

# K-THEORY OF $C^*$ -ALGEBRAS ARISING FROM COMMUTING HILBERT BIMODULES AND INVARIANT IDEALS

ASTRID AN HUEF, ABRAHAM C.S. NG, AND AIDAN SIMS

ABSTRACT. We study the  $K$ -theory of the Cuntz–Nica–Pimsner  $C^*$ -algebra of a rank-two product system that is an extension determined by an invariant ideal of the coefficient algebra. We use a construction of Deaconu and Fletcher that describes the Cuntz–Nica–Pimsner  $C^*$ -algebra of the product system in terms of two iterations of Pimsner’s original construction of a  $C^*$ -algebra from a right-Hilbert bimodule. We apply our results to the product system built from two commuting surjective local homeomorphisms of a totally disconnected space, where the Cuntz–Nica–Pimsner  $C^*$ -algebra is isomorphic to the  $C^*$ -algebra of the associated rank-two Deaconu–Renault groupoid. We then apply a theorem of Spielberg about stable finiteness of an extension to obtain sufficient conditions for stable finiteness of the  $C^*$ -algebra of the Deaconu–Renault groupoid.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

This paper achieves two main objectives. The first concerns rank-two product systems of right-Hilbert bimodules, in the sense of Fowler, in which the left actions are given by injective homomorphisms into the compacts. We show that the inclusion of a suitably invariant ideal in the coefficient algebra induces a morphism of exact sequences in  $K$ -theory for the associated Cuntz–Nica–Pimsner algebras. In particular, when the coefficient algebra  $A$  has trivial  $K_1$  group, we show that the  $K_0$ -group of the Cuntz–Nica–Pimsner algebra is explicitly computable in terms of the maps on  $K_0(A)$  induced by the  $KK$ -classes of the generating bimodules in the product system. We also show that an invariant ideal  $I$  of  $A$  yields a morphism between two such exact sequences. The second objective is to apply this to the  $C^*$ -algebra of a Deaconu–Renault groupoid with totally disconnected unit space. We obtain a criterion for stable finiteness in terms of stable finiteness of the ideal and quotient corresponding to an open invariant subspace of the unit space, and its closed invariant complement.

We came to this investigation through our previous work on stable finiteness of  $C^*$ -algebras associated to higher-rank graphs [18]. Still earlier work of the first and third authors [8] showed that for a rank-2 graph whose groupoid admits no nontrivial open invariant sets, stable finiteness of its  $C^*$ -algebra is characterised by a fairly checkable condition on the adjacency matrices of the graph that comes out of work of Voiculescu [34] and Brown [6] on AF-embeddable  $C^*$ -algebras. In [18] we parlayed this into a theorem about stable finiteness of  $C^*$ -algebras of rank-2 graphs whose groupoids admit exactly one nontrivial open invariant set  $U$ . Our approach to this was to use Spielberg’s theorem [33] about stable finiteness of an extension of a stably finite quotient by a stably finite ideal. In order to invoke Spielberg’s theorem, we needed a characterisation of the map in  $K$ -theory induced by the inclusion of the ideal corresponding to  $U$  into the  $C^*$ -algebra of the 2-graph. But the  $K$ -theory computations for 2-graph  $C^*$ -algebras available at the time [27, 11] proceed via Kasparov’s spectral sequence [19], and do not give enough information to characterise this map. We navigated this problem in [18] by re-computing the  $K$ -theory of a 2-graph  $C^*$ -algebra by iterated applications of the Pimsner–Voiculescu sequence for crossed products by  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Though this approach does not provide the explicit description of  $K_1(C^*(\Lambda))$  that emerges from the spectral sequence, it has the advantage that naturality of the Pimsner–Voiculescu sequence with respect to

---

*Date:* 30 March 2025.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46L05, 46L55, 46L80.

*Key words and phrases.* Extension of a  $C^*$ -algebra,  $K$ -theory, Hilbert bimodule, product system, Pimsner exact sequence, stably finite  $C^*$ -algebra, Deaconu–Renault groupoid.

This research was supported by Marsden grant 21-VUW-156 of the Royal Society of New Zealand, and by ARC Discovery–Projects grants DP180100595 and DP220101631.

$\mathbb{Z}$ -equivariant homomorphisms gives the desired description of the map between  $K_0$ -groups induced by the inclusion  $I \hookrightarrow C^*(\Lambda)$  of a gauge-invariant ideal of the 2-graph  $C^*$ -algebra.

The computation of  $K$ -theory in [18] has a second drawback: it involves a great deal of very complicated book-keeping (take a look, for example, at the diagrams on pages 288, 289 and 293 of [18]). However, an alternative approach presents itself: the  $C^*$ -algebras of rank-2 Deaconu–Renault groupoids can also be described as Cuntz–Pimsner algebras, as defined by Fowler [15], of product systems  $\mathbf{X}$  over  $\mathbb{N}^2$ . (In keeping with more recent literature, we call them Cuntz–Nica–Pimsner algebras and denote them  $\mathcal{NO}_{\mathbf{X}}$ —new constructions such as Sehnm’s covariance algebra [30] reduce to Fowler’s in our setting.) Results of Deaconu [10], further developed by Fletcher [13, 14], describe this Cuntz–Nica–Pimsner algebra in terms of two iterations of Pimsner’s original construction [23] of a  $C^*$ -algebra from a right-Hilbert bimodule, and at each iterate, the coefficient algebra of the bimodule is isomorphic to the  $C^*$ -algebra of the Deaconu–Renault groupoid for a lower-rank dynamics. So at each stage Pimsner’s six-term sequence in  $KK$ -theory [23], which is natural for suitable morphisms of right-Hilbert bimodules, computes the  $K$ -theory of the Cuntz–Pimsner algebra in terms of that of the coefficient algebra and the map in  $K$ -theory induced by the class of the right-Hilbert bimodule in  $KK$ -theory.

In this paper we carry out this program for computing  $K$ -groups and maps between them via iteration of Pimsner’s six-term sequence in greater generality. In §4, we consider rank-2 product systems  $\mathbf{X}$  of right-Hilbert bimodules in which the left action of the coefficient algebra  $A$  on each module  $X_n$  is implemented by an injective homomorphism into the algebra of generalised compact operators. We identify the  $\mathbf{X}$ -invariant ideals  $I$  of  $A$  for which  $\mathbf{X}I := (X_n \cdot I)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^2}$  is a product system of right-Hilbert  $I$ - $I$ -bimodules, and show that there is an inclusion  $\phi: \mathcal{NO}_{\mathbf{X}I} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{NO}_{\mathbf{X}}$  whose range is an ideal of  $\mathcal{NO}_{\mathbf{X}}$ . We then work out the details of the naturality of Pimsner’s six-term sequence in  $KK$ -theory to obtain a commuting diagram of short exact sequences describing the  $K_0$ -groups of  $\mathcal{NO}_{\mathbf{X}}$  and of  $\mathcal{NO}_{\mathbf{X}I}$  and the map from the latter to the former induced by  $\phi$ . Even for 2-graph  $C^*$ -algebras  $C^*(\Lambda)$ , which are Cuntz–Nica–Pimsner algebras of product systems over  $\mathbb{N}^2$  of right-Hilbert- $C_0(\Lambda)^0$  bimodules, this significantly simplifies the  $K$ -theory calculations of [18].

In §6 we consider an action  $T$  of  $\mathbb{N}^2$  by local homeomorphisms of a totally disconnected locally compact Hausdorff space with an open invariant subset  $H \subset \Omega$ . We identify the  $C^*$ -algebra  $C^*(G_T)$  of the associated Deaconu–Renault groupoid with the Cuntz–Nica–Pimsner algebra of a product system  $X$  over  $C_0(\Omega)$ , and show that  $C_0(H) \subset C_0(\Omega)$  is an  $X$ -invariant ideal. We can therefore apply our earlier results to obtain a new morphism of short-exact sequences that describes the  $K_0$ -groups of  $C^*(G_T)$  and  $C^*(G_{T|_H})$  and the map between them induced by the inclusion  $C^*(G_{T|_H}) \hookrightarrow C^*(G_T)$ . (We do not explore what our results say about  $K_1$ -groups, since they do not improve upon the description of [12, Corollary 7.7].)

Finally in §7, as an application, we use a result of Spielberg [33, Lemma 1.5] to obtain a sufficient condition for stable finiteness of  $C^*(G_T)$  in terms of stable finiteness of  $C^*(G_{T|_H})$  and  $C^*(G_{T|\Omega \setminus H})$  and of the maps on  $C_c(\Omega, \mathbb{N})$  induced by the generators  $T_{(1,0)}$  and  $T_{(0,1)}$  of the action. This is useful because, for example, if the reductions of  $T$  to  $H$  and to  $\Omega \setminus H$  are minimal (so in particular, if the associated  $C^*$ -algebras are simple), then stable finiteness of the associated  $C^*$ -algebras is characterised by the results of [4] or [25].

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

**2.1. Hilbert bimodules and their associated algebras: Basic definitions and results.** In the following three subsections, we give a brief summary of Hilbert bimodules and the associated algebras (see [24] for example). We also establish a consistent map-naming convention that we highlight in the ‘notation’ environments. Given a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ , a *right-Hilbert  $A$ -module*  $X$  is a right  $A$ -module equipped with an  $A$ -valued map  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_A: X \times X \rightarrow A$ , called the *inner product*, which is linear in the second variable and such that for  $\xi, \eta \in X$  and  $a \in A$ ,

- $\langle \xi, \xi \rangle_A \geq 0$ , with equality if and only if  $\xi = 0$ ;
- $\langle \xi, \eta \rangle_A = \langle \eta, \xi \rangle_A^*$ ;
- $\langle \xi, \eta \cdot a \rangle_A = \langle \xi, \eta \rangle_A a$ ; and
- $X$  is complete with respect to the norm given by  $\|\xi\|_A^2 = \|\langle \xi, \xi \rangle_A\|$ .

Often we will drop the subscript  $A$  on the inner product and associated norm.

A map  $T: X \rightarrow X$  is an *adjointable operator* if there exists a map  $T^*: X \rightarrow X$ , called the *adjoint* of  $T$ , such that  $\langle T^*\xi, \eta \rangle = \langle \xi, T\eta \rangle$  for all  $\xi, \eta \in X$ . If  $T$  is adjointable, the adjoint  $T^*$  is unique and both  $T$  and  $T^*$  are automatically bounded  $A$ -module homomorphisms. The space  $\mathcal{L}(X)$  of adjointable operators on  $X$  is itself a  $C^*$ -algebra. Given  $\xi, \eta \in X$ , the map  $\theta_{\xi, \eta}: X \rightarrow X$  determined by  $\theta_{\xi, \eta}(\zeta) = \xi \cdot \langle \eta, \zeta \rangle_A$  is an adjointable operator with adjoint  $\theta_{\xi, \eta}^* = \theta_{\eta, \xi}$ . We define  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  to be  $\overline{\text{span}}\{\theta_{\xi, \eta} \in \mathcal{L}(X) : \xi, \eta \in X\}$  (this is an ideal of  $\mathcal{L}(X)$ ) and call it the algebra of *compact operators* on  $X$ .

Given  $C^*$ -algebras  $B, A$ , a *right-Hilbert  $B$ - $A$ -bimodule*  $X$  is a right-Hilbert  $A$ -module together with a left action of  $B$  by adjointable operators on  $X$  that is implemented by a  $*$ -homomorphism  $\phi: B \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$  (that is,  $b \cdot x = \phi(b)x$ ). Thus, for all  $\xi, \eta \in X, b \in B$ ,

$$\langle b \cdot \xi, \eta \rangle_A = \langle \xi, b^* \cdot \eta \rangle_A.$$

Sometimes, for clarity, we will write  $X = {}_B X_A$ . If the homomorphism  $\phi$  implementing the left action takes values in  $\mathcal{K}(X)$ , we say that the Hilbert bimodule  $X$  has *compact left action*. If  $B = A$ , we call  $X$  a right-Hilbert  $A$ -bimodule (also known as a  *$C^*$ -correspondence over  $A$* ).

Given two right-Hilbert bimodules  ${}_B X_A$  and  ${}_A Y_C$ , we can first take the quotient of the algebraic tensor product  $X \odot Y$  by the submodule generated by differences of the form  $\xi \cdot a \odot \eta - \xi \odot a \cdot \eta$  and then complete it with respect to the inner product that satisfies  $\langle \xi \odot \eta, \xi' \odot \eta' \rangle_C = \langle \eta, \langle \xi, \xi' \rangle_A \cdot \eta' \rangle_C$ . The result is a new right-Hilbert  $B$ - $C$ -bimodule called the *balanced tensor product*  $X \otimes_A Y$  with left action  $b \cdot (\xi \otimes \eta) = (b \cdot \xi) \otimes \eta$  and right action  $(\xi \otimes \eta) \cdot c = \xi \otimes (\eta \cdot c)$ .

Given a sequence  $(X_n)_n$  of right-Hilbert  $A$ -modules, we define

$$\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} X_n := \{(x_n)_n \in \prod_n X_n : \sum_n \langle x_n, x_n \rangle_A \text{ converges in } A\};$$

this is again a right-Hilbert  $A$ -module with  $(x_n)_n \cdot a = (x_n \cdot a)_n$  and  $\langle (x_n)_n, (y_n)_n \rangle_A = \sum_n \langle x_n, y_n \rangle_A$ . If each  $X_n$  is a Hilbert  $A$ - $A$ -bimodule, then so is  $\bigoplus_n X_n$  with left action  $a \cdot (x_n)_n = (a \cdot x_n)_n$ .

Every  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  can be regarded as a right-Hilbert  $A$ -bimodule over itself with left and right actions given by honest multiplication and inner product defined by  $\langle a, b \rangle = a^*b$ . This is denoted by  ${}_A A_A$ . Let  $X$  be a right-Hilbert  $A$ -bimodule. For  $n \geq 1$ , we write

$$X^{\otimes n} = \underbrace{X \otimes_A X \otimes_A \cdots \otimes_A X}_{n \text{ terms}},$$

and after setting  $X^{\otimes 0}$  to be  $A$ , the space

$$\mathcal{F}_X = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} X^{\otimes n}$$

is called the *Fock space* of  $X$  and is itself a right-Hilbert  $A$ -bimodule.

Given two right-Hilbert bimodules  ${}_A X_A$  and  ${}_B Y_B$ , a pair of maps  $(\lambda: A \rightarrow B, \varphi: X \rightarrow Y)$  is a *right-Hilbert bimodule morphism* if  $\lambda$  is a  $*$ -homomorphism and for all  $a \in A, \xi, \eta \in X$ ,

$$\varphi(a \cdot \xi) = \lambda(a) \cdot \varphi(\xi), \quad \varphi(\xi \cdot a) = \varphi(\xi) \cdot \lambda(a), \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda(\langle \xi, \eta \rangle) = \langle \varphi(\xi), \varphi(\eta) \rangle.$$

Given a right-Hilbert bimodule  ${}_A X_A$ , a *Toeplitz representation* of  $X$  in a  $C^*$ -algebra  $B$  is a right-Hilbert bimodule morphism  $(\pi: X \rightarrow B, \psi: X \rightarrow {}_B B_B)$ . There exists a universal Toeplitz representation of  $X$  in a  $C^*$ -algebra which we denote  $\mathcal{T}_X$  and call the *Toeplitz algebra* of  $X$ . We will denote the representation in the Toeplitz algebra by

$$(i_1: A \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_X, i_2: X \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_X).$$

We call  $A$  the *coefficient algebra* of  $\mathcal{T}_X$ . The map  $i_1$  is injective and  $\mathcal{T}_X$  is generated by the images of  $i_1$  and  $i_2$ .

**Notation 2.1.** Given a right-Hilbert  $A$ -bimodule  $X$ , the symbol  $i_1$  always denotes the canonical inclusion of  $A$  into  $\mathcal{T}_X$  and  $i_2$  always denotes the canonical map taking  $X$  into  $\mathcal{T}_X$ .

By [23, Proposition 3.3], we can identify  $\mathcal{T}_X$  with a subalgebra of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}_X)$  such that given  $a \in A$  and  $\xi \in X$ ,

$$i_1(a): (\xi^n)_n^\infty \in \mathcal{F}_X \mapsto (a \cdot \xi^n)_{n=0}^\infty \in \mathcal{F}_X,$$

and

$$i_2(\xi): (\xi^n)_{n=0}^\infty \in \mathcal{F}_X \mapsto (0, \xi \cdot \xi^0, \xi \otimes \xi^1, \xi \otimes \xi^2, \xi \otimes \xi^3, \dots) \in \mathcal{F}_X.$$

Furthermore, we have that  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}_X) \triangleleft \mathcal{T}_X \subset \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}_X)$ .

Given any Toeplitz representation  $(\pi, \psi)$  of a right-Hilbert bimodule  ${}_A X_A$  in a  $C^*$ -algebra  $B$ , there exists a  $*$ -homomorphism  $(\pi, \psi)^{(1)}: \mathcal{K}(X) \rightarrow B$  such that for all  $\xi, \eta \in X$ ,

$$(\pi, \psi)^{(1)}(\theta_{\xi, \eta}) = \psi(\xi)\psi(\eta)^*.$$

As usual, for an ideal  $I \triangleleft A$  we write  $I^\perp := \{a \in A : aI = \{0\}\}$ . We say that a Toeplitz representation  $(\pi, \psi)$  is *Cuntz–Pimsner covariant* if  $(\pi, \psi)^{(1)}(\phi(a)) = \pi(a)$  for all  $a \in \phi^{-1}(\mathcal{K}(X) \cap (\ker \phi)^\perp)$ . There exists a universal Cuntz–Pimsner covariant Toeplitz representation of  $X$  in a  $C^*$ -algebra which we denote  $\mathcal{O}_X$  and call the *Cuntz–Pimsner algebra* of  $X$ . We will denote the representation in the Cuntz–Pimsner algebra by

$$(j_1: A \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X, j_2: X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X).$$

As with the Toeplitz representation,  $j_1$  is always injective and  $\mathcal{O}_X$  is generated by the images of  $j_1$  and  $j_2$ . If the left action homomorphism  $\phi$  is injective, then  $\mathcal{O}_X$  is the quotient of  $\mathcal{T}_X$  by the ideal of  $\mathcal{T}_X$  generated by  $\{\pi(a) - (i_1, i_2)^{(1)}(\phi(a)) : \phi(a) \in \mathcal{K}(X)\}$ . In general,  $\mathcal{O}_X$  is the quotient of  $\mathcal{T}_X$  by a sub-ideal of  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}_X)$  and the quotient map  $q: \mathcal{T}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$  satisfies  $j_1 = q \circ i_1$  and  $j_2 = q \circ i_2$ .

**Notation 2.2.** Given a right-Hilbert  $A$ -bimodule  $X$ , the homomorphism  $j_1$  will always denote the canonical inclusion of  $A$  into  $\mathcal{O}_X$ ,  $j_2$  will always denote the canonical map taking  $X$  to  $\mathcal{O}_X$ , and  $q$  will always denote the quotient map  $\mathcal{T}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ .

Any right-Hilbert  $A$ -bimodule morphism  $(\lambda: A \rightarrow B, \varphi: {}_A X_A \rightarrow {}_B Y_B)$  induces three important homomorphisms:

- (1)  $\Phi: \mathcal{F}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_Y$  such that  $\xi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \xi_n \in X^{\otimes n} \mapsto \varphi(\xi_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \varphi(\xi_n) \in Y^{\otimes n}$  (this induces an additional homomorphism  $\Phi^{(1)}: \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}_X) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}_Y)$  such that  $\theta_{\xi, \eta} \mapsto \theta_{\Phi(\xi), \Phi(\eta)}$  for  $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{F}_X$ ).
- (2)  $\mathcal{T}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_Y$  such that  $i_1(a) \mapsto i_1(\lambda(a))$  and  $i_2(\xi) \mapsto i_2(\varphi(\xi))$ .
- (3)  $\varphi^{(1)}: \mathcal{K}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(Y)$  such that  $\theta_{\xi, \eta} \mapsto \theta_{\varphi(\xi), \varphi(\eta)}$  (this is the restriction of  $\Phi^{(1)}$  from (1) to  $\mathcal{K}(X) \subset \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}_X)$ ).

If  $(\lambda, \varphi)$  is *covariant* (also known as *coisometric* [5, Definition 1.3]) in the sense that  $\varphi^{(1)}(\phi(a)) = \varphi(a)$  whenever  $\phi(a) \in \mathcal{K}(X)$ , and  $\lambda$  is injective, then by [5, Corollary 1.5] there is a fourth homomorphism

- (4)  $\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y$  such that  $j_1(a) \mapsto j_1(\lambda(a))$  and  $j_2(\xi) \mapsto j_2(\varphi(\xi))$  for all  $a \in A, \xi \in X$ .

Given a right-Hilbert bimodule  ${}_A X_A$ , an ideal  $I \triangleleft A$  is  *$X$ -invariant* if  $IX \subset XI$  (here and elsewhere,  $IX$  means  $I \cdot X$  and  $XI$  means  $X \cdot I$ ). For any  $X$ -invariant ideal  $I$ ,  ${}_I X I_I$  is a right-Hilbert bimodule and the pair  $(I \hookrightarrow A, XI \hookrightarrow X)$  is a coisometric right-Hilbert bimodule morphism. The induced homomorphism  $\mathcal{O}_{XI} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$  is injective (see [16, Corollary 3.9]).

**2.2. Hilbert bimodules, the associated algebras and  $K$ -theory.** Let  $X$  be a right-Hilbert  $A$ -bimodule. Then  $i_1: A \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_X$  induces a  $KK$ -equivalence so that  $(i_1)_*: K_0(A) \rightarrow K_0(\mathcal{T}_X)$  is an isomorphism [23, §4].

Let  $P \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}_X)$  be the infinite matrix such that  $P_{11} = 1$  and  $P_{ij} = 0$  whenever  $i \neq 0$  or  $j \neq 0$ . Let  $k: A \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}_X)$  be the map  $a \mapsto aP$ . Then for all  $a \in A$ ,

$$k(a): (\xi^n)_{n=0}^\infty \mapsto (a\xi^0, 0, 0, \dots).$$

It is not hard to see that  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}_X)P\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}_X) = \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}_X)$  and that  $P\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}_X)P = k(A) \cong A$ . Thus  $k_*: K_0(A) \rightarrow K_0(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}_X))$  is an isomorphism.

**Notation 2.3.** Given a right-Hilbert bimodule  $X$  over a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ , the letter  $k$  always denotes the map  $A \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}_X)$ ,  $a \mapsto aP$ , and  $\ell$  always denotes the inclusion  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}_X) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{T}_X$ .

There is a 6-term exact  $K$ -theory sequence called the Pimsner sequence associated to any right-Hilbert bimodule  $X$  over a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  with left action by compact operators. Using the maps  $i_1, j_1, k, \ell$  of our map-naming convention, the Pimsner sequence can then be written as the outer

sequence in the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 K_0(A) & \xrightarrow{1-[X]} & K_0(A) & \xrightarrow{(j_1)_*} & \\
 \downarrow \cong, k_* & & \downarrow \cong, (i_1)_* & & \\
 K_0(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}_X)) & \xrightarrow{\ell_*} & K_0(\mathcal{T}_X) & \xrightarrow{q_*} & K_0(\mathcal{O}_X) \\
 \uparrow \partial & & & & \downarrow \partial \\
 K_1(\mathcal{O}_X) & \xleftarrow{q_*} & K_1(\mathcal{T}_X) & \xleftarrow{\ell_*} & K_1(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}_X)) \\
 & & \uparrow \cong, (i_1)_* & & \uparrow \cong, k_* \\
 & & K_1(A) & \xleftarrow{1-[X]} & K_1(A)
 \end{array}$$

$(j_1)_*$  (dashed arrow from  $K_0(A)$  to  $K_1(A)$ )  
 $\partial$  (dashed arrow from  $K_0(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}_X))$  to  $K_1(\mathcal{O}_X)$ )

We will work with both the inner 6-term sequence and the outer 6-term sequence, sometimes in  $K$ -theory and sometimes in  $KK$ -theory, depending on the situation. The instances of  $[X]$  in the diagram above denote the homomorphisms  $[X]: K_*(A) \rightarrow K_*(A)$  obtained from the composition

$$(2.1) \quad K_*(A) \xrightarrow{\Theta_*} KK_*(\mathbb{C}, A) \xrightarrow{\cdot \hat{\otimes} X} KK_*(\mathbb{C}, A) \xrightarrow{\Theta_*} K_*(A)$$

using the isomorphisms  $\Theta: K_*(A) \rightarrow KK_*(\mathbb{C}, A)$  and the Kasparov products  $\cdot \hat{\otimes} X$ . In our treatment below,  $A$  is approximately finite dimensional, and hence  $K_1(A) = 0$ . In preparation for our application to the  $K$ -theory of a rank-2 Deaconu–Renault groupoid, in §5 we discuss  $[X]$  in detail when  $X$  is the right-Hilbert module associated to a surjective local homeomorphism between totally disconnected spaces.

**2.3. Product systems and the associated algebras.** Apart from a brief appearance in §6, the main part of this paper can be read without reference to product systems, which were first introduced in [15]. This preliminary subsection is mostly taken from [13, §3.1] and included for those who want to understand the technical proofs in §A.1 concerning the Deaconu–Fletcher constructions.

Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra and let  $P$  a countable semigroup with identity  $e$ . A *product system over  $P$  with coefficient algebra  $A$*  (as defined in [13, Definition 3.1.1]) is a semigroup  $\mathbf{X} = \bigsqcup_{p \in P} \mathbf{X}_p$  such that for  $p, q \in P$ ,

- $\mathbf{X}_p \subset \mathbf{X}$  is a right-Hilbert  $A$ -bimodule;
- $\mathbf{X}_e$  is equal to the  ${}_A A_A$ ;
- For  $p, q \in P$  with  $p \neq e$ , there exists a right-Hilbert bimodule isomorphism  $M_{p,q}: \mathbf{X}_p \otimes_A \mathbf{X}_q \rightarrow \mathbf{X}_{pq}$  satisfying  $M_{p,q}(\xi \otimes \eta) = \xi \eta$  for all  $\xi \in \mathbf{X}_p$  and  $\eta \in \mathbf{X}_q$ ;
- For  $a \in \mathbf{X}_e = A$  and  $\xi \in \mathbf{X}_p$ ,  $a\xi = a \cdot \xi$  and  $\xi a = \xi \cdot a$ .

Product systems generalise right-Hilbert bimodules: if  $X$  is a right-Hilbert  $A$ -bimodule, then  $\mathbf{X} = \bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X^{\otimes n}$  is a product system.

In our paper, we only use  $P = \mathbb{N}^2$ , and so we restrict the background material to this case. Furthermore, we will always assume that the left actions are by compacts (implying that the product system is *compactly aligned*, though we will not delve further into this term). A *representation of  $\mathbf{X}$*  in a  $C^*$ -algebra  $B$  is a map  $\psi: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow B$  such that for  $p, q \in \mathbb{N}^2$ ,

- $\psi_p := \psi|_{\mathbf{X}_p}$  is a linear map;
- $\psi_0$  is a  $*$ -homomorphism;
- $\psi_p(\xi)\psi_q(\eta) = \psi_{pq}(\xi\eta)$  for all  $\xi \in \mathbf{X}_p, \eta \in \mathbf{X}_q$ ; and
- $\psi_p(\xi)^*\psi_p(\eta) = \psi_0(\langle \xi, \eta \rangle_A)$  for all  $\xi, \eta \in \mathbf{X}_p$ .

Note that for all  $p \in \mathbb{N}^2$ ,  $(\psi_e, \psi_p)$  is a Toeplitz representation of  $\mathbf{X}_p$  in  $B$ .

For  $p = (p_1, p_2), q = (q_1, q_2) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ , let  $p \vee q = (\max\{p_1, q_1\}, \max\{p_2, q_2\}) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ . For  $S \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{X}_p), T \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{X}_q)$ , let

$$i_p^{p \vee q}(S) = M_{p, p \vee q} \circ (S \otimes \text{id}_{\mathbf{X}_{p \vee q - p}}) \circ M_{p, p \vee q}^{-1} \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{X}_{p \vee q}),$$

and

$$i_q^{p \vee q}(T) = M_{q, p \vee q} \circ (T \otimes \text{id}_{\mathbf{X}_{p \vee q - q}}) \circ M_{q, p \vee q}^{-1} \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{X}_{p \vee q}).$$

If  $\psi$  satisfies the additional condition that for all  $p, q \in \mathbb{N}^2$ ,

- $(\psi_e, \psi_p)^{(1)}(S)(\psi_e, \psi_q)^{(1)}(T) = (\psi_e, \psi_{p \vee q})^{(1)}(i_p^{p \vee q}(S)i_q^{p \vee q}(T))$  for all  $S \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{X}_p), T \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{X}_q)$ ,

then the representation is said to be *Nica covariant*. There exists a univocal Nica covariant representation of  $\mathbf{X}$  in a  $C^*$ -algebra which we denote  $\mathcal{NT}_{\mathbf{X}}$  and call the *Nica–Toeplitz algebra* of  $\mathbf{X}$ . We will always write the representation in the Nica–Toeplitz algebra as

$$i_{\mathbf{X}}: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{NT}_{\mathbf{X}},$$

and we write  $i_{\mathbf{X}_p} = i_{\mathbf{X}}|_{\mathbf{X}_p}$ . The algebra  $\mathcal{NT}_{\mathbf{X}}$  is generated by the image of  $i_{\mathbf{X}}$ .

There is a further technical condition that we will not delve into that makes a Nica covariant representation what we call *Cuntz–Pimsner covariant*. Suffice it to say that if the left actions are all faithful, something we will always assume, then there exists a universal Cuntz–Pimsner covariant representation of  $\mathbf{X}$  in a  $C^*$ -algebra which we denote  $\mathcal{NO}_{\mathbf{X}}$  and call the *Cuntz–Nica–Pimsner algebra* of  $\mathbf{X}$ . We will always write the representation in the Cuntz–Nica–Pimsner algebra as

$$j_{\mathbf{X}}: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{NO}_{\mathbf{X}},$$

and we write  $j_{\mathbf{X}_p} = j_{\mathbf{X}}|_{\mathbf{X}_p}$ . The algebra  $\mathcal{NO}_{\mathbf{X}}$  is generated by  $j_{\mathbf{X}}(X)$  and is a quotient of  $\mathcal{NT}_{\mathbf{X}}$ . We denote the quotient map by  $q_{\mathbf{X}}: \mathcal{NT}_{\mathbf{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{NO}_{\mathbf{X}}$ . We have  $j_{\mathbf{X}} = q_{\mathbf{X}} \circ i_{\mathbf{X}}$ .

### 3. THE DEACONU–FLETCHER CONSTRUCTION FOR COMMUTING HILBERT BIMODULES

Let  $A$  be a separable  $C^*$ -algebra, and let  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  be countably generated right-Hilbert  $A$ -bimodules with faithful compact left actions. Suppose that there is an isomorphism  $X_1 \otimes_A X_2 \rightarrow X_2 \otimes_A X_1$ ; we then say that  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are *commuting* Hilbert bimodules. Deaconu and Fletcher produced several constructions which we now describe (see [13, Propositions 4.2.11 and 4.3.1]).

If we restrict the left action of  $\tau_{X_1}(\mathcal{T}_{X_1})\tau_{X_1}$  to  $A$  (strictly speaking, it is to  $i_1(A)$ ), then we get a right-Hilbert bimodule  ${}_A(\mathcal{T}_{X_1})_{\mathcal{T}_{X_1}}$ . Thus we can take the balanced tensor product  $X_2 \otimes_A \mathcal{T}_{X_1}$  which is a right-Hilbert  $A$ - $\mathcal{T}_{X_1}$ -bimodule. There is a left action by  $\mathcal{T}_{X_1}$  on  $X_2 \otimes_A \mathcal{T}_{X_1}$  which extends the original left action by  $A$ . This gives a right-Hilbert  $\mathcal{T}_{X_1}$ -bimodule. The same construction with  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  switched yields a right-Hilbert  $\mathcal{T}_{X_2}$ -bimodule  $X_1 \otimes_A \mathcal{T}_{X_2}$ .

Similarly, there is a left action by  $\mathcal{O}_{X_1}$  on the right-Hilbert  $A$ - $\mathcal{O}_{X_1}$ -bimodule  $X_2 \otimes_A \mathcal{O}_{X_1}$  that extends the original left action on  $A$ . This gives a right-Hilbert  $\mathcal{O}_{X_1}$ -bimodule  $X_2 \otimes_A \mathcal{O}_{X_1}$ . The same construction with  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  switched yields a right-Hilbert  $\mathcal{O}_{X_2}$ -bimodule  $X_1 \otimes_A \mathcal{O}_{X_2}$ .

For  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\mathbf{X}_{(m,n)} = X_1^{\otimes m} \otimes_A X_2^{\otimes n}$ . Since  $X_1 \otimes_A X_2$  is isomorphic to  $X_2 \otimes_A X_1$ ,  $\mathbf{X} = \bigsqcup_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} \mathbf{X}_{(m,n)}$  is a product system with associated Nica–Toeplitz and Cuntz–Nica–Toeplitz algebras (see §2.3).

In the next theorem we summarise theorems from the Deaconu–Fletcher construction [13, Theorems 3.3.17, 3.4.21, 4.2.12, 4.3.2, 4.3.3], as they pertain to our situation.

**Theorem 3.1** (Deaconu–Fletcher). *Let  $X_1, X_2$  be as above. Then*

- (1)  $\mathcal{T}_{X_2 \otimes_A \mathcal{T}_{X_1}} \cong \mathcal{T}_{X_1 \otimes_A \mathcal{T}_{X_2}} \cong \mathcal{NT}_{\mathbf{X}}$ ;
- (2)  $\mathcal{O}_{X_2 \otimes_A \mathcal{O}_{X_1}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{X_1 \otimes_A \mathcal{O}_{X_2}} \cong \mathcal{NO}_{\mathbf{X}}$ ;
- (3)  $\mathcal{O}_{X_2 \otimes_A \mathcal{T}_{X_1}} \cong \mathcal{T}_{X_1 \otimes_A \mathcal{O}_{X_2}}$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{X_1 \otimes_A \mathcal{T}_{X_2}} \cong \mathcal{T}_{X_2 \otimes_A \mathcal{O}_{X_1}}$ .

*Remark 3.2.* In particular, in the notation from §2.1, the isomorphism  $\mathcal{O}_{X_2 \otimes_A \mathcal{O}_{X_1}} \rightarrow \mathcal{NO}_{\mathbf{X}}$  does the following: for  $a \in A$ ,  $\xi \in X_1$  and  $\eta \in X_2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} j_1(j_1(a)) &\mapsto j_{\mathbf{X}_{(0,0)}}(a), & j_1(j_2(\xi)) &\mapsto j_{\mathbf{X}_{(1,0)}}(\xi), \\ j_2(\eta \otimes j_1(a)) &\mapsto j_{\mathbf{X}_{(0,1)}}(\eta \cdot a), & j_2(\eta \otimes j_2(\xi)) &\mapsto j_{\mathbf{X}_{(1,1)}}(\eta\xi). \end{aligned}$$

We now state four lemmas regarding the Deaconu–Fletcher construction that will be used to compute  $K$ -theory in §4. The proofs are straightforward but very technical and thus are provided in the appendix.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $k: A \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}_{X_2})$ ,  $\ell: \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}_{X_2}) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{X_2}$  be the homomorphisms associated to the right-Hilbert  $A$ -bimodule  $X_2$ . Then there is a map  $\psi: X_1 \rightarrow X_1 \otimes_A \mathcal{T}_{X_2}$  such that  $\psi(\xi \cdot a) = \xi \otimes \ell(k(a))$  for  $a \in A$  and  $\xi \in X_1$ . The pair  $(\ell \circ k, \psi): (A, X_1) \rightarrow (\mathcal{T}_{X_2}, X_1 \otimes_A \mathcal{T}_{X_2})$  is a right-Hilbert bimodule morphism.*

Lemma 3.3 is proved in Appendix A on page 24.

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $i_1: A \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{X_2}$  be the homomorphism associated to the right-Hilbert  $A$ -bimodule  $X_2$ . Then there is a map  $\varphi: X_1 \rightarrow X_1 \otimes_A \mathcal{T}_{X_2}$  such that  $\varphi(\xi \cdot a) = \xi \otimes i_1(a)$  for  $a \in A$  and  $\xi \in X_1$ . The pair  $(i_1, \varphi): (A, X_1) \rightarrow (\mathcal{T}_{X_2}, X_1 \otimes_A \mathcal{T}_{X_2})$  is a right-Hilbert bimodule morphism.*

We prove Lemma 3.4 in Appendix A on page 25.

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $J: \mathcal{O}_{X_1 \otimes_A \mathcal{T}_{X_2}} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{X_2 \otimes_A \mathcal{O}_{X_1}}$  be the isomorphism from Theorem 3.1(3). Adopt the notation of §2.1 so that  $(i_1, i_2)$  denotes the Toeplitz representations of both  $(A, X_2)$  and  $(\mathcal{O}_{X_1}, X_2 \otimes_A \mathcal{O}_{X_1})$  and  $(j_1, j_2)$  denotes the covariant Cuntz–Pimsner representations of both  $(\mathcal{T}_{X_2}, X_1 \otimes_A \mathcal{T}_{X_2})$  and  $(A, X_1)$ . Let  $a \in A$ ,  $\xi \in X_1$  and  $\eta = \eta' \cdot \langle \eta', \eta' \rangle \in X_2$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} J(j_2(\xi \otimes i_1(a))) &= i_1(j_2(\xi \cdot a)), & J(j_1(i_1(a))) &= i_1(j_1(a)), & \text{and} \\ J(j_1(i_2(\eta))) &= i_2(\eta' \otimes j_1(\langle \eta', \eta' \rangle)). \end{aligned}$$

We prove Lemma 3.5 in Appendix A on page 25.

Recall that for a right-Hilbert  $A$ -bimodule  $X$ , an ideal  $I \triangleleft A$  is  $X$ -invariant if  $IX \subset XI$ . Let  $I$  be an  $X_1$ - and  $X_2$ -invariant ideal of  $A$ . Then for  $i = 1, 2$ ,  $X_i I$  is a right-Hilbert  $I$ -bimodule and  $X_i I \subset X_i$ . By [16, Corollary 3.9], the canonical map  $\kappa_i: \mathcal{O}_{X_i I} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_i}$  is injective. Thus we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let  $I$  be an  $X_1$ - and  $X_2$ -invariant ideal of  $A$ . Then*

- (1) *The pair  $(I \hookrightarrow A, X_1 I \hookrightarrow X_1)$  is a right-Hilbert bimodule morphism; and*
- (2) *The pair  $(\kappa_1: \mathcal{O}_{X_1 I} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_1}, 1 \otimes \kappa_1: X_2 I \otimes_I \mathcal{O}_{X_1 I} \rightarrow X_2 \otimes_A \mathcal{O}_{X_1})$  is a right-Hilbert bimodule morphism. In particular, this induces a homomorphism  $\phi: \mathcal{O}_{X_2 I \otimes_I \mathcal{O}_{X_1 I}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_2 \otimes_A \mathcal{O}_{X_1}}$ .*

We prove Lemma 3.6 in Appendix A on page 26.

#### 4. K-THEORY FOR CUNTZ–PIMSNER ALGEBRAS OF COMMUTING HILBERT BIMODULES

In this section we prove a generalisation of [18, Theorem 4.20] for general commuting right-Hilbert  $A$ -bimodules  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ , both with compact left actions and  $K_1(A) = 0$ , and an ideal  $I$  of  $A$  which is  $X_1$ - and  $X_2$ -invariant. While the conclusion of Theorem 4.5 looks very much like [18, Theorem 4.20], even our application of the theorem to rank-2 Daconu–Renault groupoids yields new results (see Theorem 6.4 below). Our proof is based on Theorem 4.1. Consequently our method of proof is very different from the one for [18, Theorem 4.20]. Notice that the Cuntz–Nica–Pimsner  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}$  of the product system  $\mathbf{X}$  induced by  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ , which we discussed in the introduction, is isomorphic by Theorem 3.1 to the Cuntz–Pimsner algebra of the bimodule  $Y_1 := X_2 \otimes_A \mathcal{O}_{X_1}$  appearing in the theorem.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $A$  be a separable  $C^*$ -algebra such that  $K_1(A) = 0$ . Let  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  be countably generated right-Hilbert  $A$ -bimodules with faithful compact left actions of  $A$  and such that  $X_1 \otimes_A X_2 \cong X_2 \otimes_A X_1$ . Let  $Y_1$  denote the right-Hilbert  $\mathcal{O}_{X_1}$ -bimodule  $X_2 \otimes_A \mathcal{O}_{X_1}$  from the Deaconu–Fletcher construction. Then the following diagram commutes:*

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & K_0(A) & \xrightarrow{1-[X_2]} & K_0(A) \\ & & & & \uparrow 1-[X_1] & & \uparrow 1-[X_1] \\ & & & & K_0(A) & \xrightarrow{1-[X_2]} & K_0(A) \\ & & & & \uparrow \partial & & \uparrow \partial \\ & & & & 0 & & 0 \\ & & & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ & & & & K_1(\mathcal{O}_{X_1}) & \xrightarrow{1-[Y_1]} & K_1(\mathcal{O}_{X_1}) \\ & & & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ & & & & K_0(\mathcal{O}_{X_1}) & \xrightarrow{(j_1)_*} & K_0(\mathcal{O}_{X_1}) \\ & & & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ & & & & K_0(\mathcal{O}_{X_1}) & \xrightarrow{1-[Y_1]} & K_0(\mathcal{O}_{X_1}) \\ & & & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ & & & & 0 & & 0 \\ & & & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ & & & & K_0(A) & \xrightarrow{1-[X_2]} & K_0(A) \\ & & & & \uparrow 1-[X_1] & & \uparrow 1-[X_1] \\ & & & & K_0(A) & \xrightarrow{1-[X_2]} & K_0(A) \\ & & & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ & & & & 0 & & 0 \\ & & & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ & & & & K_1(\mathcal{O}_{X_1}) & \xrightarrow{1-[Y_1]} & K_1(\mathcal{O}_{X_1}) \\ & & & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ & & & & K_0(\mathcal{O}_{X_1}) & \xrightarrow{1-[Y_1]} & K_0(\mathcal{O}_{X_1}) \\ & & & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ & & & & 0 & & 0 \\ & & & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ & & & & K_1(\mathcal{O}_{Y_1}) & \xleftarrow{(j_1)_*} & K_1(\mathcal{O}_{Y_1}) \\ & & & & \uparrow \partial & & \uparrow \partial \\ & & & & 0 & & 0 \end{array}$$

Furthermore, if  $I$  is an  $X_1$ - and  $X_2$ -invariant ideal of  $A$ , then  $X_1 I$  and  $X_2 I$  commute, and with  $Y_1^I := (X_2 I) \otimes_I \mathcal{O}_{X_1 I}$  the diagram is natural with respect to the right-Hilbert bimodule morphisms from Lemma 3.6.

To prove that the diagram (4.1) commutes, and in particular that the square on the right side involving the two maps  $\partial$  commutes, we will use the following proposition and Lemma 4.3 below.

**Proposition 4.2.** Let  $(\ell \circ k, \psi): (A, X_1) \rightarrow (\mathcal{T}_{X_2}, X_1 \otimes_A \mathcal{T}_{X_2})$  be the morphism of right-Hilbert bimodules from Lemma 3.3, and let

$$\eta: \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}_{X_1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}_{X_1 \otimes \mathcal{T}_{X_2}}) \text{ and } \theta: \mathcal{O}_{X_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_1 \otimes \mathcal{T}_{X_2}}$$

be the induced homomorphisms. Let  $(i_1, \varphi): (A, X_1) \rightarrow (\mathcal{T}_{X_2}, X_1 \otimes_A \mathcal{T}_{X_2})$  be the morphism of right-Hilbert bimodules from Lemma 3.4, and let

$$\rho: \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}_{X_1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}_{X_1 \otimes \mathcal{T}_{X_2}}) \text{ and } \sigma: \mathcal{O}_{X_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_1 \otimes \mathcal{T}_{X_2}}$$

be the induced homomorphisms. Finally, let  $J: \mathcal{O}_{X_1 \otimes \mathcal{T}_{X_2}} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{X_2} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_1}$  be the isomorphism of Lemma 3.5. Consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & & K_0(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}_{X_1 \otimes \mathcal{T}_{X_2}})) & & \\
 & & \eta_* & \nearrow & \uparrow \cong, k_* & \nwarrow & \rho_* \\
 & & & & (a) & & \\
 K_0(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}_{X_1})) & \xleftarrow{\cong, k_*} & K_0(A) & \xrightarrow{\cong, k_*} & K_0(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}_{X_2})) & \xrightarrow{\ell_*} & K_0(\mathcal{T}_{X_2}) & \xleftarrow{\cong, (i_1)_*} & K_0(A) & \xrightarrow{\cong, k_*} & K_0(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}_{X_1})) \\
 \uparrow \partial & & & (e) & & & (3') & \partial & (f) & & \uparrow \partial \\
 K_1(\mathcal{O}_{X_1}) & \xrightarrow{\cong, k_*} & K_1(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}_{X_2 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_1}})) & \xrightarrow{\ell_*} & K_1(\mathcal{T}_{X_2 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_1}}) & \xleftarrow{\cong, (i_1)_*} & K_1(\mathcal{O}_{X_1}) & & & & \\
 & & \theta_* & \searrow & \uparrow \cong, J_* & \swarrow & \sigma_* & & & & \\
 & & & & K_1(\mathcal{O}_{X_1 \otimes \mathcal{T}_{X_2}}) & & & & & & 
 \end{array}$$

Let (a)–(f) be the subdiagrams shown; in particular, (e) is formed by the leftmost  $\partial$ ,  $\eta_*$ ,  $\theta_*$  and the middle  $\partial$ , whereas (f) is formed by the middle  $\partial$ ,  $\rho_*$ ,  $\sigma_*$  and the rightmost  $\partial$ . Then the subdiagrams (a)–(f) commute.

*Proof.* We break this proof down into the six named subdiagrams. We have relegated some of the longer technical proofs of commutativity to the appendix.

*Subdiagram (a):* This diagram is induced by the following diagram of  $C^*$ -algebras which commutes by Lemma A.2 on page 26 in Appendix A:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 A & \xrightarrow{k} & \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}_{X_2}) & \xrightarrow{\ell} & \mathcal{T}_{X_2} \\
 \downarrow k & & & & \downarrow k \\
 \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}_{X_1}) & \xrightarrow{\eta} & & & \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}_{X_1 \otimes \mathcal{T}_{X_2}}).
 \end{array}$$

*Subdiagram (b):* This diagram is induced by the following diagram of  $C^*$ -algebras which commutes by Lemma A.3 on page 27:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{O}_{X_1} & \xrightarrow{k} & \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}_{X_2 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_1}}) \\
 \downarrow \theta & & \downarrow \ell \\
 \mathcal{O}_{X_1 \otimes \mathcal{T}_{X_2}} & \xrightarrow{J} & \mathcal{T}_{X_2} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_1}.
 \end{array}$$

*Subdiagram (c):* This diagram is induced by the following diagram of  $C^*$ -algebras which commutes by Lemma A.4 on page 28:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A & \xrightarrow{k} & \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}_{X_1}) \\
 \downarrow i_1 & & \downarrow \rho \\
 \mathcal{T}_{X_2} & \xrightarrow{k} & \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}_{X_1 \otimes \mathcal{T}_{X_2}}).
 \end{array}$$



*Subdiagram (d):* It suffices to show that the following diagram of  $C^*$ -algebras

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_{X_1} & \xrightarrow{i_1} & \mathcal{T}_{X_2 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_1}} \\ & \searrow \sigma & \uparrow J \\ & & \mathcal{O}_{X_1 \otimes \mathcal{T}_{X_2}} \end{array}$$

commutes. Fix  $\xi = \xi' \cdot \langle \xi', \xi' \rangle \in X_1$  and  $a \in A$ . Since  $\sigma$  is induced by  $(i_1, \varphi)$ , the formulas for  $J$  from Lemma 3.5 yield

$$\begin{aligned} J \circ \sigma(j_2(\xi)) &= J(j_2(\varphi(\xi))) = J(j_2(\xi' \otimes i_1(\langle \xi', \xi' \rangle))) = i_1(j_2(\xi)) \text{ and} \\ J \circ \sigma(j_1(a)) &= J(j_1(i_1(a))) = i_1(j_1(a)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $J \circ \sigma = i_1$ .

*Subdiagram (e):* Let  $(\ell \circ k, \psi): X_1 \rightarrow X_1 \otimes_A \mathcal{T}_{X_2}$  be the right-Hilbert  $A$ -bimodule morphism from Lemma 3.3. Let  $\eta: \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}_{X_1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}_{X_1 \otimes \mathcal{T}_{X_2}})$ ,  $\theta: \mathcal{T}_{X_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{X_1 \otimes \mathcal{T}_{X_2}}$  and  $\Upsilon: \mathcal{O}_{X_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_1 \otimes \mathcal{T}_{X_2}}$  be the induced homomorphisms. Then

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}_{X_1}) & \xrightarrow{\ell} & \mathcal{T}_{X_1} & \xrightarrow{q} & \mathcal{O}_{X_1} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \eta & & \downarrow \Upsilon & & \downarrow \theta \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}_{X_1 \otimes \mathcal{T}_{X_2}}) & \xrightarrow{\ell} & \mathcal{T}_{X_1 \otimes \mathcal{T}_{X_2}} & \xrightarrow{q} & \mathcal{O}_{X_1 \otimes \mathcal{T}_{X_2}} \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

commutes. It follows from the naturality of the index map as in [28, Proposition 9.1.5] that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_0(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}_{X_1})) & \xrightarrow{\eta} & K_0(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}_{X_1 \otimes \mathcal{T}_{X_2}})) \\ \partial \uparrow & & \partial \uparrow \\ K_1(\mathcal{O}_{X_1}) & \xrightarrow{\theta} & K_1(\mathcal{O}_{X_1 \otimes \mathcal{T}_{X_2}}) \end{array}$$

commutes, and hence subdiagram (e) commutes as well.

*Subdiagram (f):* As for diagram (e), this diagram commutes by naturality with respect to the right-Hilbert bimodule morphism  $\varphi: X_1 \rightarrow X_1 \otimes_A \mathcal{T}_{X_2}$  from Lemma 3.4.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $E$  be a right-Hilbert  $A$ -bimodule with faithful left action. Then*

$$(\ell \circ k)_* = (i_1)_* \circ (1 - [E]).$$

*Proof.* We show that the desired identity follows from [23, Lemma 4.7]. Most of the work boils down to reconciling Pimsner's notation with ours.

Pimsner's  $KK$ -class  $\alpha \in KK(A, \mathcal{T}_E)$  is the class of the inclusion  $i_1: A \hookrightarrow \mathcal{T}_E$  (see [23, Definition 4.1]), and [23, Theorem 4.4] shows that the class  $\beta \in KK(\mathcal{T}_E, A)$  appearing in [23, Lemma 4.7] is inverse to  $\alpha$ . Hence the conclusion of [23, Lemma 4.7] can be rearranged as

$$(4.2) \quad [\mathcal{E}_{+, I}] \hat{\otimes} (\iota_I - [E]) \hat{\otimes} \alpha = [j].$$

Pimsner's  $\mathcal{E}_+$  is the Fock space  $\mathcal{F}(E)$  (see the first displayed equation on [23, page 191]). The ideal  $I$  in [23, Lemma 4.7] is the preimage of  $\mathcal{K}(E)$  under the homomorphism that implements the left action; in our instance this is all of  $A$ , because we assume a compact left action. Hence  $\iota_I$  is the map induced by the identity homomorphism on  $A$ , which is the identity map  $1 = 1_{K_0(A)}$ ; so  $\iota_I - [E]$  is  $1 - [E]$ . Pimsner's  $\mathcal{E}_{+, I}$  is defined on [23, page 205] as the set  $\{\xi \in \mathcal{E}_+ : \langle \xi, \xi \rangle \in I\}$ , so in our instance is equal to  $\mathcal{F}(E)$ . So the Kasparov class  $[\mathcal{E}_{+, I}]$  appearing in [23, Lemma 4.7] is the Kasparov class in  $KK(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}(E)), A)$  determined by the imprimitivity bimodule  $\mathcal{F}(E)$ . The conjugate module, which implements the inverse  $KK$ -class, is the imprimitivity bimodule  $\mathcal{F}(E)^*$  determined by the inclusion of  $A$  as the full corner of  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}(E))$  corresponding to the summand  $A = E^{\otimes 0} \in \mathcal{F}(E)$ . This inclusion is the map that we call  $k$ , and so the Kasparov class  $[k] \in KK(A, \mathcal{F}(E))$  is inverse to  $[\mathcal{E}_{+, I}]$ . So we can rearrange (4.2) as

$$(4.3) \quad (1 - [E]) \hat{\otimes} \alpha = [k] \hat{\otimes} [j].$$

Pimsner writes  $j$  for the inclusion of  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}_X)$  in  $\mathcal{T}_X$  that we call  $\ell$ . By [3, Proposition 18.7.2(a)], we have  $[k] \hat{\otimes} [\ell] = [\ell \circ k]$ . Since and  $(i_1)_*(1 - [E]) = (1 - [E]) \hat{\otimes} [i_1] = (1 - [E]) \hat{\otimes} \alpha$ , (4.3) becomes

$$(4.4) \quad (i_1)_*(1 - [E]) = [\ell \circ k],$$



whereas tracing along the left and top gives

$$\cdot \hat{\otimes} (\mathcal{O}_{X_1} \hat{\otimes} Y_1) = \cdot \hat{\otimes} (\mathcal{O}_{X_1} \hat{\otimes} (X_2 \otimes_A \mathcal{O}_{X_1})) = \cdot \hat{\otimes} (\mathcal{O}_{X_1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X_1}} (X_2 \otimes_A \mathcal{O}_{X_1})).$$

Because all left actions involved are compact, the associated Fredholm operator can be chosen to be 0, and it suffices to show that

$$(4.6) \quad X_2 \otimes_A \mathcal{O}_{X_1} \cong \mathcal{O}_{X_1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X_1}} (X_2 \otimes_A \mathcal{O}_{X_1})$$

as right-Hilbert  $A$ - $\mathcal{O}_{X_1}$ -bimodules. Because  $Y_1$  is a right-Hilbert bimodule over  $\mathcal{O}_{X_1}$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_{X_1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X_1}} Y_1 \cong Y_1$  and thus (4.6) holds as an isomorphism of right-Hilbert  $\mathcal{O}_{X_1}$ -modules. But because the left action on  $X_2 \otimes_A \mathcal{O}_{X_1}$  restricts to multiplication by  $j_1(A)$ , (4.6) is an isomorphism of right-Hilbert  $A$ - $\mathcal{O}_{X_1}$ -bimodules as well.

*Square (3):* The diagram (3') of Proposition 4.2 commutes because the squares (a)–(f) do. By two applications of Lemma 4.3, the compositions  $(i_1)_*^{-1} \circ \ell_* \circ k_*$  along the top and bottom of the rectangle are  $1 - [X_2]$  and  $1 - [Y_1]$ , respectively. After identifying  $\delta$  with  $k \circ \delta$ , it follows that the square in (4.1) corresponding to Square (3) commutes (and hence (3) commutes).

It follows that diagram (4.5) commutes, and hence diagram (4.1) commutes as well. It remains to show that diagram (4.1) is natural with respect to the right-Hilbert bimodule morphisms of Lemma 3.6; again it suffices to show that diagram (4.5) is natural. It is “well-known” that Pimsner’s six-term sequence in  $KK$ -theory is natural for right-Hilbert bimodule morphisms, but we have not been able to find a proof in the literature; however, a proof will appear in [29].

Since the central six-term sequence is the Pimsner sequence associated to the right-Hilbert bimodule  $Y_1$ , it is natural with respect to the second pair of homomorphisms in Lemma 3.6; thus in particular the central horizontal five-term sequence is natural. Similarly, the four vertical sequences are natural with respect to the first pair in Lemma 3.6 because they come from independent six-term Pimsner sequences. We show that the square

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} KK_0(\mathbb{C}, A) & \xrightarrow{1 - \hat{\otimes} X_2} & KK_0(\mathbb{C}, A) \\ \cdot \hat{\otimes} A \uparrow & & \cdot \hat{\otimes} A \uparrow \\ KK_0(\mathbb{C}, I) & \xrightarrow{1 - \hat{\otimes} (X_2 I)} & KK_0(\mathbb{C}, I) \end{array}$$

commutes. In order to show that (4.7) commutes, it suffices to show that the essential submodules  $I \cdot {}_I(A \otimes_A X_2)_A$  and  $I \cdot {}_I(X_2 I \otimes_I A)_A$  of  ${}_I(A \otimes_A X_2)_A$  and  ${}_I(X_2 I \otimes_I A)_A$  are isomorphic. Now  ${}_A(A \otimes_A X_2)_A \cong {}_A(X_2)_A$  as right-Hilbert bimodules, but because  $I$  is invariant,  $IX_2 \subset X_2 I$  and hence the essential submodule of  ${}_I(X_2)_A$  is equal to  ${}_I(X_2)_I$ . Finally

$${}_I(X_2 I \otimes_I A)_A \cong {}_I(X_2 \otimes_I I)_A \cong {}_I(X_2 \otimes_I I)_I \cong {}_I(X_2)_I$$

and we have shown that (4.7) commutes. The square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} KK_0(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{O}_{X_1}) & \xrightarrow{1 - \hat{\otimes} Y_1} & KK_0(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{O}_{X_1}) \\ \cdot \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{O}_{X_1} \uparrow & & \cdot \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{O}_{X_1} \uparrow \\ KK_0(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{O}_{X_1 I}) & \xrightarrow{1 - \hat{\otimes} Y_1^I} & KK_0(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{O}_{X_1 I}), \end{array}$$

commutes by naturality of the central horizontal five-term sequence. Thus the entire diagram is natural with respect to the morphisms from Lemma 3.6.  $\square$

Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra, and let  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  be Hilbert  $A$ -bimodules with faithful compact left actions such that  $X_1 \otimes_A X_2 \cong X_2 \otimes_A X_1$ . Consider the homomorphism  $1 - [X_2]: K_0(A) \rightarrow K_0(A)$ . Since  $[X_1] = \cdot \hat{\otimes} X_1$  and  $[X_2] = \cdot \hat{\otimes} X_2$  commute,  $1 - [X_2]$  descends to a homomorphism

$$1 - \widetilde{[X_2]}: \text{coker}(1 - [X_1]) \rightarrow \text{coker}(1 - [X_1]),$$

and restricts to a homomorphism

$$1 - [X_2]|_{\ker(1 - [X_1])}: \ker(1 - [X_1]) \rightarrow \ker(1 - [X_1]).$$

We show that we can, as in [18], rewrite the cokernel and kernel of these two maps respectively in terms of the following maps:

$$(1 - [X_1], 1 - [X_2]): K_0(A) \oplus K_0(A) \rightarrow K_0(A), \quad (p, q) \mapsto (1 - [X_1])p + (1 - [X_2])q,$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 - [X_1] \\ 1 - [X_2] \end{pmatrix} : K_0(A) \rightarrow K_0(A) \oplus K_0(A), \quad p \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} p - [X_1]p \\ p - [X_2]p \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Lemma 4.4.** *The map  $p + \text{im}(1 - [X_1]) \mapsto p + \text{im}(1 - [X_1], 1 - [X_2])$  gives an isomorphism*

$$\text{coker} \left( 1 - \widetilde{[X_2]} : \text{coker}(1 - [X_1]) \rightarrow \text{coker}(1 - [X_1]) \right) \cong \text{coker}(1 - [X_1], 1 - [X_2]),$$

and

$$\ker \left( 1 - [X_2]|_{\ker(1 - [X_1])} : \ker(1 - [X_1]) \rightarrow \ker(1 - [X_1]) \right) = \ker \begin{pmatrix} 1 - [X_1] \\ 1 - [X_2] \end{pmatrix}.$$

*Proof.* For  $p \in K_0(A)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} p + \text{im}(1 - [X_1]) \in \text{im}(1 - \widetilde{[X_2]}) & \\ \iff p - (1 - [X_1])q \in \text{im}(1 - [X_2]) \text{ for some } q \in K_0(A) & \\ \iff p = (1 - [X_1])q + (1 - [X_2])t \text{ for some } p, q, t \in K_0(A) & \\ \iff p \in \text{im}(1 - [X_1], 1 - [X_2]). & \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $p + \text{im}(1 - [X_1]) \mapsto p + \text{im}(1 - [X_1], 1 - [X_2])$ , which is clearly surjective from  $\text{coker}(1 - \widetilde{[X_2]})$  to  $\text{coker}(1 - [X_1], 1 - [X_2])$ , is also injective, and hence is an isomorphism. Since,

$$\ker(1 - [X_2]|_{\ker(1 - [X_1])}) = \ker(1 - [X_1]) \cap \ker(1 - [X_2]) = \ker \begin{pmatrix} 1 - [X_1] \\ 1 - [X_2] \end{pmatrix},$$

we are done.  $\square$

We now have the tools to prove our main  $K$ -theoretic result which is a generalisation of [18, Theorem 4.20] for general commuting right-Hilbert bimodules with compact left actions and coefficient algebra with trivial  $K_1$ -group. Equation 4.8 generalises an analogous exact sequence proved in [12, Theorem 6.10] for rank-2 Deaconu–Renault groupoids, but (4.9) is new even for rank-2 Deaconu–Renault groupoids—see Theorem 6.4 below.

**Theorem 4.5.** *Let  $A$  be a separable  $C^*$ -algebra with  $K_1(A) = 0$ . Let  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  be countably generated right-Hilbert  $A$ -bimodules with faithful compact left actions of  $A$  such that  $X_1 \otimes_A X_2 \cong X_2 \otimes_A X_1$ . Let  $Y_1$  denote the right-Hilbert bimodule  ${}_{\mathcal{O}_{X_1}}(X_2 \otimes_A \mathcal{O}_{X_1})_{\mathcal{O}_{X_1}}$  from the Deaconu–Fletcher construction of §3, and write  $j_1^{(1)} : A \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_1}$  and  $j_1^{(2)} : \mathcal{O}_{X_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y_1}$  for the canonical inclusion maps, and define  $j : \text{coker}(1 - [X_1], 1 - [X_2]) \rightarrow K_0(\mathcal{O}_{Y_1})$  by*

$$j(p + \text{im}(1 - [X_1], 1 - [X_2])) = [(j_1^{(2)} \circ j_1^{(1)})_*(p)], \quad \text{for all } p \in K_0(A).$$

Then the composition  $\tau := \partial \circ \partial : K_0(\mathcal{O}_{Y_1}) \rightarrow K_0(A)$  in the diagram (4.1) makes the sequence

$$(4.8) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \text{coker}(1 - [X_1], 1 - [X_2]) \xrightarrow{j} K_0(\mathcal{O}_{Y_1}) \xrightarrow{\tau} \ker \begin{pmatrix} 1 - [X_1] \\ 1 - [X_2] \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow 0$$

exact. Suppose that  $I$  is an  $X_1$ - and  $X_2$ -invariant ideal and let  $Y_1^I$  denote the right-Hilbert bimodule  ${}_{\mathcal{O}_{X_1^I}}(X_2 I \otimes_I \mathcal{O}_{X_1^I})_{\mathcal{O}_{X_1^I}}$ . Let  $\iota : I \hookrightarrow A$  be the inclusion. Then there is a map

$$\tilde{\iota} : \text{coker}(1 - [X_1 I], 1 - [X_2 I]) \rightarrow \text{coker}(1 - [X_1], 1 - [X_2]),$$

such that

$$\tilde{\iota}(p + \text{im}(1 - [X_1 I], 1 - [X_2 I])) = \iota_*(p) + \text{im}(1 - [X_1], 1 - [X_2]).$$

Let  $\phi : \mathcal{O}_{Y_1^I} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y_1}$  be the homomorphism of Lemma 3.6 and  $j_I$  be the map analogous to  $j$  from  $\text{coker}(1 - [X_1 I], 1 - [X_2 I]) \rightarrow K_0(\mathcal{O}_{Y_1^I})$ . Then the diagram

$$(4.9) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{coker}(1 - [X_1 I], 1 - [X_2 I]) & \xrightarrow{j_I} & K_0(\mathcal{O}_{Y_1^I}) & \xrightarrow{\tau_I} & \ker \begin{pmatrix} 1 - [X_1 I] \\ 1 - [X_2 I] \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \tilde{\iota} & & \downarrow \phi_* & & \downarrow \iota_* \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{coker}(1 - [X_1], 1 - [X_2]) & \xrightarrow{j} & K_0(\mathcal{O}_{Y_1}) & \xrightarrow{\tau} & \ker \begin{pmatrix} 1 - [X_1] \\ 1 - [X_2] \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

commutes and has exact rows.

*Proof.* Consider the left part of the commuting diagram in Theorem 4.1:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K_0(A) & \xrightarrow{1-[X_1]} & K_0(A) & \xrightarrow{(j_1^{(1)})_*} & K_0(\mathcal{O}_{X_1}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & \downarrow 1-[X_2] & \downarrow 1-[X_2] & & \downarrow 1-[Y_1] & & \\ K_0(A) & \xrightarrow{1-[X_1]} & K_0(A) & \xrightarrow{(j_1^{(1)})_*} & K_0(\mathcal{O}_{X_1}) & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

Let  $(j_1^{(1)})_*^\sim: \text{coker}(1 - [X_1]) \rightarrow K_0(\mathcal{O}_{X_1})$  be the isomorphism induced by  $(j_1^{(1)})_*$ . By [18, Remark 2.2] we obtain the commuting square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{coker}(1 - [X_1]) & \xrightarrow{(j_1^{(1)})_*^\sim} & K_0(\mathcal{O}_{X_1}) \\ \downarrow 1-[\widetilde{X_2}] & & \downarrow 1-[Y_1] \\ \text{coker}(1 - [X_1]) & \xrightarrow{(j_1^{(1)})_*^\sim} & K_0(\mathcal{O}_{X_1}). \end{array}$$

Likewise, let  $\tilde{\partial}: K_1(\mathcal{O}_{X_1}) \rightarrow \ker(1 - [X_1])$  be the isomorphism induced by  $\partial$ . Then the right part

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & K_1(\mathcal{O}_{X_1}) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & K_0(A) & \xrightarrow{1-[X_1]} & K_0(A) \\ & & \downarrow 1-[Y_1] & & \downarrow 1-[X_2] & & \downarrow 1-[X_2] \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & K_1(\mathcal{O}_{X_1}) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & K_0(A) & \xrightarrow{1-[X_1]} & K_0(A) \end{array}$$

of the commuting diagram in Theorem 4.1 shows that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_1(\mathcal{O}_{X_1}) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \ker(1 - [X_1]) \\ \downarrow 1-[Y_1] & & \downarrow 1-[X_2]|_{\ker(1-[X_1])} \\ K_1(\mathcal{O}_{X_1}) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \ker(1 - [X_1]) \end{array}$$

commutes. Both of these new squares are natural with respect to the desired right-Hilbert bimodule morphisms. Hence we obtain the commuting diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & \ker(1 - [X_1]) & \xrightarrow{1-[X_2]|_{\ker(1-[X_1])}} & \ker(1 - [X_1]) \\ & & & & \cong \uparrow & & \cong \uparrow \\ K_0(\mathcal{O}_{X_1}) & \xrightarrow{1-[Y_1]} & K_0(\mathcal{O}_{X_1}) & \xrightarrow{(j_1^{(2)})_*} & K_0(\mathcal{O}_{Y_1}) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & K_1(\mathcal{O}_{X_1}) & \xrightarrow{1-[Y_1]} & K_1(\mathcal{O}_{X_1}). \\ (j_1^{(1)})_*^\sim \uparrow & & (j_1^{(1)})_*^\sim \uparrow & & & & & & \\ \text{coker}(1 - [X_1]) & \xrightarrow{1-[\widetilde{X_2}]} & \text{coker}(1 - [X_1]) & & & & & & \end{array}$$

Let  $j = (j_1^{(2)})_* \circ (j_1^{(1)})_*^\sim$ . Then we get the exact sequence

$$\text{coker}(1 - [X_1]) \xrightarrow{1-[\widetilde{X_2}]} \text{coker}(1 - [X_1]) \xrightarrow{j} K_0(\mathcal{O}_{Y_1}) \longrightarrow \ker(1 - [X_1]) \xrightarrow{1-[X_2]|_{\ker(1-[X_1])}} \ker(1 - [X_1]).$$

Let  $j: \text{coker}(1 - [\widetilde{X_2}]) \rightarrow K_0(\mathcal{O}_{Y_1})$  be the homomorphism induced by  $j$ . Applying the first isomorphism theorem to both sides of the above sequence gives the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{coker}(1 - [\widetilde{X_2}]) \xrightarrow{j} K_0(\mathcal{O}_{Y_1}) \longrightarrow \ker(1 - [X_2]) \longrightarrow 0.$$

By Lemma 4.4, composing by the appropriate isomorphisms and relabelling  $j$  gives the desired short exact sequence and the description of  $j$ . Since Square (5) in the proof of Theorem 4.1 commutes,  $\tilde{i}: \text{coker}(1 - [X_1 I], 1 - [X_2 I]) \rightarrow \text{coker}(1 - [X_1], 1 - [X_2])$  (see Theorem 4.5) is well defined and  $\iota_*: K_0(I) \rightarrow K_0(A)$  maps  $\ker \begin{pmatrix} 1-[X_1 I] \\ 1-[X_2 I] \end{pmatrix}$  into  $(\ker \begin{pmatrix} 1-[X_1] \\ 1-[X_2] \end{pmatrix})$ . The naturality of the big diagram in Theorem 4.1 with respect to the right-Hilbert bimodule morphisms of Lemma 3.6 completes the proof.  $\square$

5.  $K$ -THEORY FOR CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS ON TOTALLY DISCONNECTED SPACES

The purpose of this self-contained section is to prove Theorem 5.2, which we need to pull over the abstract  $K$ -theoretic results from §4 to the Hilbert modules associated to a rank-2 Deaconu–Renault groupoid.

Throughout this section, let  $X$  and  $Y$  be second-countable totally disconnected locally compact Hausdorff spaces, and let  $T: X \rightarrow Y$  be a surjective local homeomorphism. We now define the maps appearing in the commuting diagram of Theorem 5.2, starting with the right-Hilbert  $C_0(Y)$ -module  $E_T$ . For  $\xi, \eta \in C_c(X)$  and  $b \in C_0(Y)$  define

$$\langle \xi, \eta \rangle_{C_0(Y)}(y) = \sum_{Tx=y} \overline{\xi(x)}\eta(x) \text{ and } (\xi \cdot b)(x) = \xi(x)b(Tx).$$

Let  $E_T$  be the completion of  $C_c(X)$  under the norm induced by the inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{C_0(Y)}$ . Then  $E_T$  is a full right-Hilbert  $C_0(Y)$ -module. In addition, for  $\xi \in C_c(X)$  and  $a \in C_0(X)$  define  $a \cdot \xi(x) = a(x)\xi(x)$ ; this extends to an action of  $C_0(X)$  on  $E_T$  by compact operators. To see the last statement, let  $U$  be a compact and open subset of  $X$  such that  $T|_U$  is injective. Then

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{aligned} (\Theta_{1_U, 1_U}(\xi))(x) &= (1_U \cdot \langle 1_U, \xi \rangle_{C_0(Y)})(x) = 1_U(x) \sum_{T(z)=T(x)} \overline{1_U(z)}\xi(z) \\ &= 1_U(x)\xi(x) = (1_U \cdot \xi)(x). \end{aligned}$$

By [28, Exercise 3.4], for each compact open subset  $V \subset X$ , there is an isomorphism from  $C(V, \mathbb{Z})$  to  $K_0(C(V))$  that carries the indicator function  $1_U$  of a compact open set  $U \subset V$  to the  $K_0$  class  $[1_U]_0$ . Continuity of  $K$ -theory implies that there is an isomorphism  $\sigma^X: C_c(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow K_0(C_0(X))$  such that

$$(5.2) \quad \sigma^X(1_U) = [1_U]_0 \text{ for each compact open } U \subset X.$$

By Theorem 3.8 of [2] there is an isomorphism

$$\Theta^X: K_0(C_0(X)) \rightarrow KK_0(\mathbb{C}, C_0(X))$$

such that, for each compact open set  $U \subset \Omega$ ,

$$\Theta^X([1_U]_0) = [z \mapsto z1_{C(U)}, C(U) \oplus 0, 0, \text{id} \oplus (-\text{id})];$$

the latter is the class of the Kasparov module obtained by regarding  $C(U)$  as a trivially graded right-Hilbert module over itself with left action of  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  as multiplication by  $z1_U$ . (We refer the reader to [3] for details on Kasparov modules and their product.) Let  $T_*: C_c(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow C_c(Y, \mathbb{Z})$  be the map

$$(T_*h)(y) = \sum_{Tx=y} h(x);$$

if  $U$  is a compact open set for which  $T|_U: U \rightarrow T(U)$  is a homeomorphism, then  $T_*(1_U) = 1_{T(U)}$ .

**Lemma 5.1.** *There is a unique homomorphism*

$$\rho_T: K_0(C_0(X)) \rightarrow K_0(C_0(Y))$$

such that  $\rho_T(1_U) = 1_{T(U)}$  for compact open  $U \subset X$  such that  $T|_U$  is a homeomorphism.

*Proof.* Since  $E_T$  is a full right-Hilbert  $C_0(Y)$ -module, we view it as a  $\mathcal{K}(E_T)$ – $C_0(Y)$ -imprimitivity bimodule by [24, Proposition 3.8]. Since  $\mathcal{K}(E_T)$  and  $C_0(Y)$  are full corners in the linking algebra  $L(E_T)$  of  $E_T$ , inclusion induces isomorphisms

$$i_{\mathcal{K}(E_T)}: K_0(\mathcal{K}(E_T)) \rightarrow K_0(L(E_T)) \text{ and } i_{C_0(Y)}: K_0(C_0(Y)) \rightarrow K_0(L(E_T))$$

by [22, Proposition 1.2].

Fix a compact open  $U \subset X$  such that  $T|_U$  is a homeomorphism. By (5.1), the left action of  $C_0(X)$  on  $E_T$  is given by  $\varphi: C_0(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(E_T)$  where  $\varphi(1_U) = \Theta_{1_U, 1_U}$ . Let  $\varphi_*: K_0(C_0(X)) \rightarrow K_0(\mathcal{K}(E_T))$  be the induced homomorphism. The inclusions of  $\Theta_{1_U, 1_U} = \mathcal{K}(E_T)\langle 1_U, 1_U \rangle$  and  $1_{T(U)} = \langle 1_U, 1_U \rangle_{C_0(Y)}$  in  $L(E_T)$  are Murray–von Neumann equivalent projections via the partial isometry  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1_U \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Thus

$$i_{C_0(Y)}^{-1} \circ i_{\mathcal{K}(E_T)} \circ \varphi_*([1_U]_0) = i_{C_0(Y)}^{-1} \circ i_{\mathcal{K}(E_T)}([\Theta_{1_U, 1_U}]_0) = [1_{T(U)}]_0,$$

and  $\rho_T := i_{C_0(Y)}^{-1} \circ i_{\mathcal{K}}(E_T) \circ \varphi_*$  is the required homomorphism.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.2.** *Let  $X, Y$  be second-countable totally disconnected locally compact Hausdorff spaces, and let  $T: X \rightarrow Y$  be a surjective local homeomorphism. Let  $\sigma^X, \Theta^X, T_*, \rho_T$  and  $\cdot \hat{\otimes} E_T$  be as described above. Then the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & C_c(X, \mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{T_*} & C_c(Y, \mathbb{Z}) & \\
 \sigma^X \swarrow & & & & \searrow \sigma^Y \\
 K_0(C_0(X)) & \xrightarrow[\Theta^X]{} & KK_0(\mathbb{C}, C_0(X)) & \xrightarrow{\cdot \hat{\otimes} E_T} & KK_0(\mathbb{C}, C_0(Y)) & \xleftarrow[\Theta^Y]{} & K_0(C_0(Y)) \\
 & \searrow & & & \nearrow & & \\
 & & \rho_T & & & & 
 \end{array}$$

commutes. In particular, in the notation of (2.1) we have  $\rho_T = [E_T]$ .

*Proof.* Since all the maps are known homomorphisms, it suffices to trace a generator of  $C_c(X, \mathbb{Z})$  around the diagram. We fix a compact open subset  $U$  of  $X$  such that  $T|_U$  is a homeomorphism and consider the generator  $1_U$ . We need to see that  $\Theta^X \circ \sigma^X(1_U) \hat{\otimes} [E_T] = \Theta^Y \circ \sigma^Y \circ T_*(1_U)$ ; that is,

$$[z \mapsto z1_{C(U)}, C(U), 0, \text{id}] \hat{\otimes} [E_T] = [z \mapsto z1_{C(T(U))}, C(T(U)), 0, \text{id}].$$

Write  $h \cdot \xi$  is the left action of  $h \in C(U) \subset C_0(X)$  on  $\xi \in C_c(X) \subset E_T$ . We show that there is a unitary from  $C(U) \otimes_{C_0(X)} E_T$  to  $C(T(U))$  that intertwines the left actions and automorphisms; that is, a right-Hilbert  $C_0(X)$ - $C_0(Y)$  isomorphism

$$\Upsilon: C(U) \otimes_{C_0(X)} E_T \rightarrow C(T(U))$$

such that  $\Upsilon(h \otimes \xi) = (h \cdot \xi) \circ (T|_U)^{-1}$ .

Fix  $g, h \in C(U)$ ,  $\xi, \eta \in C_c(X)$  and  $y \in Y$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \langle \Upsilon(g \otimes \xi), \Upsilon(h \otimes \eta) \rangle_{C_0(Y)}(y) \\
 &= \langle (g \cdot \xi) \circ (T|_U)^{-1}, (h \cdot \eta) \circ (T|_U)^{-1} \rangle_{C_0(Y)}(y) \\
 &= \langle (g \cdot \xi) \circ (T|_U)^{-1} \rangle^*(y) \langle (h \cdot \eta) \circ (T|_U)^{-1} \rangle(y) \\
 &= \overline{g((T|_U)^{-1}(y)) \xi((T|_U)^{-1}(y))} h((T|_U)^{-1}(y)) \eta((T|_U)^{-1}(y)) \\
 &= \sum_{\{x: Tx=y\}} h(x) \overline{g(x) \xi(x)} \eta(x) \\
 &= \sum_{\{x: Tx=y\}} \overline{\langle h, g \rangle_{C_0(X)} \cdot \xi}(x) \eta(x) \\
 &= \langle \langle h, g \rangle_{C_0(X)} \cdot \xi, \eta \rangle_{C_0(Y)}(y).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\Upsilon$  is isometric on  $C(U) \otimes_{C_0(X)} C_c(X)$ . Notice that  $\Upsilon$  is onto: if  $f \in C(T(U))$ , then

$$\Upsilon(1_U \otimes f \circ T|_U)(y) = ((1_U \cdot (f \circ T|_U)) \circ T|_U^{-1})(y) = f(y).$$

(Now  $\Upsilon$  is an isomorphism of  $C(U) \otimes_{C_0(X)} C_c(X)$  onto  $C(T(U))$ , so  $C(U) \otimes_{C_0(X)} C_c(X)$  is already complete.)

Next, also fix  $b \in C_0(Y)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Upsilon(h \otimes \xi) \cdot b(y) &= (h \cdot \xi) \circ (T|_U)^{-1}(y) b(y) \\
 &= h((T|_U)^{-1}(y)) \xi((T|_U)^{-1}(y)) b(y) \\
 &= h((T|_U)^{-1}(y)) (\xi \cdot b)((T|_U)^{-1}(y)) \\
 &= \Upsilon(h \otimes \xi \cdot b)(y).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\Upsilon$  intertwines the right actions. To see that  $\Upsilon$  intertwines the left actions we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \Upsilon((1 \mapsto 1_U \otimes 1) \cdot h \otimes \xi)(y) &= ((1_U h) \cdot \xi)((T|_U)^{-1}(y)) \\ &= 1_U((T|_U)^{-1}(y))h((T|_U)^{-1}(y))\xi((T|_U)^{-1}(y)) \\ &= 1_{T(U)}(y)h((T|_U)^{-1}(y))\xi((T|_U)^{-1}(y)) \\ &= ((1 \mapsto 1_{T(U)}) \cdot \Upsilon(h \otimes \xi))(y). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we trivially have  $\Upsilon \circ (\text{id} \otimes 1) = \text{id} \circ \Upsilon$ . Thus we have shown that  $\Upsilon$  is the required unitary. It follows that  $\Theta^X \circ \sigma^X(1_U) \hat{\otimes} [E_T] = \Theta^Y \circ \sigma^Y \circ T_*(1_U)$ , as needed to conclude that the top half of the diagram commutes. Since  $\rho_T([1_U]_0) = [1_{T(U)}]_0$ , this also proves that the bottom half of the diagram commutes.  $\square$

## 6. EXAMPLE: $K$ -THEORY FOR RANK-TWO DEACONU–RENAULT GROUPOIDS

In this section we apply the  $K$ -theoretic results of §4 and §5 to the  $C^*$ -algebra of a rank-2 Deaconu–Renault groupoid and an ideal arising from an invariant open subset of the unit space of the groupoid. The main result of the section is Theorem 6.4.

For the genesis of groupoid  $C^*$ -algebras, we refer the reader to [26]. The first examples of what we now call Deaconu–Renault groupoids appeared there [26, Definition III.2.1] as models for the Cuntz algebras, and were generalised to graph groupoids in [21]. The general construction, for a single local homeomorphism of a locally compact Hausdorff space, was introduced in [9]. Here we follow the conventions and notation of [31] (for Deaconu–Renault groupoids specifically, see [31, Examples 8.1.16 and 8.3.7]).

Throughout this section, let  $\Omega$  be a second-countable totally disconnected locally compact Hausdorff space, and let  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  be commuting surjective local homeomorphisms on  $\Omega$ . Equivalently,  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  give an action of  $\mathbb{N}^2$  on  $\Omega$  by local homeomorphisms.

**Background on Deaconu–Renault groupoids.** For  $m = (m_1, m_2) \in \mathbb{N}^2$  we write  $T^m$  for  $(T_1)^{m_1}(T_2)^{m_2}$ . The corresponding *Deaconu–Renault groupoid* from [9] is the set

$$(6.1) \quad G_T := \bigcup_{m, n \in \mathbb{N}^2} \{(x, m - n, y) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{Z}^2 \times \Omega : T^m x = T^n y\}$$

with unit space  $G_T^{(0)} = \{(x, 0, x) : x \in \Omega\}$  identified with  $\Omega$ , range and source maps  $r(x, n, y) = x$  and  $s(x, n, y) = y$ , and operations  $(x, n, y)(y, m, z) = (x, n + m, z)$  and  $(x, n, y)^{-1} = (y, -n, x)$ . For compact open sets  $U, V \subset \Omega$  and for  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}^2$ , set

$$(6.2) \quad Z(U, m, n, V) := \{(x, m - n, y) : x \in U, y \in V \text{ and } T^m x = T^n y\}.$$

The sets at (6.2) form a basis of compact open sets for a locally compact Hausdorff topology on  $G_T$ . Furthermore, the sets  $Z(U, m, n, V)$  such that in addition  $T^m|_U$  and  $T^n|_V$  are homeomorphisms and  $T^m(U) = T^n(V)$  are a basis for the same topology. With respect to this basis,  $G_T$  is an ample second-countable locally compact Hausdorff groupoid—see, for example, [32, Lemma 3.1] (there  $\Omega$  may not be totally disconnected, and so their  $G_T$  may only be étale).

**The product system from [7, §5].** A topological 2-graph  $\Lambda_T$  can be thought of as either a higher-rank generalisation of a topological graph, or as a topological generalisation of a discrete higher-rank graph; see [36] for details. We define a topological 2-graph, whose infinite-path groupoid is isomorphic to  $G_T$ , as follows:

- $\text{Obj}(\Lambda_T) := \Omega$ ;
- $\text{Mor}(\Lambda_T) := \{(x, n, y) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{N}^k \times \Omega : T^n x = y\}$ ;
- $d(x, n, y) = n$ ,  $r(x, n, y) = x$  and  $s(x, n, y) = y$ .

It is not hard to check that this satisfies factorisation and gives a source-free proper topological 2-graph. The boundary path groupoid  $G_{\Lambda_T}$  in the sense of Yeend [36] has as units the space of infinite paths  $\Lambda_T^\infty$  of the topological 2-graph since it is source-free and proper (see [1, p. 1448]). Furthermore, the infinite-path space is homeomorphic to  $\Omega$  via the map  $\Lambda_T^\infty \rightarrow \Omega$  that sends an infinite path  $\zeta$  to its range vertex  $\zeta(0) \in \Omega$ . After identifying  $\Omega$  and  $\Lambda_T^\infty$ , the boundary-path groupoid  $G_{\Lambda_T}$  equals the Deaconu–Renault groupoid  $G_T$ .

We use the construction in [7, §5] to build a product system:



- For each  $m \in \mathbb{N}^2$ , let  $\Lambda_T^m := d^{-1}(m) = \{(x, m, y) \in \Lambda_T : T^m x = y\}$ ;
- For each  $m \in \mathbb{N}^2$ , define  $E_{T^m}$  to be the right-Hilbert  $C_0(\Omega)$ -bimodule associated to the surjective local homeomorphism  $T^m: \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$  as defined in §5. Note that  $E_{T^m}$  is the topological-graph module associated to the topological (1-graph)  $(\Omega, \Omega, \text{id}, T^m)$  which is isomorphic to the topological graph  $(\Lambda^0, \Lambda^m, r|_{\Lambda^m}, s|_{\Lambda^m})$ . Hence, writing  $\mathbf{X}_m := E_{T^m}$ , we can apply all of [7, Sec. 5] to the family  $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{T}} := \bigsqcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}^2} \mathbf{X}_m$ ;
- For  $f \in \mathbf{X}_m$  and  $g \in \mathbf{X}_n$ , define  $fg: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by  $(fg)(x) = f(x)g(T^m x)$ ; this  $fg$  lives in  $\mathbf{X}_{n+m}$ .

Under this multiplication, the family  $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{T}} := \bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}^k} X_n$  of right-Hilbert  $C_0(\Omega)$ -bimodules is a compactly aligned product system over  $\mathbb{N}^2$ , and according to [7, Theorem 5.20], the Cuntz–Nica–Pimsner algebra  $\mathcal{NO}_{\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{T}}}$  associated to  $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{T}}$  is isomorphic to the  $C^*$ -algebra  $C^*(G_{\Lambda_T})$  of the boundary-path groupoid and hence isomorphic to  $C^*(G_T)$ . The isomorphism

$$S': \mathcal{NO}_{\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{T}}} \rightarrow C^*(G_T)$$

works as follows: for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}^2$ , there exists a map  $\psi_m: \mathbf{X}_m \rightarrow C^*(G_T)$  such that, for  $f \in C_c(\Omega) \subset \mathbf{X}_m$  and  $(x, p, y) \in G_T$ ,

$$\psi_m(f)(x, p, y) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{if } p = m \text{ and } T^m x = y, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

and then  $S'$  maps  $j_{\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{T}}}(f)$  to  $\psi_m(f)$  (see [7, Theorem 5.20]: our map  $\psi_m$  is the composition of the map from the top left to the bottom right in the diagram of [7, Theorem 5.20] with the canonical inclusion of  $\mathbf{X}_m$  in  $\mathcal{T}_{\text{cov}}(\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{T}})$ ).

**Applying the Deaconu–Fletcher construction.** We now apply the Deaconu–Fletcher construction discussed in §3 to the product system above, with

$$A := C_0(\Omega), X_1 := \mathbf{X}_{(1,0)} = E_{T_1} \text{ and } X_2 := \mathbf{X}_{(0,1)} = E_{T_2}.$$

Then  $Y_1 := X_2 \otimes_A \mathcal{O}_{X_1}$  is a right-Hilbert  $\mathcal{O}_{X_1}$ -bimodule (and not only an  $A$ - $\mathcal{O}_{X_1}$ -bimodule), and  $\mathcal{O}_{Y_1} \cong \mathcal{NO}_{\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{T}}} \cong C^*(G_T)$  by Theorem 3.1. Furthermore, the composition

$$(6.3) \quad S: \mathcal{O}_{Y_1} \rightarrow C^*(G_T)$$

of these isomorphisms satisfies the following: for  $a \in A$ ,  $\xi \in X_1$  and  $\eta \in X_2$ , define  $\eta\xi: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by  $(\eta\xi)(x) = \eta(x)\xi(Tx)$ ; then by Remark 3.2,

$$(6.4) \quad \begin{aligned} S(j_1(j_1(a))) &= \psi_0(a), \\ S(j_1(j_2(\xi))) &= \psi_{(1,0)}(\xi), \\ S(j_2(\eta \otimes j_1(a))) &= \psi_{(1,0)}(\eta \cdot a), \text{ and} \\ S(j_2(\eta \otimes j_2(\xi))) &= \psi_{(1,1)}(\eta\xi). \end{aligned}$$

The first of these equations will be particularly important later, so we expand on our shortened notation for future reference: the map  $\psi_0: A \rightarrow C^*(G_T)$  is given by

$$\psi_0(a)(x, p, y) = \begin{cases} a(x) & \text{if } p = 0 \text{ and } x = y, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and then

$$(6.5) \quad S(j_1^{(2)}(j_1^{(1)}(a))) = \psi_0(a) \in C^*(G_T).$$

**Invariant ideals and subsets.** Let  $H$  be an open subset of  $\Omega$  that is invariant for the dynamics and consider the ideal  $I := C_0(H)$  of  $A = C_0(\Omega)$ . The two possible definitions of “invariant” coincide:

**Lemma 6.1.** *Let  $H \subset \Omega$ . Then  $H$  is invariant under pre-images and images of  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  if and only if  $H$  is an invariant subset of the unit space  $\Omega$  of  $G_T$ , that is,  $r(s^{-1}(H)) = H$ .*

*Proof.* First suppose that  $H$  is invariant under pre-images and images of  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ . We always have  $H \subset r(s^{-1}(H))$ . Let  $y \in r(s^{-1}(H))$ . Then there exists  $(y, p - q, x) \in G_T$  with  $x \in H$ . Then  $T^p y = T^q x$  implies  $y \in (T^p)^{-1}(T^q(H)) \subset H$ . Thus  $r(s^{-1}(H)) = H$ .

Suppose that  $r(s^{-1}(H)) = H$ . Let  $x \in H$ . Then  $(T_i x, -e_i, x) \in G_T$  implies  $T_i x \in r(s^{-1}(H)) = H$ , giving  $T_i(H) \subset H$ . Let  $y \in T_i^{-1}(H)$ , say  $T_i(y) = x \in H$ . Then  $(y, e_i, x) \in G_T$  implies  $y \in r(s^{-1}(H)) = H$ , giving  $T_i^{-1}(H) \subset H$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 6.2.** *Let  $H$  be an open invariant subset of the unit space  $\Omega$  of  $G_T$  and let  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ .*

- (1)  $C_0(H)$  is an  $X_i$ -invariant ideal of  $C_0(\Omega)$ ; that is  $C_0(H)X_i \subset X_i C_0(H)$ .
- (2) The inclusion  $\iota_H: C_c(H) \rightarrow C_c(\Omega)$  extends to an isomorphism of the right-Hilbert  $C_0(H)$ -bimodule  $X_i^H := E_{T_i|_H}$  onto  $X_i C_0(H)$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 6.1,  $H$  is invariant under pre-images and images of  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ . Fix  $f \in C_0(H)$  and  $\xi \in X_i$ . We must show that  $f \cdot \xi \in X_i C_0(H)$ . By linearity and continuity, it suffices to consider  $f = 1_U$  for some  $U \subset H$  for which  $T_i|_U: U \rightarrow T_i(U)$  is a homeomorphism of compact open sets. Then  $1_{T_i(U)} \in C_0(H)$  because  $H$  is invariant under  $T_i$ , and so

$$1_U \cdot \xi = (1_U \cdot \xi) \cdot 1_{T_i(U)} \in X_i C_0(H).$$

Thus  $C_0(H)$  is  $X_i$ -invariant, proving (1).

Write  $\text{CO}_T(\Omega)$  (respectively  $\text{CO}_T(H)$ ) for the collections of compact open subsets  $U$  of  $\Omega$  (respectively  $H$ ) such that  $T_1|_U$  and  $T_2|_U$  are homeomorphisms onto their ranges. Fix  $U, V \in \text{CO}_T(H)$ . Then

$$\langle \iota_H(1_U), \iota_H(1_V) \rangle_{C_0(\Omega)} = 1_{T_i(U) \cap T_i(V)} = \iota_H(\langle 1_U, 1_V \rangle_{C_0(H)}).$$

So by linearity and continuity,  $\iota_H$  extends to an isometric linear map, also denoted  $\iota_H$ , from  $X_i^H$  to  $X_i$ . For  $U \in \text{CO}_T(H)$ , we have  $1_U = 1_U \cdot 1_{T_i(U)} \in X_i C_0(H)$ , and so the range of  $\iota_H$  is contained in  $X_i C_0(H)$ . Furthermore, for  $U \in \text{CO}_T(\Omega)$  and  $V \in \text{CO}_T(H)$ , we have  $1_U \cdot \iota_H(1_V) = 1_{U \cap T^{-1}(V)}$ . Hence  $C_c(\Omega) \iota_H(C_c(H)) = \iota_H(C_c(H))$  and since  $C_c(\Omega) \iota_H(C_c(H))$  is dense in  $X_i C_0(H)$ , we deduce that  $\iota_H(X_i^H) = X_i C_0(H)$ . Checking that  $\iota_H$  respects the left and right actions is straightforward, proving (2).  $\square$

Let  $H \subset \Omega$  be an open invariant subset of the unit space of  $G_T$ . Then the restriction

$$(G_T)|_H = \{\gamma \in G_T : r(\gamma), s(\gamma) \in H\}$$

is a locally compact Hausdorff ample groupoid. It follows from Lemma 6.1 that the groupoid  $(G_T)|_H$  coincides with the Deaconu–Renault groupoid  $G_{T|_H}$  of the restricted commuting maps  $T_1|_H$  and  $T_2|_H$ . Further, let  $i: C^*((G_T)|_H) \rightarrow C^*(G_T)$  be the homomorphism induced by inclusion and extension by 0 of  $C_c((G_T)|_H)$  in  $C_c(G_T)$ . Then  $C^*((G_T)|_H)$  is isomorphic to an ideal of  $C^*(G)$  and there is an exact sequence

$$(6.6) \quad 0 \longrightarrow C^*((G_T)|_H) \xrightarrow{i} C^*(G_T) \longrightarrow C^*((G_T)|_{\Omega \setminus H}) \longrightarrow 0$$

by [35, Theorem 5.1].

In Lemma 6.2 we set  $X_i^H := E_{T_i|_H}$ . Now we also write  $Y_1^H$  for the right-Hilbert  $C_0(H)$ -bimodule  $(X_2 C_0(H)) \otimes_{C_0(H)} \mathcal{O}_{X_1 C_0(H)}$  from the Deaconu–Fletcher construction of §3 and  $Y(H)_1$  for the right-Hilbert  $C_0(H)$ -bimodule  $X_2^H \otimes_{C_0(H)} \mathcal{O}_{X_1^H}$ . By Lemma 6.2,  $Y_1^H$  and  $Y(H)_1$  are isomorphic, and hence there is an isomorphism  $\mathcal{O}_{Y_1^H} \cong \mathcal{O}_{Y(H)_1}$ ; we identify the two  $C^*$ -algebras via this isomorphism. Furthermore, by Lemmas 6.2(1) and 3.6, there exists a canonical homomorphism  $\phi: \mathcal{O}_{Y_1^H} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y_1}$ . We now check that  $\phi$  corresponds to the inclusion  $i$  of  $C^*((G_T)|_H)$  in  $C^*(G_T)$ .

**Lemma 6.3.** *Let  $H$  be an open invariant subset of the unit space  $\Omega$  of  $G_T$  and let  $i: C^*((G_T)|_H) \rightarrow C^*(G_T)$  be the homomorphism of (6.6). The construction of the isomorphism  $S: \mathcal{O}_{Y_1} \rightarrow C^*(G_T)$  above applied to the reduced system  $T|_H$  gives an isomorphism  $S_H: \mathcal{O}_{Y(H)_1} \rightarrow C^*((G_T)|_H)$ , and*

the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{O}_{Y_1^H} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathcal{O}_{Y(H)_1} & \xrightarrow{S_H} & C^*((G_T)|_H) \\ \downarrow \phi & & & & \downarrow i \\ \mathcal{O}_{Y_1} & \xrightarrow{S} & & & C^*(G_T) \end{array}$$

commutes.

*Proof.* The Deaconu–Renault groupoid  $(G_T)|_H$  gives rise to  $Y(H)_1$  in the same way that  $G_T$  gives rise to  $Y_1$ , and so we obtain  $S_H: \mathcal{O}_{Y(H)_1} \rightarrow C^*((G_T)|_H)$  in the same way as before. Fix  $\eta \in C_c(H) \subset X_2^H, \xi \in C_c(H) \subset X_1^H$ . Temporarily write  $\bar{\eta}$  and  $\bar{\xi}$  for the extensions of  $\eta$  and  $\xi$  to elements of  $C_c(\Omega)$  that vanish on  $\Omega \setminus H$ , regarded as elements of  $X_2$  and  $X_1$  (that is,  $\iota_H(\eta)$  and  $\iota_H(\xi)$  as in Lemma 6.2(2) respectively). Then

$$S(\phi(j_2(\eta \otimes j_2(\xi)))) = S(j_2(\bar{\eta} \otimes j_2(\bar{\xi}))) = \psi_{(1,1)}(\bar{\eta}\bar{\xi}).$$

The product  $\bar{\eta}\bar{\xi} \in \mathbf{X}_{(1,1)}$  in the product system  $\mathbf{X}_T$  is given by  $(\bar{\eta}\bar{\xi})(x) = \bar{\eta}(x)\bar{\xi}(T_1x)$ , so has support in  $H$ . In particular,  $\bar{\eta}\bar{\xi} = \overline{\eta\xi}$ . Hence (see the formulas at (6.4))

$$S(j_2(\bar{\eta} \otimes j_2(\bar{\xi}))) = \psi_{(1,1)}(\overline{\eta\xi}) = i(\psi_{(1,1)}^H(\eta\xi)).$$

Since  $\psi_{(1,1)}^H(\eta\xi) = S_H(j_2(\eta \otimes j_2(\xi)))$  by definition, we deduce that

$$i(S_H(j_2(\eta \otimes j_2(\xi)))) = S(\phi(j_2(\eta \otimes j_2(\xi)))).$$

Similar calculations show that  $i \circ S_H$  and  $S \circ \phi$  agree on elements of the form  $j_2(\eta \otimes j_1(a)), j_1(j_2(\xi))$  and  $j_1(j_1(a))$ . Since these elements generate  $\mathcal{O}_{Y(H)_1}$ , the result follows.  $\square$

**The main theorem of the section.** We have now set up the background required to pull over Theorem 4.5 to obtain information about the  $K_0$  groups of  $G_T$  and  $G_T|_H$ . Theorem 6.4 below is the analogue of [18, Theorem 3.20] for the  $C^*$ -algebra of a 2-graph and a gauge-invariant ideal. While the theorems are similar, the approaches taken to prove them have been very different, as discussed in the introduction. With Theorem 6.4 in hand we will be able to follow the programme in [18] to obtain a result about stable finiteness of  $C^*(G_T)$  in §7 below.

Consider  $[X_i]: K_0(C_0(\Omega)) \rightarrow K_0(C_0(\Omega))$ , and let  $\sigma: C_c(\Omega, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow K_0(C_0(\Omega))$  be the isomorphism characterised by  $\sigma(1_U) = [1_U]_0$  for compact open  $U \subset \Omega$ . Then Theorem 5.2 with  $X = Y = \Omega$  and  $T = T_i$  implies that

$$1 - [X_i] \circ \sigma = \sigma \circ (1 - (T_i)_*).$$

It follows that  $\sigma$  descends to an isomorphism

$$(6.7) \quad \tilde{\sigma}: \text{coker}(1 - (T_1)_*, 1 - (T_2)_*) \rightarrow \text{coker}(1 - [X_1], 1 - [X_2])$$

and restricts to an isomorphism

$$(6.8) \quad \sigma|: \ker \begin{pmatrix} 1 - (T_1)_* \\ 1 - (T_2)_* \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \ker \begin{pmatrix} 1 - [X_1] \\ 1 - [X_2] \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Theorem 6.4.** *Let  $T_1, T_2$  be commuting surjective local homeomorphisms on a second-countable totally disconnected locally compact Hausdorff space  $\Omega$ . Let  $G_T$  denote the associated rank-2 Deaconu–Renault groupoid and let  $H$  be an invariant subset of its unit space. Let  $\iota: C_0(H) \rightarrow C_0(\Omega)$  be the inclusion, let  $\sigma: C_c(\Omega, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow K_0(C_0(\Omega))$  be the homomorphism from (5.2) and let  $i: C^*((G_T)|_H) \rightarrow C^*(G_T)$  be the inclusion from (6.6). There are homomorphisms  $j_\Omega, j_H, \tau_\Omega, \tau_H$  such that the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{coker}(1 - (T_1|_H)_*, 1 - (T_2|_H)_*) & \xrightarrow{j_H} & K_0(C^*((G_T)|_H)) & \xrightarrow{\tau_H} & \ker \begin{pmatrix} 1 - (T_1|_H)_* \\ 1 - (T_2|_H)_* \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \tilde{\sigma}^{-1} \circ \iota \circ \sigma_H & & \downarrow i_* & & \downarrow \sigma|^{-1} \circ \iota_* \circ \sigma_H| \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{coker}(1 - (T_1)_*, 1 - (T_2)_*) & \xrightarrow{j_\Omega} & K_0(C^*(G_T)) & \xrightarrow{\tau_\Omega} & \ker \begin{pmatrix} 1 - (T_1)_* \\ 1 - (T_2)_* \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

commutes and has exact rows. Moreover, for any compact open set  $U \subset \Omega$ ,

$$(6.9) \quad j_\Omega(1_U + \text{im}(1 - (T_1)_*, 1 - (T_2)_*)) = [\psi_0(1_U)]_0 \quad (\text{and likewise for } j_H); \text{ and}$$

$$(6.10) \quad \sigma|^{-1} \circ \iota_* \circ \sigma|_H(1_U + \text{im}(1 - (T_1|_H)_*, 1 - (T_2|_H)_*)) = \iota(1_U) + \text{im}(1 - (T_1)_*, 1 - (T_2)_*).$$

Finally,  $\sigma|^{-1} \circ \iota_* \circ \sigma|_H$  is injective.

*Proof.* Consider the diagram below. The inner two exact rows connected by the vertical maps are obtained by applying Theorem 4.5 with  $I := C_0(H) \triangleleft A := C_0(\Omega)$ . Let  $\tilde{\sigma}$  and  $\sigma|$  be the isomorphisms from Equations (6.7) and (6.8), and let  $S_*$  be the isomorphism induced from the isomorphism  $S$  at (6.3). Then we augment the inner two rows using the homomorphisms of the previous sentence as the vertical maps as shown:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{coker}(1 - (T_1|_H)_*, 1 - (T_2|_H)_*) & \xrightarrow{j_H} & K_0(C^*((G_T)|_H)) & \xrightarrow{\tau_H} & \ker \begin{pmatrix} 1 - (T_1|_H)_* \\ 1 - (T_2|_H)_* \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \tilde{\sigma}_H & & \uparrow (S_H)_* & & \downarrow \sigma_H| \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{coker}(1 - [X_1 \cdot I], 1 - [X_2 \cdot I]) & \xrightarrow{j_I} & K_0(\mathcal{O}_{Y_I}) & \xrightarrow{\tau_I} & \ker \begin{pmatrix} 1 - [X_1 \cdot I] \\ 1 - [X_2 \cdot I] \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \tilde{i} & & \downarrow \phi_* & & \downarrow \iota_* \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{coker}(1 - [X_1], 1 - [X_2]) & \xrightarrow{j} & K_0(\mathcal{O}_{Y_1}) & \xrightarrow{\tau} & \ker \begin{pmatrix} 1 - [X_1] \\ 1 - [X_2] \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \uparrow \tilde{\sigma} & & \downarrow S_* & & \uparrow \sigma| \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{coker}(1 - (T_1)_*, 1 - (T_2)_*) & \xrightarrow{j_\Omega} & K_0(C^*(G_T)) & \xrightarrow{\tau_\Omega} & \ker \begin{pmatrix} 1 - (T_1)_* \\ 1 - (T_2)_* \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

We then define  $j_\Omega, j_H, \tau_\Omega$  and  $\tau_H$  to be the unique homomorphisms that make the whole diagram commute. Then the bottom and top rows are exact by construction. Notice that  $i = S_* \circ \phi_* \circ (S_H^{-1})_*$  by Lemma 6.3.

Fix a compact open set  $U \subset \Omega$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} j_\Omega(1_U + \text{im}(1 - (T_1)_*, 1 - (T_2)_*)) &= S_* \circ j \circ \tilde{\sigma}(1_U + \text{im}(1 - (T_1)_*, 1 - (T_2)_*)) \\ &= S_* \circ j(1_U + \text{im}(1 - [X_1], 1 - [X_2])) \\ &= S_* \circ [(j_1^{(2)} \circ j_1^{(1)})_*(1_U)]_0 \\ &= [S(j_1^{(2)} \circ j_1^{(1)}(1_U))]_0 \\ &= [\psi_0(1_U)]_0 \end{aligned}$$

by (6.5). The computation for  $j_H$  is identical. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma|^{-1} \circ \iota_* \circ \sigma|_H(1_U + \text{im}(1 - (T_1|_H)_*, 1 - (T_2|_H)_*)) &= \sigma|^{-1}(\iota_*(1_U) + \text{im}(1 - [X_1 I], 1 - [X_2 I])) \\ &= \iota(1_U) + \text{im}(1 - (T_1)_*, 1 - (T_2)_*) \end{aligned}$$

Finally, since  $K_0(I) = C_c(H, \mathbb{Z}) \hookrightarrow C_c(\Omega, \mathbb{Z}) = K_0(A)$ , the homomorphism  $\iota_*: K_0(I) \rightarrow K_0(A)$  is injective, and so the restriction

$$\iota_*: \ker \begin{pmatrix} 1 - [X_1 \cdot I] \\ 1 - [X_2 \cdot I] \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \ker \begin{pmatrix} 1 - [X_1] \\ 1 - [X_2] \end{pmatrix}$$

appearing in Theorem 4.5 is injective. Thus  $\sigma|^{-1} \circ \iota_* \circ \sigma|_H$  is injective as well.  $\square$

## 7. APPLICATION: STABLE FINITENESS OF EXTENSIONS OF DEACONU–RENAULT GROUPOID $C^*$ -ALGEBRAS

Let  $G$  be an étale, ample groupoid and let  $\mathcal{C}$  denote the family of all compact open bisections in  $G$ . As defined in [25, Definition 6.4], the *coboundary subgroup* of  $G$  is the subgroup

$$H_G := \langle 1_{s(E)} - 1_{r(E)} : E \in \mathcal{C} \rangle$$

of  $C_c(G^{(0)}, \mathbb{Z})$ . The *coboundary condition* is then defined to be

$$(C) \quad H_G \cap C_c(G^{(0)}, \mathbb{N}) = \{0\}.$$

Corollary 6.6 of [25] shows that if  $G$  is an étale minimal ample groupoid, then the coboundary condition characterises stable finiteness of  $C_r^*(G)$  (see also [4, Theorem 5.14] for a similar result). As an application of our  $K$ -theory calculations, we extend this to the situation where a Deaconu–Renault groupoid associated to an action of  $\mathbb{N}^2$  by local homeomorphism has a unique nontrivial open invariant subset (thus is not minimal).

Corollary 6.6 of [25] can be thought of as a groupoid analogue of [8, Theorem 1.1] which states that for a row-finite cofinal  $k$ -graph with no sources, stable-finiteness of the associated  $C^*$ -algebra is equivalent to the adjacency matrices of the graph satisfying a so-called matrix condition. Cofinality of a  $k$ -graph corresponds to minimality of its groupoid, and so we might expect that for a Deaconu–Renault groupoid, the coboundary condition (C) is related to a matrix condition analogous to the one in [8]; we prove this below.

Let  $T_1, T_2$  be commuting surjective local homeomorphisms on a second-countable totally disconnected locally compact Hausdorff space  $\Omega$ , and let  $G_T$  be the associated rank-2 Deaconu–Renault groupoid defined at (6.1). For the triple  $(\Omega, T_1, T_2)$ , define the *generalised matrix condition* as

$$(M) \quad \{(1 - (T_1)_*)f + (1 - (T_2)_*)g : f, g \in C_c(\Omega, \mathbb{Z})\} \cap C_c(\Omega, \mathbb{N}) = \{0\}.$$

In Proposition 7.2 below we prove that  $G_T$  satisfies the coboundary condition if and only if  $(\Omega, T_1, T_2)$  satisfies the generalised matrix condition. We start with the following observation.

**Lemma 7.1.** *There are compact open subsets  $U_i, V_i \subset \Omega$  and elements  $p_i, q_i \in \mathbb{N}^2$  such that  $T^{p_i}|_{U_i}, T^{q_i}|_{V_i}$  are injective and  $T^{p_i}(U_i) = T^{q_i}(V_i)$  and such that*

$$G_T = \bigsqcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} Z(U_i, p_i, q_i, V_i).$$

*Proof.* The collection of sets  $Z(U, p, q, V) = \{(x, p - q, y) : x \in U, y \in V \text{ and } T^p x = T^q y\}$ , where  $U, V$  are compact open subsets of  $\Omega$ ,  $p, q \in \mathbb{N}^2$ , and  $T^{p_i}|_{U_i}$  and  $T^{q_i}|_{V_i}$  are injective and  $T^{p_i}(U_i) = T^{q_i}(V_i)$ , constitute a basis of compact open sets for a second-countable topology of  $G_T$  by [32, Lemma 3.1]. Thus we can cover  $G_T$  with a countable collection  $\{Z_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of such sets. For  $n \geq 0$ , let  $E_n := Z_n \setminus \bigcup_{0 \leq i < n} Z_i$  to obtain a disjoint cover. Since each  $E_n$  is the relative complement of one compact open set in another, it is itself compact open. Since  $T^{p_n}|_{U_n}$  and  $T^{q_n}|_{V_n}$  are homeomorphisms onto a common compact open set  $W_n$ , the map  $z \mapsto ((T^{p_n}|_{W_n})^{-1}(z), p_n - q_n, (T^{q_n}|_{W_n})^{-1}(z))$  is a homeomorphism of  $W_n$  onto  $Z_n$ . The pre-image  $W'_n$  of  $E_n$  under this homeomorphism is compact open, and  $U'_n := (T^{p_n}|_{W'_n})^{-1}(W'_n)$  and  $V'_n := (T^{q_n}|_{W'_n})^{-1}(W'_n)$  are compact open sets such that  $T^{p_n}|_{U'_n}$  and  $T^{q_n}|_{V'_n}$  are injective and  $T^{p_n}(U'_n) = W'_n = T^{q_n}(V'_n)$ . Since  $E_n = Z(U'_n, p_n, q_n, V'_n)$ , this proves the lemma.  $\square$

**Proposition 7.2.** *Let  $T_1, T_2$  be commuting surjective local homeomorphisms of a second-countable totally disconnected locally compact Hausdorff space  $\Omega$ . Let  $G_T$  be the associated rank-2 Deaconu–Renault groupoid. Then*

$$(7.1) \quad \{(1 - (T_1)_*)f + (1 - (T_2)_*)g : f, g \in C_c(\Omega, \mathbb{Z})\} = \langle 1_{s(E)} - 1_{r(E)} : E \in \mathcal{C} \rangle,$$

*and thus  $G_T$  satisfies the coboundary condition (C) if and only if  $(\Omega, T_1, T_2)$  satisfies the generalised matrix condition (M).*

*Proof.* Fix  $i \in \{1, 2\}$  and fix a compact neighbourhood  $U \subset \Omega$  such that  $T_i|_U$  is a homeomorphism. Let  $E = Z(T_i(U), 0, e_i, U)$ . Then  $E \in \mathcal{C}$  because  $T_i$  is injective on  $U$ . We have

$$(1 - (T_i)_*)(1_U) = 1_U - 1_{T_i(U)} = 1_{s(E)} - 1_{r(E)}.$$

Since  $C_c(\Omega, \mathbb{Z})$  is generated by characteristic functions of compact open sets  $U$  on which  $T_i$  is a homeomorphism, this shows that the left-hand side of (7.1) is contained in the right-hand side.

For the other inclusion, fix  $E \in \mathcal{C}$ . By Lemma 7.1 we can write  $E$  as a disjoint finite union  $E = \bigsqcup_i Z(U_i, p_i, q_i, V_i)$  where all the  $U_i, V_i$  are compact open,  $T^{p_i}|_{U_i}, T^{q_i}|_{V_i}$  are injective and  $T^{p_i}(U_i) = T^{q_i}(V_i)$ . Hence

$$1_{s(E)} - 1_{r(E)} = \sum_i 1_{U_i} - 1_{V_i}.$$

Since the left-hand side of (7.1) is a group, it suffices to show that it contains each  $1_{U_i} - 1_{V_i}$ . So fix  $E = Z(U, p, q, V)$  as above. Since  $T^p(U) = T^q(V)$  we have  $1_U - 1_V = 1_U - 1_{T^p(U)} + 1_{T^q(V)} - 1_V$ . Thus it suffices to show that  $1_U - 1_{T^p(U)}$  is in the left-hand side of (7.1). We show this by induction on  $|p| = p_1 + p_2$ . If  $|p| = 1$ , then  $p = e_i$  for  $i \in \{1, 2\}$  and  $1_U - 1_{T^p(U)} = (1 - (T_i)_*)(1_U)$ , as needed. Now assume that if  $|p| \leq N$ , then  $1_{U_i} - 1_{T^p(U)}$  is in the left-hand side (7.1). Fix  $p$  with  $|p| = N + 1$ . Write  $p = q + e_i$  so that  $|q| = N$ . Then

$$1_U - 1_{T^p(U)} = 1_U - 1_{T^q(U)} + 1_{T^q(U)} - 1_{T_i(T^q(U))}$$

which is in the left-hand side (7.1) by the induction hypothesis and the base case. Thus (7.1) holds.  $\square$

*Remark 7.3.* The proof of Proposition 7.2 generalises to  $n$  commuting local homeomorphisms.

We have the following partial analogue of [18, Lemma 4.1].

**Lemma 7.4.** *Let  $H \subset \Omega$  be an open invariant subset of the unit space of  $G_T$ . Let*

$$\tilde{\sigma}^{-1} \circ \tilde{i} \circ \tilde{\sigma}_H : \text{coker}(1 - (T_1|_H)_*, 1 - (T_2|_H)_*) \rightarrow \text{coker}(1 - (T_1)_*, 1 - (T_2)_*)$$

*be the homomorphism from (6.10). If  $(\Omega, T_1, T_2)$  satisfies (M), then  $(H, T_1|_H, T_2|_H)$  satisfies (M) and*

$$\ker(\tilde{\sigma}^{-1} \circ \tilde{i} \circ \tilde{\sigma}_H) \cap [C_c(H, \mathbb{N}) + \text{im}(1 - (T_1|_H)_*, 1 - (T_2|_H)_*)] = \{0\}.$$

*Proof.* Suppose that  $(\Omega, T_1, T_2)$  satisfies (M). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \{(1 - (T_1|_H)_*)f + (1 - (T_2|_H)_*)g : f, g \in C_c(H, \mathbb{Z})\} \cap C_c(H, \mathbb{N}) \\ \subset \{(1 - (T_1)_*)f + (1 - (T_2)_*)g : f, g \in C_c(\Omega, \mathbb{Z})\} \cap C_c(\Omega, \mathbb{N}) = \{0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $(H, T_1|_H, T_2|_H)$  satisfies (M). Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} \ker(\tilde{\sigma}^{-1} \circ \tilde{i} \circ \tilde{\sigma}_H) \cap (C_c(H, \mathbb{N}) + \text{im}(1 - (T_1|_H)_*, 1 - (T_2|_H)_*)) \\ \subset \{(1 - (T_1)_*)f + (1 - (T_2)_*)g : f, g \in C_c(\Omega, \mathbb{Z})\} \cap C_c(\Omega, \mathbb{N}) = \{0\}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

We can now state and prove our main theorem on stably finite extensions. It is a Deaconu–Renault groupoid analogue of [18, Theorem 4.6] and follows its proof closely.

**Theorem 7.5.** *Let  $T_1, T_2$  be commuting surjective local homeomorphisms on a second-countable totally disconnected locally compact Hausdorff space  $\Omega$ . Let  $G = G_T$  denote the associated rank-2 Deaconu–Renault groupoid and let  $H \subset \Omega$  be an open invariant subset of its unit space. Let*

$$\kappa_H : C_c(H, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow K_0(C^*(G|_H))$$

*be the composition of the quotient map  $q : C_c(H, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{coker}(1 - (T_1|_H)_*, 1 - (T_2|_H)_*)$  and the homomorphism  $j_H : \text{coker}(1 - (T_1|_H)_*, 1 - (T_2|_H)_*) \rightarrow K_0(C^*(G|_H))$  from (6.9). Assume that*

$$(M) \quad \{(1 - (T_1)_*)f + (1 - (T_2)_*)g : f, g \in C_c(X, \mathbb{Z})\} \cap C_c(X, \mathbb{N}) = \{0\}, \text{ and}$$

$$(P) \quad \kappa_H(C_c(H, \mathbb{Z})) \cap K_0(C^*(G|_H))_+ = \kappa_H(C_c(H, \mathbb{N})).$$

*If  $C^*(G|_H)$  and  $C^*(G|_{\Omega \setminus H})$  are stably finite, then  $C^*(G)$  is stably finite.*

*Proof.* Since  $H$  is an invariant subset of the unit space of  $G_T$ , [35, Theorem 5.1] gives a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow C^*(G|_H) \xrightarrow{i} C^*(G) \longrightarrow C^*(G|_{\Omega \setminus H}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since  $C^*(G|_H)$  and  $C^*(G|_{\Omega \setminus H})$  are stably finite by assumption, by [33, Lemma 1.5]  $C^*(G)$  is stably finite if and only if

$$(S) \quad \ker(i_*) \cap K_0(C^*(G|_H))_+ = \{0\}.$$

Using assumption (M), by Lemma 7.4 we have

$$\ker(\tilde{\sigma}^{-1} \circ \tilde{i} \circ \tilde{\sigma}_H) \cap (C_c(H, \mathbb{N}) + \text{im}(1 - (T_1|_H)_*, 1 - (T_2|_H)_*)) = \{0\}.$$

Consider the left square of the commuting diagram in Theorem 6.4. Since  $j_\Omega$  is injective, we have

$$\ker(\tilde{\sigma}^{-1} \circ \tilde{i} \circ \tilde{\sigma}_H) = \ker(j_\Omega \circ \tilde{\sigma}^{-1} \circ \tilde{i} \circ \tilde{\sigma}_H) = \ker(i_* \circ j_H).$$

Since  $j_H$  is injective, we now have

$$(7.2) \quad \ker(i_*) \cap j_H(C_c(H, \mathbb{N}) + \text{im}(1 - (T_1|_H)_*, 1 - (T_2|_H)_*)) = \{0\}.$$

We have  $\kappa_H = j_H \circ q$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{im}(j_H) \cap K_0(C^*(G|_H))_+ &= \kappa_H(C_c(H, \mathbb{N})) \cap K_0(C^*(G|_H))_+ \quad (\text{since } q \text{ is surjective}) \\ &= \kappa_H(C_c(H, \mathbb{N})) \quad (\text{using assumption (P)}) \\ &= j_H(C_c(H, \mathbb{N}) + \text{im}(1 - (T_1|_H)_*, 1 - (T_2|_H)_*)). \end{aligned}$$

Now (7.2) gives

$$\ker(i_*) \cap \text{im}(j_H) \cap K_0(C^*(G|_H))_+ = \{0\}.$$

Finally, consider the right square of the commuting diagram in Theorem 6.4. Since  $\sigma|^{-1} \circ \iota_* \circ \sigma_H|$  is injective, we have

$$\text{im}(j_H) = \ker(\tau_H) = \ker(\sigma|^{-1} \circ \iota_* \circ \sigma_H| \circ \tau_H) = \ker(\tau_\Omega \circ i_*) \supset \ker(i_*),$$

and hence (S) holds.  $\square$

We can now state a simple result about stable finiteness of the  $C^*$ -algebra of a Deaconu–Renault groupoid with just one nontrivial open invariant subset, involving only condition (P) and conditions on the groupoid.

**Corollary 7.6.** *Let  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  be commuting surjective local homeomorphisms on a second-countable totally disconnected locally compact Hausdorff space  $\Omega$ , and let  $G_T$  be the associated rank-2 Deaconu–Renault groupoid. Suppose that  $\emptyset \subsetneq H \subsetneq \Omega$  is the unique non-trivial open invariant subset of the unit space  $\Omega$  of  $G_T$ . Suppose that the  $T_i$  and the  $T_i|_{\Omega \setminus H}$  satisfy (M). If (P) holds with respect to  $H$ , then  $C^*(G_T)$  is stably finite.*

*Proof.* Write  $G := G_T$ . To apply Theorem 7.5, we need to verify that  $C^*(G|_H)$  and  $C^*(G|_{\Omega \setminus H})$  are stably finite. Since  $H$  is invariant, it follows from Lemma 6.1 that the groupoid  $G|_H$  is equal to the Deaconu–Renault groupoid of the restricted dynamics  $T_i|_H$ . Lemma 7.4 implies that the  $T_i|_H$  satisfy (M). So, by Proposition 7.2, the groupoids  $G|_H$  and  $G|_{\Omega \setminus H}$  are minimal and satisfy the coboundary condition (C). Since  $H$  is the unique nontrivial open invariant subset of  $\Omega$ , these groupoids are both minimal. Hence [25, Corollary 6.6] implies that  $C^*(G|_H)$  and  $C^*(G|_{\Omega \setminus H})$  are stably finite as needed. Now Theorem 7.5 gives the result.  $\square$

## APPENDIX A.

This appendix includes the proofs of the technical lemmas, Lemmas 3.3–3.6 used in §4, and proofs that certain diagrams commute used in the proof of Proposition 4.2.

**A.1. Proofs of Lemmas 3.3–3.6.** For the proof of Lemma 3.3 we use frames, and we now briefly recall what we need to know about them.

Let  $X$  be a right-Hilbert  $A$ -module. A *frame* for  $X$  is a sequence  $(\eta_i)_{i=1}^\infty$  in  $X$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^\infty \theta_{\eta_i, \eta_i}(\xi) = \xi$  for all  $\xi \in X$ . Equivalently, the partial sums  $\sum_{i=1}^n \theta_{\eta_i, \eta_i}$  form an increasing approximate identity for  $\mathcal{K}(X)$ . If  $X$  is countably generated over  $A$ , then  $X$  admits a frame: this can be deduced from the final paragraph of [17, §3] by regarding  $X$  as a countably generated Hilbert module over the unitisation  $\tilde{A}$  of  $A$ .

Let  $(\eta_m)_m$  be a frame for  $X$ . Then for all  $a \in A$ , we can write

$$\ell(k(a)) = i_1(a) - \sum_m i_1(a)(i_1, i_2)^{(1)}(\theta_{\eta_m, \eta_m}) = i_1(a) - \sum_m i_1(a)i_2(\eta_m)i_2(\eta_m)^*.$$

Lemma A.1 is used in the proof of Lemma 3.3.

**Lemma A.1.** *Let  $\mathbf{Z}$  be a rank-2 compactly aligned product system over a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ , let  $\mathcal{NT}_{\mathbf{Z}}$  be its Nica–Toeplitz algebra and for  $(m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ , let  $i_{(n, m)}: \mathbf{Z}_{(n, m)} \rightarrow \mathcal{NT}_{\mathbf{Z}}$  be the canonical inclusion map. Let  $\xi, \zeta \in \mathbf{Z}_{(1, 0)}$ ,  $\eta \in \mathbf{Z}_{(0, 1)}$  and  $a \in A$ . Let  $(\eta_m)_m$  be a frame for  $\mathbf{Z}_{(0, 1)}$ . Then*

$$i_{(0, 1)}^{(1)}(\theta_{\eta, \eta})i_{(1, 0)}^{(1)}(\theta_{\zeta, \zeta})i_{(1, 0)}(\xi) \left( i_{(0, 0)}(a) - \sum_m i_{(0, 0)}(a)i_{(0, 1)}(\eta_m)i_{(0, 1)}(\eta_m)^* \right) = 0.$$

*Proof.* By Nica covariance,

$$i_{(0,1)}^{(1)}(\theta_{\eta,\eta})i_{(1,0)}^{(1)}(\theta_{\zeta,\zeta}) = i_{(1,1)}^{(1)}(T),$$

for some  $T \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{Z}_{(1,1)})$ . Furthermore,  $T$  is in the closed span of elements of the form  $\theta_{\alpha \otimes \beta, \gamma \otimes \delta}$  where  $\alpha, \gamma \in \mathbf{Z}_{(1,0)}$  and  $\beta, \delta \in \mathbf{Z}_{(0,1)}$ . Thus it suffices to show that for such  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ ,

$$i_{(1,0)}(\alpha)i_{(0,1)}(\beta)i_{(0,1)}(\delta)^*i_{(1,0)}(\gamma)^*i_{(1,0)}(\xi)\left(i_{(0,0)}(a) - \sum_m i_{(0,0)}(a)i_{(0,1)}(\eta_m)i_{(0,1)}(\eta_m)^*\right) = 0.$$

Note that

$$i_{(1,0)}(\gamma)^*i_{(1,0)}(\xi)i_{(0,0)}(a) = i_{(0,0)}(\langle \gamma, \xi \rangle)i_{(0,0)}(a) = i_{(0,0)}(\langle \gamma, \xi \rangle a),$$

and hence it suffices to show that if  $b \in A$ , then

$$i_{(0,1)}(\delta)^*\left(i_{(0,0)}(b) - \sum_m i_{(0,0)}(b)i_{(0,1)}(\eta_m)i_{(0,1)}(\eta_m)^*\right) = 0.$$

There is a copy of  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{Z}_{(0,1)}}$  within  $\mathcal{NT}_{\mathbf{Z}}$  and the left hand side of the above equation sits inside this copy: specifically, for the canonical maps  $\ell$  and  $k$  of §2.2,

$$i_{(0,1)}(\delta)^*\left(i_{(0,0)}(b) - \sum_m i_{(0,0)}(b)i_{(0,1)}(\eta_m)i_{(0,1)}(\eta_m)^*\right) = (\ell \circ k(b^*)i_2(\delta))^* \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{Z}_{(0,1)}}.$$

So it suffices to show that  $\ell \circ k(b^*)i_2(\delta) = 0$ . Identifying  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{Z}_{(0,1)}}$  with its image in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{Z}_{(0,1)}})$  via [23, Proposition 3.3] as discussed immediately after Notation 2.1, we see that  $\ell \circ k(b^*)$  acts on  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{Z}_{(0,1)}}$  as multiplication by  $b^*$  in the 0 coordinate and zero in the remaining coordinates, while,  $i_2(\delta)$  acts by tensoring on the left by  $\delta$  and shifting right. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \ell \circ k(b^*)(i_2(\delta)(\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots)) &= \ell \circ k(b^*)(0, \delta \cdot \sigma_0, \delta \otimes \sigma_1, \delta \otimes \sigma_2, \dots) \\ &= \ell(b^*0, 0, 0, \dots) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

*Proof of Lemma 3.3.* By, for example [24, Proposition 2.33], for every  $\xi \in X_1$ , there exists unique  $\xi' \in X_1$  such that  $\xi = \xi' \cdot \langle \xi', \xi' \rangle$  and we define  $\psi(\xi) = \xi' \otimes \ell(k(\langle \xi', \xi' \rangle))$ . Now let  $\xi, \zeta \in X_1$  and  $a, b \in A$ ; we will show that if  $\xi \cdot a = \zeta \cdot b$ , then  $\psi(\xi \cdot a) = \psi(\zeta \cdot b)$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \xi \otimes \ell(k(a)), \zeta \otimes \ell(k(b)) \rangle &= \langle \ell(k(a)), \langle \xi, \zeta \rangle \cdot \ell(k(b)) \rangle \\ &= \ell(k(a))^*i_1(\langle \xi, \zeta \rangle)\ell(k(b)) \\ &\stackrel{*}{=} \ell(k(a^* \langle \xi, \zeta \rangle b)) \\ \text{(A.1)} \quad &= \ell \circ k(\langle \xi \cdot a, \zeta \cdot b \rangle), \end{aligned}$$

where the equality  $*$  holds because of the way the images of  $\ell \circ k$  and  $i_1$  act on the Fock space. Because  $\ell$  and  $k$  are injective  $*$ -homomorphisms and hence isometries, we have that for  $\xi_i \in X_1$  and  $a_i \in A$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_i \xi_i \cdot a \right\|^2 &= \left\| \left\langle \sum_i \xi_i \cdot a_i, \sum_i \xi_i \cdot a_i \right\rangle \right\| \\ &= \left\| \sum_{i,j} \langle \xi_i \cdot a_i, \xi_j \cdot a_j \rangle \right\| \\ &= \left\| \ell \circ k \left( \sum_{i,j} \langle \xi_i \cdot a_i, \xi_j \cdot a_j \rangle \right) \right\| \\ &= \left\| \sum_{i,j} \ell \circ k(\langle \xi_i \cdot a_i, \xi_j \cdot a_j \rangle) \right\| \\ &= \left\| \sum_{i,j} \langle \xi_i \otimes \ell(k(a_i)), \xi_j \otimes \ell(k(a_j)) \rangle \right\| \text{ by (A.1),} \\ &= \left\| \left\langle \sum_i \xi_i \otimes \ell(k(a_i)), \sum_j \xi_j \otimes \ell(k(a_j)) \right\rangle \right\| \\ &= \left\| \sum_i \xi_i \otimes \ell(k(a_i)) \right\|^2. \end{aligned}$$



In particular, if  $\xi \cdot a = \zeta \cdot b$ , then

$$\|\xi \otimes \ell(k(a)) - \zeta \otimes \ell(k(b))\| = \|\xi \cdot a - \zeta \cdot b\| = 0$$

as claimed.

Next, we show that  $(\ell \circ k, \psi)$  is a right-Hilbert bimodule morphism. Let  $a, b \in A$  and  $\xi \in X_1$ . Then

$$\psi(\xi \cdot a) \cdot \ell \circ k(b) = (\xi \otimes \ell(k(a))) \cdot \ell(k(b)) = \xi \otimes (\ell(k(ab))) = \psi(\xi \cdot (ab)) = \psi((\xi \cdot a) \cdot b),$$

proving that  $(\ell \circ k, \psi)$  is a right module morphism. That  $(\ell \circ k, \psi)$  preserves the inner product, follows from (A.1) by linearity and continuity.

It remains to check that the left action is preserved. Consider  $\ell \circ k(b) \cdot \psi(\xi \cdot a) \in X_1 \otimes_A \mathcal{T}_{X_2}$ . Let  $\mathbf{Z}$  be the rank-2 product system such that  $\mathbf{Z}_{(1,0)} = X_1$  and  $\mathbf{Z}_{(0,1)} = X_2$ . Since  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are countably generated by assumption, there exist frames  $(\xi_n)_n$  and  $(\eta_m)_m$  for  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ , respectively. There is an injection  $\gamma : \mathcal{T}_{X_2} \rightarrow \mathcal{NT}_{\mathbf{Z}}$  that carries  $\ell \circ k(b) \cdot \psi(\xi \cdot a) \in \mathcal{T}_{X_2}$  to

$$\Xi = \left( i_{(0,0)}(b) - \sum_m i_{(0,0)}(b) i_{(0,1)}^{(1)}(\theta_{\eta_m, \eta_m}) \right) i_{(1,0)}(\xi) \left( i_{(0,0)}(a) - \sum_m i_{(0,0)}(a) i_{(0,1)}^{(1)}(\theta_{\eta_m, \eta_m}) \right) \in \mathcal{NT}_{\mathbf{Z}}.$$

Then  $i_{(1,0)}(\xi) = \sum_n i_{(1,0)}^{(1)}(\theta_{\xi_n, \xi_n}) i_{(1,0)}(\xi)$  and hence by Lemma A.1,

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi &= i_{(0,0)}(b) i_{(1,0)}(\xi) \left( i_{(0,0)}(a) - \sum_m i_{(0,0)}(a) i_{(0,1)}^{(1)}(\theta_{\eta_m, \eta_m}) \right) \\ &= i_{(1,0)}(b \cdot \xi) \left( i_{(0,0)}(a) - \sum_m i_{(0,0)}(a) i_{(0,1)}^{(1)}(\theta_{\eta_m, \eta_m}) \right), \end{aligned}$$

which is  $\gamma(i_1(b \cdot \xi) \ell \circ k(a)) \in \gamma(\mathcal{T}_{X_2}) \subseteq \mathcal{NT}_{\mathbf{Z}}$ . Thus  $\ell \circ k(b) \cdot \psi(\xi \cdot a) = \psi((b \cdot \xi) \cdot a) = \psi(b \cdot (\xi \cdot a))$ , as needed.  $\square$

*Proof of Lemma 3.4.* We proceed as in the proof of Lemma 3.3. For  $\xi = \xi' \cdot \langle \xi', \xi' \rangle \in X$  we define  $\psi(\xi) = \xi' \otimes i_1(\langle \xi', \xi' \rangle)$ . We observe that for  $\xi, \zeta \in X_1$  and  $a, b \in A$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \xi \otimes i_1(a), \zeta \otimes i_1(b) \rangle &= \langle i_1(a), \langle \xi, \zeta \rangle \cdot i_1(b) \rangle \\ &= i_1(a)^* i_1(\langle \xi, \zeta \rangle) i_1(b) \\ &= i_1(a^* \langle \xi, \zeta \rangle b) = i_1(\langle \xi \cdot a, \eta \cdot b \rangle). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $i_1 : A \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{X_2}$  is an isometry, it then follows that  $\xi \cdot a = \zeta \cdot b$  implies that  $\varphi(\xi \cdot a) = \varphi(\zeta \cdot b)$  and that  $(i_1, \varphi)$  preserves the inner products. That  $\varphi$  gives a right module morphism is trivial. Since the left action of  $\mathcal{T}_{X_2}$  on  $X_1 \otimes \mathcal{T}_{X_2}$ , restricted to  $A$ , is just multiplication by  $i_1(A)$ , we see that  $\varphi$  is a left module morphism.  $\square$

*Proof of Lemma 3.5.* We use Fletcher's commuting diagram [13, Figure 3.9] in the instance where  $P = Q = \mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathbf{Z}_{p,q} = X_1^{\otimes p} \otimes_A X_2^{\otimes q}$  for  $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ . There is an isomorphism of  $X_1 \otimes_A \mathcal{T}_{X_2}$  with Fletcher's  $Y_{(1,0)}^{\mathcal{NT}}$  that carries  $\xi \otimes i_1(a)$  to  $i_{\mathbf{Z}_{(1,0)}}(\xi) \phi^{\mathcal{NT}^{\mathbf{x}}}(a)$  in Fletcher's notation, and there is a similar isomorphism of  $\mathbf{W}_{(0,1)}^{\mathcal{NO}}$  with  $X_2 \otimes_A \mathcal{O}_{X_1}$ . Making these replacements in Fletcher's diagram, the entries  $\mathcal{NO}_{\mathbf{Y}^{\mathcal{NT}}}$  and  $\mathcal{NT}_{\mathbf{W}^{\mathcal{NO}}}$  in his diagram become  $\mathcal{O}_{X_1 \otimes \mathcal{T}_{X_2}}$  and  $\mathcal{T}_{X_2 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_1}}$  since the Nica–Toeplitz and Cuntz–Nica–Pimsner algebra of a rank-1 product system are just the standard Toeplitz algebra and Cuntz–Pimsner algebra of its generating fibre [15, Proposition 2.11]. With these identifications in place, let  $J$  be the map  $\omega$  from top right to top left in Fletcher's diagram. Fix  $\xi \in X_1$  and  $a \in A$ . Then  $j_1(\xi \otimes i_1(a))$  is the image of  $\xi \cdot a \in \mathbf{Z}_{(1,0)}$  under the composite map  $\xi \cdot a \mapsto \xi \otimes_A i_1(a) \mapsto j_1(\xi \otimes i_1(a))$ , which is the composite map from  $\mathbf{Z}_{(1,0)}$  to  $\mathcal{O}_{X_1 \otimes_A \mathcal{T}_{X_2}}$  in Fletcher's diagram. Likewise,  $i_1(j_2(\xi \cdot a))$  is the image of the same element under the composite map from  $\mathbf{Z}_{(1,0)}$  to  $\mathcal{T}_{X_2 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_1}}$  in Fletcher's diagram. So  $J(j_1(\xi \otimes i_1(a))) = i_1(j_2(\xi \cdot a))$  because Fletcher's diagram commutes.

Now take  $(p, q) = (0, 0)$  so that  $\mathbf{Z}_{0,0} = A$ . Then the homomorphisms  $i_{\mathbf{Z}_{0,0}}$  and  $j_{Y_0}^{\mathcal{NT}}$  of Fletcher's diagram are our  $j_1 : \mathcal{T}_{X_2} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_1 \otimes \mathcal{T}_{X_2}}$  and  $i_1 : A \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{X_2}$ , respectively, and the homomorphisms  $j_{\mathbf{Z}_{(0,0)}}$  and  $i_{\mathbf{W}_0^{\mathcal{NO}}}$  are our  $j_1 : A \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_1}$  and  $i_1 : \mathcal{O}_{X_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{X_2 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_1}}$ , respectively. Thus it follows from Fletcher's diagram that  $J(j_1(i_1(a))) = i_1(j_1(a))$ .

Similarly, for  $\eta' \in X_2$ , the element  $j_1(i_2(\eta' \cdot \langle \eta', \eta' \rangle))$  is the image of  $\eta' \cdot \langle \eta', \eta' \rangle$  under the composite map from  $\mathbf{Z}_{(0,1)}$  to  $\mathcal{O}_{X_1 \otimes_A \mathcal{T}_{X_2}}$  in Fletcher's diagram, while  $i_2(\eta' \otimes j_1(\langle \eta', \eta' \rangle))$  is the image of the

same element under the composite map from  $\mathbf{Z}_{(1,0)}$  to  $\mathcal{T}_{X_2 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_1}}$  in Fletcher's diagram. So once again commutativity of Fletcher's diagram implies that  $J(j_1(i_2(\eta' \cdot \langle \eta', \eta' \rangle))) = i_2(\eta' \otimes j_1(\langle \eta', \eta' \rangle))$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Lemma 3.6.* The first statement is trivial. In the second statement, the map  $1 \otimes \kappa_1$  is well defined because  $\kappa_1$  is induced by the bimodule morphism of the first statement. That the second pair is a right module morphism is obvious. Given  $\xi, \eta \in X_2 I$  and  $a, b \in \mathcal{O}_{X_1 I}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 1 \otimes \kappa_1(\xi \otimes a), 1 \otimes \kappa_1(\eta \otimes b) \rangle &= \langle \xi \otimes \kappa_1(a), \eta \otimes \kappa_1(b) \rangle \\ &= \langle \kappa_1(a), \langle \xi, \eta \rangle \cdot \kappa_1(b) \rangle \\ &= \kappa_1(a)^* j_1(\langle \xi, \eta \rangle) \kappa_1(b) \\ &= \kappa_1(a)^* \kappa_1(j_1(\langle \xi, \eta \rangle)) \kappa_1(b) \\ &= \kappa_1(a^* j_1(\langle \xi, \eta \rangle) b) \\ &= \kappa_1(\langle \xi \otimes a, \eta \otimes b \rangle). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by linearity and continuity, the inner product condition for a Hilbert-module morphism is satisfied. To see that  $(\kappa_1, 1 \otimes \kappa_1)$  is a left-module morphism, since the left action of the coefficient algebra on a Hilbert module is implemented by a  $C^*$ -homomorphism, it suffices to show that the left actions of generators of  $\mathcal{O}_{X_1 I}$  on  $Y_1^I$  are preserved by  $\kappa_1$  and  $1 \otimes \kappa_1$ . That is, for  $a \in I$ ,  $x_1 \in X_1 I$ ,  $x_2 \in X_2 I$  and  $b \in \mathcal{O}_{X_1 I}$ , we must show that

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_1(j_1(a))((1 \otimes \kappa_1)(x_2 \otimes b)) &= (1 \otimes \kappa_1)(j_1(a) \cdot (x_2 \otimes b)) \quad \text{and} \\ \kappa_1(j_2(x_1))((1 \otimes \kappa_1)(x_2 \otimes b)) &= (1 \otimes \kappa_1)(j_2(x_1) \cdot (x_2 \otimes b)). \end{aligned}$$

For the first of these equations, we just calculate

$$\kappa_1(j_1(a))((1 \otimes \kappa_1)(x_2 \otimes b)) = j_1(a) \cdot (x_2 \otimes b) = (a \cdot x_2) \otimes b = (1 \otimes \kappa_1)(j_1(a) \cdot (x_2 \otimes b)).$$

For the second equation, recall that  $X_1 \otimes_A X_2 \cong \mathbf{X}_{(1,1)} \cong X_2 \otimes_A X_1$ , and this isomorphism extends the corresponding isomorphism  $X_1 I \otimes_I X_2 I \cong \mathbf{X} \mathbf{I}_{(1,1)} \cong X_2 I \otimes_I X_1 I$ . So there is a sequence, indexed by  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ , of finite linear combinations  $\sum_{k=1}^{K_\ell} x_2^{k,\ell} x_1^{k,\ell}$  in  $\mathbf{X} \mathbf{I}_{(1,1)}$  with each  $x_i^{k,\ell} \in X_i I$  such that  $\sum_{k=1}^{K_\ell} x_2^{k,\ell} x_1^{k,\ell} \rightarrow x_1 x_2$ . By definition (see [13, Lemma 3.1.22 and Proposition 3.3.6]),

$$j_2(x_1) \cdot (x_2 \otimes b) = \lim_{\ell} \sum_{k=1}^{K_\ell} x_2^{k,\ell} \otimes j_2(x_1^{k,\ell}) b.$$

Since exactly the same approximation holds in  $X_1 \otimes_A X_2 \cong \mathbf{X}_{(1,1)} \cong X_2 \otimes_A X_1$ , the left action of  $\kappa_1(j_2(x_1))$  on  $(1 \otimes \kappa_1)(x_2 \otimes b)$  is given by the same formula.  $\square$

## A.2. Results used in the proof of Proposition 4.2.

**Lemma A.2.** *Let  $\eta: \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}_{X_1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}_{X_1 \otimes \mathcal{T}_{X_2}})$  be the homomorphism induced by the right-Hilbert bimodule morphism  $\psi: X_1 \rightarrow X_1 \otimes_A \mathcal{T}_{X_2}$  of Lemma 3.3, so that  $\psi(\xi \cdot a) = \xi \otimes \ell(k(a))$  for  $\xi \in X_1, a \in A$ . The diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \xrightarrow{k} & \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}_{X_2}) & \xrightarrow{\ell} & \mathcal{T}_{X_2} \\ \downarrow k & & & & \downarrow k \\ \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}_{X_1}) & \xrightarrow{\eta} & & \xrightarrow{\eta} & \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}_{X_1 \otimes \mathcal{T}_{X_2}}), \end{array}$$

from the proof of Proposition 4.2 commutes.

*Proof.* First, we describe what  $\eta$  is. For each  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\psi$  induces a map  $\psi_n: X_1^{\otimes n} \rightarrow (X_1 \otimes_A \mathcal{T}_{X_2})^{\otimes n}$  such that  $\psi_n(\xi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \xi_n) = \psi(\xi_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \psi(\xi_n)$ . These  $\psi_n$  together with the map  $\psi_0 := \ell \circ k$  induce a homomorphism  $\Psi = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi_n: \mathcal{F}_{X_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{X_1 \otimes \mathcal{T}_{X_2}}$  which in turn induces  $\eta := \Psi^{(1)}: \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}_{X_1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}_{X_1 \otimes \mathcal{T}_{X_2}})$ . Now let  $a, b \in A$  and  $\zeta \in X^{\otimes n}$  (considered as an element of the Fock space) for  $n \geq 0$ . Starting with  $ab^* \in A$  and tracing the diagram along the top and right, we get

$$k(\ell(k(ab^*))) (\zeta) = \begin{cases} (\ell(k(ab^*))) \zeta, 0, 0, \dots, & \text{if } n = 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } n \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Tracing the diagram along the left and bottom, we instead get

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta(k(ab^*))(\zeta) &= \Psi^{(1)}(\theta_{(a,0,0,\dots),(b,0,0,\dots)})(\zeta) \\
&= \theta_{\Psi(a,0,0,\dots),\Psi(b,0,0,\dots)}(\zeta) \\
&= \theta_{(\psi_0(a),0,0,\dots),(\psi_0(b),0,0,\dots)}(\zeta) \\
&= (\psi_0(a), 0, 0, \dots) \cdot \langle (\psi_0(b), 0, 0, \dots), \zeta \rangle \\
&= \begin{cases} (\ell(k(a)), 0, 0, \dots) \cdot \langle \ell(k(b)), \zeta \rangle, & \text{if } n = 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } n \geq 1, \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} (\ell(k(a)), 0, 0, \dots) \cdot (\ell(k(b))^* \zeta), & \text{if } n = 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } n \geq 1, \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} (\ell(k(ab^*))\zeta, 0, 0, \dots), & \text{if } n = 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } n \geq 1. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus the diagram commutes.  $\square$

**Lemma A.3.** *The diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{O}_{X_1} & \xrightarrow{k} & \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}_{X_2 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_1}}) \\
\downarrow \theta & & \downarrow \ell \\
\mathcal{O}_{X_1 \otimes \mathcal{T}_{X_2}} & \xrightarrow{J} & \mathcal{T}_{X_2 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_1}},
\end{array}$$

from the proof of Proposition 4.2 commutes.

*Proof.* Fix  $a \in A$  and  $\xi \in X_1$  so that  $j_2(\xi \cdot a) \in \mathcal{O}_{X_1}$ . Tracing the diagram along the top and right gives us  $\ell(k(j_2(\xi \cdot a))) = (j_2(\xi \cdot a), 0, 0, \dots)$  as an operator on the Fock space. Since  $X_2$  is countably generated, we can choose a frame  $(\eta_m)_m$  for  $X_2$ . If instead we go down the left side, first note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\theta(j_2(\xi \cdot a)) &= j_2(\xi \otimes \ell(k(a))) = j_2(\xi \otimes i_1(a)) - \sum_m j_2(\xi \otimes i_1(a) i_2(\eta_m) i_2(\eta_m)^*) \\
&= j_2(\xi \otimes i_1(a)) - \sum_m j_2((\xi \otimes i_1(a)) \cdot (i_2(\eta_m) i_2(\eta_m)^*)) \\
&= j_2(\xi \otimes i_1(a)) - \sum_m j_2(\xi \otimes i_1(a)) j_1(i_2(\eta_m)) j_1(i_2(\eta_m))^*.
\end{aligned}$$

For each  $m$ , write  $\eta_m = \eta'_m \cdot \langle \eta'_m, \eta'_m \rangle$ . Then by Lemma 3.5,

$$J(\theta(j_2(\xi \cdot a))) = i_1(j_2(\xi \cdot a)) - i_1(j_2(\xi \cdot a)) i_2(\eta'_m \otimes j_1(\langle \eta'_m, \eta'_m \rangle)) i_2(\eta'_m \otimes j_1(\langle \eta'_m, \eta'_m \rangle))^*.$$

Now if  $a_0 \in \mathcal{O}_{X_1} = (X_2 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_1})^{\otimes 0}$ , then

$$i_2(\eta'_m \otimes j_1(\langle \eta'_m, \eta'_m \rangle)) i_2(\eta'_m \otimes j_1(\langle \eta'_m, \eta'_m \rangle))^*(a_0) = 0,$$

since for any Toeplitz algebra  $\mathcal{T}_Y$  and  $y \in Y$ ,  $i_2(y)^*$  sends a vector  $(c_0, 0, 0, \dots)$  in the Fock space to 0. Furthermore, given any  $\eta \in X_2$  and  $b \in \mathcal{O}_{X_1}$  so that  $\eta \otimes b \in X_2 \otimes_A \mathcal{O}_{X_1}$ , we have by [20, Lemma 1.9] that if  $m \geq 1$  and  $\bigotimes_{n=1}^m (\zeta_n \otimes a_n) \in (X_2 \otimes_A \mathcal{O}_{X_2})^{\otimes m}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
i_2(\eta \otimes b) i_2(\eta \otimes b)^* \left( \bigotimes_{n=1}^m (\zeta_n \otimes a_n) \right) &= \theta_{\eta \otimes b, \eta \otimes b}(\zeta_1 \otimes a_1) \otimes \bigotimes_{n=2}^m (\zeta_n \otimes a_n) \\
&= (\eta \otimes b \cdot \langle \eta \otimes b, \zeta_1 \otimes a_1 \rangle) \otimes \bigotimes_{n=2}^m (\zeta_n \otimes a_n) \\
&= (\eta \otimes b \cdot (b^* j_1(\langle \eta, \zeta_1 \rangle) a_1)) \otimes \bigotimes_{n=2}^m (\zeta_n \otimes a_n) \\
&= (\eta \otimes (bb^* j_1(\langle \eta, \zeta_1 \rangle) a_1)) \otimes \bigotimes_{n=2}^m (\zeta_n \otimes a_n).
\end{aligned}$$

Taking  $\eta = \eta'_m$  and  $b = j_1(\langle \eta'_m, \eta'_m \rangle)$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
& i_2(\eta'_m \otimes j_1(\langle \eta'_m, \eta'_m \rangle)) i_2(\eta'_m \otimes j_1(\langle \eta'_m, \eta'_m \rangle))^* \left( \bigotimes_{n=1}^m (\zeta_n \otimes a_n) \right) \\
&= (\eta'_m \otimes (j_1(\langle \eta'_m, \eta'_m \rangle) j_1(\langle \eta'_m, \eta'_m \rangle))^* j_1(\langle \eta'_m, \zeta_1 \rangle) a_1) \otimes \bigotimes_{n=2}^m (\zeta_n \otimes a_n) \\
&= ((\eta'_m \cdot \langle \eta'_m, \eta'_m \rangle) \otimes (j_1(\langle \eta'_m \cdot \langle \eta'_m, \eta'_m \rangle, \zeta_1 \rangle) a_1)) \otimes \bigotimes_{n=2}^m (\zeta_n \otimes a_n) \\
&= (\eta_m \otimes (j_1(\langle \eta_m, \zeta_1 \rangle) a_1)) \otimes \bigotimes_{n=2}^m (\zeta_n \otimes a_n) \\
&= ((\eta_m \cdot \langle \eta_m, \zeta_1 \rangle) \otimes a_1) \otimes \bigotimes_{n=2}^m (\zeta_n \otimes a_n).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_m i_2(\eta'_m \otimes j_1(\langle \eta'_m, \eta'_m \rangle)) i_2(\eta'_m \otimes j_1(\langle \eta'_m, \eta'_m \rangle))^* \left( \bigotimes_{n=1}^m (\zeta_n \otimes a_n) \right) \\
&= \left( \left( \sum_m \eta_m \cdot \langle \eta_m, \zeta_1 \rangle \right) \otimes a_1 \right) \otimes \bigotimes_{n=2}^m (\zeta_n \otimes a_n) \\
&= (\zeta_1 \otimes a_1) \otimes \bigotimes_{n=2}^m (\zeta_n \otimes a_n) = \bigotimes_{n=1}^m (\zeta_n \otimes a_n).
\end{aligned}$$

In particular, for any  $\zeta = \bigotimes_{n=1}^m (\zeta_n \otimes a_n) \in (X_2 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_1})^{\otimes m}$ ,

$$J(\theta(j_2(\xi \cdot a))) \left( \bigotimes_{n=1}^m (\zeta_n \otimes a_n) \right) = \begin{cases} j_2(\xi \cdot a) \zeta - 0 = j_2(\xi \cdot a) \zeta, & \text{if } m = 0 \text{ (and hence } \zeta \in \mathcal{O}_{X_1}), \\ j_2(\xi \cdot a) \zeta - j_2(\xi \cdot a) \zeta = 0, & \text{if } m \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

and thus  $J(\theta(j_2(\xi \cdot a))) = (j_2(\xi \cdot a), 0, 0, \dots)$  and the diagram commutes when beginning with elements of the form  $j_2(\xi \cdot a)$ . Proving that the diagram commutes when beginning with elements of the form  $j_1(a)$  follows a (simpler) similar argument.  $\square$

**Lemma A.4.** *The diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
A & \xrightarrow{k} & \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}_{X_1}) \\
\downarrow i_1 & & \downarrow \rho \\
\mathcal{T}_{X_2} & \xrightarrow{k} & \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}_{X_1 \otimes \mathcal{T}_{X_2}}),
\end{array}$$

from the proof of Proposition 4.2 commutes.

*Proof.* As in the proof of Lemma A.2, we describe what  $\rho$  is. For each  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\varphi$  induces a map  $\varphi_n: X_1^{\otimes n} \rightarrow (X_1 \otimes_A \mathcal{T}_{X_2})^{\otimes n}$  such that  $\varphi_n(\xi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \xi_n) = \varphi(\xi_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \varphi(\xi_n)$ . These  $\varphi_n$  together with the map  $\varphi_0 := i_1$  induces a homomorphism  $\Phi = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \varphi_n: \mathcal{F}_{X_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{X_1 \otimes \mathcal{T}_{X_2}}$  which in turn induces  $\rho := \Phi^{(1)}: \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}_{X_1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}_{X_1 \otimes \mathcal{T}_{X_2}})$ . Now let  $a, b \in A$  and  $\zeta \in X^{\otimes n}$  (considered as an element of the Fock space) for  $n \geq 0$ . Starting with  $ab^* \in A$  and tracing the diagram along the left and bottom, we get

$$k(i_1(ab^*))(\zeta) = \begin{cases} (i_1(ab^*))\zeta, 0, 0, \dots, & \text{if } n = 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } n \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Tracing the diagram along the top and right, we instead get

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho(k(ab^*))(\zeta) &= \Phi^{(1)}(\theta_{(a,0,0,\dots),(b,0,0,\dots)})(\zeta) \\
&= \theta_{\Phi(a,0,0,\dots),\Phi(b,0,0,\dots)}(\zeta) \\
&= \theta_{(\varphi_0(a),0,0,\dots),(\varphi_0(b),0,0,\dots)}(\zeta) \\
&= (\varphi_0(a), 0, 0, \dots) \cdot \langle (\varphi_0(b), 0, 0, \dots), \zeta \rangle \\
&= \begin{cases} (i_1(a), 0, 0, \dots) \cdot \langle i_1(b), \zeta \rangle, & \text{if } n = 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } n \geq 1, \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} (i_1(a), 0, 0, \dots) \cdot \langle i_1(b)^*\zeta \rangle, & \text{if } n = 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } n \geq 1, \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} (i_1(ab^*)\zeta, 0, 0, \dots), & \text{if } n = 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } n \geq 1. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus the diagram commutes.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] B. Armstrong and N. Brownlowe, *Product-system models for twisted  $C^*$ -algebras of topological higher-rank graphs*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **466** (2018), 1443–1475.
- [2] C. Bourne, J. Kellendonk and A. Rennie, *The Cayley transform in complex, real and graded  $K$ -theory*, Internat. J. Math. **31** (2020), 2050074.
- [3] B. Blackadar,  *$K$ -theory for operator algebras*, *Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications* **5**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1998, xx+300.
- [4] C. Bönicke and K. Li, *Ideal structure and pure infiniteness of ample groupoid  $C^*$ -algebras*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **40** (2020), 34–63.
- [5] B. Brenken, *Topological quivers as multiplicity free relations*, Math. Scand. **106** (2010), 217–242.
- [6] N.P. Brown,  *$AF$  embeddability of crossed products of  $AF$  algebras by the integers*, J. Funct. Anal. **160** (1998), 150–175.
- [7] T.M. Carlsen, N.S. Larsen, A. Sims and S. Vittadello, *Co-universal algebras associated to product systems, and gauge-invariant uniqueness theorems*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. **103** (2011), 563–600.
- [8] L.O. Clark, A. an Huef and A. Sims,  *$AF$ -embeddability of 2-graph algebras and quasidiagonality of  $k$ -graph algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **271** (2016), 958–991.
- [9] V. Deaconu, *Groupoids associated with endomorphisms*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **347** (1995), 1779–1786.
- [10] V. Deaconu, *Iterating the Pimsner construction*, New York J. Math. **13** (2007), 199–213.
- [11] D.G. Evans, *On the  $K$ -theory of higher-rank graph  $C^*$ -algebras*, New York J. Math. **14** (2008), 1–31.
- [12] C. Farsi, A. Kumjian, D. Pask and A. Sims, *Ample groupoids: equivalence, homology and Matui’s  $HK$  conjecture*, Münster J. Math. **12** (2019), 411–451.
- [13] J. Fletcher, *Iterating the Cuntz–Nica–Pimsner construction for product systems*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Wollongong (2017) [[https://ro.uow.edu.au/articles/thesis/Iterating\\_the\\_Cuntz-Nica-Pimsner\\_construction\\_for\\_product\\_sys](https://ro.uow.edu.au/articles/thesis/Iterating_the_Cuntz-Nica-Pimsner_construction_for_product_sys)]
- [14] J. Fletcher, *Iterating the Cuntz–Nica–Pimsner construction for compactly aligned product systems*, New York J. Math. **24** (2018), 739–814.
- [15] N. Fowler, *Discrete product systems of Hilbert bimodules*, Pacific J. Math. **204** (2002), 335–375.
- [16] N. Fowler, P. Muhly and I. Raeburn, *Representations of Cuntz–Pimsner algebras*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **52** (2003), 569–605.
- [17] M. Frank and D.R. Larson, *Frames in Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules and  $C^*$ -algebras*, J. Operator Theory **48** (2002), 273–314.
- [18] A. an Huef, A.C.S. Ng and A. Sims, *Stably finite extensions of rank-two graph  $C^*$ -algebras*, J. Operator Theory **90** (2023), 263–310.
- [19] G.G. Kasparov, *Equivariant  $KK$ -theory and the Novikov conjecture*, Invent. Math. **91** (1988), 147–201.
- [20] T. Katsura, *On  $C^*$ -algebras associated with  $C^*$ -correspondences*, J. Funct. Anal. **217** (2004), 366–401.
- [21] A. Kumjian, D. Pask, I. Raeburn and J. Renault, *Graphs, groupoids, and Cuntz–Krieger algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **144** (1997), 505–541.
- [22] W.L. Paschke,  *$K$ -theory for actions of the circle group on  $C^*$ -algebras*, J. Operator Theory, **6** (1981), 125–131.
- [23] M.V. Pimsner, *A class of  $C^*$ -algebras generalizing both Cuntz–Krieger algebras and crossed products by  $\mathbf{Z}$* , Fields Inst. Commun., 12, Free probability theory (Waterloo, ON, 1995), 189–212, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997.
- [24] I. Raeburn and D.P. Williams, *Morita equivalence and continuous-trace  $C^*$ -algebras*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs **60**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998, xiv+327.
- [25] T. Rainone and A. Sims, *A dichotomy for groupoid  $C^*$ -algebras*, Ergod. Theory Dyn. Sys. **40** (2020), 521–563.
- [26] J.N. Renault, *A Groupoid Approach to  $C^*$ -algebras*, Lecture Notes in Math. **793**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1980.
- [27] G. Robertson and T. Steger, *Affine buildings, tiling systems and higher rank Cuntz–Krieger algebras*, J. reine angew. Math. **513** (1999), 115–144.

- [28] M. Rørdam, F. Larsen and N. Laustsen, *An introduction to  $K$ -theory for  $C^*$ -algebras*, London Math. Soc. Student Texts **49**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2000, xii+242.
- [29] E. Ruiz and A. Sims, *Lifting isomorphisms in  $K$ -theory through gradings of  $C^*$ -algebras*, in preparation.
- [30] C.F. Sehnem, *On  $C^*$ -algebras associated to product systems*, J. Funct. Anal., **277** (2019), 558–593.
- [31] A. Sims, G. Szabó and D.P. Williams, *Operator algebras and dynamics: groupoids, crossed products, and Rokhlin dimension*, Adv. Courses Math. CRM Barcelona Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2020, x+163 pp.
- [32] A. Sims and D.P. Williams, *The primitive ideals of some étale groupoid  $C^*$ -algebras*, Algebr. Represent. Theory **19** (2016), 255–276.
- [33] J.S. Spielberg, *Embedding  $C^*$ -algebra extensions into  $AF$  algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **81** (1988), 325–344.
- [34] D. Voiculescu, *Almost inductive limit automorphisms and embeddings into  $AF$ -algebras*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **6** (1986), 475–484.
- [35] Williams, D.P., *A tool kit for groupoid  $C^*$ -algebras*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs **241**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 2019, xv+398.
- [36] T. Yeend, *Topological higher-rank graphs and the  $C^*$ -algebras of topological 1-graphs*, Operator theory, operator algebras, and applications, 231–244, Contemp. Math. **414**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006, xviii+417.

(A. an Huef) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, VICTORIA UNIVERSITY OF WELLINGTON, P.O. BOX 600, WELLINGTON 6140, NEW ZEALAND.

*Email address:* `astrid.anhuef@vuw.ac.nz`

(A.C.S. Ng) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF SYDNEY, NSW 2006, AUSTRALIA.

*Email address:* `abraham.ng@sydney.edu.au`

(A. Sims) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND APPLIED STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF WOLLONGONG, NSW 2522, AUSTRALIA.

*Email address:* `asims@uow.edu.au`