


# GazeLLM: Multimodal LLMs incorporating Human Visual Attention

Jun Rekimoto  
The University of Tokyo  
Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo, Japan  
Sony CSL - Kyoto  
Kyoto-shi, Kyoto, Japan  
rekimoto@acm.org



**Figure 1: GazeLLM achieves task descriptions of equal or superior quality to full-sized videos, despite using only one-tenth of the pixels around the user’s gaze point.**

## Abstract

Large Language Models (LLMs) are advancing into Multimodal LLMs (MLLMs), capable of processing image, audio, and video as well as text. Combining first-person video, MLLMs show promising potential for understanding human activities through video and audio, enabling many human-computer interaction and human-augmentation applications such as human activity support, real-world agents, and skill transfer to robots or other individuals. However, handling high-resolution, long-duration videos generates large latent representations, leading to substantial memory and processing demands, limiting the length and resolution MLLMs can manage. Reducing video resolution can lower memory usage but often compromises comprehension. This paper introduces a method that optimizes first-person video analysis by integrating eye-tracking data, and proposes a method that decomposes first-person vision video into sub areas for regions of gaze focus. By processing these selectively gazed-focused inputs, our approach achieves task comprehension equivalent to or even better than processing the entire image at full resolution, but with significantly reduced video data

input (reduce the number of pixels to one-tenth), offering an efficient solution for using MLLMs to interpret and utilize human skills.

## CCS Concepts

• **Human-centered computing** → Sound-based input / output; *Interface design prototyping*; *Mobile devices*; • **Computing methodologies** → **Neural networks**.

## Keywords

LLM, Multimodal-LLM, multimodal interface, vision, 1st person vision, gaze, artificial intelligence, neural networks

## ACM Reference Format:

Jun Rekimoto. 2025. GazeLLM: Multimodal LLMs incorporating Human Visual Attention. In *Proceedings of Augmented Humans 2025*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 10 pages. <https://doi.org/XXXXXXX.XXXXXXX>

## 1 Introduction

Large Language Models (LLMs) have become foundational in natural language processing, underpinning many advances in understanding and generating human language. Recently, these capabilities have expanded to encompass multimodal data, leading to the development of Multimodal LLMs (MLLMs). These models process not only text but also images and time-sequential information, such as video and audio [28]. MLLMs represent a substantial leap toward Artificial General Intelligence (AGI) as they integrate multisensory perception—relying on vision, sound, and other sensory modalities—mirroring the complex ways humans process information.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than the author(s) must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from [permissions@acm.org](mailto:permissions@acm.org).

*Augmented Humans 2025, March 16–20, 2025, Abu Dhabi, UAE*

© 2025 Copyright held by the owner/author(s). Publication rights licensed to ACM.

ACM ISBN 978-1-4503-XXXX-X/18/06

<https://doi.org/XXXXXXX.XXXXXXX>

From a Human Computer Interaction (HCI) and Human Augmentation (HA) perspective, MLLMs also offer various opportunities. If such models can recognize the world in ways similar to humans, a range of applications becomes possible. These include technologies that can record and understand skilled human actions for transfer to others, assess skill development, recognize real-world behaviors to provide personalized assistance and assist individuals with disabilities by augmenting their sensory perception of the environment. In particular, by enabling MLLMs to interpret video data obtained from first-person vision, it becomes possible to understand and explain the actions and skills of humans performing specific tasks.

However, the computational burden of MLLMs remains a significant challenge. The transformer models at the core of LLMs require memory proportional to the square of the input length, which increases both processing time and memory demands. This problem is especially acute in handling image or movie data.

The primary image encoder used in MLLMs, such as Vision Transformers (ViT) [4], images are divided into grid-like regions, with each region assigned a token. This leads to an increase in token count as the number of pixels increases. Reducing the token count by lowering the image resolution or downsampling the frame rate is possible; however, this introduces a trade-off, as the resolution may become insufficient for recognizing the environment adequately. For instance, tasks such as reading text on a sign directly in front of the user require image resolution sufficient for OCR processing.

On the other hand, human visual perception does not process all visual information equally. Through eye movements, humans selectively focus on specific regions while information in peripheral vision is perceived at a lower resolution, or only reacts to motion. This visual attention mechanism allows humans to balance the precision of world understanding with the efficiency of processing visual input.

Our study leverages this natural visual attention mechanism to reduce the processing load of dynamic visual information in MLLMs.

Here, we focus on 1st-person video as an input to MLLM, to recognize the content of the task from it. In addition, using an eye-tracking equipped first-person vision camera, we propose a mechanism that decomposes first-person vision video into high-resolution areas for regions of gaze focus.

By processing these selectively focused inputs, we demonstrate that our approach achieves comprehension equivalent to or even better than processing the entire image at full resolution, but with significantly reduced data input.

The contributions of this paper are as follows:

- (1) We confirmed that applying 1st-person vision to MLLM enables accurate comprehension of task procedures by examining six types of real-world activities including cooking, repair, first aid, and sports.
- (2) User evaluations demonstrated that utilizing partial video cropped around the gaze point from 1st-person vision (which we call ‘GazeLLM’) achieves task descriptions of equal or superior quality to full-sized videos, despite using only one-tenth of the pixels.

- (3) Additional quantitative evaluations (BLEU, ROUGE, Sentence-BERT, and LLM-based methods) further confirmed that gaze-centered cropped videos effectively support task description generation.

These findings suggest that GazeLLM (a gaze-based LLM) is a promising framework for real-world assistance systems integrating AI and wearable technology.

## 2 Related Work

### Multimodal Large Language Models (MLLMs)

The field of Multimodal Large Language Models (MLLMs) is an area of LLM research that has seen rapid advancements in recent years [27]. In addition to static images and audio, some MLLMs can now process video content [6, 7]. Notably, Gemini 1.5 Pro [23] is capable of handling over an hour of video input, while Qwen2-VL [24] can operate as a locally run MLLM system.


Currently, these MLLMs provide dedicated encoders for video processing, frequently utilizing Vision Transformer (ViT) [4] or its variations. In the ViT approach, images are divided into a grid of two-dimensional patches, each assigned a latent representation vector. This vector sequence can be treated as a one-dimensional token series, enabling the integration of visual inputs into the LLM alongside other modalities. However, this method introduces a trade-off: as pixel count increases, so does the number of tokens, which may either increase computational load or require reducing image resolution, potentially compromising the accuracy of visual comprehension.

### Activity Recognition Using Gaze

Following Bolt’s pioneering work [2], numerous studies have explored the use of gaze information in user interfaces. GEAR, for instance, utilizes gaze data to infer the task category for AR-headset users, employing machine learning methods such as SVM and Random Forest [9].

In recent years, there have been efforts to combine gaze information with neural networks. This research includes studies on the similarity between human attentional mechanisms and those employed in neural networks [14, 25], investigations aimed at estimating human attention through neural networks [13], and attempts to enhance the inference accuracy of neural networks by leveraging human attention [9, 11, 15, 21, 22, 26]. The Multimodal Human-like Attention Network (MULAN) [21] adopts human-like visual attention based on image saliency in Visual Question Answering (VQA). GazeGPT [11] improves VQA accuracy by focusing on regions where the gaze is concentrated, using static images and gaze data as input. G-VOILA [26] is also an interactive system that combines speech dialogue and visual and gaze information to augment conversational context based on the area observed by the user. For example, when a user views an ingredient and inquires about its preparation method, the system provides a recipe suitable for that ingredient. Ni et al. demonstrated gaze information can be used to predict human behavior [15].

In our study, we incorporate gaze information not only from static images but also from video, to understand and utilize demonstrations of human skills through video and gaze data, in conjunction with multimodal large language models (MLLMs).



**Figure 2: Video task description using GazeLLM: Only the area cropped as a partial rectangle centered on the gaze point in the 1st-person video (indicated by the red dashed outline) is used as input to the MLLM for task verbalization. The output from the LLM includes the task’s description and the corresponding video timestamp. The generated description is displayed alongside the video footage at the indicated time.**

Wang et al. investigate the similarities between human gaze patterns and the attention mechanism in LLMs [25]. It has identified parallels between human eye movements when interpreting text and the attention mechanism within LLMs. Mazzamuto et al. demonstrates unsupervised human misstep detection by analyzing differences between real gaze and estimated gaze [14]. While our study primarily utilizes, rather than predicts, gaze information from users to improve processing efficiency, future research may explore the similarities between human gaze mechanisms and the attention mechanism in multimodal-LLMs.

EgoScanning employs cues such as gestures and conversations to adjust the density of timelines for efficient browsing of first-person videos [9]. While this technology enhances human viewing efficiency, our study explores its utility as an aid for AI in interpreting long-duration videos.

### Activity Dataset with First-person Videos and Gaze

The Ego-Exo4D project aims to build a large-scale dataset for first-person vision [8]. Multiple research institutions are collaborating to collect data across various task domains. The project’s primary objective is to establish and provide a data infrastructure for studying human behavior. However, specific attempts that utilize multimodal-LLMs in combination with gaze, as proposed in this study, have not been reported. VQA-MHUG [20] is also a dataset to study multimodal neural attention in Visual Question Answering (VQA). It consists of 49-participant dataset to analyze similarity between human attention and multi-modal neural attention.

#### 2.1 Devices for Acquiring First-Person video and gaze Information


In practical work tasks, the ease with which devices capturing first-person perspectives and visual information can be worn is

paramount. Meta has developed its proprietary glasses-type ‘Aria’ device for constructing the Ego-Exo4D dataset [5]. In our experiments, we employed the Pupil labs ‘NEON’, a device capable of recording first-person video, gaze data, and audio, and which is also compatible with corrective lenses [18]. Because gaze measurements can be performed without requiring calibration, the initial operational burden is substantially reduced. Moreover, certain VR/AR headsets, such as Apple VisionPro, employ gaze input, indicating the potential for supporting real-world tasks through first-person vision, gaze tracking, and video pass-through functionalities [1]. Consequently, we posit that work support using smart glasses equipped with first-person perspective and visual tracking capabilities will become widely available in the near future.

### 3 Examples of Gaze-enables Wearable Interaction with GazeLLM

In GazeLLM, the 1st-person video serves as input to enable the MLLM to understand the tasks being performed by the user, facilitating video-based guidance and question answering. Gaze information from the user’s 1st-person view is utilized to crop only the surrounding region, which is then provided as input to the LLM. Compared to using the entire 1st-person video, the input in our experiments was reduced to approximately one-tenth of the original pixel count. Fig 2 shows video description generated with MLLM (Gemini1.5pro) by using gaze-focused region.

Another example of GazeLLM is called the “stop and ask” approach (Fig 3). With this approach, first, an instructor pre-trains the LLM on a sequence of cooking steps using a 1st-person video. A learner then attempts to follow the same type of recipe, equipped with headsets capable of recording 1st-person vision. The learner proceeds partway through the cooking process, pauses, and asks to the system (e.g., “What should I do next?” or “Which utensil I should use now?”). The system successfully identifies the learner’s progress within the sequence of steps provided by the instructor



**Figure 3: Example of the “stop-and-ask” application: A user wearing a 1st-person vision headset pauses during a task and asks the system, “What should I do next?” Based on the 1st-person vision video recorded up to that point, the system identifies the next required step and provides guidance. It also references the pre-recorded instructional video and indicates the corresponding playback timestamp.**

and accurately indicates the next action. Other possible inquiry examples are shown in Table 1.

It is also possible to present tasks in a format other than text. Based on a cooking demonstration with 1st-person video and voice annotation, the MLLM automatically generates the ingredient preparation process as a dataflow diagram (Fig 4). By illustrating branching tasks that can be performed in parallel, the procedure becomes easier to visualize and understand.

These applications demonstrate the potential of combining wearable systems with MLLMs. Users can utilize 1st-person vision to allow the MLLM to recognize their task context (such as cooking, bike repair, or first aid) and receive guidance specific to their current situation.

In this way, the integration of Multimodal LLMs with wearable systems, using 1st-person vision as a contextual input, opens up the possibility of employing AI as an agent in real-world applications.

## 4 Evaluation

To confirm the effectiveness of the GazeLLM’s gaze-focus approach, we evaluated the generated video description texts using both numerical metrics and human-based crowdsourced assessment.

### 4.1 Dataset

For our evaluation, we utilized the Ego-Exo4D dataset [8]. The Ego-Exo4D dataset contains gaze information captured using the ‘Aria’ glasses [5] developed by Meta, 1st-person vision images, and third-person perspective footage obtained from external cameras. This dataset encompasses a wide variety of scenarios, including cooking, repair, and sports, making it a valuable resource for research.

In this evaluation, we employed only the 1st-person video and gaze information. To ensure task diversity, we selected six distinct

task categories (Fig. 5). Table 2 presents the data count, video duration, and standard deviation for each task. The number of total tasks was 137.

For each task, we prepared three types of data (Fig 6):

**Full** The first-person perspective video provided by Ego-Exo4D, with an image size of  $1440 \times 1440$  pixels, is used as-is, but with the video frame rate reduced to 1 fps. No gaze information is included.

**Gaze** This data is a cropped version of Full, centered around the gaze point in a  $448 \times 448$  rectangular area. The frame rate is maintained at 1 fps, as in **Full**.

**Center** This data is cropped from the center of the Full image, also in a  $448 \times 448$  rectangular area, with a frame rate of 1 fps, as in **Full**.

In order to clearly determine the differences in video extraction, none of the videos include audio. The pixel count of Gaze and Center ( $448 \times 448 = 200,704$ ) represents 10.3% of that of Full ( $1440 \times 1440 = 2,073,600$ ). The evaluation metric is a comparison of the quality of video descriptions generated with these conditions.

For the MLLM under evaluation, we selected Gemini 1.5 Pro [23]. Gemini 1.5 Pro is a Multimodal-LLM capable of processing up to approximately one hour of video (with the video frame rate down-sampled to 1 fps). Using the Gemini API, sessions are explicitly separated to ensure that the results of the previous session do not persist. Descriptions of the videos are generated from the dataset using the prompt below:

Clear chat history and begin a new session.  
Forget all the previous information and only use the information from this video.

Please create a written procedure in English for the work



Inquiry types	Typical Inquiries
Inquiry about operation details	<i>Please show me the procedure for performing ***.</i>
Inquiry about differences in operations	<i>Where are the differences between this operation and that one?</i>
Inquiry about skill proficiency	<i>Please point out any areas that need improvement in this operation.</i>
Inquiry about the next step in the operation	<i>I've done it up to this point. Please show me what to do next.</i>
Monitoring and advice for the work	<i>Let me know if there are any mistakes in the upcoming operations. Is it correct that the next ingredient to add is this one?</i>

Table 1: Inquiry types to GazeLLM




Figure 4: Dataflow generation from cooking demonstration.

label	task	# of videos	duration (sec)
<b>Bike</b>	bike repair	20	181.8 (162.5)
<b>Sushi</b>	sushi preparation	14	627.7 (106.5)
<b>Omelette</b>	omelette preparation	14	783.0 (269.9)
<b>Soccer</b>	soccer activities	19	132.6 (81.0)
<b>PCR</b>	Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) testing equipment preparation	53	253.8 (86.5)
<b>CPR</b>	cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) training	17	95.4 (27.1)

Table 2: Tasks for evaluation: video length distribution

in this video. I would like to insert an image of the work in progress in the instructions to make it easier to see, so please write the time of the frame of the video to be inserted.

## 4.2 Evaluation Results Based on Users

First, we conducted a human evaluation experiment. Participants rated the descriptions generated by Gemini under the Full, Gaze, and Center conditions, following a viewing of the Full video, on a 10-point scale.

The experiment was conducted using the Prolific crowd-sourcing platform [10]. We recruited 25 online participants, who provided a numerical score on a 10-point scale and free-text feedback on the three types of text descriptions (Full, Gaze, Center) about the full

video. To prevent anticipation effects, text description types were not disclosed and the order of video descriptions was randomized. Since the descriptions were in English, participants were recruited based on English proficiency. The average age of participants was 29.5 years (8.4).

The results are shown in Fig 7. Pair-wise t-test confirmed Full and Gaze are significantly better than Center, and there was no significant difference between Full and Gaze ( $p < 0.05$ ), but the Gaze condition received the highest average scores in five out of six types of tasks. Although participants only viewed the Full-condition video, it is inferred that they tended to rate descriptions related to areas of focus (where gaze was fixated) more highly, while giving lower ratings to descriptions of areas irrelevant to the task (related to regions outside the gaze focus). This result strongly suggests



Figure 5: Evaluation tasks: labeled 'Bike', 'Sushi', 'Omelette', 'Soccer', 'PCR' (Polymerase Chain Reaction test), and 'CPR'(cardiopulmonary resuscitation), Red circles indicating viewpoints are attached for reference.





Figure 6: Video types for evaluation (Full: Full field of view, Gaze: Video cropped around the gaze point, Center: Video cropped from the center of the image)



**Figure 7: Video description evaluation results by humans**(\*:  $p < 0.05$ )

Gaze video convey accurate information about the task, and MLLM can recognize task more accurately than the Full and Center video conditions.

### 4.3 Evaluation Results Based on Numerical Metrics

We then conducted the numerical evaluation aimed to assess the accuracy of descriptions generated under the Gaze and Center conditions, compared to those generated under the Full condition. We employed the following four metrics for this purpose:

**BLEU** The BLEU score [17] is one of the most widely used methods for machine translation evaluation. It operates on the principle that the closer the machine-generated text is to a professional translator’s output, the higher its accuracy. In this evaluation, we used BLEU scores to measure the accuracy of descriptions generated under the Gaze and Center conditions, taking the Full condition descriptions as the reference.

**ROUGE** ROUGE (Recall-Oriented Understudy for Gisting Evaluation) [12] is also widely used in natural language processing to assess machine-generated summaries or translations by comparing them with human-created references. For this evaluation, we measured the accuracy of the Gaze and Center descriptions against the Full condition descriptions using ROUGE-L, which is based on the Longest Common Subsequence (LCS). ROUGE-L provides an evaluation of sentence-level similarity by counting co-occurring words in order between the target and reference summaries.

**SBERT** Sentence-BERT (SBERT) [19] is a version of BERT [3] fine-tuned for sentence comparison, to evaluate semantic similarity. SBERT utilizes Siamese and triplet network structures to derive meaningful sentence embeddings that can be compared using cosine similarity. This approach is particularly well-suited for measuring similarity across multi-sentence descriptions. Here, we assessed the similarity between descriptions generated under

the Full condition and those generated under the Gaze and Center conditions. A higher similarity indicates that the generated description aligns closely with the Full condition output.

**LLM** We conducted an evaluation using the LLM itself. Specifically, we used ChatGPT-4o [16] to score Gaze and Center condition descriptions against the Full condition description on a scale of 0 to 100, based on the following prompt:

I will provide you with two texts: Text A and Text B. Please evaluate how well the content described in Text A is also covered in Text B. Assess the similarity between the two texts based on whether Text B includes the key information, ideas, and explanations found in Text A, regardless of wording or phrasing differences.

Please provide a score from 0 to 100, where:

- 100 means Text B completely covers all the key points and information from Text A,
- 0 means Text B does not cover any of the key points from Text A,
- A score between 0 and 100 represents partial coverage.

Show score as '\*\* Score:50 \*\*'

After assigning a score, explain the reasoning for your score in a few sentences.

### Text A:  
{text\_1}

### Text B:  
{text\_2}

The evaluation results are shown in Fig 8. For all evaluation conditions and task items, the scores under the Gaze condition was significantly superior than those of the Center condition (pair-wise t-test,  $p < 0.05$ ). This suggests that gaze-based cropping captures task-relevant content more accurately than simple center-based cropping.

Both BLEU and ROUGE are statistical measures based on n-grams, responding to identical vocabulary and phrases. In contrast, SBERT uses cosine similarity within a sentence embedding space, evaluating sentence similarity at a broader level beyond specific vocabulary. The LLM condition relies on an LLM, which is assumed to provide a comprehensive assessment based on overall sentence comprehension. These four distinct metrics enable a mechanistic comparison between the Full condition and other conditions.

In these evaluations, by definition, the Full condition achieves a perfect score (BLEU, ROUGE, and SBERT: 1.0; LLM: 100 points). However, it is important to note that captions generated under the Full condition do not necessarily represent the most accurate ground truth. Given the wide field-of-view nature of first-person video, descriptions may sometimes include objects in the peripheral view that are not directly related to the task.

We investigated the relationship between video length and description length. No significant trends were observed in the character count of generated video descriptions across conditions (Full,




Figure 8: Video description evaluation by BLEU, ROUGE, SBERT (sentence-BERT) and LLM (\*:  $p < 0.05$ )

task	Full (std)	Gaze (std)	Center (std)
<b>Bike</b>	1312.7 (521.8)	1419.8 (563.4)	1561.7 (432.0)
<b>Sushi</b>	1968.1 (169.5)	1996.3 (192.3)	1711.9 (543.0)
<b>Omelet</b>	1600.2 (244.4)	1656.6 (316.5)	1468.2 (191.2)
<b>Soccer</b>	2107.2 (358.8)	1728.1 (336.0)	1768.1 (364.6)
<b>PCR</b>	1522.5 (403.9)	1386.8 (481.1)	1342.2 (513.3)
<b>CPR</b>	1845.6 (292.1)	1866.5 (394.8)	1593.7 (438.8)

Table 3: length of video descriptions (in characters)

Gaze, Center) (Table 3). In addition, there was only a weak correlation between video length and the character count of the descriptions (correlation coefficient: 0.13) as shown in Fig 9. This suggests that, in the Full condition, the broader capture range compared to the Gaze and Center conditions includes more objects—many of which may not be directly related to the task—leading to potential declines in the quality of the descriptions as the model attempts to account for these additional elements.

Overall, the evaluation experiments confirmed the following:

- User assessments indicated that descriptions generated from videos under the Gaze condition received higher ratings compared to those from full 1st-person videos (Full condition) or




Figure 9: Video length and video description length

videos cropped from the center of the full image (Center condition). Given that the pixel count in Gaze videos is only one-tenth of that in Full videos, this demonstrates effective information reduction as input for the MLLM.






Figure 10: Alternative video encoding schemes for GazeLLM: (a) use focused and peripheral videos (b) adaptive ViT grid size




Figure 11: GazeLLM wearable configuration with 1st vision camera, eye-tracker, and hand gesture recognizer

- In the four quantitative evaluations (BLEU, ROUGE, Sentence-BERT, and LLM-based evaluation), the Gaze condition also achieved higher scores than the Center condition, suggesting that gaze-based cropping is more effective.

## 5 Discussions

This study proposes a method to reduce data volume from 1st-person video by leveraging gaze information, allowing efficient comprehension by the LLM. Currently, we simply crop a rectangular region centered on the gaze point, but there is room for further improvement (Fig 10). Fig 10 (a) illustrates an approach that provides both gaze-centered video and a downsampled full view, enabling comprehension of off-gaze areas at a lower resolution. This approach corresponds to the relationship between the central and peripheral fields in human vision. In MLLM research, methods such as Multimodal Rotary Position Embedding (M-RoPE) [24], which provide unified positional encoding for multi-stream inputs with multiple temporal layers, are being explored. Applying M-RoPE to GazeLLM may be feasible.

Fig 10 (b) also illustrates another approach introducing a deformed grid for the 2D patches in ViT, where patches are denser near the gaze point and sparser toward the periphery. This also mirrors the relationship between the central and peripheral fields of human vision.

It is also possible to incorporate information about the wearer beyond gaze data. For example, objects being manipulated by the

hands are likely relevant to the task, so hand position information could be prioritized for recognition by the LLM, similar to gaze information (Fig 11). Since gaze tends to anticipate the next point of action, combining hand activity data with gaze input could enable a more accurate understanding of actions.

## 6 Conclusion

In this study, we conducted experiments on a multimodal-LLM (MLLM) to generate task descriptions from 1st-person vision videos. Specifically, we evaluated how well tasks were described when images were cropped based on gaze, even though the cropped images contained only one-tenth of the pixels of the original. Six types of videos—spanning cooking, bike repair, healthcare, sports, and more (a total of 135 videos)—were evaluated. The assessment utilized BLEU, ROUGE, sentence-BERT metrics, and an LLM-based evaluation of descriptions. In all cases, videos cropped according to gaze received significantly higher evaluation scores compared to videos cropped from the image center.

User evaluations (25 participants) also confirmed that descriptions generated from gaze-based cropped videos were rated significantly higher than those from the videos cropped from the center, and were equivalent to the full videos.

These findings indicate that using gaze-based cropping when generating descriptions of 1st-person videos with MLLMs is an effective approach. This method reduces the number of pixels processed, thereby contributing to reduced computational load and memory

usage for the LLM. This approach also suggests the potential for gaze-informed MLLMs to handle longer task videos efficiently.

## Acknowledgments

This work was supported by This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant 23K248948, JST Moonshot R&D Grant JPMJMS2012, and JPNP23025 commissioned by the New Energy and Industrial Technology Development Organization (NEDO).

## References

- [1] Kenan Bektaş, Jannis Strecker, Simon Mayer, and Kimberly Garcia. 2024. Gaze-enabled activity recognition for augmented reality feedback. *Comput. Graph.* 119, C (July 2024), 12 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cag.2024.103909>
- [2] Richard A. Bolt. 1982. Eyes at the interface. In *Proceedings of the 1982 Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems* (Gaithersburg, Maryland, USA) (CHI '82). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 360–362. <https://doi.org/10.1145/800049.801811>
- [3] Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. 2019. BERT: Pre-training of Deep Bidirectional Transformers for Language Understanding. In *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long and Short Papers)*, Jill Burstein, Christy Doran, and Thamar Solorio (Eds.). Association for Computational Linguistics, Minneapolis, Minnesota, 4171–4186. <https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/N19-1423>
- [4] Alexey Dosovitskiy, Lucas Beyer, Alexander Kolesnikov, Dirk Weissenborn, Xiaohua Zhai, Thomas Unterthiner, Mostafa Dehghani, Matthias Minderer, Georg Heigold, Sylvain Gelly, Jakob Uszkoreit, and Neil Houlsby. 2021. An Image is Worth 16x16 Words: Transformers for Image Recognition at Scale. *arXiv:2010.11929* [cs.CV] <https://arxiv.org/abs/2010.11929>
- [5] Jakob Engel, Kiran Somasundaram, Michael Goesele, Albert Sun, Alexander Gamin, Andrew Turner, Arjang Talattof, Arnie Yuan, Bilal Souti, Brighid Meredith, Cheng Peng, Chris Sweeney, Cole Wilson, Dan Barnes, Daniel DeTone, David Caruso, Derek Valerooy, Dinesh Ginnjupalli, Duncan Frost, Edward Miller, Elias Mueggler, Evgeniy Oleinik, Fan Zhang, Guruprasad Somasundaram, Gustavo Solalra, Harry Lanaras, Henry Howard-Jenkins, Huixuan Tang, Hyo Jin Kim, Jaime Rivera, Ji Luo, Jing Dong, Julian Straub, Kevin Bailey, Kevin Eickenhoff, Lingni Ma, Luis Pesqueira, Mark Schwesinger, Maurizio Monge, Nan Yang, Nick Charron, Nikhil Raina, Omkar Parkhi, Peter Borschowa, Pierre Moulon, Prince Gupta, Raul Mur-Artal, Robbie Pennington, Sachin Kulkarni, Sagar Miglani, Santosh Gondi, Saransh Solanki, Sean Diener, Shangyi Cheng, Simon Green, Steve Saarinen, Suvam Patra, Tassos Mourikis, Thomas Whelan, Tripti Singh, Vasileios Balntas, Vijay Baiyya, Wilson Dreeves, Xiqing Pan, Yang Lou, Yipu Zhao, Yusuf Mansour, Yuyang Zou, Zhaoyang Lv, Zijian Wang, Mingfei Yan, Carl Ren, Renzo De Nardi, and Richard Newcombe. 2023. Project Aria: A New Tool for Egocentric Multimodal AI Research. *arXiv:2308.13561* [cs.CV] <https://arxiv.org/abs/2308.13561>
- [6] Chaoyou Fu, Yuhang Dai, Yondong Luo, Lei Li, Shuhuai Ren, Renrui Zhang, Zihan Wang, Chenyu Zhou, Yunhang Shen, Mengdan Zhang, et al. 2024. Video-MME: The First-Ever Comprehensive Evaluation Benchmark of Multi-modal LLMs in Video Analysis. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.21075* (2024).
- [7] Chaoyou Fu, Haojia Lin, Zuwei Long, Yunhang Shen, Meng Zhao, Yifan Zhang, Xiong Wang, Di Yin, Long Ma, Xiawu Zheng, Ran He, Rongrong Ji, Yunsheng Wu, Caifeng Shan, and Xing Sun. 2024. VITA: Towards Open-Source Interactive Omni Multimodal LLM. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2408.05211* (2024).
- [8] Kristen Grauman, Andrew Westbury, Lorenzo Torresani, Kris Kitani, Jitendra Malik, Triantafyllos Afouras, Kumar Ashutosh, Vijay Baiyya, Siddhant Bansal, Bikram Boote, Eugene Byrne, Zach Chavis, Joya Chen, Feng Cheng, Fu-Jen Chu, Sean Crane, Avijit Dasgupta, Jing Dong, Maria Escobar, Cristhian Forigua, Abrahm Gebreselasie, Sanjay Haresh, Jing Huang, Md Mohaiminul Islam, Suyog Jain, Rawal Khirodkar, Devansh Kukreja, Kevin J Liang, Jia-Wei Liu, Sagnik Majumder, Yongsun Mao, Miguel Martin, Efrosyni Mavroudi, Tushar Nagarajan, Francesco Ragusa, Santhosh Kumar Ramakrishnan, Luigi Seminara, Arjun Somayazulu, Yale Song, Shan Su, Zihui Xue, Edward Zhang, Jinxu Zhang, Angela Castillo, Changan Chen, Xinzhu Fu, Ryosuke Furuta, Cristina Gonzalez, Prince Gupta, Jiabo Hu, Yifei Huang, Yiming Huang, Weslie Khoo, Anush Kumar, Robert Kuo, Sach Lakhavani, Miao Liu, Mi Luo, Zhengyi Luo, Brighid Meredith, Austin Miller, Oluwatumininu Oguntola, Xiqing Pan, Penny Peng, Shraman Pramanick, Meryem Ramazanov, Fiona Ryan, Wei Shan, Kiran Somasundaram, Chenan Song, Audrey Southerland, Masatoshi Tateno, Huiyu Wang, Yuchen Wang, Takuma Yagi, Mingfei Yan, Xitong Yang, Zecheng Yu, Shengxin Cindy Zha, Chen Zhao, Ziwei Zhao, Zhifan Zhu, Jeff Zhuo, Pablo Arbelaez, Gedas Bertasius, Dima Damen, Jakob Engel, Giovanni Maria Farinella, Antonino Furnari, Bernard Ghanem, Judy Hoffman, C.V. Jawahar, Richard Newcombe, Hyun Soo Park, James M. Rehg, Yoichi Sato, Manolis Savva, Jianbo Shi, Mike Zheng Shou, and Michael Wray. 2024. Ego-Exo4D: Understanding Skilled Human Activity from First- and Third-Person Perspectives. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*. 19383–19400.
- [9] Keita Higuchi, Ryo Yonetani, and Yoichi Sato. 2017. EgoScanning: Quickly Scanning First-Person Videos with Egocentric Elastic Timelines. In *Proceedings of the 2017 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems* (Denver, Colorado, USA) (CHI '17). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 6536–6546. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3025453.3025821>
- [10] Prolific inc. 2014. Prolific. <https://www.prolific.co>
- [11] Robert Konrad, Nitish Padmanaban, J. Gabriel Buckmaster, Kevin C. Boyle, and Gordon Wetzstein. 2024. GazeGPT: Augmenting Human Capabilities using Gaze-contingent Contextual AI for Smart Eyewear. *arXiv:2401.17217* [cs.CV] <https://arxiv.org/abs/2401.17217>
- [12] Chin-Yew Lin. 2004. ROUGE: A Package for Automatic Evaluation of Summaries. In *Text Summarization Branches Out*. Association for Computational Linguistics, Barcelona, Spain, 74–81. <https://aclanthology.org/W04-1013>
- [13] Jiaying Lin, Shuquan Ye, and Rynson W. H. Lau. 2024. Do Multimodal Large Language Models See Like Humans? *arXiv:2412.09603* [cs.CV] <https://arxiv.org/abs/2412.09603>
- [14] Michele Mazzamuto, Antonino Furnari, Yoichi Sato, and Giovanni Maria Farinella. 2024. Gazing Into Missteps: Leveraging Eye-Gaze for Unsupervised Mistake Detection in Egocentric Videos of Skilled Human Activities. *arXiv:2406.08379* [cs.CV] <https://arxiv.org/abs/2406.08379>
- [15] Zhifan Ni, Esteve Valls Mascaró, Hyemin Ahn, and Dongheui Lee. 2023. Human-object interaction prediction in videos through gaze following. *Computer Vision and Image Understanding* 233 (Aug. 2023), 103741. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cviu.2023.103741>
- [16] OpenAI. 2023. GPT-4 Technical Report. <https://openai.com/research/gpt-4>
- [17] Kishore Papineni, Salim Roukos, Todd Ward, and Wei-Jing Zhu. 2002. Bleu: a Method for Automatic Evaluation of Machine Translation. In *Proceedings of the 40th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, Pierre Isabelle, Eugene Charniak, and Dekang Lin (Eds.). Association for Computational Linguistics, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA, 311–318. <https://doi.org/10.3115/1073083.1073135>
- [18] pupil labs. [n. d.]. NEON: Eye tracking for research and beyond. <https://pupil-labs.com/products/neon>
- [19] Nils Reimers and Iryna Gurevych. 2019. Sentence-BERT: Sentence Embeddings using Siamese BERT-Networks. *arXiv:1908.10084* [cs.CL] <https://arxiv.org/abs/1908.10084>
- [20] Ekta Sood, Fabian Kögel, Florian Strohm, Prajit Dhar, and Andreas Bulling. 2021. VQA-MHUG: A Gaze Dataset to Study Multimodal Neural Attention in Visual Question Answering. In *Proceedings of the 25th Conference on Computational Natural Language Learning*, Arianna Bisazza and Omri Abend (Eds.). Association for Computational Linguistics, Online, 27–43. <https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2021.conll-1.3>
- [21] Ekta Sood, Fabian Kögel, Philipp Müller, Dominike Thomas, Mihai Băce, and Andreas Bulling. 2023. Multimodal Integration of Human-Like Attention in Visual Question Answering. In *Proc. Workshop on Gaze Estimation and Prediction in the Wild (GAZE)*, CVPRW. 2647–2657. [https://openaccess.thecvf.com/content/CVPR2023W/GAZE/papers/Sood\\_Multimodal\\_Integration\\_of\\_Human-Like\\_Attention\\_in\\_Visual\\_Question\\_Answering\\_CVPRW\\_2023\\_paper.pdf](https://openaccess.thecvf.com/content/CVPR2023W/GAZE/papers/Sood_Multimodal_Integration_of_Human-Like_Attention_in_Visual_Question_Answering_CVPRW_2023_paper.pdf)
- [22] Yusuke Sugano and Andreas Bulling. 2016. *Seeing with Humans: Gaze-Assisted Neural Image Captioning*. Technical Report. *arXiv:1608.05203* <http://arxiv.org/abs/1608.05203>
- [23] Gemini Team. 2024. Gemini 1.5: Unlocking multimodal understanding across millions of tokens of context. *arXiv:2403.05530* [cs.CL] <https://arxiv.org/abs/2403.05530>
- [24] Peng Wang, Shuai Bai, Sinan Tan, Shijie Wang, Zhihao Fan, Jinze Bai, Keqin Chen, Xuejing Liu, Jialin Wang, Wenbin Ge, Yang Fan, Kai Dang, Mengfei Du, Xuancheng Ren, Rui Men, Dayiheng Liu, Chang Zhou, Jingren Zhou, and Junyang Lin. 2024. Qwen2-VL: Enhancing Vision-Language Model's Perception of the World at Any Resolution. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2409.12191* (2024).
- [25] Xintong Wang, Xiaoyu Li, Xingshan Li, and Chris Biemann. 2024. Probing Large Language Models from A Human Behavioral Perspective. *arXiv:2310.05216* [cs.CL] <https://arxiv.org/abs/2310.05216>
- [26] Zeyu Wang, Yuanchun Shi, Yuntao Wang, Yuchen Yao, Kun Yan, Yuhang Wang, Lei Ji, Xuhai Xu, and Chun Yu. 2024. G-VOILA: Gaze-Facilitated Information Querying in Daily Scenarios. *Proc. ACM Interact. Mob. Wearable Ubiquitous Technol.* 8, 2, Article 78 (May 2024), 33 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3659623>
- [27] Shukang Yin, Chaoyou Fu, Sirui Zhao, Ke Li, Xing Sun, Tong Xu, and Enhong Chen. 2023. A survey on multimodal large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.13549* (2023).
- [28] Shukang Yin, Chaoyou Fu, Sirui Zhao, Ke Li, Xing Sun, Tong Xu, and Enhong Chen. 2024. A Survey on Multimodal Large Language Models. *arXiv:2306.13549* [cs.CV] <https://arxiv.org/abs/2306.13549>