH^*(H^*(F)) \Rightarrow HH^*(C^*(F))$$

collapses at a finite stage.

**Lemma 5.9.** If  $C^*(X)$  is HHci then  $C_*(\Omega X)$  has a weakly central system of parameters.

In commutative algebra, a Noether normalization with a cofibre that is ci is sufficient to show that R has polynomial growth and hence by Gulliksen's theorem that R itself is ci. For ring spectra it seems likely that there is a hierarchy of different behaviours depending on the stringency of the requirement on F (sphere, projective space, product of these, iterated fibration of these,....).

Accordingly, when X = BG is gci we expect it to be HHci more generally, but it certainly holds if we impose a strong condition on the normalization.

**Definition 5.10.** We say that G has a *coefficient ci* (*cci*) normalization if there is a group homomorphism  $\rho: G \longrightarrow U$  with U regular, so that  $H^*(U/G)$  a complete interesection.

For a *p*-complete space X we say X has a *cci normalization* if there is a map normalization  $X \longrightarrow BU$  whose fibre F is *p*-adically finite with  $H^*(F)$  ci.

The simplest example of this is if F is a product of spheres, when we say X is strongly spherically complete intersection (ssci).

**Lemma 5.11.** If G has a cci normalization then  $C_*(\Omega BG_p)$  is HHci and hence finite over a weakly central polynomial subalgebra.

**Proof:** Consider the *p*-adic fibration

$$F \longrightarrow BG \longrightarrow BU$$

with  $F = (U/G)_p^{\wedge}$ .

We have a cofibre sequence

$$C_*(\Omega F) \longrightarrow C_*(\Omega BG_p) \longrightarrow C_*U.$$

In particular,

$$C_*U \simeq C_*(\Omega BG_p) \otimes_{C_*(\Omega F)} k$$

is finite dimensional, and hence  $C_*(\Omega BG_p)$  is finitely built by  $C_*(\Omega F)$ . This shows that some power of each  $\tau_i$  lifts to  $H_*(\Omega U/G_p)$ .

If  $H^*F = k[x_1, \ldots, x_c]/(f_1, \ldots, f_c)$  then the Hochschild cohomology  $HH^*(H^*(F))$  is described explicitly by Buchweitz and Roberts [7]. We only need to know that there are generators in bidegrees  $(-1, -|x_i|)$  and  $(-2, -|f_i|)$ . Since F is finite this shows that  $HH^*(H^*F)$  is concentrated in a strip of vertical length equal to the dimension of F and below a line of slope 1. It follows that the spectral sequence

$$HH^*(H^*(F)) \Rightarrow HH^*(C^*F)$$

collapses at a finite stage.

**Remark 5.12.** In fact, U need not be a compact connected Lie group: we only need it to be regular. For example if k is of characteristic p and q|p-1, we may consider a non-trivial split extension  $G = C_{p^n} \rtimes C_q$  (up to homotopy equivalence this is the general case of a group with cyclic Sylow *p*-subgroup as in [4]). In this case we may let  $U = S^1 \rtimes C_q$ , a 1-dimensional compact Lie group which behaves like a (2q-1)-dimensional compact connected Lie group.

**Corollary 5.13.** Provided  $C_*(\Omega X)$  is gci and  $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_s$  are weakly central, there is a spectral sequence

$$CH^*_{\tau_1,\ldots,\tau_s}(H_*(\Omega X)) \Rightarrow \pi_*(L_k C_*(\Omega X)).$$

**Remark 5.14.** The proof only shows this spectral sequence is compatible with multiplication by the realisable central elements. We do not know that it is a spectral sequence of  $H_*(\Omega BG_p)$ -modules.

**Proof:** It remains to say that if  $\phi : \mathcal{D} \longrightarrow C_*(\Omega X)$  then  $\phi^*(L_k M) \simeq L_k \phi^* M$ . In other words, we need to observe that  $i^*M \longrightarrow i^*L_k M$  has the unversal property of nullification of k. The fibre is  $\phi^*\Gamma_k M$ , and is built from  $k = \phi^* k$ , and

$$[k, \phi^* L_k M] = [C_*(\Omega X) \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} k, L_k M] = 0;$$

since  $C_*(\Omega X)$  is built from  $\mathcal{D}, C_*(\Omega X) \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} k$  is built from k.

5.D. Modules over the Tate localization. The good construction of Tate localization gives us some valuable finiteness properties. We start with  $L_k C_*(\Omega X)$  itself. As usual the most important case is X = BG. By Lemma 5.4  $C_*(\Omega BG_p)$  is finite over a central system of parameters, and we will assume that G is HHci.

**Lemma 5.15.** If X is HHci, then the homotopy of  $L_kC_*(\Omega X)$  is  $H_*(\Omega X)$  above some degree. Furthermore, every homotopy group of  $L_kC_*(\Omega X)$  is finite dimensional over k.

**Proof:** By Lemma 5.4 we see that  $H_*(\Omega X)$  is finite over a central subalgebra. Now  $L_k C_*(\Omega X)$  is calculated by the spectral sequence of Corollary 5.13 from  $CH^*(H_*(\Omega X))$ . Since  $H_*(\Omega X)$  is bounded below and finite in each degree, it suffices to observe that the local cohomology groups  $H^*_{\tau_1,\ldots,\tau_s}(H_*(\Omega BG_p))$  are finite dimensional in each degree and bounded above.

When we apply this to a finite space such as F = U/G this has important implications. We note in particular that if  $H^*(F)$  is finite and ci then F is HHci.

**Lemma 5.16.** If F is finite and HHci then for every  $s \in \mathbb{Z}$  the truncation  $\tau_{\geq s}L_kC_*(\Omega F)$  of  $L_kC_*(\Omega F)$  is small over  $C_*(\Omega F)$ .

**Proof:** They differ by a module with homotopy in a finite range of degrees, which is finitely built from a module with homotopy in a single degree.

The main point is that each homotopy group of  $L_k C_*(\Omega F)$  is finite dimensional over k by Lemma 5.15. Since F is finite, it follows that k is small over  $C_*(\Omega F)$ .

**Lemma 5.17.** If F is finite and HHci, and M is a small  $L_kC_*(\Omega F)$ -module then for any  $s \in \mathbb{Z}$  the truncation  $\tau_{>s}M$  is a small  $C_*(\Omega F)$ -module.

**Proof:** Every small object is finitely built from  $L_k C_*(\Omega F)$ , so we may prove the result by induction. We need to show that the property is preserved by adding a single cell (since it is obviously preserved by passage to retracts).

Suppose then that all truncations of M are small, and that we have a cofibre sequence

$$\Sigma^i L_k C_*(\Omega F) \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow M'.$$

The map  $M \longrightarrow M' \longrightarrow M'(-\infty, -1]$  factors through  $M \longrightarrow M(-\infty, -1]$  so we may form the diagram



From the bottom row, the spectrum  $Z_2$  has no homotopy in degrees  $\geq 0$ . From the top row,  $Z_1$  only has homotopy in degrees  $\geq -1$ . The left hand vertical then shows that  $Z_1$  differs from the truncation of  $\Sigma^i L_k C_*(\Omega F)$  in a finite dimensional vector space. By Lemma 5.15,  $\tau_{\geq 0} \Sigma^i L_k C_*(\Omega F)$  differs from  $\Sigma^i C_*(\Omega F)$  in a finite dimensional vector space. Since k is small over  $C_*(\Omega F)$  (by regularity), it follows that  $Z_1$  is small. From the top row we see that since  $Z_1$  and  $\tau_{\geq 0} M$  are small, so is  $\tau_{\geq 0} M'$ .  $\Box$ 

We apply the previous lemma with  $F = U/G_p$  to the normalization  $\phi : C_*(\Omega U/G_p) \longrightarrow C_*(\Omega BG_p)$ .

**Corollary 5.18.** If G is HHci and N is a fg  $L_kC_*(\Omega BG_p)$ -module then every truncation of N is a fg  $C_*(\Omega BG_p)$ -module.

**Proof:** By hypothesis  $M = \phi^* N$  is small over  $L_k C_*(\Omega U/G_p)$ . By Lemma 5.17, all truncations  $\tau_{\geq s} M$  are small over  $C_*(\Omega F)$ . However  $\tau_{\geq s} M = \tau_{\geq s} \phi^* N = \phi^* \tau_{\geq s} N$ , so  $\tau_{\geq s} N$  is a fg  $C_*(\Omega BG_p)$ -module as required.

5.E. The singularity category as a bounded derived category. We are now equipped to outline the strategy for understanding  $D_{sg}(C^*(BG))$ . We will establish the chain of equivalences

$$\mathsf{D}_{sq}(C^*(BG)) \simeq \mathsf{D}_{csq}(C_*(\Omega BG_p)) \simeq \mathsf{D}^b(L_k C_*(\Omega BG_p))$$

The first equivalence is the BGG correspondence (Theorem 4.1).

**Theorem 5.19.** If  $BG_p$  is HHci then extension of scalars along  $C_*(\Omega BG_p) \longrightarrow L_k C_*(\Omega BG_p)$ induces an equivalence

$$\mathsf{D}_{csq}(C_*(\Omega BG_p)) \simeq \mathsf{D}^b(L_k C_*(\Omega BG_p))$$

**Proof:** Of course extension of scalars induces a functor  $C_*(\Omega BG_p)$ -mod  $\longrightarrow L_k C_*(\Omega BG_p)$ -mod. To see this induces a map on bounded derived categories we need to show that an fg-module over  $C_*(\Omega BG_p)$  maps to an fg-module over  $L_k C_*(\Omega BG_p)$ .

Thus we suppose given a fg  $C_*(\Omega BG_p)$ -module Z, meaning that  $\phi^*Z$  is small over  $C_*(\Omega U/G_p)$ .

Since  $L_k$  is smashing, the image of Z in  $L_k C_*(\Omega BG_p)$ -mod is  $L_k Z$ , and  $\phi^* L_k Z = L_k \phi^* Z$ . Hence if Z if fg we conclude  $L_k Z$  is fg as required.

This gives a map

$$\nu: \mathsf{D}^b(C_*(\Omega BG_p)) \longrightarrow \mathsf{D}^b(L_kC_*(\Omega BG_p)).$$

It is clear that  $\nu(k) \simeq 0$  and since  $L_k$  is exact,  $\nu$  induces

$$\overline{\nu}: \mathsf{D}_{csg}(C_*(\Omega BG_p)) = \mathsf{D}^b(C_*(\Omega BG_p))/\langle k \rangle \longrightarrow \mathsf{D}^b(L_kC_*(\Omega BG_p)).$$

**Injectivity:** To see that  $\overline{\nu}$  is injective we note that the kernel of  $L_k$  is precisely the localizing subcategory generated by k. It remains to say that if  $M \simeq \Gamma_k M$  is small over  $C_*(\Omega U/G_p)$  then it is finitely built from k.

By Lemma 5.4  $H_*(\Omega U/G_p)$  is finite over a central polynomial subring and Hochschild bounded, so we may construct  $\Gamma_k M$  as a stable Koszul complex, and  $\Gamma_k M \simeq \underset{n}{\text{holim}} \Gamma_k M^{(n)}$  as modules, with  $\Gamma_k M^{(n)}$  finitely built from k. Accordingly, the identity factors through  $\Gamma_k M^{(n)}$  for some n, and hence  $\Gamma_k M$  is a retract of an object finitely built from k.

**Surjectivity:** We suppose N is a fg  $L_k C_*(\Omega B G_p)$ -module, so that  $\phi^* N$  small over  $\hat{T}C_*(\Omega U/G_p)$ . We let  $Z = \tau_{\geq 0}N$ , and note that  $L_k Z \simeq N$  because  $L_k$  annihilates any object bounded above.

We claim that Z is in fact finitely generated. Indeed,

$$L_k \phi^* Z = L_k \tau_{>0} \phi^* N \simeq L_k \phi^* \tau_{>0} N \simeq L_k \phi^* N \simeq \phi^* N,$$

so the localization of  $\phi^* Z$  is small. Since Z is the truncation of N, the result follows from Corollary 5.18.

To summarize, for any HHci group we have established an equivalence

$$\mathsf{D}_{sq}(C^*(BG)) \simeq \mathsf{D}^b(L_k C_*(\Omega BG_p)),$$

for a ring spectrum  $L_k C_*(\Omega B G_p)$ , whose coefficients we can calculate, at least to some extent.

# 6. ANDERSON-TATE DUALITY FOR $L_k C_*(\Omega B G_p)$

The same condition that gave control over the Tate localization gives a duality statement for  $C_*(\Omega BG_p)$  of the form familiar from the duality on Tate cohomology of finite groups.

6.A. Classical Tate duality for finite groups. For a finite group G we have the norm sequence

$$C_*(BG) \xrightarrow{\nu} C^*(BG) \longrightarrow \hat{C}^*(BG).$$

The only degree in which  $\nu$  may be non-zero is degree zero; since G acts trivially on coefficient group k, the norm is multiplication by the group order. If if p does not divide the group order then it is an isomorphism and 1 = 0 in Tate cohomology so the Tate cohomology is zero. If p does divide the group order  $\nu_* = 0$  and we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^*(BG) \longrightarrow \hat{H}^*(BG) \longrightarrow \Sigma H_*(BG) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since homology and cohomology are dual, we obtain the Tate duality statement that the positive codegrees are dual to negative degrees with a shift

$$\hat{H}^{n}(BG) = H^{n}(BG) = \operatorname{Hom}(H_{-n}(BG), k) = \operatorname{Hom}(\hat{H}_{-1-n}(BG), k),$$

or

$$\hat{H}^*(BG) \simeq \Sigma^1(\hat{H}^*(BG))^{\vee}.$$

Similarly for a compact Lie group G of dimension d with k-orientable adjoint representation, where  $C_*(BG)$  is replaced by  $\Sigma^d C_*(BG)$ ; if d is positive  $\nu_*$  is automatically zero and

$$\hat{H}^*(BG) \simeq \Sigma^{d+1} (\hat{H}^*(BG))^{\vee}.$$

6.B. Gorenstein duality for  $C_*(\Omega BG_p)$ . By [10, 10.3], if the adjoint representation of G is k-orientable then  $C^*(BG)$  is Gorenstein of shift d and has Gorenstein duality of shift d, so that  $\Gamma_k C^*(BG) \simeq \Sigma^d C_*(BG)$ .

The argument of [10, 8.5] shows that  $C_*(\Omega BG_p)$  is also Gorenstein of shift d, but to make Gorenstein duality statements we need a means to calculate the homotopy of  $\operatorname{Cell}_k C_*(\Omega BG_p)$ , which is provided by the assumption that G is HHci.

**Proposition 6.1.** If G is HHci and the adjoint representation is orientable, then  $\operatorname{Cell}_k C_*(\Omega BG_p)$ may be constructed as a  $C_*(\Omega U/G)$ -module as  $\Gamma_k C_*(\Omega BG_p)$  and hence  $C_*(\Omega BG_p)$  is Gorenstein of shift d with Gorenstein duality of shift d:  $\operatorname{Cell}_k C_*(\Omega BG_p) \simeq \Sigma^d C^*(\Omega BG_p)$ .

**Proof:** We suppose R is a Gorenstein k-algebra of shift a, so that

 $\operatorname{Hom}_R(k, R) \simeq \Sigma^a k \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_R(k, \Sigma^a \operatorname{Hom}_k(R, k)).$ 

This applies to  $R = C^*(BG)$  or  $C_*(\Omega BG_p)$  with *a* being the dimension of *G*. Under the stated hypotheses, this is an isomorphism of  $\mathcal{E}$ -modules. (If  $R = C^*(BG)$ , one action is trivial and one is the action on  $H^d(S^{ad(G)}; k)$ , which is trivial by hypothesis. If  $R = C_*(\Omega BG_p)$  then there is a unique action of  $\mathcal{E} = C^*(BG)$  on *k*). By effective constructibility (Subsection 2.D), we conclude

$$\operatorname{Cell}_k R \simeq \Sigma^a \operatorname{Cell}_k (R^{\vee}).$$

If  $\operatorname{Cell}_k(M)$  can be constructed by a stable Koszul complex then  $\operatorname{Cell}_k R \simeq \Gamma_k R$ .

We have already observed that if R is connected or coconnected then  $R^{\vee}$  is k-cellular, but we now have an alternative proof when G is HHci. If R is coconnective (as  $R = C^*(BG)$ ) and the stable Koszul complex is generated by negative degree elements then  $L_k M \simeq 0$  when M is bounded below (as for  $M = C_*(BG)$ ) and  $\operatorname{Hom}_k(R, k)$  is cellular. Similarly if R is connective (as  $R = C_*(\Omega BG_p)$ ) and the stable Koszul complex is generated by positive degree elements then  $L_k M \simeq 0$  when M is bounded above (as for  $M = C^*(\Omega BG_p)$ ) and  $\operatorname{Hom}_k(R, k)$  is cellular.  $\Box$ 

6.C. Anderson-Tate duality for the Koszul dual. In terms of ring spectra, if R is an augmented k-algebra, we are taking the cofibre sequence

$$\Gamma_k R \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow L_k R.$$

If  $R = C^*(BG)$  and the adjoint representation is k-orientable then by Gorenstein duality, the cellularization takes the expected form

$$\Gamma_k C^*(BG) \simeq \Sigma^d C_*(BG)$$

where d is the dimension of G. This recovers the discussion in Subsection 6.A.

However if  $R = C_*(\Omega B G_p)$  again has Gorenstein duality of shift d, and hence

$$\Gamma_k C_*(\Omega B G_p) \simeq \Sigma^d C^*(\Omega B G_p)$$

Again this gives a cofibre sequence

$$\Sigma^d C^*(\Omega BG_p) \longrightarrow C_*(\Omega BG_p) \longrightarrow L_k C_*(\Omega BG_p),$$

but now the suspension means that  $\nu_*$  is potentially non-zero in degrees betwee 0 and d. Furthermore the special case where G = U is a compact connected Lie group has  $\Omega BU \simeq U$  finite and hence  $L_k C_*(U) \simeq 0$  so that  $\nu$  is an equivalence.

Corollary 6.2. Provided G is HHci and the adjoint representation is k-orientable then

$$\pi_n L_k C_*(\Omega BG_p) = \begin{cases} H_n(\Omega BG_p) & \text{if } n \ge d+2\\ H^{d+1-n}(\Omega BG_p) & \text{if } n \le -1. \end{cases}$$

In the remaining degrees there is an exact sequence

$$H^{d-n}(\Omega BG_p) \longrightarrow H_n(\Omega BG_p) \longrightarrow \pi_n L_k C_*(\Omega BG_p) \longrightarrow H^{d+1-n}(\Omega BG_p) \longrightarrow H_{n-1}(\Omega BG_p),$$

If G is a p-compact group (for example if it is finite and p-nilpotent), then  $L_k C_*(\Omega B G_p) \simeq 0$ . If G a finite group and not p-nilpotent then

$$\pi_0(L_kC_*(\Omega BG_p)) = kP \oplus H^1(\Omega BG_p) \text{ and } \pi_1(L_kC_*(\Omega BG_p)) = kP \oplus H_1(\Omega BG_p)$$

where  $P = G/O^p(G)$  is the largest p-quotient of G.

**Proof:** The only things requiring proofs concern when the norm map is trivial.

It is clear that if G is p-nilpotent (or a p-compact group) then  $C_*(\Omega BG_p) = C_*(G)$  is finite dimensional and  $L_k C_*(\Omega BG_p) \simeq 0$ . Conversely, if  $L_k C_*(\Omega BG_p) \simeq 0$  then  $C_*(\Omega BG_p)$  is k-cellular. By effective constructibility we have the equivalence

$$\Sigma^d C^*(\Omega BG_p) \simeq \Sigma^d k \otimes_{C^*(BG)} k \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{C_*(\Omega BG_p)}(k, C_*(\Omega BG_p)) \otimes_{C^*(BG)} k \simeq C_*(\Omega BG_p).$$

In particular this implies  $H_*(\Omega BG_p)$  is in a finite range, and hence G is a p-compact group.

Otherwise if  $L_k C_*(\Omega B G_p) \neq 0$  the norm map is not an isomorphism. It is proved by Bousfield and Kan that if G is finite  $\pi_1(B G_p^{\wedge}) = G/O^p(G)$ .

Finally, we we must show that if G is not p-nilpotent then the norm map is zero. This uses different techniques, so we give the proof in the following section.  $\Box$ 

Question 6.3. If G is a compact Lie group of dimension d > 0 with  $\pi_0(G)$  not p-nilpotent, does it follow that the map  $\pi_*(\Gamma_k C_*(\Omega B G_p)) \longrightarrow \pi_*(C_*(\Omega B G_p))$  is zero?

# 7. The squeezed Tate construction

In this section G is a finite group.

7.A. Squeezed resolutions. Benson [2] has shown how to calculate the homology and cohomology of  $\Omega BG_p$  in purely algebraic terms. We explain how to incorporate the norm map  $H^0(\Omega BG_p) \longrightarrow H_0(\Omega BG_p)$  into this framework and then calculate.

We will construct a *left squeezed resolution* 

$$\longrightarrow P_2 \longrightarrow P_1 \longrightarrow P_0 \longrightarrow 0$$

of k and then define  $H^{\Omega}_*(G) = H_*(P_{\bullet})$ . The process is exceptional at the start but if we suppose  $i \geq 1$  and a kG-module  $M_i$  has been constructed, we define  $P_{i+1}, N_{i+1}, M_{i+1}$  in turn as follows

$$M_i \leftarrow P(M_i) = P_{i+1} \leftarrow \Omega M_i = N_{i+1} \supseteq M_{i+1}$$

Here  $P_{i+1} = P(M_i)$  is the projective cover and  $N_{i+1} = \Omega N_i$  is the first syzygy. The submodule  $M_{i+1} \subseteq N_{i+1}$  is the largest submodule so that  $N_{i+1}/M_{i+1}$  is built from the trivial module k (this is  $[O^p(G), N_{i+1}] = \{x - \gamma x \mid \gamma \in O^p(G), x \in N_{i+1}\}$ ). At the start we take  $M_0 = 0$ , but we insist  $P_0 = N_0 = P(k)$ .

We will construct a right squeezed resolution

$$0 \longrightarrow I_0 \longrightarrow I_{-1} \longrightarrow I_{-2} \longrightarrow \cdots$$

and then define  $H^*_{\Omega}(G) = H^*(I_{\bullet})$ . The process is exceptional at the start but if we suppose  $i \leq -1$ and a kG-module  $K_i$  has been constructed, we define  $I_{i-1}, J_{i-1}, K_{i-1}$  in turn as follows

$$K_i \longrightarrow I(K_i) = I_{i-1} \longrightarrow \Omega^{-1} K_i = J_{i-1} \longrightarrow K_{i-1}.$$

Here  $I_{i-1} = I(K_i)$  is the injective envelope and  $J_{i-1} = \Omega^{-1}K_i$  is the first cosyzygy. The quotient module  $K_{i-1}$  of  $J_{i-1}$  is obtained by factoring out the largest submodule of  $J_{i-1}$  built from the trivial module k. At the start we take  $K_0 = 0$ , but we insist  $I_0 = J_0 = P(k)$ .

Benson has shown [2, Theorem 1.2] that  $H^{\Omega}_*(G) = H_*(\Omega BG_p)$  and  $H^*_{\Omega}(G) = H^*(\Omega BG_p)$ .

7.B. The Tate squeezed resolution. We splice these together to form a Tate resolution  $T_{\bullet}$ . In fact there is a cofibre sequence

$$I_{\bullet} \xrightarrow{n} P_{\bullet} \longrightarrow T_{\bullet}$$

where the map n is the identity map  $I_0 \longrightarrow P_0$ . We may slightly simplify the answer by identifying  $P_0$  with  $I_0$  and omitting them from the resolution so that

$$T_i = \begin{cases} P_i \text{ if } i \ge 1\\ I_{i-1} \text{ if } i \le 0 \end{cases}$$

and the splicing map is the composite  $P_1 \longrightarrow P_0 = I_0 \longrightarrow I_{-1}$ . We then take  $\hat{H}^{\Omega}_*(G) = H_*(T_{\bullet})$ .

**Lemma 7.1.** The map  $P_{\bullet} \longrightarrow T_{\bullet}$  is nullification of k, and therefore

$$\hat{H}^{\Omega}_{*}(G) = \pi_{*}(L_{k}C_{*}(\Omega BG_{p})).$$

**Proof:** Of course  $I_{\bullet}$  is a bounded above complex which is usually not bounded below (and therefore not obviously built from finite complexes). However it is built from k in the squeezed category. Indeed, since  $H_*^{\Omega}(G) = [P_{\bullet}, P_{\bullet}]_*$  is bounded below, any complex with homology bounded above and built from the simple module k is itself built from k. More precisely, the proof of [2, 3.4] shows that we may kill the homology classes in the top degree by maps from a sum of copies of  $P_{\bullet}$ ; repeating this gives the desired conclusion.

More obviously, we see that by construction  $n_* : k = [k, I_{\bullet}] \longrightarrow [k, P_{\bullet}] = k$  is an isomorphism and hence  $[k, T_{\bullet}] = 0$ .

**Remark 7.2.** It may be more satisfactory to give a triangulated category argument. As in [2, 3.1], the complexes X with  $[O^p(G), H_*(X)] = 0$  is a triangulated subcategory, and we want to consider the Verdier quotient by k.

We formalise this using model structures. We start with the projective model structure on chain complexes of kG-modules, and we take the k-cellularization (this exists because the model structure is right proper and cellular, but in effect Benson's argument constructs it explicitly). The k-cellular weak equivalences are maps of chain complexes for which  $\operatorname{Hom}_k(P_{\bullet}, \cdot)$  gives a homology isomorphism. The k-cellular fibrations are epimorphisms and the k-cellular objects (i.e., k-cellularly cofibrant objects) are complexes of projectives built from  $P_{\bullet}$ . The map  $P_{\bullet} \longrightarrow k$  is a cofibrant approximation of k.

With this in place, our previous observations show that  $P_{\bullet} \longrightarrow T_{\bullet}$  is characterised as nullification of k (i.e., Hom $(P_{\bullet}, T_{\bullet})$  is exact and  $I_{\bullet}$  is built from k).

7.C. The norm. In the following discussion, for a set X of group elements we write  $[X] = \sum_{x \in X} x$ .

**Lemma 7.3.** (Benson) The map  $\hat{n}$  induces

$$n: H^0_\Omega(kG) \longrightarrow H^\Omega_0(kG)$$

given by multiplication by  $[O^pG]$  and is therefore an isomorphism if G is p-nilpotent and 0 if G is not p-nilpotent.

**Proof:** We take the slightly larger module  $P_0 = I_0 = kG$ . There is a commutative square

$$kG \xrightarrow{\hat{n}} kG$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \uparrow$$

$$H^0_{\Omega}(G) \xrightarrow{n} H^{\Omega}_0(G)$$

$$\downarrow \qquad 15$$

As in [2, 1.1],  $H^0_{\Omega}(G)$  consists of the submodule kP spanned by the coset sums of  $O^p(G)$ , and generated by the coset sum  $[O^p(G)]$  itself; on the other hand  $H^{\Omega}_0(G)$  is the quotient modulo the submodule  $[O^pG, kG]$  generated by differences  $g - \gamma g$  for  $\gamma \in O^p(G)$ . The image of  $[O^p(G)]$  is thus the image of  $|O^p(G)|$ . This is divisible by p exactly when G is not p-nilpotent.  $\Box$ 

# 8. Examples

Methods so far have really only been successful for hypersurfaces. The point of the present methods is that the class of groups they are effective for is closed under products. Accordingly we can generate many examples using products of s-hypersurfaces. We make this more explicit.

**Example 8.1.** Let  $G = C \rtimes D$  with cyclic Sylow *p*-subgroup  $C = C_{p^n}$  and  $D = C_q$ . We can express this as a ss hypersurface via the fibration

$$T/C \longrightarrow BG \longrightarrow BU$$

where  $BG = BC^{hD}$  and  $BU = BT^{hD}$ . This has

$$H^*(BG) = k[X] \otimes \Lambda(T)$$
 and  $H_*(\Omega BG_p) = \Lambda[\xi] \otimes k[\tau]$ 

with

$$|X| = -2q, |T| = -2q + 1, |\xi| = 2q - 1, |\tau| = 2q - 2.$$

**Example 8.2.** We can take  $G = A_4$  with p = 2 and U = SO(3) and use the 2-adic fibration

$$S^3 \longrightarrow BA_4 \longrightarrow BSO(3)$$

Any product of such examples will give another ssci group, by virtue of the fibration

$$S^{n_1} \times \cdots \times S^{n_c} \longrightarrow B(G_1 \times \cdots \times G_c) \longrightarrow B(U_1 \times \cdots \times U_c).$$

One may then hope to construct indecomposable examples from these. For example, if D is a group of order prime to p and it acts on  $U_1 \times \cdots \times U_c$  preserving  $G_1 \times \cdots \times G_c$  in such a way that the action on  $U_1/G_1 \times \cdots \times U_c/G_c$  is trivial on homology, then taking semidirect products gives another example

$$G = (G_1 \times \cdots \times G_c) \rtimes D, U = (U_1 \times \cdots \times U_c) \rtimes D.$$

#### References

- [1] D.J.Benson "Classifying spaces of finite groups of tame representation type." arXiv:2208.07913
- [2] D.J.Benson "An algebraic model for chains on  $\Omega BG_p^{\wedge}$ " TAMS **361** (2008) 2225-2242
- [3] D.J.Benson and J.P.C.Greenlees "Duality in topology and modular representation theory." JPAA 212 (2008) 1716-1743
- [4] D.J.Benson and J.P.C.Greenlees "The singularity and cosingularity categories for groups with cyclic Sylow subgroup" Preprint (2021), 33pp, arXiv:2107.09389
- [5] D.J.Benson, J.P.C.Greenlees and S.Shamir "Complete intersections and mod p cochains." AGT 13 (2013) 61-114, arXiv: 1104.4244
- [6] C. Broto, R.Levi and R.Oliver "Loop space homology of a small category." Ann. K-Theory 6 (2021), 425–480.
- [7] R.-O. Buchweitz and C.Roberts "The multiplicative structure on Hochschild cohomology of a complete intersection" JPAA 219 (2015) 402-428
- [8] W. G. Dwyer "Strong convergence of the Eilenberg-Moore spectral sequence", Topology 13 (1974), 255–265.
- W.G.Dwyer and J.P.C.Greenlees "The equivalence of categories of complete and torsion modules." American J. Math. 124 (2002) 199-220
- [10] W.G.Dwyer, J.P.C.Greenlees and S.B.Iyengar, Duality in algebra and topology, Advances in Maths. 200 (2006), 357-402.
- [11] Y.Félix, S.Halperin, J.-C.Thomas 'Elliptic Hopf algebras' JLMS 43 (1991) 544-555
- [12] J.P.C.Greenlees "Representing Tate cohomology of G-spaces", Proceedings of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society 30 (1987), 435-443

- [13] J.P.C.Greenlees "Homotopy invariant commutative algebra over fields" Building Bridges Between Algebra and Topology, Birkhäuser (2018), 103-169, arXiv:1601.02473
- [14] J.P.C.Greenlees and G.Lyubeznik "Rings with a local cohomology theorem and applications to cohomology rings of groups." J. Pure and Applied Algebra 149 (2000) 267-285.
- [15] J.P.C.Greenlees and J.P. May "Derived functors of I-adic completion and local homology" Journal of Algebra 149 (1992) 438-453.
- [16] J.P.C.Greenlees and J.P. May "Generalized Tate cohomology" Memoirs of the American Maths. Soc., 543 (1995) 178pp.
- [17] J.P.C.Greenlees and G. Stevenson "Morita equivalences and singularity categories." Advances in Mathematics 365 (2020), 44pp, arXiv: 1702.07957
- [18] J.P.C.Greenlees and V.Stojanoska "Anderson and Gorenstein duality" (Geometric and topological aspects of group representations, Edited by J.Carlson, S.B.Iyengar and J.Pevtsova), Proceedings in Mathematics, (Springer-Verlag), 23pp, arXiv: 1705.02664
- [19] R.Levi "On homological rate of growth and the homotopy type of  $\Omega BG_p^{\wedge}$ " Math. Z. **226** (1997) 429-444

MATHEMATICS INSTITUTE, ZEEMAN BUILDING, COVENTRY CV4, 7AL, UK *Email address*: john.greenlees@warwick.ac.uk