

An l -adic bifiltered complex of a proper SNCL scheme with an SNCD and an l -adic relative monodromy filtration

Yukiyoshi Nakkajima *

Abstract.— For a family S of log points with global chart and for a proper SNCL scheme $f: X \rightarrow S$ with an SNCD \mathring{D} over \mathring{S} , we construct a fundamental l -adic bifiltered complex $(A_{l^\infty}(X(D)), P^{\mathring{D}}, P) \in \mathbf{D}^b \mathbf{F}_{\text{cftd}}^2(\mathring{X}_{\text{et}}, \mathbb{Z}_l)$ as a geometric application of the theory of the derived category of (bi)filtered complexes in [B2], [NS] and [Nakk4]. By using this bifiltered complex, we give the formulation of the log l -adic relative monodromy-weight conjecture with respect to the filtration arising from \mathring{D} . That is, we state that, if \mathring{X} is projective over \mathring{S} , then the l -adic relative monodromy filtration on the Kummer log étale cohomological sheaf $R^q f_{X(D)/S^*}(\mathbb{Q}_l)$ of $(X, \mathring{D})/S$ ($q \in \mathbb{N}$) should exist and it should be equal to the l -adic weight filtration P on $R^q f_{X(D)/S^*}(\mathbb{Q}_l)$ constructed in this paper.

1 Introduction

Let V be an object of an abelian category with a finite increasing filtration W and let $N: V \rightarrow V$ be a nilpotent endomorphism respecting W . In [D4] Deligne has proved that there exists at most one monodromy filtration relative to W , that is, a finite increasing filtration M on V such that $N(M_k) \subset M_{k-2}$ ($\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}$) and such that N^e ($e \in \mathbb{N}$) induces an isomorphism $N^e: \text{gr}_e^M \text{gr}_k^W V \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{gr}_{-e}^M \text{gr}_k^W V$ for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let U_0 be the complement of a smooth divisor D_0 defined by an equation $t = 0$ in a smooth scheme X_0 over a finite field \mathbb{F}_q . Let \mathcal{F}_0 be a smooth $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ -sheaf on U_0 tamely ramified along D_0 . Let $\mathcal{F}_0[D_0]$ be the restriction to D_0 of an extension $\overline{\mathcal{F}}_0$ on $\varprojlim_{(n,q)=1} X_0[t^{1/n}]$ of \mathcal{F}_0 ([D4]). Let $\iota: \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}$ be an isomorphism of fields. Assume that \mathcal{F}_0 has a finite increasing filtration W of smooth $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ -subsheaves such that $\text{gr}_k^W \mathcal{F}_0$ is exactly ι -pure of weight k . In [loc. cit.] Deligne has proved that there exists the monodromy filtration M on $\mathcal{F}_0[D_0]$ along $D := D_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ relative to W and that $\text{gr}_k^M \mathcal{F}_0[D_0]$ is exactly ι -pure of weight k . Pursuing an analogue of this in characteristic 0, he has also defined the variation of mixed Hodge structures (V, W, F) over the punctured unit disk Δ^* over the complex number field and he has posed a problem defining a class (V, W, F) with the logarithm N of a unipotent monodromy of V such that there exists a monodromy filtration M on V relative to W (See, e.g., [St2] and [A] for a more expanded statement: the admissibility of variations of mixed Hodge structures.).

*2020 Mathematics subject classification number: 14F20.

Let $\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{X}}$ be a projective strict semistable family with horizontal SNCD(=simple normal crossing divisor) $\overset{\circ}{D}$ over the unit disk Δ . In ([SZ]) (resp. [E]) Steenbrink and Zucker (resp. El Zein) have given an answer for Deligne's problem in this good geometric situation. Let $\overset{\circ}{X}$ and $\overset{\circ}{D}$ be the special fibers of $\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{X}}$ and $\overset{\circ}{D}$, respectively. To construct the relative monodromy filtration on the suitable log cohomology of $(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{D})$ with coefficient \mathbb{Q} with respect to the filtration arising from $\overset{\circ}{D}$, they have used the weight filtration arising from $\overset{\circ}{X}$ and $\overset{\circ}{D}$. They have proved that the weight filtration has the properties of the relative monodromy filtration by using M. Saito's result about the coincidence of the monodromy filtration and the weight filtration in the case $D = \emptyset$ ([SaM1]). Consequently the weight filtration is equal to the relative monodromy filtration. Influenced by their work, M. Saito has constructed the category of mixed Hodge modules ([SaM1, Introduction]).

In this paper, by using the theory of (bi)filtered derived category in [B2], [NS] and [Nakk4], we give a generalized l -adic analogue of their work in the equal characteristic case $p > 0$ and in the mixed characteristics case under the assumption of the famous l -adic monodromy-weight conjecture. The theory in [loc. cit.] gives us an essential language for the construction of a key bifiltered complex in this paper.

First let us recall the case where W is the trivial filtration i.e., $W_{-1} = 0$ and $W_0 = V$ in the equal characteristic and mixed characteristics cases. In [RZ] Rapoport and Zink have constructed a filtered complex producing an l -adic weight spectral sequence as follows.

Let $\overset{\circ}{S}$ be the spectrum of a henselian discrete valuation ring with separably closed residue field. Let $\bar{\eta}$ be a geometric point of the generic point η of $\overset{\circ}{S}$. Let $\overset{\circ}{s}$ be the closed point of $\overset{\circ}{S}$. Let $\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{X}}$ be a proper strict semistable family over $\overset{\circ}{S}$. Let $\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{X}}_{\overset{\circ}{s}}$ (resp. $\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{X}}_{\bar{\eta}}$) be the special fiber (resp. the geometric generic fiber) of $\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{X}}$ over $\overset{\circ}{S}$. For a nonnegative integer j , let $(\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{X}}_{\overset{\circ}{s}})^{(j)}$ be the disjoint union of the $(j+1)$ -fold intersections of the irreducible components of $\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{X}}_{\overset{\circ}{s}}$. Let l be a fixed prime number which is invertible on $\overset{\circ}{S}$. In [RZ] Rapoport and Zink have constructed the projective system $\{A_{l^n}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{X}/S)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of filtered complexes of \mathbb{Z}_l -modules in the étale topos $(\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{X}}_{\overset{\circ}{s}})_{\text{et}}$ of $\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{X}}_{\overset{\circ}{s}}$ producing the following l -adic weight spectral sequence:

$$(1.0.1) \quad E_1^{-k, q+k} := \bigoplus_{j \geq \max\{-k, 0\}} H_{\text{et}}^{q-2j-k}((\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{X}}_{\overset{\circ}{s}})^{(2j+k)}, \mathbb{Z}_l)(-j-k) \implies H_{\text{et}}^q(\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{X}}_{\bar{\eta}}, \mathbb{Z}_l) \quad (q \in \mathbb{N}).$$

(See also some remarks in [Nakk2] about this spectral sequence.) However they have not defined their filtered complexes as objects of the derived categories $D^+F(\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{X}}_{\overset{\circ}{s}}, \mathbb{Z}/l^n)$'s of bounded below filtered complexes of \mathbb{Z}/l^n 's-modules ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) on $(\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{X}}_{\overset{\circ}{s}})_{\text{et}}$. In fact, one should show that $\{A_{l^n}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{X}/S)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ defines a well-defined object of the projective 2-limit $D^+F_{\text{ctd}}(\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{X}}_{\overset{\circ}{s}}, \mathbb{Z}_l)$ of $D^bF_{\text{ctd}}(\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{X}}_{\overset{\circ}{s}}, \mathbb{Z}/l^n)$'s. Here $D^bF_{\text{ctd}}(\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{X}}_{\overset{\circ}{s}}, \mathbb{Z}/l^n)$ is the derived category of bounded filtered complexes of constructible \mathbb{Z}/l^n -modules of finite tordimension in the étale topos $\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{X}}_{\overset{\circ}{s}}$ (see [D3], [II1] and [Nakk4] for the definition of the derived category of bifiltered complexes). Let P be the induced filtration on $H_{\text{et}}^q(\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{X}}_{\bar{\eta}}, \mathbb{Q}_l)$ by their filtered complexes. Let $N: H_{\text{et}}^q(\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{X}}_{\bar{\eta}}, \mathbb{Q}_l) \longrightarrow H_{\text{et}}^q(\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{X}}_{\bar{\eta}}, \mathbb{Q}_l)(-1)$ be the l -adic monodromy operator. Deligne has conjectured (what is called, the l -adic

monodromy-weight conjecture in the case where \mathring{S} is of mixed characteristics) that N induces an isomorphism

$$(1.0.2) \quad N^k : \mathrm{gr}_{q+k}^P H_{\mathrm{et}}^q(\mathring{\mathcal{X}}_{\overline{\eta}}, \mathbb{Q}_l) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{gr}_{q-k}^P H_{\mathrm{et}}^q(\mathring{\mathcal{X}}_{\overline{\eta}}, \mathbb{Q}_l)(-k) \quad (\forall k \in \mathbb{N}).$$

In the case where \mathring{S} is of equal characteristic, this conjecture is true by [D4] and [It]. In the case where \mathring{S} is of mixed characteristics, this conjecture has not yet been solved.

In [Nak3] C. Nakayama has generalized Rapoport-Zink's result above as follows.

Let s be the log point of a separably closed field κ , i.e., $s = (\mathrm{Spec}(\kappa), \mathbb{N} \oplus \kappa^* \rightarrow \kappa)$, where the morphism $\mathbb{N} \oplus \kappa^* \rightarrow \kappa$ is defined by $(n, a) \mapsto 0^n a$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}, a \in \kappa^*$), where $0^0 = 1 \in \kappa$ and $0^n = 0$ for $n \neq 0$. Let X be a proper SNCL(=simple normal crossing log) scheme over s defined in [Nakk1]. Let \mathring{s} and \mathring{X} be the underlying schemes of s and X , respectively. For a positive integer j , let $\mathring{X}^{(j)}$ be the disjoint union of the $(j+1)$ -fold intersections of the irreducible components of \mathring{X} . Let l be a prime number which is invertible on \mathring{s} . For a commutative monoid P with unit element, denote by $\mathrm{Spec}^{\mathrm{log}}(\mathbb{Z}[P])$ a log scheme whose underlying scheme is $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[P])$ and whose log structure is the association of a natural inclusion $P \xrightarrow{\subset} \mathbb{Z}[P]$. Set $s_{l^m} := s \times_{\mathrm{Spec}^{\mathrm{log}}(\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{N}]}) \mathrm{Spec}^{\mathrm{log}}(\mathbb{Z}[l^{-m}\mathbb{N}])$ ($m \in \mathbb{N}$) and $s_{l^\infty} := \varprojlim_m s_{l^m}$. For an fs(=fine and saturated) log scheme Y over s , set $Y_{l^m} := Y \times_s s_{l^m}$ and $Y_{l^\infty} := Y \times_s s_{l^\infty}$. In [Nak3] Nakayama has constructed the projective system of filtered complexes producing the following l -adic weight spectral sequence whose convergent term is the Kummer log étale cohomology $H_{\mathrm{ket}}^q(X_{l^\infty}, \mathbb{Z}_l)$ ($q \in \mathbb{N}$) defined in [FK] and [Nak1]:

$$(1.0.3) \quad E_1^{-k, q+k} := \bigoplus_{j \geq \max\{-k, 0\}} H_{\mathrm{et}}^{q-2j-k}(\mathring{X}^{(2j+k)}, \mathbb{Z}_l)(-j-k) \implies H_{\mathrm{ket}}^q(X_{l^\infty}, \mathbb{Z}_l) \quad (q \in \mathbb{N}),$$

though he has not defined his filtered complexes as objects of the derived categories $D^b\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ctfd}}(\mathring{X}_{\mathrm{et}}, \mathbb{Z}/l^n)$'s of bounded below filtered complexes of constructible \mathbb{Z}/l^n 's-modules of finite tor-dimension ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) in $\mathring{X}_{\mathrm{et}}$ as in Rapoport-Zink's case. If X is the special fiber of \mathcal{X} with canonical log structure, then Fujiwara and Kato have proved that

$$(1.0.4) \quad H_{\mathrm{ket}}^q(X_{l^\infty}, \mathbb{Z}_l) = H_{\mathrm{et}}^q(\mathring{\mathcal{X}}_{\overline{\eta}}, \mathbb{Z}_l)$$

([FK]) (See also [Nak2], [Nak3] and [I2].). In [Nak3] Nakayama has proved that his filtered complexes (however see (2.17) and (2.19) below) are isomorphic to Rapoport-Zink's ones. In [Nakk2] we have proved that the weight spectral sequence (1.0.1) is isomorphic to the weight spectral sequence (1.0.3). As a result, (1.0.3) turns out to be a generalization of (1.0.1). In [loc. cit.] he has also proved the degeneration at E_2 modulo torsion of the spectral sequence (1.0.3). Let $N : H_{\mathrm{ket}}^q(X_{l^\infty}, \mathbb{Q}_l) \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{ket}}^q(X_{l^\infty}, \mathbb{Q}_l)(-1)$ be the l -adic monodromy operator. In [Ka] (see also [Nak3], [Nakk2]) Kato has conjectured that, if \mathring{X} is projective over κ , then N induces an isomorphism

$$(1.0.5) \quad N^k : \mathrm{gr}_{q+k}^P H_{\mathrm{ket}}^q(X_{l^\infty}, \mathbb{Q}_l) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{gr}_{q-k}^P H_{\mathrm{ket}}^q(X_{l^\infty}, \mathbb{Q}_l)(-k) \quad (\forall k \in \mathbb{N}).$$

We call this conjecture the *log l -adic monodromy-weight conjecture*.

The aim of this paper is to generalize Nakayama's work by using our general results for the derived category of (bi)filtered complexes developed in [NS] and [Nakk4]. Our generalization of Nakayama's work is not easier than the generalization [SZ] of [St1] because torsion sheaves appears in our case. The main result in this paper is to give the formulation of the *log l -adic relative monodromy-weight conjecture*. We prove that, if the log l -adic monodromy-weight conjecture is true, then the log l -adic relative monodromy-weight conjecture is true and consequently the l -adic relative monodromy filtration exists. Especially we prove this conjecture for a projective strict semistable family with a horizontal SNCD over a henselian discrete valuation ring of equal characteristic $p > 0$. The key technical ingredients in [NS] and [Nakk4] for the formulation is the derived tensor product of two filtered complexes.

In the rest of this introduction, we give the statement of the log l -adic relative monodromy-weight conjecture.

Let \mathring{D} be the underlying scheme of an SNCD D on X/s which is defined in [NY]. Let $\mathcal{M}(\mathring{D})$ be the log structure on \mathring{X} defined in [NS] and denoted by $M(D)$ in [loc. cit.]. For a positive integer j , let $\mathring{D}^{(j)}$ be the disjoint union of the j -fold intersections of the irreducible components of \mathring{D} . Endow $\mathring{D}^{(j)}$ with the pull-back of the log structure of X and let $D^{(j)}$ be the resulting log scheme. Set $D^{(0)} := X$. Set $X(D) := X \times_{\mathring{X}} (\mathring{X}, \mathcal{M}(D))$ and $X_{l^m}(D) := X_{l^m} \times_{\mathring{X}} (\mathring{X}, \mathcal{M}(D))$ ($m \in \mathbb{N}$). Set $X_{l^\infty}(D) := \varprojlim_m X_{l^m}(D)$. In this paper we construct an object

$$(A_{l^\infty}(X(D)/s), P^{\mathring{D}}, P) := \{(A_{l^n}(X(D)/s), P^{\mathring{D}}, P)\}_{n=1}^\infty$$

of $D^b\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{cftd}}^2(\mathring{X}_{\mathrm{et}}, \mathbb{Z}_l)$. This is the most important object in this paper. The bifiltered complex $(A_{l^\infty}(X(D)/s), P^{\mathring{D}}, P)$ produces the following two spectral sequences of $H_{\mathrm{ket}}^q(X_{l^\infty}(D), \mathbb{Z}_l)$, respectively:

$$(1.0.6) \quad E_1^{-k, q+k} = H_{\mathrm{ket}}^{q-k}(D^{(k)}, \mathbb{Z}_l)(-k) \implies H_{\mathrm{ket}}^q(X_{l^\infty}(D), \mathbb{Z}_l) \quad (q \in \mathbb{N}),$$

$$(1.0.7) \quad E_1^{-k, q+k} = \bigoplus_{k'=-\infty}^k \bigoplus_{j \geq \max\{-k', 0\}} H_{\mathrm{et}}^{q-2j-k}(\mathring{X}^{(2j+k')} \cap \mathring{D}^{(k-k')}, \mathbb{Z}_l)(-j-k) \\ \implies H_{\mathrm{ket}}^q(X_{l^\infty}(D), \mathbb{Z}_l) \quad (q \in \mathbb{N}).$$

In the case where $\mathring{D} \neq \emptyset$, it is impossible to dispense with the (bi)filtered derived category for the construction of the weight spectral sequence (1.0.7). In addition, the notion of the finite tor-dimension is indispensable in the for the construction of the spectral sequences (1.0.6) and (1.0.7). Using the specialization argument as in [Nak3], we prove that (1.0.7) degenerates at E_2 modulo torsion. We also prove that (1.0.6) degenerates at E_2 if $X(D)$ is obtained by a proper strict semistable family with a horizontal SNCD over a henselian discrete valuation ring of any characteristic.

To construct $(A_{l^\infty}(X(D)/s), P^{\mathring{D}}, P)$, we need Berthelot's theory in [B2] and [NS] for the filtered derived category and its bifiltered version in [Nakk4]. Especially we essentially use the existence of his filtered derived tensor product of two filtered complexes arising from X and \mathring{D} in [B2], [NS] for the construction of the filtered complex $(A_{l^\infty}(X(D)/s), P)$ (in addition to the existence of $D^b\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{cftd}}^2(\mathring{X}_{\mathrm{et}}, \mathbb{Z}_l)$). The

fundamental machines in [B2], [NS] and [Nakk4] with fundamental facts for Kummer log étale cohomologies in [Nak1], [KN], [Nak5] give us several properties of $(A_{l^\infty}(X(D)/s), P^{\mathring{D}}, P)$. For example, the adjunction formula for the derived homomorphism functor for bifiltered complexes proved in [Nakk4] tells us the contravariant functoriality of $(A_{l^\infty}(X(D)/s), P^{\mathring{D}}, P)$.

Let $N: H_{\text{ket}}^q(X_{l^\infty}(D), \mathbb{Q}_l) \rightarrow H_{\text{ket}}^q(X_{l^\infty}(D), \mathbb{Q}_l)(-1)$ be the l -adic monodromy operator which will be defined in the text. As an application of the construction of $(A_{l^\infty}(X(D)/s), P^{\mathring{D}}, P)$, we can give the formulation of the conjecture on the existence of the l -adic relative monodromy filtration of N on $H_{\text{ket}}^q(X_{l^\infty}(D), \mathbb{Q}_l)$ relative to $P^{\mathring{D}}$ and we conjecture that it is equal to P on $H_{\text{ket}}^q(X_{l^\infty}(D), \mathbb{Q}_l)$, that is, if \mathring{X} is projective over κ , then N induces the following isomorphism (1.0.8)

$$N^e: \text{gr}_{q+k+e}^P \text{gr}_k^{P^{\mathring{D}}} H_{\text{ket}}^q(X_{l^\infty}(D), \mathbb{Q}_l) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{gr}_{q+k-e}^P \text{gr}_k^{P^{\mathring{D}}} H_{\text{ket}}^q(X_{l^\infty}(D), \mathbb{Q}_l)(-e) \quad (\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, q, e \in \mathbb{N}).$$

We show that this conjecture (we call this conjecture the log l -adic relative monodromy-weight conjecture) follows from the log l -adic monodromy-weight conjecture (1.0.5). Because the (log) l -adic monodromy-weight conjecture has been proved in the equal characteristic case ([D1], [It]), we see that the log l -adic relative monodromy-weight conjecture is true when $X(D)$ comes from a proper strict semistable family with a horizontal SNCD over \mathring{S} for the case where \mathring{S} is of equal characteristic.

For a proper strict semistable family $\mathring{\mathcal{X}}$ over \mathring{S} , denote $\mathring{\mathcal{X}}$ with canonical log structure by \mathcal{X} and call \mathcal{X} a log proper strict semistable family S , where S is the log scheme whose underlying scheme is \mathring{S} and whose log structure is canonical. In some cases in mixed characteristics, in [Fu1] Fujiwara has raised a strategy for the conjecture (1.0.2): if X is the log special fiber of a log proper semistable family \mathcal{X} over S in the case where S is of mixed characteristics and, also, the special fiber of a log proper strict semistable family \mathcal{X}' over the spectrum of a henselian discrete valuation ring of equal characteristic with canonical log structure, then the monodromy-weight conjecture for $H^q(\mathring{\mathcal{X}}_{\mathring{\eta}}, \mathbb{Q}_l)$ follows from (1.0.4). If $X(D)$ comes from a log proper semistable family $(\mathring{\mathcal{X}}, \mathring{\mathcal{D}})$ with a horizontal SNCD over a henselian discrete valuation ring of any characteristic, we give a comparison theorem $H_{\text{ket}}^q(X_{l^\infty}(D), \mathbb{Z}_l) \simeq H^q((\mathring{\mathcal{X}}_{\mathring{\eta}} \setminus \mathring{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathring{\eta}}), \mathbb{Z}_l)$. If $X(D)$ comes from a proper semistable family $(\mathring{\mathcal{X}}, \mathring{\mathcal{D}})$ with a horizontal SNCD over a henselian discrete valuation ring of mixed characteristics and, also, a proper semistable family with a horizontal SNCD over a henselian discrete valuation ring of equal characteristic, then we prove the existence of the relative monodromy filtration on $H^q((\mathring{\mathcal{X}}_{\mathring{\eta}} \setminus \mathring{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathring{\eta}}), \mathbb{Q}_l)$ with respect to the filtration arising from $\mathring{\mathcal{D}}$.

In the very near future (resp. the future) we discuss the ∞ -adic analogues (resp. the p -adic analogues) in this paper, which are generalizations and a correction in [SZ] (resp. generalizations of results in [Nakk3]) ([Nakk5], [Nakk6]). Indeed, this is one of the motivations to write this paper.

Acknowledgment. I would like to express my thanks to K. Fujiwara and C. Nakayama for telling me a technique in the proof of (6.15) and giving me a suggestion (6.19), respectively.

Notations. (1) For a log scheme X , \mathring{X} denotes the underlying scheme of X . For a

morphism $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$, $\overset{\circ}{\varphi}$ denotes the underlying morphism $\overset{\circ}{X} \rightarrow \overset{\circ}{Y}$ of φ .

(2) SNC(L)=simple normal crossing (log), SNCD=simple normal crossing divisor.

(3) For a complex (E^\bullet, d^\bullet) of objects in an exact additive category \mathcal{A} , we often denote (E^\bullet, d^\bullet) only by E^\bullet as usual.

(4) For a complex (E^\bullet, d^\bullet) in (3) and for an integer n , $(E^\bullet\{n\}, d^\bullet\{n\})$ denotes the following complex:

$$\dots \longrightarrow E^{q-1+n} \xrightarrow[q-1]{d^{q-1+n}} E^{q+n} \xrightarrow[q]{d^{q+n}} E^{q+1+n} \xrightarrow[q+1]{d^{q+1+n}} \dots$$

Here the numbers under the objects above in \mathcal{A} mean the degrees.

(5) For a complex (E^\bullet, d^\bullet) in (3), $\tau = \{\tau_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ denotes the canonical filtration on (E^\bullet, d^\bullet) :

$$\tau_k(E^\bullet) := (\dots \longrightarrow E^{k-2} \longrightarrow E^{k-1} \longrightarrow \text{Ker}(d^k) \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow \dots).$$

(6) For a morphism $f: (E^\bullet, d_E^\bullet) \rightarrow (F^\bullet, d_F^\bullet)$ of complexes, let $\text{MF}(f)$ (resp. $\text{MC}(f)$) be the mapping fiber (resp. mapping cone) of f : $\text{MF}(f) := E^\bullet \oplus F^\bullet[-1]$ with boundary morphism “ $(x, y) \mapsto (d_E(x), -d_F(y) + f(x))$ ” (resp. $\text{MC}(f) := E^\bullet[1] \oplus F^\bullet$ with boundary morphism “ $(x, y) \mapsto (-d_E(x), d_F(y) + f(x))$ ”).

(7) Let $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A})$ be a ringed topos.

(a) $C(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A})$ (resp. $C^\pm(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A})$, $C^b(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A})$): the category of (resp. bounded below, bounded above, bounded) complexes of \mathcal{A} -modules,

(b) $K(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A})$ (resp. $K^\pm(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A})$, $K^b(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A})$): the category of (resp. bounded below, bounded above, bounded) complexes of \mathcal{A} -modules modulo homotopy,

(b) $D(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A})$ (resp. $D^\pm(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A})$, $D^b(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A})$): the derived category of $K(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A})$ (resp. $K^\pm(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A})$, $K^b(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A})$). For an object E^\bullet of $C(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A})$ (resp. $C^\pm(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A})$, $C^b(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A})$), we denote simply by E^\bullet the corresponding object to E^\bullet in $D(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A})$ (resp. $D^\pm(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A})$, $D^b(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A})$).

(d) The additional notation F to the categories above means “the filtered”. Here the filtration is an increasing filtration indexed by \mathbb{Z} . For example, $K^+\text{F}(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A})$ is the category of filtered bounded below complexes modulo filtered homotopy.

(e) $\text{DF}^2(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A})$ (resp. $\text{D}^\pm\text{F}^2(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A})$, $\text{D}^b\text{F}^2(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A})$): the derived category of (resp. bounded below, bounded above, bounded) bifiltered complexes of \mathcal{A} -modules in [Nakk4].

(8) For an fs(=fine and saturated) log scheme X , X_{ket} denotes the Kummer log étale topos of X by imitating “ $(X/S)_{\text{cris}}$ ” in the classical crystalline case. (We do not use the notation \tilde{X}_{ket} which was used in references.) For a scheme Y , Y_{et} denotes the étale topos of Y (Y_{et} is not the étale site of Y in this paper).

Conventions. We make the following conventions about signs (cf. [BBM], [Co]).

Let \mathcal{A} be an exact additive category.

(1) (cf. [BBM, 0.3.2], [Co, (1.3.2)]) For a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow (E^\bullet, d_E^\bullet) \xrightarrow{f} (F^\bullet, d_F^\bullet) \xrightarrow{g} (G^\bullet, d_G^\bullet) \longrightarrow 0$$

of bounded below complexes of objects in \mathcal{A} , let $\text{MF}(g) := F^\bullet \oplus G^\bullet[-1]$ be the mapping fiber of g . We fix an isomorphism “ $E^\bullet \ni x \mapsto (f(x), 0) \in F^\bullet \oplus G^\bullet[-1]$ ” in the derived category $D^+(\mathcal{A})$.

(2) ([BBM, 0.3.2], [Co, (1.3.3)]) In the situation (1), the boundary morphism $(G^\bullet, d_G^\bullet) \rightarrow (E^\bullet[1], d_E^\bullet[1])$ in $D^+(\mathcal{A})$ is the following composite morphism

$$(G^\bullet, d_G^\bullet) \xleftarrow{\sim} \text{MC}(f) \xrightarrow{\text{proj.}} (E^\bullet[1], d_E^\bullet[1]) \xrightarrow{(-1)^\times} (E^\bullet[1], d_E^\bullet[1]).$$

More generally, we use only the similar boundary morphism for a triangle in a derived category.

(3) For a complex (E^\bullet, d^\bullet) of objects in \mathcal{A} , the identity $\text{id}: E^q \rightarrow E^q$ ($\forall q \in \mathbb{Z}$) induces an isomorphism $\mathcal{H}^q((E^\bullet, -d^\bullet)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{H}^q((E^\bullet, d^\bullet))$ ($\forall q \in \mathbb{Z}$) of cohomologies.

(4) Let $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{R})$ be a ringed topos. For two complexes (E^\bullet, d_E^\bullet) and (F^\bullet, d_F^\bullet) of \mathcal{R} -modules, the tensor product of (E^\bullet, d_E^\bullet) and (F^\bullet, d_F^\bullet) is defined as follows as usual:

$$(E^\bullet \otimes F^\bullet)^n := \bigoplus_{p+q=n} E^p \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} F^q \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z})$$

with a boundary morphism $d^n|_{(E^p \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} F^q)} := d_E^p \otimes \text{id}_{F^q} + (-1)^p \text{id}_{E^p} \otimes d_F^q$.

2 An l -adic bifiltered complex

Let S be a family of log points defined in [Nakk3, (1.1)]. That is, S is locally isomorphic to $(\mathring{S}, \mathbb{N} \oplus \mathcal{O}_S^* \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S)$, where the morphism $\mathbb{N} \oplus \mathcal{O}_S^* \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S$ is given by $(n, a) \mapsto 0^n a$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}, a \in \mathcal{O}_S^*$), where $0^n = 0 \in \mathcal{O}_S$ for $n \neq 0$ and $0^0 := 1 \in \mathcal{O}_S$. In this paper we assume that $S \simeq (\mathring{S}, \mathbb{N} \oplus \mathcal{O}_S^* \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S)$ and we fix this isomorphism. We do not assume a condition on the characteristic of S . The S in the text is different from the S in the introduction. For a monoid P and a commutative ring of A , we denote by $\text{Spec}^{\text{log}}(A[P])$ the log scheme whose underlying scheme $\text{Spec}(A[P])$ and whose log structure is the association of the morphism $P \rightarrow A[P]$. Then we have a natural morphism $S \rightarrow \text{Spec}^{\text{log}}(\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{N}])$. Let l be a prime number which is invertible on \mathring{S} . Let μ_{l^m} ($m \in \mathbb{N}$) be the group of l^m -th roots of unity in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Set $\mu_{l^\infty} := \varinjlim_m \mu_{l^m}$.

Assume that \mathring{S} is a scheme over $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[\mu_{l^\infty}])$. Let X be an SNCL scheme with a horizontal SNCD D over S defined in [NY, (6.1)]. Assume that \mathring{X} is quasi-compact.

In this section we construct a bifiltered complex $(A_{l^\infty}(X(D)), P^{\mathring{D}}, P)$ producing the l -adic weight spectral sequences (1.0.6) and (1.0.7). The spectral sequence (1.0.7) is a generalization of the weight spectral sequence in [Nak3, (1,7)], though the signs of our vertical and horizontal boundary morphisms are different from the signs of his vertical and horizontal boundary morphisms.

Let $\Delta := \{\mathring{X}_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ and $\{\mathring{D}_\mu\}_{\mu \in M}$ be a decomposition of \mathring{X} and \mathring{D} by smooth components, respectively ([Nakk3, (1.1.9)], [NY, §6]). As in [NS, (9.13.1), (9.13.2)], for a nonnegative integer k , set

$$(2.0.1) \quad \mathring{X}_{\{\lambda_0, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k\}} := \mathring{X}_{\lambda_0} \cap \mathring{X}_{\lambda_2} \cap \dots \cap \mathring{X}_{\lambda_k} \quad (\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j \text{ if } i \neq j)$$

and for a positive integer k ,

$$(2.0.2) \quad \mathring{D}_{\{\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_k\}} := \mathring{D}_{\mu_1} \cap \mathring{D}_{\mu_2} \cap \dots \cap \mathring{D}_{\mu_k} \quad (\mu_i \neq \mu_j \text{ if } i \neq j).$$

Set

$$(2.0.3) \quad \mathring{X}^{(k)} = \coprod_{\{\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_k \mid \lambda_i \neq \lambda_j \ (i \neq j)\}} \mathring{X}_{\{\lambda_0, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k\}} \quad (k \geq 0)$$

and

$$(2.0.4) \quad \mathring{D}^{(k)} = \begin{cases} \mathring{X} & (k = 0), \\ \coprod_{\{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k \mid \mu_i \neq \mu_j \ (i \neq j)\}} \mathring{D}_{\{\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_k\}} & (k \geq 1). \end{cases}$$

Endow $\overset{\circ}{D}$ with the pull-back of the log structure of X and denote by D the resulting log scheme. The scheme $\overset{\circ}{D}$ defines an fs(=fine and saturated) log structure $\mathcal{M}(\overset{\circ}{D})$ on $\overset{\circ}{X}_{\text{zar}}$ ([NY, §6]). By abuse of notation, we denote by $\mathcal{M}(\overset{\circ}{D})$ the pull-back of $\mathcal{M}(\overset{\circ}{D})$ the natural morphism $\overset{\circ}{X}_{\text{et}} \rightarrow \overset{\circ}{X}_{\text{zar}}$ of topoi.

Proposition 2.1 (cf. [NS, (9.15)]). *For a positive integer k , $\overset{\circ}{X}^{(k)}$ (resp. $\overset{\circ}{D}^{(k)}$) is independent of the choice of the decomposition of $\overset{\circ}{X}$ (resp. $\overset{\circ}{D}$) by smooth components of $\overset{\circ}{X}$ (resp. $\overset{\circ}{D}$). In particular, the log scheme $D^{(k)}$ whose underlying scheme is $\overset{\circ}{D}^{(k)}$ and whose log structure is the pull-back of X is independent of the choice of the decomposition of $\overset{\circ}{D}$ by smooth components of $\overset{\circ}{D}$.*

Proof. The proof is the same as that of [NS, (2.2.14), (2.2.15)]. \square

As in [D1, (3.1.4)], let us define the orientation sheaves of the sets $\{\overset{\circ}{X}_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ and $\{\overset{\circ}{D}_\mu\}_{\mu \in M}$.

Let E be a finite set with cardinality $k \geq 0$. Set $\varpi_E := \bigwedge^k \mathbb{Z}^E$ if $k \geq 1$ and $\varpi_E := \mathbb{Z}$ if $k = 0$.

Let k be a nonnegative integer. Let P be a point of $\overset{\circ}{X}^{(k)}$. Let $\overset{\circ}{X}_{\lambda_0}, \dots, \overset{\circ}{X}_{\lambda_k}$ be different smooth components of $\overset{\circ}{X}$ such that $\overset{\circ}{X}_{\lambda_0} \cap \dots \cap \overset{\circ}{X}_{\lambda_k}$ contains P . Then the set $E := \{\overset{\circ}{X}_{\lambda_0}, \dots, \overset{\circ}{X}_{\lambda_k}\}$ gives an abelian sheaf

$$\varpi_{\lambda_0 \dots \lambda_k \text{zar}}(\overset{\circ}{X}/\overset{\circ}{S}) := \bigwedge_{\overset{\circ}{X}_{\lambda_0} \cap \dots \cap \overset{\circ}{X}_{\lambda_k}}^{k+1} \mathbb{Z}_{\overset{\circ}{X}}^E$$

on a local neighborhood of P in $\overset{\circ}{X}^{(k)}$. The sheaf $\varpi_{\lambda_0 \dots \lambda_k \text{zar}}(\overset{\circ}{X}/\overset{\circ}{S})$ is globalized on $\overset{\circ}{X}^{(k)}$; we denote this globalized abelian sheaf by the same symbol $\varpi_{\lambda_0 \dots \lambda_k \text{zar}}(\overset{\circ}{X}/\overset{\circ}{S})$.

We denote a local section of $\varpi_{\lambda_0 \dots \lambda_k \text{zar}}(\overset{\circ}{X}/\overset{\circ}{S})$ by the following way: $m(\lambda_0 \dots \lambda_k)$ ($m \in \mathbb{Z}$). Set $\varpi_{\text{zar}}^{(k)}(\overset{\circ}{X}/\overset{\circ}{S}) := \bigoplus_{\{\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_k\}} \varpi_{\lambda_0 \dots \lambda_k \text{zar}}(\overset{\circ}{X}/\overset{\circ}{S})$. Set $\varpi_{\text{zar}}^{(0)}(\overset{\circ}{X}/\overset{\circ}{S}) := \mathbb{Z}_{\overset{\circ}{X}}$.

Let k be a nonnegative integer. Let Q be a point of $\overset{\circ}{D}^{(k)}$. Let $\overset{\circ}{D}_{\mu_1}, \dots, \overset{\circ}{D}_{\mu_k}$ be different smooth components of $\overset{\circ}{D}$ such that $\overset{\circ}{D}_{\mu_1} \cap \dots \cap \overset{\circ}{D}_{\mu_k}$ contains Q . Then the set $F := \{\overset{\circ}{D}_{\mu_1}, \dots, \overset{\circ}{D}_{\mu_k}\}$ gives an abelian sheaf

$$\varpi_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_k \text{zar}}(\overset{\circ}{D}/\overset{\circ}{S}) := \bigwedge_{\overset{\circ}{D}_{\mu_1} \cap \dots \cap \overset{\circ}{D}_{\mu_k}}^k \mathbb{Z}_{\overset{\circ}{D}}^F$$

on a local neighborhood of Q in $\overset{\circ}{D}^{(k)}$. By using $\varpi_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_k \text{zar}}(\overset{\circ}{D}/\overset{\circ}{S})$, we have an analogous orientation sheaf $\varpi_{\text{zar}}^{(k)}(\overset{\circ}{D}/\overset{\circ}{S})$ in $\overset{\circ}{D}^{(k)}$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Set $\varpi_{\text{zar}}^{(k),(k')}((\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{D})/\overset{\circ}{S}) :=$

$\varpi_{\text{zar}}^{(k)}(\overset{\circ}{X}/\overset{\circ}{S})|_{\overset{\circ}{X}^{(k)} \cap \overset{\circ}{D}^{(k')}} \otimes \varpi_{\text{zar}}^{(k')}(\overset{\circ}{D}/\overset{\circ}{S})|_{\overset{\circ}{X}^{(k)} \cap \overset{\circ}{D}^{(k')}}$. The orientation sheaf $\varpi_{\text{zar}}^{(k)}(\overset{\circ}{X}/\overset{\circ}{S})$ (resp. $\varpi_{\text{zar}}^{(k)}(\overset{\circ}{D}/\overset{\circ}{S})$),

$\varpi_{\text{zar}}^{(k),(k')}((\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{D})/\overset{\circ}{S})$ is non-canonically isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{\overset{\circ}{X}^{(k)}}$ (resp. $\mathbb{Z}_{\overset{\circ}{D}^{(k)}}$, $\mathbb{Z}|_{\overset{\circ}{X}^{(k)} \cap \overset{\circ}{D}^{(k')}}$).

Let $a^{(k)}: \overset{\circ}{X}^{(k)} \rightarrow \overset{\circ}{X}$, $b^{(k)}: \overset{\circ}{D}^{(k)} \rightarrow \overset{\circ}{D}$ and $c^{(k),(k')}: \overset{\circ}{X}^{(k)} \cap \overset{\circ}{D}^{(k')} \rightarrow \overset{\circ}{X}$ be natural morphisms of schemes. Let \mathcal{M}_X be the log structure of X . This is a sheaf of monoids

on X_{ket} . The orientation sheaf $\varpi_{\text{zar}}^{(k)}(\overset{\circ}{X}/\overset{\circ}{S})$ (resp. $\varpi_{\text{zar}}^{(k)}(\overset{\circ}{D}/\overset{\circ}{S})$, $\varpi_{\text{zar}}^{(k),(k')}((\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{D})/\overset{\circ}{S})$) defines an étale sheaf $\varpi_{\text{et}}^{(k)}(\overset{\circ}{X}/\overset{\circ}{S})$ (resp. $\varpi_{\text{et}}^{(k)}(\overset{\circ}{D}/\overset{\circ}{S})$, $\varpi_{\text{et}}^{(k),(k')}((\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{D})/\overset{\circ}{S})$) in $(\overset{\circ}{X}^{(k)})_{\text{et}}$ (resp. $(\overset{\circ}{D}^{(k)})_{\text{et}}$, $(\overset{\circ}{X}^{(k)} \cap \overset{\circ}{D}^{(k')})_{\text{et}}$). We have the following canonical isomorphisms

$$(2.1.1) \quad a_{\text{et}*}^{(k)}(\varpi_{\text{et}}^{(k)}(\overset{\circ}{X}/\overset{\circ}{S})) \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigwedge^{k+1} (\mathcal{M}_X^{\text{gp}}/\mathcal{O}_X^*),$$

$$(2.1.2) \quad b_{\text{et}*}^{(k)}(\varpi_{\text{et}}^{(k)}(\overset{\circ}{D}/\overset{\circ}{S})) \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigwedge^k (\mathcal{M}(\overset{\circ}{D})^{\text{gp}}/\mathcal{O}_X^*)$$

and

$$(2.1.3) \quad c_{\text{et}*}^{(k),(k')}(\varpi_{\text{et}}^{(k),(k')}((\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{D})/\overset{\circ}{S})) \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigwedge^{k+1} (\mathcal{M}_X^{\text{gp}}/\mathcal{O}_X^*) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \bigwedge^{k'} (\mathcal{M}(\overset{\circ}{D})^{\text{gp}}/\mathcal{O}_X^*)$$

We have a canonical isomorphism

$$(2.1.4) \quad c_{\text{et}*}^{(k),(k')}(\varpi_{\text{et}}^{(k),(k')}((\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{D})/\overset{\circ}{S})) \xleftarrow{\sim} a_{\text{et}*}^{(k)}(\varpi_{\text{et}}^{(k)}(\overset{\circ}{X}/\overset{\circ}{S})) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} b_{\text{et}*}^{(k)}(\varpi_{\text{et}}^{(k)}(\overset{\circ}{D}/\overset{\circ}{S})).$$

Henceforth, we denote $a_{\text{et}*}^{(k)}$, $b_{\text{et}*}^{(k)}$ and $c_{\text{et}*}^{(k),(k')}$ simply by $a_*^{(k)}$, $b_*^{(k)}$ and $c_*^{(k),(k')}$, respectively. We apply the same rule for $a_{\text{et}}^{(k)*}$, $b_{\text{et}}^{(k)*}$ and $c_{\text{et}}^{(k),(k')*}$.

Set $X(D) := X \times_{\overset{\circ}{X}}(\overset{\circ}{X}, \mathcal{M}(\overset{\circ}{D}))$ and $\overset{\circ}{X}(D) := (\overset{\circ}{X}, \mathcal{M}(\overset{\circ}{D}))$. Let

$$\epsilon_D: X(D) \longrightarrow X, \quad \epsilon_X: X \longrightarrow \overset{\circ}{X} \quad \text{and} \quad \epsilon_D: \overset{\circ}{X}(D) \longrightarrow \overset{\circ}{X}$$

be natural morphisms of log schemes. Set $\epsilon_{X(D)} := \epsilon_X \circ \epsilon_D$. The morphisms above induce the following morphisms of topoi:

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_D: X(D)_{\text{ket}} &\longrightarrow X_{\text{ket}}, & \epsilon_X: X_{\text{ket}} &\longrightarrow \overset{\circ}{X}_{\text{et}}, \\ \epsilon_{X(D)} := \epsilon_X \circ \epsilon_D: X(D)_{\text{ket}} &\longrightarrow \overset{\circ}{X}_{\text{et}} & \text{and} & \quad \epsilon_D: \overset{\circ}{X}(D)_{\text{ket}} \longrightarrow \overset{\circ}{X}_{\text{et}}. \end{aligned}$$

Following [Nak3], set $\overset{\circ}{S}_{l^m} := \overset{\circ}{S} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{N}]} \mathbb{Z}[(l^m)^{-1}\mathbb{N}]$. (In [loc. cit.] Nakayama has used the symbol \mathbb{N}^{1/l^m} instead of $(l^m)^{-1}\mathbb{N}$ in \mathbb{Q} .) The inclusion morphism $(l^m)^{-1}\mathbb{N} \xrightarrow{\subset} \mathbb{Z}[(l^m)^{-1}\mathbb{N}]$ gives an fs log structure on $\overset{\circ}{S}_{l^m}$. Set $S_{l^\infty} := \varprojlim_m \overset{\circ}{S}_{l^m}$. Set also $X_{l^m} := X \times_S \overset{\circ}{S}_{l^m}$ and $X_{l^\infty} := \varprojlim_m X_{l^m}$. Then we have natural morphisms

$$\pi_{X_{l^m}}: X_{l^m} \longrightarrow X \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_{X_{l^\infty}}: X_{l^\infty} \longrightarrow X.$$

The abelian group $\mathbb{Z}_l(1) = \varprojlim_m \mu_{l^m}$ acts naturally on S_{l^∞} and X_{l^∞} . For a sheaf F in the étale topos X_{et} , $\mathbb{Z}_l(1)$ acts on $\pi_{X_{l^\infty}*} \pi_{X_{l^\infty}}^*(F)$ since $\mathbb{Z}_l(1)$ acts on X_{l^∞} . Set

$$\mathcal{M}_{X_{l^m}}(D) := \mathcal{M}_{X_{l^m}} \oplus_{\mathcal{O}_{X_{l^m}}^*} \pi_{X_{l^m}}^*(\mathcal{M}(\overset{\circ}{D}))$$

and

$$\mathcal{M}_{X_{l^\infty}}(D) := \mathcal{M}_{X_{l^\infty}} \oplus_{\mathcal{O}_{X_{l^\infty}}^*} \pi_{X_{l^\infty}}^*(\mathcal{M}(\overset{\circ}{D})).$$

Denote $(X_{l^m}, \mathcal{M}_{X_{l^m}}(D))$ by $X_{l^m}(D)$ and $(X_{l^\infty}, \mathcal{M}_{X_{l^\infty}}(D))$ by $X_{l^\infty}(D)$. We also have natural morphisms

$$\pi_{X_{l^m}(D)}: X_{l^m}(D) \longrightarrow X(D) \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_{X_{l^\infty}(D)}: X_{l^\infty}(D) \longrightarrow X(D).$$

By using the trivial action of $\mathbb{Z}_l(1)$ on $(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{D})$, we also have a natural action of $\mathbb{Z}_l(1)$ on $X_{l^\infty}(D)$. Let $I_{\overset{\circ}{D}, l^n}^\bullet$ be an injective resolution of \mathbb{Z}/l^n in $\overset{\circ}{X}_{\text{et}}$. Then we have a projective system $\{I_{\overset{\circ}{D}, l^n}^\bullet\}_{n=1}^\infty$ fitting into the following commutative diagram

$$(2.1.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z}/l^{n+1} & \longrightarrow & I_{\overset{\circ}{D}, l^{n+1}}^\bullet \\ \text{proj.} \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{Z}/l^n & \longrightarrow & I_{\overset{\circ}{D}, l^n}^\bullet \end{array}$$

The following non-difficult proposition is very important in this paper.

Proposition 2.2. *There exists a projective system $\{(M'_{l^n}(\overset{\circ}{D}/\overset{\circ}{S}), Q)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of bounded filtered flat resolutions ([NS, (1.1.17)]) of the complexes $(\overset{\circ}{\epsilon}_{D^*}(I_{\overset{\circ}{D}, l^n}^\bullet), \tau)$'s in $\overset{\circ}{X}_{\text{et}}$.*

Proof. Let k be an integer. Because the rank of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\overset{\circ}{D}) := \mathcal{M}(\overset{\circ}{D})/\mathcal{O}_X^*$ is finite (since $\overset{\circ}{X}$ is quasi-compact) and because $\overset{\circ}{\epsilon}_D$ is proper,

$$\text{gr}_k^\tau(\overset{\circ}{\epsilon}_{D^*}(I_{\overset{\circ}{D}, l^n}^\bullet)) = R^k \overset{\circ}{\epsilon}_{D^*}(\mathbb{Z}/l^n)\{-k\} = 0$$

for $k \gg 0$ which depends only on the rank of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(D)$ ([Nak5, (7.2) (1)]). Or one may prove this in the following way in this specific case.

By [KN, (2.4)] we have

$$(2.2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{gr}_k^\tau(\overset{\circ}{\epsilon}_{D^*}(I_{\overset{\circ}{D}, l^n}^\bullet)) &= R^k \overset{\circ}{\epsilon}_{D^*}(\mathbb{Z}/l^n)\{-k\} = \bigwedge^k (\mathcal{M}(\overset{\circ}{D})^{\text{gp}}/\mathcal{O}_X^*) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/l^n(-k)\{-k\} \\ &= b_*^{(k)}(\varpi_{\text{et}}^{(k)}(\overset{\circ}{D}/\overset{\circ}{S})) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/l^n(-k)\{-k\}. \end{aligned}$$

Because $\overset{\circ}{X}$ is quasi-compact, there exists a positive integer k_0 such that $\varpi_{\text{et}}^{(k)}(\overset{\circ}{D}/\overset{\circ}{S}) = 0$ for $k > k_0$. Hence, if $k > k_0$, then $R^k \overset{\circ}{\epsilon}_{D^*}(\mathbb{Z}/l^n) = 0$. Hence there exists a bounded above filtered flat complex $(M'_{l^n}(\overset{\circ}{D}/\overset{\circ}{S}), Q) := (M'_{l^n}(\overset{\circ}{D}/\overset{\circ}{S}), \{Q_k^\bullet\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}})$ with a filtered quasi-isomorphism $(M'_{l^n}(\overset{\circ}{D}/\overset{\circ}{S}), Q) \longrightarrow (\overset{\circ}{\epsilon}_{D^*}(I_{\overset{\circ}{D}, l^n}^\bullet), \tau)$ by [NS, (1.1.18)]. In

fact, we can take the projective system $\{(M'_{l^n}(\overset{\circ}{D}/\overset{\circ}{S}), Q)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ fitting into the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (M'_{l^{n+1}}(\overset{\circ}{D}/\overset{\circ}{S}), Q) & \longrightarrow & (\overset{\circ}{\epsilon}_{D^*}(I_{\overset{\circ}{D}, l^{n+1}}^\bullet), \tau) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (M'_{l^n}(\overset{\circ}{D}/\overset{\circ}{S}), Q) & \longrightarrow & (\overset{\circ}{\epsilon}_{D^*}(I_{\overset{\circ}{D}, l^n}^\bullet), \tau) \end{array}$$

by the dual argument of the proof of [NS, (1.1.8)].

We claim that because there exists an integer q_0 such that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tor}_q^{\mathbb{Z}/l^n}(\overset{\circ}{\epsilon}_{D^*}(I_{\overset{\circ}{D}, l^n}^\bullet), G) &:= \mathcal{H}^{-q}(\overset{\circ}{\epsilon}_{D^*}(I_{\overset{\circ}{D}, l^n}^\bullet) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/l^n}^L G) \\ &= \mathcal{H}^{-q}(M'_{l^n}(\overset{\circ}{D}/\overset{\circ}{S}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/l^n} G) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

for $\forall q > q_0$ and for all \mathbb{Z}/l^n -module G in \mathring{X}_{et} . Set $M'^{\bullet} := M'_{l^n}(\mathring{D}/\mathring{S})$. Let q_1 be an integer such that $M'_{l^n}(\mathring{D}/\mathring{S}) = 0$ for all $q > q_1$. Obviously $\tau_{q_1} M'_{l^n}(\mathring{D}/\mathring{S}) = M'_{l^n}(\mathring{D}/\mathring{S})$. Consider the following exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \tau_{q_1-1} M'^{\bullet} \longrightarrow \tau_{q_1} M'^{\bullet} \longrightarrow \text{gr}_q^{\tau} M'^{\bullet} \longrightarrow 0.$$

By (2.2.1) $\mathcal{T}or_q^{\mathbb{Z}/l^n}(\tau_{q_1} \mathring{\epsilon}_{D^*}(I_{\mathring{D}, l^n}^{\bullet}), G) = \mathcal{T}or_q^{\mathbb{Z}/l^n}(\tau_{q_1-1} \mathring{\epsilon}_{D^*}(I_{\mathring{D}, l^n}^{\bullet}), G)$ except for finitely many q 's. Making this argument repeatedly until τ_0 , we see that $\mathcal{T}or_q^{\mathbb{Z}/l^n}(\mathring{\epsilon}_{D^*}(I_{\mathring{D}, l^n}^{\bullet}), G) = 0$ except for finitely many q 's. Hence we see that our claim holds. By the same argument as that of [H, II (4.2)] there exists a bounded complex $M'_{l^n}(\mathring{D}/\mathring{S})$ of flat \mathbb{Z}/l^n -modules which is isomorphism to $\mathring{\epsilon}_{D^*}(I_{\mathring{D}, l^n}^{\bullet})$. By (2.2.1) again we see that $(M'_{l^n}(\mathring{D}/\mathring{S}), \tau)$ is a filtered flat resolution of $(\mathring{\epsilon}_{D^*}(I_{\mathring{D}, l^n}^{\bullet}), \tau)$. \square

Let $D^b\text{F}_{\text{cftd}}(\mathring{X}_{\text{et}}, \mathbb{Z}/l^n)$ be the derived category of bounded filtered complexes of constructible \mathbb{Z}/l^n -modules of finite tor-dimension in \mathring{X}_{et} . Let $D^b\text{F}_{\text{cftd}}(\mathring{X}_{\text{et}}, \mathbb{Z}/l^n)$ be the derived category of bounded filtered complexes of constructible \mathbb{Z}/l^n -modules of finite tor dimension in \mathring{X}_{et} : an object (M^{\bullet}, Q) of $D^b\text{F}_{\text{cftd}}(\mathring{X}_{\text{et}}, \mathbb{Z}/l^n)$ is an object (M^{\bullet}, Q) of $D^b\text{F}_{\text{cftd}}(\mathring{X}_{\text{et}}, \mathbb{Z}/l^n)$ such that $\mathcal{H}^q(M^{\bullet})$ and $\mathcal{H}^q(Q_k M^{\bullet})$ ($q, k \in \mathbb{Z}$) are constructible \mathbb{Z}/l^n -modules in \mathring{X}_{et} . Let $D^b\text{F}_{\text{cftd}}(\mathring{X}_{\text{et}}, \mathbb{Z}_l)$ be the projective 2-limit of $D^b\text{F}_{\text{cftd}}(\mathring{X}_{\text{et}}, \mathbb{Z}/l^n)$'s: an object of $D^b\text{F}_{\text{cftd}}(\mathring{X}_{\text{et}}, \mathbb{Z}_l)$ is a projective system $\{(M_n^{\bullet}, Q)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, where (M_n^{\bullet}, Q) is an object of $D^b\text{F}_{\text{cftd}}(\mathring{X}_{\text{et}}, \mathbb{Z}/l^n)$ such that

$$(M_{n+1}^{\bullet}, Q) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/l^{n+1}}^L \mathbb{Z}/l^n = (M_n^{\bullet}, Q) \quad (\forall n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Here $\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/l^{n+1}}^L$ is the derived tensor product of bounded below bifiltered complexes defined in [NS, (1.2.5.7)] as already stated. A morphism of two objects of $D^b\text{F}_{\text{cftd}}(\mathring{X}_{\text{et}}, \mathbb{Z}_l)$ is obviously defined.

Corollary 2.3. *The filtered complexes $(R\mathring{\epsilon}_{D^*}(\mathbb{Z}/l^n), \tau) \in D^+\text{F}(\mathring{X}_{\text{et}}, \mathbb{Z}/l^n)$'s in \mathring{X}_{et} define an object $(R\mathring{\epsilon}_{D^*}(\mathbb{Z}_l), \tau)$ of $D^b\text{F}_{\text{cftd}}(\mathring{X}_{\text{et}}, \mathbb{Z}_l)$.*

Proof. By (2.2.1) it suffices to prove that the filtered complexes $(R\mathring{\epsilon}_{D^*}(\mathbb{Z}/l^n), \tau)$'s define an object of $D^b\text{F}_{\text{cftd}}(\mathring{X}_{\text{et}}, \mathbb{Z}_l)$. By the definition of $(M'_{l^n}(\mathring{D}/\mathring{S}), Q)$ and by (2.2.1), we have the following formula:

$$(2.3.1) \quad \text{gr}_k^Q M'_{l^n}(\mathring{D}/\mathring{S}) = \mathbb{Z}/l^n(-k) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} b_*^{(k)}(\varpi_{\text{et}}^{(k)}(\mathring{D}/\mathring{S}))\{-k\}$$

in $D^-(\mathring{X}_{\text{et}}, \mathbb{Z}/l^n)$. Hence $(M'_{l^n}(\mathring{D}/\mathring{S}), Q)$ is quasi-isomorphic to a bounded filtered complex such that the cohomology sheaves of the graded complexes are smooth. We have only to prove that the natural morphism $(M'_{l_{n+1}}(\mathring{D}/\mathring{S}), Q) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/l^{n+1}} \mathbb{Z}/l^n \longrightarrow (M'_{l^n}(\mathring{D}/\mathring{S}), Q)$ is a quasi-isomorphism. Because $(M'_{l_{n+1}}(\mathring{D}/\mathring{S}), Q)$ is bounded above

and filtered flat, we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.3.2) \quad \mathcal{H}^q(\mathrm{gr}_k^Q \{M_{l^{n+1}}^\bullet(\overset{\circ}{D}/\overset{\circ}{S}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/l^{n+1}} \mathbb{Z}/l^n\}) &= \mathcal{H}^q(\mathrm{gr}_k^Q M_{l^{n+1}}^\bullet(\overset{\circ}{D}/\overset{\circ}{S})) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/l^{n+1}} \mathbb{Z}/l^n \\
&= \mathbb{Z}/l^{n+1}(-k) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} b_*^{(k)}(\varpi_{\mathrm{et}}^{(k)}(\overset{\circ}{D}/\overset{\circ}{S}))\{-k\} \\
&= \mathcal{H}^q(\mathrm{gr}_k^Q M_{l^n}^\bullet(\overset{\circ}{D}/\overset{\circ}{S})) \quad (q, k \in \mathbb{Z}).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Next let us recall the Rapoport-Zink-Nakayama's double complex ([RZ], [Nak3]).

Let $I_{X_{l^\infty}, l^n}^\bullet$ be an injective resolution of \mathbb{Z}/l^n in $(X_{l^\infty})_{\mathrm{ket}}$. Then we have a projective system $\{I_{X_{l^\infty}, l^n}^\bullet\}_{n=1}^\infty$ fitting into the following commutative diagram

$$(2.3.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z}/l^{n+1} & \longrightarrow & I_{X_{l^\infty}, l^{n+1}}^\bullet \\ \mathrm{proj.} \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{Z}/l^n & \longrightarrow & I_{X_{l^\infty}, l^n}^\bullet. \end{array}$$

Set

$$(2.3.4) \quad K_{l^n}^\bullet(X/S) := \epsilon_{X*} \pi_{X_{l^\infty}*} (I_{X_{l^\infty}, l^n}^\bullet) \in C^+(\overset{\circ}{X}_{\mathrm{et}}, \mathbb{Z}/l^n).$$

By (2.3.3) we have the projective system $\{K_{l^n}^\bullet(X/S)\}_{n=1}^\infty$.

The following has been implicitly stated in [Nak3, p. 723]:

Proposition 2.4. *Let I_{X, l^n}^\bullet be an injective resolution of \mathbb{Z}/l^n in X_{ket} . Then the natural morphism*

$$(2.4.1) \quad (\epsilon_X \pi_{X_{l^\infty}})_* \pi_{X_{l^\infty}}^* (I_{X, l^n}^\bullet) \longrightarrow (\epsilon_X \pi_{X_{l^\infty}})_* (I_{X_{l^\infty}, l^n}^\bullet).$$

is a quasi-isomorphism. Consequently the complex $K_{l^n}^\bullet(X/S)$ is quasi-isomorphic to $(\epsilon_X \pi_{X_{l^\infty}})_ \pi_{X_{l^\infty}}^* (I_{X, l^n}^\bullet)$.*

Proof. Let $I_{X_{l^m}, l^n}^\bullet$ be an injective resolution of \mathbb{Z}/l^n in $(X_{l^m})_{\mathrm{ket}}$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$. We have a natural injective system $\{(\epsilon_X \pi_{X_{l^m}})_* (I_{X_{l^m}, l^n}^\bullet)\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ with a natural morphism

$$(2.4.2) \quad \varinjlim_{m \in \mathbb{N}} (\epsilon_X \pi_{X_{l^m}})_* (I_{X_{l^m}, l^n}^\bullet) \longrightarrow (\epsilon_X \pi_{X_{l^\infty}})_* (I_{X_{l^\infty}, l^n}^\bullet).$$

This morphism is a quasi-isomorphism by [SGA 4-2, VI (8.7.3.1)]. Since X_{l^m} is an object of the site the Kummer étale site of X , $\pi_{X_{l^m}}^* (I_{X, l^n}^\bullet)$ is injective by [SGA 4-2, V (4.11) (1)]. Hence we can set $I_{X_{l^m}, l^n}^\bullet := \pi_{X_{l^m}}^* (I_{X, l^n}^\bullet)$. In this case

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.4.3) \quad \varinjlim_{m \in \mathbb{N}} (\epsilon_X \pi_{X_{l^m}})_* (I_{X_{l^m}, l^n}^\bullet) &= \varinjlim_{m \in \mathbb{N}} (\epsilon_X \pi_{X_{l^m}})_* \pi_{X_{l^m}}^* (I_{X, l^n}^\bullet) = \epsilon_{X*} \varinjlim_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \pi_{X_{l^m}}^* \pi_{X_{l^m}}^* (I_{X, l^n}^\bullet) \\
&= \epsilon_{X*} \pi_{X_{l^\infty}*} \pi_{X_{l^\infty}}^* (I_{X, l^n}^\bullet).
\end{aligned}$$

Here we have used [SGA 4-2, VI (8.5.5)] as in [Nak3, p. 723]. Hence $\pi_{X_{l^\infty}*} \pi_{X_{l^\infty}}^* (I_{X, l^n}^\bullet)$ is a complex of ϵ_{X*} -acyclic sheaves and the natural morphism (2.4.1) is a quasi-isomorphism. □

Fix a generator T of $\mathbb{Z}_l(1)$. Because $\mathbb{Z}_l(1)$ acts on S_{l^∞} and X_{l^∞} naturally, we have an endomorphism

$$(2.4.4) \quad T - 1: \{K_{l^n}^\bullet(X/S)\}_{n=1}^\infty \longrightarrow \{K_{l^n}^\bullet(X/S)\}_{n=1}^\infty$$

of $\{K_{l^n}^\bullet(X/S)\}_{n=1}^\infty$. Let $\mathrm{MF}_{l^n}(T - 1) = \mathrm{MF}_{l^n}(T - 1)^\bullet$ be the mapping fiber of this endomorphism:

$$(2.4.5) \quad \mathrm{MF}_{l^n}(T - 1) := K_{l^n}^\bullet(X/S) \oplus K_{l^n}^\bullet(X/S)[-1] = s((K_{l^n}^\bullet(X/S), d) \xrightarrow{T-1} (K_{l^n}^\bullet(X/S), -d)).$$

Here d on the right hand side is the boundary morphism of $K_{l^n}^\bullet(X/S)$ and s means the single complex of a double complex. By (2.4) the complex $\mathrm{MF}_{l^n}(T - 1)$ is quasi-isomorphic to $s((\epsilon_X \pi_{X_{l^\infty}})_* \pi_{X_{l^\infty}}^*(I_{X, l^n}^\bullet), d) \xrightarrow{T-1} ((\epsilon_X \pi_{X_{l^\infty}})_* \pi_{X_{l^\infty}}^*(I_{X, l^n}^\bullet), -d)$.

Now we recall a result of Nakayama:

Lemma 2.5 ([Nak3, (1.3.1)]). *For a $(\mathbb{Z}/l^n)_{X_{\mathrm{et}}}$ -module E , the following sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow \pi_{X_{l^\infty}}^* \pi_{X_{l^\infty}}^*(E) \xrightarrow{T-1} \pi_{X_{l^\infty}}^* \pi_{X_{l^\infty}}^*(E) \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact.

By following [SaT, (1.6)], let $\theta: \{\mathrm{MF}_{l^n}(T - 1)\}_{n=1}^\infty \longrightarrow \{\mathrm{MF}_{l^n}(T - 1)(1)[1]\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be the following vertical morphism

$$(2.5.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \{(K_{l^n}^\bullet(X/S)(1), -d(1))\}_{n=1}^\infty & \xrightarrow{-(T-1)} & \{(K_{l^n}^\bullet(X/S)(1), d(1))\}_{n=1}^\infty \\ & & \mathrm{id} \otimes T \uparrow \\ & & \{(K_{l^n}^\bullet(X/S), d)\}_{n=1}^\infty \xrightarrow{T-1} \{(K_{l^n}^\bullet(X/S), -d)\}_{n=1}^\infty. \end{array}$$

Lemma 2.6. *For $q \gg 0$, $\mathcal{H}^q(\mathrm{MF}_{l^n}(T - 1)) = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. By (2.5) we have the following exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \epsilon_{X^*}(I_{X, l^n}^\bullet) \longrightarrow (\epsilon_X \pi_{X_{l^\infty}})_* \pi_{X_{l^\infty}}^*(I_{X, l^n}^\bullet) \xrightarrow{T-1} (\epsilon_X \pi_{X_{l^\infty}})_* \pi_{X_{l^\infty}}^*(I_{X, l^n}^\bullet) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Hence $\mathrm{MF}_{l^n}(T - 1)$ is quasi-isomorphic to $\epsilon_{X^*}(I_{X, l^n}^\bullet)$. By [KN, (2.4)] we have

$$(2.6.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathrm{gr}_q^T \mathrm{MF}_{l^n}(T - 1) &= R^q \epsilon_{X^*}(\mathbb{Z}/l^n)\{-q\} = \bigwedge^q (\mathcal{M}_X^{\mathrm{gp}} / \mathcal{O}_X^*) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/l^n(-q)\{-q\} \\ &= a_*^{(q-1)}((\mathbb{Z}/l^n)_{\mathring{X}^{(q-1)}}(-q) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \varpi_{\mathrm{et}}^{(q-1)}(X/S))\{-q\}. \end{aligned}$$

Because \mathring{X} is quasi-compact, $\mathcal{H}^q(\mathrm{MF}_{l^n}(T - 1)) = \mathrm{gr}_q^T \mathrm{MF}_{l^n}(T - 1) = 0$ ($\exists q > 0$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$). \square

Corollary 2.7. *The projective system $\{(\mathrm{MF}_{l^n}(T - 1), \tau)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ defines an object $(\mathrm{MF}_{l^\infty}(T - 1), \tau)$ of $\mathrm{D}^b \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ctfd}}(\mathring{X}_{\mathrm{et}}, \mathbb{Z}_l)$.*

Proof. By using (2.6.1) the proof is the same as that of (2.3). \square

Denote also by θ the following natural morphism

$$(2.7.1) \quad K_{l^n}^\bullet(X/S) \ni x \longmapsto (0, x) \in \mathrm{MF}_{l^n}(T-1)(1)[1].$$

Let $A_{l^n}^{\bullet\bullet}(X/S)$ be the double complex defined by the following formula and the following boundary morphisms;

$$(2.7.2) \quad A_{l^n}^{ij}(X/S) := (\mathrm{MF}_{l^n}(T-1)(j+1)/\tau_j \mathrm{MF}_{l^n}(T-1)(j+1))^{i+j+1} \quad (i \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{N}),$$

$$(2.7.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & A_{l^n}^{i,j+1}(X/S) & \\ & \theta \uparrow & \\ & A_{l^n}^{ij}(X/S) & \xrightarrow{-d} A_{l^n}^{i+1,j}(X/S). \end{array}$$

Note that our boundary morphisms are different from the boundary morphisms in the proof of [RZ, (1.7)] and [Nak3, the proof of (1.4)] about signs. Let $A_{l^n}^\bullet(X/S)$ be the single complex of $A_{l^n}^{\bullet\bullet}(X/S)$:

$$(2.7.4) \quad A_{l^n}^\bullet(X/S) = s\{((\mathrm{MF}_{l^n}(T-1)(1)/\tau_0 \mathrm{MF}_{l^n}(T-1)(1))^{\bullet+1}, -d) \xrightarrow{\theta} ((\mathrm{MF}_{l^n}(T-1)(2)/\tau_1 \mathrm{MF}_{l^n}(T-1)(2))^{\bullet+2}, -d) \xrightarrow{\theta} \dots\}.$$

Then we have the projective system $\{A_{l^n}^\bullet(X/S)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ and a morphism $\theta: \{K_{l^n}^\bullet(X/S)\}_{n=1}^\infty \longrightarrow \{A_{l^n}^\bullet(X/S)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of projective systems of complexes.

In the proof of [Nak3, (1.4)] Nakayama has proved that the morphism (2.7.1) induces the following isomorphism

$$(2.7.5) \quad \theta: R(\epsilon_X \pi_{X_{l^\infty}})_*(\mathbb{Z}/l^n) \xrightarrow{\sim} A_{l^n}^\bullet(X/S)$$

in $D^+(\mathring{X}_{\mathrm{et}}, \mathbb{Z}/l^n)$. Here we prove this by the same proof as that of [SZ, (5.13)] (and [FN, (3.17)]):

Proposition 2.8. *The morphism*

$$\theta: K_{l^n}^\bullet(X/S) \longrightarrow \mathrm{MF}_{l^n}(T-1)(1)[1]$$

induces a quasi-isomorphism

$$(2.8.1) \quad \theta \otimes 1: K_{l^n}^\bullet(X/S) \longrightarrow A_{l^n}^\bullet(X/S)$$

in $C^+(\mathring{X}_{\mathrm{et}}, \mathbb{Z}/l^n)$.

Proof. It is obvious that, for $i \geq 0$, the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow K_{l^n}^i(X/S) \xrightarrow{\theta} A_{l^n}^{i0}(X/S) \xrightarrow{\theta} A_{l^n}^{i1}(X/S) \xrightarrow{\theta} \dots$$

is exact. We have to prove that the complex $A_{l^n}^{-1\bullet}(X/S)$ is acyclic. Consider the action of $T-1$ on $R^j \pi_{X_{l^\infty}*}(\mathbb{Z}/l^n)$. Let $\bar{x}(\log)$ be the log geometric point of X . Then, by the log proper base change theorem [Nak1, (5.1)] and [Nak1, (4.1)], $R^j \pi_{X_{l^\infty}*}(\mathbb{Z}/l^n)_{\bar{x}(\log)} = H^j(\mathbb{Z}_l, \mathbb{Z}/l^n)$ with trivial action of \mathbb{Z}_l on \mathbb{Z}/l^n . Hence T acts trivially on $R^j \pi_{X_{l^\infty}*}(\mathbb{Z}/l^n)_{\bar{x}(\log)}$, and, consequently, on $R^j \pi_{X_{l^\infty}*}(\mathbb{Z}/l^n)$. By the following Leray spectral sequence

$$E_2^{ij} = R^i \epsilon_{X*} R^j \pi_{X_{l^\infty}*}(\mathbb{Z}/l^n) \implies R^{i+j}(\epsilon_X \pi_{X_{l^\infty}})_*(\mathbb{Z}/l^n),$$

we see that T acts trivially on $\mathcal{H}^q(K_{l^n}^\bullet(X/S)) = R^q(\epsilon_X \pi_X)_*(\mathbb{Z}/l^n)$ ($q \in \mathbb{N}$). Hence $T-1$ on $\mathcal{H}^q(K_{l^n}^\bullet(X/S))$ is the zero map. Set $K_{l^n}^\bullet := K_{l^n}^\bullet(X/S)$. Let (x, y) be a local section of $K_{l^n}^j(j+1) \oplus K_{l^n}^{j-1}(j+1)$ ($j \in \mathbb{N}$) such that $\theta(x, y) = (0, x \otimes T) \in \text{Ker}(d : \text{MF}_{l^n}(T-1)^{j+1}(j+2) \rightarrow \text{MF}_{l^n}(T-1)^{j+2}(j+2))$. Then $dx = 0$ and hence x defines a local section of $\mathcal{H}^j(K_{l^n}^\bullet)(j+1)$. Because T acts trivially on $\mathcal{H}^j(K_{l^n}^\bullet)$, $(T-1)(x) = 0$. Therefore there exists a local section $z \in K_{l^n}^{j-1}(j+1)$ such that $(T-1)(x) = dz$. We immediately see that $d(x, z) = 0$ and $(x, y) = (x, z) + \theta((y-z) \otimes \tilde{T}, 0) \in \text{Ker } d + \text{Im}(\theta)$, where \tilde{T} is the dual basis of T . The last formula tells us that $A_{l^n}^{-1\bullet}(X/S)$ is acyclic.

We complete the proof of (2.8). \square

Corollary 2.9. *The complex $A_{l^\infty}^\bullet(X/S) \in D^+(\overset{\circ}{X}_{\text{et}}, \mathbb{Z}_l)$ is independent of the choice of $\{I_{X_{l^\infty}, l^n}\}_{n=1}^\infty$.*

Proposition 2.10. *There exists an object of $D^b(\overset{\circ}{X}_{\text{et}}, \mathbb{Z}_l)$ whose image in $D^+(\overset{\circ}{X}_{\text{et}}, \mathbb{Z}_l)$ is equal to $\{R(\epsilon_X \pi_{X_{l^\infty}})_*(\mathbb{Z}/l^n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$.*

Proof. Because $R(\epsilon_X \pi_{X_{l^\infty}})_*(\mathbb{Z}/l^n)$ is isomorphic to $A_{l^n}^\bullet(X/S)$ by (2.7.5), we have only to prove that $\mathcal{H}^q(A_{l^n}^\bullet(X/S)) = 0$ for $\exists q \gg 0, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. By (2.7.2) we have the following convergent spectral sequence

$$E_1^{pq} = \mathcal{H}^q((\text{MF}_{l^n}(T-1)(p+1)/\tau_p \text{MF}_{l^n}(T-1)(p+1))^{\bullet+1}) \implies \mathcal{H}^{p+q}(A_{l^n}^\bullet(X/S)).$$

It suffices to prove that if $p \gg 0$ and if $q \gg 0$, then $\mathcal{H}^q((\text{MF}_{l^n}(T-1)(p+1)/\tau_{p+1} \text{MF}_{l^n}(T-1)(p+1))^{\bullet+1}) = 0$. By the following exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \tau_{p+1} \text{MF}_{l^n}(T-1) \longrightarrow \text{MF}_{l^n}(T-1) \longrightarrow \text{MF}_{l^n}(T-1)/\tau_{p+1} \text{MF}_{l^n}(T-1) \longrightarrow 0$$

and by (2.6), it suffices to prove that $\mathcal{H}^q(\tau_{p+1} \text{MF}_{l^n}(T-1)) = 0$ if $p \gg 0$ and $q \gg 0$ ($\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$). However this is obvious again by (2.6) because the inclusion $\tau_{p+1} \text{MF}_{l^n}(T-1) \xrightarrow{\subset} \text{MF}_{l^n}(T-1)$ is a quasi-isomorphism for $p \gg 0$. \square

As usual, set

$$(2.10.1) \quad \{P_k^X A_{l^n}^{ij}(X/S)\}_{n=1}^\infty := \{((\tau_{2j+k+1} + \tau_j) \text{MF}_{l^n}(T-1)(j+1)/\tau_j \text{MF}_{l^n}(T-1)(j+1))^{i+j+1}\}_{n=1}^\infty \quad (i \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{N}),$$

and

$$(2.10.2) \quad \{P_k^X A_{l^n}^\bullet(X/S)\}_{n=1}^\infty := \{s\{((\tau_{k+1} + \tau_0) \text{MF}_{l^n}(T-1)(1)/\tau_0 \text{MF}_{l^n}(T-1)(1))^{\bullet+1}, -d\} \xrightarrow{\theta} \cdots \xrightarrow{\theta} (\tau_{2j+k+1} + \tau_j) \text{MF}_{l^n}(T-1)(j)/\tau_j \text{MF}_{l^n}(T-1)(j))^{\bullet+j}, -d\} \xrightarrow{\theta} \cdots\}_{n=1}^\infty.$$

(Note that $\tau_{2j+k+1} \text{MF}_{l^n}(T-1)(j)/\tau_j \text{MF}_{l^n}(T-1)(j)$ in a lot of literatures is mistaken since $2j+k+1 < j$ may occur.) We have two filtered complexes $(A_{l^n}^{\bullet\bullet}(X/S), P^X)$ and $(A_{l^n}^\bullet(X/S), P^X)$.

Proposition 2.11. *If $k \gg 0$, then the natural inclusion*

$$P_k^X A_{l^n}^\bullet(X/S) \xrightarrow{\subset} A_{l^n}^\bullet(X/S)$$

is a quasi-isomorphism for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $k \ll 0$, then the zero morphism

$$0 \longrightarrow P_k^X A_{l^n}^\bullet(X/S)$$

is a quasi-isomorphism for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. The former statement follows from the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.11.1) \quad E_1^{pq} &= \mathcal{H}^q((\tau_{2p+k+1} + \tau_p)(\mathrm{MF}_{l^n}(T-1)(p+1)/\tau_p \mathrm{MF}_{l^n}(T-1)(p+1))^{\bullet+1}) \\
&\quad \downarrow \\
&= \mathcal{H}^q((\mathrm{MF}_{l^n}(T-1)(p+1)/\tau_p \mathrm{MF}_{l^n}(T-1)(p+1))^{\bullet+1}) \\
&\implies \mathcal{H}^{p+q}(P_k A_{l^n}^\bullet(X/S)) \\
&\quad \downarrow \\
&\implies \mathcal{H}^{p+q}(A_{l^n}^\bullet(X/S)),
\end{aligned}$$

and the argument in the proof of (2.10). The latter statement follows from (2.6) since $j \gg 0$ in (2.10.1) if $k \ll 0$. \square

Proposition 2.12. *The filtered complex $(A_{l^n}^\bullet(X/S), P^X) \in D^+F(\overset{\circ}{X}_{\mathrm{et}}, \mathbb{Z}_l)$ is independent of the choice of $\{I_{X_{l^\infty}, l^n}^\bullet\}_{n=1}^\infty$.*

Proof. Let k' be a large integer. By (2.11) it suffices to prove that $(P_{k'}^X A_{l^n}^\bullet(X/S), P^X) \in D^+F(\overset{\circ}{X}_{\mathrm{et}}, \mathbb{Z}/l^n)$ is independent of the choice of $I_{X_{l^\infty}, l^n}^\bullet$. By (2.6.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.12.1) \quad \mathrm{gr}_k^{P^X} A_{l^n}^\bullet(X/S) &= \bigoplus_{j \geq \max\{-k, 0\}} \mathrm{gr}_{2j+k+1}^\tau(A_{l^n}^{\bullet j}(X/S)\{-j\}, -d) \\
&= \bigoplus_{j \geq \max\{-k, 0\}} \mathcal{H}^{2j+k+1}(\mathrm{MF}_{l^n}(T-1), -d)\{-2j-k-1\}\{1\}(j+1) \\
&\simeq \bigoplus_{j \geq \max\{-k, 0\}} R^{2j+k+1} \epsilon_{X^*}(\mathbb{Z}/l^n)\{-2j-k\}(j+1) \\
&= \bigoplus_{j \geq \max\{-k, 0\}} \mathbb{Z}/l^n(-j-k) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} a_*^{(2j+k)}(\varpi_{\mathrm{et}}^{(2j+k)}(X/S))\{-2j-k\}
\end{aligned}$$

in $D^+(\overset{\circ}{X}_{\mathrm{et}}, \mathbb{Z}/l^n)$. (2.9) and the descending induction on k show (2.12). \square

If $k \gg 0$, then $2j+k \geq k \gg 0$. Because $\overset{\circ}{X}$ is quasi-compact, $\overset{\circ}{X}^{(2j+k)} = \emptyset$ if $2j+k \gg 0$. Hence $\mathrm{gr}_k^{P^X} A_{l^n}^\bullet(X/S) = 0$ if $k \gg 0$ ($\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$). If $k \ll 0$, then $2j+k \geq -2k+k = -k \gg 0$. Hence $\overset{\circ}{X}^{(2j+k)} = \emptyset$ and $\mathrm{gr}_k^{P^X} A_{l^n}^\bullet(X/S) = 0$ ($\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$). For a fixed $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, there are only finitely many j 's such that the last term in (2.12.1) is nonzero.

Corollary 2.13. (1) *The filtered complexes $(A_{l^n}^\bullet(X/S), P^X)$'s defines an object $(A_{l^\infty}^\bullet(X/S), P^X)$ of $D^b F_{\mathrm{cftd}}(\overset{\circ}{X}_{\mathrm{et}}, \mathbb{Z}_l)$.*

(2) *The complex $\{K_{l^n}(X/S)^\bullet\}$ defines an object of $K_{l^\infty}(X/S)^\bullet$ of $D_{\mathrm{cftd}}^b(\overset{\circ}{X}_{\mathrm{et}}, \mathbb{Z}_l)$.*

Proof. (1): As in (2.3), this follows from (2.11) and (2.7) and the definition of $(A_{l^n}^\bullet(X/S), P^X)$. Indeed, let $\{(M_n^\bullet, \tau)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a representative of the resulting filtered complex obtained in (2.7). Then we have only to the projective system of

$$(A_n^\bullet, P^X) := (s(M_n^\bullet/\tau_0 \longrightarrow M_n^\bullet/\tau_1 \longrightarrow \cdots), \{s(\cdots(\tau_{2j+k+1} + \tau_j)/\tau_j M_n^{i+j+1} \cdots)\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}})$$

(2): (2) follows from (1) and (2.8). \square

Henceforth we take representatives of $K_{l^\infty}^\bullet(X/S) \in D_{\text{cftd}}^b(\mathring{X}_{\text{et}}, \mathbb{Z}_l)$ and $(A_{l^\infty}^\bullet(X/S), P^X) \in D^b\text{F}_{\text{cftd}}(\mathring{X}_{\text{et}}, \mathbb{Z}_l)$ in $C_{\text{cftd}}^b(\mathring{X}_{\text{et}}, \mathbb{Z}_l)$ and $C^b\text{F}_{\text{cftd}}(\mathring{X}_{\text{et}}, \mathbb{Z}_l)$, respectively. We denote them by $K_{l^\infty}^\bullet(X/S) = \{K_{l^n}^\bullet(X/S)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ and $(A_{l^\infty}^\bullet(X/S), P^X) = \{(A_{l^n}^\bullet(X/S), P^X)\}_{n=1}^\infty$, respectively, by abuse of notation.

Consider the following filtered complex $(K_{l^n}^\bullet(X(D)/S), P^{\mathring{D}})$ (cf. [SZ, p. 531]):

$$(K_{l^n}^\bullet(X(D)/S), P^{\mathring{D}}) := s(K_{l^n}^\bullet(X/S) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/l^n} (M_{l^n}^\bullet(\mathring{D}/\mathring{S}), Q)) \in \text{CF}(\mathring{X}_{\text{et}}, \mathbb{Z}/l^n).$$

Here $K_{l^n}^\bullet(X/S)$ means the filtered complex $K_{l^n}^\bullet(X/S)$ with trivial filtration by abuse of notation.

Proposition 2.14.

$$(2.14.1) \quad \{R(\epsilon_D \pi_{X_{l^\infty}(D)})_*(\mathbb{Z}/l^n)\}_{n=1}^\infty = \{K_{l^n}^\bullet(X(D)/S)\}_{n=1}^\infty$$

in $D(\mathring{X}_{\text{et}}, \mathbb{Z}_l)$.

Proof. Consider the following cartesian diagram:

$$(2.13.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X_{l^m}(D) & \xrightarrow{\epsilon_X \circ \pi_{X_{l^m}(D)}} & \mathring{X}(\mathring{D}) \\ \epsilon_D \downarrow & & \downarrow \epsilon_D \\ X_{l^m} & \xrightarrow{\epsilon_X \circ \pi_{X_{l^m}}} & \mathring{X}. \end{array}$$

Then, by the finiteness of the cohomological dimension ([Nak5, (7.2) (1)]) and the log Künneth formula ([Nak1, (6.1)]), we obtain the following

$$(2.13.3) \quad \begin{aligned} R(\epsilon_D \pi_{X_{l^m}(D)})_*(\mathbb{Z}/l^n) &= R(\epsilon_X \pi_{X_{l^m}})_*(\mathbb{Z}/l^n) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/l^n}^L R\epsilon_{D*}(\mathbb{Z}/l^n) \\ &= (\epsilon_X \pi_{X_{l^m}})_*(I_{X_{l^m}, l^n}^\bullet) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/l^n} M_{l^n}^\bullet(\mathring{D}/\mathring{S}) \end{aligned}$$

in $D^+(\mathring{X}, \mathbb{Z}/l^n)$. Taking the inductive limit of (2.13.3) with respect to m and using the quasi-isomorphism (2.4.2), we have the formula (2.14.1). Here we have used the flatness of $M_{l^n}^q(\mathring{D}/\mathring{S})$ ($q \in \mathbb{N}$). \square

Consider the following double complex and the single complex:

$$(2.13.4) \quad (A_{l^n}^{\bullet\bullet}(X(D)/S), P^{\mathring{D}}) := A_{l^n}^\bullet(X/S) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/l^n} (M_{l^n}^\bullet(\mathring{D}/\mathring{S}), Q)$$

and

$$(2.13.5) \quad (A_{l^n}^\bullet(X(D)/S), P^{\mathring{D}}) := s(A_{l^n}^{\bullet\bullet}(X(D)/S), P^{\mathring{D}}) \in \text{CF}(\mathring{X}_{\text{et}}, \mathbb{Z}/l^n).$$

Here $A_{l^n}^{\bullet\bullet}(X/S)$ means the filtered double complex $A_{l^n}^{\bullet\bullet}(X/S)(X/S)$ with trivial filtration by abuse of notation.

Consider also the following the filtered double complex and the single complex:

$$(2.13.6) \quad (A_{l^n}^{\bullet\bullet}(X(D)/S), P) := (A_{l^n}^\bullet(X/S), P^X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/l^n} (M_{l^n}^\bullet(\mathring{D}/\mathring{S}), Q)$$

and

$$(2.13.7) \quad (A_{l^n}^\bullet(X(D)/S), P) := s(A_{l^n}^{\bullet\bullet}(X(D)/S), P) \in \text{CF}(\mathring{X}_{\text{et}}, \mathbb{Z}/l^n).$$

Then we obtain the following bifiltered complex

$$(2.13.8) \quad (A_{l^n}^\bullet(X(D)/S), P) := s(A_{l^n}^{\bullet\bullet}(X(D)/S), P^{\mathring{D}}, P) \in \text{CF}^2(\mathring{X}_{\text{et}}, \mathbb{Z}/l^n).$$

Proposition 2.15. *The morphism*

$\theta \otimes 1: K_{l^n}^\bullet(X(D)/S) = K_{l^n}^\bullet(X/S) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/l^n} M_{l^n}^\bullet(\mathring{D}/\mathring{S}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{MF}_{l^n}(T-1)(1)[1] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/l^n} M_{l^n}^\bullet(\mathring{D}/\mathring{S})$
induces a filtered quasi-isomorphism

$$(2.15.1) \quad \theta \otimes 1: (K_{l^n}^\bullet(X(D)/S), P^{\mathring{D}}) \longrightarrow (A_{l^n}^\bullet(X(D)/S), P^{\mathring{D}})$$

in $\mathrm{C}^+\mathrm{F}(\mathring{X}_{\mathrm{et}}, \mathbb{Z}/l^n)$.

Proof. Because $(M_{l^n}^\bullet(\mathring{D}/\mathring{S}), Q)$ is a filtered flat complex of \mathbb{Z}/l^n -modules in $\mathring{X}_{\mathrm{et}}$, (2.15) follows from (2.8). \square

We denote the constructed bifiltered complex in $\mathrm{D}^-\mathrm{F}^2(\mathring{X}_{\mathrm{et}}, \mathbb{Z}/l^n)$ by $(A_{l^n}^\bullet(X(D)/S), P^{\mathring{D}}, P)$ by abuse of notation.

Proposition 2.16. *There exists an isomorphism*

$$(2.16.1) \quad R(\epsilon_{X(D)} \pi_{X_{l^\infty}(D)})_*(\mathbb{Z}/l^n) \xrightarrow{\sim} A_{l^n}^\bullet(X(D)/S)$$

in $D(\mathring{X}_{\mathrm{et}}, \mathbb{Z}/l^n)$.

Proof. (2.16) immediately follows from (2.14) and (2.15). \square

Theorem 2.17. (1) *The bifiltered complex $(A_{l^n}^\bullet(X(D)/S), P^{\mathring{D}}, P) \in \mathrm{D}^b\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ctfd}}^2(\mathring{X}_{\mathrm{et}}, \mathbb{Z}/l^n)$ is independent of the choice of $I_{X_{l^\infty}, l^n}^\bullet$ and $(M_{l^n}^\bullet(\mathring{D}/\mathring{S}), Q)$; the bifiltered complex is also independent of the choice of T .*

(2)

$$(A_{l^{n+1}}^\bullet(X(D)/S), P^{\mathring{D}}, P) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/l^{n+1}}^L \mathbb{Z}/l^n = (A_{l^n}^\bullet(X(D)/S), P^{\mathring{D}}, P)$$

in $\mathrm{DF}_{\mathrm{ctfd}}^2(\mathring{X}_{\mathrm{et}}, \mathbb{Z}/l^n)$. Here $\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/l^{n+1}}^L$ is the derived tensor product of bounded below bifiltered complexes defined in [Nakk4, (6.2.8)].

Proof. First we fix a generator T of $\mathbb{Z}_l(1)$. Let $I'_{X_{l^\infty}, n}^\bullet$ be another injective resolution of \mathbb{Z}/l^n in $(X_{l^\infty})_{\mathrm{ket}}$. Then we have analogous (filtered) complexes $K_{l^n}^\bullet(X/S)$ and $\mathrm{MF}'_{l^n}(T-1)$ as in (2.3.4) and (2.4.5), respectively. Since we have a quasi-isomorphism $I_{X_{l^\infty}, l^n}^\bullet \longrightarrow I'_{X_{l^\infty}, n}^\bullet$ fitting into the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} I_{X_{l^\infty}, l^n}^\bullet & \longrightarrow & I'_{X_{l^\infty}, n}^\bullet \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \mathbb{Z}/l^n & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathbb{Z}/l^n, \end{array}$$

we have natural morphisms $K_{l^n}^\bullet(X/S) \longrightarrow K'_{l^n}^\bullet(X/S)$ and $\mathrm{MF}_{l^n}(T-1) \longrightarrow \mathrm{MF}'_{l^n}(T-1)$ in turn. In fact we have a filtered quasi-isomorphism

$$(2.17.1) \quad (\mathrm{MF}_{l^n}(T-1), \tau) \longrightarrow (\mathrm{MF}'_{l^n}(T-1), \tau)$$

By the definition of P^X , we have the following:

$$(2.17.2) \quad \mathrm{gr}_k^{P^X} A_{l^n}^\bullet(X/S) = \bigoplus_{j \geq \max\{-k, 0\}} \mathrm{gr}_{2j+k+1}^\tau \mathrm{MF}_{l^n}(T-1)(j)\{j\}[1].$$

Let $(M_{l^n}^\bullet(\overset{\circ}{D}/\overset{\circ}{S}), Q')$ be an analogous filtered flat complex to $(M_{l^n}^\bullet(\overset{\circ}{D}/\overset{\circ}{S}), Q)$. By using the well-known functor $L^0: \{\mathcal{A}\text{-modules}\} \rightarrow \{\text{flat } \mathcal{A}\text{-modules}\}$ ($L^0(E)$ is, by definition, the sheafification of the presheaf ($U \mapsto \text{free } \Gamma(U, \mathcal{A})\text{-module with basis } \Gamma(U, E) \setminus \{0\}$)), we easily see that there exists an analogous filtered flat complex $(M_{l^n}^{\prime\prime\bullet}(\overset{\circ}{D}/\overset{\circ}{S}), Q'')$ to $(M_{l^n}^\bullet(\overset{\circ}{D}/\overset{\circ}{S}), Q)$ such that there exist the following filtered quasi-isomorphism

$$(2.17.3) \quad (M_{l^n}^\bullet(\overset{\circ}{D}/\overset{\circ}{S}), Q) \xleftarrow{\sim} (M_{l^n}^{\prime\prime\bullet}(\overset{\circ}{D}/\overset{\circ}{S}), Q'') \xrightarrow{\sim} (M_{l^n}^{\prime\bullet}(\overset{\circ}{D}/\overset{\circ}{S}), Q').$$

Hence we may assume that there exists a filtered quasi-isomorphism

$$(2.17.4) \quad (M_{l^n}^\bullet(\overset{\circ}{D}/\overset{\circ}{S}), Q) \xrightarrow{\sim} (M_{l^n}^{\prime\bullet}(\overset{\circ}{D}/\overset{\circ}{S}), Q').$$

Because the bifiltrations P and P^D are biregular, we have only to prove that $\text{gr}_{k'}^P \text{gr}_k^{P^D} A_{l^n}^\bullet(X(D)/S)$ is independent of the choice of $I_{X_{l^\infty}, l^n}^\bullet$ and $(M_{l^n}^\bullet(\overset{\circ}{D}/\overset{\circ}{S}), Q)$ (cf. the proof of [Nakk4,

(2.10)]). Since $\text{gr}_k^Q M_{l^n}^\bullet(\overset{\circ}{D}/\overset{\circ}{S})$ is a complex of flat \mathbb{Z}/l^n -modules, we have the following formula

$$(2.17.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{gr}_{k'}^P \text{gr}_k^{P^D} A_{l^n}^\bullet(X(D)/S) &= \text{gr}_{k'}^P (A_{l^n}^\bullet(X/S) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/l^n} \text{gr}_k^Q M_{l^n}^\bullet(\overset{\circ}{D}/\overset{\circ}{S})) \\ &= \bigoplus_{j \geq \max\{-k', 0\}} \text{gr}_{2j+k'+1-k}^\tau \text{MF}_{l^n}(T-1)(j)[j+1] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/l^n} \text{gr}_k^Q M_{l^n}^\bullet(\overset{\circ}{D}/\overset{\circ}{S}) \end{aligned}$$

by [NS, (1.2.4) (2)]. Since $\text{gr}_k^Q M_{l^n}^\bullet(\overset{\circ}{D}/\overset{\circ}{S})$ is a complex of flat \mathbb{Z}/l^n -modules, the following morphism

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{gr}_{2j+k'+1-k}^\tau \text{MF}_{l^n}(T-1)(j)[j+1] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/l^n} \text{gr}_k^Q M_{l^n}^\bullet(\overset{\circ}{D}/\overset{\circ}{S}) \\ &\longrightarrow (\text{gr}_{2j+k'+1-k}^\tau \text{MF}_{l^n}'(T-1)(j)[j+1] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/l^n} \text{gr}_k^Q M_{l^n}^\bullet(\overset{\circ}{D}/\overset{\circ}{S})) \end{aligned}$$

is a quasi-isomorphism by (2.6.1). Furthermore, the following morphism

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{gr}_{2j+k'+1-k}^\tau \text{MF}_{l^n}'(T-1)(j)[j+1] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/l^n} \text{gr}_k^Q M_{l^n}^\bullet(\overset{\circ}{D}/\overset{\circ}{S}) \\ &\longrightarrow (\text{gr}_{2j+k'+1-k}^\tau \text{MF}_{l^n}'(T-1)(j)[j+1] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/l^n} \text{gr}_k^{Q'} M_{l^n}^{\prime\bullet}(\overset{\circ}{D}/\overset{\circ}{S})) \end{aligned}$$

is a quasi-isomorphism. Now we have proved the independence of the choice of $I_{X_{l^\infty}, l^n}^\bullet$.

The independence of the choice of T follows from (2.5).

By (2.12.1) and (2.6.1), we see that the claim about the constructibility holds.

(2): Obviously we have a natural morphism

$$(A_{l^{n+1}}^\bullet(X(D)/S), P^{\overset{\circ}{D}}, P) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/l^{n+1}}^L \mathbb{Z}/l^n \longrightarrow (A_{l^n}^\bullet(X(D)/S), P^{\overset{\circ}{D}}, P).$$

Let R^\bullet be a flat resolution of \mathbb{Z}/l^n of \mathbb{Z}/l^{n+1} -modules. Then $(A_{l^{n+1}}^\bullet(X(D)/S), P^{\overset{\circ}{D}}, P) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/l^{n+1}}^L \mathbb{Z}/l^n = (A_{l^{n+1}}^\bullet(X(D)/S), P^{\overset{\circ}{D}}, P) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/l^{n+1}}^L R^\bullet$. As in (1), it suffices to prove that

$\mathrm{gr}_{k'}^P \mathrm{gr}_k^{P^{\mathring{D}}} \{(A_{l^{n+1}}^\bullet(X(D)/S), P^{\mathring{D}}, P) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/l^{n+1}}^L R^\bullet\} = \mathrm{gr}_{k'}^P \mathrm{gr}_k^{P^{\mathring{D}}} (A_{l^n}^\bullet(X(D)/S), P^{\mathring{D}}, P)$.
This is obtained as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{gr}_{k'}^P \mathrm{gr}_k^{P^{\mathring{D}}} \{(A_{l^{n+1}}^\bullet(X(D)/S), P^{\mathring{D}}, P) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/l^{n+1}} R^\bullet\} = \mathrm{gr}_{k'}^P \mathrm{gr}_k^{P^{\mathring{D}}} (A_{l^{n+1}}^\bullet(X(D)/S), P^{\mathring{D}}, P) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/l^{n+1}} R^\bullet \\ &= \bigoplus_{j \geq \max\{-k', 0\}} \mathrm{gr}_{2j+k'+1-k}^\tau \mathrm{MF}_{l^{n+1}}(T-1)(j)[j+1] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/l^{n+1}} \mathrm{gr}_k^Q M_{l^{n+1}}^\bullet(\mathring{D}/\mathring{S}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/l^{n+1}} R^\bullet \\ &\xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{j \geq \max\{-k', 0\}} \mathrm{gr}_{2j+k'+1-k}^\tau \mathrm{MF}_{l^n}(T-1)(j)[j+1] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/l^{n+1}} \mathrm{gr}_k^Q M_{l^n}^\bullet(\mathring{D}/\mathring{S}). \end{aligned}$$

Here, to obtain the last quasi-isomorphism, we have used (2.12.1) and (2.6.1). \square

Corollary 2.18. *Let $Rf_*^\circ: \mathrm{D}^b\mathrm{F}^2(\mathring{X}_{\mathrm{et}}, \mathbb{Z}_l) \rightarrow \mathrm{DF}^2(\mathring{S}_{\mathrm{et}}, \mathbb{Z}_l)$ be the bifiltered derived direct image of $f: \mathring{X} \rightarrow \mathring{S}$. Then*

$$Rf_*^\circ((A_{l^{n+1}}^\bullet(X(D)/S), P^{\mathring{D}}, P)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/l^{n+1}}^L \mathbb{Z}/l^n = Rf_*^\circ((A_{l^n}^\bullet(X(D)/S), P^{\mathring{D}}, P)).$$

Proof. We leave the proof to the reader. \square

Remark 2.19. (1) The well-definedness in (2.17) for the case $\mathring{D} = \emptyset$ is necessary in [RZ], [Nak3], [Nakk2] and some other papers because we have to make clear in what the category the complex $(A_{l^n}^\bullet(X(D)/S), P^{\mathring{D}}, P)$ in $\mathrm{CF}^2(\mathring{X}_{\mathrm{et}}, \mathbb{Z}/l^n)$ defines a well-defined object (In [Nak3, (1.4.1)] the resulting spectral sequence obtained from $(A_{l^n}^\bullet(X/S), P^X)$ has been shown to be well-defined (except the independence of the choice of T); to fix the category, we need a filtered flat resolution and a (bi)filtered derived category in [NS] and [Nakk4] and the derived tensor product in [loc. cit.]. This clarity is indispensable when we discuss the contravariant functoriality of $(A_{l^n}^\bullet(X(D)/S), P^{\mathring{D}}, P)$ in the next section.

(2) The following remark is very important. For a ringed topos $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A})$, we define the derived tensor product

$$\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^L: \mathrm{D}^- \mathrm{F}(\mathcal{A}) \times \mathrm{D}^- \mathrm{F}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathrm{D}^- \mathrm{F}(\mathcal{A}).$$

Because we can take a bounded representative $(A_{l^n}^\bullet(X(D)/S), P^{\mathring{D}}, P)$, we can define $Rf_*^\circ((A_{l^n}^\bullet(X(D)/S), P^{\mathring{D}}, P))$.

Let $\mathrm{DF}^2(\mathring{X}_{\mathrm{et}}, \mathbb{Z}_l)$ be the projective 2-limit of $\mathrm{DF}^2(\mathring{X}_{\mathrm{et}}, \mathbb{Z}/l^n)$'s: an object of $\mathrm{DF}^2(\mathring{X}_{\mathrm{et}}, \mathbb{Z}_l)$ is a projective system $\{(K_n^\bullet, Q, R)\}_{n=0}^\infty$, where K_n^\bullet is an object of $\mathrm{DF}^2(\mathring{X}_{\mathrm{et}}, \mathbb{Z}/l^n)$ such that

$$(K_{n+1}^\bullet, Q, R) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/l^{n+1}}^L \mathbb{Z}/l^n = (K_n^\bullet, Q, R).$$

Here $\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/l^{n+1}}^L$ is the derived tensor product of bounded below bifiltered complexes defined in [Nakk4, (6.2.8)] as already stated. A morphism of $\mathrm{DF}^2(\mathring{X}_{\mathrm{et}}, \mathbb{Z}_l)$ is obviously defined.

Definition 2.20. We call the bifiltered complex

$$(A_{l^\infty}^\bullet(X(D)/S), P^{\mathring{D}}, P) := \{(A_{l^n}^\bullet(X(D)/S), P^{\mathring{D}}, P)\}_{n=1}^\infty \in \mathrm{DF}^2(\mathring{X}_{\mathrm{et}}, \mathbb{Z}_l)$$

the l -adic bifiltered Steenbrink-Zucker complex of $X(D)$ over S . We call $P^{\mathring{D}}$ and P the weight filtration with respect to \mathring{D} and the weight filtration, respectively. (We do not use a traditional notation W in this paper because we have to use the symbol W for the Witt ring in another paper, e.g., [M], [Nak1].)

We have the following natural objects

$$\mathrm{gr}_k^{P^{\mathring{D}}} A_{l^\infty}^\bullet(X(D)/S) := \{\mathrm{gr}_k^{P^{\mathring{D}}} A_{l^n}^\bullet(X(D)/S)\}_{n=1}^\infty \in D(\mathring{X}_{\mathrm{et}}, \mathbb{Z}_l)$$

and

$$\mathrm{gr}_k^P A_{l^\infty}^\bullet(X(D)/S) := \{\mathrm{gr}_k^P A_{l^n}^\bullet(X(D)/S)\}_{n=1}^\infty \in D(\mathring{X}_{\mathrm{et}}, \mathbb{Z}_l).$$

3 l -adic weight spectral sequences

In this section we give generalizations of (1.0.6) and (1.0.7).

Proposition 3.1. *Let \mathring{Z} be a closed SNC scheme of \mathring{X} over \mathring{S} . Set $Z := X \times_{\mathring{X}} \mathring{Z}$. Assume that Z is an SNCL scheme over S . Let $\iota: Z \xrightarrow{\subset} X$ and $\iota_{l^\infty}: Z_{l^\infty} \xrightarrow{\subset} X_{l^\infty}$ be the natural closed immersions. Let $I_{Z_{l^\infty}, l^n}^\bullet$ be an injective resolution of \mathbb{Z}/l^n in $(Z_{l^\infty})_{\mathrm{ket}}$. Then the natural morphism $\mathring{\iota}^*(K_{l^n}^\bullet(X/S)) \rightarrow K_{l^n}^\bullet(Z/S)$ induced by a natural morphism $\iota_{l^\infty}^*(I_{X_{l^\infty}, l^n}^\bullet) \rightarrow I_{Z_{l^\infty}, l^n}^\bullet$ is a quasi-isomorphism fitting into the following commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathring{\iota}^*(K_{l^{n+1}}^\bullet(X/S)) & \longrightarrow & K_{l^{n+1}}^\bullet(Z/S) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathring{\iota}^*(K_{l^n}^\bullet(X/S)) & \longrightarrow & K_{l^n}^\bullet(Z/S). \end{array}$$

Proof. Consider the following cartesian two diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z_{l^m} & \xrightarrow{\subset} & X_{l^m} \\ \pi_{Z_{l^m}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_{X_{l^m}} \\ Z & \xrightarrow{\subset} & X \\ \epsilon_Z \downarrow & & \downarrow \epsilon_X \\ \mathring{Z} & \xrightarrow{\subset} & \mathring{X}. \end{array}$$

In $D(\mathring{X}_{\mathrm{et}}, \mathbb{Z}/l^n)$ we have $(\epsilon_X \pi_{X_{l^m}})_*(I_{X_{l^m}, l^n}^\bullet) = R(\epsilon_X \pi_{X_{l^m}})_*(\mathbb{Z}/l^n)$. Now (3.1) follows from the use of the quasi-isomorphism (2.4.2) and from the log proper base change theorem of Nakayama ([Nak1, (5.1)]). (It is easy to check the condition about charts of the log structures of X_{l^m} , X and Z in [loc. cit.] is satisfied.) \square

Proposition 3.2. *Let the notations be as in (3.1). Then the morphism*

$$\mathring{\iota}^*(A_{l^n}^\bullet(X/S), P^X) \rightarrow (A_{l^n}^\bullet(Z/S), P^Z)$$

is a filtered quasi-isomorphism. Consequently $\mathring{\iota}^(A_{l^\infty}^\bullet(X/S), P^X) = (A_{l^\infty}^\bullet(Z/S), P^Z)$ in $D^+(\mathring{Z}_{\mathrm{et}}, \mathbb{Z}_l)$.*

Proof. (3.2) follows from (3.1) and the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathring{i}^*(K_{l^n}^\bullet(X/S)) & \xrightarrow{T-1} & \mathring{i}^*(K_{l^n}^\bullet(X/S)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K_{l^n}^\bullet(Z/S) & \xrightarrow{T-1} & K_{l^n}^\bullet(Z/S). \end{array}$$

□

Lemma 3.3. *Let k be a nonnegative integer. Then the following hold:*

(1) *There exists an isomorphism*

$$(3.3.1) \quad \mathrm{gr}_k^{P\mathring{D}} A_{l^\infty}^\bullet(X(D)/S) \xrightarrow{\sim} b_*^{(k)}(A_{l^\infty}^\bullet(D^{(k)}/S)(-k) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \varpi_{\mathrm{et}}^{(k)}(\mathring{D}/\mathring{S}))\{-k\}$$

in $D^+(\mathring{X}_{\mathrm{et}}, \mathbb{Z}/l^n)$.

(2) *There exists an isomorphism*

(3.3.2)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{gr}_k^P A_{l^\infty}^\bullet(X(D)/S) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \left\{ \bigoplus_{k'=-\infty}^k \bigoplus_{j \geq \max\{-k', 0\}} (c_*^{(2j+k'), (k-k')}(\mathbb{Z}/l^n)_{\mathring{X}^{(2j+k')} \cap \mathring{D}^{(k-k')}}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \varpi_{\mathrm{et}}^{(2j+k'), (k-k')}((\mathring{X}, \mathring{D})/\mathring{S})(-j-k)\{-2j-k\}, -d\right\}_n \end{aligned}$$

in $D^+(\mathring{X}_{\mathrm{et}}, \mathbb{Z}_l)$. *This isomorphism is compatible with n 's.*

(3) *There exists an isomorphism*

$$(3.3.3) \quad \mathrm{gr}_{k'}^P \mathrm{gr}_k^{P\mathring{D}} A_{l^\infty}^\bullet(X(D)/S) \xrightarrow{\sim} \left\{ \bigoplus_{j \geq \max\{-k', 0\}} (c_*^{(2j+k'), (k)}(\mathbb{Z}/l^n)_{\mathring{X}^{(2j+k')} \cap \mathring{D}^{(k)}}} \right. \\ \left. \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \varpi_{\mathrm{et}}^{(2j+k'), (k)}((\mathring{X}, \mathring{D})/\mathring{S})(-j-k'-k)\{-2j-k'-k\}, -d\right\}_n$$

in $D^+(\mathring{X}_{\mathrm{et}}, \mathbb{Z}_l)$. *This isomorphism is compatible with n 's.*

Proof. (1): Since $(M_{l^n}^q(\mathring{D}/\mathring{S}), Q)$ is a filtered flat \mathbb{Z}/l^n -module on $\mathring{X}_{\mathrm{et}}$, $\mathrm{gr}_k^Q(M_{l^n}^q(\mathring{D}/\mathring{S}))$ is a flat \mathbb{Z}/l^n -module on $\mathring{X}_{\mathrm{et}}$. Hence, as in [SZ, (5.22)], we have

$$(3.3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathrm{gr}_k^{P\mathring{D}} A_{l^n}^\bullet(X(D)/S) &= s(A_{l^n}^\bullet(X/S) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/l^n} \mathrm{gr}_k^Q M_{l^n}^\bullet(\mathring{D}/\mathring{S})) \\ &= A_{l^n}^\bullet(X/S) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} b_*^{(k)}(\varpi_{\mathrm{et}}^{(k)}(\mathring{D}/\mathring{S}))(-k)\{-k\} \\ &= b_*^{(k)}(b^{(k)-1}(A_{l^n}^\bullet(X/S)(-k)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \varpi_{\mathrm{et}}^{(k)}(\mathring{D}/\mathring{S}))\{-k\} \\ &= b_*^{(k)}(A_{l^n}^\bullet(D^{(k)}/S)(-k) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \varpi_{\mathrm{et}}^{(k)}(\mathring{D}/\mathring{S}))\{-k\}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, to obtain the second formula (resp. the last formula), we have used (2.6.1) (resp. (3.2)). The compatibility with respect to n is easy to see. We complete the proof of (1).

(2):
(3.3.5)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{gr}_k^P A_{l^n}^\bullet(X(D)/S) \\
&= \bigoplus_{k'=-\infty}^k \bigoplus_{j \geq \max\{-k', 0\}} \left(\mathrm{gr}_{2j+k'+1}^\tau A_{l^n}^{\bullet j}(X/S)\{-j\}, -d \right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/l^n} \mathrm{gr}_{k-k'}^Q M_{l^n}^\bullet(\mathring{D}/\mathring{S}) \\
&= \bigoplus_{k'=-\infty}^k \bigoplus_{j \geq \max\{-k', 0\}} \left((\mathbb{Z}/l^n)(-j-k') \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} a_*^{(2j+k')}(\varpi_{\mathrm{et}}^{(2j+k')}(\mathring{X}/\mathring{S})), -d \right) \\
&\quad \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/l^n} (\mathbb{Z}/l^n)(-(k-k')) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} b_*^{(k-k')}(\varpi_{\mathrm{et}}^{(k-k')}(\mathring{D}/\mathring{S}))\{-(k-k')\} \\
&= \bigoplus_{k'=-\infty}^k \bigoplus_{j \geq \max\{-k', 0\}} \left((\mathbb{Z}/l^n)(-j-k) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} a_*^{(2j+k')}(\varpi_{\mathrm{et}}^{(2j+k')}(\mathring{X}/\mathring{S})) \right) \\
&\quad \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} b_*^{(k-k')}(\varpi_{\mathrm{et}}^{(k-k')}(\mathring{D}/\mathring{S}))\{-2j-k\}, -d.
\end{aligned}$$

Here, to obtain the first equality and the second one, we have used [NS, (1.2.4) (2)] and the formula (2.12.1), respectively. Hence we obtain (3.3.2) by (2.1.4).

(3): The proof of (3) is similar to that of (2). \square

Remark 3.4. (1) The isomorphism (3.3.2) is independent of the choice of $I_{X_{l^\infty}, l^n}^\bullet$ and T (cf. (2.17)). The anxiety of the dependence in [II3, p. 801] is not necessary (if one considers the isomorphism [loc. cit., (3.4.2)] as the isomorphism in $D^+(\mathring{Y}_{\mathrm{et}}, \mathbb{Z}/l^n)$ because Rapoport–Zink single complex is independent of auxiliary choices ((6.2) below)).

(2) Set $q = j$ and $s := 2j + k' + 1$. Then $j \geq \max\{-k', 0\}$ and $k' \leq k$ if and only if $q \geq 0$, $q \geq 2j - s + 1$ and $s - 2q - 1 \leq k$. This is equivalent to $q \geq 0$ and $q + 1 \leq s \leq k + 2q + 1$. This equivalent condition is different from the condition $q \geq 0$, $q \geq -k$ and $s > q$ in [SZ, (5.22)] because s can become any big integer for fixed q, k . It seems to me that the condition in [SZ, (5.22)] is mistaken.

Corollary 3.5. (1) Let $f_{X_{l^\infty}(D)/S}: X_{l^\infty}(D) \rightarrow S$ and $f_{D_{l^\infty}^{(k)}/\mathring{S}}: D_{l^\infty}^{(k)} \rightarrow S$ be the structural morphisms. Then there exists the following spectral sequence:

$$(3.5.1) \quad E_1^{-k, q+k} = R^{q-k} f_{D_{l^\infty}^{(k)}/\mathring{S}*}(\mathbb{Z}_l \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \pi_{D_{l^\infty}^{(k)}}^* \epsilon_{D^{(k)}}^* (\varpi_{\mathrm{et}}^{(k)}(\mathring{D}/\mathring{S})))(-k) \implies R^q f_{X_{l^\infty}(D)/S*}(\mathbb{Z}_l).$$

Here $R^{q-k} f_{D_{l^\infty}^{(k)}/S*}(\mathbb{Z}_l \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \pi_{D_{l^\infty}^{(k)}}^* \epsilon_{D^{(k)}}^* (\varpi_{\mathrm{et}}^{(k)}(\mathring{D}/\mathring{S})))(-k) := \varprojlim_n R^{q-k} f_{D_{l^\infty}^{(k)}/S*}(\mathbb{Z}/l^n \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \pi_{D_{l^\infty}^{(k)}}^* \epsilon_{D^{(k)}}^* (\varpi_{\mathrm{et}}^{(k)}(\mathring{D}/\mathring{S})))(-k)$ and $R^q f_{X_{l^\infty}(D)/S*}(\mathbb{Z}_l) := \varprojlim_n R^q f_{X_{l^\infty}(D)/S*}(\mathbb{Z}/l^n)$.

(2) Let $f_{\mathring{X}^{(2j+k')} \cap \mathring{D}^{(k-k')}/\mathring{S}}: \mathring{X}^{(2j+k')} \cap \mathring{D}^{(k-k')} \rightarrow \mathring{S}$ be the structural morphism. Then there exists the following spectral sequence:

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.5.2) \quad E_1^{-k, q+k} &= \bigoplus_{k'=-\infty}^k \bigoplus_{j \geq \max\{-k', 0\}} R^{q-2j-k} f_{\mathring{X}^{(2j+k')} \cap \mathring{D}^{(k-k')}/\mathring{S}*}(\mathbb{Z}_l \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \\
&\quad (\varpi_{\mathrm{et}}^{(2j+k'), (k-k')}(\mathring{X}, \mathring{D})/\mathring{S})\{-j-k\}) \\
&\implies R^q f_{X_{l^\infty}(D)/S*}(\mathbb{Z}_l).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. (1): We immediately obtain (3.5.1) by taking the projective limit of the spectral sequence obtained from (3.3) (1).

(2): We obtain the following identification of cohomologies:

(3.5.4)

$$\begin{aligned}
& R^q f_* (\mathrm{gr}_k^P A_{l^n}^{\bullet j}(X(D)/S)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\sim} R^q f_* \left(\bigoplus_{k'=-\infty}^k \bigoplus_{j \geq \max\{-k', 0\}} (c_*^{(2j+k'), (k-k')} ((\mathbb{Z}/l^n)_{\mathring{X}^{(2j+k')} \cap \mathring{D}^{(k-k')}}))(-j-k) \right. \\
& \quad \left. \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} a_*^{(2j+k')} (\varpi_{\mathrm{et}}^{(2j+k')} (\mathring{X}/\mathring{S})) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} b_*^{(k-k')} (\varpi_{\mathrm{et}}^{(k-k')} (\mathring{D}/\mathring{S})) \{-2j-k\}, -d) \right) \\
& = R^q f_* \left(\bigoplus_{k'=-\infty}^k \bigoplus_{j \geq \max\{-k', 0\}} (c_*^{(2j+k'), (k-k')} (\mathbb{Z}/l^n)_{\mathring{X}^{(2j+k')} \cap \mathring{D}^{(k-k')}})) \right. \\
& \quad \left. \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \varpi_{\mathrm{et}}^{(2j+k'), (k-k')} ((\mathring{X}, \mathring{D})/\mathring{S}), d) (-j-k) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Taking the projective limit of (3.5.4) with respect to n , we obtain the spectral sequence (3.5.2). \square

Definition 3.6. We call the spectral sequences the *weight spectral sequence* of $R^q f_{X_{l^\infty}(D)/S^*}(\mathbb{Z}_l)$ relative to \mathring{D} and the *weight spectral sequence* of $R^q f_{X_{l^\infty}(D)/S^*}(\mathbb{Z}_l)$, respectively. We denote by $P^{\mathring{D}}$ and P the filtrations on $R^q f_{X_{l^\infty}(D)/S^*}(\mathbb{Z}_l)$ obtained from (3.5.1) and (3.5.2), respectively. We call $P^{\mathring{D}}$ and P the *weight filtration* on $R^q f_{X_{l^\infty}(D)/S^*}(\mathbb{Z}_l)$ relative to \mathring{D} and the *weight filtration* on $R^q f_{X_{l^\infty}(D)/S^*}(\mathbb{Z}_l)$, respectively.

4 Fundamental properties of the l -adic bifiltered complex and the l -adic weight spectral sequences

In this section we show several fundamental properties of the l -adic bifiltered complex and the l -adic weight spectral sequences defined in the previous sections.

Let the notations be as in the previous section.

The following is an immediate generalization of [Nak3, (1.5)] (Though Nakayama has assumed, in [loc. cit.], that the underlying morphism $\mathring{X} \rightarrow \mathring{S}$ is proper, it is not necessary to assume it.):

Proposition 4.1. *Let S' be a family of log points whose log structure is free. Let $g: S' \rightarrow S$ be a morphism of log schemes. Let $f_{X'_{l^m}(D')/S'}: X'_{l^m}(D') \rightarrow S'$ ($m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$) be the base change of $f_{X_{l^m}(D)/S}: X_{l^m}(D) \rightarrow S$ with respect to g . Assume that \mathring{X}' is quasi-compact. Then the spectral sequences (3.5.1) and (3.5.2) for $R^q f_{X'_{l^\infty}(D')/\mathring{S}'}(\mathbb{Z}_l)$ are canonically isomorphic to the inverse images of (3.5.1) and (3.5.2) by g , respectively.*

Proof. It is clear that we have morphisms from the inverse images of (3.5.1) and (3.5.2) by \mathring{g} to the spectral sequences (3.5.1) and (3.5.2) for $R^q f_{X'_{l^\infty}(D')/S'}(\mathbb{Z}_l)$.

As for (3.5.2), the smooth base change theorem ([SGA 4-3, XVI (1.2)]) does the job since $\mathring{X}^{(2j+k')} \cap \mathring{D}^{(k-k')}$ is smooth over \mathring{S} . As for (3.5.1), we have just proved that $g^* R^{q-k} f_{D_{l^\infty}/S^*}(\mathbb{Z}_l) = R^{q-k} f_{D_{l^\infty}/S'^*}(\mathbb{Z}_l)$. Now the claim for (3.5.1) follows. \square

Proposition 4.2. *Assume that \mathring{X} is proper over \mathring{S} . Then the spectral sequence (3.5.2) degenerates at E_2 modulo torsion.*

Proof. We use a standard specialization argument, e.g., in [Ga] and [Nak3, (2.3)].

By (4.1) we may assume that S is a log point $s := (\mathrm{Spec}(\kappa), \mathbb{N} \oplus \kappa^*)$. As in [Nak3, (2.3)], we may assume that there exist a finitely generated subring A of κ and an SNCL scheme \mathcal{X} with a horizontal SNCD \mathring{D} over $S' := (\mathrm{Spec}(A), \mathbb{N} \oplus A^*)$ such that there exists the following cartesian diagram

$$(4.2.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} (X, \mathring{D}) & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{X}, \mathring{D}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ s & \longrightarrow & S'. \end{array}$$

By (4.1) we have only to prove that the spectral sequence (3.5.2) for $(\mathcal{X}, \mathring{D})/S'$ degenerates at E_2 modulo torsion. Take a closed point \mathring{t} of S' . Let t be the log point whose underlying scheme is \mathring{t} and whose log structure is the pull-back of the log structure of S' . Because $E_1^{-k, q+k}$ is smooth, we may assume that $S' = t$. In this case, the weight filtration on $E_1^{-k, q+k}$ in our paper is the same as the weight filtration defined by the Frobenius by the purity of weight of the Frobenius for the l -adic cohomology of a proper smooth scheme ([D2, (1.6)]). By (3.5.2) we see that $E_1^{-k, q+k}$ is pure weight $q+k$. Because the edge morphism $d_r^{-k, q+k}$ commutes with the Frobenius endomorphism, we see that the spectral sequence (3.5.2) degenerates at E_2 modulo torsion. \square

Proposition 4.3. *Assume that \mathring{X} is proper over \mathring{S} . Then the edge morphism*

$$(4.3.1) \quad d_r^{-k, q+k} : E_r^{-k, q+k} \longrightarrow E_r^{-k+1, q+k} \quad (r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1})$$

of the spectral sequence (3.5.1) $\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_l} \mathbb{Q}_l$ is strictly compatible with the weight filtration P on the E_r -terms.

Proof. By the proof of (4.6), we see that $E_1^{-k, q+k}$ is smooth on \mathring{S} . Hence we may assume that $S' = t$ as in the proof of (4.2). The rest of the proof is the same as that of (4.2). \square

Remark 4.4. We do not know whether (4.3.1) degenerates at E_2 in general. However we prove that (4.3.1) degenerates at E_2 if (X, \mathring{D}) is a the log special fiber of a proper strict semistable family with a horizontal simple normal crossing divisor over a henselian discrete valuation ring of any characteristic (cf. [SZ, p. 527] in the equal characteristic 0). It seems a very interesting to answer positively that (4.3.1) degenerates at E_2 in the case where \mathring{X} is projective over \mathring{S} and answer negatively in general that (4.3.1) degenerates at E_2 in the case where \mathring{X} is not projective over \mathring{S} .

Proposition 4.5 (Contravariant Functoriality). *Let S' be a family of log points of characteristic $p > 0$ over S . Assume that the log structure of S' is constant. For a commutative diagram*

$$(4.5.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} (Y, \mathring{E}) & \xrightarrow{g} & (X, \mathring{D}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (\mathring{Y}, \mathring{E}) & \xrightarrow{g'} & (\mathring{X}, \mathring{D}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ S' & \longrightarrow & S, \end{array}$$

where (Y, \mathring{E}) and (X, \mathring{D}) are SNCL schemes with horizontal SNCD's over $S' \rightarrow S$, there exists a morphism

$$(4.5.2) \quad \mathring{g}^* : (A_{l^\infty}^\bullet(X(D)/S), P^{\mathring{D}}, P) \longrightarrow R\mathring{g}_*(A_{l^\infty}^\bullet(Y(E)/S'), P^{\mathring{E}}, P)$$

of bifiltered complexes in $\mathrm{DF}^2(\mathring{X}_{\mathrm{et}}, \mathbb{Z}_l)$ fitting into the following commutative diagram

$$(4.5.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} (A_{l^\infty}^\bullet(X(D)/S), P^{\mathring{D}}, P) & \xrightarrow{g^*} & R\mathring{g}_*(A_{l^\infty}^\bullet(Y(E)/S'), P^{\mathring{E}}, P) \\ \simeq \uparrow & & \uparrow \simeq \\ R(\epsilon_D \pi_{X_{l^\infty}(D)})_*(\mathbb{Z}_l) & \xrightarrow{g^*} & R\mathring{g}_* R(\epsilon_E \pi_{Y_{l^\infty}(E)})_*(\mathbb{Z}_l) \end{array}$$

in $D^+(\mathring{X}_{\mathrm{et}}, \mathbb{Z}_l)$.

Proof. Let $I_{X_{l^\infty}, l^n}^\bullet$ (resp. $I_{Y_{l^\infty}, n}^\bullet$) be an injective resolution of \mathbb{Z}/l^n in $(X_{l^\infty})_{\mathrm{ket}}$ (resp. $(Y_{l^\infty})_{\mathrm{ket}}$). Then we have a natural morphism

$$(4.5.4) \quad g^* : I_{X_{l^\infty}, l^n}^\bullet \longrightarrow g_*(I_{Y_{l^\infty}, n}^\bullet)$$

of complexes. Let $\mathrm{MF}'_{l^n}(T-1)$ be the mapping fiber of the morphism $T-1 : I_{Y_{l^\infty}, n}^\bullet \rightarrow I_{X_{l^\infty}, l^n}^\bullet$. The morphism (4.5.4) induces a filtered morphism

$$\mathring{g}^*((\mathrm{MF}'_{l^n}(T-1), X), \tau) \longrightarrow (\mathrm{MF}'_{l^n}(T-1), \tau).$$

Taking a filtered injective resolution of $(\mathrm{MF}'_{l^n}(T-1), \tau)$ ([NS, (1.1.5)]) and using (2.10.2), we have a filtered morphism

$$(4.5.5) \quad (A_{l^n}^\bullet(X(D)/S), P^X) \longrightarrow \mathring{g}_*(A_{l^n}^\bullet(Y(E)/S'), P^Y).$$

Let $I_{\mathring{D}, l^n}^\bullet$ (resp. $I_{\mathring{E}, n}^\bullet$) be an injective resolution of \mathbb{Z}/l^n in $(\mathring{X}, \mathring{D})_{\mathrm{ket}}$ (resp. $(\mathring{Y}, \mathring{E})_{\mathrm{ket}}$). Then we have a morphism

$$(4.5.7) \quad g^*(I_{\mathring{D}, l^n}^\bullet) \longrightarrow (I_{\mathring{E}, n}^\bullet)$$

of complexes. By using the filtered flat resolution (see also [NS, (2.16), (2.18), (2.20)]), there exist filtered flat resolutions of $(M_{l^n}(\mathring{D}/\mathring{S}), Q)$ and $(M'_{l^n}(\mathring{E}/\mathring{S}'), Q')$ of $(I_{\mathring{D}, l^n}^\bullet, \tau)$

and $(I_{E,n}^\bullet, \tau)$, respectively, fitting into the following commutative diagram:

$$(4.5.8) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} g^*(\overset{\circ}{\epsilon}_{D^*}^\circ(I_{D,l^n}^\bullet), \tau) & \longrightarrow & (\overset{\circ}{\epsilon}_{E^*}^\circ(I_{E,n}^\bullet), \tau) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ g^*((M_{l^n}(\overset{\circ}{D}/\overset{\circ}{S}), Q)) & \longrightarrow & (M_{l^n}(\overset{\circ}{E}/\overset{\circ}{S}'), Q'). \end{array}$$

The morphism (4.5.5) and the upper horizontal morphism in (4.5.8) induce a morphism filtered morphisms

$$L\overset{\circ}{g}^*(A_{l^n}^\bullet(X(D)/S), P^X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/l^n}^L L\overset{\circ}{g}^*(\overset{\circ}{\epsilon}_{D^*}^\circ(I_{D,l^n}^\bullet), P^{\overset{\circ}{D}}) \longrightarrow (A_{l^n}^\bullet(Y(E)/S), P^Y) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/l^n}^L (\overset{\circ}{\epsilon}_{E^*}^\circ(I_{E,n}^\bullet), P^{\overset{\circ}{E}})$$

and

$$L\overset{\circ}{g}^* A_{l^n}^\bullet(X(D)/S) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/l^n}^L L\overset{\circ}{g}^*(\overset{\circ}{\epsilon}_{D^*}^\circ(I_{D,l^n}^\bullet), P^{\overset{\circ}{D}}) \longrightarrow A_{l^n}^\bullet(Y(E)/S) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/l^n}^L (\overset{\circ}{\epsilon}_{E^*}^\circ(I_{E,n}^\bullet), P^{\overset{\circ}{E}}).$$

In fact, these are underlying morphisms of the following morphism

$$L\overset{\circ}{g}^*((A_{l^n}^\bullet((X, \overset{\circ}{D})/S), P^{\overset{\circ}{D}}, P)) \longrightarrow (A_{l^n}^\bullet((Y, \overset{\circ}{E})/S), P^{\overset{\circ}{E}}, P).$$

By the adjunction formula [NS, (5.2)] we obtain the following morphism we have the following filtered morphism

$$(A_{l^n}^\bullet((X(D))/S), P^{\overset{\circ}{D}}, P) \longrightarrow R\overset{\circ}{g}_*((A_{l^n}^\bullet(Y(E)/S), P^{\overset{\circ}{E}}, P)).$$

□

Theorem 4.6. *Assume that $\overset{\circ}{X}$ is proper over $\overset{\circ}{S}$. Then the following hold:*

- (1) *The \mathbb{Z}_l -sheaf $P_k^{\overset{\circ}{D}} R^q f_{X_{l^\infty}(D)/S^*}(\mathbb{Z}_l)$ ($k \in \mathbb{Z}$) is a smooth sheaf on $\overset{\circ}{S}_{\text{et}}$.*
- (2) *The \mathbb{Z}_l -sheaf $P_k R^q f_{X_{l^\infty}(D)/S^*}(\mathbb{Z}_l)$ ($k \in \mathbb{Z}$) is a smooth sheaf on $\overset{\circ}{S}_{\text{et}}$.*

Proof. First we prove (2). It suffices to prove that $P_k R^q f_{X_{l^\infty}(D)/S^*}(\mathbb{Z}/l^n)$ ($k \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in \mathbb{N}$) is a smooth sheaf on $\overset{\circ}{S}_{\text{et}}$. The E_1 -terms of the spectral sequence (3.5.2) are smooth by [SGA 4 1/2, Arcata V (3.1)]. Especially they are constructible. Because the constructibility is stable under the kernel and the image of a morphism of constructible sheaves ([loc. cit., IX (2.6) (i_{bis})]), the E_r -terms ($r \geq 1$) are also constructible. Because the constructibility is stable under an extension of constructible sheaves ([loc. cit., IX (2.6) (ii)]), the sheaf $P_k R^q f_{X_{l^\infty}(D)/\overset{\circ}{S}^*}(\mathbb{Z}/l^n)$ is also constructible.

Let $\overline{S}(\overline{x}') \rightarrow \overline{S}(\overline{x})$ be the specialization map over $\overset{\circ}{S}$ ([SGA 4-2, VIII (7.2)]). Then we have the following specialization map

$$(3.5.2)_{\overline{x}} \longrightarrow (3.5.2)_{\overline{x}'}$$

of spectral sequences by (4.5). Since the E_1 -terms of the spectral sequence (3.5.2) are smooth, the specialization morphism $(E_1^{-k, q+k})_{\overline{x}} \rightarrow (E_1^{-k, q+k})_{\overline{x}'}$ is an isomorphism. Hence the specialization morphism

$$P_k R^q f_{X_{l^\infty}(D)/\overset{\circ}{S}^*}(\mathbb{Z}/l^n)_{\overline{x}} \longrightarrow P_k R^q f_{X_{l^\infty}(D)/\overset{\circ}{S}^*}(\mathbb{Z}/l^n)_{\overline{x}'}$$

is an isomorphism. Hence $P_k R^q f_{X_{l^\infty}(D)/\mathring{S}^*}(\mathbb{Z}/l^n)$ is smooth by the criterion [loc. cit., IX (2.11)].

(1): By (2) we see that the E_1 -terms of the spectral sequence (3.5.1) are smooth. The rest of the proof is the same as that of (2). \square

Remark 4.7. By [Nak5, (13.1)] we see also that $R^q f_{X_{l^\infty}(D)/\mathring{S}^*}(\mathbb{Z}_l)$ is smooth.

Theorem 4.8 (Strict compatibility). *Let the notations be as in (4.5). Let q be an integer. Then the induced morphism*

$$(4.8.1) \quad g^*: \alpha^* R^q f_{X(D)/S^*}(\mathbb{Q}_l) \longrightarrow R^q f'_{Y(E)/S'^*}(\mathbb{Q}_l) \quad (h \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$$

is strictly compatible with the weight filtration.

Proof. This follows from the specialization argument as in the proof of (4.2). \square

Consider the following morphism

$$(4.8.2) \quad (T-1) \otimes \text{id} \otimes \check{T}: K_{l^n}^\bullet(X(D)/S) \longrightarrow K_{l^n}^\bullet(X(D)/S)(-1).$$

We also have the following morphism

$$(4.8.3) \quad \nu := (T-1) \otimes \text{id} \otimes \check{T}: A_{l^n}^\bullet(X(D)/S) \longrightarrow A_{l^n}^\bullet(X(D)/S)(-1).$$

The morphism ν is compatible with the filtration $P^{\mathring{D}}$.

The following holds as in [RZ, (1.7)]:

Proposition 4.9. *The morphism ν is homotopic to a morphism $A_{l^n}^\bullet(X(D)/S) \longrightarrow A_{l^n}^\bullet(X(D)/S)(-1)$ defined by $\tilde{\nu} := \text{proj.} \otimes \text{id}: A_{l^n}^{ij}(X/S) \otimes M_{l^n}(\mathring{D}/\mathring{S})^k \longrightarrow A_{l^n}^{ij}(X/S)(-1) \otimes M_{l^n}(\mathring{D}/\mathring{S})^k$ ($i \in \mathbb{N}, j \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{N}$).*

Proof. (Though the proof is the same as that of [RZ, (1.7)], we give the proof because the signs of our horizontal and vertical boundary morphisms are different from theirs.) We omit the Tate twist in this proof. It suffices to prove (4.9) for the case $D = \emptyset$. Denote $A_{l^n}^{ij}(X/S)$ simply by A^{ij} . Let $\sigma: A^{i+1, j-1} \longrightarrow A^{i, j-1}$ be a morphism defined by $(x, y) \longmapsto (y, 0)$, where $x \in K_{l^n}(X/S)^{i+j+1}, y \in K_{l^n}(X/S)^{i+j}$. Then σ gives us a homotopy from ν to $(T-1)$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \{(-d + \theta)s + s(-d + \theta)\}(x, y) &= (-dy, -(T-1)y) + (0, y) + s(-dx, -(T-1)x + dy) + s(0, x) \\ &= (-dy, -(T-1)y + y) + (-(T-1)x + dy + x, 0) \\ &= (-(T-1)x + x, y - (T-1)y) = \{\nu - (T-1)\}(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

\square

Proposition 4.10. (1) *The morphism*

$$(4.10.1) \quad \nu: R^q f_{X_{l^\infty}(D)/\mathring{S}^*}(\mathbb{Z}_l) \longrightarrow R^q f_{X_{l^\infty}(D)/\mathring{S}^*}(\mathbb{Z}_l)(-1)$$

is nilpotent.

(2) For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\nu(P_k R^q f_{X_{l^\infty}(D)^*}(\mathbb{Z}_l)) \subset (P_{k-2} R^q f_{X_{l^\infty}(D)^*}(\mathbb{Z}_l))(-1)$.

Proof. Obvious. \square

Because $(T - 1) \otimes \check{T}: R^q f_{X_{l^\infty}(D)/S^*}(\mathbb{Z}_l) \longrightarrow R^q f_{X_{l^\infty}(D)/S^*}(\mathbb{Z}_l)(-1)$ is nilpotent, the morphism

$$(4.10.2) \quad N := \log T \otimes \check{T}: R^q f_{X_{l^\infty}(D)/\mathring{S}^*}(\mathbb{Q}_l) \longrightarrow R^q f_{X_{l^\infty}(D)/\mathring{S}^*}(\mathbb{Q}_l)(-1)$$

is well-defined.

Definition 4.11. We call ν the l -adic quasi-monodromy operator on $R^q f_{X_{l^\infty}(D)/\mathring{S}^*}(\mathbb{Z}_l)$ and N the l -adic monodromy operator on $R^q f_{X_{l^\infty}(D)/\mathring{S}^*}(\mathbb{Q}_l)$.

Because the morphism ν is compatible with the filtration $P^{\mathring{D}}$, the morphisms (4.10.1) and (4.10.2) are compatible with $P^{\mathring{D}}$.

Let us recall the following definition:

Definition 4.12 ([D4, (1.6.13)], [SZ, (2.5)]). Let (V, Q) be a filtered vector space. Let N be a nilpotent endomorphism of V . A *monodromy filtration of N relative to Q* is a filtration M such that $N(M_k V) \subset M_{k-2} V$ and the induced filtration M on $\mathrm{gr}_k^Q V$ is the monodromy filtration of the nilpotent endomorphism N on $\mathrm{gr}_k^Q V$. (In [SZ, (2.5)] Steenbrink and Zucker have called the filtration M the weight filtration of N with respect to Q .)

Imitating the relative monodromy filtration in characteristic $p > 0$ in [D4, (1.8.5)] and the relative monodromy filtration over the complex number field in [SZ] and [E], we give the following conjecture which we call the *relative l -adic monodromy-weight conjecture*:

Conjecture 4.13 (log l -adic relative monodromy-weight conjecture). Let q be nonnegative integer. Assume that \mathring{X} is projective over \mathring{S} . Then the filtration P on $R^q f_{X_{l^\infty}(D)/S^*}(\mathbb{Q}_l)$ is the monodromy filtration of N with respect to $P^{\mathring{D}}$, that is, the induced morphism

$$(4.13.1) \quad N^k: \mathrm{gr}_{q+k}^P \mathrm{gr}_{k'}^{P^{\mathring{D}}} R^q f_{X_{l^\infty}(D)/S^*}(\mathbb{Q}_l) \longrightarrow \mathrm{gr}_{q-k}^P \mathrm{gr}_{k'}^{P^{\mathring{D}}} R^q f_{X_{l^\infty}(D)/S^*}(\mathbb{Q}_l)(-k) \quad (k, k' \in \mathbb{N})$$

is an isomorphism.

We also recall the following conjecture by K. Kato ([Nak3, (2.4) (2)], [Nakk2, (2.0.9;l)]):

Conjecture 4.14 (log l -adic monodromy-weight conjecture). Let q be nonnegative integer. Assume that \mathring{X} is projective over \mathring{S} . Then the filtration P on $R^q f_{X_{l^\infty}/S^*}(\mathbb{Q}_l)$ is the monodromy filtration of N , that is, the induced morphism

$$(4.14.1) \quad N^k: \mathrm{gr}_{q+k}^P R^q f_{X_{l^\infty}/S^*}(\mathbb{Q}_l) \longrightarrow \mathrm{gr}_{q-k}^P R^q f_{X_{l^\infty}/S^*}(\mathbb{Q}_l)(-k) \quad (k \in \mathbb{N})$$

is an isomorphism.

It is evident that (4.13) is a generalization of (4.14). Conversely (4.14) implies (4.13):

Theorem 4.15. Assume that \mathring{X} is projective over \mathring{S} . If (4.14) is true, then there exists a monodromy filtration M on $R^q f_{X_{l^\infty}(D)/S^*}(\mathbb{Q}_l)$ relative to $P^{\mathring{D}}$ and the relative monodromy filtration M is equal to P .

Proof. By (4.10) (2), it suffices to prove that the morphism (4.14.1) is an isomorphism. By (4.1) we may assume that S is the log point $(\mathrm{Spec}(\kappa), \mathbb{N} \oplus \kappa^* \rightarrow \kappa)$. Consider the E_1 -term of (3.5.1). Then, by the assumption, N induces an isomorphism

$$N^e : \mathrm{gr}_e^P E_1^{-k, q+k} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{gr}_{-e}^P E_1^{-k, q+k}(-e)$$

with a suitable shift of the filtration P on each direct factor of $E_1^{-k, q+k} = H_{\mathrm{ket}}^q(D_{l^\infty}^{(k)}, \mathbb{Q}_l)$ (If $\mathring{D}_{\lambda_1} \cap \cdots \cap \mathring{D}_{\lambda_k}$ is a subscheme of an irreducible component of \mathring{X} with dimension d , then the shift is $d - k$.) By (4.2) the edge morphism $d_r^{-k, q+k}$ ($r \geq 1$) is strictly compatible with P . Now, by the easy lemma below and by induction on r , we see that the morphism

$$N^e : \mathrm{gr}_e^P E_r^{-k, q+k} \rightarrow \mathrm{gr}_{-e}^P E_r^{-k, q+k}(-e)$$

is an isomorphism. \square

Lemma 4.16. *Let*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} U & \xrightarrow{f} & V & \xrightarrow{g} & W \\ N \downarrow & & N \downarrow & & N \downarrow \\ U & \xrightarrow{f} & V & \xrightarrow{g} & W \end{array}$$

be a commutative diagram of filtered objects of an abelian category such that $g \circ f = 0$ and such that the vertical morphisms N 's are nilpotent. Let M 's be the monodromy filtrations on U, V and W . Assume that f and g are strict with respect to M 's. Then the isomorphism $N^e : \mathrm{gr}_e^M V \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{gr}_{-e}^M V$ induces an isomorphism

$$(4.16.1) \quad N^e : \mathrm{gr}_e^M (\mathrm{Ker}g/\mathrm{Im}f) \rightarrow \mathrm{gr}_{-e}^M (\mathrm{Ker}g/\mathrm{Im}f).$$

Proof. For a finitely filtered morphism $F : (V, P) \rightarrow (V', P')$, F is strict if and only if

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{gr}^P \mathrm{Ker}(F) \rightarrow \mathrm{gr}^P V \rightarrow \mathrm{gr}^{P'} V' \rightarrow \mathrm{gr}^{P'} \mathrm{Coker}(F) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. (4.16) immediately follows from this. \square

Problem 4.17. We consider the case $D = \emptyset$. Let \mathcal{V} be a complete discrete valuation ring of mixed characteristics $(0, p)$ with perfect residue field. Let K be the fraction field of \mathcal{V} . Set $B = (\mathrm{Spf}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{V}^*)$. Let S be a p -adic formal family of log points over B such that \mathring{S} is a \mathcal{V}/p -scheme. Let X/S be a proper SNCL scheme. In [Nakk3] we have constructed the following spectral sequence

$$(4.17.1) \quad \begin{aligned} E_1^{-k, q+k} &= \bigoplus_{j \geq \max\{-k', 0\}} R^{q-2j-k} f_{\mathring{X}(2j+k')/K*}^{\circ} (\mathcal{O}_{\mathring{X}(2j+k)/K}^{\circ}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \varpi^{(2j+k)}(\mathring{X}/\mathring{S})(-j-k) \\ &\implies R^q f_{X/K*}(\mathcal{O}_{X/K}) \end{aligned}$$

of convergent isocrystals on \mathring{S}/\mathcal{V} . Assume that \mathring{S} is connected. Let q be a non-negative integer. Is the rank of $P_k R^q f_{X/K*}(\mathcal{O}_{X/K})$ ($k \in \mathbb{N}$) is equal to the rank of $P_k R^q f_{X/S*}(\mathbb{Q}_l)$.

5 Edge morphisms between the E_1 -terms of l -adic weight spectral sequences

In this section we prove that the edge morphism $d_1^{\bullet\bullet}$ between the E_1 -terms of (3.5.2) is described by Čech Gysin morphisms and the induced Čech morphisms of closed immersions.

For the time being, consider the case $\mathring{D} = \emptyset$.

Let $\mathring{X} := \bigcup_{i \in I(\mathring{X})} \mathring{X}_i$ be the union of smooth components of \mathring{X} over \mathring{S} , where $I(\mathring{X})$ is a set of indexes. We fix a total order on $I(\mathring{X})$. Let $k \geq 2$ be an integer. Set $I_k(\mathring{X}) := \{(i_0, \dots, i_{k-1}) \in I(\mathring{X})^k \mid i_m < i_{m'} \ (0 \leq m < m' \leq k-1)\}$ and $\underline{i} := (i_0, \dots, i_{k-1}) \in I_k(\mathring{X})$. For an integer $0 \leq m \leq k-1$, set $\hat{i}_m := (i_0, \dots, \hat{i}_m, \dots, i_{k-1})$. Set $\mathring{X}_{\underline{i}} := \mathring{X}_{i_0} \cap \dots \cap \mathring{X}_{i_{k-1}}$ and $\mathring{X}_{\hat{i}_m} := \mathring{X}_{i_0} \cap \dots \cap \mathring{X}_{i_m} \cap \dots \cap \mathring{X}_{i_{k-1}}$. Let $t_{\underline{i}}^{\hat{i}_m} : \mathring{X}_{\underline{i}} \xrightarrow{\subset} \mathring{X}_{\hat{i}_m}$ be the natural closed immersion and let $G_{\underline{i}}^{\hat{i}_m} : t_{\underline{i}}^{\hat{i}_m*}(\mathbb{Z}/l^n(-1))_{\mathring{X}_{\underline{i}}} \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/l^n)_{\mathring{X}_{\hat{i}_m}}$ [1] be the Gysin morphism of the closed immersion $t_{\underline{i}}^{\hat{i}_m}$.

Set

$$\varpi_{\underline{i}\text{et}}(\mathring{X}/\mathring{S}) := \varpi_{i_0 \dots i_{k-1}\text{et}}(\mathring{X}/\mathring{S})$$

and

$$\varpi_{\hat{i}_m\text{et}}(\mathring{X}/\mathring{S}) := \varpi_{i_0 \dots \hat{i}_m \dots i_{k-1}\text{et}}(\mathring{X}/\mathring{S}).$$

The exact closed immersion $t_{\underline{i}}^{\hat{i}_m} : X_{\underline{i}} \xrightarrow{\subset} X_{\hat{i}_m}$ induces a morphism

$$(5.0.1) \quad (-1)^m t_{\underline{i}}^{\hat{i}_m*} : (\mathbb{Z}/l^n)_{X_{\hat{i}_m}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \varpi_{\hat{i}_m\text{et}}(\mathring{X}/\mathring{S}) \rightarrow t_{\underline{i}}^{\hat{i}_m*}((\mathbb{Z}/l^n)_{X_{\underline{i}}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \varpi_{\underline{i}\text{et}}(\mathring{X}/\mathring{S})$$

defined by $x \otimes (i_0 \dots \hat{i}_m \dots i_{k-1}) \mapsto (-1)^m t_{\underline{i}\text{et}}^{\hat{i}_m*}(x) \otimes (i_0 \dots i_{k-1})$. Let $a_{\hat{i}_m} : X_{\hat{i}_m} \xrightarrow{\subset} \mathring{X}$ be the natural closed immersion. Set

$$(5.0.2) \quad t^{(k-1)*} := \sum_{\{i_0, i_1, \dots, i_{k-1} \mid i_m \neq i_{m'} \ (0 \leq m \neq m' \leq k-1)\}} \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} a_{\hat{i}_m*} \circ ((-1)^m t_{\underline{i}}^{\hat{i}_m*}) :$$

$$a_*^{(k-1)}((\mathbb{Z}/l^n)_{\mathring{X}^{(k-1)}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \varpi_{\text{et}}^{(k-1)}(\mathring{X}/\mathring{S})) \rightarrow a_*^{(k)}((\mathbb{Z}/l^n)_{\mathring{X}^{(k)}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \varpi_{\text{et}}^{(k)}(\mathring{X}/\mathring{S})).$$

Set $L_{l^n}^{\bullet}(X) := \text{MF}_{l^n}(T-1)$ ((2.4.5)).

In [Nakk2] we have proved the following two lemmas:

Lemma 5.1 ([Nakk2, (5.1)]). *Let the notations be as above. Then the following diagram*

(5.1.1)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{H}^k(L_{l^n}^{\bullet}(X))(k) & \xrightarrow{\theta} & \mathcal{H}^{k+1}(L_{l^n}^{\bullet}(X))(k+1) \\ (2.6.1) \downarrow \simeq & & (2.6.1) \downarrow \simeq \\ a_*^{(k)}((\mathbb{Z}/l^n)_{\mathring{X}^{(k)}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \varpi_{\text{et}}^{(k)}(\mathring{X}/\mathring{S})) & \xrightarrow{t^{(k)*}} & a_*^{(k+1)}((\mathbb{Z}/l^n)_{\mathring{X}^{(k+1)}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \varpi_{\text{et}}^{(k+1)}(\mathring{X}/\mathring{S})) \end{array}$$

is commutative.

We fix an isomorphism

$$(5.1.2) \quad \varpi_{i_m \text{et}}(\mathring{X}/\mathring{S}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \varpi_{i_m \text{et}}(\mathring{X}/\mathring{S}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \varpi_{\mathring{i} \text{et}}(\mathring{X}/\mathring{S})$$

by the following morphism

$$(i_m) \otimes (i_0 \cdots \widehat{i_m} \cdots i_{k-1}) \longmapsto (-1)^m (i_0 \cdots i_{k-1}).$$

In (5.1.2) we have omitted to write the direct images of the closed immersions $X_{\mathring{i}} \xrightarrow{\subset} X_{\mathring{i}_m}$ and $l_{\mathring{i}}^{i_m}$. We identify $\varpi_{i_m \text{et}}(\mathring{X}/\mathring{S}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \varpi_{i_m \text{et}}(\mathring{X}/\mathring{S})$ with $\varpi_{\mathring{i} \text{et}}(\mathring{X}/\mathring{S})$ by this isomorphism. We also have the following composite morphism

$$(5.1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} (-1)^m G_{\mathring{i}}^{i_m} : l_{\mathring{i}*}^{i_m}((\mathbb{Z}/l^n)_{X_{\mathring{i}}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \varpi_{\mathring{i} \text{et}}(\mathring{X}/\mathring{S}) \\ \xrightarrow{\sim} l_{\mathring{i}*}^{i_m}((\mathbb{Z}/l^n)_{X_{\mathring{i}}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \varpi_{i_m \text{et}}(\mathring{X}/\mathring{S}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \varpi_{i_m \text{et}}(\mathring{X}/\mathring{S}) \\ = l_{\mathring{i}*}^{i_m}((\mathbb{Z}/l^n)_{X_{\mathring{i}}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \varpi_{i_m \text{et}}(\mathring{X}/\mathring{S}) \\ \xrightarrow{G_{\mathring{i}}^{i_m} \otimes 1} (\mathbb{Z}/l^n)_{X_{\mathring{i}_m}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \varpi_{i_m \text{et}}(\mathring{X}/\mathring{S})[1]\{1\} \end{aligned}$$

defined by

$$(5.1.4) \quad "x \otimes (i_0 \cdots i_{k-1}) \longmapsto (-1)^m G_{\mathring{i}}^{i_m}(x) \otimes (i_0 \cdots \widehat{i_m} \cdots i_{k-1})".$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned} G^{(k)} := \sum_{\mathring{i} \in I_k(\mathring{X})} \sum_{m=0}^r (-1)^m G_{\mathring{i}}^{i_m} : a_*^{(k)}((\mathbb{Z}/l^n)_{\mathring{X}^{(k)}}(-1) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \varpi_{\text{et}}^{(k)}(\mathring{X}/\mathring{S})) \\ \longrightarrow a_*^{(k-1)}((\mathbb{Z}/l^n)_{\mathring{X}^{(k-1)}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \varpi_{\text{et}}^{(k-1)}(\mathring{X}/\mathring{S})) [1]\{1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5.2 ([Nakk2, (5.4)]). *Let the notations and the assumptions be as in (5.1). Let*

$$d: \mathcal{H}^k(L_{l^n}^\bullet(X))\{-k\} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^{k-1}(L_{l^n}^\bullet(X))\{-(k-1)\}[1]$$

be the boundary morphism of the following triangle

$$\text{gr}_{k-1}^{\tau} L_{l^n}^\bullet(X) \longrightarrow (\tau_k/\tau_{k-2})(L_{l^n}^\bullet(X)) \longrightarrow \text{gr}_k^{\tau} L_{l^n}^\bullet(X) \xrightarrow{+1}$$

by using the Convention (4). Then the following diagram

$$(5.2.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{H}^k(L_{l^n}^\bullet(X))\{-k\} & & \xrightarrow{d} \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \\ a_*^{(k)}((\mathbb{Z}/l^n)_{\mathring{X}^{(k)}}(-k) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \varpi_{\text{et}}^{(k)}(\mathring{X}/\mathring{S}))\{-k\} & & \xrightarrow{G^{(k)}} \\ \mathcal{H}^{k-1}(L_{l^n}^\bullet(X))\{-(k-1)\}[1] & & \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \\ a_*^{(k-1)}((\mathbb{Z}/l^n)_{\mathring{X}^{(k-1)}}(-k-1) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \varpi_{\text{et}}^{(k-1)}(\mathring{X}/\mathring{S}))\{-(k-1)\}[1] & & \end{array}$$

is commutative.

Corollary 5.3 (cf. [Nakk2, (5.5)]). *The boundary morphism $d_1^{-k,q+k} : E_{1,l}^{-k,q+k} \rightarrow E_{1,l}^{-k+1,q+k}$ of the spectral sequence of (3.5.2) for the case $\mathring{D} = \emptyset$ is identified with the following morphism:*

$$(5.3.1) \quad \sum_{j \geq \max\{-k, 0\}} (-G^{(k)} + \iota^{(k)*}).$$

Next consider the general case, i.e., the horizontal SNCD \mathring{D} is not necessarily empty. Let $\mathring{D} = \bigcup_{i \in I(\mathring{D})} \mathring{D}_i$ be a union of smooth divisors, where $I(\mathring{D})$ is a set of indexes.

Let k be a positive integer. Set $I_k(\mathring{D}) := \{(i_0, \dots, i_{k-1}) \in I(\mathring{D})^k \mid i_m \neq i_{m'} \ (0 \leq m \neq m' \leq k-1)\}$ and $\mathring{i} := (i_0, \dots, i_{k-1})$. For an integer $0 \leq m \leq k-1$, set $\mathring{i}_m := (i_0, \dots, \hat{i}_m, \dots, i_{k-1})$. Set $\mathring{D}_{\mathring{i}} := \mathring{D}_{i_0} \cap \dots \cap \mathring{D}_{i_{k-1}}$ and $\mathring{D}_{\mathring{i}_m} := \mathring{D}_{i_0} \cap \dots \cap \mathring{D}_{i_m} \cap \dots \cap \mathring{D}_{i_{k-1}}$.

For a nonnegative integer e , let $\iota_{\mathring{i}}^{\mathring{i}_m}|_{\mathring{X}^{(e)}} : \mathring{X}^{(e)} \cap \mathring{D}_{\mathring{i}} \xrightarrow{\subset} \mathring{X}^{(e)} \cap \mathring{D}_{\mathring{i}_m}$ be the restriction of $\iota_{\mathring{i}}^{\mathring{i}_m}$ to $\mathring{X}_{\mathring{i}} \cap \mathring{D}^{(e)}$ and let $G_{\mathring{i}}^{\mathring{i}_m} : (\mathbb{Z}/l^n(-1))_{\mathring{X}^{(e)} \cap \mathring{D}_{\mathring{i}}} \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/l^n)_{\mathring{X}^{(e)} \cap \mathring{D}_{\mathring{i}_m}} [1]$ be the Gysin morphism of the closed immersion $\iota_{\mathring{i}}^{\mathring{i}_m}|_{\mathring{X}_{\mathring{i}} \cap \mathring{D}^{(e)}}$. For a positive integer e , let $\iota_{\mathring{i}}^{\mathring{i}_m}(\mathring{D})|_{\mathring{X}^{(e)}} : \mathring{X}^{(e)} \cap \mathring{D}_{\mathring{i}} \xrightarrow{\subset} \mathring{X}^{(e)} \cap \mathring{D}_{\mathring{i}_m}$ be the natural closed immersion and let

$$(5.3.2) \quad G_{\mathring{i}}^{\mathring{i}_m}(\mathring{D}) : (\mathbb{Z}/l^n(-1))_{\mathring{X}^{(e)} \cap \mathring{D}_{\mathring{i}}} \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/l^n)_{\mathring{X}^{(e)} \cap \mathring{D}_{\mathring{i}_m}} [1]$$

be the Gysin morphism of $\iota_{\mathring{i}}^{\mathring{i}_m}(\mathring{D})|_{\mathring{X}^{(e)}}$.

Let k and q be integers. Consider the following three morphisms for $k' \leq k$ and $j \geq \max\{-k', 0\}$ appearing in the boundary morphism $E_1^{-k,q+k} \rightarrow E_1^{-(k-1),q+k}$ of the spectral sequence (3.5.2):

$$\begin{aligned} G^{(k),(k')} := & \sum_{\mathring{i} \in I_{2j+k'+1}(\mathring{X})} \sum_{m=0}^{2j+k'+1} (-1)^m G_{\mathring{i}}^{\mathring{i}_m} : R^{q-2j-k} f_{\mathring{X}^{(2j+k'+1)} \cap \mathring{D}^{(k-k')}/\text{Set}^*} (\mathbb{Z}_l \otimes \mathbb{Z} \\ & (\varpi_{\text{et}}^{(2j+k'+1)}(\mathring{X}/\mathring{S}))|_{\mathring{X}^{(2j+k'+1)} \cap \mathring{D}^{(k-k')}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \varpi_{\text{et}}^{(k-k')}(\mathring{D}/\mathring{S})|_{\mathring{X}^{(2j+k'+1)} \cap \mathring{D}^{(k-k')}})(-j-k) \rightarrow \\ & R^{q+1-2j-(k-1)} f_{\mathring{X}^{(2j+(k'-1)+1)} \cap \mathring{D}^{(k-k')}/\text{Set}^*} (\mathbb{Z}_l \otimes \mathbb{Z} (\varpi_{\text{et}}^{(2j+k')}(\mathring{X}/\mathring{S}))|_{\mathring{X}^{(2j+k')} \cap \mathring{D}^{(k-k')}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \\ & \varpi_{\text{et}}^{(k-k')}(\mathring{D}/\mathring{S})|_{\mathring{X}^{(2j+k')} \cap \mathring{D}^{(k-k')}})(-j-(k-1)), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \iota^{(k),(k')} := & \sum_{\mathring{i} \in I_{2j+k'+1}(\mathring{X})} \sum_{m=0}^{2j+k'+1} (-1)^m \iota_{\mathring{i}}^{\mathring{i}_m*} : R^{q-2j-k} f_{\mathring{X}^{(2j+k'+1)} \cap \mathring{D}^{(k-k')}/\text{Set}^*} (\mathbb{Z}_l \otimes \mathbb{Z} \\ & (\varpi_{\text{et}}^{(2j+k'+1)}(\mathring{X}/\mathring{S}))|_{\mathring{X}^{(2j+k'+1)} \cap \mathring{D}^{(k-k')}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \varpi_{\text{et}}^{(k-k')}(\mathring{D}/\mathring{S})|_{\mathring{X}^{(2j+k'+1)} \cap \mathring{D}^{(k-k')}})(-j-k) \rightarrow \\ & R^{q+1-2(j+1)-(k-1)} f_{\mathring{X}^{(2(j+1)+(k'-1)+1)} \cap \mathring{D}^{(k-k')}/\text{Set}^*} (\mathbb{Z}_l \otimes \mathbb{Z} \\ & (\varpi_{\text{et}}^{(2j+k'+2)}(\mathring{X}/\mathring{S}))|_{\mathring{X}^{(2j+k'+2)} \cap \mathring{D}^{(k-k')}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \varpi_{\text{et}}^{(k-k')}(\mathring{D}/\mathring{S})|_{\mathring{X}^{(2j+k'+2)} \cap \mathring{D}^{(k-k')}})(-j-k) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
G^{(k),(k')}(\mathring{D}) &:= \sum_{\mathring{i} \in I_{k-k'}(\mathring{D})} \sum_{m=0}^{k-k'} (-1)^m G_{\mathring{i}}^{\mathring{i}m}(\mathring{D}) : R^{q-2j-k} f_{\mathring{X}(2j+k'+1) \cap \mathring{D}^{(k-k')}} / \text{Set}^* (\mathbb{Z}_l \otimes \mathbb{Z} \\
&(\varpi_{\text{et}}^{(2j+k'+1)}(\mathring{X}/\mathring{S}))|_{\mathring{X}(2j+k'+1) \cap \mathring{D}^{(k-k')}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \varpi_{\text{et}}^{(k-k')}(\mathring{D}/\mathring{S})|_{\mathring{X}(2j+k'+1) \cap \mathring{D}^{(k-k')}})(-j-k) \longrightarrow \\
&R^{q+1-2j-(k-1)} f_{\mathring{X}(2j+k'+1) \cap \mathring{D}^{((k-1)-k')}} / \text{Set}^* (\mathbb{Z}_l \otimes \mathbb{Z} \\
&(\varpi_{\text{et}}^{(2j+k'+1)}(\mathring{X}/\mathring{S}))|_{\mathring{X}(2j+k'+1) \cap \mathring{D}^{(k-k'-1)}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \varpi_{\text{et}}^{(k-k'-1)}(\mathring{D}/\mathring{S})|_{\mathring{X}(2j+k'+1) \cap \mathring{D}^{(k-k'-1)}})(-j-(k-1)).
\end{aligned}$$

Corollary 5.4. *The edge morphism $d_{1,l}^{-k,q+k} : E_{1,l}^{-k,q+k} \longrightarrow E_{1,l}^{-k+1,q+k}$ of the spectral sequence (3.5.2) is identified with the following morphism:*

$$(5.4.1) \quad \sum_{k' \leq k} \sum_{j \geq \max\{-k', 0\}} \{-G^{(k),(k')} + \iota^{(k),(k')*} + (-1)^{2j+k'+1} G^{(k),(k')}(\mathring{D})\}.$$

Proof. Because $(A_{l^n}^\bullet((X, \mathring{D})/S), P)$ is the single complex of the triple complex $(A_{l^n}^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}((X, \mathring{D})/S), P) = (A_{l^n}^{\bullet\bullet}(X/S), P^X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/l^n} (M_{l^n}^\bullet(\mathring{D}/\mathring{S}), Q)$, we obtain (5.4) by (5.3) as in [Nakk1, (10.1)]. \square

Proposition 5.5. *Let*

$$G^{(k)}(D)_{\mathring{i}}^{\mathring{i}m} : (\mathbb{Z}/l^n(-1))_{D_{\mathring{i}}} \{-1\} \longrightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/l^n)_{D_{\mathring{i}m}} [1]$$

be the Gysin morphism of the closed immersion $\iota_{\mathring{i}}^{\mathring{i}m}(D) : D_{\mathring{i}} \xrightarrow{\subset} D_{\mathring{i}m}$ obtained from $\iota_{\mathring{i}}^{\mathring{i}m}(\mathring{D})$. Then the edge morphism $d_{1,l}^{-k,q+k} : E_{1,l}^{-k,q+k} \longrightarrow E_{1,l}^{-k+1,q+k}$ of (3.5.1) is expressed as $(-1)^{q-k} \sum_{\mathring{i} \in I_k(\mathring{D})} \sum (-1)^m G^{(k)}(D)_{\mathring{i}}^{\mathring{i}m}$.

Proof. This is obvious. \square

6 Semistable family

Let \mathcal{V} be a henselian discrete valuation ring with separable closed residue field κ . Let K be the fraction field of \mathcal{V} . Let $\overline{\mathcal{V}}$ be the integral closure of \mathcal{V} in an separable closure \overline{K} of K . Let π be a uniformizer of \mathcal{V} . Let $\mathring{\mathcal{X}}$ be a strict semistable family over \mathcal{V} . Endow $\mathring{\mathcal{X}}$ with the canonical log structure and let \mathcal{X} be the resulting log scheme.

Definition 6.1. Let \mathring{D} be a closed subscheme of $\mathring{\mathcal{X}}$. We call \mathring{D} a *horizontal SNCD* on $\mathring{\mathcal{X}}$ if the following three conditions are satisfied:

(6.1.1): \mathring{D} is an effective Cartier divisor on $\mathring{\mathcal{X}}$ over \mathcal{V} .

(6.1.2): \mathring{D} is a union of smooth divisors $\{\mathring{D}_\mu\}_{\mu \in M}$ over \mathring{S} .

(6.1.3): There exists a factorization $\mathring{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathcal{V}[x_0, \dots, x_d]/(x_0 \cdots x_{a-1} - \pi))$ zariski locally on $\mathring{\mathcal{X}}$ such that $\mathring{\mathcal{D}}$ is the fiber product of the following diagram ($a + b \leq d + 1$):

$$(6.1.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & & \mathring{\mathcal{X}} \\ & & \downarrow \\ \text{Spec}(\mathcal{V}[x_0, \dots, x_d]/(\prod_{i=0}^{a-1} x_i - \pi, \prod_{i=a}^{a+b-1} x_i)) & \xrightarrow{\subset} & \text{Spec}(\mathcal{V}[x_0, \dots, x_d]/(\prod_{i=0}^{a-1} x_i - \pi)) \end{array}$$

and such that $\{\mathring{\mathcal{D}}_\mu\}_{\mu \in M} = \{\{x_i = 0\}_{i=a}^{a+b-1}\}$ in the diagram (6.1.4).

Set $\mathcal{U} := \mathring{\mathcal{X}} \setminus \mathring{\mathcal{D}}$. Let $(\mathring{X}, \mathring{D})$ be the special fiber of $(\mathring{\mathcal{X}}, \mathring{\mathcal{D}})$. In this section we construct two spectral sequences converging to $H^q(\mathcal{U}_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Z}/l^n)$ ($q, n \in \mathbb{N}$) by using a filtered complex constructed by Rapoport-Zink ([RZ]). These spectral sequences turn out to be canonically isomorphic to (3.5.1) and (3.5.2), respectively.

As in §2 we can define the orientation sheaves $\varpi_{\text{et}}^{(k)}(\mathring{\mathcal{D}}_{\overline{\mathcal{V}}}/\overline{\mathcal{V}})$ and $\varpi_{\text{et}}^{(k)}(\mathring{\mathcal{D}}_{\overline{K}}/\overline{K})$ ($k \in \mathbb{N}$) on $(\mathring{\mathcal{D}}_{\overline{\mathcal{V}}})_{\text{et}}$ and $(\mathring{\mathcal{D}}_{\overline{K}})_{\text{et}}$, respectively.

Let $\iota: X \xrightarrow{\subset} \mathcal{X}$ and $j: \mathcal{X}_K \xrightarrow{\subset} \mathcal{X}$ be the natural closed immersion and the natural open immersion, respectively. Let $\iota: X \xrightarrow{\subset} \mathcal{X}_{\overline{\mathcal{V}}}$ and $\bar{j}: \mathcal{X}_{\overline{\mathcal{V}}} \xrightarrow{\subset} \mathcal{X}_{\overline{K}}$ be the base change of ι and j , respectively.

Let $R\mathring{\Psi}(\mathbb{Z}/l^n)$ be the classical nearby cycle sheaf of \mathbb{Z}/l^n on \mathring{X} . Let $K_{l^n}^\bullet(\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{V})$ be a representative of $R\mathring{\Psi}(\mathbb{Z}/l^n)$. Let T be a basis of $\mathbb{Z}_l(1)$; T acts on $K_{l^n}^\bullet(\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{V})$. Consider the mapping fiber of $T - 1: K_{l^n}^\bullet(\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow K_{l^n}^\bullet(\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{V}): \text{MF}_{l^n}(T - 1)_{\text{ss}} := s((K_{l^n}^\bullet(\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{V}), d) \xrightarrow{T-1} (K_{l^n}^\bullet(\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{V}), -d))$, where s means the single complex of a double complex. Here ss in $\text{MF}_{l^n}(T - 1)_{\text{ss}}$ is the abbreviation of the semistability. As in (2.5.1), we can define a morphism

$$\theta: \text{MF}_{l^n}(T - 1)_{\text{ss}}^\bullet \rightarrow \text{MF}_{l^n}(T - 1)_{\text{ss}}^\bullet(1)[1].$$

Set

$$(6.1.5) \quad A_n^{ij}(\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{V}) := (\text{MF}_{l^n}(T - 1)_{\text{ss}}(j + 1)/\tau_j \text{MF}_{l^n}(T - 1)_{\text{ss}}(j + 1))^{i+j+1}$$

and we define the following boundary morphisms as in (2.7.3):

$$(6.1.6) \quad \theta: A_n^{ij}(\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow A_n^{i,j+1}(\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{V}), \quad -d: A_n^{ij}(\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow A_n^{i+1,j}(\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{V}).$$

Let $A_{l^n}^\bullet(\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{V})$ be the single complex of the double complex $A_{l^n}^{\bullet\bullet}(\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{V})$:

$$(6.1.7) \quad A_{l^n}^\bullet(\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{V}) = s(\text{MF}_{l^n}(T - 1)_{\text{ss}}(1)/\tau_0 \text{MF}_{l^n}(T - 1)_{\text{ss}}(1)[1] \xrightarrow{\theta} \text{MF}_{l^n}(T - 1)_{\text{ss}}(2)/\tau_1 \text{MF}_{l^n}(T - 1)_{\text{ss}}(2)[2] \xrightarrow{\tilde{\theta}} \cdots).$$

As in [RZ], set

$$P_k^{\mathcal{X}} A_n^{ij}(\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{V}) := ((\tau_{2j+k+1} + \tau_j) \text{MF}_{l^n}(T - 1)_{\text{ss}}(j + 1)/\tau_j \text{MF}_{l^n}(T - 1)_{\text{ss}}(j + 1))^{i+j+1}.$$

Then we have two filtered complexes $(A_{l^n}^{\bullet\bullet}(\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{V}), P^{\mathcal{X}})$ and $(A_{l^n}^\bullet(\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{V}), P^{\mathcal{X}})$. By [loc. cit., p. 35] the natural morphism

$$i^* Rj_* (\mathbb{Z}/l^n) \rightarrow \text{MF}_{l^n}(T - 1)_{\text{ss}}$$

is an isomorphism. The Kummer sequence

$$(6.1.8) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/l^n(1) \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \longrightarrow 0$$

in $\mathring{\mathcal{X}}_{K,\text{et}}$ gives an isomorphism

$$(6.1.9) \quad (\mathbb{Z}/l^n)_{\mathring{X}(1)}(-1) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \varpi_{\text{et}}^{(1)}(\mathring{X}/\kappa) = (\mathbb{Z}/l^n)_{\mathring{X}(1)}(-1) \xrightarrow{\sim} i^* R^1 \mathring{j}_*(\mathbb{Z}/l^n)$$

Here the section $(1, \dots, 1)$ on the right hand side of (6.1.9)(1) goes to $i^*(\partial(\pi))$, where π is a uniformizer of A and $\partial: R^0 \mathring{j}_*(\mathbb{G}_m) \longrightarrow R^1 \mathring{j}_*(\mathbb{Z}/l^n(1))$ is the boundary morphism of (6.1.8). By [loc. cit., (3.7)] the cup product induce the following isomorphism:

$$(6.1.10) \quad \bigwedge^r i^* R^1 \mathring{j}_*(\mathbb{Z}/l^n) \xrightarrow{\sim} i^* R^r \mathring{j}_*(\mathbb{Z}/l^n) \quad (r \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Hence we have an isomorphism

$$(6.1.11) \quad i^* R^r \mathring{j}_*(\mathbb{Z}/l^n) \xleftarrow{\sim} (\mathbb{Z}/l^n)_{\mathring{X}(r)}(-r) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \varpi_{\text{et}}^{(r)}(\mathring{X}/\kappa) \quad (r \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Hence, as in (2.12.1), we have the following isomorphism:

$$(6.1.12) \quad \text{gr}_k^{P^{\mathcal{X}}} A_{l^n}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{V}) = \bigoplus_{j \geq \max\{-k, 0\}} \mathbb{Z}/l^n(-j-k) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} a_*^{(2j+k+1)}(\varpi_{\text{et}}^{(2j+k+1)}(\mathring{X}/\kappa))\{-2j-k\}$$

in $D^+(\mathring{X}_{\text{et}}, \mathbb{Z}/l^n)$.

Consider the following forgetting log morphism

$$\epsilon_{\mathring{\mathcal{D}}^{\vee}}^{\circ} : (\mathring{\mathcal{X}}_{\mathring{\mathcal{D}}^{\vee}}, \mathring{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathring{\mathcal{D}}^{\vee}}) \longrightarrow \mathring{\mathcal{X}}_{\mathring{\mathcal{D}}^{\vee}}.$$

Henceforth, assume that \mathring{X} is quasi-compact. As in (2.2), there exists a filtered flat resolution $(M_{l^n}^{\bullet}(\mathring{\mathcal{D}}/\mathring{S}), R)$ of a representative in $\text{C}^{-\text{F}}((\mathring{\mathcal{X}}_{\mathring{\mathcal{D}}^{\vee}})_{\text{et}}, \mathbb{Z}/l^n)$ of the filtered complex $(R\epsilon_{\mathring{\mathcal{D}}^{\vee}}^{\circ}(\mathbb{Z}/l^n), \tau)$. By using the adjunction morphism, we have a natural morphism

$$(6.1.13) \quad \mathring{t}^*(R\epsilon_{\mathring{\mathcal{D}}^{\vee}}^{\circ}(\mathbb{Z}/l^n)) \longrightarrow R\epsilon_{\mathring{\mathcal{D}}^{\vee}}^{\circ}(\mathbb{Z}/l^n)$$

and, in fact, this is an isomorphism by [KN, (2.4)]. Hence we can take $(M_{l^n}^{\bullet}(\mathring{\mathcal{D}}/\mathring{S}), Q)$ in §2 as $(M_{l^n}^{\bullet}(\mathring{\mathcal{D}}/\mathring{S}), R)$ in the semistable case in this section.

Consider the following filtered triple complex and the single complex:

$$(A_{l^n}^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}((\mathcal{X}, \mathring{\mathcal{D}})/\mathcal{V}), P^{\mathring{\mathcal{D}}}) := A_{l^n}^{\bullet\bullet}(\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{V}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/l^n} (M_{l^n}^{\bullet}(\mathring{\mathcal{D}}/\mathring{S}), R),$$

and

$$(A_{l^n}^{\bullet}((\mathcal{X}, \mathring{\mathcal{D}})/\mathcal{V}), P^{\mathring{\mathcal{D}}}) := s(A_{l^n}^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}((\mathcal{X}, \mathring{\mathcal{D}})/\mathcal{V}), P^{\mathring{\mathcal{D}}})$$

in $\text{CF}(\mathring{X}_{\text{et}}, \mathbb{Z}/l^n)$. Consider also the following filtered triple complex and the single complex:

$$(A_{l^n}^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}((\mathcal{X}, \mathring{\mathcal{D}})/\mathcal{V}), P) := (A_{l^n}^{\bullet\bullet}(\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{V}), P^{\mathcal{X}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/l^n} (M_{l^n}^{\bullet}(\mathring{\mathcal{D}}/\mathring{S}), R),$$

and

$$(A_{l^n}^\bullet((\mathcal{X}, \mathring{\mathcal{D}})/\mathcal{V}), P) := s(A_{l^n}^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}((\mathcal{X}, \mathring{\mathcal{D}})/\mathcal{V}), P)$$

in $\text{CF}(\mathring{X}_{\text{et}}, \mathbb{Z}/l^n)$.

Proposition 6.2. *The image of the complex $(A_{l^n}^\bullet((\mathcal{X}, \mathring{\mathcal{D}})/\mathcal{V}), P^{\mathring{\mathcal{D}}}, P) \in \text{CF}^2(\mathring{X}_{\text{et}}, \mathbb{Z}/l^n)$ in $\text{DF}^2(\mathring{X}_{\text{et}}, \mathbb{Z}/l^n)$ is independent of the choice of $K_{l^n}^\bullet(\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{V})$, T and $(M_{l^n}^\bullet(\mathring{\mathcal{D}}/\mathring{S}), R)$ up to canonical isomorphisms.*

Proof. The proof is the same as that of (2.17). \square

Remark 6.3. The well-definedness in (6.2) for the case $\mathring{\mathcal{D}} = \emptyset$ is necessary in [RZ] and some other papers when one wants to know what we construct by $(A_{l^n}^\bullet((\mathcal{X}, \mathring{\mathcal{D}})/\mathcal{V}), P^{\mathring{\mathcal{D}}}, P)$ as remarked in (2.19).

Lemma 6.4. *The following hold:*

- (1) *There exists an isomorphism*
(6.4.1)

$$\text{gr}_k^{P(\mathring{\mathcal{D}})} A_{l^n}^\bullet((\mathcal{X}, \mathring{\mathcal{D}})/\mathcal{V}) \xrightarrow{\sim} b_*^{(k)}(A_{l^n}^\bullet(\mathcal{D}^{(k)}/\kappa)(-k) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \varpi_{\text{et}}^{(k)}(\mathring{D}/\kappa))\{-k\} \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

This isomorphism is compatible with n 's.

- (2) *There exists an isomorphism*

(6.4.2)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{gr}_k^P A_{l^n}^\bullet((\mathcal{X}, \mathring{\mathcal{D}})/\mathcal{V}) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{k'=-\infty}^k \bigoplus_{j \geq \max\{-k', 0\}} (c_*^{(2j+k'+1), (k-k')}((\mathbb{Z}/l^n)_{\mathring{X}^{(2j+k'+1)} \cap \mathring{D}^{(k-k')}}))(-j-k) \\ &\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} a_*^{(2j+k'+1)}(\varpi_{\text{et}}^{(2j+k'+1)}(\mathring{X}/\kappa)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} b_*^{(k-k')}(\varpi_{\text{et}}^{(k-k')}(\mathring{D}/\kappa))\{-2j-k\}, \\ &(-1)^{j+1} d \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z}). \end{aligned}$$

This isomorphism is compatible with n 's.

Proof. The proof of (1) and (2) is the same as that of (3.3). \square

Next we generalize Rapoport-Zink's l -adic weight spectral sequence (see (6.9) below). For the generalization, we need some lemmas and some propositions.

The following is the compatibility of the nearby cycle sheaf with respect to a semistable closed subscheme (though the following statements is given without terminologies in log geometry, we use a result on log geometry in the proof: the log proper base change of Nakayama ([Nak1, (5.1)])):

Lemma 6.5. *Let $\mathring{\mathcal{X}}'$ be a closed subscheme of $\mathring{\mathcal{X}}$. Assume that $\mathring{\mathcal{X}}'$ is strictly semistable over \mathcal{V} and that the pull-back of the log structure of \mathcal{X} to $\mathring{\mathcal{X}}'$ is canonical on $\mathring{\mathcal{X}}'$. Let $\mathring{j}': \mathring{\mathcal{X}}'_{\overline{K}} \xrightarrow{\subseteq} \mathring{\mathcal{X}}'_{\overline{\mathcal{V}}}$ be the open immersion. Let $i_{\mathring{\mathcal{X}}'_{\overline{\mathcal{V}}}, \mathring{\mathcal{X}}'_{\overline{\mathcal{V}}}}^{\circ} : \mathring{\mathcal{X}}'_{\overline{\mathcal{V}}} \xrightarrow{\subseteq} \mathring{\mathcal{X}}'_{\overline{\mathcal{V}}}$ be the closed immersion. Then the following hold:*

- (1)

$$(6.5.1) \quad i_{\mathring{\mathcal{X}}'_{\overline{\mathcal{V}}}, \mathring{\mathcal{X}}'_{\overline{\mathcal{V}}}}^{\circ} R\overline{j}_*^{\circ}(\mathbb{Z}/l^n) = R\overline{j}_*^{\circ}(\mathbb{Z}/l^n).$$

(2) Let $\bar{\kappa}$ be the residue field of $\bar{\mathcal{V}}$. Set $\mathring{X}' := \mathring{\mathcal{X}}'_{\bar{\mathcal{V}}} \otimes_{\bar{\mathcal{V}}} \bar{\kappa}$. Let $R\mathring{\Psi}(\mathbb{Z}/l^n)$ and $R\mathring{\Psi}'(\mathbb{Z}/l^n)$ be the classical nearby cycle sheaf of \mathbb{Z}/l^n on $\mathring{\mathcal{X}}_{\bar{\mathcal{V}}}$ and $\mathring{\mathcal{X}}'_{\bar{\mathcal{V}}}$, respectively. Let $i_{\mathring{X}, \mathring{X}'}^{\circ} : \mathring{X}' \xrightarrow{\subset} \mathring{X}$ be the closed immersion. Then

$$(6.5.2) \quad i_{\mathring{X}, \mathring{X}'}^{\circ*} R\mathring{\Psi}(\mathbb{Z}/l^n) = R\mathring{\Psi}'(\mathbb{Z}/l^n).$$

Proof. (1): Let $\mathcal{X}_{\bar{\mathcal{V}}}$ and $\mathcal{X}'_{\bar{\mathcal{V}}}$ be log schemes whose underlying schemes are $\mathring{\mathcal{X}}_{\bar{\mathcal{V}}}$ and $\mathring{\mathcal{X}}'_{\bar{\mathcal{V}}}$ and whose log structures are the inductive limits of canonical log structures. Let $\epsilon_{\mathcal{X}_{\bar{\mathcal{V}}}} : \mathcal{X}_{\bar{\mathcal{V}}} \rightarrow \mathring{\mathcal{X}}_{\bar{\mathcal{V}}}$ and $\epsilon_{\mathcal{X}'_{\bar{\mathcal{V}}}} : \mathcal{X}'_{\bar{\mathcal{V}}} \rightarrow \mathring{\mathcal{X}}'_{\bar{\mathcal{V}}}$ be the forgetting log morphisms. Let $\bar{j} : \mathring{\mathcal{X}}_{\bar{\mathcal{K}}} \xrightarrow{\subset} \mathcal{X}_{\bar{\mathcal{V}}}$ and $\bar{j}' : \mathring{\mathcal{X}}'_{\bar{\mathcal{K}}} \xrightarrow{\subset} \mathcal{X}'_{\bar{\mathcal{V}}}$ be the open immersions of log schemes. Then, by [FK, (3.1)] (see also [Il2, (7.4)]), $R\bar{j}_*(\mathbb{Z}/l^n) = \mathbb{Z}/l^n$ and $R\bar{j}'_*(\mathbb{Z}/l^n) = \mathbb{Z}/l^n$. Hence $R\bar{j}_*(\mathbb{Z}/l^n) = R\epsilon_{\mathcal{X}_{\bar{\mathcal{V}}}*}(\mathbb{Z}/l^n)$. Similarly, $R\bar{j}'_*(\mathbb{Z}/l^n) = R\epsilon_{\mathcal{X}'_{\bar{\mathcal{V}}}*}(\mathbb{Z}/l^n)$. Now (6.5.1) follows from the log proper base change theorem ([Nak1, (5.1)]) for the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{X}'_{\bar{\mathcal{V}}} & \xrightarrow{\subset} & \mathcal{X}_{\bar{\mathcal{V}}} \\ \epsilon_{\mathcal{X}'_{\bar{\mathcal{V}}}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \epsilon_{\mathcal{X}_{\bar{\mathcal{V}}}} \\ \mathring{\mathcal{X}}'_{\bar{\mathcal{V}}} & \xrightarrow{\subset} & \mathring{\mathcal{X}}_{\bar{\mathcal{V}}} \end{array}$$

(2): (2) immediately follows from (1). \square

Consider the following cartesian diagram of fs log schemes:

$$(6.5.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{q} & Z \\ p \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha \\ W & \xrightarrow{\beta} & V. \end{array}$$

Assume that $R\alpha_*(\mathbb{Z}/l^n)$ and $R\beta_*(\mathbb{Z}/l^n)$ are bounded above. Then we construct the following “filtered Künneth morphism”

$$(6.5.4) \quad \cup : R\beta_*(\mathbb{Z}/l^n) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/l^n}^L (R\alpha_*(\mathbb{Z}/l^n), \tau) \rightarrow R\beta_*((Rp_*(\mathbb{Z}/l^n), \tau))$$

as follows.

By the adjunction formula [NS, (1.2.2)], we have only to construct a morphism

$$L\beta^* R\beta_*(\mathbb{Z}/l^n) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/l^n}^L L\beta^*(R\alpha_*(\mathbb{Z}/l^n), \tau) \rightarrow (Rp_*(\mathbb{Z}/l^n), \tau).$$

The following composite morphism

$$\begin{aligned} L\beta^* R\beta_*(\mathbb{Z}/l^n) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/l^n}^L L\beta^*(R\alpha_*(\mathbb{Z}/l^n), \tau) &\rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/l^n \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/l^n}^L L\beta^*(R\alpha_*(\mathbb{Z}/l^n), \tau) \\ &= L\beta^*(R\alpha_*(\mathbb{Z}/l^n), \tau) \\ &\rightarrow (L\beta^* R\alpha_*(\mathbb{Z}/l^n), \tau) \\ &\rightarrow (Rp_* Lq^*(\mathbb{Z}/l^n), \tau) \\ &= (Rp_*(\mathbb{Z}/l^n), \tau) \end{aligned}$$

is a desired morphism.

Remark 6.6. (1) We have the canonical morphism (6.5.4) for a not necessarily cartesian commutative diagram (6.5.3).

(2) We can prove that there exists the following filtered Künneth morphism

$$(6.5.1) \quad \cup: (R\beta_*(\mathbb{Z}/l^n), \tau) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/l^n}^L (R\alpha_*(\mathbb{Z}/l^n), \tau) \longrightarrow R\beta_*((Rp_*(\mathbb{Z}/l^n), \tau))$$

by using the following fact in [NS, (17.13)], which tells us that, for a ringed topos $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A})$ and for two complexes E^\bullet, F^\bullet of \mathcal{A} -modules such that E^\bullet is bounded above, there exists a canonical morphism

$$(6.5.2) \quad (E^\bullet, \tau) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^L (F^\bullet, \tau) \longrightarrow (E^\bullet \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^L F^\bullet, \tau).$$

However we do not use the morphism (6.5.1) in this paper.

Let $(\mathcal{X}, \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}})$ be as in (6.1). Consider the following cartesian diagrams

$$(6.5.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{D} & \xrightarrow{\subset} & (\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{X}}_{\overline{V}}, \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}_{\overline{V}}) & \xleftarrow{\subset} & (\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{X}}_{\overline{K}}, \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}_{\overline{K}}) \\ \overset{\circ}{\epsilon}_{\overset{\circ}{D}} \downarrow & & \overset{\circ}{\epsilon}_{\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}_{\overline{V}}} \downarrow & & \overset{\circ}{\epsilon}_{\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}_{\overline{K}}} \downarrow \\ \overset{\circ}{X} & \xrightarrow{\overset{\circ}{i}} & \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{X}}_{\overline{V}} & \xleftarrow{\overset{\circ}{j}} & \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{X}}_{\overline{K}}, \end{array}$$

where the vertical morphisms are forgetting log morphisms. Then we have the following Künneth morphism

$$(6.5.4) \quad \cup: R\overset{\circ}{j}_*(\mathbb{Z}/l^n) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/l^n}^L R\overset{\circ}{\epsilon}_{\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}_{\overline{V}}}(\mathbb{Z}/l^n) \longrightarrow R(\overset{\circ}{j}\overset{\circ}{\epsilon}_{\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}_{\overline{K}}})_*(\mathbb{Z}/l^n).$$

To prove that the morphism (6.5.4) is an isomorphism, we cannot use the log Künneth formula ([Nak1, (6.1)]) for the right cartesian diagram in (6.5.3) since $\overset{\circ}{j}$ is not proper. However we can prove the following:

Lemma 6.7. *The filtered Künneth morphism (6.5.4)*

$$(6.7.1) \quad \cup: R\overset{\circ}{j}_*(\mathbb{Z}/l^n) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/l^n}^L (R\overset{\circ}{\epsilon}_{\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}_{\overline{V}}}(\mathbb{Z}/l^n), \tau) \longrightarrow R\overset{\circ}{j}_*(R\overset{\circ}{\epsilon}_{\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}_{\overline{K}}}(\mathbb{Z}/l^n), \tau)$$

is an isomorphism. In particular the Künneth morphism (6.5.4) is an isomorphism.

Proof. We have only to prove that the following morphism

$$(6.7.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathrm{gr}_k^\tau(\cup)\{k\}: R\overset{\circ}{j}_*(\mathbb{Z}/l^n) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/l^n} R^k\overset{\circ}{\epsilon}_{\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}_{\overline{V}}}(\mathbb{Z}/l^n) &\longrightarrow \mathrm{gr}_k^\tau R\overset{\circ}{j}_*(R\overset{\circ}{\epsilon}_{\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}_{\overline{K}}}(\mathbb{Z}/l^n), \tau)\{k\} \\ &= R\overset{\circ}{j}_*(\mathrm{gr}_k^\tau(R\overset{\circ}{\epsilon}_{\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}_{\overline{K}}}(\mathbb{Z}/l^n), \tau)\{k\}) \\ &= R\overset{\circ}{j}_*(R^k\overset{\circ}{\epsilon}_{\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}_{\overline{K}}}(\mathbb{Z}/l^n)) \end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism. Let $d^{(k)}: \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}_{\overline{V}}^{(k)} \longrightarrow \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{X}}_{\overline{V}}$ and $e^{(k)}: \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}_{\overline{K}}^{(k)} \longrightarrow \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{X}}_{\overline{K}}$ ($k \in \mathbb{N}$) be the natural morphisms. Then the source of the morphism (6.7.2) is $R\overset{\circ}{j}_*(\mathbb{Z}/l^n) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} d_*^{(k)}(\varpi_{\mathrm{et}}^{(k)}(\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}_{\overline{V}}/\overline{V}))(-k)$, while the target of the morphism (6.7.2) is $R\overset{\circ}{j}_*(\mathbb{Z}/l^n) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}$

$e_*^{(k)}(\varpi_{\text{et}}^{(k)}(\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}_{\overline{K}/\overline{K}}))(-k)$. Fix a total order on the irreducible components of $\mathcal{D}_{\overline{V}}$. Then the source and the target are isomorphic to $R\overset{\circ}{j}_*(\mathbb{Z}/l^n) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/l^n} d_*^{(k)}((\mathbb{Z}/l^n)_{\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}_{\overline{V}}})(-k)$ and $R\overset{\circ}{j}_*(e_*^{(k)}((\mathbb{Z}/l^n)_{\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}_{\overline{K}}}))(-k)$, respectively. Let $\overset{\circ}{j}^{(k)}: \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}_{\overline{K}} \xrightarrow{\subset} \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}_{\overline{V}}^{(k)}$ be the natural open immersion. Then the source is equal to $d_*^{(k)}R\overset{\circ}{j}_*^{(k)}(\mathbb{Z}/l^n)(-k)$ by (6.5.1). Since $d^{(k)}\overset{\circ}{j}^{(k)} = \overset{\circ}{j}e^{(k)}$, the target is $d_*^{(k)}R\overset{\circ}{j}_*^{(k)}(\mathbb{Z}/l^n)(-k)$. Hence the source and the target of (6.7.2) are same.

We complete the proof of (6.7). \square

Lemma 6.8.

$$(6.8.1) \quad H^q(\overset{\circ}{X}_{\text{et}}, A_{l^n}^\bullet(\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{V}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/l^n}^L R\overset{\circ}{e}_{D^*}(\mathbb{Z}/l^n)) = H^q(\mathcal{U}_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Z}/l^n) \quad (q, n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Proof. Because the natural morphism (6.1.13) is an isomorphism, we have the following equality

$$(6.8.2) \quad \begin{aligned} H^q(\overset{\circ}{X}, A_{l^n}^\bullet(\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{V}) \otimes^L R\overset{\circ}{e}_{D^*}(\mathbb{Z}/l^n)) &= H^q(\overset{\circ}{X}, R\overset{\circ}{\Psi}(\mathbb{Z}/l^n) \otimes^L \overset{\circ}{t}^* \overset{\circ}{e}_{\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}_{\overline{V}}}(\mathbb{Z}/l^n)) \\ &= H^q(\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{X}}_{\overline{V}}, R\overset{\circ}{j}_*(\mathbb{Z}/l^n) \otimes^L R\overset{\circ}{e}_{\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}_{\overline{V}^*}}(\mathbb{Z}/l^n)) \\ &= H_{\text{ket}}^q((\mathcal{X}_{\overline{K}}, \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}_{\overline{K}}), \mathbb{Z}/l^n) \\ &= H_{\text{et}}^q(\mathcal{U}_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Z}/l^n). \end{aligned}$$

Here the third equality is obtained from the isomorphism (6.5.4) and the last equality follows from Gabber's purity ([Fu2, §8, third Consequence]) (cf. [FK], [II2, (7.5)]). \square

The following is a generalization of Rapoport-Zink's l -adic weight spectral sequence (see also (6.13) below) :

Theorem 6.9. *Let \mathcal{X} be a strict semistable family with a canonical log structure over a henselian discrete valuation ring $\text{Spec } \mathcal{V}$ with separably closed residue field κ . Let $\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}$ be a horizontal SNCD on $\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{X}}$. Let X be the log special fiber of \mathcal{X} and let $\overset{\circ}{D}$ be the special fiber $\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}$. Denote $\varpi_{\text{et}}^{(k)}(\overset{\circ}{D}/\text{Spec } \kappa)$ and $\varpi_{\text{et}}^{(k)}(\overset{\circ}{X}/\text{Spec } \kappa)$ ($k \in \mathbb{N}$) simply by $\varpi_{\text{et}}^{(k)}(\overset{\circ}{D}/\kappa)$ and $\varpi_{\text{et}}^{(k)}(\overset{\circ}{X}/\kappa)$, respectively. Set $\mathcal{U} := \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{X}} \setminus \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}$. Then there exists the following spectral sequences*

$$(6.9.1) \quad E_1^{-k, q+k} = H_{\log\text{-et}}^{q-k}(D_{l^\infty}^{(k)}, \mathbb{Z}_l \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \pi_{D_{l^\infty}^{(k)}}^* \epsilon_{D^{(k)}}^*(\varpi_{\text{et}}^{(k)}(\overset{\circ}{D}/\kappa)))(-k) \implies H_{\text{et}}^q(\mathcal{U}_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Z}_l).$$

$$(6.9.2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} E_1^{-k, q+k} &= \bigoplus_{k'=-\infty}^k \bigoplus_{j \geq \max\{-k', 0\}} H_{\text{et}}^{q-2j-k}(\overset{\circ}{X}^{(2j+k'+1)} \cap \overset{\circ}{D}^{(k-k')}, \mathbb{Z}_l \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \\ &\quad (\varpi_{\text{et}}^{(2j+k'+1)}(\overset{\circ}{X}))|_{\overset{\circ}{X}^{(2j+k'+1)} \cap \overset{\circ}{D}^{(k-k')}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \varpi_{\text{et}}^{(k-k')}(\overset{\circ}{D})|_{\overset{\circ}{X}^{(2j+k'+1)} \cap \overset{\circ}{D}^{(k-k')}})(-j-k) \\ &\implies H_{\text{et}}^q(\mathcal{U}_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Z}_l). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. (6.9) immediately follows from (6.4). \square

Proposition 6.10. *There exists a natural isomorphism*

$$(A_{l^n}^\bullet((\mathcal{X}, \mathring{D})/\mathcal{V}), P, P(\mathring{D})) \xrightarrow{\sim} (A_{l^n}^\bullet(X(D)/s), P, P^{\mathring{D}}).$$

Proof. (6.10) immediately follows from [Nak3, (1.9)], which says that there exists a natural isomorphism

$$(A_{l^n}^\bullet(\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{V}), P^{\mathcal{X}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (A_{l^n}^\bullet(X/s), P^{\mathcal{X}}).$$

□

We recall the following two propositions in [Nakk2]:

Proposition 6.11 ([Nakk2, (5.6)]). *Then there exists the following natural commutative diagram*

$$(6.11.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} R\epsilon_{X*}(\mathbb{Z}/l^n) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & s((K_{l^n}^\bullet(X/s), d) \xrightarrow{T-1} (K_{l^n}^\bullet(X/s), -d)) \\ \simeq \uparrow & & \uparrow \simeq \\ i^* Rj_*^\circ(\mathbb{Z}/l^n) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & s((K_{l^n}^\bullet(\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{V}), d) \xrightarrow{T-1} (K_{l^n}^\bullet(\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{V}), -d)). \end{array}$$

Proposition 6.12 ([Nakk2, (5.7)]). *The induced morphism $i^* R^r j_*^\circ(\mathbb{Z}/l^n) \rightarrow R^r \epsilon_* (\mathbb{Z}/l^n)$ by the left vertical isomorphism in (6.11.1) fits into the following commutative diagram:*

$$(6.12.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \bigwedge^r (\mathcal{M}_X^{\text{gp}}/\mathcal{O}_X^*) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/l^n(-r) & \xrightarrow{(2.6.1)} & R^r \epsilon_* (\mathbb{Z}/l^n) \\ \parallel & & \uparrow \simeq \\ (\mathbb{Z}/l^n)_{\mathring{X}(r)} & \xrightarrow{(6.1.11)} & i^* R^r j_*^\circ(\mathbb{Z}/l^n). \end{array}$$

Corollary 6.13. *The spectral sequences (6.9.1) and (6.9.2) are canonically isomorphic to (3.5.1) and (3.5.1), respectively.*

Proof. (6.13) immediately follows from (6.10), (6.11) and (6.12). □

Theorem 6.14. *For a point $\mathring{s} \in \mathring{S}$, denote by s the log scheme whose underlying scheme is \mathring{s} and whose log structure is the pull-back of the log structure of S . Assume that \mathring{X} is proper over \mathring{S} . Let $X(s)$ and $\mathring{D}(\mathring{s})$ be the fibers of X and \mathring{D} at s and \mathring{s} , respectively. Assume that, for any point $\mathring{s} \in \mathring{S}$, there exist a henselian discrete valuation ring $\mathcal{V}(\mathring{s})$ with residue field $\kappa(\mathring{s})$ and a proper strict semistable family $\mathcal{X}(s)$ with canonical log structure and with a horizontal relative SNCD $\mathring{D}(\mathring{s})$ over $\mathcal{V}(\mathring{s})$ such that $(\mathcal{X}(s), \mathring{D}(\mathring{s})) \times_{(\text{Spec } \mathcal{V}(\mathring{s}), \mathcal{V}(\mathring{s}) \setminus \{0\})} (\text{Spec } \kappa(\mathring{s}), \mathbb{N} \oplus \kappa(\mathring{s})^*) = (X(s), \mathring{D}(\mathring{s}))$. Then the spectral sequence (3.5.1) degenerates at E_2 .*

Proof. By the log proper base change theorem of Nakayama ([Nak1, (5.1)]), we may assume that S is a log geometric point s ; we omit to write s and \mathring{s} in the notations $X(s)$, $\mathring{D}(\mathring{s})$, $\mathcal{V}(\mathring{s})$, $\kappa(\mathring{s})$, $\mathcal{X}(s)$ and $\mathring{D}(\mathring{s})$. Thus we may assume that we are given a proper strict semistable family \mathcal{X} with canonical log structure and with a horizontal

SNCD $\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}$ over a henselian discrete valuation ring $\text{Spec } \mathcal{V}$ with separably closed residue field κ . Let η be the generic point of $\text{Spec } \mathcal{V}$ and $\bar{\eta}$ the geometric generic point of $\text{Spec } \mathcal{V}$. Let G be the absolute Galois group of $\text{Frac } \mathcal{V}$. Let $R\Psi(\mathbb{Z}/l^n)$ be the log nearby cycle sheaf in $D^+(X_{\bar{s}}, G, \mathbb{Z}/l^n)$ ([Nak2, §3]). Here \bar{s} is the log geometric point of s . Let $R\overset{\circ}{\Psi}(\mathbb{Z}/l^n)$ be the classical nearby cycle sheaf in $D^+(\overset{\circ}{X}, G, \mathbb{Z}/l^n)$. Let $\bar{\epsilon}: (X_{\bar{s}})_{\text{et}}^{\text{log}} \rightarrow \overset{\circ}{X}_{\text{et}}$ be the natural morphism of topoi. By [Nak2, (3.2) (ii), (iv), (v)],

$$(6.14.1) \quad R\overset{\circ}{\Psi}(\mathbb{Z}/l^n) = R\bar{\epsilon}_* R\Psi(\mathbb{Z}/l^n) = R\bar{\epsilon}_*(\mathbb{Z}/l^n).$$

Then, by (2.15), we have $K_{l^n}^\bullet(X/s) = R\overset{\circ}{\Psi}(\mathbb{Z}/l^n)$ (cf. the latter part of the proof of [Nak3, (1.9)]). We have the following commutative diagram

$$(6.14.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} A_{l^n}^\bullet(\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{V}) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & A_{l^n}^\bullet(X/s) \\ \simeq \uparrow & & \uparrow \simeq \\ R\overset{\circ}{\Psi}(\mathbb{Z}/l^n) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & K_{l^n}^\bullet(X/s) \end{array}$$

(see [loc. cit.], though the boundary morphisms of our complexes $A_{l^n}^\bullet(\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{V})$ and $A_{l^n}^\bullet(X/s)$ are different from those of the corresponding complexes in [loc. cit.]). Hence we have a canonical isomorphism

$$A_{l^n}^\bullet(\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{V}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/l^n} (M_{l^n}^\bullet(\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}/\overset{\circ}{S}), R) \rightarrow (A_{l^n}^\bullet((X, \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}})/s), P^{\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}})$$

in $D^+(\overset{\circ}{X}_{\text{et}}, \mathbb{Z}/l^n)$. Let $R\overset{\circ}{\Psi}_{\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}}(\mathbb{Z}/l^n)$ be the classical nearby cycle sheaf of \mathbb{Z}/l^n on $\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}_{\bar{\mathcal{V}}}$. Then, by using (6.5.2), we obtain

$$(6.14.3) \quad \begin{aligned} s(A_{l^n}^\bullet(\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{V}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/l^n} \text{gr}_k^R M_{l^n}^\bullet(\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}/\overset{\circ}{S})) &= A_{l^n}^\bullet(\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{V})(-k) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} b_*^{(k)}(\varpi_{\text{et}}^{(k)}(\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}/\overset{\circ}{S}))\{-k\} \\ &= R\overset{\circ}{\Psi}(\mathbb{Z}/l^n)(-k) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} b_*^{(k)}(\varpi_{\text{et}}^{(k)}(\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}/\overset{\circ}{S}))\{-k\} \\ &= b_*^{(k)}(b^{(k)-1}(R\overset{\circ}{\Psi}(\mathbb{Z}/l^n)(-k)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \varpi_{\text{et}}^{(k)}(\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}/\overset{\circ}{S}))\{-k\} \\ &= b_*^{(k)}(R\overset{\circ}{\Psi}_{\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}}(\mathbb{Z}/l^n)(-k) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \varpi_{\text{et}}^{(k)}(\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}/\overset{\circ}{S}))\{-k\} \end{aligned}$$

as in the proof of (3.3) (1). Hence the E_1 -term $E_1^{-k, q+k}$ of (3.5.1) is isomorphic to $H_{\text{et}}^{q-k}(\mathcal{D}_{\bar{\eta}}^{(k)}, \mathbb{Z}/l^n)(-k)$. First consider the case where η is of characteristic $p > 0$. As in the proof of (4.2), the specialization argument and the purity of the Frobenius shows the degeneration at E_2 . Next consider the case where η is of characteristic 0. In this case, the Lefschetz principle, the comparison theorem between the étale cohomology and the Betti cohomology and the Hodge theory tells us the degeneration at E_2 . \square

In [D4] Deligne has proved the following theorem:

Theorem 6.15 ([D4, (1.8.7)]). *Let Y be a smooth scheme over a finite field \mathbb{F}_q and let V be the complement of a smooth divisor E on Y . Let \mathcal{F} be a smooth \mathbb{Q}_l -sheaf on U . Let Q be a finite increasing filtration on \mathcal{F} defined by smooth \mathbb{Q}_l -subsheaves such that $\text{gr}_k^Q(\mathcal{F})$ ($k \in \mathbb{Z}$) is pure weight of k . Assume that \mathcal{F} is tamely ramified along E . Let $\mathcal{F}[E]$ be the smooth \mathbb{Q}_l -sheaf constructed in [D4, (1.7.8)]. Then there exists a monodromy filtration on $\mathcal{F}[E]$ relative to Q .*

Using a specialization argument in [It], we obtain the following:

Theorem 6.16. *Let the notations be as in (6.9). Assume that \mathcal{V} is of characteristic $p > 0$. Assume that $\mathring{\mathcal{X}}$ is proper over \mathcal{V} . Then there exists a monodromy filtration M on $H_{\text{ket}}^q(X_{I^\infty}(D), \mathbb{Q}_l) (= H^q(\mathcal{U}_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_l))$ ($q \in \mathbb{N}$) relative to $P^{\mathring{D}}$. The relative monodromy filtration M is equal to P .*

Proof. Denote by T the log scheme $(\text{Spec}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{V}^* \setminus \{0\})$. Let t be a uniformizer of \mathcal{V} . As in [It, §4], there exists a subring of A such that A is a smooth algebra over $\mathbb{F}_p[t]$ such that there exists a scheme $\mathring{\mathcal{Y}}$ with a closed subscheme $\mathring{\mathcal{E}}$ such that, Zariski locally on $\mathring{\mathcal{Y}}$, $\mathring{\mathcal{Y}}$ is étale over $\text{Spec}(A[x_0, \dots, x_d]/(x_0 \cdots x_a - t))$ and $\mathring{\mathcal{E}}$ is a closed subscheme $\text{Spec}(A[x_0, \dots, x_d]/(x_0 \cdots x_a - t, x_{a+1} \cdots x_{a+b+1}))$ ($a+b < d$) (K. Fujiwara has kindly informed me of this technique). As in [loc. cit.], we have a henselian discrete valuation ring \mathcal{V}' which is obtained by a localization of a quotient ring of A such that t is a uniformizer of \mathcal{V}' . Set $(\mathring{\mathcal{X}}', \mathring{\mathcal{D}}') := (\mathring{\mathcal{Y}}, \mathring{\mathcal{E}}) \otimes_A \mathcal{V}'$ as a pair of schemes. Then $(\mathring{\mathcal{X}}', \mathring{\mathcal{D}}')$ is a proper semistable family with a horizontal SNCD over $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{V}')$. Then, as in [loc. cit., (4.1)], we have the following cartesian diagrams

$$(6.16.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} (\mathring{\mathcal{X}}, \mathring{\mathcal{D}}) & \longrightarrow & (\mathring{\mathcal{Y}}, \mathring{\mathcal{E}}) & \longleftarrow & (\mathring{\mathcal{X}}', \mathring{\mathcal{D}}') \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Spec}(\mathcal{V}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Spec}(A) & \longleftarrow & \text{Spec}(\mathcal{V}'). \end{array}$$

Let $g: (\mathring{\mathcal{Y}} \setminus \mathring{\mathcal{E}}) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$ be the structural morphism. Because the E_1 -term of (6.9.1) is isomorphic to $H_{\text{et}}^{q-k}(\mathring{\mathcal{D}}_{\overline{K}}^{(k)}, \mathbb{Z}_l)(-k)$, we have the following spectral sequence

$$(6.16.2) \quad E_1^{-k, q+k} = H_{\text{et}}^{q-k}(\mathring{\mathcal{D}}_{\overline{K}}^{(k)}, \mathbb{Z}_l)(-k) \implies H_{\text{et}}^q(\mathcal{U}_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Z}_l).$$

Let $P^{\mathring{D}\pi}$ be the induced filtration on $H_{\text{et}}^q(\mathcal{U}_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_l)$. Let $g_{\mathring{\mathcal{E}}^{(k)}}: \mathring{\mathcal{E}}^{(k)} \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$ be the structural morphism. Then, because $\mathring{\mathcal{E}}^{(k)}$ is proper and smooth outside the locus $t = 0$, we have the following spectral sequence of smooth \mathbb{Q}_l -adic sheaves outside the locus $t = 0$ by (6.16.2) as in the proof of (4.6):

$$(6.16.3) \quad E_1^{-k, q+k} = Rg_{\mathring{\mathcal{E}}^{(k)}}^{q-k}(\mathbb{Q}_l)(-k) \implies R^q g_*(\mathbb{Q}_l).$$

The induced filtration $P^{\mathring{\mathcal{E}}}$ on $R^q g_*(\mathbb{Q}_l)$ outside the locus $t = 0$ is the Frobenius weight filtration in the sense of [D4, (1.2.2) (i)]. Set $\mathcal{F} := R^q g_*(\mathbb{Q}_l)$ ($q \in \mathbb{N}$). Let $\overline{\eta}$ (resp. $\overline{\eta}'$) be a geometric generic point of $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{V})$ (resp. $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{V}')$). The Galois action $\text{Gal}(K_{\text{sep}}/K)$ on the E_1 -term of the spectral sequence on (6.16.2) is tame by [RZ, (2.23)] (see also [Nak2]). Hence the Galois action on $H^q(\mathcal{U}_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_l)$ is also tame. Let E be a smooth divisor on $\text{Spec}(A)$ defined by an equation $t = 0$. Because $H^q(\mathcal{U}_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_l)$ is tame, so is \mathcal{F} . By [D4, (1.7.8)], we have a sheaf $\mathcal{F}[E]$ on E . Let Q be the Frobenius weight filtration on \mathcal{F} . Then $\text{gr}_k^Q(\mathcal{F})$ is pure weight of k . Hence, by Deligne's theorem (6.15), we have a relative monodromy filtration on $\mathcal{F}[E]$. This induces a relative monodromy filtration on $H^q((\mathring{\mathcal{X}}' \setminus \mathring{\mathcal{D}}')_{\overline{\eta}'}, \mathbb{Q}_l)$. Let $\overline{\eta}_A$ be the geometric generic point of $\text{Spec}(A)$. Because we have the following isomorphisms

$$(6.16.4) \quad H_{\text{ket}}^q(X_{I^\infty}(D), \mathbb{Q}_l) \xleftarrow{\sim} H_{\text{et}}^q(\mathcal{U}_{\overline{\eta}}, \mathbb{Q}_l) \xleftarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}_{\overline{\eta}_A} \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\text{et}}^q(\mathcal{U}_{\overline{\eta}'}, \mathbb{Q}_l),$$

which are compatible with the $\mathbb{Z}_l(1)$ -action, we have a relative monodromy filtration M on $H_{\text{ket}}^q(X_{l^\infty}(D), \mathbb{Q}_l)$ relative to $P^{\mathring{D}}$. The equality $M = P$ follows from [D4, (1.8.5)] and the weight spectral sequences (3.5.2) and (6.9.2). \square

Remark 6.17. By using [It, (1.1)] and the argument in the proof (4.15), we can give another proof of (6.16). However, because Ito has proved [It, (1.1)] by using [D4, (1.8.4)] and because [D4, (1.8.5)] is a corollary of [D4, (1.8.4)], both proofs of (6.16) lay a foundation on one theorem [D4, (1.8.4)].

Corollary 6.18. *Let the notations be as in (4.5). Assume that S and T are log points. Assume that $g^* : H_{\text{ket}}^q(X_{l^\infty}(D), \mathbb{Q}_l) \rightarrow H_{\text{ket}}^q(Y_{l^\infty}(E), \mathbb{Q}_l)$ has a section of \mathbb{Q}_l -vector spaces which are strictly compatible with respect to $P^{\mathring{D}}$ and $P^{\mathring{E}}$, and two P 's on $H_{\text{ket}}^q(X_{l^\infty}(D), \mathbb{Q}_l)$ and $H_{\text{ket}}^q(Y_{l^\infty}(E), \mathbb{Q}_l)$. Assume also that this section is compatible with N 's on $H_{\text{ket}}^q(X_{l^\infty}(D), \mathbb{Q}_l) \rightarrow H_{\text{ket}}^q(Y_{l^\infty}(E), \mathbb{Q}_l)$. Furthermore, assume that $Y(E)/T$ is a special fiber of proper semistable family with a horizontal SNCD over a henselian discrete valuation ring of characteristic $p > 0$. Then there exists a monodromy filtration M on $H_{\text{ket}}^q(X_{l^\infty}(D), \mathbb{Q}_l)$ relative to $P^{\mathring{D}}$. The relative monodromy filtration M is equal to P .*

Proof. (6.18) immediately follows from (6.16) and (4.5). \square

Remark 6.19. In Azumino symposium 2000, the author heard from T. Katsura that F. Oort has raised the following problem: for a proper smooth scheme Z over a perfect field, is there an alternation $Z' \rightarrow Z$ such that Z' is the special fiber of a proper smooth scheme over a complete discrete valuation ring of mixed characteristics. One year later (=2001), I heard the similar question from N. Tsuzuki by the replacement of an alternation by a surjective morphism. (If one is interested in applications to cohomologies, one is willing to make this replacement ([Kl, (1.2.4)] which was used in [Nak3, (2.2) (4)]).) One can easily make the analogous question in the context of log geometry: for a proper log smooth scheme Z over the log point of a perfect field, is there a surjective morphism $Z' \rightarrow Z$ such that Z' is the special fiber of a proper log smooth scheme over the spectrum of a complete discrete valuation ring of mixed characteristics with canonical log structure. Influenced by Fujiwara's strategy in the Introduction, C. Nakayama suggested to me in 2007 that, replacing "mixed characteristics" by "equal characteristics" has an application to the log l -adic monodromy-weight conjecture (4.14) (see also [Nakk6]).

References

- [A] Asakura, M. *Motives and algebraic de Rham cohomology*. The arithmetic and geometry of algebraic cycles (Banff, AB, 1998), CRM Proc. Lecture Notes, 24, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (2000), 133–154.
- [B2] Berthelot, P. Three lectures "Cohomology operators, \mathcal{D} -modules and crystalline sheaves" in Dwork Trimester 2001.
- [BBM] Berthelot, P., Breen, L., Messing, W., *Théorie de Dieudonné cristalline*. II. Lecture Notes in Math. 930, Springer-Verlag, (1982).
- [Co] Conrad, B. *Grothendieck duality and base change*. Lecture Notes in Math. 1750, Springer-Verlag, (2000).

- [D1] Deligne, P. *Théorie de Hodge*, II. IHES Publ. Math. 40, (1971), 5–57.
- [D2] Deligne, P. *La conjecture de Weil*, I. Publ. Math. IHÉS 43 (1974), 273–307.
- [D3] Deligne, P. *Théorie de Hodge*, III. Publ. Math. IHÉS 44 (1974), 5–77.
- [D4] Deligne, P. *La conjecture de Weil*, II. IHES Publ. Math. 52, (1980), 137–252.
- [E] El Zein, F. *Théorie de Hodge des cycles évanescents*. Ann. Scient. Éc. Norm. Sup. 4^e série 19, (1986), 107–184.
- [EGA IV-3] Grothendieck, A., Dieudonné, J. *Éléments de géométrie algébrique IV-3*. IHES Publ. Math. 28, (1966).
- [FK] Fujiwara, K., Kato, K. *Logarithmic étale topology theory*. Preprint.
- [FN] Fujisawa, T., Nakayama, C. *Mixed Hodge structures on log deformations*. Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova 110, (2003), 221–268.
- [Fu1] Fujiwara, K. *Étale topology and philosophy of log*. The report of algebraic geometry symposium at Kinosaki, (1990), 116–123, (in Japanese).
- [Fu2] Fujiwara, K. *A proof of the absolute purity conjecture (after Gabber)*. Advanced Studies in Pure Math. 36, (2002), Azumino, 153–183.
- [Ga] Gabber, O. *Sur la torsion dans la cohomologie l -adique d'une variété*. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I, Math. 297, (1983), 179–182.
- [H] Hartshorne, R. *Residues and duality*. Lecture Notes in Math. 20, Springer-Verlag, (1966).
- [Il1] Illusie, L. *Complexe Cotangent et Deformations I*. Lecture Notes in Math. 239, Springer-Verlag, (1971).
- [Il2] Illusie, L. *An overview of the work of K. Fujiwara, K. Kato, and C. Nakayama on logarithmic étale cohomology*. In: Cohomologies p -adiques et applications arithmétiques (II), Astérisque 279, (2002), 271–322.
- [Il3] Illusie, L. *On semistable reduction and the calculation of nearby cycles*. In: Geometric Aspects of Dwork Theory II, A. Adolphson, F. Baldassarri, P. Berthelot, N. Katz, F. Loeser, eds, Walter de Gruyter, (2004), 785–803.
- [It] Ito, T. *Weight-monodromy conjecture over equal characteristic local fields*. Amer. J. Math. 127 (2005), 647–658.
- [Ka] Kato, K. A letter to Y. Nakajima (in Japanese), (1997).
- [Kl] Kleiman, S. L. *Algebraic cycles and the Weil conjectures*. In: Dix Esposé sur la cohomologie des schémas, North-Holland, Amsterdam Math. Vol. 55, Part 1, (1968), 359–386.
- [KN] Kato, K., Nakayama, C. *Log Betti cohomology, log étale cohomology, and log de Rham cohomology of log schemes over K* . Kodai Math. J. 22, (1999), 161–186.

- [M] Mokrane, A. *La suite spectrale des poids en cohomologie de Hyodo-Kato*. Duke Math. J. 72, (1993), 301–337.
- [Nak1] Nakayama, C. *Logarithmic étale cohomology*. Math. Ann. 308, (1997), 365–404.
- [Nak2] Nakayama, C. *Nearby cycles for log smooth families*. Compositio Math. 112, (1998), 45–75.
- [Nak3] Nakayama, C. *Degeneration of l -adic weight spectral sequences*. Amer. J. Math. 122, (2000), 721–733.
- [Nak4] Nakayama, C. *A projection formula for log smooth varieties in log étale cohomology*. Mathematische Zeitschrift 258 (2008), 915–924.
- [Nak5] Nakayama, C. *Logarithmic étale cohomology, II*. Advances in Mathematics 314 (2017), 663–725.
- [Nakk1] Nakkajima, Y. *p -adic weight spectral sequences of log varieties*. J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo 12, (2005), 513–661.
- [Nakk2] Nakkajima, Y. *Signs in weight spectral sequences, monodromy-weight conjectures, log Hodge symmetry and degenerations of surfaces*. Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova 116 (2006), 71–185.
- [Nakk3] Nakkajima, Y. *Limits of weight filtrations and limits of slope filtrations on infinitesimal cohomologies in mixed characteristics I*. Preprint: available from <https://arxiv.org/abs/1902.00182>.
- [Nakk4] Nakkajima, Y. *Derived categories of bifiltered complexes*. Preprint: available from <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2410.13534>. Submitted.
- [Nakk5] *An ∞ -adic bifiltered complex of a proper SNCL scheme with an SNCD and an ∞ -adic relative monodromy filtration*. In preparation.
- [Nakk6] *A p -adic bifiltered complex of a proper SNCL scheme with an SNCD and an p -adic relative monodromy filtration*. In preparation.
- [NS] Nakkajima, Y., Shiho, A. *Weight filtrations on log crystalline cohomologies of families of open smooth varieties*. Lecture Notes in Math. 1959, Springer-Verlag (2008).
- [NY] Nakkajima, Y., Yobuko, F. *Degenerations of log Hodge de Rham spectral sequences, log Kodaira vanishing theorem in characteristic $p > 0$ and log weak Lefschetz conjecture for log crystalline cohomologies*. European Journal of Mathematics 7 (2021), 1537–1615.
- [RZ] Rapoport, M., Zink, T. *Über die lokale Zetafunktion von Shimuravarietäten, Monodromiefiltration und verschwindende Zyklen in ungleicher Charakteristik*. Invent. Math. 68, (1982), 21–101.
- [SaM1] Saito, M. *Modules de Hodge polarisables*. Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 24, (1988), 849–995.
- [SaM1] Saito, M. *Mixed Hodge modules*. Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 26, (1990), 221–333.

- [SaT] Saito, T. *Weight spectral sequences and independence of l* . J. of the Inst. of Math. Jussieu. 2, (2003), 1–52.
- [SGA 4-2] Grothendieck, A. et al. *Théorie des topos et cohomologie étale des schémas*. Lecture Notes in Math. 270, Springer-Verlag, (1972).
- [SGA 4-3] Grothendieck, A. et al. *Théorie des topos et cohomologie étale des schémas*. Lecture Notes in Math. Vol. 305. Springer-Verlag, (1973).
- [SGA 4 1/2] Deligne, P. *Cohomologie étale*. Lecture Notes in Math. Vol. 569. Springer-Verlag, (1977).
- [SGA 7-I] Grothendieck, A. *Groupes de monodromie en géométrie algébrique*. Lecture Notes Math. 288, (1972), Springer-Verlag.
- [St1] Steenbrink, J. H. M. *Limits of Hodge structures*. Invent. Math. 31, (1976), 229–257.
- [St2] Steenbrink, J. H. M. *A summary of mixed Hodge theory*. In: Motives (Seattle, WA, 1991), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 55, Part 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (1994), 31–41.
- [SZ] Steenbrink, J. H. M., Zucker, S. *Variation of mixed Hodge structure. I*. Invent. Math. 80, (1985), 489–542.

Yukiyoshi Nakkajima

Department of Mathematics, Tokyo Denki University, 5 Asahi-cho Senju Adachi-ku, Tokyo 120–8551, Japan.