An operator approach to the analysis of electromagnetic wave propagation in dispersive media. Part 1: general results.

Maxence Cassier^a and Patrick Joly^b

^a Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS, Centrale Med, Institut Fresnel, Marseille, France

^b POEMS¹, CNRS, INRIA, ENSTA Paris, Institut Polytechnique de Paris, 91120 Palaiseau, France (maxence.cassier@fresnel.fr, patrick.joly@inria.fr)

April 2, 2025

Abstract

We investigate in this chapter the mathematical models for electromagnetic wave propagation in dispersive isotropic passive linear media for which the dielectric permittivity ε and magnetic permeability μ depend on the frequency. We emphasize the link between physical requirements and mathematical properties of the models. A particular attention is devoted to the notions of causality and passivity and its connection to the existence of Herglotz functions that determine the dispersion of the material. We consider successively the cases of the general passive media and the so-called local media for which ε and μ are rational functions of the frequency. This leads us to analyse the important class of non dissipative and dissipative generalized Lorentz models. In particular, we discuss the connection between mathematical and physical properties of models through the notions of stability, energy conservation, dispersion and modal analyses, group and phase velocities and energy decay in dissipative systems.

Keywords: Maxwell's equations, electromagnetic passive media, metamaterial, generalized Lorentz models, dispersion analysis, spectral theory, Herglotz functions, energy decay rate.

1 Introduction

The phenomenon of dispersion of waves is represented by the fact that their speed of propagation depends of their wavelength. Maxwell's equations in the vacuum are non dispersive. However, according to physicists [23, 26, 43], dispersion is ubiquitous for electromagnetic wave propagation in matter. This property is traduced in the models via the dependence of the material properties (electric permittivity ε and magnetic permeability μ) of the propagation media with respect to the frequency ω .

The theory of wave propagation in dispersive media, and more specifically negative index materials in electromagnetism, had known recently a regain of interest with the appearance of electromagnetic metamaterials. Their theoretical behaviour had been, much before their experimental realization, predicted in the pioneering article of Veselago [44]. Since the beginning of the century, several works [42], [14], [37] have shown a practical realisability of metamaterials, with the help of a periodic assembly of small resonators whose effective macroscopic behaviour corresponds to a negative index. Their existence opened new perspectives of application for physicists, in particular in optics and photonic crystals, related to new physical phenomena such as backward propagating waves, negative refraction [44] or plasmonic surface waves [29], which are used for creating perfect lenses [39], superlensing [30] or cloaking [36, 31]. On the other hand the study of the corresponding mathematical models raised new exciting questions for mathematicians (see [28, 7] for a review), in particular numerical analysts [27, 49, 1, 46].

The organisation of the chapter is as follows. Sections 3 to 6 are based on the article [7]. After a brief recap on the Fourier–Laplace transform in section 2, we describe in section 3 the relevant constitutive laws for dispersive electromagnetic materials, that are obtained by imposing some restrictions to the dependence of ε and μ as functions of ω , linked to natural physical requirements. In section 4, we specify ourselves to the class of passive materials which naturally leads to the notion of Herglotz functions. Section 5 is devoted to Maxwell's equations in such passive media for which we provide a so-called conservative augmented formulation in section 5.2. This formulation is based on the well-known Herglotz-Nevanlinna representation of Herglotz functions that is presented in section 5.1. In section 5.3, these equations are reformulated, in the absence source term, in an abstract manner as

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{U}}{\mathrm{d}t} + \mathrm{i}\,\mathbb{A}\,\mathbf{U} = 0,\tag{1.1}$$

where \mathbb{A} is a self-adjoint in some appropriate Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , which allows to state a uniqueness and existence result for the related initial-value problem. Section 6 treats an important subclass of passive media: the generalized Lorentz media. The corresponding models are presented in section 6.1 and reformulated in the abstract form (1.1) in section 6.2. These models are nondissipative and support the propagation of non-dissipative plane waves in homogeneous media. In section 6.3, we provide a complete dispersion analysis of such plane waves. This leads us to introduce the important notions of forward modes, backward modes and negative index materials. The last section 7 is devoted to the study of a dissipative version of these generalized Lorentz models introduced in section 7.1 and whose evolution system is given in section 7.2via a dissipative augmented formulation rewritten in an abstract way in section 7.5. This formulation is of the same form as (1.1) with A replaced by A_{α} where α is a set of positive dissipation parameters and the operator \mathbb{A}_{α} is no longer self-adjoint. The rest of the section the analysis of the large time-behavior of the solutions and follows the content of the two articles [8, 9]. Our main results stated in section 7.4 are based on the analysis of the dispersion relation for these dissipative models whose study is presented in section 7.3. Finally in section 7.5, we sketch the proof that we adopted in the second article [9], proof which is based on the spectral decomposition of the operator \mathbb{A}_{α} .

2 Recap on the Fourier-Laplace transform in time

Let u(t) be a (measurable) complex-valued, locally bounded and causal (u(t) = 0 for t < 0)function of time, which we suppose to be exponentially bounded for large t (for simplicity). More precisely, given $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, we introduce

$$PB_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{+}) = \{ u : \mathbb{R}^{+} \to \mathbb{C} / \exists (C, p) \in \mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{N} \mid |u(t)| \le C e^{\alpha t} (1 + t^{p}), \forall t \in \mathbb{R}^{+} \}.$$
(2.1)

(where $\mathbb{R}^+ := \{t \in \mathbb{R} \mid t \geq 0\}$ and $\mathbb{R}_+ := \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \{0\}$). For $\alpha = 0$, $PB_0(\mathbb{R}^+)$ is the class of polynomially bounded functions. The Fourier-Laplace transform $\hat{u}(\omega)$ of u is defined in the complex half space (see e.g. [41], proposition 3.13):

$$\mathbb{C}^+_{\alpha} = \{ \omega \in \mathbb{C} / \text{ Im } \omega > \alpha \}, \quad (\text{where } \mathbb{C}^+_0 \text{ will be denoted by } \mathbb{C}^+ \text{ when } \alpha = 0)$$
(2.2)

by the following integral formula (we use here the convention which is usual for physicists)

$$\forall \omega \in \mathbb{C}^+, \quad \widehat{u}(\omega) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^{+\infty} u(t) \ e^{i\omega t} \ dt.$$
 (2.3)

Note that, with this convention, as soon as u and $\partial_t u$ belong to $PB_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^+)$, we have

$$\forall \, \omega \in \mathbb{C}^+_{\alpha}, \quad \text{with} \, v := \partial_t u, \quad \widehat{v}(\omega) = -\mathrm{i}\omega \, \widehat{u}(\omega) + u(0), \tag{2.4}$$

which reduces to $\hat{v}(\omega) = -i\omega \,\hat{u}(\omega)$ when u(0) = 0.

This transform is related to the usual Fourier transform $u(t) \to \mathcal{F}u(\omega)$ by

$$\forall \eta > \alpha, \quad \forall \omega \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \widehat{u}(\omega + i\eta) = \mathcal{F}(u e^{-\eta t})(\omega)$$
 (2.5)

which proves in particular that $\omega \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto \widehat{u}(\omega + i\eta) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ for any $\eta > \alpha$ and that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |\widehat{u}(\omega + i\eta)|^2 \, d\omega = \int_{0}^{+\infty} |u(t)|^2 \, e^{-2\eta t} \, dt, \tag{2.6}$$

by Plancherel's theorem. Moreover, one easily sees that

$$\forall u \in PB_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^+), \quad \omega \mapsto \widehat{u}(\omega) \text{ is analytic in } \mathbb{C}^+_{\alpha}.$$
(2.7)

One can expect that $\hat{u}(\omega)$ can be extended as an analytic function in a domain of the complex plane that contains the half-space \mathbb{C}^+_{α} . When needed, we shall use the same notation $\hat{u}(\omega)$ for this extension. In the following ω will be referred to as the (possibly complex) frequency.

The half-plane \mathbb{C}^+_{α} in invariant under the transformation $\omega \to -\overline{\omega}$, which corresponds to the symmetry with respect to the imaginary axis. Laplace-Fourier transforms of **real-valued** functions have a particular property with respect to this transformation in $PB_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^+)$

$$u(t) \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \forall t \ge 0, \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \forall \omega \in \mathbb{C}^+, \quad \widehat{u}(-\overline{\omega}) = \widehat{u}(\omega)$$
 (2.8)

In the sequel, we assume that all the functions of time that are used in this article (for instance, any component of the electromagnetic field at a given point), belong to some $PB_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}+)$.

3 Maxwell's equations in dispersive media: general properties

Maxwell's equations relate the space variations of the electric and magnetic fields $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ and $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ (where $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ denotes the space variable and t > 0 is the time) to the time variations of the corresponding electric and magnetic inductions $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ and $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x}, t)$:

$$\partial_t \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{rot} \, \mathbf{E} = 0, \quad \partial_t \mathbf{D} - \mathbf{rot} \, \mathbf{H} = 0, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad t > 0.$$
 (3.1)

These equations need to be completed by so-called constitutive laws that characterize the material in which electromagnetic waves propagate by relating the electric (or magnetic) field and the corresponding induction. In this paper, we shall restrict ourselves to materials which are linear, local in space and time-independent.

In standard isotropic dielectric media, the fields and induction are proportional

$$\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x},t) = \varepsilon(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x},t), \quad \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x},t) = \mu(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x},t), \quad (3.2)$$

where $\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}) > 0$ and $\mu(\mathbf{x}) > 0$ are respectively the electric permittivity and the magnetic permeability of the material at the point \mathbf{x} . In the vacuum, these coefficients are of course independent of \mathbf{x} : $\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}) = \varepsilon_0 = (36\pi)^{-1} 10^{-9}, \mu(\mathbf{x}) = \mu_0 = 4\pi 10^{-7}$.

In the matter, the law (3.2) must be seen only as an approximation (good as soon as ε and μ are "almost" real and constant over a broad range of frequencies) of the reality because it would violate the high frequency principle, see (**HF**) below. To account these phenomena, one needs to abandon the idea that the constitutive laws are local in time and to accept e.g. that $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ depends on the history of $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ between 0 and t, i.e.

$$\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x},t) = F(\mathbf{x},t; \{\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x},\tau), 0 \le \tau \le t\}).$$
(3.3)

The above obeys a fundamental physical principle: the causality principle. Adding the time invariance principle by translation, i.e. that the material behaves the same way whatever the time one observes it, one infers that the function F is also independent of time: $F(x, t; \cdot) = F(x; \cdot)$. This implies that these laws are given by time convolution products (in the sense of distributions) with kernels supported in \mathbb{R}^+ (see [47], sections 5.10 and 5.11). In that case, it is useful to write constitutive laws in the frequency domain with the Fourier-Laplace transforms of the fields and inductions as follows

$$\widehat{\mathbf{D}}(\mathbf{x},\omega) = \varepsilon(\mathbf{x},\omega)\,\widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{x},\omega), \quad \widehat{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{x},\omega) = \mu(\mathbf{x},\omega)\,\widehat{\mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{x},\omega). \tag{3.4}$$

where for each $\mathbf{x}, \, \omega \in \mathbb{C}^+_{\alpha} \mapsto \varepsilon(\mathbf{x}, \omega)$ and $\omega \in \mathbb{C}^+_{\alpha} \mapsto \mu(\mathbf{x}, \omega)$ are complex valued functions of the frequency (for some $\alpha \geq 0$). Of course, these functions satisfy some particular properties:

Causality principle: if $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x},t)$ (or $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x},t)$) is causal $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x},t)$ (or $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x},t)$) is causal too. According to the property (2.7), this leads to impose

(CP)
$$\omega \mapsto \varepsilon(\mathbf{x}, \omega)$$
 and $\omega \mapsto \mu(\mathbf{x}, \omega)$ are analytic in \mathbb{C}^+_{α} .

Reality principle: if $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x},t)$ (or $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x},t)$) is real valued, $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x},t)$ (or $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x},t)$) is real valued to

too. According to the property (2.8), this leads to impose (3.4) and

$$(\mathbf{RP}) \qquad \forall \ \omega \in \mathbb{C}^+_{\alpha}, \quad \varepsilon(\mathbf{x}, -\overline{\omega}) = \overline{\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}, \omega)}, \quad \mu(\mathbf{x}, -\overline{\omega}) = \overline{\mu(\mathbf{x}, \omega)}.$$

High frequency principle: at high frequency, due to the inertia of charge carriers, any material "behaves as the vacuum", see e.g. [26], being less and less dispersive. Mathematically, this gives

$$(\mathbf{HF}) \qquad \forall \ \eta > \alpha, \text{ if } \ \operatorname{Im} \ \omega \ge \eta > \alpha, \quad \lim_{|\omega| \to +\infty} \varepsilon(\mathbf{x}, \omega) = \varepsilon_0, \quad \lim_{|\omega| \to +\infty} \mu(\mathbf{x}, \omega) = \mu_0.$$

When (**CP**) holds, the property (**HF**) implies that fields and inductions have the same time regularity, for instance $t \to \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}, t) \in H^s_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+) \Rightarrow \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x}, t) \in H^s_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$, see [7], section 2.1. It also plays a role in the well-posedness of Maxwell's equations (see e.g. remark 3.15 of [7]).

In the matter, for given inductions $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ and $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x}, t)$, one defines the electric polatisation $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ (resp. $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{x}, t)$) as the difference between the electric field $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ (resp. the magnetic field $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}, t)$) with the value that it would have in the vacuum:

$$\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{x},t) := \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x},t) - \varepsilon_0 \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x},t), \quad \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{x},t) := \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x},t) - \mu_0 \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x},t).$$
(3.5)

Then, Maxwell's equations can be rewritten as

$$\varepsilon_0 \partial_t \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{rot} \, \mathbf{H} + \partial_t \mathbf{P} = 0, \quad \mu_0 \partial_t \mathbf{H} - \mathbf{rot} \, \mathbf{E} + \partial_t \mathbf{M} = 0, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad t > 0,$$
(3.6)

and the constitutive laws (3.4) can be rewritten as follows:

$$\widehat{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbf{x},\omega) = \varepsilon_0 \,\chi_e(\mathbf{x},\omega) \,\widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{x},\omega), \quad \widehat{\mathbf{M}}(\mathbf{x},\omega) = \mu_0 \,\chi_m(\mathbf{x},\omega) \,\widehat{\mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{x},\omega). \tag{3.7}$$

where the electric and magnetic susceptibilities $\hat{\chi}_e(\mathbf{x},\omega)$ and $\hat{\chi}_e(\mathbf{x},\omega)$ are defined by

$$\widehat{\chi}_e(\mathbf{x},\omega) = \frac{\varepsilon(\mathbf{x},\omega)}{\varepsilon_0} - 1, \quad \widehat{\chi}_m(\mathbf{x},\omega) = \frac{\mu(\mathbf{x},\omega)}{\mu_0} - 1.$$
 (3.8)

Example 1 $(L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ -convolutional media). These correspond to the case where $\widehat{\chi}_e(\mathbf{x},\omega)$ and $\widehat{\chi}_m(\mathbf{x},\omega)$ are Fourier-Laplace transforms of causal real valued functions $t \mapsto \chi_e(\mathbf{x},t)$ and $t \mapsto \chi_m(\mathbf{x},t)$ in $L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$. In that case, the constitutive laws rewrite, in the time domain,

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x},t) = \varepsilon_0 \left(\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x},t) + \int_0^t \chi_e(\mathbf{x},\tau) \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x},t-\tau) d\tau \right), \\ \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x},t) = \mu_0 \left(\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x},t) + \int_0^t \chi_m(\mathbf{x},\tau) \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x},t-\tau) d\tau \right). \end{cases}$$
(3.9)

Example 2 (Local media). We shall say that a dispersive material is **local** if and only if $\omega \mapsto \varepsilon(\mathbf{x}, \omega)$ and $\omega \mapsto \mu(\mathbf{x}, \omega)$ are (irreducible) rational fractions, implying the existence of polynomials in z, parametrized by $\mathbf{x}, z \mapsto P_e(\mathbf{x}, z), Q_e(\mathbf{x}, z), P_m(\mathbf{x}, z), Q_m(\mathbf{x}, z)$ such that

$$\varepsilon(\mathbf{x},\omega) = \frac{P_e(\mathbf{x},-\mathrm{i}\omega)}{Q_e(\mathbf{x},-\mathrm{i}\omega)}, \quad \mu(\mathbf{x},\omega) = \frac{P_m(\mathbf{x},-\mathrm{i}\omega)}{Q_m(\mathbf{x},-\mathrm{i}\omega)}.$$

The reader will check that $(\mathbf{CP}, \mathbf{RP}, \mathbf{HF})$ are satisfied if only if these polynomials satisfy $d^{o}P_{e} = d^{o}Q_{e}$ and $d^{o}P_{m} = d^{o}Q_{m}$ and have real coefficients. The (somewhat misleading) denomination of local media is due to the fact that (3.4) can be rewritten in terms of ODEs:

$$Q_e(\mathbf{x}, \partial_t) \mathbf{P} = \varepsilon_0 P_e(\mathbf{x}, \partial_t) \mathbf{E}, \quad Q_m(\mathbf{x}, \partial_t) \mathbf{M} = \mu_0 P_m(\mathbf{x}, \partial_t) \mathbf{H}$$
(3.10)

However, using the theory of linear ODE's, it is easy to see that these are a particular case of the media described in Example 1 where the kernels are linear combinations of products of exponential and trigonometric functions (see [8], section 1.1.2 fore more details).

4 Passive materials

Defining the electromagnetic energy as in the vacuum, i.e.

$$\mathcal{E}(t) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\varepsilon_0 \left| \mathbf{E} \right|^2 + \mu_0 \left| \mathbf{H} \right|^2 \right) (\mathbf{x}, t) \, d\mathbf{x}, \quad t > 0,$$
(4.1)

we shall say that a material is *physically* passive [19, 7, 8] if, when **E**, **H**, **D** and **B** are causal fields solving (3.1) in the absence of source term, the corresponding electromagnetic energy does not increase between 0 and T for any $T \ge 0$, namely,

$$\mathcal{E}(T) \le \mathcal{E}(0). \tag{4.2}$$

This definition well corresponds to the intuitive notion hidden behind the word "passive": a passive medium cannot create energy from its own.

Remark 3. One needs to be careful on the fact that property (4.2) does not imply that $\mathcal{E}(t)$ is a decreasing function of time. Indeed, since (4.2) is supposed to hold only for causal fields, the initial time t = 0 cannot be replaced by any other initial tim t_0 . See also the particular case treated in [7] and more precisely the Proposition 3.40.

Multiplying the first equation of (3.6) by \mathbf{E} , the second one by \mathbf{H} , and integrating in space over \mathbb{R}^3 the sum of the two resulting identities, one obtains, after integration by parts to make disappear the curl terms,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{E}(t) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\partial_t \mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{E} + \partial_t \mathbf{M} \cdot \mathbf{H}\right)(\mathbf{x}, t) \, d\mathbf{x} = 0.$$
(4.3)

Thus, for any T > 0,

$$\mathcal{E}(T) - \mathcal{E}(0) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left[\int_0^T \left(\partial_t \mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{E} + \partial_t \mathbf{M} \cdot \mathbf{H} \right)(\mathbf{x}, t) \, dt \right] \, d\mathbf{x} = 0. \tag{4.4}$$

We thus infer that a medium is physically passive if for any $(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{M})$ satisfying (3.6, 3.7)

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_0^T \left(\partial_t \mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{E} + \partial_t \mathbf{M} \cdot \mathbf{H} \right)(\mathbf{x}, t) \, dt \, d\mathbf{x} \ge 0.$$
(4.5)

Considering (4.5), we introduce a stronger notion of passivity (see e.g. [2, 45, 6, 7]) which does not refer to Mawxell's equations anymore, but only the constitutive laws.

Definition 4. (*Passivity*) A material is passive if, when (\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{P}) and (\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{M}) are related by the constitutive laws (3.7), for any \mathbf{x} and T > 0,

$$\int_0^T \partial_t \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{x}, t) \cdot \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}, t) \, dt \ge 0 \quad and \quad \int_0^T \partial_t \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{x}, t) \cdot \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}, t) \, dt \ge 0. \tag{4.6}$$

Of course, a passive medium in the sense of the above definition is a fortiori physically passive. The reverse statement seems to be often admitted in the physical community. For the authors of this chapter, it is however an open question (see the section 1.3 of [8] for more details).

One of the great interests of this notion of passivity of Definition 4 is that it can be traduced in terms of properties of the functions $\omega \mapsto \varepsilon(\mathbf{x}, \omega)$ and $\omega \mapsto \mu(\mathbf{x}, \omega)$. This leads to introduce the important notion of Herglotz function (se for e.g. [33, 17]).

Definition 5. (Herglotz function) A Herglotz function is a function $f(\omega) : \mathbb{C}^+ \to \mathbb{C}$, analytic in \mathbb{C}^+ , whose image is included in the closure of \mathbb{C}^+ , i.e.

$$\operatorname{Im} \omega > 0 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \operatorname{Im} f(\omega) \ge 0. \tag{4.7}$$

Remark 6. Note that, by the open mapping theorem (see e.g. [40]), as soon an Herglotz function in not constant, the inequality (4.7) is strict, i.e. Im $f(\omega) > 0$.

Since $\text{Im}(1/f) = -\text{Im}(f)/|f|^2$, Herglotz functions are invariant by the transformation $f \to -1/f$. Let us mention two properties which will be particularly useful later.

Lemma 7. [Poles and zeros] Let f be a non zero Herglotz function that can be extended to the whole complex half-space into a meromorphic function, still denoted f, the poles and zeros of f are located in the half-space $\overline{\mathbb{C}^-} := \{ \text{Im } z \leq 0 \}$

Proof. The fact that Im $f(\omega) > 0$ in \mathbb{C}^+ imply that the zeros of f belong to $\overline{\mathbb{C}^-}$. For the poles, it suffices to reason with -1/f.

Lemma 8. [Positivity property] Let $f(\omega)$ be a non constant Herglotz function that can be extended as a meromorphic function in some half-space \mathbb{C}_{α} with $\alpha < 0$ (note that $\mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{C}_{\alpha}$) which takes real values along the real axis:

$$\forall \, \omega \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}}, \quad f(\omega) \in \mathbb{R}.$$

$$(4.8)$$

where $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}}$ denotes the discrete set of real poles of f. Then $f(\omega)$ satisfies the positivity property

$$\forall \ \omega \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}}, \quad f'(\omega) > 0, \tag{4.9}$$

meaning that, between two consecutive poles in $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}}$, $\omega \mapsto f(\omega)$ is strictly increasing. Furthermore, the real poles and real zeros are of multiplicity one.

Proof. Let (4.9). As f is analytic at ω_0 and real valued on $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}}$, one shows by induction via the Taylor expansion of f at ω_0 expressed on the real line that $f^{(n)}(\omega_0) \in \mathbb{R}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $\delta > 0$. When $\delta \to 0$, as $f(\omega_0), f'(\omega_0) \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$f(\omega_0 + \mathrm{i}\,\delta) = f(\omega_0) + \mathrm{i}\,\delta\,f'(\omega_0) + o(\delta) \quad \text{implies} \quad \mathrm{Im}\ f(\omega + \mathrm{i}\,\delta) = \delta\,f'(\omega) + o(\delta).$$

As Im $f(\omega + i \delta) > 0$, one has necessary $f'(\omega_0) \ge 0$. To conclude to (4.9), we need to prove that $f'(\omega_0) \ne 0$. To this aim, one assumes by contradiction that $f'(\omega_0) = 0$. As f' is analytic on an open neighborhood \mathcal{U}_{ω_0} of ω_0 , it is not zero on \mathcal{U}_{ω_0} . (Indeed, because of the analytic continuation principle, f is not constant on \mathcal{U}_{ω_0} since f is meromorphic and not constant on \mathbb{C}_{α} by assumption). Thus, its zeros in \mathcal{U}_{ω_0} are isolated. Hence, the sequence $(f^{(m)}(\omega_0))_{m\ge 2}$ is different from 0 and there exists $n \ge 2$ such that

$$f(\omega) - f(\omega_0) = \frac{f^{(n)}(\omega_0)}{n!} (\omega - \omega_0)^n + o((\omega - \omega_0)^n) \text{ as } \omega \to \omega_0 \text{ with } \frac{f^{(n)}(\omega_0)}{n!} \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}.$$

Thus, for $\omega \in \mathbb{C}^+$ given by $\omega - \omega_0 = \rho e^{i\theta}$ with $\rho > 0$ sufficiently small and $\theta \in (0, \pi)$, one gets

Im
$$f(\omega) = \frac{f^{(n)}(\omega_0)}{n!} \rho^n \sin(n\,\theta) + o(\rho^n)$$
 as $\omega \to \omega_0$.

As $n \ge 2$, $\sin(n\theta)$ describes (-1, 1) when θ varies in $(0, \pi)$ which leads to a contradiction since $\operatorname{Im} f(\omega)$ would take a negative value for some $\omega \in \mathbb{C}^+$ which is impossible since f is a Herglotz function. Hence, one concludes to (4.9).

Thus, as by (4.9), $f'(\omega) > 0$ for $\omega \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}}$, the real zeros of f are of multiplicity one. Applying the same reasoning to to Herglotz function -1/f (which satisfies also the assumptions of this Lemma) gives that the real poles (i.e. the zeros of -1/f) of f are also of multiplicity one. \Box

The fundamental result of this section is given by the

Theorem 9. A necessary and sufficient condition for a material obeying the constitutive laws (3.4) to be passive is that

$$\omega \mapsto \omega \varepsilon(\mathbf{x}, \omega) \text{ and } \omega \mapsto \omega \mu(\mathbf{x}, \omega) \text{ are Herglotz functions.}$$
 (4.10)

A general (but very technical) proof is done in [47] in the context of distributions valued in a Banach spaces. A simpler proof of this theorem is done in detail in the case of Example 1 where the causal kernels (χ_e, χ_m) belong to $W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R})$ in which case $\omega \mapsto \omega \varepsilon(\mathbf{x}, \omega)$ and $\omega \mapsto \omega \mu(\mathbf{x}, \omega)$ are analytic in \mathbb{C}^+ and continuous on $\overline{\mathbb{C}^+}$ (which implies directly (**CP**) with $\alpha = 0$) and tend to 0 at ∞ (by Riemann-Lebesgue theorem). The key steps of the proof (for $\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}, \omega)$) are then (we remain rather formal below, technical details are treated in [7]):

(i) One first shows that $\operatorname{Im}(\omega \varepsilon(\mathbf{x}, \omega)) \ge 0$ for $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$. Indeed, consider for instance **E** compactly supported in time. Using both the causality (**CP**) and reality (**PR**) principles as well as Plancherel's theorem, we get (for *T* large enough so that [0, T] contains the support of **E**):

$$\begin{vmatrix} \int_{0}^{T} \partial_{t} \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{x}, t) \cdot \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}, t) dt &= -\int_{\mathbb{R}} i \,\omega \,\widehat{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbf{x}, \omega) \cdot \overline{\widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{x}, \omega)} \, d\omega \\ &= -\int_{\mathbb{R}} i \,\omega \,\chi_{e}(\mathbf{x}, \omega) \, |\widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{x}, \omega)|^{2} \, d\omega \\ &\text{(as } \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{P} \text{ are real}) \quad = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \operatorname{Im} \left(\omega \,\chi_{e}(\mathbf{x}, \omega) \right) \, \left| \widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{x}, \omega) \right|^{2} \, d\omega. \end{aligned}$$

As the above in true for all compactly supported fields, by density

$$\forall \ \omega \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \operatorname{Im}\left(\omega \ \varepsilon(\mathbf{x}, \omega)\right) = \operatorname{Im}\left(\omega \ \chi_e(\mathbf{x}, \omega)\right) \ge 0$$

(ii) One then shows that $\operatorname{Im}(\omega \varepsilon(\mathbf{x}, \omega)) > 0$ in \mathbb{C}^+ . Indeed, let us set

$$u(x,y) := \operatorname{Im}\left((x+\mathrm{i}y)\,\chi_e(\cdot,x+\mathrm{i}y)\right) \text{ for } (x,y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+.$$

The function u is harmonic in the upper-half plane $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+$ (as the imaginary part of an analytic function in \mathbb{C}^+), positive $\partial(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+) = \mathbb{R} \times \{0\}$ (i.e. on the real line) and tends to 0 at ∞ . By the maximum principle $u(x, y) \ge 0$ in \mathbb{R}^2_+ , which implies $\operatorname{Im} (\omega \varepsilon(\mathbf{x}, \omega)) = u(x, y) + \varepsilon_0 \operatorname{Im} \omega > 0$.

5 Maxwell's equations in general passive media

In this section, for the simplicity of the presentation, we assume that the permittivity and permeability are independent of \mathbf{x} , i. e. that the medium is homogeneous

$$\varepsilon(\mathbf{x},\omega) = \varepsilon(\omega), \quad \mu(\mathbf{x},\omega) = \mu(\omega).$$
 (5.1)

However, all what follows in this section extends to the heterogeneous case without any difficulty.

5.1 A representation of $\varepsilon(\omega)$ and $\mu(\omega)$ in passive media

In this section, we assume the familiarity of the reader with basics of measure theory on \mathbb{R} [40]. What follows is based on the version of the well-known Nevanlinna's representation theorem for Herglotz functions satisfying the symmetry property

$$\forall \, \omega \in \mathbb{C}^+, \quad f(-\overline{\omega}) = -\overline{f(\omega)} \tag{5.2}$$

Theorem 10. Let $f(\omega)$ be a Herglotz function satisfying (5.2), then there exists a unique positive regular measure ν on the set $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ of Borelians of \mathbb{R} satisfying

$$\forall E \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}), \quad \nu(-E) = \nu(E) \quad (evenness), \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu(\xi)}{1+\xi^2} < +\infty, \quad (finiteness), \tag{5.3}$$

such that $f(\omega)$ admits the following integral representation

$$\forall \, \omega \in \mathbb{C}^+, \quad f(\omega) = \omega \left(\alpha + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu(\xi)}{\xi^2 - \omega^2} \right), \quad where \, \alpha := \lim_{y \to +\infty} f(\mathrm{i}\, y)/\mathrm{i}\, y \tag{5.4}$$

A complete proof of this theorem can be found in [7] (Theorem 4.5 and appendix B). It is worthwhile mentioning that ν is related to f (for any a < b) as follows

$$\nu(a) = \lim_{\eta \to 0^+} \eta \, \text{Im} \, f(a + i\eta), \qquad \nu([a, b]) + \nu(]a, b[) = \lim_{\eta \to 0^+} \frac{2}{\pi} \int_a^b \text{Im} \, f(x + i\eta) \, dx. \tag{5.5}$$

The above formula emphasize the key role of the limit of the imaginary part Im f when one approaches the real axis from above.

Remark 11. The formulas (5.5) provide the measure of any interval [a, b), (a, b], (a, b) or [a, b]. Thus it defines completely ν as a borelian measure [40].

As, in a passive media, $\omega \varepsilon(\omega)$ and $\omega \mu(\omega)$ are Herglotz functions satisfying (5.2) (because of **(RP)**), one immediately deduces from Theorem 10 the following

Corollary 12. Let $\varepsilon(\omega)$ and $\mu(\omega)$ be the permittivity and permeability of a homogeneous passive medium. Then, there exists two unique regular positive measures ν_e and ν_m on $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying (5.3) such that

$$\varepsilon(\omega) = \varepsilon_0 \left(1 + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu_e(\xi)}{\xi^2 - \omega^2} \right), \quad \mu(\omega) = \mu_0 \left(1 + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu_m(\xi)}{\xi^2 - \omega^2} \right) \quad \text{for } \omega \in \mathbb{C}^+.$$
(5.6)

5.2 Augmented PDE model for Maxwell's equations in passive media

At least from the theoretical point of view, one of the interests of the representation formulas (5.4) is that they permit to rewrite the Mawell's equations in a passive media as a "standard" evolution problem, modulo the introduction of an additional variable $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$, namely the integration variable in (5.6), and additional auxiliary unknowns, that are functions of (\mathbf{x}, t, ξ) and permit to represent the constitutive law in terms of ODEs in time. Such an approach was developed in [43], [18], [15], [7] following the pioneering work of Lamb [25].

From and the definition (3.8) of the susceptibilities χ_e and χ_m , we have

$$\chi_e(\omega) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu_e(\xi)}{\xi^2 - \omega^2}, \quad \chi_m(\omega) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu_m(\xi)}{\xi^2 - \omega^2}.$$

Therefore, the constitutive laws $\widehat{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbf{x},\omega) = \varepsilon_0 \chi_e(\omega) \widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{x},\omega)$ and $\widehat{\mathbf{M}}(\mathbf{x},\omega) = \mu_0 \chi_m(\omega) \widehat{\mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{x},\omega)$ in the frequency domain, see (3.7) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{vmatrix} \widehat{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbf{x},\omega) = \varepsilon_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{\mathbb{P}}(\mathbf{x},\omega;\xi) \, \mathrm{d}\nu_e(\xi), & \left(\xi^2 - \omega^2\right) \widehat{\mathbb{P}}(\mathbf{x},\omega;\xi) = \varepsilon_0 \, \widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{x},\omega), \\ \widehat{\mathbf{M}}(\mathbf{x},\omega) = \mu_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{\mathbb{M}}(\mathbf{x},\omega;\xi) \, \mathrm{d}\nu_m(\xi), & \left(\xi^2 - \omega^2\right) \widehat{\mathbb{M}}(\mathbf{x},\omega;\xi) = \mu_0 \, \widehat{\mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{x},\omega). \end{aligned}$$
(5.7)

Using the property (2.4) of the Fourier-Laplace transform, these can be rewritten in time as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{x},t) &= \varepsilon_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{x},t;\xi) \,\mathrm{d}\nu_e(\xi), \qquad \partial_t^2 \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{x},t;\xi) + \xi^2 \,\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{x},t;\xi) &= \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x},t), \\ \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{x},t) &= \mu_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{M}(\mathbf{x},t;\xi) \,\mathrm{d}\nu_m(\xi), \qquad \partial_t^2 \mathbb{M}(\mathbf{x},t;\xi) + \xi^2 \,\mathbb{M}(\mathbf{x},t;\xi) = \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x},t), \end{aligned}$$
(5.8)

where the ODEs defining \mathbb{P} and \mathbb{M} must be completed with vanishing initial data

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{x},0;\xi) = \partial_t \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{x},0;\xi) = 0, \quad \mathbb{M}(\mathbf{x},0;\xi) = \partial_t \mathbb{M}(\mathbf{x},0;\xi) = 0.$$
(5.9)

As a consequence, the 3D Cauchy problem for Maxwell equation in a passive medium can be formulated as follows

Find
$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x},t): \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^3, & \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{x},t;\xi): \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^3, \\ \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x},t): \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^3, & \mathbb{M}(\mathbf{x},t;\xi): \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^3, \end{cases}$$

that satisfy the integro-differential system

$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon_{0} \partial_{t} \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{rot} \, \mathbf{H} + \varepsilon_{0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_{t} \mathbb{P}(\cdot, \cdot; \xi) \, \mathrm{d}\nu_{e}(\xi) = 0, & (\mathbf{x}, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}, \\ \mu_{0} \partial_{t} \mathbf{H} - \mathbf{rot} \, \mathbf{E} + \mu_{0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_{t} \mathbb{M}(\cdot, \cdot; \xi) \, \mathrm{d}\nu_{m}(\xi) = 0, & (\mathbf{x}, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}, \\ \partial_{t}^{2} \mathbb{P}(\cdot, \cdot; \xi) + \xi^{2} \, \mathbb{P}(\cdot, \cdot; \xi) = \mathbf{E}, & (\mathbf{x}, t; \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}, \\ \partial_{t}^{2} \mathbb{M}(\cdot, \cdot; \xi) + \xi^{2} \, \mathbb{M}(\cdot, \cdot; \xi) = \mathbf{H}, & (\mathbf{x}, t; \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}, \end{cases}$$
(5.10)

completed by the initial conditions (5.9) as well as

$$\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x},0) = \mathbf{E}_0(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x},0) = \mathbf{H}_0(\mathbf{x}).$$
(5.11)

Remark 13. Owing to the integral representation of \mathbf{P} and \mathbf{M} in (5.8), the fields \mathbb{P} and \mathbb{M} can be reinterpreted as polarization and magnetization densities respectively.

One can easily obtain an energy conservation result for the augmented system (5.10):

Theorem 14. Any smooth enough solution of (5.10) satisfies the energy identity

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{E}_{cons}(t) = 0, \quad where \quad \mathcal{E}_{cons}(t) := \mathcal{E}(t) + \mathcal{E}_{e}(t) + \mathcal{E}_{m}(t), \quad (5.12)$$

with the electromagnetic energy \mathcal{E} defined by and the additional energies \mathcal{E}_e and \mathcal{E}_m by

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{E}_{e}(t) := \frac{\varepsilon_{0}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \left(|\partial_{t} \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{x}, t; \xi)|^{2} + \xi^{2} |\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{x}, t; \xi)|^{2} \right) d\mathbf{x} d\nu_{e}(\xi), \\ \mathcal{E}_{m}(t) := \frac{\mu_{0}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \left(|\partial_{t} \mathbb{M}(\mathbf{x}, t; \xi)|^{2} + \xi^{2} |\mathbb{M}(\mathbf{x}, t; \xi)|^{2} \right) d\mathbf{x} d\nu_{m}(\xi), \end{cases}$$
(5.13)

Proof. From the ODE's in (5.8), multiplied respectively by $\partial_t \mathbb{P}$ and $\partial_t \mathbb{M}$, we have

$$\begin{vmatrix} \partial_t \left(\frac{1}{2} |\partial_t \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{x}, t; \xi)|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \xi^2 |\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{x}, t; \xi)|^2 \right) = \partial_t \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{x}, t; \xi) \cdot \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}, t) \\ \partial_t \left(\frac{1}{2} |\partial_t \mathbb{M}(\mathbf{x}, t; \xi)|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \xi^2 |\mathbb{M}(\mathbf{x}, t; \xi)|^2 \right) = \partial_t \mathbb{M}(\mathbf{x}, t; \xi) \cdot \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}, t) \end{vmatrix}$$

Thus, after integration over ξ , using the formulas for **P** and **M** in (5.8), we obtain

$$\partial_t \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{x},t) \cdot \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x},t) = \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_t \Big(|\partial_t \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{x},t;\xi)|^2 + \xi^2 |\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{x},t;\xi)|^2 \Big) \, \mathrm{d}\nu_e(\xi),$$

$$\partial_t \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{x},t) \cdot \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x},t) = \frac{\mu_0}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_t \Big(|\partial_t \mathbb{M}(\mathbf{x},t;\xi)|^2 + \xi^2 |\mathbb{M}(\mathbf{x},t;\xi)|^2 \Big) \, \mathrm{d}\nu_e(\xi)$$

Finally, if suffices to substitute the above into the identity (4.3) to obtain (5.12).

The identity (5.12) permits to recover the physical passivity of the medium, i.e. in the energetic sense (4.2). Indeed, for any T > 0,

$$\mathcal{E}(T) \le \mathcal{E}_{cons}(T) = \mathcal{E}_{cons}(0) = \mathcal{E}(0),$$

where the first inequality results from the positivity of \mathcal{E}_e and \mathcal{E}_m , the second equality from (5.12) and the last one from (5.9).

5.3 Abstract reformulation. Existence and uniqueness results.

For technical reasons, see remark 15, we shall need in this section the following assumption (that is stronger than the finiteness assumption in (5.3))

$$\boldsymbol{\nu}_e := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{d}\nu_e(\xi) < +\infty, \quad \boldsymbol{\nu}_m := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{d}\nu_m(\xi) < +\infty.$$
(5.14)

Modulo the introduction of the additional unknowns $\dot{\mathbb{P}} := \partial_t \mathbb{P}$ and $\dot{\mathbb{M}} := \partial_t \mathbb{M}$, (6.4) can be rewritten as a first order evolution problem for $\mathbf{U} = (\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{H}, \mathbb{P}, \dot{\mathbb{P}}, \mathbb{M}, \dot{\mathbb{M}})$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{U}}{\mathrm{d}t} + i\,\mathbb{A}\,\mathbf{U} = 0. \tag{5.15}$$

More precisely, the unknown \mathbf{U} is searched as a function of t with values in the Hilbert space:

$$\begin{cases} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}} = \mathbf{L}^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{3}) \times \mathbf{L}^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{3}) \times \boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}_{\boldsymbol{e}} \times \boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}_{\boldsymbol{e}} \times \boldsymbol{\mathcal{\mathcal{V}}}_{\boldsymbol{m}} \times \boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}_{\boldsymbol{m}}, & \mathbf{L}^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{3}) = L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{3})^{3}, & (i) \\ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}_{s} = L^{2}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbf{L}^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{3}); \mathrm{d}\nu_{s}), & \boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}_{s} = L^{2}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbf{L}^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{3}); \xi^{2} \, \mathrm{d}\nu_{s}), & s = e, m. \end{cases}$$
(5.16)

We assume that the spaces $(\mathbf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^3), \mathcal{H}_s, \mathcal{H}_s)$ are equipped with their natural norm and we equip \mathcal{H} with a norm which is (up to a 1/2 factor) given by the energy \mathcal{E}_{tot} , see (5.12):

$$\left| \|\mathbf{U}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2} = \varepsilon_{0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} |\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x})|^{2} \,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} + \varepsilon_{0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \left(\xi^{2} |\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{x},\xi)|^{2} + |\dot{\mathbb{P}}(\mathbf{x},\xi)|^{2}\right) \,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \,\mathrm{d}\nu_{e}(\xi)$$

$$+ \mu_{0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} |\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x})|^{2} \,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} + \mu_{0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \left(\xi^{2} |\mathbb{M}(\mathbf{x},\xi)|^{2} + |\dot{\mathbb{M}}(\mathbf{x},\xi)|^{2}\right) \,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \,\mathrm{d}\nu_{m}(\xi).$$

$$(5.17)$$

The operator \mathbb{A} is an unbounded operator on \mathcal{H} with domain

$$\begin{cases} D(\mathbb{A}) = H(\mathbf{rot}, \mathbb{R}^3) \times H(\mathbf{rot}, \mathbb{R}^3) \times \mathcal{D}_{\boldsymbol{e}} \times \dot{\mathcal{D}}_{\boldsymbol{e}} \times \mathcal{D}_{\boldsymbol{m}} \times \dot{\mathcal{D}}_{\boldsymbol{m}}, & , \\ \mathcal{D}_s := \left\{ \mathbb{P} \in \boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}_{\boldsymbol{s}} \, / \, \xi^2 \, \mathbb{P} \in \boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}_{\boldsymbol{s}} \right\}, \ \dot{\mathcal{D}}_{\boldsymbol{s}} := \boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}_{\boldsymbol{s}} \cap \boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}_{\boldsymbol{s}}, & s = e, m. \end{cases}$$

where $H(\mathbf{rot}, \mathbb{R}^3) := \{ \mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \mid \nabla \times \mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \}$. A is defined in block form by

$$\mathbb{A} := \mathbf{i} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \varepsilon_0^{-1} \operatorname{\mathbf{rot}} & 0 & -\mathbb{M}_e & 0 & 0 \\ -\mu_0^{-1} \operatorname{\mathbf{rot}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\mathbb{M}_m \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbb{M}_e^* & 0 & -\xi^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & \mathbb{M}_m^* & 0 & 0 & -\xi^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
(5.18)

where $\mathcal{M}_s \in B(\mathcal{H}_s, \mathbf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^3))$ and its adjoint $\mathcal{M}_s^* \in B(\mathbf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^3), \mathcal{H}_s), s = e, m$ are defined by

$$\forall \ (\mathbb{X},\mathbf{F}) \in \mathcal{H}_s \times \mathbf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad (\mathbb{M}_s\mathbb{X})(\mathbf{x}) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{X}(\mathbf{x},\xi) \ \mathrm{d}\nu_s(\xi), \quad (\mathbb{M}_s^*\mathbf{F})(\mathbf{x},\xi) = \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}).$$

Remark 15. Notice that (5.14) is needed for defining \mathcal{M}_s . Indeed, the condition (5.14) means that $1 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, d\nu_s(\xi))$ with $L^2(\mathbb{R}, d\nu_s(\xi))$ -norm equal to ν_s . Thus, by Cauchy-Schwarz,

$$\left| \left(\mathbb{M}_s \mathbb{P} \right)(\mathbf{x}) \right|^2 \le \boldsymbol{\nu}_s^2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{x}, \xi) \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{\nu}_s(\xi) \right)^2 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \|\mathbb{M}_s \mathbb{P}\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \le \boldsymbol{\nu}_s \, \|\mathbb{P}\|_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}_s}$$

Similarly, for the adjoint operator \mathbb{M}_s^* , one observes that $\left\|\mathbb{M}_s^*\mathbf{E}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}_s} = \nu_s \left\|\mathbf{E}\right\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}$.

We leave to the reader the exercise to show that the operator A is self-adjoint in \mathcal{H} for the inner product associated to the norm (5.17).

From semi-group theory, or Hille-Yosida's theorem [38], \mathbb{A} is thus the generator of a \mathcal{C}^0 unitary group and the evolution problem associated to (5.15) completed with the initial condition $\mathbf{U}(0) = \mathbf{U}_0 \in D(\mathbb{A})$ admits a unique solution

$$\mathbf{U}(t) := e^{-\mathrm{i}\mathbb{A}t} \,\mathbf{U}_0 \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^+; \mathcal{H}) \cap C^0(\mathbb{R}^+; D(\mathbb{A})).$$
(5.19)

In particular, going back to the initial conditions and translating (5.19) in terms of the original unknown ($\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{H}, \mathbb{P}, \mathbb{M}$), we deduce that for ($\mathbf{E}_0, \mathbf{H}_0$) $\in H(\mathbf{rot}, \mathbb{R}^3)^2$ the problem (5.10, 5.9, 5.11) admits a unique solution satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{H} \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{+}; \mathbf{L}^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{3})) \cap C^{0}(\mathbb{R}^{+}; H(\mathbf{rot}, \mathbb{R}^{3})) \\ \mathbb{P} \in C^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{+}; \mathcal{H}_{e}) \cap C^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{+}; \mathcal{V}_{e}) \cap C^{0}(\mathbb{R}^{+}; \mathcal{D}_{e}), \\ \mathbb{M} \in C^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{+}; \mathcal{H}_{m}) \cap C^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{+}; \mathcal{V}_{m}) \cap C^{0}(\mathbb{R}^{+}; \mathcal{D}_{m}), \end{cases}$$
(5.20)

Remark 16. Since the operator $e^{-i\mathbb{A}t}$ is unitary in \mathcal{H} , $\|\mathbf{U}(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}}$ is constant in time which is nothing but the conservation of energy (cf. theorem 14).

Remark 17. The spectrum of the operator \mathbb{A} is described in detail in [7], section 4.3.5.

Remark 18. The technical assumption (5.14) is needed to put our problem in the framework of the semi-group theory by defining \mathbb{A} as a self-adjoint operator. However, without (5.14), the existence result could be proved with another approach, Galerkin's method for instance.

6 Generalized Lorentz media

6.1 Definition of the models

Lorentz materials are obtained with measures made of finite (even) sums of Dirac measures:

$$\nu_{e} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{e}} \Omega_{e,j}^{2} \left(\delta_{\omega_{e,j}} + \delta_{-\omega_{e,j}} \right), \quad \nu_{m} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\ell=1}^{N_{m}} \Omega_{m,\ell}^{2} \left(\delta_{\omega_{m,\ell}} + \delta_{-\omega_{m,\ell}} \right), \tag{6.1}$$

where the $\{\pm \omega_{e,j}\}$ and $\{\pm \omega_{m,\ell}\}$ are called respectively the electric and magnetic resonances:

$$0 \le \omega_{e,1} < \omega_{e,2} < \dots < \omega_{e,N_e}, \quad 0 \le \omega_{m,1} < \omega_{m,2} < \dots < \omega_{m,N_m}.$$

$$(6.2)$$

In this case, the functions $\varepsilon(\omega)$ and $\mu(\omega)$ are rational functions

$$\varepsilon(\omega) = \varepsilon_0 \left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{N_e} \frac{\Omega_{e,j}^2}{\omega_{e,j}^2 - \omega^2} \right), \quad \mu(\omega) = \mu_0 \left(1 + \sum_{\ell=1}^{N_m} \frac{\Omega_{m,\ell}^2}{\omega_{m,\ell}^2 - \omega^2} \right). \tag{6.3}$$

Remark 19. Standard Lorentz models correspond to $N_e = N_m = 1$ and are called Drude models if $\omega_{e,1} = \omega_{m,1} = 0$. By extension, each simple term in the sums (6.3) is called a Lorentz term or Drude term, depending on the fact that the associated resonance is 0 or not.



Figure 1: graph of $\omega \mapsto \varepsilon(\omega)$ (left) and positivity property of $\omega \mapsto \omega \varepsilon(\omega)$ (right) for $N_e = 2$ and $\omega_{e,1}, \omega_{e,2} > 0$.

Clearly, the poles and zeros of $\varepsilon(\omega)$ are real. Apart from 0, that is a double pole if $\varepsilon(\omega)$ contains a Drude term, all other poles are simple and interlace with the (simple) zeros on the real axis along which $\varepsilon(\omega)$ is real. We represent on figure 1 the typical graph of $\omega \to \varepsilon(\omega)$ (when $\omega_{e,1}, \omega_{e,2} > 0$) and illustrate the positivity property of $\omega \varepsilon(\omega)$, see Lemma 8 applied to $\omega \varepsilon(\omega)$.

Lorentz media are local media in the sense of Example 2. Therefore, the corresponding evolution problem and can be rewritten coupling of Maxwell's equations in the vacuum with a system of linear second order ODE's (harmonic oscillators). More precisely, the general model of section 5.2, see (5.10), takes the particular form:

$$\text{Find} \quad \begin{cases} \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x},t) : \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^3, & \mathbb{P}_j(\mathbf{x},t) : \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^3, 1 \le j \le N_e \\ \\ \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x},t) : \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^3, & \mathbb{M}_\ell(\mathbf{x},t;\xi) : \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^3, 1 \le \ell \le N_m, \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

satisfying the PDE system

$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon_0 \,\partial_t \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{rot} \,\mathbf{H} + \varepsilon_0 \,\sum_{j=1}^{N_e} \Omega_{e,j}^2 \,\partial_t \mathbb{P}_j = 0, & \partial_t^2 \mathbb{P}_j + \omega_{e,j}^2 \,\mathbb{P}_j = \mathbf{E}, \\ \mu_0 \,\partial_t \mathbf{H} - \mathbf{rot} \,\mathbf{E} + \mu_0 \,\sum_{\ell=1}^{N_m} \Omega_{m,\ell}^2 \,\partial_t \mathbb{M}_\ell = 0, & \partial_t^2 \mathbb{M}_\ell + \omega_{m,\ell}^2 \,\mathbb{M}_\ell = \mathbf{H}. \end{cases}$$
(6.4)

completed with the initial conditions (5.11) for (\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{H}) , and for the additional unknowns,

$$\mathbb{P}_{j}(\mathbf{x},0) = \partial_{t}\mathbb{P}_{j}(\mathbf{x},0) = 0 \text{ and } \mathbb{M}_{\ell}(\mathbf{x},0) = \partial_{t}\mathbb{M}_{\ell}(\mathbf{x},0) = 0.$$
(6.5)

Due to (6.1), the formula (5.8) for the total polarization and magnetization becomes

$$\mathbf{P} = \varepsilon_0 \sum_{j=1}^{N_e} \Omega_{e,j}^2 \mathbb{P}_j, \quad \mathbf{M} = \mu_0 \sum_{\ell=1}^{N_m} \Omega_{m,\ell}^2 \mathbb{M}_\ell.$$
(6.6)

The conserved total energy $\mathcal{E}_{tot}(t)$ is still given by (5.12), with this time,

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{E}_{e}(t) := \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{0} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{e}} \Omega_{e,j}^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \left(|\partial_{t} \mathbb{P}_{j}(\mathbf{x},t)|^{2} + \omega_{e,j}^{2} |\mathbb{P}_{j}(\mathbf{x},t)|^{2} \right) d\mathbf{x}, \\ \mathcal{E}_{m}(t) := \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{0} \sum_{\ell=1}^{N_{m}} \Omega_{m,\ell}^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \left(|\partial_{t} \mathbb{M}_{\ell}(\mathbf{x},t)|^{2} + \omega_{e,\ell}^{2} |\mathbb{M}_{\ell}(\mathbf{x},t)|^{2} \right) d\mathbf{x}. \end{cases}$$
(6.7)

Remark 20. More general materials are obtained with infinite sums of Dirac discrete measures:

$$\nu_{e} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\ell=1}^{+\infty} \Omega_{e,j}^{2} \left(\delta_{\omega_{e,j}} + \delta_{-\omega_{e,j}} \right), \quad \nu_{m} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\ell=1}^{+\infty} \Omega_{m,\ell}^{2} \left(\delta_{\omega_{m,\ell}} + \delta_{-\omega_{m,\ell}} \right). \tag{6.8}$$

where $\omega_{e,\ell}$ and $\omega_{m,\ell}$ are two sequences of positive real numbers satisfying

$$\sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \frac{\Omega_{e,j}^2}{1+\omega_{e,j}^2} < +\infty, \qquad \sum_{\ell=1}^{+\infty} \frac{\Omega_{m,\ell}^2}{1+\omega_{m,\ell}^2} < +\infty, \tag{6.9}$$

which corresponds the finiteness condition 5.3 in Corollary 12. $\varepsilon(\omega)$ and $\mu(\omega)$ are meromorphic functions defined by the following series, whose convergence (outside poles) is ensured by (6.9):

$$\varepsilon(\omega) = \varepsilon_0 \left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \frac{\Omega_{e,j}^2}{\omega_{e,j}^2 - \omega^2} \right), \quad \mu(\omega) = \mu_0 \left(1 + \sum_{\ell=1}^{+\infty} \frac{\Omega_{m,\ell}^2}{\omega_{m,\ell}^2 - \omega^2} \right).$$
(6.10)

An example of such a function is $\varepsilon(\omega) = \varepsilon_0(1 + a \,\omega^{-1} \tan \omega)$. Such functions appear naturally in the mathematical theory of metamaterials via high contrast homogenization [48], [4], [10].

6.2 The abstract model

As in section 5.3, (6.4) can be rewritten as a first order evolution problem

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{U}}{\mathrm{d}t} + i\,\mathbb{A}\,\mathbf{U} = 0, \quad \text{with } \mathbf{U} = \left(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{H}, \mathbb{P}, \dot{\mathbb{P}}, \mathbb{M}, \dot{\mathbb{M}}\right),\tag{6.11}$$

in the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} still defined by (5.16)(i) except that this time, $\mathcal{H}_s = \mathcal{V}_s \equiv (\mathbf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^3))^{N_s}$ for s = e, m, where the norm in \mathcal{H} is

$$\|\mathbf{U}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2} = \varepsilon_{0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} |\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x})|^{2} \,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} + \varepsilon_{0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \sum_{j} \left(\omega_{e,j}^{2} |\mathbb{P}_{j}(\mathbf{x})|^{2} + |\dot{\mathbb{P}}_{j}(\mathbf{x})|^{2} \right) \,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}$$

$$+ \mu_{0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} |\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x})|^{2} \,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} + \mu_{0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \sum_{\ell} \left(\omega_{m,\ell}^{2} |\mathbb{M}_{\ell}(\mathbf{x})|^{2} + |\dot{\mathbb{M}}_{\ell}(\mathbf{x})|^{2} \right) \,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} .$$

$$(6.12)$$

A and its domain are still defined by (5.18) and (5.16)(i) with this time $\mathcal{D}_s = \mathcal{D}_s = \mathcal{H}_e$, and

$$\mathbb{A} := \mathbf{i} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \varepsilon_0^{-1} \operatorname{\mathbf{rot}} & 0 & -\mathbb{M}_e & 0 & 0 \\ -\mu_0^{-1} \operatorname{\mathbf{rot}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\mathbb{M}_m \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbb{M}_e^* & 0 & -\operatorname{diag} \omega_{e,j}^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & \mathbb{M}_m^* & 0 & 0 & -\operatorname{diag} \omega_{m,\ell}^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
(6.13)

where $\mathbb{M}_s \in B(\mathcal{H}_s, \mathbf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^3))$ and its adjoint $\mathbb{M}_s^* \in B(\mathbf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^3), \mathcal{H}_s), s = e, m$, are defined by

$$\forall \ (\mathbb{X},\mathbf{F}) \in \mathcal{H}_s \times \mathbf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad \big(\mathbb{M}_s \mathbb{X}\big)(\mathbf{x}) := \sum_{q=1}^{N_s} \Omega_{s,q}^2 \, \mathbb{X}_q(\mathbf{x}), \quad \big(\mathbb{M}_s^* \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x})\big)_q = \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}).$$

6.3 Dispersion analysis

This section is the only one for which the homogeneity of the medium, i. e. (5.1), is required. We consider here local media (in the sense of the definition of Example 2 where the permittivity and permeability functions are rational functions of the forE

$$\varepsilon(\omega) = \frac{P_e(-i\omega)}{Q_e(-i\omega)}, \quad \mu(\omega) = \frac{P_m(-i\omega)}{Q_m(-i\omega)}, \tag{6.14}$$

were (P_e, Q_e, P_m, Q_m) are real polynomials with $d^o P_e = d^o Q_e$ and $d^o P_m = d^o Q_m$. We denote by \mathcal{P}_e (resp. \mathcal{P}_m) the set of poles of the rational function ε (resp. μ) and by \mathcal{Z}_e (resp. \mathcal{Z}_m) the corresponding set of zeros.

The dispersion analysis of a homogeneous local medium consists in looking at particular solutions under the form of plane waves, i. e. in the form

$$\mathbf{E}(x,t) = \mathbb{E} e^{\mathbf{i}(\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}-\omega t)}, \quad \mathbf{H}(x,t) = \mathbb{H} e^{\mathbf{i}(\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}-\omega t)}, \tag{6.15}$$

where the wave vector is real, $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{R}^3$, and the frequency can be complex, $\omega \in \mathbb{C}$. The constant vectors $(\mathbb{E}, \mathbb{H}) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$ describe how the electromagnetic field is *polarized*. We assume here that $\omega \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (\mathcal{P}_e \cup \mathcal{P}_m \cup \mathcal{Z}_e \cup \mathcal{Z}_m)$ and $\mathbf{k} \neq 0$. Going back to Maxwell's equations (3.1) and the constitutive laws (3.4) and (6.14), one sees that (6.15) provides a non trivial solution if and only if there exists $(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{B}) \in \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{C}^3$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \omega \mathbb{B} + \mathbf{k} \times \mathbb{E} = 0, & \omega \mathbb{D} - \mathbf{k} \times \mathbb{E} = 0, \\ \mathbb{D} = \varepsilon(\omega) \mathbb{E}, & \mathbb{B} = \mu(\omega) \mathbb{H}. \end{cases}$$
(6.16)

from which one deduces after an elimination of (\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{B}) , (6.15) is a solution if and only if

 $\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbb{E} = 0, \quad \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbb{H} = 0, \quad \mathbb{E} \cdot \mathbb{H} = 0, \tag{6.17}$

and (ω, \mathbf{k}) are related by the dispersion relation of the medium, namely

$$\mathcal{D}(\omega) = |\mathbf{k}|^2$$
, where $\mathcal{D}(\omega) = \omega^2 \varepsilon(\omega) \mu(\omega)$, (6.18)

where ε and μ are given by (6.14).

In the sequel, we shall make two assumptions on poles and zeros on the functions $\varepsilon(\cdot)$ and $\mu(\cdot)$.

Assumption 21. $\mathcal{P}_e \cap \mathcal{Z}_m = \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{P}_m \cap \mathcal{Z}_e = \emptyset$.

Assumption 22. $0 \notin \mathcal{P}_e \cup \mathcal{P}_m$.

Remark 23. The Assumption 21 is not restrictive in practise in the sense explained in the section 3.2.1 of [7]. Avoiding Assumption 22 would require further developments which are not essential for the purpose of this chapter and would increase unnecessarily its length.

The above two assumptions mean that there is no possible simplification in the writing of the rational function \mathcal{D} as a product $\omega^2 \varepsilon(\omega) \mu(\omega)$. More precisely, Assumption 21 implies that the set of poles of \mathcal{D} is $\mathcal{P}_e \cup \mathcal{P}_m$ whereas, since Assumption 22 implies that 0 is a zero with multiplicity at least 2 of \mathcal{D} , the set of zeros of \mathcal{D} is $\mathcal{Z}_e \cup \mathcal{Z}_m \cup \{0\}$.

As a consequence, seen as an equation in ω parametrized by \mathbf{k} , the dispersion equation (6.18) can be seen as a polynomial equation of degree $N_{\mathcal{D}} = 2 + d^o Q_e + d^o Q_m$ whose coefficients are affine functions in $|\mathbf{k}|^2$ and whose higher order term is independent of $|\mathbf{k}|$. As a consequence, this equation admits N continuous branches of solutions (see e.g. [22], Proposition 4.1.19):

$$|\mathbf{k}| \in \mathbb{R}^+ \to \omega_j(|\mathbf{k}|) \in \mathbb{C}, \quad 1 \le j \le N_{\mathcal{D}}, \tag{6.19}$$

Moreover, the sign of the imaginary parts of these solutions is known:

Theorem 24. In a passive local medium, the solutions $\omega_j(|\mathbf{k}|)$, see (6.19), of the dispersion relation (6.18) satisfy

Im
$$\omega_j(|\mathbf{k}|) \leq 0$$
, $\forall \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $1 \leq j \leq N_{\mathcal{D}}$.

Proof. By continuity, is suffices to prove the result for $\mathbf{k} \neq 0$. Assume that (6.18) admits for some solution ω with Im $\omega > 0$. Taking the real and imaginary part of (6.18), we thus get

(a) Re
$$(\omega \varepsilon(\omega))$$
 Re $(\omega \mu(\omega)) = |\mathbf{k}|^2 + \text{Im}(\omega \varepsilon(\omega))$ Im $(\omega \mu(\omega))$
(b) Re $(\omega \varepsilon(\omega))$ Im $(\omega \mu(\omega)) + \text{Im}(\omega \varepsilon(\omega))$ Re $(\omega \mu(\omega)) = 0$

By passivity and (**HF**), $\omega \varepsilon(\omega)$ and $\omega \mu(\omega)$ are non constant Herglotz function, hence (by Remark 6) we know that Im $(\omega \varepsilon(\omega)) > 0$ and Im $(\omega \mu(\omega)) > 0$. Thus, we deduce from (a) that Re $(\omega \varepsilon(\omega))$ and Re $(\omega \mu(\omega))$ do not vanish and have the same sign. However, (b) says that they are of opposite signs. This is a contradiction.

When $\omega = \omega_R + i \omega_I$, $(\omega_R, \omega_I) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, plane wave solution (6.15) can be rewritten

$$\mathbf{E}(x,t) = \mathbb{E} e^{\mathbf{i}(\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}-\omega_R t)} e^{\omega_I t}.$$
(6.20)

It corresponds to a wave propagating in the direction of the vector \mathbf{k} at the phase velocity $\omega_R/|\mathbf{k}|$ with an amplitude which varies in time proportionally to $e^{\omega_I t}$. According to Theorem 24, $\omega_I \leq 0$, thus the amplitude decays in time, which corresponds to the intuitive notion of passivity. When $\omega_I = 0$, the amplitude is constant : the wave purely propagative. This justifies the

Definition 25. (Non-dissipative media). A local medium is non-dissipative if and only if all plane waves are purely propagative, *i. e.* all solutions of the dispersion relation (6.18) are real.

Theorem 26. The Lorentz media (6.3) are non dissipative.

Proof. A specificity of Lorentz media is that the functions $\varepsilon(\omega)$ and $\mu(\omega)$ are even, thus the function $\mathcal{D}(\omega)$ (cf. (6.18)) are even in ω . Thus if ω a solution of (6.18), $-\omega$ is solution. Thus, if there would exist a solution with Im $\omega \neq 0$, there would exist a solution with Im $\omega > 0$ which, according to Theorem 24, would contradict the passive nature of Lorentz media.

Lorentz media are somewhat universal local models because Theorem 26 has a reciprocal.

Theorem 27. Any passive local medium which is non dissipative is a Lorentz medium.

Proof. First, as the medium is non-dissipative, the solutions of the dispersion relation are real for any \mathbf{k} , thus in particular for $\mathbf{k} = 0$. This means that the zeros of \mathcal{D} are real.

Now if $p \in \mathcal{P}_e \cup \mathcal{P}_m$ is a pole of \mathcal{D} of multiplicity m > 0. We can write

$$\omega^2 \varepsilon(\omega) \mu(\omega) \sim A_p e^{i\theta_p} (\omega - p)^{-m}$$
, for some $A_p > 0$, and $\theta_p \in [0, 2\pi)$

Rewriting the dispersion equation (6.18) as $A_p e^{i\theta_p} (\omega - p)^{-m} (1 + O(\omega - p)) = |\mathbf{k}|^2$, one deduces using the implicit function theorem (see lemma A.1 and proposition 4.1 of [9] for a rigorous justification) that for $|\mathbf{k}| \to +\infty$, (6.18) admits *m* branches of solutions $\omega_{p,\ell}(|\mathbf{k}|)$ given by

$$\omega_{p,\ell}(|\mathbf{k}|) = p + A_p^{\frac{1}{m}} |\mathbf{k}|^{-\frac{2}{m}} e^{i\left(\frac{2\ell \pi + \theta_p}{m}\right)} (1 + o(1)), \quad (|\mathbf{k}| \to +\infty) \quad \ell = 1, \dots, m.$$
(6.21)

As $\omega_{p,\ell}(|\mathbf{k}|) \in \mathbb{R}$ and tends to p when $|\mathbf{k}| \to +\infty$, $p \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence, all the poles of \mathcal{D} are real.

Thus, using (**HF**), and the factorized form of the numerator and denominator of $\omega \varepsilon(\cdot)$ (resp. $\omega \mu(\cdot)$), we deduce that $\omega \varepsilon(\cdot)$ (resp. $\omega \mu(\cdot)$) is real-valued on $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathcal{P}_e$ (resp. $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathcal{P}_m$).

We prove now that the permittivity $\varepsilon(\cdot)$ follows a Lorentz law (6.3) for some $N_e \ge 0$. The proof is similar for the permeability $\mu(\cdot)$. As the medium is passive, $\omega\varepsilon(\cdot)$ is a Herglotz function which can be represented by (5.4) (with $a = \varepsilon_0$ by (**HF**)) where its Borel measure ν_e satisfies (5.3) and can be computed via the identities (5.5). Using the fact that $\omega\varepsilon(\cdot)$ is real-valued on $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathcal{P}_e$, one deduces from (5.5) for any interval that contains no pole, i.e. $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathcal{P}_e, \nu_e([a, b]) = 0$. Thus the support of ν is included in \mathcal{P}_e and ν_e is composed of a finite number of Dirac masses in (5.6) which permits to conclude.

We now analyse in more detail the properties of the dispersion relation (6.18) for Lorentz media.

First of all, by evenness, the set of solutions of (6.18) (k being given) can be relabelled as

$$\begin{cases}
\left\{ \pm \omega_n(|\mathbf{k}|), 1 \le n \le N+1, N = N_e + N_m \right\}, \\
0 \le \omega_1(|\mathbf{k}|) \le \omega_2(|\mathbf{k}|) \le \cdots \le \omega_{N+1}(|\mathbf{k}|).
\end{cases}$$
(6.22)

We introduce the subsets of \mathbb{R}^+ attained by the functions $\omega_n(|\mathbf{k}|)$.

$$S_n := \text{closure } \{\omega_n(|\mathbf{k}|), \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{R}^3\}, \text{ a closed sub interval of } \mathbb{R}^+.$$
(6.23)

To describe our results, we introduce the set of positive poles and zeros of the function $\mathcal{D}(\cdot)$, repeated with their multiplicity (which can be shown to be at most 2),

$$\{ \mathcal{Z} := \{ z \in \mathbb{R}^+ / \mathcal{D}(\omega) = 0 \} \equiv \{ 0 = z_1 < z_2 \le \dots \le z_{N+1} \}, \\ \mathcal{P} := \{ p \in \mathbb{R}^+ / \mathcal{D}(\omega)^{-1} = 0 \} \equiv \{ p_1 \le p_2 \le \dots < p_{N+1} = +\infty \},$$

$$(6.24)$$

where, as $\lim_{\omega \to +\infty} \mathcal{D}(\omega) = +\infty$, we have considered that $+\infty$ is a pole of $\mathcal{D}(\omega)$.

Remark 28. Of course, $\mathcal{P} = \{\omega_{e,1}, \cdots, \omega_{e,N_e}\} \cup \{\omega_{m,1}, \cdots, \omega_{m,N_m}\}.$

As a consequence of the fact the poles and zeros of $\omega \varepsilon(\cdot)$ (resp. $\omega \mu(\cdot)$) are simple and interlace along the real axis, see section (6.1), one deduces that

 $\mathcal{Z} \cup \mathcal{P}$ contains at most two consecutive poles or zeros counted with multiplicity. (6.25)

We point out that even if, by Assumption 22, $z_1 = 0$ is a zero of multiplicity 2 of \mathcal{D} , it is counted only once since by evenness we restrict our analysis to the positive solutions of (6.18).

Theorem 29. Under Assumptions 21 and 22, each function $|\mathbf{k}| \in \mathbb{R}^+ \mapsto \omega_n(|\mathbf{k}|)$ is analytic on $\mathbb{R}^{+,*}$ and strictly monotonous, the function $\omega_1(|\mathbf{k}|)$ and $\omega_{N+1}(|\mathbf{k}|)$ being strictly increasing,

(i)
$$\omega_n(0) = z_n,$$
 (ii) $\lim_{|\mathbf{k}| \to +\infty} \omega_n(|\mathbf{k}|) = p_n,$ (6.26)

which means that $S_n = [z_n, p_n]$ or $[p_n, z_n]$. Moreover, the interiors of the intervals S_n are disjoint, in other words,

$$\forall n \le N, \quad \sup \, \mathcal{S}_n \le \inf \, \mathcal{S}_{n+1}. \tag{6.27}$$

Proof. The proof of this result is given in section 3.3 of [7]. We recall it for the reader.

Step 1: The rational dispersion relation (6.18) has only simple roots.

Let $\mathbf{k} \neq 0$ and $\omega = \omega_n(|\mathbf{k}|)$ for some $n \in \{1, \dots, N+1\}$. Thus $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \mathcal{P}$ satisfies (6.18), i.e.

$$\mathcal{D}(\omega) = (\omega\varepsilon)(\omega) \ (\omega\mu)(\omega) = |\mathbf{k}|^2 > 0.$$

Hence, $\omega > 0$ and $\varepsilon(\omega)$ and $\mu(\omega)$ have the same sign and do not vanish. Furthermore, one has

$$\mathcal{D}'(\omega) = (\omega \varepsilon \omega \mu)'(\omega) = (\omega \varepsilon)'(\omega) \omega \mu(\omega) + \omega \varepsilon(\omega) (\omega \mu)'(\omega).$$
(6.28)

By Lemma 8, $\omega\varepsilon$ and $\omega\mu$ satisfy the positivity property (4.9): $(\omega \varepsilon)'(\omega) > 0$ and $(\omega \mu)'(\omega) > 0$. This implies (since $\omega > 0$) that $\mathcal{D}'(\omega) \neq 0$, i. e. (6.18) has only simple roots. Thus we can index them by increasing values as follows

$$\omega_1(|\mathbf{k}|) < \omega_2(|\mathbf{k}|) < \ldots < \omega_{N+1}(|\mathbf{k}|), \quad \forall \ \mathbf{k} \neq 0.$$
(6.29)

Step 2: Proof of the analyticity of the dispersion curves $|\mathbf{k}| \mapsto \omega_n(|\mathbf{k}|)$ on $\mathbb{R}^{+,*}$.

The function $G: (w, \zeta) \mapsto \mathcal{D}(w) - \zeta$ is analytic on a vicinity of $(\omega, |\mathbf{k}|^2)$: indeed, it is analytic in $|\mathbf{k}|$ and ω separately which allows us to conclude by Hartog's theorem, see [32], Theorem 36.8 page 271. Thus, as $G(\omega_n(|\mathbf{k}|), |\mathbf{k}|^2) = 0$ and $\partial_w G(\omega_n(|\mathbf{k}|), |\mathbf{k}|^2) = \mathcal{D}'(\omega_n(|\mathbf{k}|)) \neq 0$ (by (6.28)),

one shows easily via the analytic implicit function theorem (see e.g. [16], Theorem 7.6 page 34), that the continuous function $|\mathbf{k}| \to \omega_n(|\mathbf{k}|)$ is indeed analytic on $\mathbb{R}^{+,*}$.

Step 3: Proof of the strict monotonicity of $|\mathbf{k}| \mapsto \omega_n(|\mathbf{k}|)$.

As ω_n is analytic on $\mathbb{R}^{+,*}$, differentiating in $|\mathbf{k}|$ the dispersion relation $\mathcal{D}((\omega_n(|\mathbf{k}|)) = |\mathbf{k}|^2)$ yields

$$\omega_n'(|\mathbf{k}|) \mathcal{D}'(\omega_n(|\mathbf{k}|)) = 2 |\mathbf{k}| > 0.$$
(6.30)

Hence, one has for all $|\mathbf{k}| \neq 0$, $\omega'_n(|\mathbf{k}|) \neq 0$. As ω'_n is a continuous function, it has to keep the same sign for all $|\mathbf{k}| \neq 0$ given by the sign of $\mathcal{D}'(\omega'_n(|\mathbf{k}|))$. Thus, using (6.28) for $\omega = \omega_n(|\mathbf{k}|)$, $(\omega \varepsilon)'(\omega) > 0$ and $(\omega \mu)'(\omega) > 0$ and the fact that sign $\varepsilon(\omega) = \text{sign } \mu(\omega)$, we deduce that

$$\operatorname{sign} \omega_n'(|\mathbf{k}|) = \operatorname{sign} \varepsilon \big(\omega_n(|\mathbf{k}|) \big) = \operatorname{sign} \mu \big(\omega_n(|\mathbf{k}|) \big).$$
(6.31)

Thus, if $\varepsilon(\omega_n(|\mathbf{k}|))$ and $\mu(\omega_n(|\mathbf{k}|))$ are both positive (resp. both negative), ω_n is strictly increasing (resp. strictly decreasing) on \mathbb{R}^+ .

Step 4: Proof of the limits (6.26)

As $\omega_n(\cdot)$ is continuous at 0, $\omega_n(|\mathbf{k}|)$ converges to $z_n = \omega_n(0)$ as $|\mathbf{k}| \to 0$. This proves (6.26)(i).

On the other hand, as ω_n is strictly monotonous, ω_n converge to a limit ℓ_n (finite or infinite) when $|\mathbf{k}| \to +\infty$, where, from (6.29), $\ell_1 \leq \ell_2 \leq \cdots \leq \ell_{N+1}$. Since $\omega_n(|\mathbf{k}|)$ satisfies (6.18), $\omega_n(|\mathbf{k}|)^2 \varepsilon(|\mathbf{k}|) \mu(|\mathbf{k}|) \to +\infty$ as $|\mathbf{k}| \to +\infty$. Thus $\ell_n \in \mathcal{P}$, i.e. $\{\ell_1, \ell_2, \cdots, \ell_{N+1}\} \subset \mathcal{P}$. It remains to prove that $\ell_n = p_n$. For this we observe that

• For $n \leq N$, i.e. $p_n < +\infty$, its multiplicity as a pole of \mathcal{D} is m = 1, 2. Thus, as in the proof, reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 27, see (6.21), one knows that there exists for $|\mathbf{k}|$ large enough, m distinct branches $\tilde{\omega}_j(\cdot)$ for $j \in \{1, m\}$ of solutions such that

$$\tilde{\omega}_j(|\mathbf{k}|) \to p_n, \quad \text{as } |\mathbf{k}| \to +\infty.$$

• If n = N + 1, since by (**HF**), $\omega^2 \varepsilon(\omega) \mu(\omega) \sim \omega^2 \varepsilon_0 \mu_0$ when $\omega \to +\infty$, one expects the existence of one branch $\tilde{\omega}_{N+1}(\cdot)$ of solutions such that (the existence of this branch is proved rigorously via the implicit function, see lemma A.1 and proposition 4.1 of [9])

$$\tilde{\omega}_{N+1}(|\mathbf{k}|) = c |\mathbf{k}| + o(|\mathbf{k}|), \quad |\mathbf{k}| \to +\infty, \quad \text{where } c = (\varepsilon_0 \mu_0)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

As $\ell_1 \leq \ell_2 \leq \cdots \leq \ell_{N+1}$, the above shows by induction (left to the reader) that $\ell_n = p_n$ for $n = 1, \ldots, N+1$.

Step 5: Nature of the monotonicity of $\omega_1(\cdot)$ and $\omega_{N+1}(\cdot)$.

By Assumption 22, $0 \notin \mathcal{P}_e \cup \mathcal{P}_m$ which implies that there is no Drude term (as defined in Remark 19) in ε and μ . Hence $\varepsilon(\omega) \sim \varepsilon(0) > 0$ and $\mu(\omega) \sim \mu(0) > 0$ when $\omega \to 0^+$ (see (6.3)), which implies, by (6.31), that the first band is strictly increasing.

As $p_{N+1} = +\infty$, ω_{N+1} is strictly increasing and $S_{n+1} = [z_{N+1}, +\infty[$.

Step 6: Proof of the non-overlapping property (6.27).

Assume by contradiction that sup $S_n > \inf S_{n+1}$ for some $n \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$. Then, the intervals

 S_n and S_{n+1} overlaps and there exists k and k' such that $\omega_n(|\mathbf{k}|) = \omega_{n+1}(|\mathbf{k}'|)$. Thus, the dispersion relation (6.18) implies that $|\mathbf{k}|^2 = \mathcal{D}(\omega_n(|\mathbf{k}|)) = \mathcal{D}(\omega_{n+1}(|\mathbf{k}'|)) = |\mathbf{k}'|^2$. Thus $|\mathbf{k}| = |\mathbf{k}'|$ and one concludes that $\omega_{n+1}(|\mathbf{k}|) = \omega_n(|\mathbf{k}|)$ which is impossible because of (6.29).

When $\omega = \omega_n(|\mathbf{k}|), \omega/|\mathbf{k}| > 0$ is by definition the *phase velocity* of the plane wave (6.15) while $\omega'_n(|\mathbf{k}|)$ is the corresponding while *group velocity* which, by Theorem 29, has a constant sign when $|\mathbf{k}|$ varies. The corresponding dispersion curve Γ_n as the (monotonous) graph:

$$\Gamma_n := \left\{ \left(|\mathbf{k}|, \omega_n(|\mathbf{k}|) \right), |\mathbf{k}| \in \mathbb{R}^+ \right\}.$$
(6.32)

(6.33)

Theorem 29 implies, for $\mathbf{k} \neq 0$, one has the strict inequality $\omega_n(|\mathbf{k}|) < \omega_{n+1}(|\mathbf{k}|)$ but, even more, the only possibility of non empty intersection between two dispersion curves occurs between Γ_n and Γ_{n+1} in the case of a double zero of $\mathcal{D}(\omega)$, $z_n = z_{n+1}$, in which case $\Gamma_n \cap \Gamma_{n+1} = \{z_n\}$).

We define the set of *propagative* frequencies as $S := \bigcup_{n=1}^{N+1} S_n$.

Lemma 30. The set S is characterized by

$$S = \text{closure} \left\{ \omega \in \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \mathcal{P} \mid \varepsilon(\omega)\mu(\omega) > 0 \right\}.$$
(6.34)

Proof. Let $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{R}^3$. Then, by definition, $\omega_n(|\mathbf{k}|)$ for $n = 1, \ldots, N + 1$ are the non negative solutions of the dispersion relation $\omega^2 \varepsilon(\omega) \mu(\omega) = |\mathbf{k}|^2$. Thus, we have

$$\left\{\omega \in \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \mathcal{P} \mid \varepsilon(\omega)\mu(\omega) > 0\right\} = \left\{\omega_n(|\mathbf{k}|), \ \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\} \text{ and } n \in \{1, \dots, N\}\right\}.$$
 (6.35)

By virtue of the definition of S (see (6.33) and (6.23)) and the continuity of the function ω_n at 0, one obtains (6.34) by taking the closure of the above relation.

It can be shown, see (Theorem 4.16, remark 4.18 of [9]), that S is related to the spectrum $\sigma(\mathbb{A})$ of the operator \mathbb{A} appearing in the abstract formulation of section 6.2, see (6.13), by

$$\sigma(\mathbb{A}) = \mathcal{S} \cup (-\mathcal{S}).$$

For this reason, the sets S_n are called spectral bands. By opposition, we define the set of *non* propagative or evanescent frequencies as

$$\mathcal{G} := \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \mathcal{S}. \tag{6.36}$$

By (6.33), \mathcal{G} is a finite union ($\leq N$) of open bounded intervals, called *spectral gaps*.

According to theorem 29, we have

$$[1, \cdots, N+1] = \mathcal{N}_{+} \cup \mathcal{N}_{-}, \quad \mathcal{N}_{+} := \{n \mid \omega_{n}' > 0 \text{ on } \mathbf{R}^{+,*}\}, \quad \mathcal{N}_{-} := \{n \mid \omega_{n}' < 0 \text{ on } \mathbf{R}^{+,*}\},$$

which allows us to decompose \mathcal{S} as

$$S = S_+ \cup S_-, \quad S_+ := \bigcup_{n \in \mathcal{N}_+} S_n, \quad S_- := \bigcup_{n \in \mathcal{N}_-} S_n$$
 (6.37)

where by definition S_+ is the set of *positive* (or *forward*) frequencies and S_- is the set of *negative* (or *backward*) frequencies: the terminology *positive/forward* or *negative/backward* refers to the sign on the group velocity. Accordingly, the S_n for $n \in \mathcal{N}_+$ are called positive spectral bands and the S_n for $n \in \mathcal{N}_-$ are called negative spectral bands.

Note that the last band, which is unbounded, is always positive. Due to Assumption 22, the first band is also positive since, as $z_1 = 0$, it is of the form $[0, p_1]$.

Definition 31 (Negative index material). A material will be called negative material (or negative index material) if S_{-} is not empty, i.e. if there exists at least one negative spectral band.

According to Theorem 29, the fact that a material is negative corresponds to the existence of $n \in [1, \dots, N]$ such that $z_n > p_n$. In fact, this property can be easily characterized in terms of the functions $\varepsilon(\omega)$ and $\mu(\omega)$ along the real axis.

Theorem 32. The sets S^{\pm} are characterized by

$$\begin{cases} S_{+} = \text{closure} \left\{ \omega \in \mathbb{R}^{+} \setminus \mathcal{P} \mid \varepsilon(\omega) > 0 \text{ and } \mu(\omega) > 0 \right\}, \\ S_{-} = \text{closure} \left\{ \omega \in \mathbb{R}^{+} \setminus \mathcal{P} \mid \varepsilon(\omega) < 0 \text{ and } \mu(\omega) < 0 \right\}. \end{cases}$$
(6.38)

In particular, a Lorentz material is a negative material if and only if there exists a non empty open sub-interval of \mathbb{R}^+ along which $\varepsilon(\omega) > 0$ and $\mu(\omega)$ are negative together.

Proof. Let $n \in \{1, ..., N+1\}$ be fixed. For $\mathbf{k} \neq 0$, $\omega_n(|\mathbf{k}|)$ is a positive solution of the dispersion relation (6.18). As ω_n is continuous (and even analytic) function on $(0, \infty)$, $\varepsilon(\omega_n(|\mathbf{k}|))$ and $\mu(\omega_n(|\mathbf{k}|))$ does not vanish on $(0, \infty)$ and have the same sign. As it has been point out in (6.31), this sign determines the sign of $\omega'_n(|\mathbf{k}|)$ which is thus also constant on $(0, \infty)$. So that, by virtue of (6.35), one gets that

$$\{\omega \in \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \mathcal{P} \mid \pm \varepsilon(\omega) > 0 \text{ and } \pm \mu(\omega) > 0\} = \{\omega_n(|\mathbf{k}|), \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\} \text{ and } n \in \{1, \dots, \mathcal{N}_{\pm}\}\}$$

By virtue of the definition of S_{\pm} (see (6.37) and (6.23)) and the continuity of the function ω_n at 0, one obtains (6.38) by taking the closure of the above relation.

We summarize the results in section 2.5 by the figure 2.

Example 33 (Drude model). The Drude model is the simplest Lorentz model, corresponding to $N_e = N_m = 1$ and $\omega_{e,1} = \omega_{e,1} = 0$. This leads to (with $\Omega_{e,1} \equiv \Omega_e$ and $\Omega_{m,1} \equiv \Omega_m$)

$$\varepsilon(\omega) = \varepsilon_0 \left(1 - \frac{\Omega_e^2}{\omega^2}\right), \quad \mu(\omega) = \mu_0 \left(1 - \frac{\Omega_m^2}{\omega^2}\right). \tag{6.39}$$

Let us assume, without loss of generality, that $\Omega_e \leq \Omega_m$. As $\varepsilon(\omega)$ and $\mu(\omega)$ are negative for $0 \leq \omega < \Omega_e$, the Drude material is a negative material. Moreover, when $\Omega_e < \Omega_m$, one can check that the medium has one spectral gap, namely $\mathcal{G} =]\Omega_e, \Omega_m[$, and two spectral bands:

 $S_1 = [0, \Omega_e]$ (a negative spectral band), $S_2 = [\Omega_m, +\infty]$ (a positive spectral band),

In the critical limit case $\Omega_e = \Omega_m$, the gap disappears and the two spectral bands touch. The fact that S_1 is a negative band seems to contradict Theorem 29. Indeed, there is no contradiction, since for the Drude material $0 \in \mathcal{P}_e \cap \mathcal{P}_m$. This means that Assumption 22, one of the assumptions of Theorem 29, does not hold for this material.



Figure 2: Plot of dispersion curves for N = 3. The spectral bands S_n are the projections of the dispersion curves Γ_n on the ω -axis. There is one negative band: S_2 .

7 Dissipative Lorentz models

7.1 Dielectric permittivity and magnetic permeability

Dissipative Lorentz media are deduced from the non dissipative Lorentz laws (6.3) by adding some imaginary part to the denominators in the fraction expansion of $\varepsilon(\omega)$ and $\mu(\omega)$. This involves (electric and magnetic) damping coefficients $\alpha_{e,j} \ge 0$ and $\alpha_{m,\ell} \ge 0$. We shall note

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha}_e := (\alpha_{e,j}) \in \mathbb{R}^{N_e}, \quad \boldsymbol{\alpha}_m := (\alpha_{m,\ell}) \in \mathbb{R}^{N_m}, \quad \boldsymbol{\alpha} := (\boldsymbol{\alpha}_e, \boldsymbol{\alpha}_m) \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$
 (7.1)

The corresponding permittivity and permeability, that we choose to index by α to distinguish them form (6.3) in the non dissipative case, are then given by:

$$\varepsilon_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(\omega) = \varepsilon_0 \left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{N_e} \frac{\Omega_{e,j}^2}{\omega_{e,j}^2 - \mathrm{i}\,\alpha_{e,j}\,\omega - \omega^2} \right), \quad \mu_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(\omega) = \mu_0 \left(1 + \sum_{\ell=1}^{N_m} \frac{\Omega_{m,\ell}^2}{\omega_{m,\ell}^2 - \mathrm{i}\,\alpha_{m,\ell}\,\omega - \omega^2} \right).$$
(7.2)

In this section we shall assume that $\alpha \neq 0$ i.e. that at least one damping coefficient is positive. The fact that $\omega \varepsilon_{\alpha}(\omega)$ and $\omega \mu_{\alpha}(\omega)$ are Herglotz functions can be checked directly by hand.

By the way, one can make explicit the corresponding Herglotz measures $d\nu_e(\xi)$ and $d\nu_m(\xi)$, cf. (5.4), which, contrary to the non dissipative case, are no longer pure point measures associated to resonances as in the non dissipative case, but the sum of Dirac measures and an absolutely

continuous measure with respect to Lebesgue measure. More precisely, one can check that

$$\begin{cases} d\nu_{e}(\xi) = \sum_{\alpha_{e,j}=0} \frac{\Omega_{e,j}^{2}}{2} (\delta_{\omega_{e,j}} + \delta_{-\omega_{e,j}}) + \sum_{\alpha_{e,j}\neq0} \Omega_{e,j}^{2} \nu_{e,j}(\xi) d\xi, \\ d\nu_{m}(\xi) = \sum_{\alpha_{m,\ell}=0} \frac{\Omega_{m,\ell}^{2}}{2} (\delta_{\omega_{m,\ell}} + \delta_{-\omega_{m,\ell}}) + \sum_{\alpha_{m,\ell}=0} \Omega_{m,\ell}^{2} \nu_{m,\ell}(\xi) d\xi, \end{cases}$$
(7.3)

where the densities $\nu_{e,j}(\xi)$ and $\nu_{m,\ell}(\xi)$ are given by

$$\nu_{e,j}(\xi) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\alpha_{e,j} \xi^2}{(\xi^2 - \omega_{e,j}^2)^2 + \alpha_{e,j}^2 \xi^2}, \quad \nu_{m,\ell}(\xi) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\alpha_{m,\ell} \xi^2}{(\xi^2 - \omega_{m,\ell}^2)^2 + \alpha_{m,\ell}^2 \xi^2}$$
(7.4)

Remark 34. It is an exercise for the reader to recover (7.3, 7.4) from the general theory (see (5.5)). It is also an exercise to check that, in the sense of measures, one has the following weak convergence:

$$\nu_{e,j}(\xi) \,\mathrm{d}\xi \longrightarrow \frac{1}{2} \left(\delta_{\omega_{e,j}} + \delta_{-\omega_{e,j}} \right) \ (\alpha_{e,j} \to 0), \quad \nu_{m,\ell}(\xi) \,\mathrm{d}\xi \longrightarrow \frac{1}{2} \left(\delta_{\omega_{m,\ell}} + \delta_{-\omega_{m,\ell}} \right) \ (\alpha_{m,\ell} \to 0),$$

In the spirit of section 5.2, one would use the (non local) conservative augmented formulation (5.10). However, since this formulation corresponds to the conservation of an energy, namely $\mathcal{E}_{cons}(t)$, it is not adapted to put in evidence the dissipative nature of the material and proving the long time decay of the electromagnetic energy, which will be the main objective on this section. That is why we are going to use an alternative augmented formulation.

7.2 Dissipative augmented formulation

This formutation is somewhat more natural than (5.10): it is directly issued from the rational form (7.2) of $\varepsilon_{\alpha}(\omega)$ and $\mu_{\alpha}(\omega)$. This leads to the following system of equations where each additional unknown, \mathbf{P}_{j} or \mathbf{M}_{ℓ} , is associated in each of the denominators in (7.2):

$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon_{0} \partial_{t} \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{rot} \, \mathbf{H} + \varepsilon_{0} \, \sum_{j=1}^{N_{e}} \Omega_{e,j}^{2} \, \partial_{t} \mathbb{P}_{j} = 0, \qquad \partial_{t}^{2} \mathbb{P}_{\ell} + \alpha_{e,j} \, \partial_{t} \mathbb{P}_{j} + \omega_{e,j}^{2} \, \mathbb{P}_{j} = \mathbf{E}, \\ \mu_{0} \, \partial_{t} \mathbf{H} - \mathbf{rot} \, \mathbf{E} + \mu_{0} \, \sum_{\ell=1}^{N_{m}} \Omega_{m,\ell}^{2} \, \partial_{t} \mathbb{M}_{\ell} = 0, \qquad \partial_{t}^{2} \mathbb{M}_{\ell} + \alpha_{\ell,m} \, \partial_{t} \mathbb{M}_{\ell} + \omega_{m,\ell}^{2} \, \mathbb{M}_{\ell} = \mathbf{H}. \end{cases}$$
(7.5)

This system is completed by the divergence free initial conditions

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{E}(\cdot,0) = \mathbf{E}_0, \ \mathbf{H}(\cdot,0) = \mathbf{H}_0, \ \mathbb{P}(\cdot,0) = \ \partial_t \mathbb{P}(\cdot,0) = 0, \ \mathbb{M}(\cdot,0) = \ \partial_t \mathbb{M}(\cdot,0) = 0 \\ \text{with} \ \mathbf{E}_0, \ \mathbf{H}_0 \in \mathbf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \text{ and } \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}_0 = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{H}_0 = 0, \end{cases}$$
(7.6)

where $\mathbf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^3) = L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)^3$ and

$$\mathbb{P} = (\mathbb{P}_j)$$
 and $\mathbb{M} = (\mathbb{M}_\ell)$ with $(\mathbb{P}_j) := (\mathbf{P}_j)_{j=1}^{N_e}$ and $(\mathbb{M}_\ell) := (\mathbb{M}_\ell)_{\ell=1}^{N_m}$.

The reader will notice that one can assume without any loss of generality that the couples $(\alpha_{e,j}, \omega_{e,j})$ (resp. $(\alpha_{m,\ell}, \omega_{m,\ell})$) are all distinct the ones from the others.

Note that the above dissipative Lorentz models are purely local and differ from non dissipative Lorentz models (6.4) only by adding a first order term in the ODE's for the auxiliary unknowns. The total energy $\mathcal{E}_{dis}(t) = \mathcal{E}(t) + \mathcal{E}_e(t) + \mathcal{E}_m(t)$ of the solution of the Cauchy problem associated to (7.5), still defined as $\mathcal{E}_{cons}(t)$ by (4.1, 6.7), is this time no longer conserved but is a decreasing function of time, thanks to the following energy identity (whose proof follows the one of (14)):

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{E}_{dis}(t) + \Delta_{\alpha}(t) = 0, \quad \Delta_{\alpha}(t) = \Delta_{\alpha,e}(t) + \Delta_{\alpha,m}(t), \tag{7.7}$$

where the positive dissipation functions $\Delta_{\alpha,e}(t)$ and $\Delta_{\alpha,m}(t)$ are given by

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_{\boldsymbol{\alpha},e}(t) := \varepsilon_0 \sum_{j=1}^{N_e} \alpha_{e,j} \,\Omega_{e,j}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial_t \mathbf{P}_j(\mathbf{x},t)|^2 \, d\mathbf{x}, \\ \Delta_{\boldsymbol{\alpha},m}(t) := \mu_0 \sum_{\ell=1}^{N_m} \alpha_{\ell,m} \Omega_{m,\ell}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial_t \mathbf{M}_\ell(\mathbf{x},t)|^2 \, d\mathbf{x}. \end{cases}$$
(7.8)

The identity (7.7) expresses a dissipation result from which one can expect that the total energy $\mathcal{E}_{dis}(t)$, thus a fortiori the electromagnetic energy $\mathcal{E}(t)$, tends to 0 when $t \to +\infty$. This question was the object of the two papers [8, 9], in which we analyzed the rate of decay of $\mathcal{E}(t)$ to 0 for large time. In the following, we wish to report on the results of the second paper, based on a modal analysis via the use of the Fourier transform in space. There results deeply relies on a sharp analysis of the dispersion relation (6.18) for the dissipative Lorentz model (7.2), which is the object of the section 7.3. This dispersion analysis results into decay estimates for the energy $\mathcal{E}(t)$, as we shall see in sections 7.4 and 7.5.

7.3 Dissipative dispersion relation

The dispersion relation of (7.5) writes

$$\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}(\omega) = |\mathbf{k}|^2, \quad \text{where } \mathcal{D}_{\alpha}(\omega) := \omega^2 \,\varepsilon_{\alpha}(\omega) \mu_{\alpha}(\omega). \tag{7.9}$$

Contrary to $\mathcal{D}(\omega)$ in the non dissipative case, $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}(\omega)$ is no longer even but satisfies the symmetry property $\overline{\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}(-\overline{\omega})} = \mathcal{D}_{\alpha}(\omega)$ (which corresponds to (**RP**)).

We introduce the second order polynomials $q_{e,j}$ and $q_{m,\ell}$ are defined by

$$q_{e,j}(\omega) = \omega^2 + i \alpha_{e,j} \omega - \omega_{e,j}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad q_{m,\ell}(\omega) = \omega^2 + i \alpha_{m,\ell} \omega - \omega_{m,\ell}^2.$$
(7.10)

We make now an additional assumption for the irreducibility of the dispersion relation (7.9):

Assumption 35. Electric polynomials $q_{e,j}$ (see (7.10)) with distinct indices j do not have common roots. The same holds for the magnetic polynomials $q_{m,\ell}$ with distinct indices ℓ .

Remark 36. When $\alpha_{e,j} < 2\omega_{e,j}$, the two roots of $q_{e,j}$, ω_* and $-\overline{\omega_*} \notin i\mathbb{R}^-$, are distinct. Moreover, as $\alpha_{e,j} = -2 \operatorname{Im}(\omega_*)$ and $\omega_{e,j} = |\omega_*|$, $q_{e,j}$ can not share a common root with an other electric polynomial $q_{e,j'}$ since by assumption: $(\alpha_{e,j}, \omega_{e,j}) \neq (\alpha_{e,j'}, \omega_{e,j'})$ for $j \neq j'$. Therefore, one only needs to assume (H₁) for electric polynomials $q_{e,j'}$ for which $\alpha_{e,j'} \geq 2\omega_{e,j'}$. The same properties hold for the magnetic polynomials $q_{m,\ell}$ with obvious changes. It is easy to check that with the Assumptions 21 and 35 the rational functional function \mathcal{D}_{α} is irreducible. Moreover, its numerator is of degree 2N + 2 and its denominator is of degree 2N (where we recall that $N = N_e + N_m$).

As in the non dissipative case, the set of poles \mathcal{P}_{α} and zeros \mathcal{Z}_{α} of the function \mathcal{D}_{α} will play a particular role. Due to the symmetry property, thes sets are invariant by the transformation $\omega \to -\overline{\omega}$ and more precisely if z_{α} (resp. p_{α}) is a zero (resp. a pole) of multiplicity m of a \mathcal{D}_{α} if and only if $-\overline{z_{\alpha}}$ (resp. $-\overline{p_{\alpha}}$) is a zero (resp. a pole) of multiplicity m of \mathcal{D}_{α} .

Definition 37. [Resonances/Non dissipativity] We shall say that $\pm \omega_{e,j}$ is an electric resonance if $\alpha_{e,j} = 0$, and $\pm \omega_{m,\ell}$ is an magnetic resonance if $\alpha_{m,\ell} = 0$. We say that the medium is electrically non dissipative (e.n.d) if all $\alpha_{e,j}$ vanish, and that it is magnetically non dissipative (m.n.d) if all $\alpha_{m,\ell}$ vanish. We denote R_e , resp. R_m , the set of electric, resp. magnetic, resonances. Of course, R_e is the set of real poles of $\omega \varepsilon(\omega)$, R_m is the set of real poles of $\omega \mu(\omega)$ and $R_e \cup R_m$ is the set of real poles of \mathcal{D}_{α} .

We split the set of electric resonances into two disjoint subsets $R_e = R_e^s \cup R_e^d$ where

$$\pm \omega_{e,j} \in \mathbf{R}^s_{\mathbf{e}} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \omega_{e,j} \in \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{e}} \text{ and } \omega_{e,j} \notin \{\omega_{m,\ell}\}.$$

$$(7.11)$$

In the same way, $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{m}} = \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{m}}^{s} \cup \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{m}}^{d}$ where

$$\pm \omega_{m,\ell} \in \mathbf{R}^s_{\mathbf{m}} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \omega_{m,\ell} \in \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{m}} \text{ and } \omega_{e,j} \notin \{\omega_{e,j}\}.$$
(7.12)

Note that $\pm \omega_{e,j} \in \mathbb{R}^s_e$ iff $\pm \omega_{e,j} \in \mathbb{R}_e$ and is a simple pole of \mathcal{D}_{α} , while $\pm \omega_{m,\ell} \in \mathbb{R}^s_m$ iff $\pm \omega_{m,\ell} \in \mathbb{R}_m$ and is a double pole of \mathcal{D}_{α} (this explains the the indices *s* and *d*). Also note that $\mathbb{R}^d_e = \mathbb{R}^d_m$.

Definition 38. [Weak/strong dissipativity] Considering the Lorentz model (7.2), we say that

- (i) the medium is magnetically weakly dissipative if it is e.n.d and $R_e^s \neq \emptyset$.
- (ii) the medium is electrically weakly dissipative if it is m.n.d and $R_m^s \neq \emptyset$.

The medium is weakly dissipative if it is magnetically or electrically weakly dissipative. Otherwise, we shall say that the medium is strongly dissipative.

Note that, of course, dissipative Lorentz media are generically strongly dissipative.

Theorem 39. Seen as an equation in ω , the dispersion relation (7.9) admits 2N + 2 solutions

$$\left\{\omega_n^{\alpha}(|\mathbf{k}|), 1 \le n \le 2N+2\right\}$$

where each function $|\mathbf{k}| \in \mathbb{R}^+ \mapsto \omega_n^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(|\mathbf{k}|)$ is continuous and piecewise analytic and satisfies

$$\forall \mathbf{k} \neq 0, \quad \text{Im } \omega_n^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(|\mathbf{k}|) < 0. \tag{7.13}$$

Moreover, one has $\omega_n^{\alpha}(0) = z_n^{\alpha} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\alpha}, \forall n \in \{1, \ldots, 2N+2\}$ and the numeration of the functions ω_n^{α} is chosen such that

$$\lim_{|\mathbf{k}|\to+\infty} \omega_n^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} (|\mathbf{k}|) = p_n^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \in \mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \text{ if } 1 \le n \le 2N \text{ and } \lim_{|\mathbf{k}|\to+\infty} |\omega_n^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(|\mathbf{k}|)| = +\infty \text{ if } n \ge 2N+1.$$
(7.14)

Furthermore, if $z^{\alpha} \in \mathcal{Z}_{\alpha}$ is a zero (resp. $p^{\alpha} \in \mathcal{P}_{\alpha}$ is a pole) of multiplicity m of \mathcal{D}_{α} , there exists exactly m distinct branches ω_n^{α} which converge to z^{α} as $|\mathbf{k}| \to 0$ (resp. to p^{α} as $|\mathbf{k}| \to +\infty$). Moreover, if $|\mathbf{k}|$ is large enough or $|\mathbf{k}| > 0$ is small enough, the branches of solutions ω_n^{α} do not cross each other. Proof. Let $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ be fixed. The rational dispersion relation (7.9) is equivalent to a polynomial equation $P_{|\mathbf{k}|}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(\omega) = 0$ (parametrized by $|\mathbf{k}|$) where $P_{|\mathbf{k}|}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$ is a polynomial of degree 2N + 2 whose leading coefficient $\varepsilon_0 \mu_0 > 0$ is independent of $|\mathbf{k}|$. Thus, the existence of N continuous and piecewise analytic functions $\omega_n^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$ for $n \in \{1, \ldots, 2N+2\}$ is a consequence of Theorem 4.1.14 and Proposition 4.1.19 of [20].

The limits (7.14) and the asymptotic properties of ω_n^{α} for $|\mathbf{k}|$ large enough or $|\mathbf{k}| > 0$ small enough are obtained as in the non-dissipative case by the implicit function theorems, see Propositions 4.1 and 5.1 of [9] for the technical details.

Concerning (7.13), we already know by Theorem 24 that all solutions ω of (7.9) for $\mathbf{k} \neq 0$ satisfy Im $\omega \leq 0$. It remains to eliminate the possibility of a real solution ω . If by contradiction, such a real solution ω exists, it cannot be a magnetic or electric resonance since these are points where $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}(\omega)$ blows up. For any other real solution of (7.9), we have, as in the proof of Theorem 24

(a) Re
$$(\omega \varepsilon_{\alpha}(\omega))$$
 Re $(\omega \mu_{\alpha}(\omega)) = |\mathbf{k}|^2 + \text{Im}(\omega_{\alpha} \varepsilon_{\alpha}(\omega))$ Im $(\omega \mu_{\alpha}(\omega))$
(b) Re $(\omega \varepsilon_{\alpha}(\omega))$ Im $(\omega \mu_{\alpha}(\omega)) + \text{Im}(\omega \varepsilon_{\alpha}(\omega))$ Re $(\omega \mu_{\alpha}(\omega)) = 0$

As $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$, one has $\operatorname{Im}(\omega_{\alpha} \varepsilon_{\alpha}(\omega)) \geq 0$ and $\operatorname{Im}(\omega_{\alpha} \mu_{\alpha}(\omega)) \geq 0$ and one deduces from (a) that $\operatorname{Re}(\omega \varepsilon_{\alpha}(\omega))$ and $\operatorname{Re}(\omega \mu_{\alpha}(\omega))$ have the same sign (and do not vanish). To obtain a contradiction, as for Theorem 24, we simply have to check that one has $\operatorname{Im}(\omega \varepsilon_{\alpha}(\omega))$ or $\operatorname{Im}(\omega \mu(\omega)) > 0$. Indeed, from (b), $\operatorname{Im}(\omega \varepsilon_{\alpha}(\omega)) > 0$ implies $\operatorname{Im}(\omega \mu_{\alpha}(\omega)) > 0$, and reciprocally. To conclude, we compute (using that $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ is not a magnetic or electric resonance):

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{Im}\left(\omega \,\varepsilon_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(\omega)\right) = \varepsilon_{0} \,|\omega|^{2} \,\sum_{j=1}^{N_{e}} \alpha_{e,j} \,\frac{\Omega_{e,j}^{2}}{|q_{e,j}(\omega)|^{2}},\\ \operatorname{Im}\left(\omega \,\mu_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(\omega)\right) = \mu_{0} \,|\omega|^{2} \,\sum_{\ell=1}^{N_{m}} \alpha_{m,\ell} \,\frac{\Omega_{m,\ell}^{2}}{|q_{m,\ell}(\omega)|^{2}}, \end{cases}$$
(7.15)

which shows, since $\alpha \neq 0$, that $\operatorname{Im} \left(\omega \varepsilon_{\alpha}(\omega) \right) > 0$ or $\operatorname{Im} \left(\omega \mu_{\alpha}(\omega) \right) > 0$.

Due to the strict inequality Im $\omega_n^{\alpha}(|\mathbf{k}|) < 0$, for $\mathbf{k} \neq 0$, the amplitude of the corresponding plane wave decays exponentially when the time t goes to infinity, see (6.20). However, the rate of decay degenerates, that is to say Im $\omega_n^{\alpha}(|\mathbf{k}|) \rightarrow 0$, in the following limit cases:

- (i) when $|\mathbf{k}| \to +\infty$ for n = 2N + 1, 2N + 2 or when $p_n^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \in \mathbb{R}$,
- (ii) when $|\mathbf{k}| \to 0$ for $z_n^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \in \mathbb{R}$.

For treating the case $|\mathbf{k}| \to +\infty$, we introduce the set of indices

$$\mathcal{N}_{\infty}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} := \left\{ n \in \{1, \cdots, 2N\} \, / \, p_n^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \in \mathbb{R} \right\},\tag{7.16}$$

so that $\{p_n^{\alpha}, n \in \mathcal{N}_{\infty}^{\alpha}\}$ is a rearrangement of the set or resonances $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{e}} \cup \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{m}}$ (see definition 6.2). We shall also introduce the subset \mathcal{N}_r^{α} of $\mathcal{N}_{\infty}^{\alpha}$ defined by

$$\mathcal{N}_{s}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} := \left\{ n \in \left\{ 1, \cdots, 2N \right\} / p_{n}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \in \mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{e}}^{s} \cup \mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{m}}^{s} \right\},$$
(7.17)

where R_e^s and R_m^s are defined in (7.11) and (7.12).

For the sequel, we denote c > 0 the speed of light characterized by $\varepsilon_0 \mu_0 c^2 = 1$. The next three Lemmas 40 to 42 provide the asymptotic expansions of Im $\omega_n^{\alpha}(|\mathbf{k}|)$ when $|\mathbf{k}| \to +\infty$ and when it tends to 0, i. e. for $n \in \mathcal{N}_r^{\alpha} \cup \{2N+1, 2N+2\}$. The proof of these lemmas is essentially computational and we refer the reader to [9], and more precisely to Lemmas 4.4, Lemma 4.7 and its corollary 4.7 and Lemma 4.11, for the details.

Lemma 40. [*High frequency asymptotic of* Im $\omega_n^{\alpha}(|\mathbf{k}|)$] for n = 2N+1, 2N+2 and $|\mathbf{k}| \to +\infty$,

Im
$$\omega_n^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(|\mathbf{k}|) = -\frac{A_{\infty}}{2c^2|\mathbf{k}|^2} + O(|\mathbf{k}|^{-4}), \text{ with } A_{\infty} = \sum_{j=1}^{N_e} \alpha_{e,j} \Omega_{e,j}^2 + \sum_{\ell=1}^{N_m} \alpha_{m,\ell} \Omega_{m,\ell}^2 > 0.$$
 (7.18)

Lemma 41. [High frequency asymptotics of Im $\omega_n^{\alpha}(|\mathbf{k}|)$, $n \in \mathcal{N}_{\infty}^{\alpha}$, generic case] If the medium is not weakly dissipative, for any $n \in \mathcal{N}_{\infty}^{\alpha}$, for some $A_{n,2}^{\infty} > 0$ (see remark 43)

Im
$$\omega_n^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(|\mathbf{k}|) = -\frac{A_{n,2}^{\infty}}{2c^2|\mathbf{k}|^2} + o(|\mathbf{k}|^{-2}), \quad as \ |\mathbf{k}| \to +\infty.$$
 (7.19)

Lemma 42. [High frequency asymptotics of Im $\omega_n(|\mathbf{k}|), n \in \mathcal{N}_s^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$, weakly dissipative case] Suppose that the medium is electrically weakly dissipative and $n \in \mathcal{N}_s^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$. If $p_n^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \in R_e^s$, which is not empty, see definition 6.20 (ii), then, for some $A_{n,4}^{\infty} > 0$,

Im
$$\omega_n^{\alpha}(|\mathbf{k}|) = -\frac{A_{n,4}^{\infty}}{2c^4|\mathbf{k}|^4} + o(|\mathbf{k}|^{-4}), \quad as \ |\mathbf{k}| \to +\infty.$$
 (7.20)

If $p_n^{\alpha} \notin R_e^s$, the asymptotic expansion (7.19) still holds, If the medium is magnetically weakly dissipative, the same results hold with R_m instead of R_e .

Remark 43. [Value of $A_{n,2}^{\infty}$]. If the medium is not weakly dissipative, then for each $n \in \mathcal{N}_{\infty}^{\alpha}$, since $\{p_n^{\alpha}, n \in \mathcal{N}_{\infty}^{\alpha}\} = R_e \cup R_m$,

- Either $p_n = \pm \omega_{e,j} \in R_e^s$, and $A_{n,2}^{\infty} = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_0 \operatorname{Im} \left(\omega_{e,j} \, \mu(\omega_{e,j}) \right) \Omega_{e,j}^2 > 0$,
- Either $p_n = \pm \omega_{m,\ell} \in R^s_m$, and $A^{\infty}_{n,2} = \frac{1}{2} \mu_0 \operatorname{Im} \left(\omega_{\ell,m} \varepsilon(\omega_{m,\ell}) \right) \Omega^2_{m,\ell} > 0$,
- Or $p_n = \pm \omega_{e,j_0} = \pm \omega_{m,\ell_0} \in R^d_e = R^d_m$ and

$$A_{n,2}^{\infty} = p_n^2 \left(\frac{\Omega_{m,\ell_0}^2}{2} \sum_{j=1, j \neq j_0}^{N_e} \frac{\Omega_{e,j}^2 \alpha_{e,j}}{|q_{e,j}(p_n)|^2} + \frac{\Omega_{e,j_0}^2}{2} \sum_{\ell=1, \ell \neq \ell_0}^{N_m} \frac{\Omega_{m,\ell}^2 \alpha_{m,\ell}}{|q_{m,\ell}(p_n)|^2} \right) > 0.$$

For treating the case $|\mathbf{k}| \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$, we introduce the set of indices

$$\mathcal{N}_0^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}: \left\{ n \in \left\{ 1, \cdots, 2N+2 \right\} / z_n^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \in \mathbb{R} \right\},$$
(7.21)

Note that, by the Assumption 22, \mathcal{N}_0^{α} contains always at least one element since $0 \in \mathcal{Z}_{\alpha} \cap \mathbb{R}$ is real zero of multiplicity two of \mathcal{D}_{α} , and is reduced to a singleton when the medium is electrically or magnetically non dissipative (cf. definition 37). Indeed, if the medium is electrically dissipative $\mathcal{Z}_{\alpha} \cap \mathbb{R} = \{0\} \cup \mathcal{Z}_e^{\alpha}$ (where we recall that \mathcal{Z}_e^{α} is the set of zeros of $\varepsilon(\cdot)$) and all elements of \mathcal{Z}_e^{α} are simple zeros of \mathcal{D}_{α} (and in particular, they do not belong to \mathcal{Z}_m^{α}). The same properties hold for magnetically dissipative media with obvious changes.

Lemma 44 (Low frequency asymptotics of the dispersion relation). For $n \in \mathcal{N}_0^{\alpha}$,

Im $\omega_n^{\alpha}(|\mathbf{k}|) = -A_{n,2}^0 c^2 |\mathbf{k}|^2 + o(|\mathbf{k}|^2), \quad as \ |\mathbf{k}| \to 0,$ (7.22)

where

• Either
$$z_n = 0$$
 and $A_{n,2}^0 = \frac{\varepsilon_0^2 c^2}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{N_e} \frac{\alpha_{e,j} \Omega_{e,j}^2}{\omega_{e,j}^4} + \frac{\mu_0 c^2}{2} \sum_{\ell=1}^{N_m} \frac{\alpha_{m,\ell} \Omega_{m,\ell}^2}{\omega_{m,\ell}^4} > 0$,

- Either $z_n \in \mathcal{N}_0^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$ and $z_n \in \mathcal{Z}_e^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$ (i.e. it is a real zero of $\varepsilon(\cdot)$) and $A_{n,2}^0 = \frac{(\omega \varepsilon)'(z)^{-1}}{z\mu(z)} > 0$,
- Either $z_n \in \mathcal{N}_0^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$ and $z_n \in \mathcal{Z}_m^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$ (i.e. it is a real zero of $\mu(\cdot)$) and $A_{n,2}^0 = \frac{(\omega \mu)'(z)^{-1}}{z\varepsilon(z)} > 0$.

7.4 Long time behaviour of solutions: the result

With the help of the Fourier transform in space

$$\mathcal{F}_x: u(\mathbf{x}) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \to \widehat{u}(\mathbf{k}) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3),$$

we can define the functional space

$$\mathcal{L}_p(\mathbb{R}^3) = \left\{ v \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^3) / |\mathbf{k}|^{-p} (1 + |\mathbf{k}|^p) \ \widehat{v} \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3) \right\}$$
(7.23)

which is a Banach space when equipped with the norm

$$\|v\|_{\mathcal{L}_p} := \| |\mathbf{k}|^{-p} (1+|\mathbf{k}|^p) \, \widehat{v} \, \|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)}.$$
(7.24)

Theorem 45. Under the Assumptions 21, 22 and 35. The electromagnetic energy $\mathcal{E}(t)$ associated to the evolution system (7.5) with initial conditions (7.6) tends to 0 when $t \to +\infty$. Moreover, under the additional assumption

$$(\mathbf{E}_0, \mathbf{H}_0) \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)^3 \cap \mathcal{L}_p(\mathbb{R}^3)^3 \times H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)^3 \cap \mathcal{L}_p(\mathbb{R}^3)^3,$$

one has the following decay estimates:

• if (7.2) is not weakly dissipative (the generic case), then

$$\mathcal{E}(t) \le C_s \, \frac{\|\mathbf{E}_0\|_{H^s}^2 + \|\mathbf{H}_0\|_{H^s}^2}{t^m} + C_p \, \frac{\|\mathbf{E}_0\|_{\mathcal{L}_p^N}^2 + \|\mathbf{H}_0\|_{\mathcal{L}_p^N}^2}{t^{p+\frac{3}{2}}}, \quad \forall \, t > 0, \tag{7.25}$$

• if (7.2) is weakly dissipative, then

$$\mathcal{E}(t) \le C_s \ \frac{\|\mathbf{E}_0\|_{H^s}^2 + \|\mathbf{H}_0\|_{H^s}^2}{t^{\frac{s}{2}}} + C_p \ \frac{\|\mathbf{E}_0\|_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_p^N}^2 + \|\mathbf{H}_0\|_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_p^N}^2}{t^{p+\frac{3}{2}}}, \quad \forall \ t > 0.$$
(7.26)

Remark 46 (Comments on Theorem 45).

- In the theory of dynamical systems, estimates in inverse powers of t such as (7.25) or (7.26) are called polynimial stability by opposition to exponential stability when inverse powers of t are replaced by decreasing exponentials.
- This theorem corresponds to the Theorem 1.10 of the article [9]. Similar results were obtained in [8] by the same authors, using Lyapunov function methods. The convergence E(t) → 0 can be seen in some particular cases as an application of an abstract result due to [15], while polynomial stability results similar to (7.25) or (7.26) have been proven in [35] under different assumptions that those of this chapter, using semi-group theory (see [8], section 1.2, for more detailed bibliographical comments).
- The decay estimates (7.25) or (7.26) are sharp in the sense that similar lower bounds can be proven for adequate initial data, see [9], theorem 1.14 and section 7.2.
- In electromagnetism, a classical example of exponentially stable media is provided by conductive media, corresponding to the following local passive concstitutive laws

$$\varepsilon(\omega) = \varepsilon_0 \left(1 + \frac{\sigma}{\mathrm{i}\,\omega} \right), \quad \mu(\omega) = \mu_0,$$

where $\sigma > 0$ is the conductivity of the medium. In that case, regardless the regularity of initial data, the electromagnetic energy decays as $e^{-\sigma t}$. In comparison to Theorem 45, this shows that dissipative Lorentz media are much less dissipative than conductive media.

Remark 47. [On the space $\mathcal{L}_p(\mathbb{R}^3)$] For $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $||v||_{\mathcal{L}_p}$ is linked to the space

$$L_p^1(\mathbb{R}^3) := \left\{ v \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^3) / (1 + |\mathbf{x}|)^p \, v \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \right\} \quad (with \ L_0^1(\mathbb{R}^3) = L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)), \tag{7.27}$$

endowed with the norm $||(1 + |\mathbf{x}|)^p v||_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}$. Functions of $L^1_p(\mathbb{R}^3)$ have existing moments up to order p which allows us to introduce (with $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) \in \mathbb{N}^3$)

$$L_{p,0}^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{3}) := \left\{ u \in L_{p}^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{3}) / \forall |\alpha| \le p-1, \ \int \mathbf{x}^{\alpha} \, u \, d\mathbf{x} = 0 \right\}, \quad (closed \ in \ L_{p}^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{3}))$$
(7.28)

where $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3$ and $\mathbf{x}^{\alpha} := x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_1} x_3^{\alpha_1}$. It can be proven (see [9], remark 1.7) that

$$\forall \ p \in \mathbb{N}, \quad L^1_{n,0}(\mathbb{R}^3) \subset \mathcal{L}_p(\mathbb{R}^3) \text{ with continuous injection.}$$
(7.29)

7.5 Long time behaviour of solutions : elements of proof

Abstract form. In the same framework as in section 6.2, we can rewrite

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{U}}{\mathrm{d}t} + \mathrm{i}\,\mathbb{A}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}\,\mathbf{U} = 0, \quad \mathbb{A}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} := \mathbb{A} - \mathrm{i}\,\mathbb{D}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}},\tag{7.30}$$

with unknown $\mathbf{U} = (\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{H}, \mathbb{P}, \mathbb{P}, \mathbb{M}, \mathbb{M}) \in \mathcal{H}$, where \mathbb{A} is the unbounded self-adjoint operator defined in section 6.2, see (6.13), and \mathbb{D}_{α} the positive bounded self-adjoint operator given by

On its domain $D(\mathbb{A}_{\alpha}) = D(\mathbb{A})$, \mathbb{A}_{α} is a maximal dissipative operator. Thus, it generates a contraction semi-group of class \mathcal{C}^0 (see e.g. Theorem 4.3 page 14 of [38]). Hence, a Cauchy problem associated to the evolution equation (7.30) is well-posed and stable (see e.g. Theorem 1.3 page 102 of [38])).

To deal with divergence free initial conditions, we can restrict ourselves to the subspace \mathcal{H}^{\perp} of \mathcal{H} made of fields ($\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{H}, \mathbb{P}, \dot{\mathbb{P}}, \mathbb{M}, \dot{\mathbb{M}}$) that are all divergence free. This space (and its orthogonal) are preserved by \mathbb{A}_{α} . In other words, it is a reducing space for the operator of \mathbb{A}_{α} . One denotes by $\mathbb{A}_{\alpha}^{\perp}$ the restriction of \mathbb{A}_{α} to \mathcal{H}^{\perp} . We are thus interested in

$$\mathbf{U}(t) = e^{-\mathrm{i}\,t\,\mathbb{A}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^{\perp}}\,\mathbf{U}_{0}.\tag{7.32}$$

Fourier transform in space. Applying the Fourier transform in space \mathcal{F}_x to the equation (7.30), we see that, if $\widehat{\mathbf{U}}(\cdot,t) = \mathcal{F}_x[\mathbf{U}(\cdot,t)]$, for each \mathbf{k} , $\widehat{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbf{k},t) \in \mathbf{C}^{2N+2}$, where $\mathbf{C} := \mathbb{C}^3$, satisfies the ordinary differential equation

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\,\widehat{\mathbf{U}}}{\mathrm{d}\,t}(\mathbf{k},t) + \mathrm{i}\,\mathbb{A}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha},\mathbf{k}}\,\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{k},t) = 0, \quad \mathbb{A}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha},\mathbf{k}} := \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathrm{i}\,\mathbb{D}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}},\tag{7.33}$$

where $\mathbb{A}_{\alpha,\mathbf{k}}$ is the hermitian matrix given in block form by

$$\mathbb{A}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha},\mathbf{k}} := \mathbf{i} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \varepsilon_0^{-1} \mathbf{k} \times & 0 & -\mathbb{M}_e & 0 & 0 \\ -\mu_0^{-1} \mathbf{k} \times & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\mathbb{M}_m \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbb{M}_e^* & 0 & -\operatorname{diag} \omega_{e,j}^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & \mathbb{M}_m^* & 0 & 0 & -\operatorname{diag} \omega_{m,\ell}^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
(7.34)

where the definition of the matrices \mathbb{M}_e and \mathbb{M}_m is a trivial adaptation of the one of the operators \mathbb{M}_e and \mathbb{M}_m in section 6.2. We point out that for each $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{R}^3$, the linear reduced opererator $\mathbb{A}_{\alpha,\mathbf{k}}$, defined on the finite dimensional space \mathbf{C}^{2N+2} , is dissipative.

The divergence free condition $\mathbf{U}_0 \in \mathcal{H}^{\perp}$ can be traduced if Fourier by

$$\widehat{\mathbf{U}}_{0}(\mathbf{k}) \in \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{k},\perp}^{2N+2}, \quad \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{k},\perp} := \left\{ \mathbf{V} \in \mathbf{C} \ / \ \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{V} = 0 \right\} \quad (\text{with } \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{V} \text{ the inner product in } \mathbf{C}).$$

The space $\mathbb{C}^{2N+2}_{\mathbf{k},\perp}$ is a reducing space of $\mathbb{A}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha},\mathbf{k}}$, we thus have

$$\widehat{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbf{k},t) = e^{-\mathrm{i} t \,\mathbb{A}_{\alpha,\mathbf{k}}^{\perp}} \,\mathbf{U}_0(\mathbf{k}), \qquad \qquad \mathbb{A}_{\alpha,\mathbf{k}}^{\perp} = \mathbb{A}_{\alpha,\mathbf{k}} \Big|_{\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{k},\perp}^{2N+2}}. \tag{7.35}$$

The long time behaviour of $\mathbf{U}(t)$, via $\widehat{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbf{k},t)$, is thus governed by the above exponentials, for which the spectrum of $\mathbb{A}_{\alpha,\mathbf{k}}^{\perp}$ obviously plays a fundamental role.

It is worthwhile emphasizing that the matrices $\mathbb{A}_{\alpha,\mathbf{k}}$ (thus $\mathbb{A}_{\alpha,\mathbf{k}}^{\perp}$) are not normal, which is a source of technical difficulty in the analysis.

Dispersion relation and spectra of $\mathbb{A}_{\alpha,\mathbf{k}}^{\perp}$ and $\mathbb{A}_{\alpha}^{\perp}$.

The (immediate) link between the dispersion section 7.3 and the operator $\mathbb{A}_{\alpha,\mathbf{k}}^{\perp}$ (for $\mathbf{k} \neq 0$) is

$$\omega \in \sigma(\mathbb{A}_{\alpha,\mathbf{k}}^{\perp}) \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \mathcal{D}_{\alpha}(\omega) = |\mathbf{k}|^2,$$

thus, according to Theorem 39,

$$\sigma\left(\mathbb{A}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha},\mathbf{k}}^{\perp}\right) = \left\{\omega_{n}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(|\mathbf{k}|), \ 1 \le n \le 2N+2\right\}$$
(7.36)

and $\sigma(\mathbb{A}_{\alpha,\mathbf{k}}^{\perp})$ is invariant by the transformation $\omega \to -\overline{\omega}$, i.e.

$$\omega_n^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(|\mathbf{k}|) \in \sigma(\mathbb{A}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha},\mathbf{k}}^{\perp}) \Longleftrightarrow -\overline{\omega_n^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(|\mathbf{k}|)} \in \sigma(\mathbb{A}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha},\mathbf{k}}^{\perp}).$$

If $\widehat{\mathbf{U}} := \mathcal{F}_x \mathbf{U}$, $[\mathcal{F}_x(\mathbb{A}_{\alpha}^{\perp}\mathbf{U})](\mathbf{k}) = \mathbb{A}_{\alpha,\mathbf{k}}^{\perp}[\widehat{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbf{k})]$ for all \mathbf{k} , i.e. $\mathbb{A}_{\alpha}^{\perp} = \int^{\oplus} \mathbb{A}_{\alpha,\mathbf{k}}^{\perp}$. As a consequence, one shows by properties of Direct integral of dissipative operators (see e.g. corollary 3.3 of [34]) that $\sigma(\mathbb{A}_{\alpha}^{\perp})$ is here given in terms of the eigenvalues of the reduced operators $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\perp}$:

$$\sigma\left(\mathbb{A}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^{\perp}\right) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{2N+2} \mathcal{S}_{n}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}, \quad \mathcal{S}_{n}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} := \text{closure } \left\{\omega_{n}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}\left(|\mathbf{k}|\right), \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}\right\}.$$
(7.37)

Thus $\sigma(\mathbb{A}_{\alpha}^{\perp})$ is also invariant by the transformation $\omega \to -\overline{\omega}$. Each S_n^{α} is a curve arc in the complex plane half-plane \mathbb{C}^- that joins z_n^{α} and p_n^{α} (with $p_{2N+1}^{\alpha} = -\infty$ and $p_{2N+2}^{\alpha} = +\infty$ by choice of the indexing). In figure 3, we plot the spectrum of $\mathbb{A}_{\alpha}^{\perp}$ for N = 3, when the medium is not electrically or magnetically non dissipative, with 2 real resonances.



Figure 3: Plot of the spectrum of $\mathbb{A}_{\alpha}^{\perp}$. The arcs \mathcal{S}_{n}^{α} play the same role as the (real) segments \mathcal{S}_{n} in figure 2.

Remark 48. General properties on the structure of the spectrum of Maxwell's operators involving dissipative dispersive materials (such its localization via numerical range techniques, the structure and classification of the different types of essential spectra or the notion of spectral pollution with domain truncation, ...) have been analysed also in non-homogeneous media : for transmission problems between two half-spaces in [5], in locally perturbed media with cylindrical ends [13] or in more general locally perturbed media in [3]. The approaches used in these works are mainly based on spectral theory of pencils of operators.

Towards the estimate of $\mathcal{E}(t)$ via Plancherel's theorem.

In the following, to compare two positive functions f(y) and g(y), where $y \in Y$ and $y = \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}, t$ or any combination of the variables, we introduce the notation:

$$f \lesssim g \iff \exists \ C > 0 \quad | \ f(y) \leq C \ g(y), \quad \forall \ y \in Y,$$

where the constant C does not depend on y.

According to the properties of the functions $\omega_n^{\alpha}(|\mathbf{k}|)$, in particular (7.14), it is natural to split the space of dual variables \mathbf{k} into three regions: $\mathbb{R}^3 = \mathbf{LF} \cup \mathbf{MF} \cup \mathbf{HF}$, where

$$\mathbf{LF} := \{ 0 < |\mathbf{k}| < M \}, \quad \mathbf{MF} := \{ m \le |\mathbf{k}| \le M \}, \text{ and } \mathbf{HF} := \{ |\mathbf{k}| > M \},$$

with well chosen $0 < m < M < +\infty$. By Plancherel's theorem, one has

$$\mathcal{E}(t) \le \|\mathbf{U}(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = \int_{\mathbf{LF}} |\widehat{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbf{k}, t)|^2 \mathrm{d}\,\mathbf{k} + \int_{\mathbf{MF}} |\widehat{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbf{k}, t)|^2 \mathrm{d}\,\mathbf{k} + \int_{\mathbf{HF}} |\widehat{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbf{k}, t)|^2 \mathrm{d}\,\mathbf{k}.$$
(7.38)

According to (7.14), when **k** describes **MF** (the middle space frequencies) the spectrum remains bounded away from the real axis, uniformly in **k**. As a consequence, there exists $\nu > 0$ (depending on (m, M)) such that

$$\int_{\mathbf{MF}} \left| \widehat{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbf{k}, t) \right|^2 \mathrm{d} \, \mathbf{k} \lesssim e^{-\nu t},$$

meaning that this term does not contribute to the right hand side of (7.25) (or (7.26)), see Theorem 6.2 of [9] for more details. In fact these two terms are explained by the integrals over **HF** and **LF** respectively.

We do not detail here the analysis of the first term in (7.38), that concerns **LF** (the low space frequencies). This relies on Lemma 44 and we refer the reader to [9], section 7.1. One obtains

$$\int_{\mathbf{BF}} \left| \widehat{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbf{k},t) \right|^2 \mathrm{d}\,\mathbf{k} \le C_p \, \frac{\|\mathbf{E}_0\|_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_p^N}^2 + \|\mathbf{H}_0\|_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_p^N}^2}{t^{p+\frac{3}{2}}},\tag{7.39}$$

which corresponds to the second part of the estimates (7.25) and (7.26).

In the sequel, we explain how to get the first part of the estimates (7.25) and (7.26), related to the integral over **HF** (the high space frequencies), using Lemmas 40 and 41 (or Lemma 42).

Spectral decomposition and estimate of $\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{k}, t)$ for large $|\mathbf{k}|$. It can be shown, see [9], corollary 3.4, that, at least for M large enough, the matrices $\mathbb{A}_{\alpha,\mathbf{k}}^{\perp}$ are diagonalisable.

Let $\Pi_n^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(\mathbf{k})$ be the spectral projector of $\mathbb{A}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha},\mathbf{k}}^{\perp}$ associated to the eigenvalue $\omega_n^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(|\mathbf{k}|)$, we have

$$\forall \mathbf{k} \in \mathbf{HF}, \quad \widehat{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbf{k}, t) = \sum_{n=1}^{2N+2} e^{-i\omega_n^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(|\mathbf{k}|) t} \Pi_n^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(\mathbf{k}) \widehat{\mathbf{U}}_0(\mathbf{k}).$$
(7.40)

Using the Riesz-Dunford functional calculus (see e.g. [12] for a presentation of this holomorphic functional calculus), one first proves (see Lemma 4.13 of [9]) that the part of the solution $\widehat{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbf{k}, t)$ associated to eigenvalues $\omega_n^{\alpha}(|\mathbf{k}|)$ which tend to poles $p_n^{\alpha} \in \mathbb{C}^-$ decays exponentially (uniformly in $|\mathbf{k}|$ for $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbf{HF}$). In other words, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that:

$$\forall \mathbf{k} \in \mathbf{HF}, \quad \left| \sum_{n \notin \mathcal{N}_{\infty}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}} e^{-\mathrm{i}\,\omega_{n}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(|\mathbf{k}|)\,t} \,\Pi_{n}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(\mathbf{k}) \widehat{\mathbf{U}}_{0}(\mathbf{k}) \right| \lesssim \mathrm{e}^{-\delta\,t} |\widehat{\mathbf{U}}_{0}(\mathbf{k})|. \tag{7.41}$$

As $\mathbb{A}_{\alpha,\mathbf{k}}^{\perp}$ is not normal, the projectors $\Pi_n^{\alpha}(\mathbf{k}) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}_{\mathbf{k},\perp}^{2N+2})$ involved in the spectral decomposition (7.40) are not orthogonal. Thus, one needs to estimate the norm of $\Pi_n^{\alpha}(\mathbf{k})$ (with respect to $|\mathbf{k}|$) when $n \in \mathcal{N}_{\infty}^{\alpha}$. Indeed, it can be shown that these projectors are uniformly bounded for $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbf{HF}$ (this is proved in [9], see Lemmas 4.3, 4.6 and 4.10, using the Cauchy integral representation of these spectral projectors [12, 24]):

$$\forall n \in \mathcal{N}_{\infty}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}, \quad \forall \mathbf{k} \in \mathbf{HF}, \quad \left| \Pi_{n}^{\pm}(\mathbf{k}) \right|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{C}^{N})} \lesssim 1.$$
 (7.42)

As a consequence, as $|e^{-i\omega_n(|\mathbf{k}|)t}| = e^{\operatorname{Im}\omega_n(|\mathbf{k}|)t}$, we deduce from (7.40), (7.41) and (7.42) that

$$\forall \mathbf{k} \in \mathbf{HF}, \quad |\widehat{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbf{k},t)|^2 \lesssim e^{-2\,\delta\,t} |\widehat{\mathbf{U}}_0(\mathbf{k})|^2 + \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_{\infty}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \cup \{n \ge 2N+1\}} e^{2\,\operatorname{Im}\omega_n^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(|\mathbf{k}|)\,t} \,|\widehat{\mathbf{U}}_0(\mathbf{k})|^2. \tag{7.43}$$

In the non weakly dissipative case, for $n \in \{2N+1, 2N+2\} \cup \mathcal{N}_{\infty}^{\alpha}$, according to the asymptotics of Im $\omega_n(|\mathbf{k}|)$ which are all in $O(|\mathbf{k}|^{-2})$ by Lemmas 40 and 41, one can find $\sigma > 0$ such that

$$\forall n \in \{2N+1, 2N+2\} \cup \mathcal{N}_{\infty}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}, \quad \forall \mathbf{k} \in \mathbf{HF}, \quad \text{Im } \omega_n(|\mathbf{k}|) \le -\frac{\sigma}{2} |\mathbf{k}|^{-2}.$$
(7.44)

Estimate on the first term of (7.38) (about large space frequencies in HF). From (7.44), for $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbf{HF}$ and $n \in \{2N + 1, 2N + 2\} \cup \mathcal{N}_{\infty}^{\alpha}$, one has $e^{2 \operatorname{Im} \omega_n(|\mathbf{k}|) t} \leq e^{-\sigma |\mathbf{k}|^{-2} t}$ and therefore by virtue of (7.43), one gets (for M large enough):

$$\int_{\mathbf{HF}} \left| \widehat{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbf{k}, t) \right|^2 \lesssim \int_{\mathbf{HF}} e^{-\sigma |\mathbf{k}|^{-2} t} |\widehat{\mathbf{U}}_0(\mathbf{k})|^2.$$

Thus, one deduces, using the definition of Sobolev norms via Fourier transform, that

$$\left| \int_{\mathbf{HF}} \left| \widehat{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbf{k}, t) \right|^2 \lesssim t^{-m} \int_{\mathbf{HF}} \left(e^{-\sigma \frac{t}{|\mathbf{k}|^2}} \left(\frac{t}{|\mathbf{k}|^2} \right)^m \right) |\mathbf{k}|^{2m} |\widehat{\mathbf{U}}_0(\mathbf{k})|^2$$

$$\lesssim C_m \frac{\|\mathbf{U}_0\|_{H^m}^2}{t^m}, \quad \text{with } C_m := \sup_{\rho > 0} \left(\rho^m e^{-\sigma \rho} \right).$$
(7.45)

that is to say the first part of (7.25).

In the weakly dissipative case, the inequality (7.44) is no longer true for indices n in the set \mathcal{N}_s^{α} defined in (7.17). Instead, thanks to Lemma 42, we have, for some $\sigma > 0$:

$$\forall n \in \mathcal{N}_{s}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}, \quad \forall \mathbf{k} \in \mathbf{HF}, \quad \text{Im } \omega_{n}(|\mathbf{k}|) \leq -\frac{\sigma}{2} |\mathbf{k}|^{-4}.$$
 (7.46)

The indices n in $\mathcal{N}_s^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$ are the ones that constrain the energy decay : adapting computations of (7.45) (with $|\mathbf{k}|^{-4}$ instead of $|\mathbf{k}|^{-2}$) lead to the first term in (7.26) (with s/2 instead of s).

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank their colleague Maryna Kachanovska for her collaboration on the article [7] whose topic corresponds to the subjects treated from section 2 to section 6.

References

- [1] É. Bécache, P Joly, M. Kachanovska and V. Vinoles, Perfectly matched layers in negative index metamaterials and plasmas. ESAIM: Proceedings and Surveys 50, 113–132 (2015).
- [2] A. Bernland, A. Luger and M. Gustafsson, Sum rules and constraints on passive systems.
 J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 44 (14), 145205 (2011).
- [3] S. Bögli, F. Ferraresso, M. Marletta and C. Tretter, Spectral analysis and domain truncation for Maxwell's equations. J. Math. Pures Appl. 170, 96–135 (2023).
- [4] G. Bouchitté and B. Schweizer, Homogenization of Maxwell's equations in a split ring geometry. Multiscale Model. Simul. 8 (3), 717–750 (2010).
- [5] M. Brown, T. Dohnal, M. Plum and I. Wood, Spectrum of the Maxwell equations for a flat interface between homogeneous dispersive media. Commun. Math. Phys. 406 (1), 1–68 (2025).
- [6] M. Cassier and G.W. Milton, Bounds on Herglotz functions and fundamental limits of broadband passive quasi-static cloaking. J. Math. Phys. 58 (7), 071504 (2017).
- [7] M. Cassier, P. Joly and M. Kachanovska, Mathematical models for dispersive electromagnetic waves: an overview. Comput. Math. Appl. 74 (11), 2639–2928 (2017).
- [8] M. Cassier, P. Joly and L. A. Rosas Martínez, Long time behaviour of the solution of Maxwell's equations in dissipative generalized Lorentz materials (I) A frequency dependent Lyapunov function approach,. Z. Angew. Math. Phys. 74, 115 (2023).
- [9] M. Cassier, P. Joly and L. A. Rosas Martínez, Long time behaviour of the solution of Maxwell's equations in dissipative generalized Lorentz materials (II) A modal approach. Accepted for publication in J. Math. Pures Appl., Arxiv extended version available on https://arxiv.org/abs/2312.12231.
- [10] K. Cherednichenko and S Cooper, Asymptotic behaviour of the spectra of systems of Maxwell equations in periodic composite media with high contrast. Mathematika 64 (2), 583-605 (2018).
- [11] J. B. Conway, A course in functional analysis. Vol. 96, Springer, 2nd ed., (1990).
- [12] N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, Linear operators, part I: general theory. Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 7, Interscience Publishers, INC., New York, (1966).
- [13] F. Ferraresso and M. Marletta, Essential spectrum for dissipative Maxwell equations in domains with cylindrical ends. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 536 (1), 128174 (2024).

- [14] T. J. Cui, D. R. Smith and R. Liu, Metamaterials: theory, design, and applications. Springer, (2010).
- [15] A. Figotin and J. H. Schenker, Spectral theory of time dispersive and dissipative systems. J. Stat. Phys. 118 (1), 199–263 (2005).
- [16] K. Fritzsche and H. Grauert. From holomorphic functions to complex manifolds. Vol. 213, Springer, New York, (2002).
- [17] F. Gesztesy and E. Tsekanovskii, On Matrix-Valued Herglotz Functions. J. Math. Nachr. 218 (1), 61–138 (2000).
- [18] B. Gralak and A. Tip, Macroscopic Maxwell's equations and negative index materials. J. Math. Phys. 51 (5), 052902 (2010).
- [19] B. Gralak, Habilitation à diriger des recherches. Université Paul Cézanne-Aix- Marseille III, (2010).
- [20] P. Henrici, Applied and computational complex analysis. Vol. 3, Wiley, New York, (1986).
- [21] E. Hille and R. S. Phillips, Functional analysis and semi-groups. Vol. 31, American Mathematical Soc., (1996).
- [22] D. Hinrichsen and A. J. Pritchard, Mathematical systems theory I: modelling, state space analysis, stability and robustness. Vol. 48., Springer, (2010).
- [23] J. D. Jackson, Classical Electrodynamics. 3rd ed., Wiley, New York, (1998).
- [24] T. Kato, Perturbation theory for linear operators. Classics in Mathematics, Springer, (1980).
- [25] H. Lamb, On a peculiarity of the wave-system due to the free vibrations on a nucleus in an extended medium. Proc. London Math. Soc. XXXII, 208-211 (1900).
- [26] L. D. Landau, L. P. Pitaevskii and E. M. Lifshitz, Electrodynamics of continuous media. Vol. 8, Elsevier, (1984).
- [27] J. Li and Y. Huang, Time-domain finite element methods for Maxwell's equations in metamaterials. Vol. 43 of Springer Series in Computational Mathematics, Springer, Heidelberg, (2013).
- [28] J. Li, A literature survey of mathematical study of metamaterials. Int. J. Numer. Anal. Model. 13 (2), 230–243 (2016).
- [29] S. A. Maier, Plasmonics: fundamentals and applications. Springer, New York (2007).
- [30] G. W. Milton, N. A. P. Nicorovici, R. C. McPhedran and V. A. Podolski, A proof of superlensing in the quasistatic regime, and limitations of super lenses in this regime due to anomalous localized resonance. Proc. R. Soc. A 461 (2064), 3999-4034 (2005).
- [31] G. W. Milton and N. A. P. Nicorovici, On the cloaking effects associated with anomalous localized resonance. Proc. R. Soc. A 462 (2074), 3027-3059 (2006).

- [32] J. Mujica, Complex analysis in Banach spaces. Amsterdam: Elsevier Science Publishers, (1986).
- [33] R. Nevanlinna, Asymptotische Entwicklungen das Stieltjessche Momentenproblem. Annales Academiae Scientiarum Fennicae Series A 18, (1922).
- [34] A. C.S. Ng, Direct integrals of strongly continuous operator semigroups. J. Math. Anal. Appl 489 (2), 124176 (2020).
- [35] S. Nicaise, C. Pignotti, Asymptotic behavior of dispersive electromagnetic waves in bounded domains. Z. Angew. Math. Phys. 71 (3) 76 (2020).
- [36] N. A. Nicorovici, R. C. McPhedran and G. W. Milton, Optical and dielectric properties of partially resonant composites. Phys. Rev. B 49 (12), 8479–8482 (1994).
- [37] S. O'Brien and J. B. Pendry, Photonic band-gap effects and magnetic activity in dielectric composites, Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter 14 (15), 4035 (2002).
- [38] A. Pazy, Semigroups of linear operators and applications to partial differential equations. Applied mathematical sciences Vol. 44 Springer, (1983).
- [39] J. B. Pendry, Negative refraction makes a perfect lens. Physical review letters 85 (18), 3966 (2000).
- [40] W. Rudin, Real and complex analysis. Third edition. McGrawHill international editions, Mathematical Series, (1987).
- [41] F. J. Sayas, Retarded potentials and time domain boundary integral equations: A road map. Vol. 50. Springer, (2016).
- [42] D. Smith, J. Pendry and M. Wiltshire, Metamaterials and negative refractive index. Science 305 (5685), 788–792 (2004).
- [43] A. Tip, Linear dispersive dielectrics as limits of drude-lorentz systems. Phys. Rev. E 69, 016610 (2004).
- [44] V. G. Veselago, The Electrodynamics of Substances with Simultaneously Negative Values of ϵ and μ . Soviet Physics Uspekhi 10, 509–514 (1968).
- [45] A. Welters, Y. Avniel and S. G. Johnson, Speed-of-light limitations in passive linear media. Phys. Rev. A 90 (2), 023847 (2014).
- [46] W. Yang, Y. Huang and J. Li, Developing a time-domain finite element method for the Lorentz metamaterial model and applications. J. Sci. Comput. 68 (2), 438–463 (2016).
- [47] A. H. Zemanian, Realizability theory for continuous linear systems. Courier Corporation, (1972).
- [48] V. V. Zhikov, On an extension and an application of the two-scale convergence method. Mat. Sb. 191 (7), 31–72 (2000).
- [49] R. W. Ziolkowski and E. Heyman, Wave propagation in media having negative permittivity and permeability. Phys. Rev. E 64 (5), 056625 (2001).