

# Flow Matching on Lie Groups

Finn M. Sherry<sup>1</sup> and Bart M.N. Smets<sup>1</sup>

CASA & EAISI, Dept. of Mathematics & Computer Science, Eindhoven University of  
Technology, the Netherlands  
`{f.m.sherry,b.m.n.smets}@tue.nl`

**Abstract.** Flow Matching (FM) is a recent generative modelling technique: we aim to learn how to sample from distribution  $\mathfrak{X}_1$  by flowing samples from some distribution  $\mathfrak{X}_0$  that is easy to sample from. The key trick is that this flow field can be trained while conditioning on the end point in  $\mathfrak{X}_1$ : given an end point, simply move along a straight line segment to the end point [4]. However, straight line segments are only well-defined on Euclidean space. Consequently, [2] generalised the method to FM on Riemannian manifolds, replacing line segments with geodesics or their spectral approximations. We take an alternative point of view: we generalise to FM on Lie groups by instead substituting exponential curves for line segments. This leads to a simple, intrinsic, and fast implementation for many matrix Lie groups, since the required Lie group operations (products, inverses, exponentials, logarithms) are simply given by the corresponding matrix operations. FM on Lie groups could then be used for generative modelling with data consisting of sets of features (in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ) and poses (in some Lie group), e.g. the latent codes of Equivariant Neural Fields [8].

**Keywords:** Flow Matching · Lie Groups · Exponential Curves · Generative Modelling

## 1 Introduction

The aim of generative modelling is to learn how to sample from distribution  $\mathfrak{X}$ , given a large data set of samples. Chen et al. [3] proposed learning a flow from some distribution  $\mathfrak{X}_0$  that is easy to sample from, e.g. white noise, to the target distribution  $\mathfrak{X} =: \mathfrak{X}_1$ . Concretely, we look for a smooth flow  $\psi : [0, 1] \rightarrow \text{Diff}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  such that  $\mathfrak{X}_1 = (\psi_1)_\# \mathfrak{X}_0$ , with  $\#$  the measure push-forward. We can then define intermediate distributions  $\mathfrak{X}_t := (\psi_t)_\# \mathfrak{X}_0$ . Such a flow is induced by a time dependent smooth vector field  $u : [0, 1] \rightarrow \Gamma(T\mathbb{R}^d)$ , satisfying  $\partial_t \psi_t(\mathbf{x}) = u_t(\psi_t(\mathbf{x}))$ . Hence, if we have the vector field  $u$ , we can determine the flow  $\psi$  by integrating. We therefore now proceed by looking for such a vector field instead of a flow.

Chen et al. [3] suggest approximating such a vector field by training a neural network  $u^\theta$ . Typically, however, we will not have access to a vector field  $u$  inducing the desired flow during training: we only have samples from the distributions

$\mathfrak{X}_0$  and  $\mathfrak{X}_1$ . Consequently, the naive flow matching loss

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{FM}}(\theta) := \mathbb{E} [\|u_{\text{T}}^{\theta}(\mathbf{X}_{\text{T}}) - u_{\text{T}}(\mathbf{X}_{\text{T}})\|^2], \quad (1)$$

with  $\text{T} \sim \text{Uniform}[0, 1]$ ,  $\mathbf{X}_0 \sim \mathfrak{X}_0$ , and  $\mathbf{X}_t := \psi_t(\mathbf{X}_0)$ , cannot be computed. Instead, they define a loss on the flow  $\psi_1$ , which requires simulating the flow during training, making optimisation more complicated and expensive.

**Euclidean Flow Matching.** To solve this problem, Lipman et al. [4,5] developed Flow Matching (FM). They proposed to condition the vector field on the end point, simply choosing this conditional vector field to be of the form

$$u_t(\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{x}_1) := \frac{\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}}{1 - t}; \quad (2)$$

integrating this vector field will indeed bring you to end point  $\mathbf{x}_1$  from any starting point  $\mathbf{x}_0$  over the line segment  $\mathbf{x}_t = (1 - t)\mathbf{x}_0 + t\mathbf{x}_1$ . Then, we can define the following loss function:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{CFM}}(\theta) := \mathbb{E}[\|u_{\text{T}}^{\theta}(\mathbf{X}_{\text{T}}) - u_{\text{T}}(\mathbf{X}_{\text{T}} \mid \mathbf{X}_1)\|^2], \quad (3)$$

with  $\text{T} \sim \text{Uniform}[0, 1]$ ,  $\mathbf{X}_0 \sim \mathfrak{X}_0$ ,  $\mathbf{X}_1 \sim \mathfrak{X}_1$ , and  $\mathbf{X}_t := (1 - t)\mathbf{X}_0 + t\mathbf{X}_1$ . Note that we *can* compute (3), since we can sample from  $\text{Uniform}[0, 1]$ ,  $\mathfrak{X}_0$ , and  $\mathfrak{X}_1$ . It turns out that the gradients (w.r.t. network parameters  $\theta$ ) of  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{FM}}(\theta)$  and  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{CFM}}(\theta)$  coincide [5, Thm. 4]. We can therefore train our network using (stochastic estimates of) the gradient of  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{CFM}}(\theta)$ .

**Riemannian Flow Matching.** However, straight line segments are only well-defined on Euclidean space. Consequently, Chen et al. [2] generalised this method to FM on Riemannian manifolds. The core principles remain the same, but we now need another way of defining a conditional vector field. The authors found that this can be done by differentiating a premetric. One could use the geodesic distance, yielding geodesics as the integral curves of the conditional vector field. Geodesics are, however, only easy to compute on simple manifolds. On other manifolds one must therefore design a tractable premetric, e.g. using spectral distances, and the conditional vector field typically still must be simulated.

**Our Contribution.** We take an alternative approach: we generalise FM to Lie groups with surjective exponential maps (Thm. 1), using a conditional flow field whose integral curves are exponential curves (Prop. 1). This leads to a simple and simulation-free implementation for many Lie groups. On matrix Lie groups the implementation can be particularly straightforward, since the required operations (products, inverses, exponentials, and logarithms) are given by the corresponding matrix operations. Additionally, our method is intrinsic, so all intermediate distributions live on the group by construction. We show this generalises Euclidean FM [4], by recasting it as FM on the translation group. As a proof of concept, we performed FM on three Lie groups (Sec. 3):

1. SE(2): simple group with efficient hand crafted implementation (Fig. 1).
2. SO(3): matrix group with simple implementation (Fig. 2).
3. SE(2)  $\times$   $\mathbb{R}^2$ : product group, interesting for generative modelling (Fig. 3).

FM on Lie groups could then be used for generative modelling with data consisting of sets of features (in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ) and poses (in some Lie group), e.g. the latent codes of Equivariant Neural Fields [8].

## 2 Lie Group Flow Matching

We first introduce the basic notation for the group operations we use.

**Definition 1 (Lie Group Operations).** *Let  $G$  be a Lie group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . We denote multiplication of  $g_0, g_1 \in G$  by  $g_0g_1$ , and the inverse of  $g \in G$  by  $g^{-1}$ . We define the left action for any  $g \in G$  by  $L_g : G \rightarrow G; h \mapsto gh$ , with push-forward  $(L_g)_*$ . We define the Lie group exponential by*

$$\exp : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow G; A \mapsto \gamma(1), \text{ with } \gamma \text{ the 1-parameter subgroup with } \dot{\gamma}(0) = A. \quad (4)$$

*If the exponential is surjective, we can restrict its domain and invert it to find the Lie group logarithm:*

$$\log : G \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\exp) \subset \mathfrak{g}; g \mapsto A \text{ such that } \exp(A) = g. \quad (5)$$

Next, we derive FM on Lie groups. We have distributions  $\mathfrak{X}_0$  and  $\mathfrak{X}_1$  on a Lie group  $G$ , and look for a flow  $\psi : [0, 1] \rightarrow \text{Diff}(G)$  such that  $(\psi_1)_\# \mathfrak{X}_0 = \mathfrak{X}_1$ . This is induced by a time dependent vector field  $u : [0, 1] \rightarrow \Gamma(TG)$ , satisfying  $\partial_t \psi_t(g) = u_t|_{\psi_t(g)}$ . We want to approximate  $u$  with neural network  $u^\theta$ , so we should minimise

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{FM}}^G(\theta) := \mathbb{E} [\|u_T^\theta(\mathbf{G}_T) - u_T(\mathbf{G}_T)\|_{\mathcal{G}}^2], \quad (6)$$

with  $T \sim \text{Uniform}[0, 1]$ ,  $\mathbf{G}_0 \sim \mathfrak{X}_0$ ,  $\mathbf{G}_t = \psi_t(\mathbf{G}_0)$ , and  $\mathcal{G}$  some metric tensor field. It is natural to choose  $\mathcal{G}$  left-invariant, since then the push-forward of the left action, which can be used to identify tangent spaces with the Lie algebra, is an isometry. It is again impossible to compute the loss in (6). We therefore once more introduce a conditional vector field. If the exponential map is surjective, we can always connect  $g_0, g_1 \in G$  with an *exponential curve*:

$$\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow G; t \mapsto g_0 \exp(t \log(g_0^{-1}g_1)). \quad (7)$$

To perform flow matching, we hence choose the conditional vector field such that its integral curves are the exponential curves, in analogy to (2):

**Proposition 1 (Lie Group Flow Field).** *The integral curves of the vector field  $u_t(\cdot | g_1) : [0, 1] \rightarrow \Gamma(TG)$ , with  $g_1 \in G$ , given by*

$$u_t(g | g_1) = \frac{(L_g)_* \log(g^{-1}g_1)}{1-t}, \quad (8)$$

*are the exponential curves ending in  $g_1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\gamma$  be the exponential curve (7) connecting  $g_0, g_1 \in G$ . Then,  $\gamma$  solves

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\gamma}(t) = (L_{\gamma(t)})_* \log(g_0^{-1}g_1), \\ \gamma(0) = g_0. \end{cases}$$

Noting that  $\log(\gamma(t)^{-1}g_1) = (1-t)\log(g_0^{-1}g_1)$ , we see

$$\dot{\gamma}(t) = \frac{(L_{\gamma(t)})_* \log(\gamma(t)^{-1}g_1)}{1-t} = u_t(\gamma(t) \mid g_1),$$

from which we conclude that  $\gamma$  is an integral curve of  $u(\cdot \mid g_1)$ . Since  $g_0 \in G$  was arbitrary, we have found all integral curves of  $u(\cdot \mid g_1)$ .  $\square$

Then we generalise the loss function (9):

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{CFM}}^G(\theta) := \mathbb{E}[\|u_{\mathbf{T}}^\theta(\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{T}}) - u_{\mathbf{T}}(\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{T}} \mid \mathbf{G}_1)\|_{\mathcal{G}}^2], \quad (9)$$

with  $\mathbf{T} \sim \text{Uniform}[0, 1]$ ,  $\mathbf{G}_0 \sim \mathfrak{X}_0$ ,  $\mathbf{G}_1 \sim \mathfrak{X}_1$ , and  $\mathbf{G}_t := \mathbf{G}_0 \exp(t \log(\mathbf{G}_0^{-1}\mathbf{G}_1))$ .

*Remark 1.* Since we need a Riemannian metric for the loss (9), one might expect that our FM on Lie groups is a specific instance of Riemannian Flow Matching [2]. However, we were not able to find a premetric inducing conditional vector field (8). The logarithmic distance, defined as the length of the exponential curve connecting two points, is the most obvious choice of premetric, but it only gives rise to (8) in specific cases, e.g. when  $\mathcal{G}$  is bi-invariant, so that geodesics and exponential curves coincide.

**Theorem 1 (Optimise on Conditional Loss).** *The gradients w.r.t. network parameters  $\theta$  of  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{FM}}^G$  (6) and  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{CFM}}^G$  (9) coincide.*

*Proof.* This is a specific case of a general result by Lipman et al. [5, Prop. 1], using that the squared norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{G}}^2$  at a given point  $g \in G$  is a Bregman divergence.

**Reconsidering Euclidean Flow Matching.** We can now recast Euclidean FM in the Lie group FM framework. On  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , we have group product  $\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y} := \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}$ , with inverse  $\mathbf{x}^{-1} := -\mathbf{x}$  and identity  $e := \mathbf{0}$ . It is not hard to see that the Lie group exponential and logarithms are given by  $\exp(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}$  and  $\log(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}$ , respectively. Finally, the push-forward of left multiplication is given by  $(L_{\mathbf{x}})_* = \text{id}$ . Hence, we can fill in (8) to find (2):

$$\frac{(L_{\mathbf{x}})_* \log(\mathbf{x}^{-1}\mathbf{x}_1)}{1-t} = \frac{\log(\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x})}{1-t} = \frac{\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}}{1-t}.$$

Likewise, the exponential curve (7) reduces to a line segment:

$$\mathbf{x}_0 \exp(t \log(\mathbf{x}_0^{-1}\mathbf{x}_1)) = \mathbf{x}_0 + t(\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_0) = (1-t)\mathbf{x}_0 + t\mathbf{x}_1,$$

so that we see that the loss function (9) reduces to (3).

**Flow Matching on SE(2).** As an example of a non-Euclidean Lie group, we consider SE(2):

**Definition 2 (Special Euclidean Group).** We define the 2D special Euclidean group as the Lie group  $\text{SE}(2) := \mathbb{R}^2 \rtimes \text{SO}(2)$  of roto-translations on two dimensional Euclidean space. Since  $\text{SO}(2) \cong S^1$ , we can uniquely identify any rotation  $R \in \text{SO}(2)$  with an angle  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ . We denote the counter-clockwise rotation with angle  $\theta$  by  $R_\theta$ . The group product is then given by

$$(\mathbf{x}, \theta)(\mathbf{y}, \phi) = (\mathbf{x} + R_\theta \mathbf{y}, \theta + \phi). \quad (10)$$

Note then that we have inverse  $(\mathbf{x}, \theta)^{-1} := (-R_\theta^{-1} \mathbf{x}, -\theta)$  and identity  $e := (\mathbf{0}, 0)$ . The exponential, with basis  $A_1 := \partial_x|_e$ ,  $A_2 := \partial_y|_e$ ,  $A_3 := \partial_\theta|_e$ , is given by

$$\exp(c^i A_i) = \begin{pmatrix} \text{sinc}(c^3/2)(c^1 \cos(c^3/2) - c^2 \sin(c^3/2)) \\ \text{sinc}(c^3/2)(c^1 \sin(c^3/2) + c^2 \cos(c^3/2)) \\ c^3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (11)$$

while the logarithm, with  $\mathcal{R}(\log) := \mathcal{D}(\exp) := \mathbb{R}^2 \times [-\pi, \pi)$ , is given by

$$\log(\mathbf{x}, \theta) = \begin{pmatrix} (x \cos(\theta/2) + y \sin(\theta/2))/\text{sinc}(\theta/2) \\ (-x \sin(\theta/2) + y \cos(\theta/2))/\text{sinc}(\theta/2) \\ \theta \end{pmatrix}. \quad (12)$$

**Flow Matching on Matrix Groups.** On groups with a matrix representation, we can compute products, inverses, exponentials, and logarithms with the corresponding matrix operations, allowing us to piggy-back on existing implementations, at the cost of requiring more memory compared to a hand-crafted implementation working directly with group elements, as suggested for SE(2). In Sec. 3, we perform experiments on the matrix group SO(3) as an example.

**Definition 3 (Special Orthogonal Group).** We define the 3D special orthogonal group as the Lie group SO(3) of origin-preserving rotations on three dimensional Euclidean space. We can represent SO(3) with  $3 \times 3$  orthogonal matrices with determinant 1.

We have implemented flow matching using PyTorch [7], which contains methods for matrix multiplication, inverses, and exponentials. It does not contain a matrix logarithm, however; for SO(3) we can use Rodrigues' formula:

$$\log(R) := \text{sinc}(q) \frac{R - R^T}{2}, \text{ with } q := \arccos\left(\frac{\text{tr}(R) - 1}{2}\right). \quad (13)$$

Since SO(3) is compact, it can be equipped with a bi-invariant metric. The geodesics of such a metric are the exponential curves, recovering RFM [2].

**Flow Matching on Product Groups.** If we can perform flow matching on  $G$  and  $H$ , then we can also do so on  $(G \times H)^m$  for  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ : all the relevant operations are inherited from  $G$  and  $H$ . In particular, this means we can perform flow matching on  $(\text{SE}(2) \times \mathbb{R}^d)^m$ , the space in which e.g. latent codes of ENFs live [8]. We have performed experiments on  $\text{SE}(2) \times \mathbb{R}^2$ , see Sec. 3.

**Flow Matching on Homogeneous Spaces.** We call a manifold  $\mathcal{M}$  a homogeneous space of Lie group  $G$  if  $G$  acts transitively on  $\mathcal{M}$ . Then, for any pair of points  $p_0, p_1 \in \mathcal{M}$  we can find  $g \in G$  such that  $gp_0 = p_1$ . This allows us to connect  $p_0$  and  $p_1$  with the curve  $\gamma(t) = \exp(t \log(g))p_0$ , which is a projection of an exponential curve in  $G$  onto  $\mathcal{M}$ . Hence, our framework can be generalised to work with homogeneous spaces too.

One difficulty is that there are typically infinitely many  $g$  such that  $gp_0 = p_1$ , and so infinitely many exponential curves. Hence, one must find a way of selecting a single curve. This has been investigated e.g. for the  $\text{SE}(3)$  homogeneous space of three dimensional positions and orientations  $\mathbb{R}^3 \times S^2$ : there is a computationally convenient choice with links to left-invariant distance approximations [6].

### 3 Experiments

Here we show experiments performed with three groups:  $\text{SE}(2)$ ,  $\text{SO}(3)$ , and  $\text{SE}(2) \times \mathbb{R}^2$ . The implementations and animations of the flows are available at <https://github.com/finnsherry/FlowMatching>.

We can identify  $\text{SE}(2) \cong \mathbb{R}^2 \times S^1$ , the space of planar positions and orientations. Similarly, we can identify  $\text{SO}(3)$  with the space of spherical positions and orientations, which is a non-trivial fibre bundle over  $S^2$  with typical fibre  $S^1$  (for details on these spaces of positions and orientations, see [1]). This means that we can visualise points in  $\text{SE}(2)$  and  $\text{SO}(3)$  as arrows on the plane and sphere, respectively. For points in  $\text{SE}(2) \times \mathbb{R}^2$ , we can simply separately plot the  $\text{SE}(2)$  and  $\mathbb{R}^2$  components.

Figs. 1, 2, 3 show FM on  $\text{SE}(2)$ ,  $\text{SO}(3)$ , and  $\text{SE}(2) \times \mathbb{R}^2$ , respectively. In each case, the left column shows samples from the initial distribution  $\mathfrak{X}_0$  and the right column shows samples from the target distribution  $\mathfrak{X}_1$ . In the centre column, we take samples from  $\mathfrak{X}_0$  (blue) and flow them forward (transparent); if the network has been trained successfully, the samples at  $t = 1$  (red) should appear to be sampled from  $\mathfrak{X}_1$ . For  $\text{SE}(2)$  and  $\text{SO}(3)$ , the rows show different pairs of distributions  $\mathfrak{X}_0$  and  $\mathfrak{X}_1$ ; for  $\text{SE}(2) \times \mathbb{R}^2$ , we have a single pair of distributions  $\mathfrak{X}_0$  and  $\mathfrak{X}_1$ , and the rows show the  $\text{SE}(2)$  and  $\mathbb{R}^2$  components.

In all cases, the samples at  $t = 1$  indeed reasonably match the target distribution. In simple cases, where we flow from lines to lines, the interpolants  $\mathfrak{X}_t$  also behave nicely. For more complicated cases, where we flow from a line to a circle, the interpolants look messier, which is unsurprising, as the exponential curves connecting samples in  $\mathfrak{X}_0$  and  $\mathfrak{X}_1$  can be quite intricate.

**Conclusion & Future Work.** We generalised to FM on Lie groups with surjective exponential maps, using a conditional flow field whose integral curves are exponential curves (Prop. 1). This has an intrinsic, simple, and simulation-free implementation for many Lie groups. As a proof of concept, we performed FM on three Lie groups (Sec. 3). FM on Lie groups could be used for generative modelling with data consisting of sets of features (in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ) and poses (in some Lie group), e.g. the latent codes of Equivariant Neural Fields [8].




Fig. 1: FM on SE(2). Top: flowing from horizontal line to vertical line. Bottom: flowing from vertical line to circle.




Fig. 2: FM on SO(3). Top: flowing from horizontal line to vertical line. Bottom: flowing from vertical line to circle.




Fig. 3: FM on  $SE(2) \times \mathbb{R}^2$ . Note that this shows the flow of a single pair of distributions  $\mathfrak{X}_0$  and  $\mathfrak{X}_1$ : the top row shows the  $SE(2)$  component and bottom row shows the  $\mathbb{R}^2$  component.

## References

1. van den Berg, N.J., Sherry, F.M., Berendschot, T.T., Duits, R.: Crossing-preserving geodesic tracking on spherical images. In: SSVM (2025)
2. Chen, R.T.Q., Lipman, Y.: Flow Matching on General Geometries. In: ICLR (2024), <https://openreview.net/forum?id=g7ohDITITL>
3. Chen, R.T., Rubanova, Y., Bettencourt, J., Duvenaud, D.: Neural Ordinary Differential Equations. In: NeurIPS (2018), [https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper\\_files/paper/2018/file/69386f6bb1dfed68692a24c8686939b9-Paper.pdf](https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper_files/paper/2018/file/69386f6bb1dfed68692a24c8686939b9-Paper.pdf)
4. Lipman, Y., Chen, R.T.Q., Ben-Hamu, H., Nickel, M., Le, M.: Flow Matching for Generative Modeling. ICLR pp. 1–28 (2022), <https://openreview.net/forum?id=PqvMRDCJT9t>
5. Lipman, Y., Havasi, M., Holderrieth, P., Shaul, N., Le, M., Karrer, B., Chen, R.T.Q., Lopez-Paz, D., Ben-Hamu, H., Gat, I.: Flow Matching Guide and Code. arXiv preprint (2024), <https://arxiv.org/abs/2412.06264>
6. Portegies, J.M., Sanguinetti, G., Meesters, S., Duits, R.: New Approximation of a Scale Space Kernel on  $SE(3)$  and Applications in Neuroimaging. In: SSVM (2015). [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-18461-6\\_4](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-18461-6_4)
7. PyTorch-Contributors: PyTorch 2: Faster Machine Learning Through Dynamic Python Bytecode Transformation and Graph Compilation. In: ASPLOS. ACM (2024). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3620665.3640366>
8. Wessels, D., Knigge, D., Valperga, R., Papa, S., Vadgama, S., Gavves, E., Bekkers, E.: Grounding Continuous Representations in Geometry: Equivariant Neural Fields. In: ICLR (2025), <https://openreview.net/forum?id=A4eCzSohhx>