Preparing graph states forbidding a vertex-minor

James Davies¹ and Andrew Jena²

¹University of Cambridge, United Kingdom. ²University of Waterloo, Canada.

Abstract

Measurement based quantum computing is preformed by adding non-Clifford measurements to a prepared stabilizer states. Entangling gates like CZ are likely to have lower fidelities due to the nature of interacting qubits, so when preparing a stabilizer state, we wish to minimize the number of required entangling states. This naturally introduces the notion of CZ-distance.

Every stabilizer state is local-Clifford equivalent to a graph state, so we may focus on graph states $|G\rangle$. As a lower bound for general graphs, there exist *n*-vertex graphs *G* such that the CZ-distance of $|G\rangle$ is $\Omega(n^2/\log n)$. We obtain significantly improved bounds when *G* is contained within certain proper classes of graphs. For instance, we prove that if *G* is a *n*-vertex circle graph with clique number ω , then $|G\rangle$ has CZ-distance at most $4n \log \omega + 7n$. We prove that if *G* is an *n*-vertex graph of rank-width at most *k*, then $|G\rangle$ has CZ-distance at most $(2^{2^{k+1}} + 1)n$. More generally, this is obtained via a bound of (k+2)n that we prove for graphs of twin-width at most *k*.

We also study how bounded-rank perturbations and low-rank cuts affect the CZ-distance. As a consequence, we prove that Geelen's Weak Structural Conjecture for vertex-minors implies that if G is an n-vertex graph contained in some fixed proper vertex-minor-closed class of graphs, then $|G\rangle$ has CZ-distance at most $O(n \log n)$. Since graph states of locally equivalent graphs are local Clifford equivalent, proper vertex-minor-closed classes of graphs are natural and very general in this setting.

1 Introduction

As a consequence of the low coherence times for qubits and low fidelities for quantum gates, the task of minimising the number of gates required to implement a given unitary is ever important. In this paper, we will focus on Clifford unitaries, which, famously according to the Gottesman-Knill Theorem, can be efficiently simulated on a classical device [12].

Despite, or perhaps owing to, being efficiently simulatable, Clifford circuits appear in a number of important applications. Adding just one non-Clifford gate to the Clifford gate set results in a university gate set, which can approximate any unitary up to arbitrary precision. One approach to implementing these non-Clifford operations is magic state distillation [4], and a popular example of a universal gate set constructed in this way is Clifford+T. Another approach to achieving universal computation is by adding non-Clifford measurements, as in measurement based quantum computing (MBQC), also known as one-way quantum computing [27, 30, 5].

Clifford circuits and related stabilizer states play a fundamental role in the theory of quantum error correction [11, 13, 25]. Graph states, the representation of stabilizer states which we will focus on here, have been used to understand entanglement in complicated multipartite states thanks to their elucidating combinatorial representation [15]. As a last example, a key bottleneck in variational quantum algorithms is the number of measurements required by the choice of measurement

bases [23, 6], and measuring in distinct Clifford bases has been a productive approach to minimising this cost [17, 32, 29].

Our basis set will consist of the single-qubit gates, H and S, and the two-qubit entangling gate, CZ, which together generate the *n*-qubit Clifford group up to a global phase which we ignore. An unfortunate reality is that entangling gates like CZ are likely to have lower fidelities due to the nature of interacting qubits, so we will be minimising the number of CZ gates without considering the cost of H and S gates. Up to conjugation by single-qubit gates, CZ and *i*SWAP are representatives of the only two inequivalent cosets of two-qubit Clifford entangling gates [14]. Both CZ and *i*SWAP can be generated using two applications of the other, and since CZ gates (which are single-qubit equivalent to CNOT gates) are more popular in the literature, we will focus our attention on the basis $\{H, S, CZ\}$, with the caveat that a similar analysis would result in similar proofs for $\{H, S, iSWAP\}$ and $\{H, S, CZ, iSWAP\}$ with at most a factor of 2 difference.

It is not true that every stabilizer state is a graph state, but it *is* true that every stabilizer state is local-Clifford equivalent to a graph state [28]. The problem of minimising the number of CZ gates needed to prepare a stabilizer state or graph state is naturally captured by the notion of CZ-distance, which was developed by the present authors in the second author's PhD thesis, where it was referred to as "entanglement distance" [18]. For a graph state $|G\rangle$, we say that the CZ-distance of $|G\rangle$ is equal to the minimum number of CZ gates required to prepare $|G\rangle$ while only using H, S, and CZ gates.

The action of single-qubit Clifford gates on stabilizer states can be easily translated in the graph state formalism using a graph operation known as a local complementation [31]. Let G be a graph and let $v \in V(G)$. We say that the graph G * v obtained from G by complementing the induced subgraph on the neighbours of v is the graph obtained from G by performing a *local complementation* at v. Two graphs, G and H, are *locally equivalent* if H can be obtained from G by a sequence of local complementations. A graph H, is a *vertex-minor* of a graph, G, if it is an induced subgraph of some graph locally equivalent to G, or equivalently, if it can be obtained from G by a sequence of vertex deletions and local complementations.

As alluded to above, there is a correspondence between local complementations and single-qubit Clifford operations. The graph state $|G * v\rangle$ can be obtained from the graph state $|G\rangle$ by applying a product of single-qubit unitaries

$$\sqrt{i \mathtt{X}_v} \quad \cdot \prod_{u \in N_G(v)} \sqrt{i \mathtt{Z}_u}$$

where X and Z are the Pauli X and Z gates, respectively. $\sqrt{X} = HSH$ and $\sqrt{Z} = S$, so up to a global phase, this can be constructed from single-qubit Clifford gates in our basis.

Similarly, there is a correspondence between adding or deleting an edge $\{uv\}$, and a CZ gate on qubits u and v. The graph state $|G\Delta\{uv\}\rangle$ can be obtained from the graph state $|G\rangle$ by applying the two-qubit entangling gate

$$CZ_{u,v}$$

For readers who are less familiar with the graph and stabilizer states or readers who have not seen the derivation of these facts, Appendix A provides quick definitions of Paulis, Cliffords, and graph states. Verifying the above correspondences directly from these definitions is a good exercise.

If G is a connected n-vertex graph, then $|G\rangle$ has CZ-distance at least n - 1. The CZ-distance of arbitrary n-vertex graphs is well understood; the maximum CZ-distance of an n-vertex graph is $\Theta(n^2/\log n)$ [26]. So to achieve better upper bounds than $O(n^2/\log n)$, we must restrict to proper classes of graphs. Since locally equivalent graph states can be obtained from each other via singlequbit Clifford gates, it is natural to consider graph classes that are closed under local complementations. From this point of view, the most general proper classes of graphs in our setting are then classes of graphs forbidding a vertex-minor. Proper vertex-minor-closed classes include several natural classes of graphs such as circle graphs and graphs of bounded rank-width. For a recent survey on vertex-minors, see [19]. Geelen [21] has a widely believed structural conjecture for vertex-minor-closed classes (see Conjecture 5.1 for the weak version), which there has been significant progress towards proving (see [10, 21, 22]), and we are optimistic that it will be proven in the coming years. Our main result is that, assuming the weak version of Geelen's vertex-minor structure theorem (see Conjecture 5.1), we have a near linear improvement from $O(n^2/\log n)$ to $O(n \log n)$ for the CZ-distance of a graph state $|G\rangle$ when G is a *n*-vertex graph in a proper vertex-minor-closed class of graphs.

Theorem 1.1. Conjecture 5.1 implies the following. Let \mathcal{F} be a proper vertex-minor-closed class of graphs, and let G be an n-vertex graph contained in \mathcal{F} . Then $|G\rangle$ has CZ-distance at most $O(n \log n)$.

Circle graphs and graphs of bounded rank-width are the most fundamental vertex-minor-closed class of graphs. Circle graphs are believed to play a role for vertex-minors that is analogous to that of planar graphs for graph minors, while rank-width is believed to play a role analogous to tree-width (see [10, 21]). One striking result is an analogue of Kuratowski's theorem characterising circle graphs by a list of three forbidden vertex-minors [3]. We also obtain improved explicit bounds for these two most natural classes of vertex-minor closed classes. The *clique number* of a graph is equal the the size of its largest complete subgraph.

Theorem 1.2. Let G be an n-vertex circle graph with clique number at most ω . Then the CZ-distance of $|G\rangle$ is at most $4n \log \omega + 7n$.

Theorem 1.3. Let G be an n-vertex graph of rank-width at most k. Then the CZ-distance of $|G\rangle$ is at most $(2^{2^{k+1}} + 1)n$.

We actually obtain Theorem 1.3 from a stronger theorem on graphs with bounded twin-width, which was recently introduced by Bonnet, Kim, Thomassé, and Watrigant [2].

Theorem 1.4. Let G be an n-vertex graph of twin-width at most k. Then the CZ-distance of $|G\rangle$ is at most (k+2)n.

Other classes of graphs that have bounded twin-width include proper hereditary subclasses of permutation graphs, proper minor-closed classes of graphs, graphs of bounded stack or queue number, and k-planar graphs [2].

Kumabe, Mori, and Yoshimura [20] recently concurrently and independently introduced the related notion of CZ-complexity and also studied it for classes such as circle graphs and of graphs of bounded rank-width. The notion of CZ-complexity is similar to CZ-distance except in that it allows arbitrarily many measurements in a Clifford basis. So, the CZ-complexity of G is the minimum CZdistance of H over all H for which G is a vertex-minor. We conjecture that these definitions differ by no more than a constant factor, but this remains an open problem. Since optimizations of CZ counts will prove most critical on near- and medium-term quantum devices, where ancillary qubits may be prohibitively expensive, we prefer to not assume access to arbitrarily many qubits. Kumabe, Mori, and Yoshimura [20] proved that circle graphs have CZ-complexity $O(n \log n)$ and that graphs of rank-width at most k have CZ-complexity O(kn).

In Section 3, we introduce some basic further definitions and preliminaries. In Section 3, we prove that circle graphs have CZ-distance $O(n \log n)$. In Section 4, we prove that rank-p perturbations only change the CZ-distance by O(pn). In Section 5, we prove that, assuming Geelen's Weak Structural Conjecture holds, these results would imply that the CZ-distance of any graph in a proper vertex-minor-closed class of graphs is $O(n \log n)$. In Section 6, we prove that graphs with bounded rank width have CZ-distance O(n) and therefore prove a logarithmic improvement for vertex-minor-closed classes of graphs not containing all circle graphs. We conclude in Section 7 by conjecturing whether the $O(n \log n)$ bound on circle graphs can be improved and discuss future directions.

2 Preliminaries

It is convenient to define CZ-distance not just for individual graphs, but also for between two graphs.

Definition 2.1 (CZ-distance). Given two graphs, G and H, on the same vertex set, we define the CZdistance between G and H (denoted CZ(G, H)) to be equal to the minimum k such that there is a sequence of graphs

$$G = G_0, G'_0, G_1, G'_1, \dots, G_k, G'_k = H$$

satisfying the following conditions:

- for each $0 \leq i \leq k$, G'_i is locally equivalent to G_i , and
- for each $1 \leq i \leq k$, G_i is obtained from G'_{i-1} by adding or removing a single edge.

For a single graph, G, we let CZ(G) be equal to the CZ-distance between G and the edge-less graph on the same vertex set. This is clearly equivalent to our previous definition of CZ-distance. Notice that this satisfies the triangle inequality: for three graphs, G, H, F, we have that $CZ(G, H) \leq CZ(G, F) + CZ(F, H)$. In particular, for a pair of graphs, G and H, we have $CZ(G, H) \leq CZ(G) + CZ(H)$.

For a *n*-vertex graph G and some $F \subseteq E(K_n)$ (where K_n has the same vertex set as G), we let $G\Delta F$ denote the graph obtained from G by complementing the edges F. For a vertex u of a graph G, we denote by $N_G(u)$ the neighborhood of u, or the vertices of G adjacent to u.

We will often use the following key observation which can easily be verified.

Lemma 2.2. Let u, v be distinct vertices of a graph G, and let $H = (((G * v)\Delta\{uv\}) * v)\Delta\{uv\}$, then H is the graph obtained from G by removing all edges between u and $N_G(u) \cap N_G(v)$ and adding all edges between u and $N_G(v) \setminus (N_G(u) \cup \{u\})$. As a consequence, $CZ(G, H) \leq 2$.

3 Circle graphs

For a graph G and two disjoint vertex sets $A, B \subseteq V(G)$, we let G[A, B] be the bipartite subgraph of G on vertex set $A \cup B$ where xy is an edge of G[A, B] if and only if xy is an edge of G, and $x \in A$, $y \in B$.

Two intervals I_1, I_2 in \mathbb{R} overlap if they intersect and neither is contained in the other. For a collection of closed intervals \mathcal{I} in \mathbb{R} , the overlap graph $G(\mathcal{I})$ is the graph with vertex set \mathcal{I} and edge set being the pairs of overlapping intervals in \mathcal{I} . It is well known (see for example [9]) that every circle graph is an overlap graph of a collection of intervals in \mathbb{R} such that no two share an endpoint.

Lemma 3.1. Let G be a n-vertex circle graph with an isolated vertex u, let $A, B \subseteq V(G) \setminus \{u\}$ be disjoint, and let F be the edges between A and B in G. Let H be a graph on the same vertex set as G, with u an isolated vertex. Then $CZ(H, H\Delta F) \leq 2n - 2$.

Proof. Let \mathcal{I} be a collection of closed intervals in \mathbb{R} with no two sharing an endpoint such that $G \setminus \{u\} = G(\mathcal{I})$. Let $b_1 < \cdots < b_{2n-2}$ be the endpoints of \mathcal{I} , and for each b_i , let $I(b_i)$ be the interval of \mathcal{I} that has b_i as an endpoint. For $I \in \mathcal{I}$, let $\ell(I)$ be such that $b_{\ell(I)}$ is the left endpoint of I, and let r(I) be such that $b_{r(I)}$ is the right endpoint of I.

Let $H_0 = H$. Now, for each $1 \leq i \leq 2n - 2$ in order,

- if $I(b_i) \in A$, then let $H_i = ((H_{i-1} * u)\Delta \{uI(b_i)\}) * u$,
- if $I(b_i) \in B$, then let $H_i = H_{i-1}\Delta\{uI(b_i)\}$, and
- otherwise, let $H_i = H_{i-1}$.

Clearly for each *i*, we have that $CZ(H_i, H_{i-1}) \leq 1$, so $CZ(H_{2n-2}, H) \leq 2n - 2$. It remains to show that $H_{2n-2} = H\Delta F$. Observe that for each $0 \leq i \leq 2n - 2$ in order, we have that $N_{H_i}(u) = \{I \in A \cup B : b_i \in I\}$. So, $N_{H_{2n-2}}(u) = N_{H\Delta F}(u)$.

By Lemma 2.2, we have that if $I(b_i) \in A$, then $H_i \setminus \{u\} = (H_{i-1}\Delta\{I(b_i)x : x \in N_{H_{i-1}}(u)\}) \setminus \{u\}$. Clearly if $I(b_i) \in B$, then $H_i \setminus \{u\} = H_{i-1} \setminus \{u\}$, and otherwise if $I(b_i) \notin A \cup B$, then $H_i = H_{i-1}$. It now follows that

$$H_{2n-2} = H\Delta_{I \in A} \left(\{ Ix : x \in N_{H_{\ell(I)-1}}(u) \} \Delta \{ Ix : x \in N_{H_{r(I)-1}}(u) \} \right)$$

= $H\Delta_{I \in A} \left(\{ IJ : b_{\ell(I)}J \in A \cup B \} \Delta \{ IJ : b_{r(I)}J \in A \cup B \} \right)$
= $H\Delta_{I \in A} \{ IJ : J \in A \cup B, IJ \in G(\mathcal{I}) \}$
= $H\Delta_{I \in A} \{ IJ : J \in B, IJ \in G(\mathcal{I}) \}$
= $H\Delta_F,$

as desired.

With a divide and concur strategy, we can extend this further to circle graphs with bounded chromatic number. A graph is *k*-colourable if there is an assignment of at most *k* colours to its vertices so that no two adjacent vertices are assigned the same colour. The chromatic number $\chi(G)$ of a graph *G* is equal to the minimum *k* such that *G* is *k*-colourable.

Lemma 3.2. Let G be an n-vertex circle graph with chromatic number at most k and an isolated vertex u. Then $CZ(G) \leq (2n-2) \lceil \log k \rceil$.

Proof. If k = 1, then the result is trivial, so we proceed inductively. Let $A, B \subseteq V(G) \setminus \{u\}$ be a partition such that $\chi(G[A]) = \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor$ and $\chi(G[B]) = \lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil$. Then, by the inductive hypothesis, we have that $CZ(G[A] \cup G[B] \cup G[\{u\}]) \leq CZ(G[A \cup \{u\}]) + CZ(G[B \cup \{u\}]) \leq 2|A| \lceil \log \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor \rceil + 2|B| \lceil \log \lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil \rceil = (2n-2)(\lceil \log k \rceil - 1)$. By Lemma 3.1, we have that $CZ(G, G[A] \cup G[B] \cup G[\{u\}]) \leq 2n-2$. Therefore, $CZ(G) \leq CZ(G[A] \cup G[B] \cup G[\{u\}]) + CZ(G, G[A] \cup G[B] \cup G[\{u\}]) \leq (2n-2) \lceil \log k \rceil$, as desired. \Box

We obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3.3. Let G be an n-vertex circle graph with chromatic number at most k. Then $CZ(G) \leq (2n-2)\lceil \log k \rceil + n - 1$.

Proof. Let u be a vertex of G and let E_u be the edges of G incident to u. By Lemma 3.2, we have that $CZ(G \setminus E_u) \leq (2n-2) \lceil \log k \rceil$. Clearly $CZ(G \setminus E_u, G) \leq |E_u| \leq n-1$. Therefore, $CZ(G) \leq CZ(G \setminus E_u) + CZ(G \setminus E_u, G) \leq (2n-2) \lceil \log k \rceil + n-1$, as desired.

We can improve Corollary 3.3 by replacing the dependence on the chromatic number of our circle graph G, by its clique number instead. For this we require the following theorem of Davies and McCarty [9] on colouring circle graphs with bounded clique number.

Theorem 3.4 ([9, Theorem 1]). Every circle graph with clique number at most ω is $7\omega^2$ -colourable.

From Corollary 3.3 and Theorem 3.4, we therefore obtain the following (which is equivalent to Theorem 1.2).

Theorem 3.5. Let G be an n-vertex circle graph with clique number at most ω . Then $CZ(G) \leq 4n \log \omega + 7n$.

A $O(\omega \log \omega)$ bound for the chromatic number of a circle graph is also proven in [7]. One can use this to slightly improve Theorem 3.5 for larger ω .

4 Perturbations

A rank-p perturbation of a graph G is a graph whose adjacency matrix can be obtained from the adjancency matrix of G by first adding (over the binary field) a symmetric matrix of rank at most p, and then changing all diagonal entries to be 0. For a graph G and $X \subseteq V(G)$, we say that complementing on X is the act of obtaining a new graph H from G by replacing the induced subgraph of G on X by its complement.

Nguyen and Oum [24] proved the following (see also [21]).

Lemma 4.1 ([24, Theorem 1.1]). Let G be an n-vertex graph and let H be a rank-p perturbation of G. Then G can be obtained from H by complementing on at most $\frac{3}{2}p$ sets of vertices.

Let us first examine the CZ-distance between a graph and another graph obtained by complementing on a set of vertices.

Lemma 4.2. Let G be an n-vertex graph, and let H be obtained by complementing on a set $X \subseteq V(G)$. Then $CZ(G, H) \leq 2n - 2$.

Proof. Let u be a vertex of G, and let G_1 be the graph obtained from G by changing the neighbourhood of u to be $X \setminus \{u\}$. Let $G_2 = G_1 * u$. Then H is obtained from G_2 by simply changing the neighbourhood of u. Therefore $CZ(G, H) \leq CZ(G, G_1) + CZ(G_1, G_2) + CZ(G_2, H) \leq (n-1) + 0 + (n-1) = 2n - 2$, as desired.

By applying Lemma 4.1 and then repeatedly applying Lemma 4.2, we now obtain the following.

Theorem 4.3. Let G be an n-vertex graph and let H be a rank-p perturbation of G. Then $CZ(G, H) \leq 3pn - 3p$.

An immediate corollary of Theorem 3.5 and Theorem 4.3 is the following.

Corollary 4.4. Let G be an n-vertex rank-p perturbation of a circle graph. Then $CZ(G) \leq 4n \log n + (3p+7)n$.

5 Vertex-minors

The *cut-rank* of a set $X \subseteq V(G)$, denoted $\rho(X)$, is the rank of the submatrix of the adjacency matrix with rows X and columns V(G) - X. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, a graph G is *k*-rank-connected if it has at least 2k vertices and $\rho(X) \ge \min(|X|, |V(G) - X|, k)$ for each $X \subseteq V(G)$.

Geelen's (see [21]) weak vertex-minor structure conjecture states that every graph in a proper vertex-minor-closed class of graph with sufficiently high rank-connectivity is a bounded rank perturbation of a circle graph.

Conjecture 5.1 (Weak Structural Conjecture [21]). For any proper vertex-minor-closed class of graphs \mathcal{F} , there exist $k, p \in \mathbb{N}$ so that each k-rank-connected graph in \mathcal{F} is a rank-p perturbation of a circle graph.

There is also a stronger vertex-minor structure conjecture (see [21]) which handle the case of low rank-connectivity, however we shall not need this for our purposes. Assuming Conjecture 5.1 and by using Corollary 4.4, we can now derive a $O(n \log n)$ bound for graphs forbidding a vertex-minor (this is equivalent to Theorem 1.1).

Theorem 5.2. Conjecture 5.1 implies the following. Let \mathcal{F} be a proper vertex-minor-closed class of graphs, and let G be an n-vertex graph contained in \mathcal{F} . Then $CZ(G) = O(n \log n)$.

Proof. Let $k, p \in \mathbb{N}$ be as in Conjecture 5.1. We shall argue inductively on n that $CZ(G) \leq 14k^2pn \log n$. We do not attempt to optimize the dependence on k and p, since they will likely be huge given a proof of Conjecture 5.1.

If G is a rank-p perturbation of a circle graph, then by Corollary 4.4,

$$\mathbf{CZ}(G) \leqslant 4n \log n + (3p+7)n \leqslant 14pn \log n \leqslant 14k^2pn \log n.$$

So we may assume that G is not a rank-p perturbation of a circle graph. Therefore, G is not k-rank-connected.

If $n \leq 2k$, then clearly

$$\operatorname{CZ}(G) \leq |E(G)| \leq \binom{n}{2} \leq \binom{2k}{2} \leq 2k^2 \leq 14k^2 pn \log n,$$

so we may assume that n > 2k. So, there exists some $X \subset V(G)$ with $\rho(X) < \min(|X|, |V(G) - X|, k)$. We may choose such a X so that $|X| \ge |V(G) \setminus X|$. Let $a_1, \ldots, a_{\rho_G(X)}$ be vertices of $V(G) \setminus X$ such that $\rho_G(X) = \rho_G(V(G) \setminus X) = \rho_{G[X \cup A]}(A)$, where $A = \{a_1, \ldots, a_{\rho_G(X)}\}$. Let $Y = V(G) \setminus (X \cup A)$. Then by the inductive hypothesis, $CZ(G[X \cup A]) \le 14k^2p|X \cup A| \log |X \cup A|$, and $CZ(G[Y]) \le 14k^2p|Y| \log |Y|$. Since $|Y| \le n/2$, we therefore get that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{CZ}(G[X \cup A] \cup G[Y]) &\leqslant \operatorname{CZ}(G[X \cup A]) + \operatorname{CZ}(G[Y]) \\ &\leqslant 14k^2p|X \cup A|\log|X \cup A| + 14k^2p|Y|\log|Y| \\ &\leqslant 14k^2p|X \cup A|\log n + 14k^2p|Y|\log\frac{n}{2} \\ &= 14k^2p|X \cup A|\log n + 14k^2p|Y|(\log n - 1) \\ &= 14k^2pn\log n - 14k^2p|Y|. \end{aligned}$$

Let G_0 be the graph obtained from $G[X \cup A] \cup G[Y]$ by removing all edges between the vertices of A. Then, $\operatorname{CZ}(G_0) \leq \operatorname{CZ}(G[X \cup A] \cup G[Y]) + \frac{1}{2}k(k-1) \leq 14k^2pn\log n - 14k^2p|Y| + \frac{1}{2}k^2$ since $|A| = \rho_G(X) \leq k$.

Let G_1 be the graph obtained from G_0 by adding edges between X and Y so that $E_{G_1}(X, Y) = E_G(X, Y)$. For each vertex $y \in Y$, there exists some $A_y \subseteq A$ such that $N_G(b) \cap X = \Delta_{a \in A_y} N_{G_0}(a)$. Note that $|A_y| \leq |A| \leq k$ for each $y \in Y$. So, by repeatedly applying Lemma 2.2 a total of $|A_y|$ times for each vertex $y \in Y$, we have that

$$\operatorname{CZ}(G_0, G_1) \leqslant \sum_{y \in Y} 2|A_y| \leqslant 2k|Y|.$$

Therefore $CZ(G_1) \leq 14k^2 p n \log n - 14k^2 p |Y| + \frac{1}{2}k^2 + 2k|Y| \leq 14k^2 p n \log n - \frac{1}{2}k^2$.

Now, G_1 and G differ only on the edges between vertices of A. So, $CZ(G_1, G) \leq \frac{1}{2}k(k-1) \leq \frac{1}{2}k^2$ since $|A| = \rho_G(X) \leq k$. Therefore

 $CZ(G) \leq CZ(G_1) + CZ(G_1, G) \leq 14k^2 pn \log n$

as desired.

6 Twin-width

In this section, we prove a linear bound for the CZ-distance of an n-vertex graph of bounded rankwidth. For the proof, it is more convenient to work with graph of bounded twin-width, which was recently introduced by Bonnet, Kim, Thomassé, and Watrigant [2]. We can do this instead since graphs of bounded rank-width have bounded twin-width.

Theorem 6.1 ([2, Theorem 4.2]). Every graph with rank-width at most k has twin-width at most $2^{2^{k+1}} - 1$.

Next, we shall formally define twin-width. A *trigraph* G has vertex set V(G), (black) edge set E(G), and red edge set R(G) (the error edges), with E(G) and R(G) being disjoint. The set of neighbors $N_G(v)$ of a vertex v in a trigraph G consists of all the vertices adjacent to v by a black or red edge. A k-trigraph is a trigraph G such that the red graph (V(G), R(G)) has degree at most k. A (vertex) contraction or identification in a trigraph G consists of merging two (non-necessarily adjacent) vertices u and v into a single vertex z, and updating the edges of G in the following way. Every vertex of the symmetric difference $N_G(u)\Delta N_G(v)$ is linked to z by a red edge. Every vertex x of the intersection $N_G(u) \cap N_G(v)$ is linked to z by a black edge if both $ux \in E(G)$ and $vx \in E(G)$, and by a red edge otherwise. The rest of the edges (not incident to u or v) remain unchanged. We insist that the vertices u and v (together with the edges incident to these vertices) are removed from the trigraph.

A k-sequence (or contraction sequence) is a sequence of k-trigraphs $G_n, G_{n-1}, \ldots, G_1$, where $G_n = G, G_1 = K_1$ is the graph on a single vertex, and G_{i-1} is obtained from G_i by performing a single contraction of two (non-necessarily adjacent) vertices. We observe that G_i has precisely i vertices, for every $1 \le i \le n$. The twin-width of G, is the minimum integer k such that G admits a k-sequence.

The following lemma is immediate from the definition of twin-width.

Lemma 6.2. Let G be an n-vertex graph of twin-width at most k. Then, there exists a sequence of n-vertex graphs G_1, \ldots, G_n such that $G_n = G$, G_1 is edgeless, and for each $2 \leq i \leq n$, the graph G_i is obtained from G_{i-1} by choosing two vertices u, v of G_{i-1} , with u isolated, and then adding all edges between u and $N_{G_{i-1}}(v)$, then possibly adding an edge between u and v, and then adding at most kadditional edges incident to u.

The next lemma allows us to bound the CZ-distance between G_{i-1} and G_i in the above lemma.

Lemma 6.3. Let u, v be distinct vertices of a graph G with u isolated, and let H be the graph obtained from G by adding all edges between u and $N_G(v)$, then possibly adding an edge between u and v, and then adding at most k additional edges incident to u. Then $CZ(G, H) \leq k + 2$.

Proof. If an edge is added between u and v, then observe that H is obtained from $((G * v)\Delta\{uv\}) * v$ by adding at most k additional edges, and therefore $CZ(G, H) \leq k + 1$. Otherwise, if no edhe is added between u and v, then observe that H is obtained from $((G * v)\Delta\{uv\}) * v)\Delta\{uv\}$ by adding at most k additional edges, and therefore $CZ(G, H) \leq k + 2$.

We now obtain the following by applying Lemma 6.2 once and then Lemma 6.3 a total of n - 1 times (this is equivalent to Theorem 1.4).

Theorem 6.4. Let G be an n-vertex graph of twin-width at most k. Then $CZ(G) \leq (k+2)n$.

By Theorem 6.1 and Theorem 6.4, we obtain the following bound for graphs of bounded rank-width (this is equivalent to Theorem 1.3).

Theorem 6.5. Let G be an n-vertex graph of rank-width at most k. Then $CZ(G) \leq (2^{2^{k+1}} + 1)n$.

The linear bound for graphs of bounded rank-width allows us to obtain a linear bound for proper vertex-minor-closed classes of graphs that do not contain the class of circle graphs. For this we require the vertex-minor grid theorem of Geelen, Kwon, McCarty, and Wollan [10].

Theorem 6.6 ([10, Theorem 1]). Let H be a circle graph. Then the class of graphs not containing H as a vertex-minor has bounded rank-width.

Now, as an immediate corollary of Theorem 6.5 and Theorem 6.6, we obtain the following.

Corollary 6.7. Let \mathcal{F} be a proper vertex-minor-closed class of graphs not containing all circle graphs, and let G be an n-vertex graph contained in \mathcal{F} . Then CZ(G) = O(n).

7 Concluding remarks and open problems

In this paper we have obtained $O(n \log n)$ bounds for the CZ-distance of circle graphs and more generally (assuming Geelen's weak vertex-minor structure theorem) for any proper vertex-minorclosed class of graphs. This is a near linear improvement on the best possible bound of $\Theta(n^2/\log n)$ for the class of all graphs.

Trivially we have the lower bound of $CZ(G) \ge n - 1$ for any *n*-vertex connected graph G. A small logarithmic gap still remains, leading to the following problem.

Problem 7.1. Let \mathcal{F} be a proper vertex-minor-closed class of graphs and let G be an n-vertex graph contained in \mathcal{F} . Is it true that CZ(G) = O(n)?

Corollary 6.7 shows that this is the case when \mathcal{F} does not contain all circle graphs. We believe that this is not the case for circle graphs.

Conjecture 7.2. There are *n* vertex circle graphs *G* with $CZ(G) = \Omega(n \log n)$.

For circle graphs with bounded clique number we were able to obtain a O(n) bound improving the $O(n \log n)$ bound for circle graphs with unbounded clique number. We did this using the fact that circle graphs with bounded clique number have bounded chromatic number. It is also the case that in a proper vertex-minor-closed class, the graphs with bounded clique number have bounded chromatic number [8]. This leads us to the following conjecture.

Conjecture 7.3. Let \mathcal{F} be a proper vertex-minor-closed class of graphs and let G be an n-vertex graph contained in \mathcal{F} with clique number at most ω . Then $CZ(G) = O_{\omega}(n)$.

Further support for this conjecture is a theorem of Hliněnỳ and Pokrỳvka [16] that (assuming Conjecture 5.1), graphs in a proper vertex-minor-closed class of graphs with high rank-connectivity and bounded clique number, have bounded twin-width.

Acknowledgements

We thank Luca Dellantonio, Jim Geelen, Lane Gunderman, and Rose McCarty for helpful discussions.

References

- [1] Scott Aaronson and Daniel Gottesman. Improved simulation of stabilizer circuits. *Physical Review A—Atomic, Molecular, and Optical Physics*, 70(5):052328, 2004.
- [2] Édouard Bonnet, Eun Jung Kim, Stéphan Thomassé, and Rémi Watrigant. Twin-width I: tractable FO model checking. *ACM Journal of the ACM (JACM)*, 69(1):1–46, 2021.
- [3] André Bouchet. Circle graph obstructions. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 60(1):107–144, 1994.
- [4] Sergey Bravyi and Alexei Kitaev. Universal quantum computation with ideal clifford gates and noisy ancillas. *Physical Review A—Atomic, Molecular, and Optical Physics*, 71(2):022316, 2005.
- [5] Dan Browne and Hans Briegel. One-way quantum computation. *Quantum information: From foundations to quantum technology applications*, pages 449–473, 2016.
- [6] Marco Cerezo, Andrew Arrasmith, Ryan Babbush, Simon C Benjamin, Suguru Endo, Keisuke Fujii, Jarrod R McClean, Kosuke Mitarai, Xiao Yuan, Lukasz Cincio, et al. Variational quantum algorithms. *Nature Reviews Physics*, 3(9):625–644, 2021.

- [7] James Davies. Improved bounds for colouring circle graphs. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 150(12):5121–5135, 2022.
- [8] James Davies. Vertex-minor-closed classes are χ -bounded. *Combinatorica*, 42(Suppl 1):1049–1079, 2022.
- [9] James Davies and Rose McCarty. Circle graphs are quadratically χ -bounded. Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society, 53(3):673–679, 2021.
- [10] Jim Geelen, O-joung Kwon, Rose McCarty, and Paul Wollan. The grid theorem for vertexminors. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 158:93–116, 2023.
- [11] Daniel Gottesman. *Stabilizer codes and quantum error correction*. California Institute of Technology, 1997.
- [12] Daniel Gottesman. The Heisenberg representation of quantum computers. In *Proc. XXII International Colloquium on Group Theoretical Methods in Physics, 1998*, pages 32–43, 1998.
- [13] Daniel Gottesman. Surviving as a quantum computer in a classical world. *Textbook manuscript preprint*, 2016.
- [14] Daniel Grier and Luke Schaeffer. The classification of clifford gates over qubits. *Quantum*, 6:734, 2022.
- [15] Marc Hein, Wolfgang Dür, Jens Eisert, Robert Raussendorf, Maarten Van den Nest, and H-J Briegel. Entanglement in graph states and its applications. In *Quantum computers, algorithms* and chaos, pages 115–218. IOS Press, 2006.
- [16] Petr Hliněný and Filip Pokrývka. Twin-width and limits of tractability of FO model checking on geometric graphs. arXiv preprint arXiv:2204.13742, 2022.
- [17] Hsin-Yuan Huang, Richard Kueng, and John Preskill. Predicting many properties of a quantum system from very few measurements. *Nature Physics*, 16(10):1050–1057, 2020.
- [18] Andrew Jena. Graph-theoretic techniques for optimizing NISQ algorithms. *PhD thesis, University of Waterloo*, 2024.
- [19] Donggyu Kim and Sang-il Oum. Vertex-minors of graphs: A survey. Discrete Applied Mathematics, 351:54–73, 2024.
- [20] Soh Kumabe, Ryuhei Mori, and Yusei Yoshimura. Complexity of graph-state preparation by clifford circuits. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.05874*, 2024.
- [21] Rose McCarty. Local structure for vertex-minors. *PhD thesis, University of Waterloo*, 2021.
- [22] Rose McCarty. Decomposing a signed graph into rooted circuits. *Advances in Combinatorics*, 2024:7, 22 pp., 2024.
- [23] Jarrod R McClean, Jonathan Romero, Ryan Babbush, and Alán Aspuru-Guzik. The theory of variational hybrid quantum-classical algorithms. *New Journal of Physics*, 18(2):023023, 2016.
- [24] Huy-Tung Nguyen and Sang-il Oum. The average cut-rank of graphs. *European Journal of Combinatorics*, 90:103183, 2020.
- [25] Michael A Nielsen and Isaac L Chuang. Quantum computation and quantum information, volume 2. Cambridge university press Cambridge, 2001.

- [26] Ketan N Patel, Igor L Markov, and John P Hayes. Optimal synthesis of linear reversible circuits. *Quantum Inf. Comput.*, 8(3):282–294, 2008.
- [27] Robert Raussendorf and Hans J Briegel. A one-way quantum computer. *Physical review letters*, 86(22):5188, 2001.
- [28] Dirk Schlingemann. Stabilizer codes can be realized as graph codes. *Quantum Information and Computation*, 2(4):307–323, 2002.
- [29] Ariel Shlosberg, Andrew J Jena, Priyanka Mukhopadhyay, Jan F Haase, Felix Leditzky, and Luca Dellantonio. Adaptive estimation of quantum observables. *Quantum*, 7:906, 2023.
- [30] Maarten Van den Nest, Jeroen Dehaene, and Bart De Moor. Efficient algorithm to recognize the local clifford equivalence of graph states. *Physical Review A—Atomic, Molecular, and Optical Physics*, 70(3):034302, 2004.
- [31] Maarten Van den Nest, Jeroen Dehaene, and Bart De Moor. Graphical description of the action of local clifford transformations on graph states. *Physical Review A*, 69(2):022316, 2004.
- [32] Vladyslav Verteletskyi, Tzu-Ching Yen, and Artur F Izmaylov. Measurement optimization in the variational quantum eigensolver using a minimum clique cover. *The Journal of chemical physics*, 152(12), 2020.

A Graph States Ab Initio

Definition A.1 (Pauli Group [13]). The *n*-qubit Pauli group, which we will denote by \mathcal{P}^n , is a basis over which the $2^n \times 2^n$ Hermitian operators form a real vector space. Each element of \mathcal{P}^n is a tensor product of the following matrices together with an overall phase of ± 1 or $\pm i$:

$$I = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad Z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Definition A.2 (Clifford Group [13]). The *n*-qubit Clifford group, which we will denote by C^n is the normalizer of the Pauli group. Formally,

$$\mathcal{C}^n = \{g \text{ unitary} : gPg^{\dagger} \in \mathcal{P}^n, \ \forall P \in \mathcal{P}^n \}.$$

Recall that we will be restricting our analysis to the $\{H, S, CZ\}$ basis. Below are the definitions of the relevant Clifford operators which together span the group up to a global phase.

$$\mathbf{H} : \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \mathbf{S} : \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix} \qquad \mathbf{CZ} : \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

The above gates are called Hadamard, Phase, and Controlled-Z, respectively.

An *n*-qubit stabilizer state is a quantum state which is the +1 eigenvector or a set of exactly 2^n Paulis. Equivalently, a stabilizer state is a quantum state which can be obtained from $|0\rangle^{\otimes n}$ by applying H, S, and CZ gates [1]. The graph state formalism provides a visual representation of *n*-qubit stabilizer states using *n*-vertex simple graphs.

Definition A.3 (Graph State [15]). Given a simple graph, G = (V, E), the corresponding graph state is the stabilizer state,

$$|G\rangle = \left(\prod_{(i, j)\in E} \operatorname{CZ}_{ij}\right) |+\rangle^{\otimes |V|}$$

The set of Paulis which stabilize a given graph state admits a simple basis which can be constructed directly from the adjacency matrix of the graph. The state, $|G\rangle$, corresponds to the basis

$$\left\{\mathbf{X}_{i}\prod_{j\in N_{G}(i)}\mathbf{Z}_{j}\right\}_{i=1}^{|V|}$$