

COARSE CHAIN RECURRENCE, MORSE GRAPHS WITH FINITE ERRORS, AND PERSISTENCE OF CIRCULATIONS

TOMOO YOKOYAMA

ABSTRACT. In flow control, finite energy may be injected to push out material trapped in the attractor and to eliminate stagnation and circulate the flow. To describe such phenomena and to give a lower bound on the energy required, we generalize the existing concepts of chain recurrence. In fact, this paper introduces concepts of “coarse chain recurrences” and Morse graphs with finite errors. Using these concepts, we describe toy models of escape from attracting basins and elimination of stagnation by controls using finite energy, persistence of recurrent points, and singular limit behaviors where energy injections go to zero. Furthermore, we construct filtrations associated with dynamical systems, which indicate the persistence of circulations.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Conley theory says that dynamical systems on compact metric spaces can be decomposed, called Morse decomposition, into blocks, each of which is a chain recurrent one or a gradient one [1]. The Morse decomposition implies a directed graph, called a Morse graph, which can capture the gradient behaviors. The Morse decompositions for mappings and semiflows are developed for arbitrary metric spaces [5–7, 10]. On the other hand, perturbations sometimes lead to changes in the global structures of dynamical systems. From the perspective of control and prediction, a framework that describes the invariance and sensitivity under perturbations is required. In fact, there is a need for a framework that describes properties of escape from attracting basins, elimination of stagnation, the persistence of recurrent points, and singular limit behaviors where energy injections go to zero. Therefore, one needed to extend the concept of chain recurrence and construct variants of the Morse graph to analyze such phenomena.

In this paper, we construct a foundation to describe how the global structure of the flow is affected under perturbations of finite energy, by introducing concepts of “coarse chain recurrences”. In fact, we have the following filtration of continuous mappings on metric spaces.

Theorem A. For any continuous mapping $f: X \rightarrow X$ on a metric space X , the family $(\text{CR}_\varepsilon(f))_{\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}}$ (see Definitions 13 and 17 below) is a filtration with $\text{CR}(f) = \text{CR}_0(f)$ and $X = \bigcup_{\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}} \text{CR}_\varepsilon(f)$.

A more general statement is described in Theorem 4.2 for any mappings on metric spaces. By using the concept of \sum chain recurrence introduced by Easton [3], we

Date: April 3, 2025.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 37E35; Secondary 37A05, 37J05, 37G30.

Key words and phrases. Morse decomposition.

The author was partially supported by JSPS Grant Number 24K06733.

obtain the following similar statement, which allows us to describe the injection of finite energy.

Theorem B. For any continuous mapping $f: X \rightarrow X$ on a metric space X , the family $(\text{CR}_\varepsilon^\Sigma(f))_{\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}}$ (see Definitions 15 and 18 below) is a filtration with $\text{CR}^\Sigma(f) = \text{CR}_0^\Sigma(f)$ and $X = \bigcup_{\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}} \text{CR}_\varepsilon^\Sigma(f)$.

A more general statement is described in Theorem 4.6 for all mappings on metric spaces. In addition, one can also derive a similar result in the case of semiflows (see Theorem 7.4 and Theorem 7.5 below).

We also have the following reductions by using the filtrations $(\text{CR}_\varepsilon(f))_{\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}}$ and $(\text{CR}_\varepsilon^\Sigma(f))_{\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}}$.

Theorem C. The following statements hold for any continuous mapping f on a metric space and any numbers $\varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_2 \in \mathbb{R}$:

- (1) The Morse graph $G_{(\varepsilon_2, \nu)}(f)$ with (ε_2, ν) -errors (see Definition 22 below) is obtained from $G_{(\varepsilon_1, \nu)}(f)$ by edge collapses (see Definition 2 below).
- (2) The Morse graph $G_{(\varepsilon_2, \nu)}^\Sigma(f)$ with (ε_2, ν) - Σ -errors (see Definition 26 below) is obtained from $G_{(\varepsilon_1, \nu)}^\Sigma(f)$ by edge collapses.

The previous theorem follows from more general statements described in Theorem 5.6 and Theorem 5.8.

We observe the following singular limit behaviors, which follow from Theorem 5.9 and Theorem 8.7.

Theorem D. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there are a homeomorphism f on a metric space and a flow v on a metric space satisfying the following inequalities:

$$G_{(\varepsilon, 0)}^\Sigma(f) \subsetneq \bigcap_{\nu > 0} G_{(\varepsilon, \nu)}^\Sigma(f)$$

$$G_{(\varepsilon, 0)}^\Sigma(v) \subsetneq \bigcap_{\nu > 0} G_{(\varepsilon, \nu)}^\Sigma(v)$$

Notice that another type of singular limit of $\text{CR}_{-\varepsilon}^\Sigma$ for mappings exists (see Theorem 4.9).

The present paper consists of ten sections. In the next section, we recall some concepts of combinatorics and dynamical systems. We discuss mappings in §3–5 and semiflows in § 6–8. In fact, the ε -chain recurrence and ε - Σ -chain recurrence for mappings are introduced in §3, and the Morse (hyper-)graph with finite errors for mappings is introduced in §4. In §5, we introduce “chain recurrence with negative errors” and filtrations describing “coarse recurrence” for mappings. Similarly, in the context of the semiflows, we also introduce ε - (Σ) -chain recurrence in § 6, Morse (hyper-)graph with finite (Σ) -errors in § 7, and “chain recurrence with negative errors” and filtrations describing “coarse recurrence” in § 8. In § 9, several examples are illustrated to describe toy models of escape from attracting basins and elimination of stagnation by controls using finite energy, persistence of recurrent points, and singular limit behaviors where energy injections go to zero. In the final section, we discuss variants of ε - (Σ) -chain recurrence and examine the potential applications.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We recall basic concepts in combinatorics and dynamical systems.

2.1. Basic concepts in combinatorics. A **(abstract) (simple) directed graph** is a pair of a set V and a subset of V^2 . A **(abstract) directed hyper-graph** is a pair of a set V and a family $H \subseteq V^* \times V^*$. Recall an edge collapsing operation as follows.

Definition 1. A directed graph $G = (V, D)$ is obtained from a directed graph $G' = (V', D')$ by an **edge collapse** of a directed edge $(a'_1, a'_2) \in D'$ into a vertex $a \in V$ if $V - \{a\} = V - \{a'_1, a'_2\}$ and a mapping $f: D' - \{(a'_1, a'_2)\} \rightarrow D$ defined by

$$f((x, y)) := \begin{cases} (x, y) & (\{x, y\} \cap \{a'_1, a'_2\} = \emptyset) \\ (a, y) & (\{x, y\} \cap \{a'_1, a'_2\} \neq \emptyset \text{ and } x \in \{a'_1, a'_2\}) \\ (x, a) & (\{x, y\} \cap \{a'_1, a'_2\} \neq \emptyset \text{ and } y \in \{a'_1, a'_2\}) \end{cases}$$

is well-defined and bijective, where the symbol $A - B$ is used instead of the set difference $A \setminus B$ when $B \subseteq A$.

Moreover, we define the following collapsing operation using transfinite induction.

Definition 2. Let $G = (V, D)$ be a directed graph, $G' = (V', D')$ a directed graph, $f_V: V' \rightarrow V$ a mapping, $V'_{\text{inj}} := \{v' \in V' \mid |f_V^{-1}(\{v'\})| = 1\}$, and $D'_{\text{loop}} := \{(x', y') \in D' \mid f_V(x') = f_V(y')\}$. A directed graph G is obtained from a directed graph G' by **edge collapses** associated to the mapping f_V if $f_V|_{V'_{\text{inj}}}: V'_{\text{inj}} \rightarrow V - f_V(V - V'_{\text{inj}})$ is bijective and a mapping $f: D' - D'_{\text{loop}} \rightarrow D$ defined by $f((x, y)) := (f_V(x), f_V(y))$ is well-defined and surjective such that $f|_{D' \setminus V'^2_{\text{inj}}}$ is injective.

2.2. Basic concepts in mappings. Let $f: X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping on a metric space X , which need not be continuous. For a point $x \in X$, we denote $O^+(x)$ the positive orbit (i.e. $O^+(x) := \{f^n(x) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}\}$). A point $x \in X$ is **fixed** if $f(x) = x$, and is **periodic** if there is a positive integer n with $f^n(x) = x$. The ω -limit set of a point x is defined as follows:

$$\omega(x) := \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \overline{\{f^m(x) \mid m > n\}} = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \overline{f(\mathbb{Z}_{>n}, x)}$$

Definition 3. For any positive integer $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and any non-negative number $\varepsilon \geq 0$, a sequence $(x_i)_{i=0}^n$ of points $x_i \in X$ is a **ε -orbit** if there is a sequence $(\varepsilon_i)_{i=0}^n$ of non-negative numbers with $\varepsilon \geq \max_{i \in \{0, \dots, n\}} \{\varepsilon_i\}$ such that $d(f(x_i), x_{i+1}) \leq \varepsilon_i$ for any $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$.

For any $\varepsilon \geq 0$, define a binary relation \approx_ε as follows:

$$x \approx_\varepsilon y \text{ if there is a } \varepsilon\text{-orbit from } x \text{ to } y$$

Definition 4. A point $x \in X$ is **chain recurrent** if $x \approx_\varepsilon x$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$. The set of chain recurrent points is called the **chain recurrent set** of f and is denoted by **CR**(f).

2.2.1. Variant of chain recurrence. We recall the following strong ε -chain introduced by Robert Easton [3,4], which is also called summable chain or \sum chain in [2] by Roberto De Leo and James Yorke.

Definition 5. For any positive integer $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and any non-negative number $\varepsilon \geq 0$, a sequence $(x_i)_{i=0}^n$ of points $x_i \in X$ is an **ε - \sum chain** (or **strong ε -chain**) if there are sequence $(\varepsilon_i)_{i=0}^n$ of non-negative numbers with $\varepsilon \geq \sum_{i=0}^n \varepsilon_i$ such that $d(f(x_i), x_{i+1}) \leq \varepsilon_i$ for any $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$.

For any $\varepsilon \geq 0$, define a binary relation \sim_ε as follows:

$$x \sim_\varepsilon y \text{ if there is a } \varepsilon\text{-}\sum\text{chain from } x \text{ to } y$$

We have the following variant of recurrence.

Definition 6. A point $x \in X$ is **\sum chain recurrent** if $x \sim_\varepsilon x$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$. Denote by $\mathbf{CR}^\Sigma(\mathbf{f})$ the set of ε - \sum chain recurrent points.

2.3. Basic concepts for homeomorphisms. Let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a homeomorphism on a topological space X . For a point $x \in X$, we denote by $O(x)$ the orbit of x by f and $O^-(x)$ the negative orbit (i.e. $O^-(x) := \{f^n(x) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}_{<0}\}$). The α -limit set of a point x is defined as follows:

$$\alpha(x) := \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \overline{\{f^t(x) \mid t < n\}} = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \overline{v(\mathbb{Z}_{<n}, x)}$$

A point x of X is **recurrent** (cf. [8]) if $x \in \omega(x) \cup \alpha(x)$. Notice that some authors refer to the property $x \in \omega(x) \cap \alpha(x)$ as recurrence (cf. [9]).

2.3.1. Morse graph for mappings. For a set $\mathcal{X} = \{X_i\}_{i \in \Lambda}$ of disjoint compact subsets, a directed graph (V, D) with the vertex set $V := \{X_i \mid i \in \Lambda\}$, and with the **directed edge set** $D := \{(X_j, X_k) \mid D_{j,k} \neq \emptyset, j \neq k \in \Lambda\}$ is called a **Morse graph** of \mathcal{X} , where

$$D_{j,k} := \left\{ x \in X - \bigsqcup_{i \in \Lambda} X_i \mid \alpha(x) \cap X_j \neq \emptyset, \omega(x) \cap X_k \neq \emptyset \right\}$$

and where the symbol \bigsqcup denotes a disjoint union. Then such a graph is denoted by $G_{\mathcal{X}}(f)$.

Definition 7. The graph $G_{\mathcal{X}}(f)$ is the **Morse graph** of f if \mathcal{X} is the set of connected components of the chain recurrent set $\mathbf{CR}(f)$. Then we denote the Morse graph of f by $G(f)$.

2.4. Basic concepts for semiflows. A **flow** is a continuous \mathbb{R} -action on a topological space. A **semiflow** is a continuous $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ -action on a topological space. Let v be a continuous action $v : \mathbb{K} \times X \rightarrow X$, where \mathbb{K} is either \mathbb{R} or $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. Notice that if $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ (resp. $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$) then v is a flow (resp. semiflow). For $t \in \mathbb{R}$, define $v^t : X \rightarrow X$ by $v^t := v(t, \cdot)$.

A point $x \in X$ is **singular** if $x = v^t(x)$ for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$. For a point $x \in X$, we denote by $O(x)$ the orbit of x by v (i.e. $O(x) := \{v^t(x) \mid t > 0\} = v(\mathbb{K}, x)$), and the positive orbit (i.e. $O^+(x) := \{v^t(x) \mid t > 0\} = v(\mathbb{R}_{>0}, x)$). The ω -limit set of a point x is defined as follows:

$$\omega(x) := \bigcap_{r \in \mathbb{K}} \overline{\{v^t(x) \mid t > r\}} = \bigcap_{r \in \mathbb{K}} \overline{v(\mathbb{R}_{>r}, x)}$$

2.4.1. Chain recurrence and relative concepts for semiflows. We recall some concepts to define the chain recurrence.

Definition 8. For any positive integer $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and any non-negative number $\varepsilon \geq 0$, a sequence $(x_i)_{i=0}^n$ of points $x_i \in X$ is an **(ε, T) -orbit** if there are sequences $\{\varepsilon_i\}_{i=0}^n, \{t_i\}_{i=0}^n$ of non-negative numbers with $\varepsilon \geq \max_{i \in \{0, \dots, n\}} \{\varepsilon_i\}$ and $\min_i \{t_i\} \geq T$ such that $d(v^{t_i}(x_i), x_{i+1}) \leq \varepsilon_i$ for any $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$.

For any $\varepsilon \geq 0$ and $T > 0$, define a binary relation \approx_ε as follows:

$$x \approx_{(\varepsilon, T)} y \text{ if there is a } (\varepsilon, T)\text{-orbit from } x \text{ to } y$$

We have the following chain recurrence.

Definition 9. A point $x \in X$ is **chain recurrent** if $x \approx_{(\varepsilon, T)} x$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $T > 0$. Denote by $\mathbf{CR}(v)$ the set of chain recurrent points of the semiflow v .

Notice that Morse graphs $G_{\mathcal{X}}(v)$ and $G(v)$ for flows are defined as in the same way as for homeomorphism in § 2.3.1.

2.4.2. *Variant of the chain recurrence.* We define the \sum chain recurrence as follows.

Definition 10. For any positive integer $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and any non-negative number $\varepsilon \geq 0$, a sequence $(x_i)_{i=0}^n$ of points $x_i \in X$ is a (ε, T) - \sum **chain** if there are sequences $\{\varepsilon_i\}_{i=0}^n$, $\{t_i\}_{i=0}^n$ of non-negative numbers with $\varepsilon \geq \sum_{i=0}^n \varepsilon_i$ and $\min_i \{t_i\} \geq T$ such that $d(v^{t_i}(x_i), x_{i+1}) \leq \varepsilon_i$ for any $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$.

For any $\varepsilon \geq 0$ and $T > 0$, define a binary relation \sim_ε as follows:

$$x \sim_{(\varepsilon, T)} y \text{ if there is a } (\varepsilon, T)\text{-}\sum\text{-chain from } x \text{ to } y$$

Definition 11. A point $x \in X$ is \sum **chain recurrent** if $x \sim_{(\varepsilon, T)} x$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $T > 0$. Denote by $\mathbf{CR}^\Sigma(v)$ the set of chain recurrent points of the semiflow v .

2.4.3. *Basic concepts for flows.* Suppose that v is a flow in this § 2.4.3. For a point $x \in X$, we denote by $O^-(x)$ the negative orbit (i.e. $O^-(x) := \{v^t(x) \mid t < 0\} = v(\mathbb{R}_{<0}, x)$). The α -limit set of a point x is defined as follows:

$$\alpha(x) := \bigcap_{r \in \mathbb{R}} \overline{\{v^t(x) \mid t < r\}} = \bigcap_{r \in \mathbb{R}} \overline{v(\mathbb{R}_{<r}, x)}$$

A point x of X is **recurrent** if $x \in \omega(x) \cup \alpha(x)$.

3. COARSE CHAIN RECURRENCE FOR MAPPINGS

In this section, we introduce ε -chain recurrence and ε - \sum chain recurrence. Let (X, d) be a metric space and $f: X \rightarrow X$ a mapping.

3.1. **Variant of the chain recurrence.** Put $[x]_\varepsilon^\approx := \{y \in X \mid x \approx_\varepsilon y\}$. We have the following observation.

Lemma 3.1. *For any non-negative numbers $\varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_2$, we have $[x]_{\varepsilon_1}^\approx \subseteq [x]_{\varepsilon_2}^\approx$.*

We have the following observation.

Lemma 3.2. *A point $x \in X$ is periodic if and only if $x \approx_0 x$.*

By the previous lemma, we have the following variant of periodicity.

Definition 12. A point $x \in X$ is ε -**periodic** if $x \approx_\varepsilon x$ (i.e. $x \in [x]_\varepsilon^\approx$).

Denote by $\mathbf{Per}_\varepsilon(f)$ the set of ε -periodic points. We have the following observation.

Lemma 3.3. *The family $(\mathbf{Per}_\varepsilon(f))_{\varepsilon \geq 0}$ is a filtration. In fact, the following properties hold:*

- (1) *For any non-negative numbers $\varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_2$, we have $\mathbf{Per}_{\varepsilon_1}(f) \subseteq \mathbf{Per}_{\varepsilon_2}(f)$.*
- (2) *$X = \bigcup_{\varepsilon \geq 0} \mathbf{Per}_\varepsilon(f)$.*

Moreover, for any $\varepsilon \geq 0$, we define a binary relation $\approx_{\varepsilon+}$ as follows:

$$x \approx_{\varepsilon+} y \text{ if } x \approx_{\varepsilon'} y \text{ for any } \varepsilon' > \varepsilon$$

Put $[x]_{\varepsilon+}^{\approx} := \{y \in X \mid x \approx_{\varepsilon+} y\}$. We have the following observations.

Lemma 3.4. *We have the following statements for any non-negative numbers $\varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_2 < \varepsilon_3$ and for any $x, y \in X$:*

$$[x]_{\varepsilon_1+}^{\approx} \subseteq [x]_{\varepsilon_2}^{\approx} \subseteq [x]_{\varepsilon_2+}^{\approx} \subseteq [x]_{\varepsilon_3}^{\approx}$$

Proof. Lemma 3.4 implies $[x]_{\varepsilon_2}^{\approx} \subseteq [x]_{\varepsilon_2+}^{\approx}$. By definitions, we have $[x]_{\varepsilon_2+}^{\approx} = \{y \in X \mid x \approx_{\varepsilon_2+} y\} \subseteq \{y \in X \mid x \approx_{\varepsilon_3} y\} = [x]_{\varepsilon_3+}^{\approx}$. The same argument implies $[x]_{\varepsilon_1+}^{\approx} \subseteq [x]_{\varepsilon_2}^{\approx}$. \square

Lemma 3.5. *We have the following statements:*

- (1) *For any non-negative number ε , we have $[x]_{\varepsilon+}^{\approx} = \bigcap_{\varepsilon' > \varepsilon} [x]_{\varepsilon'}^{\approx}$.*
- (2) *For any non-negative numbers $\varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_2$, we have $[x]_{\varepsilon_1+}^{\approx} \subseteq [x]_{\varepsilon_2+}^{\approx}$.*

Lemma 3.6. *A point $x \in X$ is chain recurrent if and only if $x \approx_{0+} x$.*

By the previous lemma, we introduce the following variant of chain recurrence to capture behaviors under finite perturbations.

Definition 13. For any number $\varepsilon \geq 0$, a point $x \in X$ is ε -**chain recurrent** if $x \approx_{\varepsilon+} x$ (i.e. $x \in [x]_{\varepsilon+}^{\approx}$). Denote by $\mathbf{CR}_{\varepsilon}(f)$ the set of ε -chain recurrent points.

Notice that $\mathbf{CR}_{\varepsilon}(f) \subseteq \mathbf{CR}_{\varepsilon'}(f)$ and $\mathbf{CR}(f) = \mathbf{CR}_0(f)$. In addition, we have the following observation.

Lemma 3.7. *We have the following statements for any non-negative numbers $\varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_2 < \varepsilon_3$ and for any points $x, y \in X$:*

$$\mathbf{CR}_{\varepsilon_1}(f) \subseteq \text{Per}_{\varepsilon_2}(f) \subseteq \mathbf{CR}_{\varepsilon_2}(f) \subseteq \text{Per}_{\varepsilon_3}(f)$$

Proof. Fix a point $x \in \mathbf{CR}_{\varepsilon_1}(f)$. Lemma 3.4 implies $x \in [x]_{\varepsilon_1+}^{\approx} \subseteq [x]_{\varepsilon_2}^{\approx}$. This means that $x \in \text{Per}_{\varepsilon_2}(f)$.

Fix a point $x \in \text{Per}_{\varepsilon_2}(f)$. Lemma 3.4 implies $x \in [x]_{\varepsilon_2}^{\approx} \subseteq [x]_{\varepsilon_2+}^{\approx}$ and so $x \in \mathbf{CR}_{\varepsilon_2}(f)$. \square

By Lemma 3.7, notice that filtrations $(\text{Per}_{\varepsilon}(f))_{\varepsilon \geq 0}$ and $(\mathbf{CR}_{\varepsilon}(f))_{\varepsilon \geq 0}$ are alternately inclusive. Although the difference between the filtrations may exhibit theoretically intriguing properties, they are virtually indistinguishable from the perspective of data analysis that deals only with finite structures. Therefore, from now on, we only focus on the filtration $(\mathbf{CR}_{\varepsilon}(f))_{\varepsilon \geq 0}$ in this paper. We obtain the following observation.

Lemma 3.8. *The family $(\mathbf{CR}_{\varepsilon}(f))_{\varepsilon \geq 0}$ is a filtration. In fact, the following properties hold:*

- (1) *For any non-negative numbers $\varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_2$, we have $\mathbf{CR}_{\varepsilon_1}(f) \subseteq \mathbf{CR}_{\varepsilon_2}(f)$.*
- (2) *$X = \bigcup_{\varepsilon \geq 0} \mathbf{CR}_{\varepsilon}(f)$.*

When a value ε_0 satisfies $X = \mathbf{CR}_{\varepsilon_0}(f)$, it seems that the dynamical system mixes under control or noise of the norm ε_0 . Therefore, this filtration $(\mathbf{CR}_{\varepsilon}(f))_{\varepsilon \geq 0}$ can also be considered as an indicator of the circulation of the dynamical system. Hence we define the ε -chain recurrence for mappings as follows.

Definition 14. For any number $\varepsilon \geq 0$, a mapping $f: X \rightarrow X$ is ε -**chain recurrent** if $\text{CR}_\varepsilon(f) = X$.

Moreover, we call the inferior $\inf\{\varepsilon \geq 0 \mid \text{CR}_\varepsilon(f) = X\}$ the **circulation cost** of f .

3.1.1. *Variant of the chain recurrence.* Put $[x]_\varepsilon := \{y \in X \mid x \sim_\varepsilon y\}$. We have the following observations.

Lemma 3.9. *For any non-negative numbers $\varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_2$, we have $[x]_{\varepsilon_1} \subseteq [x]_{\varepsilon_2}$.*

Moreover, for any $\varepsilon \geq 0$, we define a binary relation $\sim_{\varepsilon+}$ as follows:

$$x \sim_{\varepsilon+} y \text{ if } x \sim_{\varepsilon'} y \text{ for any } \varepsilon' > \varepsilon$$

Put $[x]_{\varepsilon+} := \{y \in X \mid x \sim_{\varepsilon+} y\}$. We have the following observations.

Lemma 3.10. *We have the following statements:*

- (1) *For any non-negative number ε , we have $[x]_{\varepsilon+} = \bigcap_{\varepsilon' > \varepsilon} [x]_{\varepsilon'}$.*
- (2) *For any non-negative numbers $\varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_2$, we have $[x]_{\varepsilon_1+} \subseteq [x]_{\varepsilon_2+}$.*

Lemma 3.11. *A point $x \in X$ is \sum chain recurrent if and only if $x \sim_{0+}$.*

Based on the previous lemma, we introduce the following variant of \sum chain recurrence to capture behaviors under finite perturbations.

Definition 15. For any number $\varepsilon \geq 0$, a point $x \in X$ is ε - \sum **chain recurrent** if $x \sim_{\varepsilon+} x$ (i.e. $x \in [x]_{\varepsilon+}$). Denote by $\mathbf{CR}_\varepsilon^\Sigma(f)$ the set of ε - \sum chain recurrent points.

Notice that a point is 0- \sum chain recurrent if and only if it is strong chain recurrent in the sense of Easton [3] (i.e. \sum chain recurrent). Moreover, note that $\text{CR}_0^\Sigma(f) \subseteq \text{CR}(f)$. In addition, we have the following observation.

Lemma 3.12. *The family $(\text{CR}_\varepsilon^\Sigma(f))_{\varepsilon \geq 0}$ is a filtration. In fact, the following properties hold:*

- (1) *For any non-negative numbers $\varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_2$, we have $\text{CR}_{\varepsilon_1}^\Sigma(f) \subseteq \text{CR}_{\varepsilon_2}^\Sigma(f)$.*
- (2) *$X = \bigcup_{\varepsilon \geq 0} \text{CR}_\varepsilon^\Sigma(f)$.*

When a value ε satisfies $X = \bigcup_{\varepsilon \geq 0} \text{CR}_\varepsilon^\Sigma(f)$, it seems that the dynamical system mixes under control or noise of the norm ε . Therefore, this filtration $(\text{CR}_\varepsilon^\Sigma(f))_{\varepsilon \geq 0}$ can also be considered as an indicator of the circulation of the dynamical system. Hence we define the ε - \sum chain recurrence for mappings as follows.

Definition 16. For any number $\varepsilon \geq 0$, a mapping $f: X \rightarrow X$ is ε - \sum **chain recurrent** if $\text{CR}_\varepsilon^\Sigma(f) = X$.

Moreover, we call the inferior $\inf\{\varepsilon \geq 0 \mid \text{CR}_\varepsilon^\Sigma(f) = X\}$ the **circulation \sum cost** of f . We have the following observation.

Proposition 3.13. $\text{CR}_0^\Sigma(f) = \bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} \text{CR}_\varepsilon^\Sigma(f)$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.8, we have $\text{CR}_0^\Sigma(f) \subseteq \text{CR}_\varepsilon^\Sigma(f)$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$. Fix any point $x \in \bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} \text{CR}_\varepsilon^\Sigma(f)$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have $x \sim_{\varepsilon+} x$ and so $x \sim_{\varepsilon'} x$ for any $\varepsilon' > \varepsilon$. This means that $x \sim_\varepsilon x$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$, and so that $x \sim_{0+} x$. Therefore, we obtain $x \in \text{CR}_0^\Sigma(f)$. \square

4. NON-GRADIENT PROPERTY FOR MAPPINGS

To extend the filtration $(\text{CR}_\varepsilon(f))_{\varepsilon \geq 0}$, we introduce a variant of non-gradient property as follows. Let (X, d) be a metric space and $f: X \rightarrow X$ a mapping.

4.1. ε -non-gradient property. We introduce the following concept, which is “chain recurrence with negative errors”.

Definition 17. For any non-negative number $\varepsilon \geq 0$, a point $x \in X$ is ε -**non-gradient** if $y \approx_{\varepsilon'+} x$ for any $\varepsilon' \in [0, \varepsilon]$ and any $y \in [x]_{\varepsilon'}^{\approx}$. Denote by $\mathbf{CR}_{-\varepsilon}(f)$ the set of ε -non-gradient points.

We obtain the following inclusions.

Lemma 4.1. *The following properties hold:*

- (1) $\text{CR}_{-0}(f) \subseteq \text{CR}_0(f)$.
- (2) For any non-negative numbers $\varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_2$, we have $\text{CR}_{-\varepsilon_2}(f) \subseteq \text{CR}_{-\varepsilon_1}(f)$.
- (3) If $\text{CR}(f) = X$, then $\text{CR}_{-\varepsilon} = X$ for any non-negative number $\varepsilon \geq 0$.

Proof. Recall that $\text{CR}_0(f)$ is the set of 0-chain recurrent points. In other words, we have $\text{CR}_0(f) = \{x \in X \mid x \approx_{0+} x\}$. Therefore, we have $\text{CR}_{-0}(f) = \{x \in X \mid y \approx_{0+} x \text{ for any } y \in O^+(x)\} \subseteq \{x \in X \mid x \approx_{0+} x\} = \text{CR}_0(f)$. This means that assertion (1) holds. By definition of $\text{CR}_{-\varepsilon}(f)$, assertions (2) and (3) hold. \square

Consider the pair $(\mathbb{R}, \leq_{\mathbb{R}})$ of the real line and the standard order. Write two distinct points $-0, +0$ which are not contained in \mathbb{R} . Set $(-\infty, -0] := (\infty, 0) \sqcup \{-0\}$ and $[0, \infty) := \{+0\} \sqcup (0, \infty)$. By considering -0 as the maximal element in $(-\infty, -0]$ and $+0$ as the minimal element in $[0, \infty)$, the subsets $(-\infty, -0]$ and $[0, \infty)$ are totally ordered. By setting $-0 < +0$, the disjoint union $(-\infty, -0] \sqcup [0, \infty)$ becomes a totally ordered set.

Lemma 3.8 and the previous lemma imply the following inclusions.

Theorem 4.2. *The family $(\text{CR}_\varepsilon(f))_{\varepsilon \in (-\infty, -0] \sqcup [0, \infty)}$ of subsets of X is a filtration with $X = \bigcup_{\varepsilon \in (-\infty, -0] \sqcup [0, \infty)} \text{CR}_\varepsilon(f)$.*

4.1.1. Correspondence between CR_0 and CR_{-0} under continuity.

Lemma 4.3. *Suppose that $f: X \rightarrow X$ is a continuous mapping. Then $\text{CR}_{-0}(f) = \text{CR}_0(f) = \text{CR}(f)$.*

Proof. Lemma 4.1 implies $\text{CR}_{-0}(f) \subseteq \text{CR}_0(f)$. Notice that $\text{CR}_0(f) = \text{CR}(f)$. Fix a point $x \in \text{CR}_0(f) = \text{CR}(f)$. Then $x \approx_{0+} x$ and so $x \approx_\varepsilon x$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$. If $x \in \omega(x)$, then $x \in \text{CR}_{-0}(f)$. Thus we may assume that $x \notin \omega(x)$. Then $x \notin \omega(f^m(x))$ for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Fix a point $y \in [x]_0^{\approx} = \{z \in X \mid x \approx_0 z\} = O^+(x)$. Then there is a positive integer $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that $f^k(x) = y$. By $x \approx_\varepsilon x$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there are a sequence $(n_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}}$ of non-negative numbers and a sequence $(z_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}}$ of points with $d(f^{n_i}(x), z_i) < 1/i$ such that $z_i \approx_{1/i} x$. If $\#\{n_i \mid n_i \geq k\} = \infty$, then $y = f^k(x) \approx_\varepsilon x$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$. Thus we may assume that $\#\{n_i \mid n_i \geq k\} < \infty$. By replacing $(n_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}}$ with an infinite subsequence, we may assume that $n_i < k$ for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. By taking a subsequence of $(n_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}}$, we may assume that there is a non-negative integer $l < k$ such that $n_i = l$ for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Put $x_l := f^l(x)$. Then $(z_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}}$ converges to the point $f^l(x) = x_l$. This means that $x_l \approx_\varepsilon x$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$.

Claim 1. $f(x_l) \approx_\varepsilon x$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$.

Proof. Fix a positive number ε . If $d(O^+(f(x_l)), x) < \varepsilon$, then $f(x_l) \approx_\varepsilon x$. Thus we may assume that $d(O^+(f(x_l)), x) \geq \varepsilon$. Choose a positive number $\delta_2 \in (0, \varepsilon/2)$ with $f(B_{\delta_2}(f(x_l))) \subset B_{\varepsilon/4}(f^2(x_l))$. Set a positive number $\delta_1 \in (0, \min\{\delta_2/2, \varepsilon/4\})$.

Fix a δ_1 -chain $(x_l, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, y_n = x)$. By $d(O^+(f(x_l)), x) \geq \varepsilon$, we have $y_2 \neq x$ and so $n \geq 3$. Consider the sequence $(f(x_l), y_3, \dots, y_{n-1}, x)$. From $d(y_2, f(x_l)) < \delta_1 < \delta_2$, we obtain $y_2 \in B_{\delta_2}(f(x_l))$. By $f(B_{\delta_2}(f(x_l))) \subset B_{\varepsilon/4}(f^2(x_l))$, we have $d(f^2(x_l), y_3) \leq d(f^2(x_l), f(y_2)) + d(f(y_2), y_3) \leq \varepsilon/4 + \delta_1 < \varepsilon/2$. This implies that $(f(x_l), y_3, \dots, y_{n-1}, x)$ is an ε -chain. \square

By the previous claim, by applying the same argument $k - l$ times, we obtain that $f^k(x) \approx_\varepsilon x$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$. \square

Identifying -0 and $+0$, one can identify the resulting totally ordered set of $(-\infty, -0] \sqcup [0, \infty)$ with \mathbb{R} . Using this identification, the previous lemma implies Theorem A.

On the other hand, we have the following non-correspondence.

Lemma 4.4. *There is a non-continuous mapping $f: X \rightarrow X$ with $\text{CR}_{-0}(f) \subsetneq \text{CR}_0(f)$.*

Proof. Put $A := \{0\} \times (0, 1]$, $B := \{1\} \times (0, 1]$, $C := \mathbb{Z} \times \{0\}$, and $X := A \sqcup B \sqcup C \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. Define a bijection $f: X \rightarrow X$ by $f|_C(x, y) = (x + 1, y)$, $f|_A(0, y) = (1, y)$, and $f|_B(1, y) = (0, y)$. Then $(0, 0) \approx_0 (2, 0)$ and $(2, 0) \not\approx_{0+} (0, 0)$. However, we have $(0, 0) \approx_0 (1, 0) \approx_{0+} (0, 0)$. \square

4.2. ε - \sum non-gradient property. We introduce the following concept, which is “ \sum chain recurrence with negative errors”.

Definition 18. For any non-negative number $\varepsilon \geq 0$, a point $x \in X$ is ε - \sum **non-gradient** if $y \sim_{\varepsilon'+} x$ for any $\varepsilon' \in [0, \varepsilon]$ and any $y \in [x]_{\varepsilon'}$. Denote by $\text{CR}_{-\varepsilon}^{\sum}(f)$ the set of ε - \sum non-gradient points.

We obtain the following inclusions.

Lemma 4.5. *The following properties hold:*

- (1) $\text{CR}_{-0}^{\sum}(f) \subseteq \text{CR}_0^{\sum}(f)$
- (2) For any non-negative numbers $\varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_2$, we have $\text{CR}_{-\varepsilon_2}^{\sum}(f) \subseteq \text{CR}_{-\varepsilon_1}^{\sum}(f)$.
- (3) If $\text{CR}^{\sum}(f) = X$, then $\text{CR}_{-\varepsilon}^{\sum}(f) = X$ for any non-negative number $\varepsilon \geq 0$.

Proof. Recall that $\text{CR}_0^{\sum}(f)$ is the set of 0- \sum chain recurrent points. In other words, we have $\text{CR}_0^{\sum}(f) = \{x \in X \mid x \sim_{0+} x\}$. Therefore, we have $\text{CR}_{-0}^{\sum}(f) = \{x \in X \mid y \sim_{0+} x \text{ for any } y \in O^+(x)\} \subseteq \{x \in X \mid x \sim_{0+} x\} = \text{CR}_0^{\sum}(f)$. This means that assertion (1) holds. By definition of $\text{CR}_{-\varepsilon}^{\sum}(f)$, assertion (2) holds. By definition of $\text{CR}_{-\varepsilon}^{\sum}(f)$, assertion (3) holds. \square

Lemma 3.12 and the previous lemma imply the following inclusions.

Theorem 4.6. *The family $(\text{CR}_{\varepsilon}^{\sum}(f))_{\varepsilon \in (-\infty, -0] \sqcup [0, \infty)}$ is a filtration.*

Note that this filtration $(\text{CR}_{\varepsilon}^{\sum}(f))_{\varepsilon \in (-\infty, -0] \sqcup [0, \infty)}$ can also be considered as an indicator of the persistence of the circulation of points with respect to the dynamical system.

4.2.1. *Correspondence between CR_0^Σ and CR_{-0}^Σ under continuity.* We also have the following equality.

Lemma 4.7. *Suppose that $f: X \rightarrow X$ is a continuous mapping. Then $\text{CR}_{-0}^\Sigma(f) = \text{CR}_0^\Sigma(f)$.*

Proof. Fix a point $x \in \text{CR}_0^\Sigma(f)$. Then $x \sim_{0+} x$ and so $x \sim_\varepsilon x$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$. If $x \in \omega(x)$, then $x \in \text{CR}_{-0}^\Sigma(f)$. Thus we may assume that $x \notin \omega(x)$. Then $x \notin \omega(f^m(x))$ for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

Fix a point $y \in [x]_0 = \{z \in X \mid x \sim_0 z\} = O^+(x)$. Then there is a positive integer $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that $f^k(x) = y$. By $x \sim_\varepsilon x$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there are a sequence $(n_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}}$ of non-negative numbers and a sequence $(z_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}}$ of points with $d(f^{n_i}(x), z_i) < 1/i$ such that $z_i \sim_{1/i} x$. If $\#\{n_i \mid n_i \geq k\} = \infty$, then $y = f^k(x) \sim_\varepsilon x$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$. Thus we may assume that $\#\{n_i \mid n_i \geq k\} < \infty$. By replacing $(n_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}}$ with an infinite subsequence, we may assume that $n_i < k$ for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. By taking a subsequence of $(n_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}}$, we may assume that there is a non-negative integer $l < k$ such that $n_i = l$ for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Put $x_l := f^l(x)$. Then $(z_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}}$ converges to the point $f^l(x) = x_l$. This means that $x_l \sim_\varepsilon x$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$.

Claim 2. $f(x_l) \sim_\varepsilon x$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$.

Proof. Fix a positive number ε . If $d(O^+(f(x_l)), x) < \varepsilon$, then $f(x_l) \sim_\varepsilon x$. Thus we may assume that $d(O^+(f(x_l)), x) \geq \varepsilon$. Choose a positive number $\delta_2 \in (0, \varepsilon/2)$ with $f(B_{\delta_2}(f(x_l))) \subset B_{\varepsilon/4}(f^2(x_l))$. Set a positive number $\delta_1 \in (0, \min\{\delta_2/2, \varepsilon/4\})$.

Fix a δ_1 -sum chain $(x_l, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, y_n = x)$. By $d(O^+(f(x_l)), x) \geq \varepsilon$, we have $y_2 \neq x$ and so $n \geq 3$. Consider the sequence $(f(x_l), y_3, \dots, y_{n-1}, x)$. From $d(y_2, f(x_l)) < \delta_1 < \delta_2$, we obtain $y \in B_{\delta_2}(f(x_l))$. By $f(B_{\delta_2}(f(x_l))) \subset B_{\varepsilon/4}(f^2(x_l))$, we have $d(f^2(x_l), y_3) \leq d(f^2(x_l), f(y_2)) + d(f(y_2), y_3) \leq \varepsilon/4 + \delta_1 < \varepsilon/2$. By $\delta_1 + \varepsilon/2 < \varepsilon$, this implies that $(f(x_l), y_3, \dots, y_{n-1}, x)$ is an ε -sum chain. \square

By the previous claim, by applying the same argument $k - l$ times, we obtain that $f^k(x) \sim_\varepsilon x$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$. \square

Identifying -0 and $+0$, one can identify the resulting totally ordered set of $(-\infty, -0] \sqcup [0, \infty)$ with \mathbb{R} . Using this identification, the previous lemma implies Theorem B. On the other hand, we have the following non-correspondence.

Lemma 4.8. *There is a mapping $f: X \rightarrow X$ with $\text{CR}_{-0}^\Sigma(f) \subsetneq \text{CR}_0^\Sigma(f)$.*

Proof. Put $A := \{0\} \times (0, 1]$, $B := \{1\} \times (0, 1]$, $C := \mathbb{Z} \times \{0\}$, and $X := A \sqcup B \sqcup C \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. Define a bijection $f: X \rightarrow X$ by $f|_C(x, y) = (x + 1, y)$, $f|_A(0, y) = (1, y)$, and $f|_B(1, y) = (0, y)$. Then $(0, 0) \sim_0 (2, 0)$ and $(2, 0) \not\sim_{0+} (0, 0)$. However, we have $(0, 0) \sim_0 (1, 0) \sim_{0+} (0, 0)$. \square

We have the following singular limit behavior of $\text{CR}_{-\varepsilon}^\Sigma(f)$.

Theorem 4.9. *For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a homeomorphism f on a circle with $\bigcup_{\varepsilon > 0} \text{CR}_{-\varepsilon}^\Sigma(f) \subsetneq \text{CR}_{-0}^\Sigma(f) = \text{CR}_0^\Sigma(f)$.*

In fact, there is such a homeomorphism in § 9.4.




FIGURE 1. Diagrams $D_{\text{CR}}(f_{\text{rep}})$, $D_{\text{CR}}(f_{\text{att}}) = D_{\text{CR}}^{\Sigma}(f_{\text{att}})$, and $D_{\text{CR}}^{\Sigma}(f_{\text{rep}})$.

4.2.2. ε - (Σ) chain recurrent diagram of a mapping. As bifurcation diagrams of dynamical systems, we define the ε - (Σ) chain recurrent diagram to analyze dynamical systems as follows. Let $f: X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping (resp. continuous) on a metric space X and put $I := (-\infty, -0] \sqcup [0, \infty)$ (resp. $I := \mathbb{R}$).

Definition 19. The subset $D_{\text{CR}}(f) := \{(\varepsilon, \text{CR}_{\varepsilon}(f)) \mid \varepsilon \in I\}$ is called the **chain recurrent diagram** of f .

Definition 20. The subset $D_{\text{CR}}^{\Sigma}(f) := \{(\varepsilon, \text{CR}_{\varepsilon}^{\Sigma}(f)) \mid \varepsilon \in I\}$ is called the **Σ chain recurrent diagram** of f .

For instance, consider a contraction $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $f(x) = x/2$. Then the Σ chain recurrent diagram and chain recurrent diagram of g are

$$D_{\text{CR}}(g) = D_{\text{CR}}^{\Sigma}(g) = (\mathbb{R}_{<0} \times \{0\}) \sqcup \{(\varepsilon, x) \mid \varepsilon \geq 0, x \in [-\varepsilon/2, \varepsilon/2]\}$$

and the circulation Σ cost and circulation cost of g are ∞ .

Moreover, consider mappings $f_{\text{rep}}, f_{\text{att}}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined as follows:

$$f_{\text{rep}}(x) = \begin{cases} x & (x \leq 0) \\ 2x & (x > 0) \end{cases}$$

$$f_{\text{att}}(x) = \begin{cases} x & (x \leq 0) \\ x/2 & (x > 0) \end{cases}$$

Then the chain recurrent diagrams of f_{rep} and f_{att} are

$$D_{\text{CR}}(f_{\text{rep}}) = \{(\varepsilon, x) \mid 0 \leq x \leq \varepsilon\}$$

$$D_{\text{CR}}(f_{\text{att}}) = (\mathbb{R}_{\leq 0} \times \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}) \sqcup \{(\varepsilon, x) \mid 0 < x/2 \leq \varepsilon\}$$

and the Σ chain recurrent diagrams of f_{rep} and f_{att} are

$$D_{\text{CR}}^{\Sigma}(f_{\text{rep}}) = \{(\varepsilon, x) \mid x \leq \varepsilon\}$$

$$D_{\text{CR}}^{\Sigma}(f_{\text{att}}) = D_{\text{CR}}(f_{\text{att}}) = (\mathbb{R}_{\leq 0} \times \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}) \sqcup \{(\varepsilon, x) \mid 0 < x/2 \leq \varepsilon\}$$

and the circulation Σ costs and circulation costs of f_{rep} and f_{att} are ∞ as shown in Figure 1. The diagrams of these homeomorphisms suggest that the negative parts of the filtrations D_{CR} and D_{CR}^{Σ} correspond to the behaviors near the (Σ) chain recurrent sets.

In addition, Figure 2 is an example of a schematic picture of the Σ chain recurrent diagram of a mapping f defined in § 9.4.




FIGURE 2. A schematic picture of the Σ chain recurrent diagram of a mapping f defined in § 9.4.

5. MORSE (HYPER-)GRAPH WITH FINITE ERRORS FOR MAPPINGS

In this section, we introduce Morse (hyper-)graphs with finite (Σ)errors for mappings. We first define Morse graphs with finite errors in the setting of homeomorphisms. Subsequently, we introduce a coarse generalization applicable to arbitrary mappings, which naturally admits a canonical filtration where edges are incrementally added.

5.1. Morse graphs with ε -errors for homeomorphisms. For a homeomorphism f on a metric space, the Morse graph $G_{\mathcal{X}}(f)$ of \mathcal{X} in § 2.3.1 is the **Morse (directed) graph** of f with ε - Σ errors (resp. ε - Σ errors) if \mathcal{X} is the set of connected components of the ε -chain (resp. ε - Σ chain) recurrent set $\text{CR}_{\varepsilon}(f)$ (resp. $\text{CR}_{\varepsilon}^{\Sigma}(f)$). Then we denote by $G_{\varepsilon}(f)$ (resp. $G_{\varepsilon}^{\Sigma}(f)$) the Morse graph of f with ε -errors (resp. ε - Σ errors).

Notice that $G_{\varepsilon}(f)$ is a generalization of the Morse graph $G(f)$ of f such that $G_0(f) = G(f)$. We have the following reduction.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $f: X \rightarrow X$ be a homeomorphism on a metric space X . For any $\varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_2 \in (-\infty, -0] \sqcup [0, \infty)$, the Morse graph $G_{\varepsilon_2}(f) = (V_2, D_2)$ is obtained from $G_{\varepsilon_1}(f) = (V_1, D_1)$ by edge collapses associated to $h_{\varepsilon_2}^{\varepsilon_1}$, where the mapping $h_{\varepsilon_2}^{\varepsilon_1}: D_1 \rightarrow D_2$ is defined by assigning to each $X_1 \in D_1$ the connected component of $\text{CR}_{\varepsilon_2}(f)$ containing X_1 .*

Proof. By definition, we have $\text{CR}_{\varepsilon_1}(f) \subseteq \text{CR}_{\varepsilon_2}(f)$. Therefore, for any connected component X_1 of $\text{CR}_{\varepsilon_1}(f)$, there is a connected component X_2 of $\text{CR}_{\varepsilon_2}(f)$ with $\text{CR}_{\varepsilon_1}(f) \subseteq \text{CR}_{\varepsilon_2}(f)$. Therefore, the mapping $h_{\varepsilon_2}^{\varepsilon_1}: D_1 \rightarrow D_2$ is well-defined. Apply edge collapses associated to $h_{\varepsilon_2}^{\varepsilon_1}$, the resulting graph from $G_{\varepsilon_1}(f)$ is $G_{\varepsilon_2}(f)$. \square

Notice that the family $(h_{\varepsilon'}^{\varepsilon})_{\varepsilon' < \varepsilon}$ as in the previous theorem satisfies the cocycle condition (i.e. $h_{\varepsilon_3}^{\varepsilon_2} \circ h_{\varepsilon_2}^{\varepsilon_1} = h_{\varepsilon_3}^{\varepsilon_1}$ for any $\varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_2 < \varepsilon_3 \in (-\infty, -0] \sqcup [0, \infty)$). As the same argument of the proof of the previous theorem, we have the following similar statement for $G_{\varepsilon}^{\Sigma}(f)$.

Theorem 5.2. *Let $f: X \rightarrow X$ be a homeomorphism on a metric space X . For any $\varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_2 \in (-\infty, -0] \sqcup [0, \infty)$, the Morse graph $G_{\varepsilon_2}^{\Sigma}(f)$ is obtained from $G_{\varepsilon_1}^{\Sigma}(f) = (V_1, D_1)$ by edge collapses.*

5.2. “Connecting” properties and its coarse variants. To define Morse graphs with finite errors for mappings, we weaken “connecting” properties as follows. We have the following properties.

Lemma 5.3. *Suppose that f is a homeomorphism on a metric space X . The following chain of implications holds for any $x \in X$ and any subset $A \subseteq X$ with $O^-(x) \cap A = \emptyset$:*

$$\alpha(x) \cap A \neq \emptyset \implies x \in \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} [\alpha]_{0+} \implies x \in \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} [\alpha]_{0+}^{\approx}.$$

Proof. By definitions, the condition $x \in \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} [\alpha]_{0+}$ implies $x \in \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} [\alpha]_{0+}^{\approx}$. Suppose that $\alpha(x) \cap A \neq \emptyset$. Fix a point $\alpha \in \alpha(x) \cap A$. By $O^-(x) \cap A = \emptyset$, we obtain $\alpha \in (\alpha(x) \setminus O^-(x)) \cap A$. Then $U \cap O^-(x) \neq \emptyset$ for any open neighborhood U of α . In particular, we have $B_\varepsilon(\alpha) \cap O^-(x) \neq \emptyset$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$. This implies that $\alpha \sim_\varepsilon x$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$. Thus $\alpha \sim_{0+} x$ and so $x \in [\alpha]_{0+} \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} [\alpha]_{0+}$. \square

Lemma 5.4. *Let f be a homeomorphism on a metric space X . The following chain of implications holds for any $x \in X$ and any subset $W \subseteq X$ with $O^+(x) \cap W = \emptyset$:*

$$\omega(x) \cap W \neq \emptyset \implies [x]_{0+} \cap W \neq \emptyset \implies [x]_{0+}^{\approx} \cap W \neq \emptyset$$

Proof. By definitions, the condition $[x]_{0+} \cap W \neq \emptyset$ implies $[x]_{0+}^{\approx} \cap W \neq \emptyset$. Suppose that $\omega(x) \cap W \neq \emptyset$. Fix a point $\omega \in \omega(x) \cap W$. By $O^+(x) \cap W = \emptyset$, we obtain $\omega \in (\omega(x) \setminus O^+(x)) \cap W$. Then $U \cap O^+(x) \neq \emptyset$ for any open neighborhood U of ω . In particular, we have $B_\varepsilon(\omega) \cap O^+(x) \neq \emptyset$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$. This implies that $x \sim_\varepsilon \omega$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$. Thus $x \sim_{0+} \omega$ and so $\omega \in [x]_{0+}$. \square

5.3. Morse (hyper-)graphs with (ε, ν) -errors. Let $f: X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping on a metric space X . Fix a set $\mathcal{X} = \{X_i\}_{i \in \Lambda}$ of disjoint compact subsets. We introduce Morse graphs with errors as follows.

Definition 21. For any number $\nu \geq 0$, a directed graph $(V, D(\nu))$ with the vertex set $V := \{X_i \mid i \in \Lambda\}$, and with the **directed edge set** $D(\nu) := \{(X_j, X_k) \mid D_{j,k}(\nu) \neq \emptyset, j \neq k \in \Lambda\}$ is called a **Morse graph** of \mathcal{X} with ν -errors, where

$$D_{j,k}(\nu) := \left\{ x \in X - \bigsqcup_{i \in \Lambda} X_i \mid x \in \bigcup_{\alpha \in X_j} [\alpha]_{\nu+}^{\approx}, [x]_{\nu+}^{\approx} \cap X_k \neq \emptyset \right\}.$$

Then such a graph is denoted by $\mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{X}, \nu}$.

Definition 22. For any $\varepsilon \in (-\infty, -0] \sqcup [0, \infty)$ and any number $\nu \geq 0$, the graph $\mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{X}, \nu}$ is the **Morse graph** of f with (ε, ν) -errors if \mathcal{X} is the set of connected components of the ε -chain recurrent set $\text{CR}_\varepsilon(f)$. Then we denote by $\mathbf{G}_{(\varepsilon, \nu)}(f)$ the Morse graph of f with (ε, ν) -errors.

Lemma 5.3 and Lemma 5.4 imply the following observation.

Lemma 5.5. *Let f be a homeomorphism on a metric space. For any $\varepsilon \in (-\infty, -0] \sqcup [0, \infty)$ and any number $\nu \geq 0$, the graph $G_\varepsilon(f)$ is a subgraph of $\mathbf{G}_{(\varepsilon, \nu)}(f)$.*

We have the following reduction.

Theorem 5.6. *Let $f: X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping on a metric space X . For any $\varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_2 \in (-\infty, -0] \sqcup [0, \infty)$, the Morse graph $\mathbf{G}_{(\varepsilon_2, \nu)}(f) = (V_2, D_2(\nu))$ is obtained from $\mathbf{G}_{(\varepsilon_1, \nu)}(f) = (V_1, D_1(\nu))$ by edge collapses associated to $h_{\varepsilon_2}^{\varepsilon_1}$, where the mapping*

$h_{\varepsilon_2}^{\varepsilon_1}: D_1(\nu) \rightarrow D_2(\nu)$ is defined by assigning to each $X_1 \in D_1(\nu)$ the connected component of $\text{CR}_{\varepsilon_2}(f)$ containing X_1 .

Proof. By definition, we have $\text{CR}_{\varepsilon_1}(f) \subseteq \text{CR}_{\varepsilon_2}(f)$. Therefore, for any connected component X_1 of $\text{CR}_{\varepsilon_1}(f)$, there is a connected component X_2 of $\text{CR}_{\varepsilon_2}(f)$ with $\text{CR}_{\varepsilon_1}(f) \subseteq \text{CR}_{\varepsilon_2}(f)$. Therefore, the mapping $h_{\varepsilon_2}^{\varepsilon_1}: D_1(\nu) \rightarrow D_2(\nu)$ is well-defined. Apply edge collapses associated to $h_{\varepsilon_2}^{\varepsilon_1}$, the resulting graph from $G_{(\varepsilon_1, \nu)}(f)$ is $G_{(\varepsilon_2, \nu)}(f)$. \square

Notice that the family $(h_{\varepsilon'}^{\varepsilon'})_{\varepsilon' < \varepsilon}$ as in the previous theorem satisfies the cocycle condition.

5.3.1. Morse hyper-graphs with (ε, ν) -errors. Fix a metric space X with a set $\mathcal{X} = \{X_i\}_{i \in \Lambda}$ of disjoint compact subsets. We introduce Morse hyper-graphs with errors as follows.

Definition 23. For any number $\nu \geq 0$, a directed hyper-graph $(V, H(\nu))$ with the vertex set $V := \{X_i \mid i \in \Lambda\}$, and with the **directed hyper-edge set**

$$H(\nu) := \{(\{X_\lambda \mid \lambda \in A\}, \{X_\lambda \mid \lambda \in W\}) \mid H_W^{A \approx}(\nu) \neq \emptyset\}$$

is called a **Morse (directed) hyper-graph** of \mathcal{X} with ν -errors, where

$$H_W^{A \approx}(\nu) := \left\{ x \in X - \bigsqcup_{i \in \Lambda} X_i \mid x \in \bigcap_{\lambda \in A} \bigcup_{\alpha \in X_\lambda} [\alpha]_{\nu+}^{\approx}, [x]_{\nu+}^{\approx} \cap X_k \neq \emptyset \text{ for any } k \in W \right\}$$

for any index subsets $A, W \subseteq \Lambda$. Then such a graph is denoted by $H_{\mathcal{X}}^{\approx}(\nu)$.

Definition 24. For any $\varepsilon \in (-\infty, -0] \sqcup [0, \infty)$ and any number $\nu \geq 0$, the graph $H_{\mathcal{X}}^{\approx}(\nu)$ with ν -errors is the **Morse (directed) hyper-graph** of f with (ε, ν) -errors if \mathcal{X} is the set of connected components of the ε -chain recurrent set $\text{CR}_{\varepsilon}(f)$. Then we denote by $H_{(\varepsilon, \nu)}(f)$ the Morse hyper-graph of f with (ε, ν) -errors.

5.4. Morse (hyper-)graphs with (ε, ν) - Σ errors. Fix a set $\mathcal{X} = \{X_i\}_{i \in \Lambda}$ of disjoint compact subsets. We introduce Morse graphs with Σ errors as follows.

Definition 25. For any number $\nu \geq 0$, a directed graph $(V, D^{\Sigma}(\nu))$ with the vertex set $V := \{X_i \mid i \in \Lambda\}$, and with the **directed edge set** $D^{\Sigma}(\nu) := \{(X_j, X_k) \mid D_{j,k}(\nu) \neq \emptyset, j \neq k \in \Lambda\}$ is called a **Morse (directed) graph** of \mathcal{X} with ν - Σ errors, where

$$D_{j,k}(\nu) := \left\{ x \in X - \bigsqcup_{i \in \Lambda} X_i \mid x \in \bigcup_{\alpha \in X_j} [\alpha]_{\nu+}, [x]_{\nu+} \cap X_k \neq \emptyset \right\}.$$

Then such a graph is denoted by $G_{\mathcal{X}, \nu}^{\Sigma}(f)$.

Definition 26. For any $\varepsilon \in (-\infty, -0] \sqcup [0, \infty)$ and any number $\nu \geq 0$, the graph $G_{\mathcal{X}, \nu}^{\Sigma}(f)$ is the **Morse (directed) graph** of f with (ε, ν) - Σ errors if \mathcal{X} is the set of connected components of the ε - Σ chain recurrent set $\text{CR}_{\varepsilon}^{\Sigma}(f)$. Then we denote by $G_{(\varepsilon, \nu)}^{\Sigma}(f)$ the Morse graph of f with (ε, ν) - Σ errors.

Lemma 5.3 and Lemma 5.4 imply the following observation.

Lemma 5.7. *Let f be a homeomorphism on a metric space. For any $\varepsilon \in (-\infty, -0] \sqcup [0, \infty)$ and any number $\nu \geq 0$, the graph $G_{\varepsilon}^{\Sigma}(f)$ is a subgraph of $G_{(\varepsilon, \nu)}^{\Sigma}(f)$.*

By the same argument of the proof of Theorem 5.6, we have the following reduction.

Theorem 5.8. *Let $f: X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping on a metric space X . For any $\varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_2 \in (-\infty, -0] \sqcup [0, \infty)$, the Morse graph $G_{(\varepsilon_2, \nu)}^\Sigma(f) = (V_2, D_2(\nu))$ is obtained from $G_{(\varepsilon_1, \nu)}^\Sigma(f) = (V_1, D_1(\nu))$ by edge collapses associated to $h_{\varepsilon_2}^{\varepsilon_1}$, where the mapping $h_{\varepsilon_2}^{\varepsilon_1}: D_1(\nu) \rightarrow D_2(\nu)$ is defined by assigning to each $X_1 \in D_1(\nu)$ the connected component of $\text{CR}_{\varepsilon_2}^\Sigma(f)$ containing X_1 .*

We have the following singular limit behavior.

Theorem 5.9. *For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a homeomorphism f on a subset $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ with $G_{(\varepsilon, 0)}^\Sigma(f) \subsetneq \bigcap_{\nu > 0} G_{(\varepsilon, \nu)}^\Sigma(f)$.*

Proof. Put $A_0 := \{-1, 1\} \times \mathbb{R}$ and let $f_0: A_0 \rightarrow A_0$ be the identical mapping. Define a sequence $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ as follows:

$$a_n = \begin{cases} -1 + 1/n & (n \in \mathbb{Z}_{<0}) \\ 0 & (n = 0) \\ 1 - 1/n & (n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}) \end{cases}$$

Put $A_1 := \{(a_n, 2\varepsilon n) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Set $A := A_0 \sqcup A_1$ and define a homeomorphism $f: A \rightarrow A$ by $f|_{A_0} = f_0$ and $f|_{A_1}(a_n, n) := (a_{n+1}, 2\varepsilon(n+1))$. Then $\text{CR}_{\varepsilon'}(f) = \text{CR}_0^\Sigma(f) = A_0$ for any $\varepsilon' \in [0, \varepsilon]$. Put $X_0 := \{-1\} \times \mathbb{R}$ and $X_1 := \{1\} \times \mathbb{R}$. The set of connected components of $\text{CR}_0^\Sigma(f)$ is $\{X_0, X_1\}$. Then $D(0) = \emptyset$ and $D(\nu) = \{(X_0, X_1)\}$ for any $\nu > 0$. Therefore, the subgraph $\bigcap_{\nu > 0} G_{(\varepsilon, \nu)}^\Sigma(f)$ consists of two vertices and one directed edge, but the subgraph $G_{(\varepsilon, 0)}^\Sigma(f)$ consists of two vertices and no directed edges. This implies the assertion. \square

The previous proof implies the following statement.

Theorem 5.10. *For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a homeomorphism f on a subset $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ with $G_{(\varepsilon, 0)}^\Sigma(f) \subsetneq \bigcap_{\nu > 0} G_{(\varepsilon, \nu)}^\Sigma(f)$.*

5.4.1. *Morse hyper-graphs with (ε, ν) - Σ errors.* Fix a set $\mathcal{X} = \{X_i\}_{i \in \Lambda}$ of disjoint compact subsets. We introduce Morse hyper-graphs with Σ errors as follows.

Definition 27. For any number $\nu \geq 0$, a directed hyper-graph $(V, H^\Sigma(\nu))$ with the vertex set $V := \{X_i \mid i \in \Lambda\}$, and with the **directed hyper-edge set**

$$H^\Sigma(\nu) := \{(\{X_\lambda \mid \lambda \in A\}, \{X_\lambda \mid \lambda \in W\}) \mid H_W^A(\nu) \neq \emptyset\}$$

is called a **Morse (directed) hyper-graph** of \mathcal{X} with ν - Σ errors, where

$$H_W^A(\nu) := \left\{ x \in X - \bigsqcup_{i \in \Lambda} X_i \mid x \in \bigcap_{\lambda \in A} \bigcup_{\alpha \in X_\lambda} [\alpha]_{\nu+}, [x]_{\nu+} \cap X_k \neq \emptyset \text{ for any } k \in W \right\}$$

for any index subsets $A, W \subseteq \Lambda$. Then such a graph is denoted by $\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{X}}^\Sigma(\nu)$.

Definition 28. For any $\varepsilon \in (-\infty, -0] \sqcup [0, \infty)$ and any number $\nu \geq 0$, the graph $H_{\mathcal{X}}^\Sigma(\nu)$ is the **Morse (directed) hyper-graph** of f with (ε, ν) - Σ errors if \mathcal{X} is the set of connected components of the ε - Σ chain recurrent set $\text{CR}_\varepsilon^\Sigma(f)$. Then we denote by $\mathbf{H}_{(\varepsilon, \nu)}^\Sigma(f)$ the Morse hyper-graph of f with (ε, ν) -errors.

The proof of Theorem 5.9 implies the following observation.

Corollary 5.11. *There are a homeomorphism f on a subset $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ and a flow v on A as follows:*

$$H_{(\varepsilon,0)}^\Sigma(f) \subsetneq \bigcap_{\nu>0} H_{(\varepsilon,\nu)}^\Sigma(f)$$

$$H_{(\varepsilon,0)}(f) \subsetneq \bigcap_{\nu>0} H_{(\varepsilon,\nu)}(f)$$

6. COARSE CHAIN RECURRENCE FOR (SEMI)FLOWS

In the following three sections, we demonstrate that results analogous to those obtained for the mapping also hold for semiflows. In this section, we introduce ε -chain recurrence and ε - Σ -chain recurrence for flows. Let v be a continuous action $v: \mathbb{K} \times X \rightarrow X$ on a metric space (X, d) , where \mathbb{K} is either \mathbb{R} or $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. Notice that if $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ (resp. $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$) then v is a flow (resp. semiflow).

6.1. ε -chain recurrence. Put $[x]_{(\varepsilon,T)}^\approx := \{y \in X \mid x \approx_{(\varepsilon,T)} y\}$. Moreover, we define the following binary relation \approx_ε for any $\varepsilon \geq 0$:

$$x \approx_\varepsilon y \text{ if } x \approx_{(\varepsilon,T)} y \text{ for any } T > 0$$

Put $[x]_\varepsilon^\approx := \{y \in X \mid x \approx_\varepsilon y\}$. We have the following observation.

Lemma 6.1. *For any non-negative numbers $\varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_2$, we have $[x]_{\varepsilon_1}^\approx \subseteq [x]_{\varepsilon_2}^\approx$.*

Moreover, for any $\varepsilon \geq 0$, we define a binary relation $\approx_{\varepsilon+}$ as follows:

$$x \approx_{\varepsilon+} y \text{ if } x \approx_{\varepsilon'} y \text{ for any } \varepsilon' > \varepsilon$$

Put $[x]_{\varepsilon+}^\approx := \{y \in X \mid x \approx_{\varepsilon+} y\}$. We have the following observations.

Lemma 6.2. *We have the following statements:*

- (1) *For any non-negative number ε , we have $[x]_{\varepsilon+}^\approx = \bigcap_{\varepsilon'>\varepsilon} [x]_{\varepsilon'}^\approx$.*
- (2) *For any non-negative numbers $\varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_2$, we have $[x]_{\varepsilon_1+}^\approx \subseteq [x]_{\varepsilon_2+}^\approx$.*

Lemma 6.3. *A point $x \in X$ is chain recurrent if and only if $x \approx_{0+} x$.*

By the previous lemma, we introduce the following variant of recurrence.

Definition 29. A point $x \in X$ is ε -**chain recurrent** if $x \approx_{\varepsilon+} x$ (i.e. $x \in [x]_{\varepsilon+}^\approx$). Denote by $\mathbf{CR}_\varepsilon(v)$ the set of ε -chain recurrent points.

Moreover, we have the following observation.

Lemma 6.4. *The family $(\mathbf{CR}_\varepsilon(v))_{\varepsilon \geq 0}$ is a filtration. In fact, for any non-negative numbers $\varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_2$, we have $\mathbf{CR}_{\varepsilon_1}(v) \subseteq \mathbf{CR}_{\varepsilon_2}(v)$.*

Notice that the following equality in Lemma 3.8(2)

$$X = \bigcup_{\varepsilon \geq 0} \mathbf{CR}_\varepsilon(v)$$

need not hold for any (semi)flow v in general. In fact, the (semi)flow $v: \mathbb{K} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $v(t, x) = x + t$ on \mathbb{R} has no ε -chain recurrent points for any $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. As mappings, we introduce the ε -chain recurrence for (semi)flows as follows.

Definition 30. A (semi)flow v on a metric space X is ε -**chain recurrent** if $\mathbf{CR}_\varepsilon(f) = X$.

Moreover, we call the inferior $\inf\{\varepsilon \geq 0 \mid \mathbf{CR}_\varepsilon(v) = X\}$ the **circulation cost** of v .

6.2. ε - \sum chain recurrence. Put $[x]_{(\varepsilon, T)} := \{y \in X \mid x \sim_{(\varepsilon, T)} y\}$. Moreover, we define the following binary relation \sim_ε for any $\varepsilon \geq 0$:

$$x \sim_\varepsilon y \text{ if } x \sim_{(\varepsilon, T)} y \text{ for any } T > 0$$

Put $[x]_\varepsilon := \{y \in X \mid x \sim_\varepsilon y\}$. We have the following observation.

Lemma 6.5. *For any non-negative numbers $\varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_2$, we have $[x]_{\varepsilon_1} \subseteq [x]_{\varepsilon_2}$.*

Moreover, for any $\varepsilon \geq 0$, we define a binary relation $\sim_{\varepsilon+}$ as follows:

$$x \sim_{\varepsilon+} y \text{ if } x \sim_{\varepsilon'} y \text{ for any } \varepsilon' > \varepsilon$$

Put $[x]_{\varepsilon+} := \{y \in X \mid x \sim_{\varepsilon+} y\}$. We have the following observations.

Lemma 6.6. *We have the following statements:*

- (1) *For any non-negative number ε , we have $[x]_{\varepsilon+} = \bigcap_{\varepsilon' > \varepsilon} [x]_{\varepsilon'}$.*
- (2) *For any non-negative numbers $\varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_2$, we have $[x]_{\varepsilon_1+} \subseteq [x]_{\varepsilon_2+}$.*

Lemma 6.7. *A point $x \in X$ is \sum chain recurrent if and only if $x \sim_{0+} x$.*

By the previous lemma, we have the following variant of recurrence and gradient property.

Definition 31. A point $x \in X$ is ε - \sum chain recurrent if $x \sim_{\varepsilon+} x$ (i.e. $x \in [x]_{\varepsilon+}$). Denote by $\mathbf{CR}_\varepsilon^\Sigma(v)$ the set of ε - \sum chain recurrent points.

Notice that a point is 0- \sum chain recurrent if and only if it is \sum chain recurrent in the sense of Easton [3]. Moreover, note that $\mathbf{CR}_0^\Sigma(v) \subseteq \mathbf{CR}(v)$. Furthermore, $\mathbf{CR}_\varepsilon^\Sigma(v) \subseteq \mathbf{CR}_\varepsilon(v)$ for any $\varepsilon \geq 0$. In addition, we have the following observation.

Lemma 6.8. *The family $(\mathbf{CR}_\varepsilon^\Sigma(v))_{\varepsilon \geq 0}$ is a filtration. In fact, for any non-negative numbers $\varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_2$, we have $\mathbf{CR}_{\varepsilon_1}^\Sigma(v) \subseteq \mathbf{CR}_{\varepsilon_2}^\Sigma(v)$.*

Notice that the following equality in Lemma 3.8(2) need not hold for any (semi)flow v in general:

$$X = \bigcup_{\varepsilon \geq 0} \mathbf{CR}_\varepsilon^\Sigma(v)$$

In fact, the flow $v: \mathbb{K} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $v(t, x) = x + t$ on \mathbb{R} has no ε - \sum chain recurrent points for any $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$. As mappings, we define the ε - \sum chain recurrence for (semi)flows as follows.

Definition 32. A (semi)flow v on a metric space X is ε - \sum chain recurrent if $\mathbf{CR}_\varepsilon^\Sigma(v) = X$.

Moreover, we call the inferior $\inf\{\varepsilon \geq 0 \mid \mathbf{CR}_\varepsilon^\Sigma(v) = X\}$ the **circulation \sum cost** of v .

7. NON-GRADIENT PROPERTY FOR (SEMI)FLOWS

We have the following variant of non-gradient property. Let v be a continuous action $v: \mathbb{K} \times X \rightarrow X$ on a metric space X , where \mathbb{K} is either \mathbb{R} or $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. We introduce the following concepts as mappings, each of which is “chain recurrence with negative errors”.

Definition 33. For any non-negative number $\varepsilon \geq 0$, a point $x \in X$ is ε -**non-gradient** if $y \approx_{\varepsilon'+} x$ for any $\varepsilon' \in [0, \varepsilon]$ and any $y \in [x]_{\varepsilon'}^\approx$. Denote by $\mathbf{CR}_{-\varepsilon}(v)$ the set of ε -non-gradient points.

Definition 34. For any non-negative number $\varepsilon \geq 0$, a point $x \in X$ is ε -**non-gradient** if $y \sim_{\varepsilon'+} x$ for any $\varepsilon' \in [0, \varepsilon]$ and any $y \in [x]_{\varepsilon'}$. Denote by $\mathbf{CR}_{-\varepsilon}^{\Sigma}(v)$ the set of ε -non-gradient points.

We obtain the following inclusions.

Lemma 7.1. $\mathbf{CR}_{-0}(v) \subseteq \mathbf{CR}_0(v)$

Proof. Recall that $\mathbf{CR}_0(v)$ is the set of 0-chain recurrent points. In other words, we have $\mathbf{CR}_0(v) = \{x \in X \mid x \approx_{0+} x\}$. Therefore, we have $\mathbf{CR}_{-0}(v) = \{x \in X \mid y \approx_{0+} x \text{ for any } y \in O^+(x)\} \subseteq \{x \in X \mid x \approx_{0+} x\} = \mathbf{CR}_0(v)$. \square

Lemma 7.2. $\mathbf{CR}_{-0}^{\Sigma}(v) \subseteq \mathbf{CR}_0^{\Sigma}(v)$

Proof. Recall that $\mathbf{CR}_0^{\Sigma}(v)$ is the set of 0- Σ chain recurrent points. In other words, we have $\mathbf{CR}_0^{\Sigma}(v) = \{x \in X \mid x \sim_{0+} x\}$. Therefore, we have $\mathbf{CR}_{-0}^{\Sigma}(v) = \{x \in X \mid y \sim_{0+} x \text{ for any } y \in O^+(x)\} \subseteq \{x \in X \mid x \sim_{0+} x\} = \mathbf{CR}_0^{\Sigma}(v)$. \square

As the mapping case, we have the following singular limit behavior of $\mathbf{CR}_{-\varepsilon}^{\Sigma}(f)$.

Theorem 7.3. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a flow f on a circle with $\bigcup_{\varepsilon > 0} \mathbf{CR}_{-\varepsilon}^{\Sigma}(f) \subsetneq \mathbf{CR}_{-0}^{\Sigma}(f) = \mathbf{CR}_0^{\Sigma}(f)$.

In fact, there is such a homeomorphism in § 9.4. Moreover, we can obtain the following filtrations.

Theorem 7.4. The family $(\mathbf{CR}_{\varepsilon}(v))_{\varepsilon \in (-\infty, -0] \sqcup [0, \infty)}$ is a filtration.

Theorem 7.5. The family $(\mathbf{CR}_{\varepsilon}^{\Sigma}(v))_{\varepsilon \in (-\infty, -0] \sqcup [0, \infty)}$ is a filtration.

As mentioned above, the filtrations can be considered as an indicator of the persistence of the circulation of points. In fact, we have the following observations.

Lemma 7.6. Let v be a continuous action $v: \mathbb{K} \times X \rightarrow X$ on a metric space X , where \mathbb{K} is either \mathbb{R} or $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. The following statements hold:

- (1) If $\mathbf{CR}(v) = X$, then $\mathbf{CR}_{-\varepsilon}(v) = X$ for any non-negative number $\varepsilon \geq 0$.
- (2) If $\mathbf{CR}^{\Sigma}(v) = X$, then $\mathbf{CR}_{-\varepsilon}^{\Sigma}(v) = X$ for any non-negative number $\varepsilon \geq 0$.

7.0.1. ε - (Σ) chain recurrent diagram of a (semi)flow. As mappings, we define the ε - (Σ) chain recurrent diagram of (semi)flows to analyze them as follows. Let v be a semiflow (resp. flow) on a metric space X and put $I := [0, \infty)$ (resp. $I := \mathbb{R}$).

Definition 35. The subset $D_{\mathbf{CR}}(v) := \{(\varepsilon, \mathbf{CR}_{\varepsilon}(v)) \mid \varepsilon \in I\}$ is called the **chain recurrent diagram** of v .

Definition 36. The subset $D_{\mathbf{CR}}^{\Sigma}(v) := \{(\varepsilon, \mathbf{CR}_{\varepsilon}^{\Sigma}(v)) \mid \varepsilon \in I\}$ is called the **Σ chain recurrent diagram** of v .

For instance, consider an attracting flow $v_Z: \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ generated by a vector field $Z = -x$. Then the Σ chain recurrent diagram $D_{\mathbf{CR}}^{\Sigma}(v_Z)$ and chain recurrent diagram $D_{\mathbf{CR}}(v_Z)$ of v_Z are

$$(\mathbb{R}_{<0} \times \{0\}) \sqcup \{(\varepsilon, x) \mid \varepsilon \geq 0, x \in [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]\}$$

and the circulation Σ cost and circulation cost of v_Z are ∞ . Moreover, consider a repelling flow $v_Y: \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ generated by a vector field $Y = x$. Then the Σ chain recurrent diagram $D_{\mathbf{CR}}^{\Sigma}(v_Y)$ and chain recurrent diagram $D_{\mathbf{CR}}(v_Y)$ of v_Y are $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \{0\}$, and the circulation Σ cost and circulation cost of v_Y are ∞ .




FIGURE 3. Diagrams $D_{\text{CR}}(v_{\text{rep}})$, $D_{\text{CR}}^{\Sigma}(v_{\text{rep}})$, and $D_{\text{CR}}(v_{\text{att}}) = D_{\text{CR}}^{\Sigma}(v_{\text{att}})$.

Moreover, consider flows $v_{\text{rep}}, v_{\text{att}} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ generated by vector fields $Y_{\text{rep}}, Y_{\text{att}}$, where

$$Y_{\text{rep}}(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & (x \leq 0) \\ x & (x > 0) \end{cases}$$

$$Y_{\text{att}}(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & (x \leq 0) \\ -x & (x > 0) \end{cases}$$

are vector fields on \mathbb{R} . Then the chain (Σ)recurrent diagrams of v_{rep} and v_{att} are

$$D_{\text{CR}}(v_{\text{rep}}) = \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$$

$$D_{\text{CR}}^{\Sigma}(v_{\text{rep}}) = \{(\varepsilon, x) \mid x \leq \varepsilon < 0\} \sqcup (\mathbb{R}_{\leq 0} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}) = \{(\varepsilon, x) \mid x \leq \varepsilon < 0\} \sqcup D_{\text{CR}}(v_{\text{rep}})$$

$$D_{\text{CR}}(v_{\text{att}}) = D_{\text{CR}}^{\Sigma}(v_{\text{att}}) = \{(\varepsilon, x) \mid x \leq \varepsilon < 0\} \sqcup (\mathbb{R}_{\leq 0} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})$$

and the circulation Σ costs and circulation costs of v_{rep} and v_{att} are ∞ as in Figure 3. The diagrams of these flows suggest that the negative parts of the filtrations D_{CR} and D_{CR}^{Σ} correspond to the behaviors near the (Σ)chain recurrent sets.

8. MORSE (HYPER-)GRAPHS WITH FINITE ERRORS FOR (SEMI)FLOWS

In this section, we introduce Morse (hyper-)graph with finite errors and Morse (hyper-)graph with finite Σ errors for flows.

Let v be a continuous action $v : \mathbb{K} \times X \rightarrow X$ on a metric space X , where \mathbb{K} is either \mathbb{R} or $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. As the mapping case, we first define Morse graphs with finite errors in the setting of flows. Subsequently, we introduce a coarse generalization applicable to arbitrary semiflows, which naturally admits a canonical filtration where edges are incrementally added.

8.1. Morse graphs with ε -errors for flows. For a flow v on a metric space, the Morse graph $G_{\mathcal{X}}(v)$ of \mathcal{X} in § 2.4.1 is the **Morse (directed) graph** of v with ε - Σ errors (resp. ε - Σ errors) if \mathcal{X} is the set of connected components of the ε -chain (resp. ε - Σ chain) recurrent set $\text{CR}_{\varepsilon}(v)$ (resp. $\text{CR}_{\varepsilon}^{\Sigma}(v)$). Then we denote by $\mathbf{G}_{\varepsilon}(v)$ (resp. $\mathbf{G}_{\varepsilon}^{\Sigma}(v)$) the Morse graph of f with ε -errors (resp. ε - Σ errors).

Notice that $G_{\varepsilon}(v)$ is a generalization of the Morse graph $G(v)$ of v such that $G_0(v) = G(v)$. As the same argument of the proof of Theorem 5.1, we have the following reduction.

Theorem 8.1. *Let v be a flow on a metric space X . For any $\varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_2 \in (-\infty, -0] \sqcup [0, \infty)$, the Morse graph $G_{\varepsilon_2}(v) = (V_2, D_2)$ is obtained from $G_{\varepsilon_1}(v) = (V_1, D_1)$ by edge collapses associated to $h_{\varepsilon_2}^{\varepsilon_1}$, where the mapping $h_{\varepsilon_2}^{\varepsilon_1} : D_1 \rightarrow D_2$ is defined by assigning to each $X_1 \in D_1$ the connected component of $\text{CR}_{\varepsilon_2}(v)$ containing X_1 .*

Theorem 8.2. *Let v be a flow on a metric space X . For any $\varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_2 \in (-\infty, -0] \sqcup [0, \infty)$, the Morse graph $G_{\varepsilon_2}^\Sigma(v)$ is obtained from $G_{\varepsilon_1}^\Sigma(v)$ by edge collapses.*

8.2. Morse hyper-graphs with (ε, ν) -errors for (semi)flows. To define Morse graphs with finite errors for semiflows, we weaken “connecting” properties as follows. As the same argument of the proofs of Lemma 5.3 and Lemma 5.4, we have the following properties.

Lemma 8.3. *Let v be a flow on a metric space X . The following chain of implications holds for any $x \in X$ and any subset $A \subseteq X$ with $O^-(x) \cap A = \emptyset$:*

$$\alpha(x) \cap A \neq \emptyset \implies x \in \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} [\alpha]_{0+} \implies x \in \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} [\alpha]_{0+}^{\approx}.$$

Lemma 8.4. *Let v be a flow on a metric space X . The following chain of implications holds for any $x \in X$ and any subset $W \subseteq X$ with $O^+(x) \cap W = \emptyset$:*

$$\omega(x) \cap W \neq \emptyset \implies [x]_{0+} \cap W \neq \emptyset \implies [x]_{0+}^{\approx} \cap W \neq \emptyset$$

Let v be a continuous action $v: \mathbb{K} \times X \rightarrow X$ on a metric space X , where \mathbb{K} is either \mathbb{R} or $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. Fix a set $\mathcal{X} = \{X_i\}_{i \in \Lambda}$ of disjoint compact subsets. We introduce Morse graphs with errors as follows.

Definition 37. For any number $\nu \geq 0$, a directed graph $(V, D(\nu))$ with the vertex set $V := \{X_i \mid i \in \Lambda\}$, and with the **directed edge set** $D(\nu) := \{(X_j, X_k) \mid D_{j,k}(\nu) \neq \emptyset, j \neq k \in \Lambda\}$ is called a **Morse graph** of \mathcal{X} with ν -errors, where

$$D_{j,k}(\nu) := \left\{ x \in X - \bigsqcup_{i \in \Lambda} X_i \mid x \in \bigcup_{\alpha \in X_j} [\alpha]_{\nu+}^{\approx}, [x]_{\nu+}^{\approx} \cap X_k \neq \emptyset \right\}.$$

Then such a graph is denoted by $\mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{X}, \nu}$.

Definition 38. For any $\varepsilon \in (-\infty, -0] \sqcup [0, \infty)$ and any number $\nu \geq 0$, the graph $\mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{X}, \nu}$ is the **Morse graph** of v with (ε, ν) -errors if \mathcal{X} is the set of connected components of the ε - Σ chain recurrent set $\text{CR}_\varepsilon(v)$. Then we denote by $\mathbf{G}_{(\varepsilon, \nu)}(v)$ the Morse graph of v with (ε, ν) -errors.

8.2.1. Morse hyper-graphs with (ε, ν) -errors. We introduce Morse hyper-graphs with errors as follows.

Definition 39. For any number $\nu \geq 0$, a directed hyper-graph $(V, H(\nu))$ with the vertex set $V := \{X_i \mid i \in \Lambda\}$, and with the **directed hyper-edge set**

$$H(\nu) := \{(\{X_\lambda \mid \lambda \in A\}, \{X_\lambda \mid \lambda \in W\}) \mid H_W^{A \approx}(\nu) \neq \emptyset\}$$

is called a **Morse hyper-graph** of \mathcal{X} with ν -errors, where

$$H_W^{A \approx}(\nu) := \left\{ x \in X - \bigsqcup_{i \in \Lambda} X_i \mid x \in \bigcap_{\lambda \in A} \bigcup_{\alpha \in X_\lambda} [\alpha]_{\nu+}^{\approx}, [x]_{\nu+}^{\approx} \cap X_k \neq \emptyset \text{ for any } k \in W \right\}$$

for any index subsets $A, W \subseteq \Lambda$. Then such a graph is denoted by $\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{X}}^{\approx}(\nu)$.

Definition 40. For any $\varepsilon \in (-\infty, -0] \sqcup [0, \infty)$ and any number $\nu \geq 0$, the graph $\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{X}}^{\approx}(\nu)$ with ν -errors is the **Morse hyper-graph** of v with (ε, ν) -errors if \mathcal{X} is the set of connected components of the ε -chain recurrent set $\text{CR}_\varepsilon(v)$. Then we denote by $\mathbf{H}_{(\varepsilon, \nu)}(v)$ the Morse hyper-graph of v with (ε, ν) -errors.

Lemma 8.3 and Lemma 8.4 imply the following observation.

Lemma 8.5. *Let v be a flow on a metric space. For any $\varepsilon \in (-\infty, -0] \sqcup [0, \infty)$ and any number $\nu \geq 0$, the graph $G_\varepsilon(v)$ is a subgraph of $G_{(\varepsilon, \nu)}(v)$.*

8.3. Morse hyper-graphs with (ε, ν) - Σ errors for (semi)flows. Let v be a continuous action $v: \mathbb{K} \times X \rightarrow X$ on a metric space X , where \mathbb{K} is either \mathbb{R} or $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$.

Fix a set $\mathcal{X} = \{X_i\}_{i \in \Lambda}$ of disjoint compact subsets. We introduce Morse graphs with Σ errors as follows.

Definition 41. A directed graph $(V, D^\Sigma(\nu))$ with the vertex set $V := \{X_i \mid i \in \Lambda\}$, and with the **directed edge set** $D^\Sigma(\nu) := \{(X_j, X_k) \mid D_{j,k}(\nu) \neq \emptyset, j \neq k \in \Lambda\}$ is called a **Morse (directed) graph** of \mathcal{X} with ν - Σ errors, where

$$D_{j,k}(\nu) := \left\{ x \in X - \bigsqcup_{i \in \Lambda} X_i \mid x \in \bigcup_{\alpha \in X_j} [\alpha]_{\nu+}, [x]_{\nu+} \cap X_k \neq \emptyset \right\}$$

for any indices $j \neq k \in \Lambda$. Then such a graph is denoted by $G_{\mathcal{X}, \nu}^\Sigma(v)$.

Definition 42. For any $\varepsilon \in (-\infty, -0] \sqcup [0, \infty)$ and any number $\nu \geq 0$, the graph $G_{\mathcal{X}, \nu}^\Sigma(v)$ is the **Morse (directed) graph** of v with (ε, ν) - Σ errors if \mathcal{X} is the set of connected components of the ε - Σ chain recurrent set $\text{CR}_\varepsilon^\Sigma(v)$. Then we denote by $G_{(\varepsilon, \nu)}^\Sigma(v)$ the Morse graph of v with (ε, ν) - Σ errors.

Lemma 8.3 and Lemma 8.4 imply the following observation.

Lemma 8.6. *Let v be a flow on a metric space. For any $\varepsilon \in (-\infty, -0] \sqcup [0, \infty)$ and any number $\nu \geq 0$, the graph $G_\varepsilon^\Sigma(v)$ is a subgraph of $G_{(\varepsilon, \nu)}^\Sigma(v)$.*

Taking the suspension flow of the homeomorphism in the proof of Theorem 5.9 implies the following observation.

Theorem 8.7. *Let v be a flow on a metric space. The following statements hold for any $\varepsilon > 0$:*

- (1) *There is a flow v on a subset on \mathbb{R}^3 with $G_{(\varepsilon, 0)}^\Sigma(v) \subsetneq \bigcap_{\nu > 0} G_{(\varepsilon, \nu)}^\Sigma(v)$.*
- (2) *There is a flow v on a subset on \mathbb{R}^3 with $G_{(\varepsilon, 0)}^\Sigma(v) \subsetneq \bigcap_{\nu > 0} G_{(\varepsilon, \nu)}^\Sigma(v)$.*

8.3.1. Morse hyper-graphs with (ε, ν) - Σ errors. A directed hyper-graph $(V, H(\nu))$ with the vertex set $V := \{X_i \mid i \in \Lambda\}$, and with the **directed hyper-edge set**

$$H^\Sigma(\nu) := \{(\{X_\lambda \mid \lambda \in A\}, \{X_\lambda \mid \lambda \in W\}) \mid H_W^A(\nu) \neq \emptyset\}$$

is called a **Morse hyper-graph** of \mathcal{X} with ν - Σ errors, where

$$H_W^A(\nu) := \left\{ x \in X - \bigsqcup_{i \in \Lambda} X_i \mid x \in \bigcap_{\lambda \in A} \bigcup_{\alpha \in X_\lambda} [\alpha]_{\nu+}, [x]_{\nu+} \cap X_k \neq \emptyset \text{ for any } k \in W \right\}$$

for any index subsets $A, W \subseteq \Lambda$. Then such a graph is denoted by $H_{\mathcal{X}}^\Sigma(\nu)$.

Definition 43. For any $\varepsilon \in (-\infty, -0] \sqcup [0, \infty)$ and any number $\nu \geq 0$, the hyper-graph $H_{\mathcal{X}}^\Sigma(\nu)$ with ν -errors is the **Morse hyper-graph** of v with (ε, ν) -errors if \mathcal{X} is the set of connected components of the ε - Σ chain recurrent set $\text{CR}_\varepsilon^\Sigma(v)$. Then we denote by $H_{(\varepsilon, \nu)}^\Sigma(v)$ the Morse hyper-graph of v with (ε, ν) -errors.

Theorem 8.7 (i.e. the suspension flow of the homeomorphism in the proof of Theorem 5.9) implies the following singular limit behavior.

Theorem 8.8. *Let v be a flow on a metric space. The following statements hold for any $\varepsilon > 0$:*

- (1) *There is a flow v on a subset on \mathbb{R}^3 with $H_{(\varepsilon,0)}^\Sigma(f) \subsetneq \bigcap_{\nu>0} H_{(\varepsilon,\nu)}^\Sigma(f)$.*
- (2) *There is a flow v on a subset on \mathbb{R}^3 with $H_{(\varepsilon,0)}(f) \subsetneq \bigcap_{\nu>0} H_{(\varepsilon,\nu)}(f)$.*

9. EXAMPLES

We observe various phenomena with respect to Σ chain recurrence as follows.

9.1. Escape from attracting basins of circular flows by controls using finite energy ε . The following phenomena are toy models of the escape from attracting basins of circular flows by controls using finite energy.

Define an even function $h: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} x^2 \cos(1/x) & x \neq 0 \\ 0 & x = 0 \end{cases}$$

For any $x \neq 0$, we have the following equality:

$$h'(x) = 2x \cos(2/x) - 2x \sin(2/x) \begin{cases} = 2x \sin(\pi/4 - 2/x) & x > 0 \\ = -h'(-x) & x < 0 \end{cases}$$

because of the even property of h . Therefore, the set of solutions of the equation $h'(x) = 0$ is

$$\{0\} \sqcup \left\{ \frac{\pm 2}{\pi \left(n + \frac{1}{4} \right)} \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \right\}$$

Define the negative gradient vector field X of h on \mathbb{R}^1 (i.e. $X(x) := h'(x)$) and denote by v the flow generated by X on the line \mathbb{R} such that the subset $\text{CR}_0^\Sigma(v) = \text{CR}^\Sigma(v) = \text{Sing}(v)$ is bounded and consists of infinitely many connected components. Moreover, the set difference $\text{CR}_0^\Sigma(v) - \{0\} = \{\pm 2/(\pi(n + 1/4)) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$ consists of sinks and sources. This implies that $\text{CR}_\varepsilon^\Sigma(v)$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$ consists of finitely many connected components. For any $\varepsilon > 8/\pi$, the subset $\text{CR}_\varepsilon^\Sigma(v)$ is an interval $[-8/\pi, 8/\pi]$.

9.2. Elimination of stagnation of flows by controls using finite energy ε . The following phenomenon can be considered as a toy model of the circulation of flow by injecting energy to eliminate stagnation.

9.2.1. Elimination of stagnation of toral flows by controls using finite energy ε .

Consider a positive number $\mu > 1$ and a natural number $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Let $p_\pi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z} =: \mathbb{S}^1$ be the canonical projection. Define an odd function $h: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $h(x) := x - \mu \sin kx \in T_x \mathbb{R}$. Then the derivative $h'(x) = 1 - \mu k \cos kx$ is 2π -periodic and so a vector field X on \mathbb{S}^1 defined by $X(p(x)) := dp_\pi(x)(-h'(x)) \in T_{p(x)} \mathbb{S}^1$ is well-defined, where $dp_\pi(x): T_x \mathbb{R} \rightarrow T_{p(x)} \mathbb{S}^1$ is the derivative of p_π at x . Let v be the flow generated by X on the circle \mathbb{S}^1 whose singular points consist of k sources and k sinks. Put $x_{\mu,k}$ the solution in $(0, \pi/2k]$ of the equation $\cos kx = 1/\mu k$ (i.e. $kx_{\mu,k} \in (0, \pi/2] \cap \cos^{-1}(1/\mu k)$). Since $h'(0) = 1 - \mu k < 0$, we have $h'(x) < 0$ for

any $x \in (-x_{\mu,k}, x_{\mu,k})$. By $h(0) = 0$, we obtain $h(x_{\mu,k}) = x_{\mu,k} - \mu\sqrt{1 - (1/\mu k)^2} = x_0 - \sqrt{(\mu k)^2 - 1}/k < 0$ and $h(-x_{\mu,k}) = -h(x_{\mu,k}) > 0$. Then $h(-x_{\mu,k}) - h(x_{\mu,k}) = 2h(-x_{\mu,k}) > 0$. This implies

$$\text{CR}_\varepsilon^\Sigma(v) \begin{cases} = p_\pi(\cos^{-1}(1/\mu k)) & \varepsilon = 0 \\ \subsetneq \mathbb{S}^1 & (\varepsilon < 2kh(-x_{\mu,k})) \\ = \mathbb{S}^1 & (\varepsilon \geq 2kh(-x_{\mu,k})) \end{cases}$$

because we need energy more than $2h(-x_{\mu,k})$ to overcome each attracting basin for any point in a small neighborhood of a sink that is slightly more positive than the sink. Moreover, we have that $\text{CR}_\varepsilon^\Sigma(v)$ consists of the sink for any small $\varepsilon \ll 0$ in the case $k = 1$, and that $\text{CR}_\varepsilon^\Sigma(v) = \emptyset$ for any small $\varepsilon \ll 0$ in the case $k > 1$.

9.2.2. Elimination of stagnation of toral flows by controls using finite energy ε . Let $p: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} =: \mathbb{T}^1$ be the cononical projection. Choose a smooth function $\psi_2: \mathbb{T}^1 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ with $\psi_2^{-1}(0) = [0, 1/2]$. Consider a vector field $X(x, y) := (1, \psi_2(y))$ on a torus $\mathbb{T}^2 := \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$. Let v be the flow generated by X on \mathbb{T}^2 . Then $\text{Sing}(v) = p([0, 1/2]) \times \mathbb{T}^1$ and $\text{P}(v) = p((1/2, 1)) \times \mathbb{T}^1 = \mathbb{T}^2 - \text{Sing}(v)$. Therefore, we have the following equality:

$$\text{CR}_\varepsilon^\Sigma(v) = \begin{cases} \emptyset & (\varepsilon < -1/4) \\ p([\varepsilon, 1/2 - \varepsilon]) \times \mathbb{T}^1 & (\varepsilon \in [-1/4, 0]) \\ \mathbb{T}^2 & (\varepsilon \geq \sqrt{2}) \end{cases}$$

Note that $\text{CR}_\varepsilon^\Sigma(v) \subsetneq \mathbb{T}^2$ for arbitrarily small $\varepsilon > 0$.

9.3. Persistence of recurrent points of planar flows. To describe the persistence of recurrent points, we introduce the gradient property under finite errors, we have the following variant of gradient property.

Definition 44. For any $\varepsilon \geq 0$, a point $x \in X$ is ε - Σ **gradient** if there are a non-negative number $\varepsilon_0 \in [0, \varepsilon)$ and a point $y \in [x]_{\varepsilon_0}$ such that $y \not\sim_{\varepsilon_0} x$.

Notice that an ε - Σ gradient point need not be non- ε - Σ chain recurrent.

Definition 45. For any $\varepsilon \geq 0$, a point $x \in X$ is ε_+ - Σ **gradient** if, for any $\varepsilon_1 > \varepsilon$, there are a positive number $\varepsilon_2 > \varepsilon_1$ and a point $y \in [x]_{\varepsilon_2}$ such that $y \not\sim_{\varepsilon_2} x$.

Notice that ε - Σ gradience characterizes non-returning behavior in the short range, while ε_+ - Σ gradience captures the existence of points arbitrarily far away that never return.

9.3.1. The persistence of recurrence and the Σ gradience. Consider a smooth function $\psi: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ with $\psi^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$ and a vector field $X := (1, 0)$ on the plane \mathbb{R}^2 . Let v be the flow generated by ψX on \mathbb{R}^2 with the unique singular point 0. Then any point is Σ gradient.

Consider a smooth function $\psi_1: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ with $\psi_1^{-1}(0) = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x^2 + y^2 \leq 1\}$ and a vector field $X := (x, y)$ on the plane \mathbb{R}^2 . Let v be the flow generated by $\psi_1 X$ on \mathbb{R}^2 with the unique connected component $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x^2 + y^2 \leq 1\}$ of the set of singular points. Then any point is Σ gradient. Then any point in $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x^2 + y^2 \geq 1\}$ is Σ gradient, any point in $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x^2 + y^2 = 1\}$ is also 0-recurrent, and all points in $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} = r\}$ for any $r \in (0, 1)$ is $(1 - r)$ -recurrent and $(1 - r)_+$ - Σ gradient.




FIGURE 4. A schematic picture of the chain recurrent diagram and the \sum chain recurrent diagram of a flow v .

9.4. Difference between ε -chain recurrence and ε - \sum chain recurrence. Notice that the ε - \sum chain recurrence (resp. circulation \sum cost) seems to be more sensitive than the ε -chain recurrence (resp. circulation cost) as follows.

Let $\mathbb{S}^1 := \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ be a unit circle and $\delta > 0$ a small positive number. Consider a smooth function $\psi: \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ with $\psi^{-1}(0) = [0, \delta]/\mathbb{Z}$ and. Let v be the flow generated by the vector field $\psi\partial/\partial x$ on \mathbb{S}^1 whose singular points form $[0, \delta]/\mathbb{Z}$. Then $\mathbb{S}^1 = \text{CR}(v) = \text{CR}_\varepsilon(v)$ and $[0, \delta]/\mathbb{Z} = \text{CR}_{-\varepsilon}(v)$ for any $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore, the chain recurrent diagram of v is as on the left in Figure 4. On the other hand, we have $\text{CR}_0^\Sigma(v) = \text{Sing}(v) = [0, \delta]/\mathbb{Z} \subsetneq \mathbb{S}^1$. Moreover, there is a positive number $N > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \in \text{CR}_{-\varepsilon_2}^\Sigma(v) \subsetneq \text{CR}_{-\varepsilon_1}^\Sigma(v) \subsetneq \bigcup_{\varepsilon > 0} \text{CR}_{-\varepsilon}^\Sigma(v) &= \text{Sing}(v) - \{\delta\} \\ &\subsetneq \text{Sing}(v) = \text{CR}_{-0}^\Sigma(v) = \text{CR}_0^\Sigma(v) \\ &\subsetneq \text{CR}_{\varepsilon_1}^\Sigma(v) \subsetneq \text{CR}_{\varepsilon_2}^\Sigma(v) \subsetneq \mathbb{S}^1 \end{aligned}$$

for any numbers $\varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_2 \in (0, N)$. This implies Theorem 7.3. Furthermore, we have a schematic picture of the \sum chain recurrent diagram of v as on the right in Figure 4.

Let f be the time-one map of v whose fixed points form $[0, \delta]/\mathbb{Z}$. Then $\mathbb{S}^1 = \text{CR}(f) = \text{CR}_\varepsilon(f)$ for any $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$. On the other hand, we have $\text{CR}_0^\Sigma(f) = \text{Fix}(f) = [0, \delta]/\mathbb{Z} \subsetneq \mathbb{S}^1$. Moreover, there is a positive number $N > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \in \text{CR}_{-\varepsilon_2}^\Sigma(f) \subsetneq \text{CR}_{-\varepsilon_1}^\Sigma(f) \subsetneq \bigcup_{\varepsilon > 0} \text{CR}_{-\varepsilon}^\Sigma(f) &= \text{Fix}(f) - \{\delta\} \\ &\subsetneq \text{Fix}(f) = \text{CR}_{-0}^\Sigma(f) = \text{CR}_0^\Sigma(f) \\ &\subsetneq \text{CR}_{\varepsilon_1}^\Sigma(f) \subsetneq \text{CR}_{\varepsilon_2}^\Sigma(f) \subsetneq \mathbb{S}^1 \end{aligned}$$

for any numbers $\varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_2 \in (0, N)$. This implies Theorem 4.9. Furthermore, we have a schematic picture of the \sum chain recurrent diagram of f in Figure 2. On the other hand, the chain recurrent diagram of f is the whole space $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^1$. Moreover, the circulation \sum costs of the circular flow v and the time-one map f are zero and the circulation \sum costs of the circular flow v and the map f are δ . Thus, the circulation

Σ costs for the flow and the map represent the energetic thresholds required to transcend stagnation in this example.

10. FINAL REMARKS

This paper defines ε -chain recurrence and ε - Σ chain recurrence for mappings and semiflows. On the other hand, one can define variants of these concepts. In fact, an infinitesimal version of ε - Σ chain recurrence for semiflows can also be defined using the following ε - f chain recurrence.

Definition 46. Let v be a differentiable flow on a manifold M . For any positive integer $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and any non-negative number $\varepsilon \geq 0$, a differentiable curve $c: [0, S] \rightarrow M$ is a **ε - f chain** if the following inequality holds:

$$\varepsilon \geq \int_0^S \left\| \frac{dv(t, c(s))}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} - \frac{dc(s)}{ds} \right\| ds$$

As the ε - Σ chain recurrence for semiflows, we can define ε - f chain recurrence for semiflows. Moreover, a variance of ε - (Σ) chain recurrence for mappings can be defined analogously to ε - (Σ) chain recurrence for flows as follows: Let $f: X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping. To define (ε, ∞) - (Σ) chain recurrence, we define the following concepts for mappings.

Definition 47. Let f be a mapping on a metric space X . For any positive integer $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and any non-negative number $\varepsilon \geq 0$, a sequence $(x_i)_{i=0}^n$ of points $x_i \in X$ is a **(ε, N) -chain** if there is a sequence $\{\varepsilon_i\}_{i=0}^n$ of non-negative numbers with $\min_i \{t_i\} \geq N$ and $\max_{i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}} d(f^{t_i}(x_i), x_{i+1}) \leq \varepsilon$.

Definition 48. Let f be a mapping on a metric space X . For any positive integer $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and any non-negative number $\varepsilon \geq 0$, a sequence $(x_i)_{i=0}^n$ of points $x_i \in X$ is a **(ε, N) - Σ chain** if there are a sequence $\{\varepsilon_i\}_{i=0}^n$ of non-negative integers and a sequence $\{t_i\}_{i=0}^n$ of non-negative integers with $\varepsilon \geq \sum_{i=0}^n \varepsilon_i$ and $\min_i \{t_i\} \geq N$ such that $d(f^{t_i}(x_i), x_{i+1}) \leq \varepsilon_i$ for any $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$.

As the ε - (Σ) chain recurrence for semiflows, we can define (ε, ∞) - (Σ) chain recurrence for mappings. Note that the (ε, ∞) - (Σ) chain recurrence for mappings has similar properties to ε - (Σ) chain recurrence for semiflows. Indeed, for instance, considering a translation $h: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $h(x) := x + 1$, though every point of \mathbb{R} is 1- (Σ) chain recurrent with respect to h , no points are (ε, ∞) - (Σ) chain recurrent for any $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ as statements after Lemma 6.8.

Investigating the analysis of which types of phenomena are suitable for what kinds of variants of ε - (Σ) chain recurrence is future work.

REFERENCES

1. Charles Conley, *Isolated invariant sets and the Morse index*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, vol. 38, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1978. MR 511133 1
2. Roberto De Leo and James A Yorke, *Streams and graphs of dynamical systems*, Qualitative Theory of Dynamical Systems **24** (2025), no. 1, 1. 2.2.1
3. R. Easton, *Chain transitivity and the domain of influence of an invariant set. the structure of attractors in dynamical systems (proc. conf., north dakota state university, fargo, nd, 1977)(lecture notes in mathematics, 668)*, 1978. 1, 2.2.1, 3.1.1, 6.2

4. Robert Easton, *Chain transitivity and the domain of influence of an invariant set*, The Structure of Attractors in Dynamical Systems: Proceedings, North Dakota State University, June 20–24, 1977 (2006), 95–102. 2.2.1
5. John Franks, *A variation on the Poincaré-Birkhoff theorem*, Hamiltonian dynamical systems (Boulder, CO, 1987), Contemp. Math., vol. 81, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1988, pp. 111–117. 1
6. Mike Hurley, *Chain recurrence and attraction in non-compact spaces*, Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems **11** (1991), no. 4, 709–729. 1
7. ———, *Chain recurrence, semiflows, and gradients*, Journal of Dynamics and Differential Equations **7** (1995), no. 3, 437–456. 1
8. Habib Marzougui, *Area preserving flows with a dense orbit*, Nonlinearity **15** (2002), no. 5, 1379. 2.3
9. Igor Nikolaev, *Foliations on surfaces*, vol. 41, Springer Science & Business Media, 2013. 2.3
10. Krzysztof P. Rybakowski, *The homotopy index and partial differential equations*, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987. MR 910097 1

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, SAITAMA UNIVERSITY, SHIMO-OKUBO
255, SAKURA-KU, SAITAMA-SHI, 338-8570 JAPAN,

Email address: tyokoyama@rimath.saitama-u.ac.jp