

Rethinking industrial artificial intelligence: a unified foundation framework

Jay Lee, Hanqi Su*

Center for Industrial Artificial Intelligence, Department of Mechanical Engineering,
A. James Clark School of Engineering, University of Maryland, College Park,
Maryland, United States of America
{leejay, hanqisu}@umd.edu

Abstract

Recent advancement in industrial artificial intelligence (AI) is reshaping the industry, driving smarter manufacturing, predictive maintenance, and intelligent decision-making. However, existing approaches often focus primarily on algorithms and models, overlooking the importance of systematically integrating domain knowledge, data, and models to ensure more comprehensive and effective AI solutions. Therefore, the effective development and deployment of Industrial AI solutions require a more comprehensive and systematic approach. To address this gap, this paper summarizes previous research and rethinks the role of industrial AI and presents a unified industrial AI foundation framework comprising three core modules: knowledge module, data module, and model module. These modules help to extend and enhance the industrial AI methodology platform, supporting various industrial applications. In addition, a case study on rotating machinery diagnosis demonstrates the framework's effectiveness, and several future directions are highlighted for the development of the industrial AI foundation framework.

1 Introduction

The rapid advancement of industrial artificial intelligence (AI) is reshaping industries worldwide [24, 29, 32, 43]. Recent breakthroughs in technologies such as deep learning [24, 29], industrial internet of things (IIoT) [48, 25], large language models (LLMs) [31, 9], prognostics and health management [71, 52], big data analytics [63, 59], and cyber-physical systems (CPS) [44, 28] have accelerated the adoption of industrial AI, enabling industrial systems to extract actionable insights from vast amounts of industrial data and support intelligent decision-making. However, current approaches often overemphasize algorithms

and models and often lack a unified framework that systematically integrates domain knowledge, data, and models. Therefore, to unlock the full potential of industrial AI, a structured framework is needed – one that integrates domain knowledge, high-quality data, and intelligent AI models to address complex problems in real-world industrial settings.

Recognizing this gap, this paper presents a unified industrial AI foundation framework composed of knowledge, data, and model modules, enhancing the industrial AI methodology platform. The remainder of the paper is structured as follows: Section 2 reviews related work; Section 3 redefines the role of industrial AI; Section 4 introduces the proposed foundation framework; Section 5 provides a case study on rotating machinery diagnosis; Section 6 discusses future directions; and Section 7 concludes the paper.

2 Related work

This section reviews existing studies and frameworks focusing on the integration of AI within various industrial applications. Several research works have proposed frameworks aiming to enhance industrial productivity, decision-making, and operations by leveraging AI capabilities. Table 1 provides a clear summary of these previous industrial AI frameworks, highlighting their primary contributions. For instance, Lee et al. introduced an industrial AI ecosystem framework integrating enabling technologies such as data technology, analytic technology, platform technology, and operation technology within the established CPS (5C) architecture, guided by key ABCDE elements [29]. Building upon this foundation, Peres et al. expanded the framework into a more comprehensive conceptual framework, emphasizing challenges, design principles, essential technologies, capabilities, attributes, and industrial application areas [43]. Zhang et al. developed a comprehensive reference framework structured around seven critical dimensions (object, domain, stage, requirement, technology, function, and solutions) [68]. In addition, Yang et al. proposed an intelligent manufacturing framework combining

*Corresponding author

Table 1. Summary of existing frameworks for industrial AI applications.

Authors	Proposed industrial AI frameworks
Lee et al. (2018) [29]	Proposes an industrial AI ecosystem framework integrating enabling technologies—data technology (DT), analytic technology (AT), platform technology (PT), and operation technology (OT)—within the Cyber-Physical System (5C) architecture. The framework systematically guides AI implementation in smart manufacturing under the guidance of five key elements: analytics technology, big data technology, cloud or cyber technology, domain knowhow, and evidence (ABCDE).
Zhang et al. (2019) [68]	Presents a comprehensive Industrial AI reference framework consisting of seven key dimensions: object (who), domain (where), application stage (when), application requirement (why), intelligent technology (which), intelligent function (what), and solutions (how); and offers a detailed overall planning for systematically integrating AI across diverse industrial scenarios.
Peres et al. (2020) [43]	Proposes a conceptual Industrial AI framework highlighting essential enabling technologies (data, analytics, platforms, operations, and human-machine interaction), while systematically identifying critical challenges attributes, capabilities, design principles, and common application domains in Industry 4.0.
Yang et al. (2021) [65]	Presents a two-tier intelligent manufacturing framework designed for the process industry, integrating human-machine cooperation and intelligent autonomous control systems, to achieve intelligent optimal decision-making.
Ahmed et al. (2022) [2]	Conducts a comprehensive survey on AI and explainable artificial intelligence (XAI) methodologies within Industry 4.0, categorizing various XAI approaches (model-specific, model-agnostic, local/global, visualization-based methods, and surrogate models), highlighting their applicability, benefits, and challenges in industrial contexts.
Jan et al. (2023) [24]	Proposes a structured, four-stage industrial AI data pipeline (data acquisition/validation, data processing/fusion, model training/testing, and model interpretation). Identifies common themes, issues, and industry-specific solutions related to AI integration in various sectors, providing insights into practical challenges and opportunities within Industry 4.0.
Leng et al. (2024) [32]	Presents a technical reference framework structured in four layers (hardware infrastructure, computing engine, AI algorithms, and industrial application layer together with related empowering technologies across layers), identifying three core opportunities (collaborative intelligence, self-learning intelligence, crowd intelligence) crucial for realizing Industry 5.0’s vision of human-centric, resilient, and sustainable manufacturing.
Lee and Su (2024) [31]	Proposes a unified industrial large knowledge model (ILKM) framework consisting of four systematic steps: (1) construction of a large knowledge library, (2) preparation of domain-specific instruction data, (3) development of domain-specific knowledge large language models, and (4) establishment of intelligent domain expert machine learning systems, guided by the “6S Principle” (specialized knowledge, scrutability, safety, scalability, sustainability, systematization).

human-machine cooperation with autonomous intelligent control systems specifically tailored to the process industry [65]. Ahmed et al. highlighted AI and explainable artificial intelligence (XAI) methodologies, categorizing various XAI methods and their applicability in Industry 4.0 contexts [2], while Jan et al. structured a four-stage AI data pipeline, outlining data acquisition/validation, data processing/fusion, model training/testing, and model interpretation [24]. Furthermore, Leng et al. introduced a four-layer technical reference framework encompassing layers from hardware to industrial applications [32]. Most recently, Lee and Su proposed a unified industrial large knowledge model (ILKM) framework emphasizing domain-specific knowledge integration through LLMs and machine learning approaches [31].

Although these existing frameworks have successfully identified important aspects of AI integration across different industrial applications, several limitations remain. These include the lack of systematic domain-specific knowledge integration, a tendency to focus on theoretical conceptualization without clear and objective guidelines, and an overemphasis on data and models without sufficient

attention to systematic thinking that connects knowledge, data, and models. Motivated by these gaps, the following two sections revisit the role of industrial AI and present a unified industrial AI foundation framework aimed at addressing these critical shortcomings.

3 Rethinking the role of industrial AI

The role of industrial AI can be best understood through a two-layer perspective, as shown in Figure 1, where the physical layer consists of human, things, and systems, while the digital layer consists of knowledge, data, and models. Based on recent emerging technologies such as IIoT [48, 25], digital twin [54, 16], CPS [44, 28], industrial big data analytics [63, 59], deep learning [24, 29], and LLMs [31, 9], industrial AI acts as a dynamic bridge between the physical and digital layers, continuously refining and applying AI-driven insights to improve and optimize the real-world industrial systems. More specifically, human expertise forms the basis of domain knowledge, which contains accumulated experience, research insights, and best practices from AI-driven approaches. With the rise of IoT

technology, things, including machines, sensors, and other devices, generate data, and data is the essential prerequisite to conducting industrial AI analytics, modeling, and decision-making in modern industry. Moreover, systems such as CPS, IIoT systems, and other intelligent industrial systems use AI models to automate operations, enable intelligent decision-making, and improve real-time control.

While the two-layer perspective provides a conceptual understanding of how industrial AI bridges the physical and digital domains, real-world industrial settings present several challenges. In practice, developers and engineers face persistent difficulties in transforming heterogeneous data from IIoT devices into reliable, AI-ready formats due to inconsistent data quality, lack of standard pre-processing pipelines, and fragmented metadata management [48]. CPS, although widely discussed, often remains difficult to operationalize, as maintaining consistency between real-world operations and virtual models requires continuous data synchronization and robust model updating [44]. Digital twin development, despite its promise, is challenged by the complexity of integrating multi-source data streams, real-time analytics, and simulation modeling requirements [54]. Furthermore, the increasing availability of LLMs introduces new opportunities but also poses practical challenges in domain knowledge understanding, extraction, and interpretability for industrial use cases [31]. Based on our observations from industry collaborations and project experiences, these complexities frequently result in ad-hoc solutions, isolated development efforts, and inefficiencies in scaling AI-driven applications. Therefore, these observations and challenges motivate the need for a structured foundation framework that systematically connects knowledge, data, and models, as presented in the following section.

4 Industrial AI foundation framework

In this section, we propose a unified Industrial AI foundation framework designed to systematically guide the development and deployment of industrial AI solutions, as illustrated in Figure 2. The framework consists of three core modules: (i) Knowledge module; (ii) Data module; and (iii) Model module. Within each module, we identify four key components, resulting in twelve important aspects that collectively provide structured direction for industrial AI developers and practitioners. These modules enhance and extend the Industrial AI methodology platform by providing a structured, modular foundation for existing and emerging industrial AI methodologies. Figure 2 illustrates the overall structure of the proposed framework, highlighting the interconnections and dynamic feedback between knowledge, data, and model modules. Each pair of modules is connected by forward and backward arrows, highlighting that




Figure 1. The role of industrial AI: bridging the physical and digital layers.

these modules continuously inform and refine one another, rather than existing in a linear sequence. In addition, forward arrows from all three modules point toward the industrial AI methodology platform, indicating that the platform is strengthened by these three modules. In the subsequent Sections 4.1–4.4, the details of the knowledge, data, and model modules are outlined, followed by an explanation of how they enhance and extend the capabilities of the industrial AI methodology platform.

4.1 Knowledge module

Knowledge module focuses on capturing, organizing, and utilizing domain knowledge to support the entire industrial AI development cycle. In complex industrial settings, domain expertise often exists in unstructured forms such as reports, manuals, research papers, and maintenance logs. Rather than reflecting an anthropocentric design philosophy in the traditional sense, the knowledge module aims to transform these scattered resources into structured, accessible, and reusable formats that guide data analytics and model development—serving as a foundation that enables scalable, automated, and context-aware AI system development. It focuses on the following four aspects.

4.1.1 Knowledge extraction

Knowledge extraction refers to the systematic process of converting unstructured information into structured, searchable knowledge representations. This is essential for enabling automated reasoning and guiding data preprocessing and model design. Techniques such as knowledge graph construction [7, 70, 42] and LLM-assisted information extraction [27] are commonly used. For instance, tools like OpenKE [21], TransOMCS [66], and gBuilder [37] can help with knowledge graph construction, while LLMs, such as GPT-3/4 [6, 1], Llama 1/2 [55, 56], PaLM [10], and DeepSeek [40], can automate the summarization and extraction of key technical information from technical reports and research articles.

4.1.2 Dataset documentation as industrial knowledge

Dataset documentation is a critical part of building structured industrial knowledge. It involves systematically recording dataset metadata, collection conditions, sensor configurations, labeling schemes, and linking datasets with domain knowledge. This ensures that datasets evolve from isolated assets into long-term, reusable knowledge resources, which can be properly understood, reused, and referenced in future work. Platforms such as GitHub and Hugging Face demonstrate best practices in dataset documentation, providing clear descriptions, structured metadata fields, and version histories. In addition, LLMs could enrich dataset documentation [19].

4.1.3 AI/ML development knowledge repository

An AI/ML development knowledge repository provides a centralized space to store reusable code templates, implementation guides, hyperparameter tuning records, and experiment logs. This repository accelerates development by allowing engineers to reuse proven techniques and implementation methods. Examples include maintaining shared code repositories on GitHub combined with experiment documentation platforms, using platforms like MLflow or Weights & Biases for tracking experiments, packaging code, managing models, and sharing results. Moreover, LLMs also enhance multiple aspects, including code generation, information retrieval, and interactive AI-assisted exploration [58, 15, 50, 22].

4.1.4 New knowledge generation and integration

As models are developed and validated, they produce new insights and interpretations that should be continuously integrated into the existing knowledge base. This process involves capturing lessons learned, model interpretation outputs, model results, and deployment feedback, feeding them

back into structured documentation and knowledge graphs. This iterative process ensures that the knowledge module remains dynamic and evolves alongside technological progress and deployment experiences.

4.2 Data module

Data module focuses on transforming raw industrial data into AI-ready datasets to improve data usability and reliability, enhanced by the domain knowledge from knowledge module. In processing data, this module focuses on four key aspects: data preprocessing, data quality, feature engineering, and data visualization.

4.2.1 Data preprocessing

This is a fundamental prerequisite for successful Industrial AI applications, as raw data often contains issues such as noise, missing values, anomalies, class imbalances, and labeling inconsistencies. A well-structured data preprocessing pipeline typically involves several key techniques, including outlier detection methods (such as isolation forests, local outlier factor, and statistical thresholding); data imputation methods (including mean, median, multiple imputation, K-nearest neighbor, and regression-based approaches); signal denoising methods (such as wavelet transforms and filtering); and resampling methods (including synthetic minority over-sampling technique, random over-sampling, and under-sampling) [11]. In addition, researchers are encouraged to adopt, design and document reusable preprocessing pipelines using standardized tools such as Pandas, scikit-learn, PyTorch, and TensorFlow to ensure reproducibility and scalability.

4.2.2 Data quality

Data quality directly impacts the reliability of AI models, as poor-quality data can degrade model performance and lead to poor decision-making. Key dimensions of data quality include consistent representation, completeness, uniqueness, feature accuracy, target accuracy, and target class balance [8]. Therefore, developers should adopt systematic data quality checks as part of their pipelines. For instance, “CleanML” demonstrates how various data quality issues can significantly affect the performance of common machine learning models [34]. Furthermore, Foroni et al. extend conventional data quality definitions by evaluating not only how data deviates from an ideal clean dataset but also how these deviations influence task outcomes [17].

4.2.3 Feature engineering

Feature engineering focuses on extracting meaningful representations from raw data, improving model performance,




Figure 2. A unified industrial AI foundation framework.

interpretability, and efficiency [69]. In industrial applications, well-designed features can greatly improve model accuracy. Common techniques include domain-specific feature extraction, such as time-domain statistical measures, frequency-domain features derived from Fourier transforms, and time-frequency domain features. Dimensionality reduction methods, including principal component analysis (PCA), t-distributed stochastic neighbor embedding (t-SNE), and linear discriminant analysis, help reduce complexity while maintaining important information. Feature importance ranking methods are used to identify key variables that most influence model predictions, guiding feature selection. In addition, deep learning-based feature representation learning can discover complex patterns in datasets. Tools such as tsfresh, PyCaret, and SHAP provide automated pipelines for feature extraction, importance, and selection. Therefore, effective feature engineering ensures that AI models focus on the most informative inputs while minimizing redundancy and noise.

4.2.4 Data visualization

Data visualization plays an important role in making complex datasets interpretable for both humans and AI systems [3]. Visualization supports tasks such as exploratory data analysis, feature space visualization, anomaly detection, and real-time monitoring. For instance, feature space visualization using t-SNE or PCA projections can help detect outliers or clusters in high-dimensional sensor data. Real-time dashboards that visualize data streams from machines and production lines help operational teams identify issues early and improve system reliability. Moreover, industrial practitioners are encouraged to utilize interactive visualization tools like Plotly, Tableau, or D3 to enable dynamic data exploration.

4.3 Model module

With structured knowledge and AI-ready data in place, the model module is responsible for developing intelligent, adaptable, interpretable, and efficient AI models to drive in-

dustrial AI applications in Industry 4.0. This module provides four key aspects to help developers systematically design, adapt, and refine models that meet complex industrial requirements.

4.3.1 Algorithm design

Algorithm design serves as the foundation for developing AI models. Developers should select or design models that align with data characteristics, domain constraints, and application requirements. Typical options range from traditional machine learning and statistical methods—such as decision tree, random forest, support vector machine, gradient boosting, k-nearest neighbors, Gaussian mixture model, and various clustering algorithms—to deep learning architectures, including convolutional neural networks (CNNs), recurrent neural networks (RNNs), graph neural networks (GNNs), Transformers, and their variants [5, 46]. These algorithms are applicable to problems involving both continuous variables (e.g., vibration signals, temperature, pressure) and discrete variables (e.g., operational states, fault modes, control events). Beyond traditional machine learning and deep learning architectures, physics-informed neural networks (PINNs) have emerged as valuable tools in industrial AI [62, 33]. PINNs incorporate physical laws and equations into the learning process, improving model reliability in scenarios where data is sparse but prior knowledge is available [62, 33]. Additionally, multi-modal learning approaches, which combine information from multiple modalities — such as images, text, audio, tabular data, and sensor measurements — enable the development of more comprehensive models suitable for complex industrial scenarios [13, 53]. Furthermore, foundation models, which are pre-trained on large-scale diverse datasets, offer a promising approach for transferable and adaptable AI across different industrial domains. These models can be fine-tuned for specific tasks, allowing for efficient deployment with reduced training time and improved generalization [67]. In the process of algorithm design, developers may utilize prior knowledge and experience to create new model architectures or iteratively refine existing models through systematic experimentation and testing. When open-source implementations are available, they can be reproduced and further extended based on established methods.

4.3.2 Model interpretability

Model interpretability is crucial for ensuring AI models are transparent and explainable. One important direction is interpretable machine learning, which focuses on developing models that transparent by design, such as regression models, decision trees, and generalized additive models. These models, along with techniques like rule-based learning and sparse linear models, can provide clear and

consistent explanations, making them suitable for industrial applications [39, 36]. However, with the increasing use of deep learning models, which are often treated as black boxes, it has become challenging to understand and explain how such models make predictions. To address this, developers are encouraged to use post-hoc explanation methods such as SHapley Additive exPlanations (SHAP) values [41], Local Interpretable Model-agnostic Explanations (LIME) [45], and integrated gradients. These methods enable feature importance analysis and provide explanations of model predictions in both global and local contexts. In addition, causal inference techniques can enhance model interpretability by identifying cause-and-effect relationships between input variables and model outcomes. Approaches such as structural causal models, counterfactual analysis, and invariant causal prediction can be used to provide more robust and stable explanations, particularly in dynamic industrial settings [38].

4.3.3 Model adaptation and generalization

Model adaptation refers to the ability of an AI model to adjust its parameters or structure to accommodate new data distributions, domains, or operational scenarios without requiring complete retraining. Meanwhile, model generalization refers to the model's ability to maintain accurate predictions when exposed to previously unseen data or tasks. They are essential to ensure that models remain robust, reliable, and effective in real-world industrial settings. Several key techniques support model adaptation and generalization. Transfer learning and domain adaptation allow models trained in one context or domain to be fine-tuned or adjusted for use in another, reducing the need for large amounts of new labeled data [47, 35]. Federated learning enables decentralized model training across multiple industrial sites, allowing collaborative improvement of models while preserving data privacy and security [4]. Few-shot and zero-shot learning techniques allow models to make accurate predictions in new domains with very limited (few-shot) or no (zero-shot) task-specific training data [49, 61]. These are especially valuable when collecting and labeling new industrial data is time-consuming or expensive. Online learning allows models to continuously update as new data streams become available, maintaining relevance and accuracy in real-time applications [23]. Continual learning techniques enable models to incrementally incorporate knowledge from new data without catastrophic forgetting of previously learned information, supporting long-term model evolution in dynamic environments [60].

4.3.4 Model optimization and deployment readiness

Model optimization is essential for improving the performance, efficiency, and reliability of AI models in industrial

applications. It involves tuning model parameters, selecting appropriate architectures, and improving computational efficiency. Common techniques include hyperparameter optimization methods such as grid search, random search, and Bayesian optimization, which systematically explore the parameter space to find optimal configurations [64]. In addition to hyperparameter tuning, model optimization also addresses structural design and computational efficiency to meet industrial requirements. Neural architecture search (NAS) methods, including differentiable NAS and evolutionary algorithms, can automate the discovery of architectures that achieve optimal trade-offs between accuracy, latency, and memory consumption [14]. Model compression techniques such as pruning (magnitude-based or structured pruning), weight quantization, and low-rank factorization are commonly used to reduce inference time and deployment costs without significant loss of performance [12]. Knowledge distillation further enhances deployment readiness by transferring knowledge from large teacher models to smaller student models [20]. Furthermore, multi-condition testing could be performed to ensure that models perform reliably across different operating scenarios [26].

4.4 Industrial AI methodology platform

The industrial AI methodology platform provides structured approaches, architectures, and guiding principles to ensure AI applications are systematically integrated into industrial systems. This platform includes multiple well-established methodologies that shape AI integration in industrial settings. Examples include: (i) Industrial large knowledge model (ILKM): ILKM bridges LLMs with domain-specific industrial knowledge to support reasoning, explanation, and contextual decision-making in industrial AI [31]. (ii) 5C cyber-physical system: CPS provides a structured approach for integrating AI with industrial systems through five levels: connection, conversion, cyber, cognition, and configuration, enabled by operation technology, analytic technology, data technology, and platform technology [29, 28]. (iii) Stream-of-quality & stream-of-X: This shows a paradigm that defines a structured methodology for continuous monitoring, optimization, and decision-making in industrial systems, particularly for multi-stage manufacturing processes [30]. (iv) Digital twin: It integrates data, simulation, and services to create a virtual representation of physical entities, achieving industrial intelligence by utilizing AI, IoT, and ML techniques [16].

While existing methodologies have played an important role in advancing industrial AI, their implementation can be further enhanced by the proposed industrial AI foundation framework. Rather than redefining the core methodologies, knowledge, data, and model modules offer comprehensive and systematic views that support both existing and emerg-

ing industrial AI approaches. By promoting more structured development processes, they ensure that the design, implementation, and deployment of Industrial AI solutions are more effective, reliable, and scalable. A concrete example is using ILKM to enable intelligent question-answering (QA) systems for maintenance personnel, who frequently need to query complex maintenance manuals and troubleshooting guides during equipment servicing. The knowledge module enables structured extraction of key procedures, fault descriptions, and parameter settings from maintenance manuals and technical documentation. This content is organized into knowledge graphs and indexed repositories that can be efficiently queried by LLMs. The data module ensures that domain-specific datasets — including historical maintenance logs and annotated QA pairs — are properly documented, versioned, and linked to the knowledge base. Standardized metadata (e.g., machine type, operating conditions, fault categories) allows the LLM to retrieve relevant answers aligned with specific equipment and scenarios. The model module guides the fine-tuning of LLMs on domain-specific QA datasets. It also defines best practices for model evaluation and model optimization strategies for efficient inference. As a result, maintenance engineers can ask context-aware questions (e.g., "What should I check if vibration levels exceed the threshold in a [specific] machine?"), and the ILKM-powered QA system provides answers grounded in both structured knowledge and validated historical data.

5 Case study

In this section, we demonstrate the application of the proposed industrial AI foundation framework through a case study on intelligent fault diagnosis for rotating machinery. We utilize a publicly available gearbox vibration dataset provided by the PHM Society [51]. The dataset consists of 2016 labeled samples collected under 78 different operating conditions, covering 7 distinct fault classes, including various gear damage levels (class 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8) and healthy state (class 0). Each sample comprises time-domain vibration signals recorded between 3-12 seconds at a sampling frequency of 20480 Hz. The problem addressed in this study is to evaluate the multi-class classification performance of the model using this dataset under various operating conditions. Figure 3 illustrates the workflow of applying the industrial AI foundation framework, guiding knowledge extraction, data preparation, model development, and evaluation in a structured and systematic manner.

The process begins with the knowledge module. Under its guidance, domain knowledge was extracted from existing publications related to the dataset by leveraging the latest LLMs, GPT-4o, via OpenAI's API. Researchers interact with the LLM using targeted research questions such




Figure 3. Case study of applying the industrial AI foundation framework for AI-driven rotating machinery fault diagnosis.

as: “Can you provide the background information about this dataset?”, “In [target] paper, how did the authors perform data processing?”, and “How can one develop specific machine learning models such as 1D-CNN, LSTM, or Transformer for this task?” GPT-4o provides structured responses, summaries, and code generation examples, helping researchers quickly understand the dataset’s background, signal characteristics, data preparation requirements, feature extraction strategies, and model development practices.

The data module is applied to ensure systematic prepro-

cessing. A structured pipeline is designed under the guidance of domain knowledge from prior studies. The process begins with data cleaning to improve data quality, followed by data segmentation to increase the number of usable samples for model training. Next, feature engineering is performed using Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) to convert raw time-series signals into frequency domain features. The dataset is then split into training, validation, and test sets in a 3:1:1 ratio to ensure robust model evaluation. Throughout this stage, researchers continue to interact with LLMs

to validate the soundness of their preprocessing strategies, or quickly obtain code examples to implement new ideas.

After ensuring that the data is AI-ready, in the model module, researchers develop and evaluate eight different AI models based on previous methodologies and their own expertise. These include: (i) tree-based model (decision tree and random forest), (ii) CNN-based model (navie 1D-CNN, residual 1D-CNN), (iii) LSTM-based model (navie LSTM, bi-LSTM, hybrid-LSTM) and (iv) transformer-based model (vanilla transformer). Deep learning models were selected for their ability to automatically extract complex patterns from large-scale, frequency domain features and for their proven robustness in handling variations in operating conditions without the need for extensive manual feature engineering. Hyperparameter tuning and performance evaluation were performed following experience indicated in the previous research and researchers' own development experience.

The classification accuracy and confusion matrix are presented in Figure 3, demonstrating high performance across various architectures, with the transformer model achieving the highest accuracy of 99.47%. These results highlight the potential of advanced deep learning architectures in industrial fault diagnosis. Compared with previous studies on similar gearbox fault classification tasks, the proposed approach shows clear improvement. For instance, Su and Lee developed a residual CNN that achieved 96.99% accuracy [51], Vaerenberg et al. used power spectral density (PSD) preprocessing, log normalization, and a 3-layer CNN to reach 96.9% accuracy [57], and Gauriat et al. proposed multi-class neural additive models with 92.03% accuracy [18]. The higher performance achieved in this study is attributed to not only the model design but also the systematic application of the industrial AI foundation framework. The structured guidance from the knowledge, data, and model modules ensured consistent data preprocessing, appropriate model selection, and effective hyperparameter tuning, ultimately enhancing the reliability, scalability, and real-world applicability.

6 Future direction

To further strengthen the Industrial AI foundation framework, several key directions require further exploration. One critical aspect is talent development. Incorporating 4P-based learning (Principle, Practice, Problem-solving, Professional) and interdisciplinary training in AI/ML, engineering, and industrial applications could benefit the next generation of industrial AI practitioners. Another promising direction is data foundry, which aims to establish a standardized framework for industrial dataset collection, annotation, benchmarking, and management. A well-structured data foundry would enhance collaborative AI research, re-

producibility, and cross-industry data sharing, while also facilitating the hosting of Industrial AI Data Challenge Competitions to promote innovation and benchmark AI model performance on industrial datasets. Meanwhile, the foundation framework can be extended toward discrete event dynamic systems and hybrid control systems. This would involve adapting the knowledge–data–model modules to better handle event-based transitions, symbolic representations, and hierarchical system logic. Furthermore, future research should explore the development of an LLM-assisted intelligent knowledge management system to better make use of historical case studies, domain expertise, and best practices. Such a system could autonomously acquire, structure, and retrieve relevant information, providing researchers and engineers with contextualized, actionable insights to improve AI model development and decision-making in industrial applications.

7 Conclusion

This paper presents a unified industrial AI foundation framework, structured into three core modules—the knowledge module, data module, and model module—which collectively support and enhance the industrial AI methodology platform. The role of industrial AI is explored in detail, and a case study on intelligent rotating machinery diagnosis demonstrates the framework's potential. Furthermore, several future directions are also discussed. Overall, our unified Industrial AI foundation framework provides a systematic approach to developing, validating, and deploying industrial AI solutions for future industries. Despite these contributions, we acknowledge that real industrial data acquisition and labeling remain challenging. Furthermore, while the framework leverages LLMs for knowledge extraction, human guidance is still required, and computational costs may limit deployment in certain industrial settings. Moving forward, we encourage further research and collaboration between academia, industry, and AI practitioners to refine and expand this framework, fostering the next generation of intelligent, knowledge-data-driven industrial AI ecosystems.

References

- [1] J. Achiam, S. Adler, S. Agarwal, L. Ahmad, I. Akkaya, F. L. Aleman, D. Almeida, J. Altenschmidt, S. Altman, S. Anadkat, et al. Gpt-4 technical report. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.08774*, 2023.
- [2] I. Ahmed, G. Jeon, and F. Piccialli. From artificial intelligence to explainable artificial intelligence in industry 4.0: a survey on what, how, and where. *IEEE*

- Transactions on Industrial Informatics*, 18(8):5031–5042, 2022.
- [3] L. Allen, J. Atkinson, D. Jayasundara, J. Cordiner, and P. Z. Moghadam. Data visualization for industry 4.0: A stepping-stone toward a digital future, bridging the gap between academia and industry. *Patterns*, 2(5), 2021.
- [4] S. Banabilah, M. Aloqaily, E. Alsayed, N. Malik, and Y. Jararweh. Federated learning review: Fundamentals, enabling technologies, and future applications. *Information processing & management*, 59(6):103061, 2022.
- [5] M. Bertolini, D. Mezzogori, M. Neroni, and F. Zam-mori. Machine learning for industrial applications: A comprehensive literature review. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 175:114820, 2021.
- [6] T. Brown, B. Mann, N. Ryder, M. Subbiah, J. D. Kaplan, P. Dhariwal, A. Neelakantan, P. Shyam, G. Sastry, A. Askell, et al. Language models are few-shot learners. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 33:1877–1901, 2020.
- [7] G. Buchgeher, D. Gabauer, J. Martinez-Gil, and L. Ehlringer. Knowledge graphs in manufacturing and production: a systematic literature review. *IEEE Access*, 9:55537–55554, 2021.
- [8] L. Budach, M. Feuerpfeil, N. Ihde, A. Nathansen, N. Noack, H. Patzlaff, F. Naumann, and H. Harmouch. The effects of data quality on machine learning performance. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2207.14529*, 2022.
- [9] Y. Chang, X. Wang, J. Wang, Y. Wu, L. Yang, K. Zhu, H. Chen, X. Yi, C. Wang, Y. Wang, et al. A survey on evaluation of large language models. *ACM transactions on intelligent systems and technology*, 15(3):1–45, 2024.
- [10] A. Chowdhery, S. Narang, J. Devlin, M. Bosma, G. Mishra, A. Roberts, P. Barham, H. W. Chung, C. Sutton, S. Gehrmann, et al. Palm: Scaling language modeling with pathways. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 24(240):1–113, 2023.
- [11] S. Cofre-Martel, E. Lopez Droguett, and M. Modarres. Big machinery data preprocessing methodology for data-driven models in prognostics and health management. *Sensors*, 21(20):6841, 2021.
- [12] L. Deng, G. Li, S. Han, L. Shi, and Y. Xie. Model compression and hardware acceleration for neural networks: A comprehensive survey. *Proceedings of the IEEE*, 108(4):485–532, 2020.
- [13] Y. Ektefaie, G. Dasoulas, A. Noori, M. Farhat, and M. Zitnik. Multimodal learning with graphs. *Nature Machine Intelligence*, 5(4):340–350, 2023.
- [14] T. Elsken, J. H. Metzen, and F. Hutter. Neural architecture search: A survey. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 20(55):1–21, 2019.
- [15] W. Fan, Y. Ding, L. Ning, S. Wang, H. Li, D. Yin, T.-S. Chua, and Q. Li. A survey on rag meeting llms: Towards retrieval-augmented large language models. In *Proceedings of the 30th ACM SIGKDD Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*, pages 6491–6501, 2024.
- [16] X. Fang, H. Wang, G. Liu, X. Tian, G. Ding, and H. Zhang. Industry application of digital twin: from concept to implementation. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 121(7):4289–4312, 2022.
- [17] D. Foroni, M. Lissandrini, and Y. Velegrakis. Estimating the extent of the effects of data quality through observations. In *2021 IEEE 37th International Conference on Data Engineering (ICDE)*, pages 1913–1918. IEEE, 2021.
- [18] C.-M. Gauriat, Y. Pencolé, P. Ribot, and G. Brouillet. Multi-class neural additive models: An interpretable supervised learning method for gearbox degradation detection. In *2024 IEEE International Conference on Prognostics and Health Management (ICPHM)*, pages 41–48. IEEE, 2024.
- [19] J. Giner-Miguel, A. Gómez, and J. Cabot. Using large language models to enrich the documentation of datasets for machine learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.15320*, 2024.
- [20] J. Gou, B. Yu, S. J. Maybank, and D. Tao. Knowledge distillation: A survey. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, 129(6):1789–1819, 2021.
- [21] X. Han, S. Cao, X. Lv, Y. Lin, Z. Liu, M. Sun, and J. Li. Openke: An open toolkit for knowledge embedding. In *Proceedings of the 2018 conference on empirical methods in natural language processing: system demonstrations*, pages 139–144, 2018.
- [22] Q. He, J. Zeng, W. Huang, L. Chen, J. Xiao, Q. He, X. Zhou, J. Liang, and Y. Xiao. Can large language models understand real-world complex instructions? In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 38, pages 18188–18196, 2024.
- [23] S. C. Hoi, D. Sahoo, J. Lu, and P. Zhao. Online learning: A comprehensive survey. *Neurocomputing*, 459:249–289, 2021.

- [24] Z. Jan, F. Ahamed, W. Mayer, N. Patel, G. Grossmann, M. Stumptner, and A. Kuusk. Artificial intelligence for industry 4.0: Systematic review of applications, challenges, and opportunities. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 216:119456, 2023.
- [25] R. A. Khalil, N. Saeed, M. Masood, Y. M. Fard, M.-S. Alouini, and T. Y. Al-Naffouri. Deep learning in the industrial internet of things: Potentials, challenges, and emerging applications. *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, 8(14):11016–11040, 2021.
- [26] S. Kim, I. Kim, and D. You. Multi-condition multi-objective optimization using deep reinforcement learning. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 462:111263, 2022.
- [27] J. R. Kirk, R. E. Wray, P. Lindes, and J. E. Laird. Improving knowledge extraction from llms for task learning through agent analysis. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 38, pages 18390–18398, 2024.
- [28] J. Lee, B. Bagheri, and H.-A. Kao. A cyber-physical systems architecture for industry 4.0-based manufacturing systems. *Manufacturing letters*, 3:18–23, 2015.
- [29] J. Lee, H. Davari, J. Singh, and V. Pandhare. Industrial artificial intelligence for industry 4.0-based manufacturing systems. *Manufacturing letters*, 18:20–23, 2018.
- [30] J. Lee, P. Gore, X. Jia, S. Siahpour, P. Kundu, and K. Sun. Stream-of-quality methodology for industrial internet-based manufacturing system. *Manufacturing Letters*, 34:58–61, 2022.
- [31] J. Lee and H. Su. A unified industrial large knowledge model framework in industry 4.0 and smart manufacturing. *International Journal of AI for Materials and Design*, 1(2):41–47, 2024.
- [32] J. Leng, X. Zhu, Z. Huang, X. Li, P. Zheng, X. Zhou, D. Mourtzis, B. Wang, Q. Qi, H. Shao, et al. Unlocking the power of industrial artificial intelligence towards industry 5.0: Insights, pathways, and challenges. *Journal of Manufacturing Systems*, 73:349–363, 2024.
- [33] H. Li, Z. Zhang, T. Li, and X. Si. A review on physics-informed data-driven remaining useful life prediction: Challenges and opportunities. *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, 209:111120, 2024.
- [34] P. Li, X. Rao, J. Blase, Y. Zhang, X. Chu, and C. Zhang. Cleanml: A study for evaluating the impact of data cleaning on ml classification tasks. In *2021 IEEE 37th International Conference on Data Engineering (ICDE)*, pages 13–24. IEEE, 2021.
- [35] W. Li, R. Huang, J. Li, Y. Liao, Z. Chen, G. He, R. Yan, and K. Gryllias. A perspective survey on deep transfer learning for fault diagnosis in industrial scenarios: Theories, applications and challenges. *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, 167:108487, 2022.
- [36] X. Li, H. Xiong, X. Li, X. Wu, X. Zhang, J. Liu, J. Bian, and D. Dou. Interpretable deep learning: Interpretation, interpretability, trustworthiness, and beyond. *Knowledge and Information Systems*, 64(12):3197–3234, 2022.
- [37] Y. Li and L. Zou. gbuilder: A scalable knowledge graph construction system for unstructured corpus. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2208.09705*, 2022.
- [38] Z. Li, X. Guo, and S. Qiang. A survey of deep causal models and their industrial applications. *Artificial Intelligence Review*, 57(11):298, 2024.
- [39] P. Linardatos, V. Papastefanopoulos, and S. Kotsiantis. Explainable ai: A review of machine learning interpretability methods. *Entropy*, 23(1):18, 2020.
- [40] A. Liu, B. Feng, B. Wang, B. Wang, B. Liu, C. Zhao, C. Dengr, C. Ruan, D. Dai, D. Guo, et al. Deepseek-v2: A strong, economical, and efficient mixture-of-experts language model. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.04434*, 2024.
- [41] S. M. Lundberg and S.-I. Lee. A unified approach to interpreting model predictions. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 30, 2017.
- [42] S. Pan, L. Luo, Y. Wang, C. Chen, J. Wang, and X. Wu. Unifying large language models and knowledge graphs: A roadmap. *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*, 36(7):3580–3599, 2024.
- [43] R. S. Peres, X. Jia, J. Lee, K. Sun, A. W. Colombo, and J. Barata. Industrial artificial intelligence in industry 4.0-systematic review, challenges and outlook. *IEEE access*, 8:220121–220139, 2020.
- [44] D. G. Pivoto, L. F. De Almeida, R. da Rosa Righi, J. J. Rodrigues, A. B. Lugli, and A. M. Alberti. Cyber-physical systems architectures for industrial internet of things applications in industry 4.0: A literature review. *Journal of manufacturing systems*, 58:176–192, 2021.

- [45] M. T. Ribeiro, S. Singh, and C. Guestrin. "why should i trust you?" explaining the predictions of any classifier. In *Proceedings of the 22nd ACM SIGKDD international conference on knowledge discovery and data mining*, pages 1135–1144, 2016.
- [46] I. H. Sarker. Deep learning: a comprehensive overview on techniques, taxonomy, applications and research directions. *SN computer science*, 2(6):1–20, 2021.
- [47] P. Singhal, R. Walambe, S. Ramanna, and K. Kotecha. Domain adaptation: challenges, methods, datasets, and applications. *IEEE access*, 11:6973–7020, 2023.
- [48] E. Sisinni, A. Saifullah, S. Han, U. Jennehag, and M. Gidlund. Industrial internet of things: Challenges, opportunities, and directions. *IEEE transactions on industrial informatics*, 14(11):4724–4734, 2018.
- [49] Y. Song, T. Wang, P. Cai, S. K. Mondal, and J. P. Sahoo. A comprehensive survey of few-shot learning: Evolution, applications, challenges, and opportunities. *ACM Computing Surveys*, 55(13s):1–40, 2023.
- [50] H. Strobelt, A. Webson, V. Sanh, B. Hoover, J. Beyer, H. Pfister, and A. M. Rush. Interactive and visual prompt engineering for ad-hoc task adaptation with large language models. *IEEE transactions on visualization and computer graphics*, 29(1):1146–1156, 2022.
- [51] H. Su and J. Lee. An advanced diagnostic model for gearbox degradation prediction under various operating conditions and degradation levels. In *Annual Conference of the PHM Society*, volume 16, 2024.
- [52] H. Su and J. Lee. Machine learning approaches for diagnostics and prognostics of industrial systems using open source data from phm data challenges: A review. *International Journal of Prognostics and Health Management*, 15(2), 2024.
- [53] H. Su, B. Song, and F. Ahmed. Multi-modal machine learning for vehicle rating predictions using image, text, and parametric data. In *International design engineering technical conferences and computers and information in engineering conference*, volume 87295, page V002T02A089. American Society of Mechanical Engineers, 2023.
- [54] F. Tao, H. Zhang, A. Liu, and A. Y. Nee. Digital twin in industry: State-of-the-art. *IEEE Transactions on industrial informatics*, 15(4):2405–2415, 2018.
- [55] H. Touvron, T. Lavril, G. Izacard, X. Martinet, M.-A. Lachaux, T. Lacroix, B. Rozière, N. Goyal, E. Hambro, F. Azhar, et al. Llama: Open and efficient foundation language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.13971*, 2023.
- [56] H. Touvron, L. Martin, K. Stone, P. Albert, A. Almahairi, Y. Babaei, N. Bashlykov, S. Batra, P. Bhargava, S. Bhosale, et al. Llama 2: Open foundation and fine-tuned chat models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.09288*, 2023.
- [57] R. Vaerenberg, D. Marx, S. A. Hosseinli, F. De Fabritiis, H. Wen, R. Zhu, and K. Gryllias. A preprocessing and modeling approach for gearbox pitting severity prediction under unseen operating conditions and fault severities. *International Journal of Prognostics and Health Management*, 15(1), 2024.
- [58] P. Vaithilingam, T. Zhang, and E. L. Glassman. Expectation vs. experience: Evaluating the usability of code generation tools powered by large language models. In *Chi conference on human factors in computing systems extended abstracts*, pages 1–7, 2022.
- [59] J. Wang, C. Xu, J. Zhang, and R. Zhong. Big data analytics for intelligent manufacturing systems: A review. *Journal of Manufacturing Systems*, 62:738–752, 2022.
- [60] L. Wang, X. Zhang, H. Su, and J. Zhu. A comprehensive survey of continual learning: Theory, method and application. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 2024.
- [61] W. Wang, V. W. Zheng, H. Yu, and C. Miao. A survey of zero-shot learning: Settings, methods, and applications. *ACM Transactions on Intelligent Systems and Technology (TIST)*, 10(2):1–37, 2019.
- [62] Y. Xu, S. Kohtz, J. Boakye, P. Gardoni, and P. Wang. Physics-informed machine learning for reliability and systems safety applications: State of the art and challenges. *Reliability Engineering & System Safety*, 230:108900, 2023.
- [63] J. Yan, Y. Meng, L. Lu, and L. Li. Industrial big data in an industry 4.0 environment: Challenges, schemes, and applications for predictive maintenance. *IEEE access*, 5:23484–23491, 2017.
- [64] L. Yang and A. Shami. On hyperparameter optimization of machine learning algorithms: Theory and practice. *Neurocomputing*, 415:295–316, 2020.
- [65] T. Yang, X. Yi, S. Lu, K. H. Johansson, and T. Chai. Intelligent manufacturing for the process industry driven by industrial artificial intelligence. *Engineering*, 7(9):1224–1230, 2021.

- [66] H. Zhang, D. Khashabi, Y. Song, and D. Roth. Transomcs: From linguistic graphs to commonsense knowledge. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.00206*, 2020.
- [67] H. Zhang, S. D. Semujju, Z. Wang, X. Lv, K. Xu, L. Wu, Y. Jia, J. Wu, W. Liang, R. Zhuang, et al. Large scale foundation models for intelligent manufacturing applications: a survey. *Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing*, pages 1–52, 2025.
- [68] X. Zhang, X. Ming, Z. Liu, D. Yin, Z. Chen, and Y. Chang. A reference framework and overall planning of industrial artificial intelligence (i-ai) for new application scenarios. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 101:2367–2389, 2019.
- [69] A. Zheng and A. Casari. *Feature engineering for machine learning: principles and techniques for data scientists*. ” O’Reilly Media, Inc.”, 2018.
- [70] L. Zhong, J. Wu, Q. Li, H. Peng, and X. Wu. A comprehensive survey on automatic knowledge graph construction. *ACM Computing Surveys*, 56(4):1–62, 2023.
- [71] E. Zio. Prognostics and health management (phm): Where are we and where do we (need to) go in theory and practice. *Reliability Engineering & System Safety*, 218:108119, 2022.