RailGoerl24: Görlitz Rail Test Center CV Dataset 2024

Rustam Tagiew¹, Ilkay Wunderlich², Mark Sastuba¹ and Steffen Seitz³

Abstract-Driverless train operation for open tracks on urban guided transport and mainline railways requires, among other things automatic detection of actual and potential obstacles, especially humans, in the danger zone of the train's path. Machine learning algorithms have proven to be powerful state-of-the-art tools for this task. However, these algorithms require large amounts of high-quality annotated data containing human beings in railway-specific environments as training data. Unfortunately, the amount of publicly available datasets is not yet sufficient and is significantly inferior to the datasets in the road domain. Therefore, this paper presents RailGoerl24, an on-board visual light Full HD camera dataset of 12205 frames recorded in a railway test center of TÜV SÜD Rail, in Görlitz, Germany. Its main purpose is to support the development of driverless train operation for guided transport. RailGoerl24 also includes a terrestrial LiDAR scan covering parts of the area used to acquire the RGB data. In addition to the raw data, the dataset contains 33 556 boxwise annotations in total for the object class 'person'. The faces of recorded actors are not blurred or altered in any other way. RailGoerl24, soon available at data.fid-move.de/dataset/railgoerl24, can also be used for tasks beyond collision prediction.

I. INTRODUCTION

In automatic train operation (ATO), technical systems replace the functions of the train driver and other operating staff. ATO includes different grades of automation (GoA) [1]. The higher the GoA, the more functions are replaced, up to GoA4 with no staff on-board. At least in the European context, regulations and technical standards differ significantly for mainline railways and urban guided transport like subways, light rail, and trams. In the case of GoA2, this leads to the parallel development of similar systems such as the European Train Control System (ETCS) for mainline railways and Communication-Based Train Control (CBTC) for urban systems. However, for the person detection function from GoA3 onwards, it is expected that related system components will be developed by applying machine learning (ML) to shared datasets.

Monitoring the danger zone of the train's path is one of the main tasks of the train driver, who predicts collisions and acts accordingly. This task is the most challenging as it impedes an upgrade to GoA3 and GoA4 (GoA3+) where no driver is required [2]. In this regard, GoA3+ of mainline trains

differs from fully automated metros, such as the Nuremberg U-Bahn. They are also known as "horizontal lifts", closed systems with traffic running in isolated and mostly enclosed environments, such as tunnels. As a result, no person detection is needed and, consequently, no computer vision (CV) systems are required onboard. In contrast, open mainline and open urban rail systems are subject to environmental interferences, e.g., at level crossings or on unfenced tracks.

The CV dataset RailGoerl24 [3], presented in this paper, is proposed to facilitate the ML-based development of onboard person detection systems, as well as stationary automated security surveillance and occupational safety systems. Additionally, the few currently available related real-world datasets including objects in the railway environment are listed in Sec.II.

II. EXISTING DATASETS

To the best of the authors' knowledge, datasets listed in Tab.I are the CV datasets recorded by real-world frontal onboard sensors of a mainline or urban railway train including object annotations that have explicitly been published for free use by the research community. Purely synthetic or datasets of artificially injected objects like RailFOD23 [4] and SRLC [5] are not included in Tab.I. The listed datasets contain annotated single RGB-camera frames from video sequences, LiDAR recordings and rarely recordings from other sensors.

The datasets RailSem19 [6], RAWPED [7] and railhp8ij [8] contain single RGB camera images of mainline or urban railway scenes with polygonal annotations of persons. RailSem19 [6] additionally provides dense pixelwise semantic segmentation of persons. RailEye3D [9] is a stereo RGB HD dataset containing polygonal annotations of persons on platforms mainly for the task of safe door operation. ESRORAD [10] is a multi-sensor dataset recorded by a car driving on paved tram rails. RailVID [11] is an open polygonal annotated infrared dataset for urban railways. The first open annotated multi-sensor dataset for mainline railways is OSDaR23 [2]. RailEye3D [9], ESRORAD [10], RailVD [11] and OSDaR23 [2] contain cuboid and polygonal annotations of persons.

In summary, there are only 7 relatively small annotated open CV datasets for the class 'person' from the years 2019– 2023. The growth of their number is low and not significantly increasing over the last years.

III. MOTIVATION FOR RAILGOERL24

IV. CHOICE OF SCENARIOS

The danger zone of a train can be entered with or without suicidal intent. Suicidal intent is excluded from the safety

¹Rustam Tagiew and Mark Sastuba are with the German Centre for Rail Traffic Research at the Federal Railway Authority (DZSF), Dresden, Germany. This is not an official statement, guideline or directive of the German Federal Railway Authority. forschung@dzsf.bund.de

²Ilkay Wunderlich is with EYYES GmbH, Gedersdorf, Austria ilkay.wunderlich@eyyes.com

³Steffen Seitz is with the Conrad Zuse School of Embedded Composite AI (SECAI) and the Chair of Fundamentals of Electrical Engineering of Dresden University of Technology, Dresden, Germany steffen.seitz@tu-dresden.de



Fig. 1. Examples of recorded and annotated scenarios from RailGoerl24. The top left and center corners show several persons without warning jackets. The top right corner shows several persons wearing warning jackets. In the bottom left corner is a person in a kangaroo costume. The bottom center presents a person lying on the tracks and the bottom right corner shows a person with a child. Annotating rectangles are orange.

Dataset	Pub.	Size (frs.–frames.	Sensors	Data format	Annotation	Main-	Urban	Class
	year	pts 3D points)	~~~~~			line		'person'
RailSem19 [6]	2019	8 500 frs.	RGB	variable	polygon,	\checkmark	\checkmark	√
					2D semantic,			
					splines			
FRSign [12]	2020	105 352 frs.	RGB	2048×1536 ,	polygon	\checkmark		
				1920×1200				
RAWPED [7]	2020	26 000 frs.	RGB	variable	polygon	\checkmark	 ✓ 	✓
Catenary Arch [13]	2021	55×10^6 pts.	LiDAR		3D semantic	\checkmark		
RailEye3D [9]	2021	11 867 frs.	2×RGB	1088×1920	polygon	\checkmark		✓
Rail-DB [14]	2022	7 432 frs.	RGB	800×288	polyline	\checkmark		
*RailSet [15]	2022	6 600 frs.	RGB	variable	polygon,	\checkmark		
					polyline			
*ESRORAD [10]	2022	**100 000 frs.	2xRGB, LiDAR	1920×1080	cuboid		\checkmark	 ✓
RailVID [11]	2022	1071 frs.	Infrared	640×512	2D semantic		\checkmark	 ✓
rail-hp8ij [8]	2022	578 frs.	RGB	variable	polygon	\checkmark		 ✓
GERALD [16]	2023	5 000 frs.	RGB	$1920 \times 1080,$	polygon	\checkmark		
				1280×720				
OSDaR23 [2]	2023	1 534 m-frs.	$6 \times \text{RGB},$	$4112 \times 2504,$	polygon,	\checkmark		√
				$2464 \times 1600,$	cuboid,			
			$3 \times Infrared$,	$640 \times 480,$	polyline			
			Radar,	2856×1428				
			LiDAR					
WHU-Railway3D [17]	2023	4600×10^{6} pts.	LiDAR		3D semantic	\checkmark	✓	
Rail3D [18]	2024	288×10^6 pts.	LiDAR		3D semantic	\checkmark		
RailPC [19]	2024	3000×10^6 pts.	LiDAR		3D semantic		\checkmark	
RailCloud-HdF [20]	2024	8060×10^6 pts.	LiDAR		3D semantic	\checkmark		

TABLE I

EXISTING REAL-WORLD SENSOR DATA IN OPEN DATASETS FOR MAINLINE AND URBAN GOA3+

These datasets additionally contain artificially generated data.

**Not all frames depict urban street running rails; Recorded from a road car making use of the pavement on the rails, not from a railway vehicle.

assessment by EN 62267 [21] and CSM-RA [22] - only accidents are considered. It is therefore not mentioned in the safety requirements. However, it should be noted that suicidal intent can only be determined after the incident, if at all. Therefore, this difference is irrelevant for person detection developers. RailGoerl24 was designed to contain also scenes with certain suicidal appearances, some of which are shown in Fig.1.

One of the main goals in the choice of scenarios for Rail-Goerl24 was achieving representative diversity of recorded human beings for a railway operational design domain in Germany. The diversity is defined in multiple dimensions. The human amateur actors were recorded with and without the obligatory warning jackets. Male and female actors were involved, their age varied between children and elderly. Variations of medical conditions included walking disability and pregnancy. Clothing styles, body positions and crowd sizes were varied as well. The desired diversity makes our dataset ideal for evaluating trained ML models since trainrelated edge cases (e.g. a person lying on the tracks) are not represented in previous open datasets - yet they could still occur in practice.

The most important concern was the safety of the human actors. To prevent accidents, the train was always moved away from human actors, never towards them. All recordings took place on April 24th, 2024.

Additionally, an agreement with human actors was made that their faces would not be altered due to data protection reasons in the final dataset publication. This prevents inducing possible biases in the data, which could be exploited by "Clever Hans" predictors. Such a "Clever Hans" predictor otherwise learns to detect altered areas in the frames instead of human features, as all pictures containing humans are altered as well. Explainable artificial intelligence (XAI) methods would detect such a predictor. They keep the human in the loop [23].

V. RECORDING AREA AND SENSOR SYSTEM

Acquiring access to railway infrastructure to record CV data closest possible to realistic hazardous scenarios is much more complicated than for road-based cases. This has been achieved in the case of RailGoerl24 for mainline railways. Fig.2 shows the map of the recorded area near Görlitz, Germany, where trains are operated at GoA0.



Fig. 2. Red arrow in the top left map shows the location of TÜV SÜD rail test center in Görlitz, Germany [openstreetmap.org]. The area is marked orange for RGB and red rectangle for the LiDAR recordings.

In ANNEX I of CSM-RA [22] Points 2.1.4(b), 2.4.2(b) and 2.4.3(b) allow a simplified approach for safety approval of CV systems for driverless trains, if they have "*similar functions and interfaces*" to the replaced human driver. According to DIN SPEC 91516 [24], an RGB camera as an interface is more similar to a human eye than a LiDAR sensor. Fig.3 shows the on-board sensor setup with a single RGB 1 920 \times 1 080 camera for recording RailGoerl24. It is the model Axis P3925-R with a 3.6 mm lens covering a 85.7° horizontal field of view. The orientation of the camera is tilted slightly downwards. The bottom edge of the image is the first visible point at a distance of approx. 3 m in front of the vehicle.

Additionally to RGB, LiDAR data for a share of the surrounding area was acquired. The accompanying 3D data were captured using a Leica RTC360 terrestrial laser scanner and had multiple motivations. It can be used to mimic train driver's route knowledge, create a digital map, employ background subtraction algorithms or simply make a better picture of geometric conditions in the area of recordings. The recorded colored point cloud consists of 383 922 305 points



Fig. 3. Full HD camera on a V 22 locomotive (SN:0262.6.620) at 2.45 m from the track surface, mounted on the front or rear, marked red.

as Fig.5 depicts and was merged from 40 individual scan positions.

In addition to the raw sensor data, RailGoerl24 is a semi-automatically annotated by rectangular bounding boxes enclosing all visible persons (Fig.1). Saving up to 60% of manual work time in comparison to fully manual annotation, the annotations were initially created by an algorithm developed by EYYES GmbH. Later, they were reviewed and refined manually. There is no annotation for the point cloud data.

VI. RAILGOERL24 STATISTICS



Fig. 4. Scatter plots of annotations. The unit of the axes is pixel. The left plot shows the object positions on the X and Y axes. The right shows the distributions of height H and width W.

The annotated dataset comprises 61 video sequences. These sequences include 12 205 frames with 33 556 bounding box annotations of persons. The video sequences were recorded at frame rate of 25 Hz and every 15^{th} frame was extracted for annotation purposes. Annotations' scatter plots are depicted in Fig.4.

VII. LIMITATIONS

In contrast to human vision, RailGoerl24 is not a stereo dataset. It can not be used by a sequence-based CV for a forward-moving train scenario, since due to safety reasons, actors were recorded from a reversing train. Night light recordings are not included.



Fig. 5. LiDAR point cloud of the recorded area, the red rectangle in Fig.2.

VIII. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

As trespassing on railways is life-threatening and disrupts train operations, person detection is critical. RailGoerl24 is one of the 5 open annotated datasets recorded on-board for mainline railways, which also can be used for urban railways. Further datasets similar to RailGoerl24 will be required to develop CV systems enabling the development of GoA3+. They can include additional sensors and an increase of data in sense of quantity. RailGoerl24 can serve as a reference as well as a basis for extensions, which will fill the gaps described in Sec.VII.

Developers of CV systems that work in related fields of research like automated security surveillance and train door operation performed on-board and off-board might also take advantage of RailGoerl24 and contribute to the development of further datasets. Similarly, developers of data generation systems for railways [25] might also improve the performance of their system by using RailGoerl24. All stakeholders in the rail sector and beyond are invited to participate in this effort and, if possible, publish new datasets to achieve a broad research and development community.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

For their support in the project, the authors thank their DZSF colleagues K. Hofmann, K. Mühl, all amateur actors and manual data annotation correctors. This work was funded by the DZSF as part of the XRAISE project, in-house research within the BMDV Network of Experts and also partly by the SECAI.

REFERENCES

- DIN Media GmbH, "DIN DKE SPEC 99002:2025-03, Terminology AI in railway applications," Berlin.
- [2] R. Tagiew, P. Klasek, R. Tilly, M. Köppel, P. Denzler, P. Neumaier, T. Klockau, M. Boekhoff, and K. Schwalbe, "OSDaR23: Open Sensor Data for Rail 2023," in *ICRAE*, 2023, pp. 270–276.

- [3] R. Tagiew, I. Wunderlich, P. Zanitzer, M. Sastuba, C. Knoll, K. Göller, H. Amjad, and S. Seitz, "Görlitz Rail Test Center CV Dataset 2024 (RailGoerl24)," 2025, TIB, DOI:10.57806/4d2fpj1y.
- [4] Z. Chen, J. Yang, Z. Feng, and H. Zhu, "RailFOD23: A dataset for foreign object detection on railroad transmission lines," *Scientific Data*, vol. 11, no. 1, p. 72, 2024.
- [5] G. Uggla and M. Horemuz, "Towards synthesized training data for semantic segmentation of mobile laser scanning point clouds: Generating level crossings from real and synthetic point cloud samples," *Automation in Construction*, vol. 130, 2021.
- [6] O. Zendel, M. Murschitz, M. Zeilinger, D. Steininger, S. Abbasi, and C. Beleznai, "RailSem19: A Dataset for Semantic Rail Scene Understanding," in *CVPRW*, 2019, pp. 1221–1229.
- [7] T. Toprak, B. Belenlioglu, B. Aydın, C. Guzelis, and M. A. Selver, "Conditional Weighted Ensemble of Transferred Models for Camera Based Onboard Pedestrian Detection in Railway Driver Support Systems," *IEEE TVT*, vol. 69, no. 5, pp. 5041–5054, 2020.
- [8] "Rail computer vision project." [Online]. Available: universe.roboflow. com/rail-psseq/rail-hp8ij
- [9] M. Wallner, D. Steininger, V. Widhalm, M. Schoerghuber, and C. Beleznai, "RGB-D Railway Platform Monitoring and Scene Understanding for Enhanced Passenger Safety," in *Pattern Recognition*. *ICPR International Workshops and Challenges*, 2021.
- [10] R. Khemmar, A. Mauri, C. Dulompont, J. Gajula, V. Vauchey, M. Haddad, and R. Boutteau, "Road and Railway Smart Mobility: A High-Definition Ground Truth Hybrid Dataset," *Sensors*, vol. 22, no. 10, p. 3922, 2022.
- [11] H. Yuan, Z. Mei, Y. Chen, W. Niu, and C. Wu, "Railvid: A dataset for rail environment semantic," *ICONS*, vol. 2022, p. 17th, 2022.
- [12] J. Harb, N. Rébéna, R. Chosidow, G. Roblin, R. Potarusov, and H. Hajri, "FRSign: A Large-Scale Traffic Light Dataset for Autonomous Trains," *CoRR*, vol. 2002.05665, 2020.
- [13] B. Ton, F. Ahmed, and J. Linssen, "Semantic Segmentation of Terrestrial Laser Scans of Railway Catenary Arches: A Use Case Perspective," *Sensors*, vol. 23, no. 1, 2023.
- [14] X. Li and X. Peng, "Rail Detection: An Efficient Row-Based Network and a New Benchmark," in ACM MM, 2022, p. 6455–6463.
- [15] A. Zouaoui, A. Mahtani, M. A. Hadded, S. Ambellouis, J. Boonaert, and H. Wannous, "RailSet: A Unique Dataset for Railway Anomaly Detection," in *IEEE IPAS*, vol. Five, 2022, pp. 1–6.
- [16] P. Leibner, F. Hampel, and C. Schindler, "GERALD: A novel dataset for the detection of German mainline railway signals," *Journal of Rail* and Rapid Transit, 2023.
- [17] B. Qiu, Y. Zhou, L. Dai, B. Wang, J. Li, Z. Dong, C. Wen, Z. Ma, and B. Yang, "WHU-Railway3D: A Diverse Dataset and Benchmark for Railway Point Cloud Semantic Segmentation," *IEEE Transactions* on *Intelligent Transportation Systems*, vol. 25, no. 12, 2024.
- [18] A. Kharroubi, Z. Ballouch, R. Hajji, A. Yarroudh, and R. Billen, "Multi-Context Point Cloud Dataset and Machine Learning for Railway Semantic Segmentation," *Infrastructures*, vol. 9, no. 4, 2024.
- [19] D. Ai, S. Qin, S. Gao, H. Yuan, and Y. Liu, "Key technology for digital twins in the architecture, engineering, and construction industry: new advances in point cloud semantic segmentation algorithms for buildings," *Journal of Electronic Imaging*, vol. 33, no. 5, 2024.
- [20] M. Abid, M. Teixeira, A. Mahtani, and T. Laurent, "RailCloud-HdF: A Large-Scale Point Cloud Dataset for Railway Scene Semantic Segmentation," in *VISIGRAPP*, vol. 159, 2024, p. 170.
- [21] "EN 62267:2009, Railway applications Automated urban guided transport (AUGT) – Safety requirements."
- [22] "IMPLEMENTING REGULATION (EU) No 402/2013," Common Safety Method for Risk evaluation and Assessment (CSM-RA).
- [23] R. Müller, M. Dürschmidt, J. Ullrich, C. Knoll, S. Weber, and S. Seitz, "Do humans and convolutional neural networks attend to similar areas during scene classification: Effects of task and image type," *Applied Sciences*, vol. 14, no. 6, 2024.
- [24] DIN Media GmbH, "DIN SPEC 91516, Human performance regarding the dynamic driving task for the specification of AI in ATO," Berlin, to be published.
- [25] G. D'Amico, M. Marinoni, F. Nesti, G. Rossolini, G. Buttazzo, S. Sabina, and G. Lauro, "TrainSim: A Railway Simulation Framework for LiDAR and Camera Dataset Generation," *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, vol. 24, no. 12, 2023.