

QUASICOMPLEMENTED DISTRIBUTIVE NEARLATTICES

ISMAEL CALOMINO

ABSTRACT. The aim of this paper is to study the class of quasicomplemented distributive nearlattices. We investigate α -filters and α -ideals in quasicomplemented distributive nearlattices and some results on ideals-congruence-kernels. Finally, we also study the notion of Stone distributive nearlattice and give a characterization by means σ -filters.

1. INTRODUCTION

An interesting characterization of the distributivity of a lattice was given by Mandelker in [18]. He note that a lattice is distributive if and only if each relative annihilator is an ideal. This result was extended to different ordered algebraic structures. Varlet in [22] proved that a semilattice is distributive, in the sense of Grätzer [16], if and only if each relative annihilator is an order-ideal, and Chajda and Kolařík in [9] prove a similar result but in distributive nearlattices. Recall that a distributive nearlattice is a join-semilattice with a greatest element where each principal filter is a bounded distributive lattice. It follows that distributive nearlattices are a natural generalization of semi-boolean algebras [1] and bounded distributive lattices. Concerning the structure and properties of distributive nearlattices, the reader is referred to [17, 8, 10, 2, 3, 4, 14, 5, 6, 15]. In particular, in [3], the authors presented an alternative definition of relative annihilator in distributive nearlattices different from that given in [9] and established new equivalences of the distributivity. Later, using the results developed in [3], the notion of annihilator was studied in a series of papers [4, 5, 6].

The class of quasicomplemented lattices was introduced in [21] as a generalization of pseudocomplemented distributive lattices and was studied by Cornish in [12, 13] together with the notions of annihilator and α -ideal. These results were extended to the class of quasicomplemented distributive semilattices in [7] and recently to the variety of residuated lattices by Rasouli in [19, 20]. Motivated by the previous works, the main aim of this paper is to study the class of quasicomplemented distributive nearlattices. We also introduce Stone distributive nearlattices and obtain a characterization in terms of certain particular filters.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall some definitions and results about distributive nearlattices. To be more precise, we recall the notions of annihilator, normal distributive nearlattice, α -filter and α -ideal which we are going to need throughout the paper. In Section 3 we study the class of quasicomplemented distributive nearlattices. We give characterizations through α -filters and α -ideals, and also some results about ideals-congruence-kernels. Finally, in Section 4, Stone distributive nearlattices are study. We investigate the relationship

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 06A12; Secondary 03G10, 06D75.

Key words and phrases. Distributive nearlattice, annihilator, filter, normal, quasicomplement.

with quasicomplemented and normal distributive nearlattices and we end with a characterization of Stone distributive nearlattices in terms of σ -filters.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let $\mathbf{A} = \langle A, \vee, 1 \rangle$ be a join-semilattice with greatest element. A *filter* is a subset F of A such that $1 \in F$, if $a \leq b$ and $a \in F$, then $b \in F$ and if $a, b \in F$, then $a \wedge b \in F$, whenever $a \wedge b$ exists. Denote by $\text{Fi}(A)$ the set of all filters of A . If X is a non-empty subset of A , the smallest filter containing X is called the *filter generated by X* and will be denoted by $\text{Fig}(X)$. A filter G is said to be finitely generated if $G = \text{Fig}(X)$ for some finite non-empty subset X of A . If $X = \{a\}$, then $\text{Fig}(\{a\}) = [a] = \{x \in A : a \leq x\}$ called the *principal filter of a* . A subset I of A is called an *ideal* of A if $a \leq b$ and $b \in I$, then $a \in I$ and if $a, b \in I$, then $a \vee b \in I$. If X is a non-empty set of A , the smallest ideal containing X is called the *ideal generated by X* and will be denoted by $\text{Idg}(X)$. Then $\text{Idg}(X) = \{a \in A : \exists x_1, \dots, x_n \in X (a \leq x_1 \vee \dots \vee x_n)\}$. If $X = \{a\}$, then $\text{Idg}(\{a\}) = (a) = \{x \in A : x \leq a\}$ called the *principal ideal of a* . A non-empty proper ideal P is *prime* if for every $a, b \in A$, $a \wedge b \in P$ implies $a \in P$ or $b \in P$, whenever $a \wedge b$ exists. Denote by $\text{Id}(A)$ and $\text{X}(A)$ the set of all ideals and prime ideals of A , respectively. Finally, a non-empty ideal I of A is *maximal* if it is proper and for every $J \in \text{Id}(A)$, if $I \subseteq J$, then $J = I$ or $J = A$. Denote by $\text{X}_m(A)$ the set of all maximal ideals of A . It follows that $\text{X}_m(A) \subseteq \text{X}(A)$.

2.1. Distributive nearlattices. We recall the basics results about distributive nearlattices, where our main reference is [8, 2, 3, 5, 6].

Definition 2.1. Let \mathbf{A} be a join-semilattice with greatest element. We say that \mathbf{A} is a *distributive nearlattice* if each principal filter is a bounded distributive lattice.

Distributive nearlattices are in a one-to-one correspondence with certain ternary algebras [17, 10]. Then a smaller equational base for the ternary algebras is given by Araújo and Kinyon in [2].

Theorem 2.2. [2] *Let $\mathbf{A} = \langle A, \vee, 1 \rangle$ be a distributive nearlattice. Let $m: A^3 \rightarrow A$ be the ternary operation given by $m(x, y, z) = (x \vee z) \wedge_z (y \vee z)$, where \wedge_z denotes the meet in $[z]$. Then the structure $\mathbf{A}_* = \langle A, m, 1 \rangle$ satisfies the following identities:*

- (1) $m(x, x, 1) = 1$,
- (2) $m(x, y, x) = x$,
- (3) $m(m(x, y, z), m(y, m(u, x, z), z), w) = m(w, w, m(y, m(x, u, z), z))$,
- (4) $m(x, m(y, y, z), w) = m(m(x, y, w), m(x, y, w), m(x, z, w))$.

Conversely, let $\mathbf{A} = \langle A, m, 1 \rangle$ be an algebra of type $(3, 0)$ satisfying the identities (1) – (4). If we define the binary operation $x \vee y = m(x, x, y)$, then $\mathbf{A}^ = \langle A, \vee, 1 \rangle$ is a distributive nearlattice. Moreover, $(\mathbf{A}_*)^* = \mathbf{A}$ and $(\mathbf{A}^*)_* = \mathbf{A}$.*

By Theorem 2.2 the distributive nearlattices can be presented in two equivalent forms and both are useful to different purposes. Examples of distributive nearlattices are the bounded distributive lattices and semi-boolean algebras [1].

Let \mathbf{A} be a distributive nearlattice. Recall that we have the following characterization of the filter generated by a subset $X \subseteq A$:

$$\text{Fig}(X) = \{a \in A : \exists x_1, \dots, x_n \in [X] (a = x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge x_n)\}.$$

We consider $\text{Fi}(\mathbf{A}) = \langle \text{Fi}(A), \vee, \cap, \rightarrow, \{1\}, A \rangle$, where the least element is $\{1\}$, the greatest element is A , and for each $G, H \in \text{Fi}(A)$, we have $G \vee H = \text{Fig}(G \cup H)$ and $G \rightarrow H = \{x \in A: [x] \cap G \subseteq H\}$. Then $\text{Fi}(\mathbf{A})$ is a Heyting algebra [3]. In particular, it is easy to see that the pseudocomplement of a filter F of A is given by $F^\top = F \rightarrow \{1\} = \{x \in A: \forall f \in F(x \vee f = 1)\}$.

Theorem 2.3. [8] *Let \mathbf{A} be a distributive nearlattice. Let $I \in \text{Id}(A)$ and $F \in \text{Fi}(A)$ be such that $I \cap F = \emptyset$. Then there exists $P \in X(A)$ such that $I \subseteq P$ and $P \cap F = \emptyset$.*

2.2. Annihilators and normal distributive nearlattices. We introduce the relative annihilators in distributive nearlattices. The following definition was given in [3] as an alternative from that given in [9].

Definition 2.4. Let \mathbf{A} be a join-semilattice with greatest element and $a, b \in A$. The *annihilator of a relative to b* is the set

$$a \circ b = \{x \in A: b \leq x \vee a\}.$$

In particular, $a^\top = a \circ 1 = \{x \in A: x \vee a = 1\}$ is called the *annihilator of a* .

Due to the results developed in [3], if \mathbf{A} is a distributive nearlattice we have $a \circ b \in \text{Fi}(A)$, for all $a, b \in A$. Let $a \in A$ and consider

$$a^{\top\top} = (a^\top)^\top = \{y \in A: \forall x \in a^\top(y \vee x = 1)\}.$$

It follows $a^{\top\top} \in \text{Fi}(A)$. We say that an element $a \in A$ is *dense* if $a^\top = \{1\}$. Denote by $D(A)$ the set of all dense elements of A . Also, we say that a filter F of A is *dense* if it is dense in the Heyting algebra $\text{Fi}(A)$, i.e., $F^\top = \{1\}$.

Lemma 2.5. [5, 6] *Let \mathbf{A} be a distributive nearlattice. Let $a, b \in A$ and $I \in \text{Id}(A)$. Then:*

- (1) $[a] \subseteq a^{\top\top}$.
- (2) $a^{\top\top\top} = a^\top$.
- (3) If $a \leq b$, then $a^\top \subseteq b^\top$.
- (4) $a^\top \subseteq b^\top$ if and only if $b^{\top\top} \subseteq a^{\top\top}$.
- (5) $(a \wedge b)^\top = a^\top \cap b^\top$, whenever $a \wedge b$ exists.
- (6) $(a \vee b)^{\top\top} = a^{\top\top} \cap b^{\top\top}$.
- (7) $I \cap a^\top = \emptyset$ if and only if there exists $U \in X_m(A)$ such that $I \subseteq U$ and $a \in U$.
- (8) If $U \in \text{Id}(A)$, then $U \in X_m(A)$ if and only if $\forall a \in A(a \notin U \Leftrightarrow U \cap a^\top \neq \emptyset)$.
- (9) If $U \in X_m(A)$, then $\forall a \in A(a \notin U \Leftrightarrow U \cap a^{\top\top} = \emptyset)$.

We are interested in a particular class of distributive nearlattices presented in [3], which are a generalization of normal lattices given by Cornish in [11].

Definition 2.6. Let \mathbf{A} be a distributive nearlattice. We say that \mathbf{A} is *normal* if each prime ideal is contained in a unique maximal ideal.

Theorem 2.7. [3] *Let \mathbf{A} be a distributive nearlattice. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) \mathbf{A} is normal.
- (2) $(a \vee b)^\top = a^\top \vee b^\top$, for all $a, b \in A$.
- (3) If $P \in X(A)$ and $a, b \in A$ such that $a \vee b = 1$, then $P \cap a^\top \neq \emptyset$ or $P \cap b^\top \neq \emptyset$.

Let \mathbf{A} be a normal distributive nearlattice and $R(A) = \{a^\top : a \in A\}$. We define $\overline{m}: R(A)^3 \rightarrow R(A)$ by

$$\overline{m}(a^\top, b^\top, c^\top) = (a^\top \vee c^\top) \cap (b^\top \vee c^\top).$$

By Lemma 2.5 and Theorem 2.7 we have that the structure $R(\mathbf{A}) = \langle R(A), \overline{m}, A \rangle$ is a distributive nearlattice (for more details see [5, 6]).

2.3. α -filters, α -ideals and congruences. Now we consider some particular classes of filters and ideals studied in [5, 6], and also recall the concept of congruence in distributive nearlattices.

Definition 2.8. [5, 6] Let \mathbf{A} be a distributive nearlattice. Then:

- We say that a filter F of A is an α -filter if $a^{\top\top} \subseteq F$, for all $a \in F$.
- We say that an ideal I of A is an α -ideal if for each $a \in A$, $I \cap a^{\top\top} \neq \emptyset$ implies $a \in I$.

Let \mathbf{A} be a distributive nearlattice. Denote by $\text{Fi}_\alpha(A)$ and $\text{Id}_\alpha(A)$ the set of all α -filters and α -ideals of A , respectively. Denote by $\text{X}_\alpha(A)$ the set of all prime α -ideals of A . It is easy to see that for each $a \in A$, a^\top and $a^{\top\top}$ are α -filters of A . On the other hand, $D(A)$ is an example of α -ideal of A . Moreover, $D(A)$ is the smallest α -ideal of A . Note that by Lemma 2.5 every maximal ideal is in particular an α -ideal.

Proposition 2.9. [6] Let \mathbf{A} be a normal distributive nearlattice and $I \in \text{Id}(A)$. Then

$$\text{Idg}_\alpha(I) = \{x \in A : \exists i \in I (x^\top \subseteq i^\top)\}$$

is the smallest α -ideal containing I . In particular, for each $a \in A$ we have $\text{Idg}_\alpha((a)) = (a)_\alpha = \{x \in A : x^\top \subseteq a^\top\}$.

Proposition 2.10. [5] Let \mathbf{A} be a distributive nearlattice and $F \in \text{Fi}(A)$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) F is an α -filter.
- (2) If $a^\top = b^\top$ and $a \in F$, then $b \in F$.
- (3) $F = \bigcup \{a^{\top\top} : a \in F\}$.

Moreover, if \mathbf{A} is normal, then

$$\alpha(F) = \{x \in A : \exists a \in F (a^\top \subseteq x^\top)\}$$

is the smallest α -filter containing F .

Then $\text{Fi}_\alpha(\mathbf{A}) = \langle \text{Fi}_\alpha(A), \underline{\sqcup}, \cap, \Rightarrow, \{1\}, A \rangle$ is a Heyting algebra, where for each $F, G \in \text{Fi}_\alpha(A)$, we have $F \underline{\sqcup} G = \alpha(F \vee G)$ and $F \Rightarrow G = \alpha(F \rightarrow G)$ [5].

Theorem 2.11. [6] Let \mathbf{A} be a distributive nearlattice. Let $I \in \text{Id}(A)$ and $F \in \text{Fi}_\alpha(A)$ be such that $I \cap F = \emptyset$. Then there exists $P \in \text{X}_\alpha(A)$ such that $I \subseteq P$ and $P \cap F = \emptyset$.

A congruence of a distributive nearlattice \mathbf{A} is an equivalence relation $\theta \subseteq A \times A$ such that: (i) if $(a, b), (c, d) \in \theta$, then $(a \vee c, b \vee d) \in \theta$, and (ii) if $(a, b), (c, d) \in \theta$ and $a \wedge c, b \wedge d$ exist, then $(a \wedge c, b \wedge d) \in \theta$ [8]. Then an equivalence relation θ is a congruence of \mathbf{A} if and only if θ is compatible with the ternary operation m . If \mathbf{A} is normal, then $\Theta^\top \subseteq A \times A$ defined by $(a, b) \in \Theta^\top$ if and only if $a^\top = b^\top$ is a congruence of \mathbf{A} such that \mathbf{A}/Θ^\top is isomorphic to $R(\mathbf{A})$ via the map

$\rho: A \rightarrow R(A)$ given by $\rho(x) = x^\top$. So, $m(x, y, z)^\top = \overline{m}(a^\top, b^\top, c^\top)$ and ρ is an onto homomorphism between the distributive nearlattices \mathbf{A} and $R(\mathbf{A})$ such that $\Theta^\top = \text{Ker}(\rho)$ [5, 6]. The next result gives a necessary and sufficient conditions via α -filters so that ρ is 1-1. First we recall that a filter F of A is *prime* if for every $a, b \in A$, $a \vee b \in F$ implies $a \in F$ or $b \in F$.

Theorem 2.12. *Let \mathbf{A} be a distributive nearlattice. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) ρ is 1-1.
- (2) Every filter is an α -filter.
- (3) Every prime filter is an α -filter.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) It follows by Proposition 2.10 and (2) \Rightarrow (3) it is immediate. We only prove (3) \Rightarrow (1). Let $a, b \in A$ such that $a^\top = b^\top$ and $a \neq b$. Without loss of generality, suppose $a \not\leq b$. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{F \in \text{Fi}(A) : b \in F, a \notin F\}$. Then $[a] \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F} \neq \emptyset$. By Zorn's Lemma, there is a maximal element G of \mathcal{F} . We see that G is prime. Let $x, y \in A$ be such that $x \vee y \in G$ and $x, y \notin G$. Consider the filters $G_x = \text{Fig}(G \cup \{x\})$ and $G_y = \text{Fig}(G \cup \{y\})$. Thus, $G_x, G_y \notin \mathcal{F}$ and $a \in G_x \cap G_y$. Since $\text{Fi}(\mathbf{A})$ is a distributive lattice we have

$$G_x \cap G_y = (G \vee [x]) \cap (G \vee [y]) = G \vee [x \vee y] = G$$

and $a \in G$, which is a contradiction. Then G is a prime filter. So, by hypothesis, G is an α -filter. Then $a \in a^{\top\top} = b^{\top\top} \subseteq G$ and $a \in G$ which is impossible and we conclude $a \leq b$. Analogously we can prove $b \leq a$ and therefore ρ is 1-1. \square

3. QUASICOMPLEMENTED DISTRIBUTIVE NEARLATTICES

In this section, the main of this paper, we study the notions of α -ideal, α -filter and ideal-congruence-kernel in quasicomplemented distributive nearlattice.

Definition 3.1. Let \mathbf{A} be a distributive nearlattice. We say that \mathbf{A} is *quasicomplemented* if for each $a \in A$, there exists $b \in A$ such that $a^{\top\top} = b^\top$.

Remark 3.2. If \mathbf{A} is a quasicomplemented distributive nearlattice, then \mathbf{A} has a dense element. Indeed, as $1 \in A$, there exists $d \in A$ such that $1^{\top\top} = d^\top$. Since $1^{\top\top} = \{1\}$, we have $d^\top = \{1\}$ and $D(A)$ is non-empty. Therefore, every α -ideal of A is non-empty.

Lemma 3.3. *Let \mathbf{A} be a distributive nearlattice. If each annihilator is a principal filter, then \mathbf{A} is quasicomplemented.*

Proof. Let $a \in A$ and consider a^\top . Then there is $b \in A$ such that $a^\top = [b]$. So, $a^{\top\top} = [b]^\top = \text{Fig}(\{b\})^\top = b^\top$, i.e., $a^{\top\top} = b^\top$ and \mathbf{A} is quasicomplemented. \square

We have the following characterizations.

Theorem 3.4. *Let \mathbf{A} be a distributive nearlattice. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) \mathbf{A} is quasicomplemented.
- (2) For each $a \in A$, there exists $b \in A$ such that $a^\top \cap b^\top = \{1\}$ and $a \vee b = 1$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) It follows from Definition 3.1.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Let $a \in A$. Then there exists $b \in A$ such that $a^\top \cap b^\top = \{1\}$ and $a \vee b = 1$. As $\text{Fi}(\mathbf{A})$ is a pseudocomplemented distributive lattice, then $b^\top \subseteq a^{\top\top}$.

For the other inclusion, if $x \in a^{\top\top}$, then since $b \in a^\top$ we have $x \vee b = 1$ and $x \in b^\top$. Hence, $a^{\top\top} = b^\top$. \square

Let \mathbf{A} be a distributive nearlattice. For a subset $Y \subseteq A$ we define

$$\psi[Y] = \{P \in X(A) : Y \cap P \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Theorem 3.5. *Let \mathbf{A} be a distributive nearlattice. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) \mathbf{A} is quasicomplemented.
- (2) For each $a \in A$, there exists $b \in A$ such that

$$\psi[a^{\top\top}] \cap X_m(A) = \psi[b^\top] \cap X_m(A).$$

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) It is immediate by Definition 3.1.


(2) \Rightarrow (1) Let $a \in A$. Then there exists $b \in A$ such that $\psi[a^{\top\top}] \cap X_m(A) = \psi[b^\top] \cap X_m(A)$. We prove $a^{\top\top} = b^\top$. Let $x \in a^{\top\top}$ and suppose $x \notin b^\top$. Then by Lemma 2.5 there is $U \in X_m(A)$ such that $x \in U$ and $b \notin U$. So, $x \in a^{\top\top} \cap U$ and $U \in \psi[a^{\top\top}] \cap X_m(A)$. Then $U \in \psi[b^\top] \cap X_m(A)$ and $b^\top \cap U \neq \emptyset$. Thus, there is $y \in b^\top$ such that $y \in U$. As $b \in U$ and $y \vee b = 1$ we have $U = A$, which is a contradiction because U is maximal. Therefore, $a^{\top\top} \subseteq b^\top$. The other inclusion is similar and \mathbf{A} is quasicomplemented. \square

Corollary 3.6. *Let \mathbf{A} be a normal and quasicomplemented distributive nearlattice. Then every element of $R(A)$ has its complement in $R(A)$ thus $R(\mathbf{A})$ is a Boolean algebra.*

Proof. It is a consequence of Remark 3.2, Theorems 3.4 and 2.7. \square


The following two examples show that there is not necessarily a relationship between the class of normal distributive nearlattices and the class of quasicomplemented distributive nearlattices.

Example 3.7. Let \mathbf{A} be the distributive nearlattice given by



Then \mathbf{A} is normal but not quasicomplemented because there does not exist $b \in A$ such that $1^{\top\top} = b^\top$.

Example 3.8. Consider the distributive nearlattice \mathbf{A} given by



Then \mathbf{A} is quasicomplemented however it is not normal because $(a \vee b)^\top = A$ and $a^\top \vee b^\top = \text{Fig}(a^\top \cup b^\top) = \{1, a, b, c\}$, i.e., $(a \vee b)^\top \neq a^\top \vee b^\top$.

By Lemma 2.5 every maximal ideal is a prime α -ideal. In the class of normal and quasicomplemented distributive nearlattices the converse holds also.

Theorem 3.9. *Let \mathbf{A} be a normal and quasicomplemented distributive nearlattice. Then every prime α -ideal is maximal.*

Proof. Let $P \in X_\alpha(A)$ and $a \in A$ such that $a \notin P$. Since \mathbf{A} is quasicomplemented, there exists $b \in A$ such that $a^{\top\top} = b^\top$. So, $b \in b^{\top\top} = a^\top$. Prove $(a]_\alpha \cap (b]_\alpha \subseteq D(A)$. If $x \in (a]_\alpha \cap (b]_\alpha$, then $x^\top \subseteq a^\top$ and $x^\top \subseteq b^\top$. Let $y \in x^\top$. Then $y \in a^\top = b^{\top\top}$ and $y \in b^\top$ implies $y = 1$. Thus, $x^\top = \{1\}$ and $x \in D(A)$. As $D(A)$ is the smallest α -ideal of A we have $(a]_\alpha \cap (b]_\alpha = D(A)$. Then $D(A) \subseteq P$ and $(a]_\alpha \cap (b]_\alpha \subseteq P$.

On the other hand, by Remark 3.2, there is $d \in A$ such that $d^\top = \{1\}$ and $d \in (a]_\alpha \cap (b]_\alpha$. Then $d^\top \subseteq a^\top$ and $d^\top \subseteq b^\top$. We get

$$(a^\top \vee d^\top) \cap (b^\top \vee d^\top) = \overline{m}(a^\top, b^\top, d^\top) = m(a, b, d)^\top.$$

It follows $m(a, b, d)^\top \subseteq a^\top$ and $m(a, b, d)^\top \subseteq b^\top$, i.e., $m(a, b, d) \in (a]_\alpha \cap (b]_\alpha$ and $m(a, b, d) \in P$. Then $(a \vee d) \wedge_d (b \vee d) \in P$. Since $a \notin P$, we have $b \vee d \in P$ and $b \in P$. Thus, $b \in P \cap a^\top$ and $P \cap a^\top \neq \emptyset$. Conversely, it is easy to see that if $P \cap a^\top \neq \emptyset$, then $a \notin P$. By Lemma 2.5 we have $P \in X_m(A)$. \square

Lemma 3.10. *Let \mathbf{A} be a quasicomplemented distributive nearlattice. Let $P \in X_m(A)$ and $a, b \in A$. If $(a^\top \cap b^\top)^\top \cap P \neq \emptyset$, then $a \in P$ or $b \in P$.*

Proof. Let $P \in X_m(A)$ and $a, b \in A$. Since \mathbf{A} is quasicomplemented, there exist $\tilde{a}, \tilde{b} \in A$ such that $a^\top = (\tilde{a})^{\top\top}$ and $b^\top = (\tilde{b})^{\top\top}$. So, by Lemma 2.5,

$$(a^\top \cap b^\top)^\top = ((\tilde{a})^{\top\top} \cap (\tilde{b})^{\top\top})^\top = (\tilde{a} \vee \tilde{b})^\top$$

and $(\tilde{a} \vee \tilde{b})^\top \cap P \neq \emptyset$. As $P \in X_m(A)$, by Lemma 2.5 we have $\tilde{a} \vee \tilde{b} \notin P$. Thus, $\tilde{a} \notin P$ or $\tilde{b} \notin P$. If $\tilde{a} \notin P$, then $(\tilde{a})^\top \cap P \neq \emptyset$ and $a^{\top\top} \cap P \neq \emptyset$. It follows $a \in P$. Analogously, if $\tilde{b} \notin P$, then $b \in P$. Therefore, $a \in P$ or $b \in P$. \square

We know that $\text{Fi}_\alpha(\mathbf{A})$ is a Heyting algebra where the join of two filters F and G of A is defined as $F \sqcup G = \alpha(F \vee G)$. In the class of normal and quasicomplemented distributive nearlattices we have the following characterization of $F \sqcup G$.

Theorem 3.11. *Let \mathbf{A} be a normal and quasicomplemented distributive nearlattice. If $F, G \in \text{Fi}_\alpha(A)$, then*

$$F \sqcup G = \{x \in A : \exists (f, g) \in F \times G [x \in (f^\top \cap g^\top)^\top]\}.$$

Proof. Let $W = \{x \in A : \exists(f, g) \in F \times G[x \in (f^\top \cap g^\top)^\top]\}$. If $x \in F \sqcup G = \alpha(F \vee G)$, then there is $a \in F \vee G = \text{Fig}(F \cup G)$ such that $a^\top \subseteq x^\top$. Thus, there exist $f \in F$ and $g \in G$ such that $f \wedge g$ exists and $a = f \wedge g$. We have $a^\top = f^\top \cap g^\top$ and $x \in x^{\top\top} \subseteq a^{\top\top} = (f^\top \cap g^\top)^\top$. Then $x \in W$.

Conversely, if $z \in W$, then there exists $(f, g) \in F \times G$ such that $z \in (f^\top \cap g^\top)^\top$. We suppose $z \notin F \sqcup G$. Then by Theorem 2.11 there exists $P \in X_\alpha(A)$ such that $z \in P$ and $P \cap \alpha(F \vee G) = \emptyset$. Since \mathbf{A} is quasicomplemented, by Theorem 3.9 we get $P \in X_m(A)$. On the other hand, $z \in (f^\top \cap g^\top)^\top \cap P$ and by Lemma 3.10, $f \in P$ or $g \in P$. If $f \in P$, then $f \in \alpha(F \vee G)$ and $P \cap \alpha(F \vee G) \neq \emptyset$ which is a contradiction. Analogously if $g \in P$. We conclude that $z \in F \sqcup G$. \square

Theorem 3.12. *Let \mathbf{A} be a normal distributive nearlattice. If every dense α -filter contains a dense element, then \mathbf{A} is quasicomplemented.*

Proof. Let $a \in A$. Consider the filter $F = a^\top \vee a^{\top\top}$. Then $a^\top \subseteq \alpha(F)$ and $a^{\top\top} \subseteq \alpha(F)$. Since $\text{Fi}(\mathbf{A})$ is a pseudocomplemented distributive lattice, we have $\alpha(F)^\top \subseteq a^\top$ and $\alpha(F)^\top \subseteq a^{\top\top}$. So, $\alpha(F)^\top \subseteq a^\top \cap a^{\top\top} = \{1\}$ and $\alpha(F)^\top = \{1\}$. As $\alpha(F)$ is a dense α -filter, by hypothesis, there is $x \in \alpha(F)$ such that $x^\top = \{1\}$. It follows there exists $f \in F$ such that $f^\top \subseteq x^\top = \{1\}$, i.e., $f^\top = \{1\}$. Thus, as $f \in F$, there exist $y \in a^\top$ and $z \in a^{\top\top}$ such that $y \wedge z$ exists and $f = y \wedge z$. Then $f^\top = (y \wedge z)^\top = y^\top \cap z^\top = \{1\}$. So, $y^\top \subseteq z^{\top\top}$ because $z^{\top\top}$ is the pseudocomplement of z^\top . Moreover, $z^{\top\top} \subseteq a^{\top\top}$ and $y^\top \subseteq a^{\top\top}$. On the other hand, $y \in a^\top$ implies $a^{\top\top} \subseteq y^\top$. Therefore, $a^{\top\top} = y^\top$ and \mathbf{A} is quasicomplemented. \square

3.1. Connection between α -ideals and α -filters. Now we are going to study the relationship between α -filters and α -ideals in normal and quasicomplemented distributive nearlattices. Let \mathbf{A} be a distributive nearlattice and $I \in \text{Id}(A)$. By the result given in [6] the set

$$F_I = \{x \in A : \exists i \in I(i \in x^\top)\}$$

is an α -filter. In this way, we can naturally associate an α -filter to each ideal of A . Conversely, let $F \in \text{Fi}(A)$ and consider

$$I_F = \{x \in A : \exists f \in F(x^\top \subseteq f^{\top\top})\}.$$

The set I_F will be relevant to the rest of this section.

Lemma 3.13. *Let \mathbf{A} be a normal and quasicomplemented distributive nearlattice. If F is an α -filter of A , then I_F is an α -ideal.*

Proof. By Remark 3.2 note that the set I_F is non-empty. Let $x, y \in A$ be such that $x \leq y$ and $y \in I_F$. Then there is $f \in F$ such that $y^\top \subseteq f^{\top\top}$. By Lemma 2.5, $x^\top \subseteq y^\top$ and $x^\top \subseteq f^{\top\top}$, i.e., $x \in I_F$ and I_F is decreasing. Let $x, y \in I_F$. Then there exist $f_1, f_2 \in F$ such that $x^\top \subseteq f_1^{\top\top}$ and $y^\top \subseteq f_2^{\top\top}$. So, $f_1^\top \subseteq x^{\top\top}$, $f_2^\top \subseteq y^{\top\top}$ and by Lemma 2.5, $f_1^\top \cap f_2^\top \subseteq x^{\top\top} \cap y^{\top\top} = (x \vee y)^{\top\top}$. On the other hand, by Remark 3.2, there is $d \in A$ such that $d^\top = \{1\}$. Then $m(f_1, f_2, d) \in F$ and as \mathbf{A} is normal,

$$\begin{aligned} m(f_1, f_2, d)^\top &= \overline{m}(f_1^\top, f_2^\top, d^\top) \\ &= (f_1^\top \vee \{1\}) \cap (f_2^\top \vee \{1\}) \\ &= f_1^\top \cap f_2^\top. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $m(f_1, f_2, d)^\top \subseteq (x \vee y)^{\top\top}$. It follows $(x \vee y)^\top \subseteq m(f_1, f_2, d)^{\top\top}$ and $x \vee y \in I_F$. Hence, I_F is an ideal of A . Prove that I_F is an α -ideal. Let $a \in A$ be such that

$I_F \cap a^{\top\top} \neq \emptyset$. So, there is $x \in I_F$ such that $x \in a^{\top\top}$. Then there exists $f \in F$ such that $x^\top \subseteq f^{\top\top}$. By Lemma 2.5, $a^\top \subseteq x^\top$ and $a^\top \subseteq f^{\top\top}$, i.e., $a \in I_F$. \square

Theorem 3.14. *Let \mathbf{A} be a normal and quasicomplemented distributive nearlattice. Then there exist a one-to-one correspondence between α -ideals and α -filters of A .*

Proof. Let I be an α -ideal. We see that $I = I_{F_I}$. By Remark 3.2, I is non-empty. Then F_I is an α -filter and by Lemma 3.13, I_{F_I} is an α -ideal. Let $x \in I_{F_I}$. Then there exists $f \in F_I$ such that $x^\top \subseteq f^{\top\top}$. So, there is $i \in I$ such that $i \in f^\top$. It follows $f^{\top\top} \subseteq i^\top$ and $x^\top \subseteq i^\top$. Thus, $i^{\top\top} \subseteq x^{\top\top}$ and since $i \in i^{\top\top}$ we have $i \in I \cap x^{\top\top}$, i.e., $I \cap x^{\top\top} \neq \emptyset$. As I is an α -ideal, $x \in I$ and $I_{F_I} \subseteq I$. For the other inclusion, let $x \in I$ and consider the filter $x^{\top\top}$. Since \mathbf{A} is quasicomplemented, there exists $y \in A$ such that $x^{\top\top} = y^\top$. Then $x \in y^\top$ and as $x \in I$ we have $y \in F_I$. On the other hand, $x^\top \subseteq y^{\top\top}$ and $y \in F_I$ implies $x \in I_{F_I}$. Hence, $I \subseteq I_{F_I}$.

Consider an α -filter F of A and prove $F = F_{I_F}$. As F is an α -filter by Lemma 3.13 we know that I_F is an α -ideal. Since \mathbf{A} is quasicomplemented, by Remark 3.2 we have I_F is a non-empty. Then F_{I_F} is an α -filter. Let $x \in F_{I_F}$. Then there exists $i \in I_F$ such that $i \in x^\top$. So, there is $f \in F$ such that $i^\top \subseteq f^{\top\top}$. It follows $x \in x^{\top\top} \subseteq i^\top \subseteq f^{\top\top}$, i.e., $x \in f^{\top\top}$ and since F is an α -filter, $f^{\top\top} \subseteq F$. Consequently $x \in F$ and $F_{I_F} \subseteq F$. Conversely, let $x \in F$ and we take the filter $x^{\top\top}$. As \mathbf{A} is quasicomplemented, there exists $y \in A$ such that $x^{\top\top} = y^\top$. In particular, $y^\top \subseteq x^{\top\top}$ and $y \in I_F$. Moreover, since $x^\top = y^{\top\top}$, we have $y \in x^\top$. It follows $x \in I_{F_F}$ and therefore $F \subseteq F_{I_F}$. The result is followed. \square

3.2. Ideals-congruence-kernels. Let \mathbf{A} be a distributive nearlattice and θ a congruence of a A . Then the equivalence class $|1|_\theta = \ker\theta = \{a \in A : (a, 1) \in \theta\}$ is called the *kernel of θ* . So, $|1|_\theta$ is a filter of A . A subset X of A is called *congruence-kernel* if $X = \ker\theta$ for some congruence θ of A . If I is an ideal of A , then it is easy to see that

$$\theta(I) = \{(a, b) \in A \times A : \exists i \in I(a \vee i = b \vee i)\}$$

is a congruence of A . A congruence θ of A is called *ideal-congruence* if $\theta = \theta(I)$ for some ideal I of A . In particular, if I is an α -ideal, θ is called *α -ideal-congruence*. The aim of this subsection is to characterize the ideal-congruence-kernels of a normal and quasicomplemented distributive nearlattice, and describe the smallest α -ideal-congruence θ of A such that $F = \ker\theta$ for some α -filter F of A .

Theorem 3.15. *Let \mathbf{A} be a normal and quasicomplemented distributive nearlattice. Let F be a subset of A . Then F is an α -filter if and only if F is an α -ideal-congruence-kernel.*

Proof. If F is an α -filter, then by Lemma 3.13 and Remark 3.2 we have I_F is a non-empty α -ideal of A . We prove $I_F \cap a^\top \neq \emptyset$, for every $a \in F$. Suppose the contrary, i.e., there is $a \in F$ such that $I_F \cap a^\top = \emptyset$. By Lemma 2.5 there exists $U \in X_m(A)$ such that $I_F \subseteq U$ and $a \in U$. So, $U \cap a^\top = \emptyset$. On the other hand, as \mathbf{A} is quasicomplemented, there exists $b \in A$ such that $a^{\top\top} = b^\top$. In particular, $b^\top \subseteq a^{\top\top}$ and since $a \in F$ we have $b \in I_F$. Thus, $b \in U$ and $b \in b^{\top\top} = a^\top$, i.e., $b \in U \cap a^\top$ which is impossible. Hence $I_F \cap a^\top \neq \emptyset$, for every $a \in F$.

Now we prove $F = \ker\theta(I_F)$. If $a \in F$, then $I_F \cap a^\top \neq \emptyset$. So, there is $i \in I_F$ such that $i \in a^\top$. It is clear that $(a, 1) \in \theta(I_F)$ and $a \in \ker\theta(I_F)$. For the other inclusion, let $a \in \ker\theta(I_F)$. Then there is $i \in I_F$ such that $a \vee i = 1$. So, there

exists $f \in F$ such that $i^\top \subseteq f^{\top\top}$ and $a \in f^{\top\top}$. Since F is an α -filter, $f^{\top\top} \subseteq F$ and $a \in F$. Consequently, $F = \ker\theta(I_F)$ and F is an α -ideal-congruence-kernel.

Conversely, suppose that F is an α -ideal-congruence-kernel. Then there exists an α -ideal I of A such that $F = \ker\theta(I)$. We see that F is an α -filter. It is clear that $1 \in F$ and F is increasing. Let $x, y \in F$ such that $x \wedge y$ exists. Then there exist $i_1, i_2 \in I$ such that $x \vee i_1 = y \vee i_2$. Let $i = i_1 \vee i_2 \in I$. Thus, $x, y \in i^\top$ and since i^\top is a filter, $x \wedge y \in i^\top$ and $x \wedge y \in \ker\theta(I)$. Then F is a filter of A . Let $a \in F$ and $x \in a^{\top\top}$. As $a \in \ker\theta(I)$, there is $i \in I$ such that $a \vee i = 1$, i.e., $i \in a^\top$. It follows $a^\top \subseteq x^\top$ and $i \in x^\top$. Then $x \vee i = 1$ and $x \in \ker\theta(I) = F$. We conclude that $a^{\top\top} \subseteq F$ and F is an α -filter. \square

In the proof of the Theorem 3.15 we have defined a congruence $\theta(I_F)$ such that $F = \ker\theta(I_F)$ for an α -filter F . In the following result we determinate the smallest α -ideal-congruence θ such that $F = \ker\theta$.

Theorem 3.16. *Let \mathbf{A} be a normal and quasicomplemented distributive nearlattice. Let F be an α -filter of A . Then $\theta(I_F)$ is the smallest α -ideal-congruence such that $F = \ker\theta(I_F)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.13, Remark 3.2 and Theorem 3.15 we have that I_F is an α -ideal of A such that $F = \ker\theta(I_F)$. Let J be an α -ideal of A such that $F = \ker\theta(J)$. If we prove $I_F \subseteq J$, then $\theta(I_F) \subseteq \theta(J)$. Let $x \in I_F$. Then there is $f \in F$ such that $x^\top \subseteq f^{\top\top}$. As $F = \ker\theta(J)$, there exists $j \in J$ such that $f \vee j = 1$. Then $f \in j^\top$ and $f^{\top\top} \subseteq j^\top$. It follows $x^\top \subseteq j^\top$ and by Lemma 2.5, $j^{\top\top} \subseteq x^{\top\top}$. Thus, $j \in J \cap x^{\top\top}$, i.e., $J \cap x^{\top\top} \neq \emptyset$ and since J is an α -ideal, $x \in J$ and $I_F \subseteq J$. \square

4. STONE DISTRIBUTIVE NEARLATTICES

In this section we introduce the class of Stone distributive nearlattices and give different characterizations.

Definition 4.1. Let \mathbf{A} be a distributive nearlattice. We say that \mathbf{A} is *Stone* if for each $a \in A$, we have $a^\top \vee a^{\top\top} = A$.

Example 4.2. The distributive nearlattice of Example 3.7 is Stone.

Example 4.3. Recall that a join-semilattice with greatest element \mathbf{A} is a *semi-boolean algebra* if each principal filter is a Boolean algebra [1]. Further, if \mathbf{A} is a distributive nearlattice, then it was shown in [5] that \mathbf{A} is a semi-boolean algebra if and only if $[a] \vee a^\top = A$, for every $a \in A$. It follows that every semi-boolean algebra is a Stone distributive nearlattice.

Lemma 4.4. *Let \mathbf{A} be a Stone distributive nearlattice. Then $(a \wedge b)^{\top\top} = a^{\top\top} \vee b^{\top\top}$, whenever $a \wedge b$ exists.*

Proof. Let $a, b \in A$ be such that $a \wedge b$ exists. By Lemma 2.5, $a^{\top\top} \vee b^{\top\top} \subseteq (a \wedge b)^{\top\top}$. We are going to show the converse inclusion. First note that if $F \in \text{Fi}(A)$ such that $F^\top \cap (a \wedge b)^\top = \{1\}$, then $F^\top \cap a^\top \subseteq b^{\top\top}$. Indeed, by Lemma 2.5 we have $F^\top \cap a^\top \cap b^\top = \{1\}$ and since $b^{\top\top}$ is the pseudocomplement of b^\top in $\text{Fi}(\mathbf{A})$, we have $F^\top \cap a^\top \subseteq b^{\top\top}$. Let $F \in \text{Fi}(A)$ be such that $F^\top \cap (a \wedge b)^\top = \{1\}$. Prove

$F^\top \subseteq a^{\top\top} \vee b^{\top\top}$. Since \mathbf{A} is Stone and $\text{Fi}(\mathbf{A})$ is a distributive lattice,

$$\begin{aligned} F^\top &= F^\top \cap A \\ &= F^\top \cap (a^\top \vee a^{\top\top}) \\ &= (F^\top \cap a^\top) \vee (F^\top \cap a^{\top\top}) \\ &\subseteq b^{\top\top} \vee a^{\top\top}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, if we take $F = (a \wedge b)^\top \in \text{Fi}(A)$, then $(a \wedge b)^{\top\top} \subseteq b^{\top\top} \vee a^{\top\top}$. \square

Theorem 4.5. *Let \mathbf{A} be a distributive nearlattice. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) \mathbf{A} is Stone.
- (2) Every element of $\text{R}(A)$ has its complement in $\text{Fi}(A)$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let $a^\top \in \text{R}(A)$. Then by Lemma 2.5 we have $a^\top \cap a^{\top\top} = \{1\}$, and since \mathbf{A} is Stone, $a^\top \vee a^{\top\top} = A$. Thus, a^\top is complemented in $\text{Fi}(A)$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) If $a \in A$, then $a^\top \in \text{R}(A)$ and by hypothesis a^\top is complemented in $\text{Fi}(A)$, i.e., there exists $F \in \text{Fi}(A)$ such that $a^\top \cap F = \{1\}$ and $a^\top \vee F = A$. Thus, as $a^{\top\top}$ is the pseudocomplement of a^\top in $\text{Fi}(A)$, we get $F \subseteq a^{\top\top}$ and $A = a^\top \vee F \subseteq a^\top \vee a^{\top\top}$, i.e., $a^\top \vee a^{\top\top} = A$. Hence, \mathbf{A} is Stone. \square

Corollary 4.6. *Let \mathbf{A} be a distributive nearlattice. If \mathbf{A} is normal and quasicomplemented, then \mathbf{A} is Stone.*

Proof. It follows by Corollary 3.6 and Theorem 4.5. \square

Remark 4.7. In the Example 3.8, the annihilators a^\top and b^\top have no complement in $\text{Fi}(A)$. Then by Theorem 4.5 we have \mathbf{A} is not Stone.

Theorem 4.8. *Let \mathbf{A} be a distributive nearlattice. If \mathbf{A} is Stone, then \mathbf{A} is normal.*

Proof. Let $a, b \in A$. By Lemma 2.5 we have $a^\top \vee b^\top \subseteq (a \vee b)^\top$. Prove the other inclusion. Let $x \in (a \vee b)^\top$. Then $x \vee b \in a^\top$ and

$$[x \vee b] \cap a^{\top\top} = [x] \cap [b] \cap a^{\top\top} \subseteq a^\top \cap a^{\top\top} = \{1\}.$$

Thus, $[x] \cap a^{\top\top} \subseteq [b]^\top = \text{Fig}(\{b\})^\top = b^\top$. Indeed, if $y \in [x] \cap a^{\top\top}$ we have $y \vee b \in [x] \cap [b] \cap a^{\top\top} = \{1\}$ and $y \vee b = 1$, i.e., $y \in b^\top$. Then, as \mathbf{A} is Stone and $\text{Fi}(\mathbf{A})$ is a distributive lattice,

$$\begin{aligned} x \in [x] &= [x] \cap A \\ &= [x] \cap (a^\top \vee a^{\top\top}) \\ &= ([x] \cap a^\top) \vee ([x] \cap a^{\top\top}) \\ &\subseteq a^\top \vee b^\top. \end{aligned}$$

So, $x \in a^\top \vee b^\top$ and $(a \vee b)^\top = a^\top \vee b^\top$. By Theorem 2.7, \mathbf{A} is normal. \square

Now we can to prove the converse of Corollary 3.6.

Theorem 4.9. *Let \mathbf{A} be a distributive nearlattice. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) \mathbf{A} is normal and quasicomplemented.
- (2) $\text{R}(\mathbf{A})$ is a Boolean algebra.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) It follows from Corollary 3.6.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) By Theorem 4.5 and 4.8, \mathbf{A} is normal. Prove that \mathbf{A} is quasicomplemented. Let $a \in A$. Then $a^\top \in R(A)$ and by hypothesis there exists $b^\top \in R(A)$ such that $a^\top \cap b^\top = \{1\}$ and $a^\top \vee b^\top = A$. Since $a^\top \cap b^\top = \{1\}$ and $a^{\top\top}$ is the pseudocomplement of a^\top in $\text{Fi}(A)$ we have $b^\top \subseteq a^{\top\top}$. We see the other inclusion. Let $z \in a^{\top\top}$. As $b \in A = a^\top \vee b^\top = \text{Fig}(a^\top \cup b^\top)$ then there exist $x \in a^\top$ and $y \in b^\top$ such that $x \wedge y$ exists and $b = x \wedge y$. So, $z \vee x = 1$. On the other hand,

$$z \vee b = z \vee (x \wedge y) = (z \vee x) \wedge (z \vee y) = 1 \wedge (z \vee y) = z \vee y.$$

As $y \in b^\top$, then

$$z \vee b = (z \vee y) \vee b = z \vee (y \vee b) = 1$$

and $z \in b^\top$. So, $a^{\top\top} \subseteq b^\top$ and the proof is complete. \square

Theorem 4.10. *Let \mathbf{A} be a quasicomplemented distributive nearlattice. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) \mathbf{A} is Stone.
- (2) Every prime ideal is contained in a unique prime α -ideal.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let $P \in X(A)$. By Theorem 4.8, \mathbf{A} is normal and by Definition 2.6 there is a unique $U \in X_m(A)$ such that $P \subseteq U$. Then by Lemma 2.5, $U \in X_\alpha(A)$. As \mathbf{A} is normal, it follows by Theorem 3.9 that U is unique.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Suppose there is $a \in A$ such that $a^\top \vee a^{\top\top} \subset A$, i.e., there is $x \in A$ such that $x \notin a^\top \vee a^{\top\top}$. By Theorem 2.3 there exists $P \in X(A)$ such that $x \in P$ and $P \cap (a^\top \vee a^{\top\top}) = \emptyset$. So, $P \cap a^\top = \emptyset$ and $P \cap a^{\top\top} = \emptyset$. As \mathbf{A} is quasicomplemented, there exists $b \in A$ such that $a^{\top\top} = b^\top$. By Lemma 2.5 there exist $U_1, U_2 \in X_m(A)$ such that $P \subseteq U_1$, $P \subseteq U_2$, $a \in U_1$ and $b \in U_2$. So, $U_1, U_2 \in X_\alpha(A)$ and by hypothesis $U_1 = U_2$. Therefore $a, b \in U_1$ and as $a \in b^\top$ we get $a \vee b = 1 \in U_1$, which is a contradiction. Then \mathbf{A} is Stone. \square

4.1. σ -filters. Our next aim is to characterize the Stone distributive nearlattices through certain particular filters. Let \mathbf{A} be a distributive nearlattice. Let F be a filter of A and consider the set

$$\sigma(F) = \{x \in A : x^\top \vee F = A\}.$$

Lemma 4.11. *Let \mathbf{A} be a distributive nearlattice. If F is a filter of A , then $\sigma(F)$ is an α -filter such that $\sigma(F) \subseteq F$.*

Proof. As $1^\top = A$ it follows $1 \in \sigma(F)$. Let $x, y \in A$ such that $x \leq y$ and $x \in \sigma(F)$. Then by Lemma 2.5 we have $x^\top \subseteq y^\top$ and $x^\top \vee F = A$. So, $x^\top \vee F \subseteq y^\top \vee F$ and $y^\top \vee F = A$, i.e., $y \in \sigma(F)$. Let $x, y \in \sigma(F)$ be such that $x \wedge y$ exists. Thus, $x^\top \vee F = A$ and $y^\top \vee F = A$. Since $\text{Fi}(\mathbf{A})$ is a distributive lattice,

$$(x \wedge y)^\top \vee F = (x^\top \cap y^\top) \vee F = (x^\top \vee F) \cap (y^\top \vee F) = A.$$

Then $x \wedge y \in \sigma(F)$ and $\sigma(F)$ is a filter. We prove that $\sigma(F)$ is an α -filter. Let $a \in \sigma(F)$ and $x \in a^{\top\top}$. Then $a^\top \subseteq x^\top$ and $a^\top \vee F \subseteq x^\top \vee F$. Since $a^\top \vee F = A$ then $x \in \sigma(F)$ and $a^{\top\top} \subseteq \sigma(F)$. Finally, we see $\sigma(F) \subseteq F$. If $x \in \sigma(F)$, then $x^\top \vee F = A$. So, $x \in x^\top \vee F$ and there exist $y \in x^\top$ and $f \in F$ such that $y \wedge f$ exists and $x = y \wedge f$. Then $x \leq f$ and

$$f = f \wedge 1 = f \wedge (y \vee x) = (f \wedge y) \vee (f \wedge x) = x.$$

Hence $x \in F$ and $\sigma(F) \subseteq F$. \square

Lemma 4.12. *Let \mathbf{A} be a Stone distributive nearlattice. If F is a filter of A , then $\sigma(F)$ is the greatest α -filter such that $\sigma(F) \subseteq F$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.11 $\sigma(F)$ is an α -filter of A such that $\sigma(F) \subseteq F$. Let $G \in \text{Fi}_\alpha(A)$ be such that $G \subseteq F$ and $x \in G$. Since G is an α -filter we have $x^{\top\top} \subseteq G$. Then $x^\top \vee x^{\top\top} \subseteq x^\top \vee G \subseteq x^\top \vee F$, and as \mathbf{A} is Stone, $x^\top \vee F = A$ and $x \in \sigma(F)$. \square

Definition 4.13. Let \mathbf{A} be a distributive nearlattice. We say that a filter F of A is a σ -filter if $\sigma(F) = F$.

We finish this paper with a characterization of Stone distributive nearlattices.

Theorem 4.14. *Let \mathbf{A} be a distributive nearlattice. Then \mathbf{A} is Stone if and only if every α -filter is a σ -filter.*

Proof. Let F be an α -filter of A . By Lemma 4.11, $\sigma(F) \subseteq F$. Since \mathbf{A} is Stone and F is an α -filter, by Lemma 4.12 it follows $F \subseteq \sigma(F)$. So, F is a σ -filter.

Conversely, let $a \in A$. Then every α -filter is a σ -filter. In particular, the filter $a^{\top\top}$ is an α -filter and $a \in a^{\top\top} = \sigma(a^{\top\top})$. So, $a^\top \vee a^{\top\top} = A$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Abbott J.: *Semi-boolean algebra*. Mat. Vestnik **4** (1967), 177–198.
- [2] Araújo J.; Kinyon M.: *Independent axiom systems for nearlattices*. Czech. Math. J. **61** (2011), 975–992.
- [3] Calomino I.; Celani S.: *A note on annihilators in distributive nearlattices*. Miskolc Math. Notes **16** (2015), 65–78.
- [4] Calomino I.; Celani S.: *Annihilator-preserving congruence relations in distributive nearlattices*. Math. Bohem. **143** (2018), 391–407.
- [5] Calomino I.: *Note on α -filters in distributive nearlattices*. Math. Bohem. **144** (2019), 241–250.
- [6] Calomino I.; González L. J.: *Remarks on normal distributive nearlattices*. Quaest. Math. **44** (2021), 513–524.
- [7] Calomino I.; Celani S.: *α -filters and α -order-ideals in quasicomplemented distributive semi-lattices*. Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae **62** (2021), 15–32.
- [8] Hajda I.; Halaš R.; Kühr J.: *Semilattice Structures*. Heldermann Verlag, Research and Exposition in Mathematics, 2007.
- [9] Hajda I.; Kolařík M.: *Ideals, congruences and annihilators on nearlattices*. Acta Univ. Palacki. Olomuc., Fac. rer. nat., Math. **46** (2007), 25–33.
- [10] Hajda I.; Kolařík M.: *Nearlattices*. Discrete Math. **308** (2008), 4906–4913.
- [11] Cornish W.: *Normal lattices*. J. Aust. Math. Soc. **14** (1972), 200–215.
- [12] Cornish W.: *Annulets and α -ideals in a distributive lattices*. J. Aust. Math. Soc. **15** (1973), 70–77.
- [13] Cornish W.: *Quasicomplemented lattices*. Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae **15** (1974), 501–511.
- [14] González L. J.: *The logic of distributive nearlattices*. Soft Comput. **22** (2018), 2797–2807.
- [15] González L. J.: *On the logic of distributive nearlattices*. Math. Log. Q. **68** (2022), 375–385.
- [16] Grätzer G.: *General Lattice Theory*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1998.
- [17] Hickman R.: *Join algebras*. Commun. Algebra **8** (1980), 1653–1685.
- [18] Mandelker M.: *Relative annihilators in lattices*. Duke Math. J. **37** (1973), 377–386.
- [19] Rasouli S.: *Quasicomplemented residuated lattices*. Soft Comput. **24** (2020), 6591–6602.
- [20] Rasouli S.: *Generalized Stone residuated lattices*. Algebr. Struct. Appl. **8** (2021), 75–87.
- [21] Varlet J.: *A generalization of the notion of pseudo-complementedness*. Bull. Soc. R. Sci. Liège **37** (1968), 149–158.
- [22] Varlet J.: *Relative annihilators in semilattices*. Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **9** (1973), 169–185.

FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS Y ARTES, UNIVERSIDAD CATÓLICA DE ÁVILA, C/CANTEROS S/N, 05005 ÁVILA, ESPAÑA.

Email address: ismaelm.calomino@ucavila.es