Optimization of singly-charged particles identification with the AMS02 RICH detector by a machine learning method

G. Vasilev,^{a,1} G. Vankova^a and G. Bozhkova^a

^a Sofia University St. Kliment Ohridski 1164 Sofia, 5 James Bourchier Blvd., Faculty of Physics, Bulgaria E-mail: georgi.danev.vasilev@cern.ch

ABSTRACT: AMS-02 is a detector currently in operation onboard the International Space Station (ISS). One of the main scientific goals of the spectrometer is the measurement of charged particle fluxes. The detector design makes possible the identification of particles and antiparticles by precise measurement of particle momentum in the AMS-02 Silicon Tracker, and velocity in the Cherenkov (RICH) detector. The RICH is able to measure the isotopic composition of the light elements (up to charge Z=5) in the kinetic energy range from a few GeV/n to about 10 GeV/n. However, the velocity reconstruction for charge 1 particles is particularly challenging due to the low number of photons they produce in the RICH detector which can lead to wrong event reconstruction. In this paper, we show the high potential of the Multilayer Perceptron deep learning model (MLP-BFGS) for identification of signal and the background due to interactions inside the AMS-02 detector, and to significantly improve particle identification by its mass.

KEYWORDS: Particle identification methods; Large detector systems for particle and astroparticle physics; Cherenkov detectors; Spectrometers;

Contents

1. Introduction	1
2. The RICH detector	3
3. The Multilayer Perceptron	4
4. Training methodology	5
5. Results	6
6. Conclusion	7
Acknowledgements	8
References	8

1. Introduction

The scientific interest in the light isotopes of hydrogen is determined by the question of their origins. It is believed that protons and the ${}^{4}He$ particles are predominantly of primary origin and thus arise directly from their sources, whereas ${}^{2}H$ and ${}^{3}He$ have secondary origins, i.e. they are produced from the interactions of primary elements with the interstellar gas. Studying the deuteron flux and the corresponding secondary-to-primary ratios is essential for the understanding of propagation processes in our Galaxy and the properties of the ISM itself [1][2].

Besides the light isotopes mentioned, the detection of their corresponding antiparticles is promising as an indirect search for dark matter. Antideuterons are considered a golden sample for this search because in the energy range in which they are expected there is no background from secondary production [3].

The Alpha-Magnetic Spectrometer (AMS-02) is a particle physics detector currently installed and in operation on the International Space Station (ISS). Its objectives are to study the origins of antimatter, dark matter, and cosmic rays, as well as to explore new physical phenomena. The detector's main components [4], visualized on Figure 1, are a permanent magnet and several subdetectors which measure particle velocity (β), charge (Z), momentum (p) and rigidity (R = p/Z). Apart from the permanent magnet AMS-02 is composed of several subsystems: a silicon tracker consisting of nine layers, a Transition Radiation Detector (TRD), a Time of Flight (TOF) detector consisting of two pairs of scintillators above (upper TOF) and below (lower TOF) the magnet bore, a Ring Imaging Cherenkov Detector (RICH), Anti-Coincidence counters (ACC) and an Electromagnetic Calorimeter (ECAL).

The permanent magnet is made up of 64 Nd-Fe-B sectors arranged in a cylinder and provides a magnetic field of 1.4 kG in its center. It is used to bend the particle trajectories as they pass through the detector.

The tracker layers measure the coordinates of the particles (x, y) as they pass through each layer, and these measurements serve as the basis for the reconstruction of the particle track. It also





measures Z through the energy deposition in the tracker layers. It consists of 9 layers – L1 through L9 – and is composed of 192 ladders containing double-sided silicon strip detectors. L2-L9 is the inner tracker.

The Anti-Coincidence counters (ACCs) are 16 curved scintillator panels that surround the tracker layers located on the inside of the magnet, and they are used to filter out particles that enter the detector from the side.

The Time-of-Flight counters (TOF) precisely determines the velocity as well as the direction of the incoming particles, and also further provides a charge measurement using energy deposition in the TOF layers. Each TOF layer consists of 8 or 10 scintillating paddles. The coincidence of signals from all 4 TOF layers provides the trigger for the particle measurement.

The Transition Radiation Detector (TRD) is located above the upper TOF layers and it is used to distinguish electrons or positrons from antiprotons and protons and heavier particles, using the transition radiation produced as they pass through the detector – the lighter particles will produce substantially more transition radiation. It further determines particle charge through the measured energy loss dE/dx. The TRD consists of 20 layers of proportional counter tubes separated by fiber fleece radiators. The bottom and top four layers are oriented perpendicular to the middle twelve layers.

The Electromagnetic Calorimeter (ECAL) is located at the very bottom of the detector, below the RICH detector and L9. Its purpose is to optimize electron-hadron separation. It is made of a composite lead and scintillating fiber material, alternating in orientation – each two consecutive layers are oriented perpendicularly. As a particle passes through, electromagnetic showers are produced upon interaction with the lead layers over 17 radiation lengths. The scintillators then measure the shower in three dimensions in order to determine particle energy and direction.



Figure 2. A view of the RICH detector

2. The RICH detector

The proximity-focusing RICH detector (Figure 2) is used to determine particle velocity β and charge Z. It is located in the lower half of the AMS-02, underneath the two lower TOF planes. Its main components are two radiators, an expansion volume, and a photodetecting plane. Its design allows velocity measurements with resolution of 0.1%. The two radiators are used to measure particle velocities in different velocity ranges. The first of the two – the central radiator – is made up 16 sodium fluoride (NaF) tiles arranged in a square pattern, with refractive index n = 1.33. It allows for the detection of particles with velocities exceeding $\beta > 0.75$. The other radiator is made up of 92 tiles of silica aerogel surrounding the NaF radiator with refractive index n = 1.05. The aerogel radiator makes up around 90% of the total RICH acceptance, and it measures velocities exceeding $\beta > 0.95$. Underneath the radiators there is a large expansion volume surrounded with a high-reflectivity mirror, and on the bottom there is an arrangement of 680 multi-anode photomultiplier tubes (PMTs) [5].

When charged particles pass through the detector, Cherenkov radiation is emitted in a cone with intensity proportional to Z^2 . The Cherenkov angle depends on the particle velocity in accordance with the relation $\theta_c = \arccos(1/\beta_n)$. The data produced from the photons reaching the PMT plane, together with the particle track reconstruction from the Silicon Tracker, allows for the reconstruction of the original Cherenkov angle, and from there, the particle velocity. Furthermore, the particle charge is derived from the total collected photon signal. Finally, velocity data from RICH, together with the rigidity measurement obtained from the tracker, allows for particle mass to be accurately determined.

Since the number of Cherenkov photons is proportional to Z^2 , particles with $Z \ge 2$ have more intense, and easier to reconstruct Cherenkov rings. Conversely, for singly-charged particles the rings are very faint. The number of photon hits in a ring depends on the impact point on the radiator and only a small fraction of events produce a fully contained ring. The number of Cherenkov photons is around 5 for the NaF and around 6 for the aerogel radiator.

To reconstruct a Cherenkov ring at least three hits are required and it is obvious that if background hits near the ring are present, or if particle direction was misidentified, the velocity reconstruction will be affected. In addition, the secondary particle interactions such as delta ray production, occurring in the region between the lower tracker and the RICH can change the particle direction and introduce bias in the detected ring hits with respect to the reconstructed track from the tracker. Moreover, the aerogel is a source of photon scattering, which leads to a significant fraction of ring-uncorrelated hits. All of this causes wrong reconstruction. One can see the described effects in Figure 3, where the mass distribution in RICH for simulated proton events is shown after applying standard selection cuts.



Figure 3. Normalized mass distribution in RICH for simulated proton events

The long tail in the distribution is due to wrong event reconstruction caused by the aforementioned effects. This is a primary source of background when attempting to make a deuteron selection. Therefore, a method to more reliably separate the deuteron signal from the background is needed.

3. The Multilayer Perceptron

Maximizing signal efficiency and background rejection is the main motivation for this study. Since the standard cut-based approach can often lead to misidentification of background events as signal events, and potentially even cut real events, we have chosen to use multivariate analysis techniques that use more complex algorithms to take into account all variables for an event together, rather than look at each variable independently.

An Artificial Neural Network (ANN) [6] is a machine learning model inspired by the biological neural networks found in brains. It consists of nodes named "artificial neurons", corresponding to the brain's neurons, which are connected by "edges", corresponding to the synapses in the brain. When an external signal is introduced to the network via some input neurons, it is placed in a defined state which can then be measured from the response of the output neurons.

A neural network consisting of n neurons can theoretically have n^2 edges. However, this means that increasing the number of neurons very quickly increases the complexity of the system. A way to reduce complexity is by organizing the network's neurons into different layers and only allowing neuron connections from one layer to the next (as shown in Figure 4) – in other words, forming a feed-forward neural network. This is called a multilayer perceptron. Its first layer is the input layer, the output layer is the final one, and all layers in between are hidden layers. If the network is tasked to solve a classification problem, the input layer consists of several neurons that contain the input variables, and the output layer consists of a single neuron which contains an output variable – a neural network estimator.



Figure 4. An example diagram of a multilayer perceptron network with one hidden layer [6].

The Multilayer Perceptron is widely used in high energy particle physics for tasks such as classification, regression and pattern recognition. It is particularly useful in cases where particle identification is required, as is the case in this study. One key advantage of this model is its ability to model non-linear dependencies between input and output variables, which makes it a good option when faced with complex datasets where traditional analytical methods fall short.

4. Training methodology

For this study we use the Tools for Multivariate Analysis (TMVA) software package [6], available as part of the CERN ROOT package[7]. The training procedure has been performed on simulated data as well as real data collected by AMS-02 between 2011 and 2021. To make sure that the particle velocity reconstruction in TOF is correct, only events with recorded hits in all four scintillator planes are considered. Both RICH radiators are used to measure the particle velocity, in complementary velocity ranges as described in Section 3. The samples used to train the classifier are produced using simulation data produced by the AMS collaboration, generated using dedicated software based on the GEANT4 package [8]. The software simulates the interactions of particles inside AMS-02, the detector response and the detailed reconstruction for the event.

In order to train the MLP we have as a first step studied a large number of event variables from the AMS-02 detectors. From them we have selected those which are particularly sensitive to the background sources and could therefore help clean up the sample – variables mainly from the tracker and TOF, as well as several from RICH – such as the velocity measured in the tracker, other quantities characterizing the particle's track, temporal characteristics of the particles in TOF, RICH charge, Kolmogorov probability [9] and others.

Next, we train the MLP using a sample of simulated protons and simulated deuteron events. Taking into account the mass resolution of the RICH detector for signal-like events, we assume that in the case of protons, signal-like events are those with reconstructed mass within 2σ of the

proton mass -0.75 < m < 1.12 GeV/ c^2 . Every event that does not fulfil those requirements is considered background to the signal. We then make a similar assumption for deuterons.

When cosmic rays pass through AMS-02 they may interact with the detector materials. The secondary particles produced by these interactions can also be picked up by the detector and are part of the background. However they are associated with a significantly longer time of flight, and this fact can be used to filter them out. We therefore further require the time of flight measured in TOF to be below the time of flight for secondary particles.

5. Results

Figures 5.1 and 5.2 shows an example of how the MLP distinguishes two of the variables mentioned in Section 4 – the particle velocity measured in the tracker, and the Kolmogorov probability for the NaF radiator. Signal events are shown in blue, while the background is in red.



After the training procedure is complete and the classifier is applied, for each event in the sample the MLP outputs a response in the form of number on a continuous scale. The boundaries of the scale depend on the specific classifier chosen. In this case this is a value between 0 and 1, as displayed on Figure 6.



Figure 6. A plot of the MLP output when applied on an event sample.

Considering the variables on which the MLP was trained, this implies that to separate signal from background events we now only need to apply a cut on the MLP output, rather than separate cuts on many different variables. For example, a 0.8 cut on the MLP output would mean that an event with output greater than 0.8 would be considered signal, and anything below that – background.

Figure 7 shows the mass distribution for events in the NaF and aerogel radiators before and after a MLP cut is made.



Figure 7. Normalized mass distributions of events in the NaF (left) and aerogel (right) radiators, for events without additional cuts (blue) and with the MLP selection (red)

We can see that after applying the MLP the deuteron peak is clearly visible compared to the mass distribution before the cut, where the background contribution is such that a second peak is not noticeable at all.

6. Conclusion

Over the years, the measurement of charged cosmic ray fluxes has provided important information about the acceleration and propagation mechanisms of high energy particles through the cosmos. Detailed knowledge of cosmic ray propagation in the Milky Way is relevant in indirect searches of Dark Matter based on the detection of rare antimatter particles such as the antiproton and antideuteron. The identification of singly-charged isotopes is quite challenging due to the very large background contribution. Solving this problem in an efficient way is of great importance for the detection of antideuterons with energies below 2 GeV. It would be very powerful evidence for the existence of the supersymmetric neutralino, which is one of the main candidates for the dark matter particle.

In this study we show the results from the application of our signal selection criteria for MLP training, and the outcome from the application of the MLP to real data. As a consequence we have obtained a clearly visible deuteron peak in the mass distribution, which is due to the successful rejection of a large number of background events. The results of this study highlight the high potential of the MLP classifier as a tool for identification of signal and background, and to significantly improve particle identification by its mass.

Acknowledgements

This publication is part of the project "Study of light antimatter production mechanisms in the Milky Way with the AMS detector" with project number N_{\odot} KII-06-H58/11-23.11.2021, financed by the Bulgarian Scientific Research Fund (ФНИ). We are grateful for their support.

References

- [1] Planck Collaboration, P. A. R. Ade et al., *Planck 2015 results. XIII. Cosmological parameters*, Astron. Astrophys. 594 (2016) A13, [arXiv:1502.01589]
- [2] R. H. Cyburt, B. D. Fields, K. A. Olive, T.-H. Yeh, *Big Bang Nucleosynthesis: 2015*, Rev. Mod. Phys. 88 (2016) 015004, [arXiv:1505.01076
- [3] Anti-deuterons as a signature of supersymmetric dark matter, Phys.Rev.D 62 (2000) 043003
- [4] The AMS collaboration, *The Alpha Magnetic Spectrometer (AMS) on the international space station: Part II - Results from the first seven years*, Phys. Rep. **894**, 1 (2021)
- [5] R. Pereira, on behalf of the AMS RICH collaboration, *The RICH detector of the AMS-02 experiment: status and physics prospects*, LIP/IST, arXiv:0801.3250v1 [astro-ph], 2018
- [6] A. Hoecker, P. Speckmayer, J. Stelzer, J. Therhaag, E. von Toerne, H. Voss, TMVA 4: Toolkit for Multivariate Data Analysis with ROOT – Users Guide, CERN-OPEN-2007-007, TMVA version 4.0.1, 2018
- [7] "ROOT Data Analysis Framework", https://root.cern.ch/
- [8] J. Allison, et al., *Recent Developments in Geant4*, Vol. 835, pp. 186–225, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2016.06.125
- [9] W.T. Eadie, D. Drijard, F. E. James, *Statistical Methods in Experimental Physics*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1971