

Orthogonalization and polarization of Yangians

Wolfgang Bock, Vyacheslav Futorny, Mikhail Neklyudov, Jian Zhang

Abstract

For every family of orthogonal polynomials, we define a new realization of the Yangian of \mathfrak{gl}_n . Except in the case of Chebyshev polynomials, the new realizations do not satisfy the RTT relation. We obtain an analogue of the Christoffel-Darboux formula. Similar construction can be made for any family of functions satisfying certain recurrence relations, for example, q -Pochhammer symbols and Bessel functions. Furthermore, using an analogue of the Jordan-Schwinger map, we define the ternary Yangian for an arbitrary semisimple Lie algebra as a flat deformation of the current algebra of certain ternary extension of the given Lie algebra.

1 Introduction

Yangians were introduced by Drinfeld in 1985 in his seminal paper [2] following their exploration in the context of the inverse scattering method by Faddeev's school [6, 7]. Yangians constitute a family of quantum groups associated with rational solutions of the classical Yang-Baxter equation. For any simple finite-dimensional Lie algebra \mathcal{A} over the field complex numbers, the Yangian $Y(\mathcal{A})$ corresponds to a canonical deformation of the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathcal{A}[x])$ for the polynomial current Lie algebra $\mathcal{A}[x]$.

In this paper, we introduce two constructions of families of algebras generalizing the Yangian of \mathfrak{gl}_n . The first procedure can be deduced from the elementary identity-motivating definition of the Yangian as follows.

Let $E := (E_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$ be the matrix whose entries are the standard matrix units $\{E_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^n$ of $Mat(n)$. Then we have following well known identity:

$$[(E^{r+1})_{ij}, (E^s)_{kl}] - [(E^r)_{ij}, (E^{s+1})_{kl}] = (E^r)_{kj}(E^s)_{il} - (E^s)_{kj}(E^r)_{il}, i, j = 1, \dots, n, r, s \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}. \quad (1.1)$$

Notice that by linearity we can extend it as follows

$$[((x f)(E))_{ij}, (g(E))_{kl}] - [(f(E))_{ij}, ((x g)(E))_{kl}] = (f(E))_{kj}(g(E))_{il} - (g(E))_{kj}(f(E))_{il}, \quad (1.2)$$

$(i, j, k, l = 1, \dots, n)$ for arbitrary polynomials $f, g \in \mathbb{C}[x]$. Furthermore, the identity (1.2) is informally valid for arbitrary power series f and g under some natural assumptions. Choosing different sequences of functions $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfying recurrence relations (i.e. q -Pochhammer symbols, orthogonal polynomials etc.) we construct from identity (1.2) new realizations of Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ or similar algebras. They in general do not satisfy the RTT relation, a notable exception is the case of Chebyshev polynomials considered in Section 4. The construction connects the theory of Yangians with the classical theory of orthogonal polynomials. As a result, we deduce an analogue of the Christoffel-Darboux formula based on the new realization of the Yangian.

The second construction presented in Section 6 is an analogue of the Jordan-Schwinger map ([1]) for the Yangian. In the case of the Jordan-Schwinger map, we start with a basis of \mathfrak{gl}_n

(where n could be finite or infinite), and then construct a realization of an arbitrary Lie algebra. Here, instead of the basis of \mathfrak{gl}_n we start with a basis of the Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$. For an arbitrary Lie algebra \mathcal{A} of dimension n we linearly "deform" Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ into the algebra $Yt(\mathcal{A})$. The surprising fact is that $Yt(\mathcal{A})$ is a flat deformation of the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathcal{A}^{tern}[x])$ (see Theorem 6.15), where \mathcal{A}^{tern} is a certain ternary extension of the initial Lie algebra \mathcal{A} . In Example 6.12 we compute the extension for some low-dimensional algebras which are not necessarily simple. Thus, the algebra $Yt(\mathcal{A})$ can be viewed as Yangian $Y(\mathcal{A}^{tern})$, where \mathcal{A}^{tern} is semisimple. Note that $Yt(\mathcal{A})$ is not a Hopf algebra (unless $\mathcal{A}^{tern} = \mathfrak{gl}_m$, for some $m \geq n$), but nevertheless we still have a notion of the evaluation homomorphism (Proposition 6.14).

2 New realization of Yangian of \mathfrak{gl}_n

Recall that the Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ of \mathfrak{gl}_n is a unital algebra generated by $t_{ij}^{(r)}$, $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$ with defining relations given by

$$[t_{ij}^{(r+1)}, t_{kl}^{(s)}] - [t_{ij}^{(r)}, t_{kl}^{(s+1)}] = t_{kj}^{(r)} t_{il}^{(s)} - t_{kj}^{(s)} t_{il}^{(r)}, \quad (2.1)$$

where $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$, and $t_{ij}^{(0)} = \delta_{ij}$.

We define a family of algebras $OY(\mathfrak{gl}_n, a, b)$ for arbitrary complex parameters $a = \{a_m\}_{m=0}^\infty$, $b = \{b_m\}_{m=0}^\infty$ as follows.

Definition 2.1. Let $a = \{a_m\}_{m=0}^\infty, b = \{b_m\}_{m=0}^\infty$ be sequences of complex parameters and $OY(\mathfrak{gl}_n, a, b)$ be a unital associative algebra over \mathbb{C} with generators

$$\tilde{t}_{ij}^{(r)}, 1 \leq i, j \leq n, r \in \mathbb{N}$$

and defining relations given by

$$[\tilde{t}_{ij}^{(r+1)} + a_r \tilde{t}_{ij}^{(r)} + b_r \tilde{t}_{ij}^{(r-1)}, \tilde{t}_{kl}^{(s)}] - [\tilde{t}_{ij}^{(r)}, \tilde{t}_{kl}^{(s+1)} + a_s \tilde{t}_{kl}^{(s)} + b_s \tilde{t}_{kl}^{(s-1)}] = \tilde{t}_{kj}^{(r)} \tilde{t}_{il}^{(s)} - \tilde{t}_{kj}^{(s)} \tilde{t}_{il}^{(r)}, \quad (2.2)$$

and

$$\tilde{t}_{ij}^{(0)} = \delta_{ij}, \tilde{t}_{ij}^{(-1)} := 0,$$

where $i, j = 1, \dots, n, r, s \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

Remark 2.2. Classical Yangian relations correspond to the case $a_n = b_n = 0$ for all n .

Generating function. Consider the following generating function:

$$\tilde{T}_{ij}(u) = \delta_{ij} + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \tilde{t}_{ij}^{(r)} u^{-r}$$

For a sequence $\{c_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ we define the shifted sequence

$$s(c) = \{c_{n+1}\}_{n=0}^\infty$$

and the (pseudo) differential operator L_z^c by

$$L_z^c : \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} d_k z^{-k} \mapsto \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k d_k z^{-k}.$$

Now we can rewrite (2.2) as follows

$$\left(u + L_u^a + \frac{1}{u} L_u^{s(b)} - v - L_v^a - \frac{1}{v} L_v^{s(b)} \right) [\tilde{T}_{ij}(u), \tilde{T}_{kl}(v)] = \tilde{T}_{kj}(u) \tilde{T}_{il}(v) - \tilde{T}_{kj}(v) \tilde{T}_{il}(u), \quad (2.3)$$

where $i, j, k, l = 1, \dots, n$.

Realization. Let $\{p_m\}_{m=0}^\infty$, be a system of monic polynomials satisfying the recurrence relation

$$p_{m+1}(x) = (x - a_m)p_m(x) - b_m p_{m-1}(x), m \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (2.4)$$

for some sequences $\{a_m, b_m\}_{m=0}^\infty$. From now on we will also put

$$b_0 := 0. \quad (2.5)$$

So the recurrence relation (2.4) formally holds for $m = 0$.

Then applying formula (1.2) with $f = p_r, g = p_s, r, s \in \mathbb{N}$ and denoting

$$\tilde{t}_{ij}^{(r)} := (p_r(E))_{ij},$$

we get the system (2.2).

In the following we will denote $p_l^m, l = 0, \dots, m-1$ the coefficients of polynomial p_m i.e.

$$p_m = x^m + \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} p_l^m x^l, m \geq 1.$$

From now on, unless otherwise explicitly stated, we will assume that $b_n \neq 0, n \in \mathbb{N}$, and, consequently, the polynomials $\{p_m\}_{m=0}^\infty$ are orthogonal (with respect to some measure μ).

Remark 2.3. For each integer m denote by $p_i^{(m)}$ the m -th associated polynomial of the orthogonal polynomial p_i . The transfer from system(2.2) of orthogonal polynomials $\{p_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$ to the m -th associated orthogonal polynomials $\{p_i^{(m)}\}_{i=0}^\infty$ corresponds to the transformation $a \mapsto s^m(a), b \mapsto s^m(b)$ (i.e. shift by m forward) of coefficients of the recurrence relation. Consequently, for the m -th associated orthogonal polynomials we get the system

$$\left(u + L_u^{s^m(a)} + \frac{1}{u} L_u^{s^{m+1}(b)} - v - L_v^{s^m(a)} - \frac{1}{v} L_v^{s^{m+1}(b)} \right) [\tilde{T}_{ij}(u), \tilde{T}_{kl}(v)] = \tilde{T}_{kj}(u) \tilde{T}_{il}(v) - \tilde{T}_{kj}(v) \tilde{T}_{il}(u), \quad (2.6)$$

with $i, j, k, l = 1, \dots, n$.

Definition 2.4. Define

$$K(z, u) := \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} p_l(z) u^l,$$

the generating function of the sequence of orthogonal monic polynomials.

Notice that the recurrence relation (2.4) can be expressed in the following form:

Lemma 2.5.

$$\left(u + L_u^a + \frac{1}{u} L_u^{s(b)} \right) K(z, \frac{1}{u}) = z K(z, \frac{1}{u}) + u \quad (2.7)$$

Proof. Immediately follows from the recurrence relation (2.4) and definition of the generating function. \square

We will also denote the algebra $OY(\mathfrak{gl}_n, a, b)$ by $OY(\mathfrak{gl}_n, \{p_m\})$

Definition 2.6. Let us define the map $W : OY(\mathfrak{gl}_n, \{p_m\}) \rightarrow Y(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ as follows

$$\tilde{t}_{ij}^{(r)} \rightarrow t_{ij}^{(r)} + \sum_{l=0}^{r-1} p_l^r t_{ij}^{(l)}, r \geq 1.$$

Theorem 2.7. *The map W is an algebraic isomorphism between $OY(\mathfrak{gl}_n, \{p_m\})$ and $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ given by the following formula on generators of $OY(\mathfrak{gl}_n, \{p_m\})$:*

$$W(\tilde{t})_{ij}(u) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z|=1} K(z, \frac{1}{u}) t_{ij}(z) \frac{dz}{z}, i, j = 1 \dots, n. \quad (2.8)$$

Proof. Formula (2.8) follows from the definition of the generating function K and the formal expansion of the RHS of (2.8) as a power series in u^{-1} . Indeed, we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z|=1} K(z, \frac{1}{u}) t_{ij}(z) \frac{dz}{z} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} u^{-l} \int_{|z|=1} p_l(z) t_{ij}(z) \frac{dz}{z} = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} u^{-l} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} p_l(e^{i\phi}) t_{ij}(e^{i\phi}) d\phi \quad (2.9)$$

$$= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} u^{-l} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} t_{ij}^{(m)} \sum_{k=0}^l p_k^l \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i(k-m)\phi} d\phi = \sum_{l,m=0}^{\infty} u^{-l} t_{ij}^{(m)} \sum_{k=0}^l p_k^l \delta_{km} \quad (2.10)$$

$$= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} u^{-l} \sum_{m=0}^l p_m^l t_{ij}^{(m)}, \quad (2.11)$$

where $p_l^l = 1$ (as the highest coefficient of a monic orthogonal polynomial).

Let us notice that W can be described by a 1-sided infinite upper triangular matrix with 1 on the diagonal and with finitely many nonzero entries in each row. Hence, we conclude that W is invertible. It remains to show that W is an algebraic homomorphism. Consider the generating function \tilde{T} of the algebra $OY(\mathfrak{gl}_n, \{p_m\})$. We need to show that $W(\tilde{T})$ satisfies the equation (2.3).

We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(u + L_u^a + \frac{1}{u} L_u^{s(b)} - v - L_v^a - \frac{1}{v} L_v^{s(b)} \right) [W(\tilde{T})_{ij}(u), W(\tilde{T})_{kl}(v)] \\ &= \left[\left(u + L_u^a + \frac{1}{u} L_u^{s(b)} \right) W(\tilde{T})_{ij}(u), W(\tilde{T})_{kl}(v) \right] \\ & \quad - [W(\tilde{T})_{ij}(u), \left(v + L_v^a + \frac{1}{v} L_v^{s(b)} \right) W(\tilde{T})_{kl}(v)] \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{|z|=1} \int_{|w|=1} K(z, \frac{1}{u}) K(w, \frac{1}{v}) [T_{ij}(z), T_{kl}(w)] \left(\frac{1}{w} - \frac{1}{z} \right) dz dw \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{|z|=1} \int_{|w|=1} K(z, \frac{1}{u}) K(w, \frac{1}{v}) \frac{T_{kj}(z)T_{il}(w) - T_{kj}(w)T_{il}(z)}{z-w} \left(\frac{1}{w} - \frac{1}{z} \right) dz dw \\ &= W(\tilde{T})_{kj}(u)W(\tilde{T})_{il}(v) - W(\tilde{T})_{kj}(v)W(\tilde{T})_{il}(u) \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

where the second equality follows from identity (2.7) and third one from definition of $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$. \square

Let us denote

$$\omega(u) := \text{tr} \tilde{T}(u) = \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{T}_{ii}(u).$$

Then it follows immediately from Theorem 2.7 and the corresponding fact for $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ that

Corollary 2.8.

$$[\omega(u), \omega(v)] = 0.$$

Hence $\omega(u)$ can be viewed as a generating function of integrals of motion of some quantum system.

Proposition 2.9. *The inverse operator $W^{-1} : Y(\mathfrak{gl}_n) \rightarrow OY(\mathfrak{gl}_n, \{p_m\})$ is given by the formula*

$$t_{ij}^{(r)} \rightarrow \tilde{t}_{ij}^{(r)} + \sum_{l=0}^{r-1} q_l^r \tilde{t}_{ij}^{(l)}, r \geq 1.$$

where coefficients $\{q_l^r\}_{l=0}^r$ (where we put $q_r^r = 1$) are defined by the system

$$x^r = \sum_{l=0}^r q_l^r p_l(x), r \geq 0. \quad (2.13)$$

Furthermore, it can be written as an integral operator

$$W^{-1}(t)_{ij}(u) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z|=1} K^{-1}(z, \frac{1}{u}) \tilde{t}_{ij}(z) \frac{dz}{z}, i, j = 1 \dots, n. \quad (2.14)$$

with kernel

$$K^{-1}(z, u) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} u^l \sum_{m=0}^l q_m^l z^m$$

Proof. The invertibility of W and formula (2.13) follow from the definition of W . Formula (2.14) can be shown similarly to the formula (2.8). \square

Remark 2.10. *The coefficients $\{q_l^r\}_{l=0}^r, r \geq 0$ satisfy recurrence relations*

$$q_m^{r+1} = q_{m-1}^r + a_m q_m^r + b_{m+1} q_{m+1}^r, m = 0, \dots, r+1, r \geq 0. \quad (2.15)$$

Here we use the convention $q_m^r := 0$ if $m < 0$ or $m > r$. Denote by

$$q_l(x) := \sum_{m=0}^l q_m^l z^m, l \geq 0$$

the corresponding monic polynomials. For any sequence $\{c_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ of complex numbers define the following (pseudo) differential operator:

$$\tilde{L}_z^c : \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} d_k z^k \mapsto \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k d_k z^k.$$

Then the system (2.15) can be rewritten as follows

$$q_{r+1} = x q_r + \tilde{L}_x^a q_r + \frac{1}{x} \tilde{L}_x^b q_r, r \geq 0. \quad (2.16)$$

Note that the sequence $\{b_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ starts from $b_0 = 0$ (see (2.5)), and consequently, last term is a polynomial. Hence, the polynomials $\{q_l\}_{l=0}^{\infty}$ are not orthogonal in general.

Definition 2.11. Let $R \in (\text{End}(\mathbb{C}^n))^{\otimes 2}$ be the R -matrix:

$$R(u) := I - \frac{1}{u} P,$$

where P is the permutation operator. We introduce $R(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m) \in (\text{End}(\mathbb{C}^n))^{\otimes m}$ as follows:

$$R(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m) := R_{m-1, m} (R_{m-2, m} R_{m-2, m-1}) \dots (R_{1, m} \dots R_{12}), \quad (2.17)$$

where $R_{ij} = R_{ij}(u_i - u_j)$ and i, j are indexes of two copies of $\text{End}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ on which operator R_{ij} acts.

We immediately have

Corollary 2.12.

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{(\mathbb{S}^1)^m} \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{K^{-1}(z_i, u_i)}{z_i} R(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m) \tilde{T}_1(z_1) \dots \tilde{T}_m(z_m) dz_1 \dots dz_m \\ &= \int_{(\mathbb{S}^1)^m} \tilde{T}_m(z_m) \dots \tilde{T}_1(z_1) \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{K^{-1}(z_i, u_i)}{z_i} R(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m) dz_1 \dots dz_m \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

Also, Theorem 2.7 immediately implies

Corollary 2.13. $OY(\mathfrak{gl}_n, \{p_m\})$ is a Hopf algebra with product

$$u \cdot_W v := W^{-1}(W(u) \cdot W(v)), u, v \in OY(\mathfrak{gl}_n, \{p_m\}),$$

where \cdot inside the brackets is the product in $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$, the coproduct $\Delta_{OY(\mathfrak{gl}_n, \{p_m\})}$ and the antipode $S_{OY(\mathfrak{gl}_n, \{p_m\})}$ are defined by

$$\Delta_{OY(\mathfrak{gl}_n, \{p_m\})} := (W^{-1} \otimes W^{-1}) \circ \Delta \circ W, \quad S_{OY(\mathfrak{gl}_n, \{p_m\})} := W^{-1} \circ S \circ W,$$

and Δ and S are the coproduct and the antipode for $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ respectively.

3 Quantum Christoffel-Darboux formula

The Christoffel-Darboux formula for monic orthogonal polynomials $\{p_l\}_{l=1}^\infty$ satisfying the recurrence relation (2.4) can be formulated as follows

$$(x - y) \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{p_k(x)p_k(y)}{b_1 b_2 \dots b_k} = \frac{1}{b_1 b_2 \dots b_n} (p_{n+1}(x)p_n(y) - p_n(x)p_{n+1}(y)) \quad (3.1)$$

Since (informally speaking) orthogonal polynomials $\{p_l\}_{l=1}^\infty$ correspond to generators t_l^l of Yangian $OY(\mathfrak{gl}_n, a, b)$, it seems natural to deduce an analogue of Christoffel-Darboux (C.-D.) formula for the $OY(\mathfrak{gl}_n, a, b)$. The C.-D. formula uses orthogonal polynomials of two independent variables x and y . Hence we will work in the tensor product of two copies of Yangian.

Let $\tilde{t}_{ij}^{(r)}, i, j = 1, \dots, N, r \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $\hat{t}_{ij}^{(r)}, i, j = 1, \dots, N, r \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ be generators of two copies of Yangian $OY(\mathfrak{gl}_N, a, b)$. Define

$$(Z^n)_{ijkl} := \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{1}{b_1 b_2 \dots b_m} \tilde{t}_{ij}^{(m)} \otimes \hat{t}_{kl}^{(m)} \in OY(\mathfrak{gl}_N, a, b) \otimes OY(\mathfrak{gl}_N, a, b), n \in \mathbb{N}, i, j, k, l = 0, \dots, N,$$

which serves as an analogue of $\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{p_k(x)p_k(y)}{b_1 b_2 \dots b_k}$ and

$$A_{\alpha\beta\epsilon\kappa}^s := \tilde{t}_{\alpha\beta}^{(s)} \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \hat{t}_{\epsilon\kappa}^{(s)}, s \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, A_{\alpha\beta\epsilon\kappa}^{-1} := 0, \alpha, \beta, \epsilon, \kappa = 0, \dots, N,$$

which is an analogue of $p_s(x) - p_s(y)$ (it is equal to $(x - y)$ if $s = 1$). Then

Lemma 3.1. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned}
[A_{\alpha\beta\epsilon\kappa}^{s+1} + a_s A_{\alpha\beta\epsilon\kappa}^s + b_s A_{\alpha\beta\epsilon\kappa}^{s-1}, Z_{ijkl}^n] &= [A_{\alpha\beta\epsilon\kappa}^s, \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{a_m}{b_1 b_2 \dots b_m} \tilde{t}_{ij}^{(m)} \otimes \hat{t}_{kl}^{(m)}] \\
&+ [A_{\alpha\beta\epsilon\kappa}^s, \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{b_1 b_2 \dots b_m} (\tilde{t}_{ij}^{(m+1)} \otimes \tilde{t}_{kl}^{(m)} + \tilde{t}_{ij}^{(m)} \otimes \tilde{t}_{kl}^{(m+1)})] \\
&+ [\tilde{t}_{\alpha\beta}^{(s)} \otimes 1, \frac{1}{b_1 b_2 \dots b_n} \tilde{t}_{ij}^{(n+1)} \otimes \hat{t}_{kl}^{(n)}] \\
&- [1 \otimes \hat{t}_{\epsilon\kappa}^{(s)}, \frac{1}{b_1 b_2 \dots b_n} \tilde{t}_{ij}^{(n)} \otimes \hat{t}_{kl}^{(n+1)}] \\
&+ (\tilde{t}_{i\beta}^{(s)} \otimes 1) Z_{\alpha jkl}^n - Z_{i\beta kl}^n (\tilde{t}_{\alpha j}^{(s)} \otimes 1) \\
&+ Z_{ijk\kappa}^n (1 \otimes \hat{t}_{\epsilon l}^{(s)}) - (1 \otimes \hat{t}_{\kappa\kappa}^{(s)}) Z_{ij\epsilon l}^n. \tag{3.2}
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Immediately follows from commutation relations defining Yangian. \square

Corollary 3.2.

$$[A_{\alpha\beta\epsilon\kappa}^1, Z_{ijkl}^n] = \delta_{i\beta} Z_{\alpha jkl}^n - Z_{i\beta kl}^n \delta_{\alpha j} + Z_{ijk\kappa}^n \delta_{\epsilon l} - \delta_{\kappa\kappa} Z_{ij\epsilon l}^n. \tag{3.3}$$

Proof. It is enough to put $s = 0$ in (3.2). \square

Corollary 3.3. *If $a_m = a, m \in \mathbb{N}$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
[A_{\alpha\beta\epsilon\kappa}^{s+1} + b_s A_{\alpha\beta\epsilon\kappa}^{s-1}, Z_{ijkl}^n] &= \frac{1}{b_1 b_2 \dots b_n} \left([\tilde{t}_{\alpha\beta}^{(s)} \otimes 1, \tilde{t}_{ij}^{(n+1)} \otimes \hat{t}_{kl}^{(n)}] - [1 \otimes \hat{t}_{\epsilon\kappa}^{(s)}, \tilde{t}_{ij}^{(n)} \otimes \hat{t}_{kl}^{(n+1)}] \right) \\
&+ \left[A_{\alpha\beta\epsilon\kappa}^s, \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{b_1 b_2 \dots b_m} (\tilde{t}_{ij}^{(m+1)} \otimes \tilde{t}_{kl}^{(m)} + \tilde{t}_{ij}^{(m)} \otimes \tilde{t}_{kl}^{(m+1)}) \right] \\
&+ (\tilde{t}_{i\beta}^{(s)} \otimes 1) Z_{\alpha jkl}^n - Z_{i\beta kl}^n (\tilde{t}_{\alpha j}^{(s)} \otimes 1) + Z_{ijk\kappa}^n (1 \otimes \hat{t}_{\epsilon l}^{(s)}) - (1 \otimes \hat{t}_{\kappa\kappa}^{(s)}) Z_{ij\epsilon l}^n. \tag{3.4}
\end{aligned}$$

In particular, if $(\alpha, \beta, \epsilon, \kappa) = (i, j, k, l)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[A_{ijkl}^{s+1} - A_{ijkl}^s + b_s A_{ijkl}^{s-1}, Z_{ijkl}^n] &= \frac{1}{b_1 b_2 \dots b_n} \left([\tilde{t}_{ij}^{(s)} \otimes 1, \tilde{t}_{ij}^{(n+1)} \otimes \hat{t}_{kl}^{(n)}] - [1 \otimes \hat{t}_{kl}^{(s)}, \tilde{t}_{ij}^{(n)} \otimes \hat{t}_{kl}^{(n+1)}] \right) \\
&+ \left[A_{ijkl}^s, \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{b_1 b_2 \dots b_m} (\tilde{t}_{ij}^{(m+1)} \otimes \tilde{t}_{kl}^{(m)} + \tilde{t}_{ij}^{(m)} \otimes \tilde{t}_{kl}^{(m+1)}) \right]. \tag{3.5}
\end{aligned}$$

In the case of Chebyshev polynomials i.e. $a_m = a, b_m = b, b \neq 0$ previous corollary can be improved. Define

$$Z_{ijkl}^{n,p,q} := \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{1}{b^m} \tilde{t}_{ij}^{(m+p)} \otimes \hat{t}_{kl}^{(m+q)} \in OY(\mathfrak{gl}_N, a, b) \otimes OY(\mathfrak{gl}_N, a, b), n \in \mathbb{N}, p, q \in \mathbb{Z}, i, j, k, l = 0, \dots, N.$$

Then we have:

Corollary 3.4.

$$\begin{aligned}
[A_{\alpha\beta\epsilon\kappa}^{s+1} + b A_{\alpha\beta\epsilon\kappa}^{s-1}, Z_{ijkl}^{n,p,q}] &= \frac{1}{b^n} \left([\tilde{t}_{\alpha\beta}^{(s)} \otimes 1, \tilde{t}_{ij}^{(n+p+1)} \otimes \hat{t}_{kl}^{(n+q)}] - [1 \otimes \hat{t}_{\epsilon\kappa}^{(s)}, \tilde{t}_{ij}^{(n+p)} \otimes \hat{t}_{kl}^{(n+q+1)}] \right) \\
&+ \left([\tilde{t}_{\alpha\beta}^{(s)} \otimes 1, \tilde{t}_{ij}^{(p)} \otimes \hat{t}_{kl}^{(q+1)}] - [1 \otimes \hat{t}_{\epsilon\kappa}^{(s)}, \tilde{t}_{ij}^{(p+1)} \otimes \hat{t}_{kl}^{(q)}] \right) + [A_{\alpha\beta\epsilon\kappa}^s, Z_{ijkl}^{n-1,p+1,q} + Z_{ijkl}^{n-1,p,q+1}] \\
&+ (\tilde{t}_{i\beta}^{(s)} \otimes 1) Z_{\alpha jkl}^{n,p,q} - Z_{i\beta kl}^{n,p,q} (\tilde{t}_{\alpha j}^{(s)} \otimes 1) + Z_{ijk\kappa}^{n,p,q} (1 \otimes \hat{t}_{\epsilon l}^{(s)}) - (1 \otimes \hat{t}_{\kappa\kappa}^{(s)}) Z_{ij\epsilon l}^{n,p,q}. \tag{3.6}
\end{aligned}$$

In particular, if $(\alpha, \beta, \epsilon, \kappa) = (i, j, k, l)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[A_{ijkl}^{s+1} - A_{ijkl}^s + b A_{ijkl}^{s-1}, Z_{ijkl}^{n,p,q}] &= \frac{1}{b^n} \left([\tilde{t}_{ij}^{(s)} \otimes 1, \tilde{t}_{ij}^{(n+p+1)} \otimes \hat{t}_{kl}^{(n+q)}] - [1 \otimes \hat{t}_{kl}^{(s)}, \tilde{t}_{ij}^{(n+p)} \otimes \hat{t}_{kl}^{(n+q+1)}] \right) \\
&+ \left([\tilde{t}_{ij}^{(s)} \otimes 1, \tilde{t}_{ij}^{(p)} \otimes \hat{t}_{kl}^{(q+1)}] - [1 \otimes \hat{t}_{kl}^{(s)}, \tilde{t}_{ij}^{(p+1)} \otimes \hat{t}_{kl}^{(q)}] \right) + [A_{ijkl}^s, Z_{ijkl}^{n-1,p+1,q} + Z_{ijkl}^{n-1,p,q+1}].
\end{aligned}$$

4 Case of Chebyshev polynomials

Let us look at the case of Chebyshev polynomials, that is $a_n = \alpha, n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $b_n = \beta, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\beta \neq 0$. We will use the notation $OY_\beta(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ for the corresponding Yangian. Then $L^a = \alpha Id$, $L^{s(b)} = \beta Id$ and system (2.3) becomes

$$\left(u + \frac{\beta}{u} - v - \frac{\beta}{v}\right) [\tilde{T}_{ij}(u), \tilde{T}_{kl}(v)] = \tilde{T}_{kj}(u)\tilde{T}_{il}(v) - \tilde{T}_{kj}(v)\tilde{T}_{il}(u), i, j, k, l = 1, \dots, n$$

which is nothing else but the inhomogeneous RTT relation

$$R^\beta(u, v)\tilde{T}_1(u)\tilde{T}_2(v) = \tilde{T}_2(v)\tilde{T}_1(u)R^\beta(u, v) \quad (4.1)$$

with inhomogeneous R^β matrix:

$$R^\beta(u, v) = I - \frac{1}{u + \frac{\beta}{u} - v - \frac{\beta}{v}} P.$$

The corresponding map $W : OY_\beta(\mathfrak{gl}_n) \mapsto Y(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ will have the form:

$$W(\tilde{t})_{ij}(u) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z|=1} \frac{u^2}{u^2 - u(z - \alpha) + \beta} t_{ij}(z) \frac{dz}{z} = \frac{u}{u + \frac{\beta}{u} + \alpha} t_{ij}(u + \frac{\beta}{u} + \alpha), i, j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Remark 4.1. Notice that from the RTT relation follows that R^β is a solution of general Yang-Baxter equation:

$$R_{12}(u, v)R_{13}(u, w)R_{23}(v, w) = R_{23}(v, w)R_{13}(u, w)R_{12}(u, v).$$

In this case we have the following proposition

Proposition 4.2. The system of relations (4.1) is equivalent to the system

$$[\tilde{t}_{ij}^{(r)}, \tilde{t}_{kl}^{(s)}] = \sum_{p, m=0}^{m-s \leq p \leq r-m-1} \beta^m \left(\tilde{t}_{kj}^{(r-p-m-1)} \tilde{t}_{il}^{(p+s-m)} - \tilde{t}_{kj}^{(p+s-m)} \tilde{t}_{il}^{(r-p-m-1)} \right).$$

Proof. Multiplication of both sides of the system (4.1) on formal series $\sum_{p, m=0}^{\infty} \beta^m u^{-p-m-1} v^{p-m}$ and equating coefficients gives the result. \square

Similarly to the Yangian we can define the evaluation homomorphism:

Proposition 4.3. (i) The map defined by

$$\tilde{T}_{ij}(u) \mapsto \delta_{ij} + E_{ij} \frac{1}{u + \frac{\beta}{u}} \quad (4.2)$$

is a surjective homomorphism $OY_\beta(\mathfrak{gl}_n) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$. The map defined by

$$E_{ij} \mapsto \tilde{t}_{ij}^{(1)} \quad (4.3)$$

is an embedding $U(\mathfrak{gl}_n) \rightarrow OY_\beta(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$.

(ii) The map defined by

$$\tilde{T}_{ij}(u) \mapsto T_{ij}(u + \frac{\beta}{u}) \quad (4.4)$$

is a homomorphism $OY_\beta(\mathfrak{gl}_n) \rightarrow \hat{Y}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)[u^{-1}]$, where $\hat{Y}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ is a completion of $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$.

(iii) Let

$$f(u) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{f_k}{u^k} \in \mathbb{C}[[u^{-1}]],$$

and B any invertible $n \times n$ matrix. Then we have the following automorphisms of $OY_\beta(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$:

$$(a) \quad \tilde{T}(u) \mapsto f(u)\tilde{T}(u). \quad (4.5)$$

$$(b) \quad \tilde{T}(u) \mapsto B\tilde{T}(u)B^{-1}. \quad (4.6)$$

Proof. By the definition of $OY_\beta(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ we need to check that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(u + \frac{\beta}{u} - v - \frac{\beta}{v}\right)[E_{ij}, E_{kl}](u + \frac{\beta}{u})^{-1}(v + \frac{\beta}{v})^{-1} = \\ (\delta_{kj} + \frac{E_{kj}}{u + \frac{\beta}{u}})(\delta_{il} + \frac{E_{il}}{v + \frac{\beta}{v}}) - (\delta_{kj} + \frac{E_{kj}}{v + \frac{\beta}{v}})(\delta_{il} + \frac{E_{il}}{u + \frac{\beta}{u}}). \end{aligned}$$

This follows from commutation relations in \mathfrak{gl}_n . Now we put $r = s = 1$ in Proposition 4.2 to get

$$[\tilde{t}_{ij}^{(1)}, \tilde{t}_{kl}^{(1)}] = \delta_{kj}\tilde{t}_{il}^{(1)} - \delta_{il}\tilde{t}_{kj}^{(1)},$$

and the statement (i) follows. Statement (ii) can be easily seen by direct computation, while (iii) follows from [3, Proposition 1.3.1]. \square

Proposition 4.4. *Let $c \in \mathbb{C}$. We have the following embedding of $OY_\beta(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ into its completion:*

$$\tilde{T}(u) \mapsto \tilde{T}(\phi_c(u)), \quad (4.7)$$

where $\phi_c \in \mathbb{C}[[u^{-1}]]$ is a holomorphic family of functions defined by the equation

$$\phi_c(u) + \frac{\beta}{\phi_c(u)} = u + \frac{\beta}{u} + c, \quad (4.8)$$

which satisfies the group property

$$\phi_{c+d} = \phi_c \circ \phi_d, \quad c, d \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Proof. Let us show that the equation (4.8) has a unique solution in $\mathbb{C}[[u^{-1}]]$. We have that

$$\phi_c(u) = \frac{u}{2} \left(1 + \frac{c}{u} + \frac{\beta}{u^2} \pm \sqrt{\left(1 + \frac{c}{u} + \frac{\beta}{u^2}\right)^2 - \frac{4\beta}{u^2}} \right). \quad (4.9)$$

We search for the series $\{a_k\}_{k=2}^{\infty}$ such that

$$\left(1 + \frac{c}{u} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{u^k} \right)^2 = \left(1 + \frac{c}{u} + \frac{\beta}{u^2} \right)^2 - \frac{4\beta}{u^2}.$$

This equation is equivalent to the system

$$\begin{cases} a_2 = -\beta, a_3 = 2\beta c, a_4 = -2\beta c^2 \\ a_n = -ca_{n-1} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,l \geq 2, m+l=n} a_m a_l, n \geq 5, \end{cases} \quad (4.10)$$

which has a unique solution. Thus, choosing minus sign in (4.9) we get that

$$\phi_c(u) = \frac{u}{2} \left(\frac{\beta}{u^2} - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{u^k} \right) \quad (4.11)$$

is a unique solution of the equation (4.8) in $\mathbb{C}[[u^{-1}]]$. The group property follows from the uniqueness. \square

4.1 Quantum determinant

Definition 4.5. Let $R^\beta \in (\text{End}(\mathbb{C}^n))^{\otimes m}$ a function defined by

$$R^\beta(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m) := R_{m-1,m}^\beta \left(R_{m-2,m}^\beta R_{m-2,m-1}^\beta \right) \cdots \left(R_{1,m}^\beta \cdots R_{12}^\beta \right) \quad (4.12)$$

where we abbreviate $R_{ij}^\beta = R_{ij}^\beta(u_i, u_j)$.

Then we have

Proposition 4.6. We have the identity

$$R^\beta(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m) \tilde{T}_1(u_1) \cdots \tilde{T}_m(u_m) = \tilde{T}_m(u_m) \cdots \tilde{T}_1(u_1) R^\beta(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m). \quad (4.13)$$

Proof. Proposition 1.6.1 in [3]. \square

Define

$$A_m : (\mathbb{C}^n)^{\otimes m} \mapsto (\mathbb{C}^n)^{\otimes m}, A_m(e_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_m}) := \sum_{p \in S_m} \text{sgn}(p) e_{i_{p(1)}} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_{p(m)}}.$$

Proposition 4.7. If $u_{i+1} = \phi_{-1}(u_i)$, for all $i = 1, \dots, m-1$ then $R^\beta(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m) = A_m$.

Proof. The result follows from Proposition 1.6.2 in [3]. \square

Corollary 4.8. We have

$$A_m \tilde{T}_1(u) \tilde{T}_2(\phi_{-1}(u)) \cdots \tilde{T}_m(\phi_{-(m-1)}(u)) = \tilde{T}_m(\phi_{-(m-1)}(u)) \cdots \tilde{T}_2(\phi_{-1}(u)) \tilde{T}_1(u) A_m. \quad (4.14)$$

Definition 4.9. The quantum determinant of the matrix $\tilde{T}(u)$ with the coefficients in $OY_\beta(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ is the formal series

$$qdet \tilde{T}(u) = 1 + d_1 u^{-1} + d_2 u^{-2} + \dots$$

such that the element (4.14) with $m = n$ is equal $A_n qdet \tilde{T}(u)$.

Proposition 4.10. For any permutation $\tau \in S_n$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} qdet \tilde{T}(u) &= \text{sgn}(\tau) \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \tilde{t}_{\sigma(1), \tau(1)}(u) \tilde{t}_{\sigma(2), \tau(2)}(\phi_{-1}(u)) \cdots \tilde{t}_{\sigma(n), \tau(n)}(\phi_{-(n-1)}(u)) \\ &= \text{sgn}(\tau) \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \tilde{t}_{\tau(1), \sigma(1)}(u) \tilde{t}_{\tau(2), \sigma(2)}(\phi_{-1}(u)) \cdots \tilde{t}_{\tau(n), \sigma(n)}(\phi_{-(n-1)}(u)). \end{aligned}$$

Definition 4.11. The quantum $m \times m$ minors $\tilde{t}_{b_1 \dots b_m}^{a_1 \dots a_m} = \tilde{t}_{b_1 \dots b_m}^{a_1 \dots a_m}(u)$ of matrix $\tilde{T}(u)$ we define as matrix elements of operator

$$A_m \tilde{T}_1(u) \tilde{T}_2(\phi_{-1}(u)) \dots \tilde{T}_m(\phi_{-(m-1)}(u))$$

i.e.

$$A_m \tilde{T}_1(u) \tilde{T}_2(\phi_{-1}(u)) \dots \tilde{T}_m(\phi_{-(m-1)}(u)) = \sum_{a_i, b_j=1}^n e_{a_1 b_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{a_m b_m} \otimes \tilde{t}_{b_1 \dots b_m}^{a_1 \dots a_m}(u).$$

Proposition 4.12. Comultiplication of quantum minors is given by the formula:

$$\Delta(\tilde{t}_{b_1 \dots b_m}^{a_1 \dots a_m}(u)) = \sum_{c_1 < \dots < c_m} \tilde{t}_{c_1 \dots c_m}^{a_1 \dots a_m}(u) \otimes \tilde{t}_{b_1 \dots b_m}^{c_1 \dots c_m}(u). \quad (4.15)$$

Corollary 4.13. The quantum determinant is comultiplicative i.e.

$$\Delta(qdet \tilde{T}(u)) = qdet \tilde{T}(u) \otimes qdet \tilde{T}(u).$$

Proof. It follows from the proposition 4.12 and equality $qdet \tilde{T}(u) = \tilde{t}_{1 \dots n}^{1 \dots n}(u)$. \square

Proposition 4.14.

$$(u + \frac{\beta}{u} - v - \frac{\beta}{v})[\tilde{t}_{kl}(u), \tilde{t}_{b_1 \dots b_m}^{a_1 \dots a_m}(v)] = \sum_{i=1}^m \tilde{t}_{a_i l}(u) \tilde{t}_{b_1 \dots b_m}^{a_1 \dots a_m}(v) - \sum_{i=1}^m \tilde{t}_{b_1 \dots b_m}^{a_1 \dots a_m}(v) \tilde{t}_{k b_i}(u). \quad (4.16)$$

Proof. Applying proposition 4.6 we get

$$R^\beta(u, v, \phi_{-1}(v), \dots, \phi_{-m-1}(v)) \tilde{T}_0(u) \tilde{T}_1(v) \dots \tilde{T}_m(\phi_{-m-1}(v)) = \tilde{T}_m(\phi_{-m-1}(v)) \dots \tilde{T}_1(v) \tilde{T}_0(u) R^\beta(u, v, \phi_{-1}(v), \dots, \phi_{-m-1}(v)). \quad (4.17)$$

On the other side

$$R^\beta(u, v, \phi_{-1}(v), \dots, \phi_{-m-1}(v)) = A_m R_{0m}^\beta(u, \phi_{-m-1}(v)) \dots R_{01}^\beta(u, v).$$

Therefore,

$$R^\beta(u, v, \phi_{-1}(v), \dots, \phi_{-m-1}(v)) = A_m \left(1 - \frac{1}{u + \frac{\beta}{u} - v - \frac{\beta}{v}} (P_{01} + \dots + P_{0m}) \right).$$

Consequently, the result follows from the formula (4.17). \square

Corollary 4.15.

$$[\tilde{t}_{a_i b_j}(u), \tilde{t}_{b_1 \dots b_m}^{a_1 \dots a_m}(v)] = 0, i, j = 1, \dots, m.$$

Proof. It follows from the anti-symmetry of $\tilde{t}_{b_1 \dots b_m}^{a_1 \dots a_m}$ (w.r.t. the exchange of indices above and below) and Proposition 4.14. \square

Theorem 4.16. The center of $OY_\beta(gl_n)$ is generated by the coefficients of the series $qdet \tilde{T}(u)$.

Proof. Corollary (4.15) implies that the coefficients of the series $qdet \tilde{T}(u)$ belong to the center. \square

5 Further examples.

5.1 Case of Hermite Polynomials.

The family of orthogonal Hermite polynomials corresponds to the choice $a_r = 0$, $b_r = r$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$. In this case the equation for the generating function has the form:

$$\left(u + \frac{1}{u} - \partial_u - \left(v + \frac{1}{v} - \partial_v\right)\right) [\tilde{T}_{ij}(u), \tilde{T}_{kl}(v)] = \tilde{T}_{kj}(u)\tilde{T}_{il}(v) - \tilde{T}_{kj}(v)\tilde{T}_{il}(u), i, j, k, l = 1, \dots, n.$$

Indeed, in this case we have $L^a = 0$ and $L_z^{s(b)} = Id - z\partial_z$.

Remark 5.1. Equation for $\omega(u) = \text{tr } \tilde{T}(u)$ will be as follows:

$$\left(u + \frac{1}{u} - \partial_u - \left(v + \frac{1}{v} - \partial_v\right)\right) [\omega(u), \omega(v)] = 0.$$

This identity allows us to show that

$$[\omega(u), \omega(v)] = 0,$$

without Corollary 2.8. Indeed, denoting $B_{\phi, \psi}(u, v) = \langle [\omega(u), \omega(v)]\phi, \psi \rangle$, we have

$$\left(u + \frac{1}{u} - \partial_u - \left(v + \frac{1}{v} - \partial_v\right)\right) B_{\phi, \psi}(u, v) = 0$$

and

$$B_{\phi, \psi}(u, v) = -B_{\phi, \psi}(v, u).$$

Hence, we have

$$B_{\phi, \psi}(u, v) = C_{\phi, \psi}(u, v) u v e^{\frac{(u-v)^2}{4}}$$

and, by the antisymmetry of B we conclude that $B = 0$.

Analogue of classical Yang-Baxter equation for the case of Hermite polynomials.

Define

$$S(u) := \left(u + \frac{1}{u} - \partial_u\right)I - P,$$

$$R(u, v) := \left[u + \frac{1}{u} - \partial_u - \left(v + \frac{1}{v} - \partial_v\right)\right]I - P.$$

Proposition 5.2. We have

$$S_{12}(u)S_{13}(u+v)S_{23}(v) - S_{23}(v)S_{13}(u+v)S_{12}(u) = \frac{u^2 + v^2 + uv}{uv(u+v)} [S_{23}(v), S_{12}(u)], \quad (5.1)$$

and

$$R(u, v) = S(u-v) + \frac{uv - u^2 - v^2}{uv(u-v)}I. \quad (5.2)$$

Proof. Equation 5.1 immediately follows from classical Yang-Baxter equation, our definition of S and change of variables formula. \square

Remark 5.3. Another equivalent possibility is to work with functions

$$\widehat{S}(u) := uS(u), \widehat{R}(u, v) := (u - v)R(u, v).$$

Then we have

$$\widehat{S}_{12}(u)\widehat{S}_{13}(u + v)\widehat{S}_{23}(v) - \widehat{S}_{23}(v)\widehat{S}_{13}(u + v)\widehat{S}_{12}(u) = \left(1 + \frac{u}{v} + \frac{v}{u}\right) [\widehat{S}_{23}(v), \widehat{S}_{12}(u)]$$

and

$$\widehat{R}(u, v) = \widehat{S}(u - v) + \left(1 - \frac{u}{v} - \frac{v}{u}\right) I.$$

5.2 Non-orthogonal polynomials.

The framework of the section 2 can be applied to the case of the families of nonorthogonal polynomials satisfying recurrence relation (2.4) as following example shows.

Put

$$a_n = \begin{cases} a & n - \text{even} \\ -a & n - \text{odd} \end{cases}, b_n = 0, n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$$

in recurrence relation (2.4). Then

$$p_{2l}(x) = (x^2 - a^2)^l, p_{2l+1}(x) = (x^2 - a^2)^l(x + a), l \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\},$$

with generating function

$$K(z, u) = \frac{1 + (z + a)u}{1 - (z^2 - a^2)u^2}$$

and operator $L_z^a f = af(-z)$.

Therefore, defining relations (2.3) of corresponding algebra will be

$$(u - v) [\tilde{T}_{ij}(u), \tilde{T}_{kl}(v)] + a([\tilde{T}_{ij}(-u), \tilde{T}_{kl}(v)] - [\tilde{T}_{ij}(u), \tilde{T}_{kl}(-v)]) = \tilde{T}_{kj}(u)\tilde{T}_{il}(v) - \tilde{T}_{kj}(v)\tilde{T}_{il}(u), \quad (5.3)$$

where $i, j, k, l = 1, \dots, n$.

5.3 q -Pochhammer symbols.

In previous sections we used families of orthogonal polynomials in conjunction with the identity (1.2) to define a new family of realizations of the Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$. In this section we demonstrate how to deduce the defining relations for a family of algebras with the q -Pochhammer symbols instead of monic orthogonal polynomials. It is an open problem to understand if the resulting algebras will be isomorphic to $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$.

Let

$$\mathcal{P}_n^q(x) = (x; q)_n = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (1 - xq^k), \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$$

be the q -Pochhammer symbol, considered as polynomial of degree n . Then we have

$$x\mathcal{P}_n^q(x) = \frac{\mathcal{P}_n^q(x) - \mathcal{P}_{n+1}^q(x)}{q^n}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$$

Applying the identity (1.2) with $f = \mathcal{P}_r^q$ and $g = \mathcal{P}_s^q$, $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$ and denoting

$$m_{ij}^{(r)} := (\mathcal{P}_r^q(E))_{ij},$$

we get

$$(q^{-r} - q^{-s})[m_{ij}^{(r)}, m_{kl}^{(s)}] + q^{-s}[m_{ij}^{(r)}, m_{kl}^{(s+1)}] - q^{-r}[m_{ij}^{(r+1)}, m_{kl}^{(s)}] = m_{kj}^{(r)} m_{il}^{(s)} - m_{kj}^{(s)} m_{il}^{(r)}, \quad (5.4)$$

where $i, j = 1, \dots, n, r, s \in \mathbb{N}$. We define the generating function as follows:

$$m_{ij}(u) = \delta_{ij} + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} m_{ij}^{(r)} u^{-r}.$$

Then we can rewrite (5.4) in the form

$$(1 - qu)[m_{ij}(qu), m_{kl}(v)] - (1 - qv)[m_{ij}(u), m_{kl}(qv)] = m_{kj}(u)m_{il}(v) - m_{kj}(v)m_{il}(u), \quad (5.5)$$

$i, j, k, l = 1, \dots, n$.

Remark 5.4. Notice that the limit $q \rightarrow 1$ corresponds to the Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$. Indeed, for $q = 1$ the mapping $t_{ij}(u) \mapsto m_{ij}(-u)$ defines an isomorphism from $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ to the algebra generated by $m_{ij}^{(r)}$ subject to the relation (5.4).

Define

$$\tilde{m}^n(u) := \frac{(1-u)m(u)}{\mathcal{P}_n^q(u)}$$

Then it can be shown that

$$(1 - q^n u)[\tilde{m}_{ij}^n(qu), \tilde{m}_{kl}^n(v)] - (1 - q^n v)[\tilde{m}_{ij}^n(u), \tilde{m}_{kl}^n(qv)] = \tilde{m}_{kj}^n(u)\tilde{m}_{il}^n(v) - \tilde{m}_{kj}^n(v)\tilde{m}_{il}^n(u), \quad (5.6)$$

with $i, j, k, l = 1, \dots, n$. If $|q| < 1$ we can take limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ and deduce that

$$\tilde{m}(u) := \frac{(1-u)m(u)}{\mathcal{P}_\infty^q(u)}$$

satisfies

$$[\tilde{m}_{ij}(qu), \tilde{m}_{kl}(v)] - [\tilde{m}_{ij}(u), \tilde{m}_{kl}(qv)] = \tilde{m}_{kj}(u)\tilde{m}_{il}(v) - \tilde{m}_{kj}(v)\tilde{m}_{il}(u), \quad i, j, k, l = 1, \dots, n. \quad (5.7)$$

Infinite Pochhammer symbol. Define

$$m_{ij}^{(\infty)} := (\mathcal{P}_\infty^q(E))_{ij}, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Assume $|q| > 1$. Then taking $s \rightarrow \infty$ in (5.4) we get

$$q^{-r}[m_{ij}^{(r)} - m_{ij}^{(r+1)}, m_{kl}^{(\infty)}] = m_{kj}^{(r)} m_{il}^{(\infty)} - m_{kj}^{(\infty)} m_{il}^{(r)}, \quad i, j, k, l = 1, \dots, n. \quad (5.8)$$

and consequently we get

$$(1 - qu)[m_{ij}(qu), m_{kl}^{(\infty)}] = m_{kj}(u)m_{il}^{(\infty)} - m_{kj}^{(\infty)} m_{il}(u), \quad i, j, k, l = 1, \dots, n. \quad (5.9)$$

5.4 Bessel functions.

In this subsection we give another example based on the family of Bessel functions and deduce defining relations for the corresponding algebra. Properties of this algebra will be studied elsewhere.

Bessel functions $C_\nu, \nu \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfy the recurrence relations:

$$C_{\nu+1}(z) + C_{\nu-1}(z) = \frac{2\nu}{z}C_\nu(z), \quad \nu \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Applying the identity (1.2) with $f = C_{\nu+1}(z) + C_{\nu-1}(z)$ and $g = C_{\mu+1}(z) + C_{\mu-1}(z)$, $\nu, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}$ and denoting

$$c_{ij}^{(\nu)} := (C_\nu(E))_{ij}, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n, \nu \in \mathbb{Z},$$

$$B_{ij}(u) = \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{ij}^{(\nu)} u^{-\nu},$$

we get

$$\left(\frac{2v^2}{v^2-1} \partial_v - \frac{2u^2}{u^2-1} \partial_u \right) [B_{ij}(v), B_{kl}(u)] = B_{kj}(u)B_{il}(v) - B_{kj}(v)B_{il}(u).$$

6 Polarization of the Yangian

6.1 Polarized ternary relation.

Let V be a finite dimensional space of dimension m in duality $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ with V^* , $\{e_i, 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ be a basis of V and $\{f_i, 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ be the dual basis of V^* . As before, $t_{ik}^{(r)}, r \in \mathbb{N}, i, k = 1, \dots, m$ denote the generators of the Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_m)$.

Definition 6.1. Let $A \in \text{End}(V)$. Define

$$\tilde{G}_{ij}(A, u) := \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{u^r} \sum_{k=1}^m \langle Ae_i, f_k \rangle t_{jk}^{(r)}, \quad (6.1)$$

$$\tilde{D}_{ij}(A) := \text{res } \tilde{G}_{ij}(A, u) = \sum_{k=1}^m \langle Ae_i, f_k \rangle t_{jk}^{(1)}, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, m, u \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (6.2)$$

From now on we denote $\tilde{G}(A, u) := \{\tilde{G}_{ij}(A, u)\}_{i,j=1}^m$, $\tilde{D}(A) := \{\tilde{D}_{ij}(A)\}_{i,j=1}^m$, the matrices with operator valued coefficients.

Set

$$D(A) := \text{tr } \tilde{D}(A) = \sum_{i=1}^m \tilde{D}_{ii}(A) = \sum_{i,k=1}^m \langle Ae_i, f_k \rangle t_{ik}^{(1)}. \quad (6.3)$$

Remark 6.2. Notice that D defined by formula (6.3) is the Jordan-Schwinger map as the elements $t_{ij}^{(1)}$ satisfy commutation relations of matrix units E_{ij} .

Proposition 6.3 (Polarized ternary relation). We have

$$(u-v)[\tilde{G}_{ij}(A, u), \tilde{G}_{kl}(B, v)] = \tilde{G}_{il}(A, u)\tilde{G}_{kj}(B, v) - \tilde{G}_{il}(A, v)\tilde{G}_{kj}(B, u). \quad (6.4)$$

In particular,

$$[\tilde{D}_{ij}(A), \tilde{D}_{kl}(B)] = \langle Ae_i, f_l \rangle \tilde{D}_{kj}(B) - \langle Be_k, f_j \rangle \tilde{D}_{il}(A), \quad (6.5)$$

and

$$[D(A), D(B)] = D([B, A]), \quad (6.6)$$

where $A, B \in \text{End}(V)$, $i, j, k, l = 1, \dots, m$, $u, v \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. Proof follows from the ternary relation for generators of Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_m)$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} (u-v)[\tilde{G}_{ij}(A, u), \tilde{G}_{kl}(B, v)] &= (u-v) \sum_{r,s=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{u^r v^s} \sum_{p,l=1}^m \langle Ae_i, f_l \rangle \langle Be_k, f_p \rangle [t_{jm}^{(r)}, t_{lp}^{(s)}] \\ &= \sum_{r,s=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{u^r v^s} \sum_{p,l=1}^m \langle Ae_i, f_l \rangle \langle Be_k, f_p \rangle ([t_{jm}^{(r+1)}, t_{lp}^{(s)}] - [t_{jm}^{(r)}, t_{lp}^{(s+1)}]) \\ &= \sum_{r,s=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{u^r v^s} \sum_{p,l=1}^m \langle Ae_i, f_l \rangle \langle Be_k, f_p \rangle (t_{lm}^{(r)} t_{jp}^{(s)} - t_{lm}^{(s)} t_{jp}^{(r)}) \\ &= \tilde{G}_{il}(A, u) \tilde{G}_{kj}(B, v) - \tilde{G}_{il}(A, v) \tilde{G}_{kj}(B, u). \end{aligned} \quad (6.7)$$

Thus we have shown the relation (6.4). Formula (6.5) follows from (6.4) as the first order expansion term or can be shown directly from (2.1). Relation (6.6) follows from (2.1). \square

Remark 6.4. Consider the bilinear form

$$\epsilon(A, B) := \text{tr}(A\tilde{D}(B) - \tilde{D}(AB)), A, B \in \text{End}(V). \quad (6.8)$$

Then ϵ is symmetric. This property of ϵ guarantees that (6.6) follows from (6.5).

Remark 6.5. It is not always possible to polarize the RTT relation as the following example shows. Let R be a trigonometric R -matrix of the six vertex model, i.e.

$$R(u) = \begin{pmatrix} f(u) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & g(u) & 0 \\ 0 & g(u) & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & f(u) \end{pmatrix},$$

where $f(u) = \frac{\sinh(u+\eta)}{\sinh u}$, $g(u) = \frac{\sinh \eta}{\sinh u}$, η is a parameter. Then the RTT relation has form

$$\begin{aligned} [T^{jk}(u), T^{\alpha\beta}(v)] &= g(u-v) (T^{\alpha k}(v) T^{j\beta}(u) - T^{\alpha k}(u) T^{j\beta}(v)) \\ &+ ((f-g)(u-v) - 1) (\delta_{k\beta} T^{\alpha k}(v) T^{j\beta}(u) - \delta_{j\alpha} T^{\alpha k}(u) T^{j\beta}(v)), \end{aligned} \quad (6.9)$$

and we notice that the term before the last one cannot be polarized.

Remark 6.6. Relation (6.4) becomes the ternary relation when $A = B$ is fixed.

The following statement follows immediately from the formula (6.4).

Corollary 6.7.

$$[\tilde{G}_{ij}(A, u), \tilde{G}_{kl}(B, u)] = \frac{d\tilde{G}_{il}(A, u)}{du} \tilde{G}_{kj}(B, u) - \tilde{G}_{il}(A, u) \frac{d\tilde{G}_{kj}(B, u)}{du}, \quad (6.10)$$

$A, B \in \mathcal{L}(V, V)$, $i, j, k, l = 1, \dots, m$, $u \in \mathbb{C}$.

6.2 Ternary Lie algebra.

Let \mathcal{A} be a finite dimensional Lie algebra, $\psi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$ a finite dimensional representation of \mathcal{A} and $\dim(V) = n$. Using notation from the previous section we set

$$\tilde{G}_{ij}(a, u) := \tilde{G}_{ij}(\psi(a), u), \quad (6.11)$$

$$\tilde{D}_{ij}(a) := \tilde{D}_{ij}(\psi(a)), \quad (6.12)$$

$$D(a) := D(\psi(a)), i, j = 1 \dots, n, a \in \mathcal{A}. \quad (6.13)$$

Then Proposition 6.3 gives us

$$(u - v)[\tilde{G}_{ij}(a, u), \tilde{G}_{kl}(b, v)] = \tilde{G}_{il}(a, u)\tilde{G}_{kj}(b, v) - \tilde{G}_{il}(a, v)\tilde{G}_{kj}(b, u). \quad (6.14)$$

In particular,

$$[\tilde{D}_{ij}(a), \tilde{D}_{kl}(b)] = \langle \psi(a)e_i, f_l \rangle \tilde{D}_{kj}(b) - \langle \psi(b)e_k, f_j \rangle \tilde{D}_{il}(a), \quad (6.15)$$

and

$$[D(a), D(b)] = D([b, a]), \quad (6.16)$$

where $a, b \in \mathcal{A}, i, j, k, l = 1, \dots, n$ and $u, v \in \mathbb{C}$.

Definition 6.8. For a Lie algebra \mathcal{A} , an auxiliary linear space \mathcal{W} and a collection of linear maps

$$\{x_{ij} \in L(\mathcal{A}, \text{End}(\mathcal{W})), i, j = 1 \dots, n\}$$

define the following subspace of $\text{End}(\mathcal{W})$:

$$\mathcal{A}^{\text{tern}}(\mathcal{W}, \{x_{ij}\}) := \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{x_{ij}(a), a \in \mathcal{A}, i, j = 1 \dots, n\}. \quad (6.17)$$

One can use the formula (6.15) to define the Lie algebra structure on $\mathcal{A}^{\text{tern}}(\mathcal{W}, \{x_{ij}\})$. Namely, we have the following proposition which can be easily checked.

Proposition 6.9. Let $\psi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$ be any representation of \mathcal{A} with $\dim(V) = n$. Then the space $\mathcal{A}^{\text{tern}}(\mathcal{W}, \{x_{ij}\})$ is a Lie algebra with the Lie bracket defined as follows:

$$[x_{ij}(a), x_{kl}(b)] = \langle \psi(a)e_i, f_l \rangle x_{kj}(b) - \langle \psi(b)e_k, f_j \rangle x_{il}(a), i, j, k, l = 1 \dots, n.$$

We will denote the resulting Lie algebra by $\mathcal{A}^{\text{tern}}(\mathcal{W}, \{x_{ij}\}, \psi)$ and call it the ternary Lie algebra of \mathcal{A} determined by $\mathcal{W}, \{x_{ij}\}$ and ψ . We fix now $\mathcal{W}, \{x_{ij}\}, \psi$ and simply denote the corresponding ternary Lie algebra by $\mathcal{A}^{\text{tern}}$. Define the bilinear form $\epsilon : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{\text{tern}}$ as follows:

$$\epsilon(a, b) := \sum_{k,l=1}^n \langle \psi(a)e_k, f_l \rangle x_{lk}(b) - \sum_{m=1}^n x_{mm}(ab),$$

and the trace map $\text{tr}(x) : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{W})$ as follows:

$$a \mapsto \text{tr}(x)(a) := \left(\sum_{l=1}^n x_{ll} \right)(a).$$

Proposition 6.10. Assume that the bilinear form ϵ is symmetric. Then $-\text{tr}(x)$ is a homomorphism of Lie algebras, that is $\text{tr}(x)(\mathcal{A})$ is a Lie subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}^{\text{tern}}$.

If $tr(x)$ is injective then we have

$$\mathcal{A} \cong tr(\mathcal{A}) \subset \mathcal{A}^{tern}.$$

Corollary 6.11. *Let \tilde{D} is given by the formula (6.12). Then the map: $U(\mathcal{A}^{tern}) \rightarrow Y(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ defined by*

$$x_{ij}(a) \mapsto \tilde{D}_{ij}(a), a \in \mathcal{A}, i, j = 1 \dots, n,$$

is a homomorphism. In addition, if ψ is faithful, then this map is an embedding.

Example 6.12. *Let $\psi = ad$, $V = \mathcal{A}$, identified as vector space with \mathbb{C}^n with $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = (\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathbb{C}^n}$, $\mathcal{W} = \mathbb{C}[x]$. Put*

$$x_{ij}(a) := \sum_{k=1}^n \langle [a, e_i], e_k \rangle x_j \partial_k, i, j = 1, \dots, n, a \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Using such data we get the following ternary extensions of algebras of dimensions 2, 3 and 4 (modulo their center):

$$A_{2,1}^{tern} = A_{2,1}.$$

$$(A_{2,1} \oplus A_1)^{tern} = A_{3,1}^{tern} = A_{3,3}.$$

$$A_{3,2}^{tern} = A_{3,3}^{tern} = (A_{3,4}^a)^{tern} = (A_{3,5}^b)^{tern} = sl(2) \oplus A_{3,3}.$$

$$sl(2)^{tern} = so(3)^{tern} = gl(3).$$

$$(sl(2) \oplus A_1)^{tern} = (so(3) \oplus A_1)^{tern} = (A_{4,2}^b)^{tern} = sl(3) \oplus A_{4,5}^{1,1,1}.$$

$$A_{4,1}^{tern} = sl(2) \oplus A_{5,7}^{111} = sl(2) \oplus A_{5,13}^{110}.$$

Here we using the nomenclature from [5] and [4]. In particular, A_1 is a 1-dimensional Lie algebra and $A_{2,1}$ is a 2-dimensional solvable Lie algebra.

6.3 Ternary Yangian

Using notation above we introduce the associative algebra $Yt(\mathcal{A})$ as follows:

Definition 6.13. *For a Lie algebra \mathcal{A} , an auxiliary linear space \mathcal{W} , representation $\psi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow End(\mathcal{W})$ and a collection of linear maps*

$$\{x_{ij} \in L(\mathcal{A}, End(\mathcal{W}))[[u^{-1}]]\}, i, j = 1 \dots, n\}$$

such that

$$x_{ij}(a) = \psi(a)_{ij} Id_{\mathcal{W}} + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} X_{ij}^{(l)}(a) u^{-l},$$

define the following subspace of $End(\mathcal{W})$:

$$Yt(\mathcal{A})(\mathcal{W}, \{x_{ij}\}) := span_{\mathbb{C}} \{X_{ij}^{(k)}(a), a \in \mathcal{A}, i, j = 1 \dots, n, k \in \mathbb{N}\}. \quad (6.18)$$

where $X_{ij}^{(k)} \in L(\mathcal{A}, End(\mathcal{W}))$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $i, j = 1 \dots, n$ (we exclude 0-order coefficient $X_{ij}^{(0)} = \psi(a)_{ij}$).

One can use the formula (6.14) to define the associative algebra structure on $Yt(\mathcal{A})(\mathcal{W}, \{x_{ij}\})$:

$$(u - v)[x_{ij}(a, u), x_{kl}(b, v)] = x_{il}(a, u)x_{kj}(b, v) - x_{il}(a, v)x_{kj}(b, u), \quad i, j, k, l = 1 \dots, n. \quad (6.19)$$

We will call this algebra *the ternary Yangian* determined by \mathcal{A} , x_{ij} , \mathcal{W} and ψ . When this data is fixed we will simply write $Yt(\mathcal{A})$. Since \mathcal{A} is finite dimensional, we can choose a finite number of linearly independent generating functions $x_{ij}(a_k, u)$, $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, $1 \leq k \leq \dim(\mathcal{A})$ of algebra $Yt(\mathcal{A})$.

The ternary Lie algebra $\mathcal{A}^{tern} = \mathcal{A}^{tern}(\mathcal{W}, \{x_{ij}\}, \psi)$ serves as the first order term for $Yt(\mathcal{A})$.

Proposition 6.14. *The map $\rho : Yt(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow U(\mathcal{A}^{tern})$ defined by*

$$x_{ij}(a, u) \mapsto (\psi(a))_{ij} + \frac{1}{u} \tilde{D}_{ij}(a)$$

is a surjective homomorphism (here the mapping understood as the correspondence between formal series in u).

Proof. Proof is analogous to the case of Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$. It is enough to notice that the identity (6.19) is conserved under ρ . \square

Similarly to the case of $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$, we will call ρ an **evaluation** epimorphism.

Theorem 6.15. *The algebra $Yt(\mathcal{A})$ is a flat deformation of $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A}^{tern}[x])$.*

Proof. We can rewrite polarized ternary relation as follows

$$[X_{ij}^{(r)}(a), X_{kl}^{(s)}(b)] = \sum_{m=1}^{\min(r,s)} (X_{il}^{(m-1)}(a)X_{kj}^{(r+s-m)}(b) - X_{il}^{(r+s-m)}(a)X_{kj}^{(m-1)}(b)), \quad (6.20)$$

$$i, j, k, l = 1, \dots, n, a, b \in \mathcal{A}, r, s \in \mathbb{N} \cup 0.$$

Let us define

$$\tilde{X}_{ij}^{(r)}(a) = h^{r-1} X_{ij}^{(r)}(a), \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n, a \in \mathcal{A}, r \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Consequently we get

$$[\tilde{X}_{ij}^{(r)}(a), \tilde{X}_{kl}^{(s)}(b)] = \psi(a)_{il} \tilde{X}_{kj}^{(r+s-1)}(b) - \psi(b)_{kj} \tilde{X}_{il}^{(r+s-1)}(a)$$

$$+ h \sum_{m=1}^{\min(r,s)-1} (\tilde{X}_{il}^{(m)}(a) \tilde{X}_{kj}^{(r+s-1-m)}(b) - \tilde{X}_{il}^{(r+s-1-m)}(a) \tilde{X}_{kj}^{(m)}(b))$$

In the limit $h \rightarrow 0$ we get the generating relations of $\mathcal{A}^{tern}[x]$. To show flatness let us start with family of linearly independent generators $\{\tilde{X}_{ij}^{(r)}(a_k), (i, j, k, r) \in [1, \dots, \dim \mathcal{A}]^3 \times \mathbb{N}\}$ of $Yt(\mathcal{A})$. They span $Yt(\mathcal{A})$ by definition. Let us show that they are algebraically independent. We have algebraic homomorphism

$$\tilde{X}_{ij}^{(r)}(a) \mapsto \tilde{g}_{ij}^{(r)}(a) := h^{r-1} \sum_{m=1}^n \psi(a)_{im} t_{jm}^{(r)}$$

Assume that $\{\tilde{X}_{ij}^{(r)}(a_k)\}_{ijk_r}$ are not independent, then the family $\{\tilde{g}_{ij}^{(r)}(a_k)\}_{ijk_r}$ is not independent and, consequently, the family $\{t_{ij}^{(r)}, i, j = 1, \dots, n, r \in \mathbb{N} \cup 0\}$ is also algebraically dependent, which is a contradiction. \square

Proposition 6.16. $Yt(\mathcal{A})$ is \mathcal{A}^{tern} -module with adjoint action.

Proof. It is enough to apply formula (6.20) with $r = 1$. Indeed, we have

$$[X_{ij}^{(1)}(a), X_{kl}^{(s)}(b)] = \psi(a)_{il} X_{kj}^{(s)}(b) - X_{il}^{(s)}(a) \psi(b)_{kj}, \quad (6.21)$$

and the result follows. \square

Example 6.17. Let us show that $Yt(sl(2)) = Y(gl(3))$. Indeed, let us denote by $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ the standard basis $sl(2)$ i.e.

$$[e_1, e_2] = e_3, [e_3, e_2] = -2e_2, [e_3, e_1] = 2e_1.$$

Then we have that

$$g_{\cdot j}^{(r)}(e_{\cdot}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t_{j3}^{(r)} & -2t_{j1}^{(r)} \\ -t_{j3}^{(r)} & 0 & 2t_{j2}^{(r)} \\ 2t_{j1}^{(r)} & -2t_{j2}^{(r)} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, j = 1, \dots, 3, r \in \mathbb{N} \cup 0,$$

and the result follows.

Defining relations (6.19) can be rewritten in the matrix form. Let $X \in \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^n) \times Yt(\mathcal{A})[[u^{-1}]]$ be an $n \times n$ matrix with elements $x_{ij}(a, u)$, $i, j = 1 \dots, n$. The following proposition is an analogue of [3, Proposition 1.2.2].

Proposition 6.18.

$$\begin{aligned} R(u-v)X_1(a, u)X_2(b, v) &= X_2(b, v)X_1(a, u)R(u-v) + \frac{(X_2(b, v)X_1(a, u) - X_2(a, v)X_1(b, u))}{u-v}P \\ &= X_2(a, v)X_1(b, u)R(u-v) + X_2(b, v)X_1(a, u) - X_2(a, v)X_1(b, u), a, b \in \mathcal{A}, u, v \in \mathbb{C} \end{aligned}$$

7 Acknowledgments

V.Futorny is partially supported by NSF of China (12350710178 and 12350710787). M. Neklyudov would like to express sincere gratitude to Universidade Federal do Amazonas (UFAM) and Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES) for their unwavering support.

References

- [1] W. Bock, V. Futorny and M. Neklyudov, *Convex topological algebras via linear vector fields and Cuntz algebras*, J. Pure Appl. Alg., V. **225** (2021), 3, 106535, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpaa.2020.106535>.
- [2] V. G. Drinfel'd, *Hopf algebras and the quantum Yang-Baxter equation*, Soviet Math. Dokl., 32 (1985), 254–258.
- [3] Molev, A. *Yangians and classical Lie algebras*. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 143. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2007.
- [4] Patera, J., Sharp, R., Winternitz, P., Zassenhaus, H. *Invariants of real low dimension Lie algebras*. J. Mathematical Phys. 17 (1976), no. 6, 986–994.

- [5] Popovych, R., Boyko, V., Nesterenko, M., Lutfullin, M., *Realizations of real low-dimensional Lie algebras*. J. Phys. A 36 (2003), no. 26, 7337–7360.
- [6] E. K. Sklyanin, L. A. Takhtadzhyan, and L. D. Faddeev, *Quantum inverse problem method I*, Theor. Math. Phys., 40 (1979), 688–706.
- [7] V. O. Tarasov, L. A. Takhtadzhyan, and L. D. Faddeev, *Local Hamiltonians for integrable quantum models on a lattice*, Theor. Math. Phys., 57 (1983), 1059–1073.

(W. Bock) LINNAEUS UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITETSPLATSEN 1, 35252, VÄXJÖ, SWEDEN

E-mail address: wolfgang.bock@lnu.se

(V. Futorny) SHENZHEN INTERNATIONAL CENTER FOR MATHEMATICS, SOUTHERN UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, SHENZHEN, CHINA

E-mail address: vfutorny@gmail.com

(M. Neklyudov) INSTITUTO DE CIÊNCIAS EXATAS, DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UFAM, MANAUS, CEP 69077-000, BRASIL

E-mail address: misha.neklyudov@gmail.com

(J. Zhang) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, CENTRAL CHINA NORMAL UNIVERSITY, WUHAN, HUBEI 430079, CHINA

E-mail address: jzhang@ccnu.edu.cn