

## K-THEORY OF MINKOWSKI QUESTION-MARK FUNCTION

IGOR V. NIKOLAEV<sup>1</sup>

ABSTRACT. It is proved that the Minkowski question-mark function comes from the  $K$ -theory of Cuntz-Pimsner algebras. We apply this result to calculate the action of Frobenius endomorphism at the infinite prime. Such a problem was raised by Serre and Deninger in the theory of local factors of zeta functions of projective varieties.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In 1904 Minkowski introduced a remarkable function  $?(x) : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  mapping continued fraction  $[0; a_1, a_2, \dots]$  of the real number  $x \in [0, 1]$  to the binary code  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\{0;1\}}{2^k}$  of a real number  $?(x) \in [0, 1]$  according to the formula [Minkowski 1904] [5]:

$$?([0; a_1, a_2, a_3 \dots]) = 0, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{a_1-1}, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{a_2}, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{a_3}, \dots \quad (1.1)$$

The Minkowski question-mark function (1.1) can be written in an equivalent form of the convergent series (2.1). Among many of the outstanding properties of  $?(x)$  are the following: (i) rational numbers are mapped to the dyadic rationals; (ii) quadratic irrational numbers to the non-dyadic rationals; and (iii) non-quadratic irrational numbers to the irrational numbers [Minkowski 1904] [5].

There exists an extension of  $?(x)$  to the higher dimensions [Panti 2008] [10]. Namely, an  $m$ -dimensional Minkowski question-mark function  $?^m : \mathbf{R}^m / \mathbf{Z}^m \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^m / \mathbf{Z}^m$  is a one-to-one continuous function which maps: (i)  $m$ -tuples of rational numbers to such of the dyadic rationals; (ii)  $m$ -tuples of algebraic numbers of degree  $m+1$  over  $\mathbf{Q}$  to such of the non-dyadic rational numbers; and (iii)  $m$ -tuples of remaining irrational numbers to such of the irrational numbers [Minkowski 1904] [5, case  $m=1$ ] and [Panti 2008] [10, case  $m \geq 1$ ].

The aim of our note is a  $K$ -theory of the Minkowski question-mark function, see Theorem 1.4. Namely, it is proved that such a function comes from the  $K$ -theory of the Cuntz-Pimsner algebras  $\mathcal{O}_{A_\infty}$  [Pask & Raeburn 1996] [11] and  $C^*$ -algebras  $\mathbb{A}_\Theta$  attached to the Riemann surfaces [8]. This result is applied in the theory of local factors of zeta functions of projective varieties [Serre 1970] [12] and [Deninger 1991] [4]. In particular, we calculate the action of Frobenius endomorphism at the infinite prime in terms of the Cuntz-Pimsner algebra  $\mathcal{O}_{A_\infty}$ , see Corollary 1.5. To formalize our results, we use the following notation.

Let  $S_{g,n}$  be a Riemann surface of genus  $g \geq 0$  with  $n \geq 0$  cusps. Consider a cluster  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathbb{A}(S_{g,n})$  attached to triangulation of  $S_{g,n}$  [Williams 2014] [13, Section 3.3] and [8]. Let  $\{I_\Theta \subset \mathbb{A}(S_{g,n}) \mid \Theta \in \mathbf{R}^{6g-7+2n}\}$  be a two-sided primitive ideal of  $\mathbb{A}(S_{g,n})$  [8, Theorem 2]. Such an ideal gives rise to a pair of distinct but

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 11J70, 14G10; Secondary 46L85.

*Key words and phrases*. Minkowski question-mark function, Cuntz-Pimsner algebra.

closely related  $C^*$ -algebras: (i) the quotient  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathbb{A}_\Theta := \mathbb{A}(S_{g,n})/I_\Theta$  by the closed two-sided ideal  $I_\Theta \subset \mathbb{A}(S_{g,n})$  [8, Theorem 2]; (ii) the Cuntz-Pimsner algebra  $\{\mathcal{O}_{A_\infty} \mid A_\infty \in GL_\infty(\mathbf{Z})\}$  given by the formula  $\mathcal{O}_{A_\infty} \otimes \mathcal{K} \cong I_\Theta \rtimes_{\hat{\alpha}} \mathbb{T}$ , where  $\mathcal{K}$  is the  $C^*$ -algebra of compact operators,  $\mathbb{T} \cong \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  and the crossed product is taken by the Takai dual  $\hat{\alpha}$  of an automorphism  $\alpha$  of  $I_\Theta$  [Pask & Raeburn 1996] [11, Section 2.2].

*Remark 1.1.* An explicit construction of the matrix  $A_\infty \in GL_\infty(\mathbf{Z})$  from the Jacobi-Perron continued fraction of  $\Theta \in \mathbf{R}^{6g-7+2n}$  [Bernstein 1971] [1] is given by formulas (3.1)-(3.2) and Lemma 3.2, see also Example 3.1.

In view of Lemma 3.5, the  $K$ -theory of the  $C^*$ -algebras  $\mathcal{O}_{A_\infty}$  [Pask & Raeburn 1996] [11, Theorem 3] and  $\mathbb{A}_\Theta$  [8, Section 1] gives rise to an injective map:

$$i : K_0(\mathcal{O}_{A_\infty}) \rightarrow K_0(\mathbb{A}_\Theta). \quad (1.2)$$

*Remark 1.2.* The map (1.2) is not a group isomorphism of  $K_0(\mathcal{O}_{A_\infty})$  to a subgroup of  $K_0(\mathbb{A}_\Theta)$ . Indeed, the abelian group  $K_0(\mathcal{O}_{A_\infty})$  is infinite torsion [Pask & Raeburn 1996] [11, Theorem 3] while  $K_0(\mathbb{A}_\Theta)$  is a torsion-free group [8].

*Remark 1.3.* It is known that  $K_0(\mathbb{A}_\Theta) \cong \mathbf{Z}^{6g-6+2n}$ , where the lattice  $\Lambda_\Theta = \mathbf{Z} + \mathbf{Z}\theta_1 + \dots + \mathbf{Z}\theta_{6g-7+2n}$  defines  $\mathbb{A}_\Theta$  up to an isomorphism of the  $C^*$ -algebras [8]. Then (1.2) gives rise to a map  $i_* : \mathbf{R}^{6g-7+2n}/\mathbf{Z}^{6g-7+2n} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{6g-7+2n}/\mathbf{Z}^{6g-7+2n}$  by the formula  $\Theta \mapsto \Lambda_\Theta \pmod{\mathbf{Z}}$ . Conversely, each map  $i_*$  defines a map (1.2).

In view of Remark 1.3, our main results can be formulated as follows.

**Theorem 1.4.** *The map  $i : K_0(\mathcal{O}_{A_\infty}) \rightarrow K_0(\mathbb{A}_\Theta)$  coincides pointwise with the Minkowski question-mark function.*

An application of Theorem 1.4 is as follows. Let  $V(k)$  be an  $n$ -dimensional smooth projective variety over a number field  $k$ . Recall that the action of Frobenius endomorphism  $Fr_p^i$  on the  $i$ -th  $\ell$ -adic cohomology group  $H^i(V)$  of  $V$  can be extended to a prime  $p$  “at infinity” [Serre 1970] [12]. Namely, there exists a Frobenius endomorphism  $Fr_\infty^i \in GL_\infty(\mathbf{Z})$  acting on an infinite-dimensional cohomology groups  $H_{ar}^i(V)$  of  $V$  [Deninger 1991] [4]. The characteristic polynomial of  $Fr_\infty^i$  is known to satisfy  $\Gamma_V^i(s) \equiv \text{char}^{-1} Fr_\infty^i$ , where  $\Gamma_V^i(s)$  is the local factor at infinity [Deninger 1991] [4, Theorem 4.1].

We consider a quantum invariant  $(\Lambda, [I], K)$  of  $V(k)$  consisting of the number field  $K \subset \mathbf{R}$ , an order  $\Lambda \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$  in the ring of integers of  $K$  and an ideal class  $[I] \subset \Lambda$  [9, Theorem 1.3]. Let  $\{(\Lambda^i, [I], K) \mid 0 \leq i \leq 2n\}$  be the subrings  $\Lambda^i \subseteq \Lambda$  as specified in [7, p. 271]. Since  $(\Lambda^i, [I], K) \cong (K_0(\mathbb{A}_{\Theta_i}), K_0^+(\mathbb{A}_{\Theta_i}))$  [2, Section 7.3], one gets a set of matrices  $\{A_\infty^i \in GL_\infty(\mathbf{Z}) \mid 0 \leq i \leq 2n\}$ , see Remark 1.1. Finally, let  $\cong$  be the similarity relation between matrices in the group  $GL_\infty(\mathbf{Z})$ . One gets the following explicit formulas for the action of Frobenius endomorphisms  $Fr_\infty^i$  at the infinite prime.

**Corollary 1.5.**  *$Fr_\infty^i \cong A_\infty^i$ , where  $0 \leq i \leq 2n$ .*

The paper is organized as follows. A brief review of the preliminary facts is given in Section 2. Theorem 1.4 and Corollary 1.5 are proved in Section 3.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

We briefly review Minkowski question-mark functions, Cuntz-Pimsner algebras and cluster  $C^*$ -algebras. We refer the reader to [Panti 2008] [10], [Pask & Raeburn 1996] [11] and [8] for a detailed exposition.

**2.1. Minkowski question-mark function.** Minkowski question-mark function is defined by the convergent series

$$?(x) := a_0 + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{2^{a_1 + \dots + a_k}}, \quad (2.1)$$

where  $x = [a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots]$  is the continued fraction of the irrational number  $x$ . The  $?(x) : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is a monotone continuous function with the following properties [Minkowski 1904] [5, p. 172]:

- (i)  $?(0) = 0$  and  $?(1) = 1$ ;
- (ii)  $?(Q) = \mathbf{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$  are dyadic rationals;
- (iii)  $?(Q) = \mathbf{Q} - \mathbf{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$ , where  $Q$  are quadratic irrational numbers.

An  $m$ -dimensional generalization of properties (i)-(iii) is as follows.

**Theorem 2.1.** ([10, Theorem 2.1]) *There exists a unique  $m$ -dimensional Minkowski question-mark function  $?^m : \mathbf{R}^m / \mathbf{Z}^m \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^m / \mathbf{Z}^m$  which is one-to-one, continuous and maps:*

- (i)  $m$ -tuples of the rational numbers to such of the dyadic rationals;
- (ii)  $m$ -tuples of the algebraic numbers of degree  $m + 1$  over  $\mathbf{Q}$  to such of the non-dyadic rational numbers;
- (iii)  $m$ -tuples of remaining irrational numbers to such of the irrational numbers.

*Remark 2.2.* Panti's Theorem [10, Theorem 2.1] was stated in terms of a unique homeomorphism  $\Phi : \mathbf{R}^m / \mathbf{Z}^m \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^m / \mathbf{Z}^m$  conjugating the tent map  $T$  and the Mönkemeyer map  $M$  [Panti 2008] [10, Section 2]. The reader can verify that the maps  $T$  and  $M$  characterize a unique  $m$ -dimensional Minkowski question-mark function  $?^m : \mathbf{R}^m / \mathbf{Z}^m \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^m / \mathbf{Z}^m$  satisfying properties (i)-(iii) of Theorem 2.1.

**2.2. Cuntz-Pimsner algebras.** The Cuntz-Krieger algebra  $\mathcal{O}_A$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra generated by the partial isometries  $s_1, \dots, s_n$  which satisfy the relations

$$\begin{cases} s_1^* s_1 &= a_{11} s_1 s_1^* + a_{12} s_2 s_2^* + \dots + a_{1n} s_n s_n^* \\ s_2^* s_2 &= a_{21} s_1 s_1^* + a_{22} s_2 s_2^* + \dots + a_{2n} s_n s_n^* \\ &\dots \\ s_n^* s_n &= a_{n1} s_1 s_1^* + a_{n2} s_2 s_2^* + \dots + a_{nn} s_n s_n^* \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

where  $A = (a_{ij})$  is a square matrix with  $a_{ij} \in \{0, 1\}$ . The Cuntz-Pimsner algebra  $\mathcal{O}_{A_\infty}$  corresponds to the case of the countably infinite matrices  $A_\infty \in GL_\infty(\mathbf{Z})$  [Pask & Raeburn 1996] [11]. The matrix  $A_\infty$  is called row-finite, if for each  $i \in \mathbf{N}$  the number of  $j \in \mathbf{N}$  with  $a_{ij} \neq 0$  is finite. The matrix  $A_\infty$  is said to be irreducible, if some power of  $A_\infty$  is a strictly positive matrix and  $A_\infty$  is not a permutation matrix. If  $A_\infty$  is row-finite and irreducible, then the Cuntz-Pimsner algebra  $\mathcal{O}_{A_\infty}$  is a well-defined and simple [Pask & Raeburn 1996] [11, Theorem 1].

An AF-core  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{O}_{A_\infty}$  is an Approximately Finite (AF-)  $C^*$ -algebra defined by the closure of the infinite union  $\cup_{k,j} \cup_{i \in V_k^j} \mathcal{F}_k^j(i)$ , where  $\mathcal{F}_k^j(i)$  are finite-dimensional  $C^*$ -algebras built from matrix  $A_\infty$  [Pask & Raeburn 1996] [11, Definition 2.2.1]. Let  $\alpha : \mathcal{O}_{A_\infty} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{A_\infty}$  be an automorphism acting on the generators  $s_i$  of  $\mathcal{O}_{A_\infty}$  by to the formula  $\alpha_z(s_i) = z s_i$ , where  $z$  is a complex number  $|z| = 1$ . One gets an action

of the abelian group  $\mathbb{T} \cong \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}$  on  $\mathcal{O}_{A_\infty}$ . The Takai duality [Pask & Raeburn 1996] [11, p. 432] says that:

$$\mathcal{F} \rtimes_{\hat{\alpha}} \mathbb{T} \cong \mathcal{O}_{A_\infty} \otimes \mathcal{K}, \quad (2.3)$$

where  $\hat{\alpha}$  is the Takai dual of  $\alpha$  and  $\mathcal{K}$  is the  $C^*$ -algebra of compact operators. Using (2.4) one can calculate the the  $K$ -theory of  $\mathcal{O}_{A_\infty}$ . Namely, the following statement is true.

**Theorem 2.3.** ([11, Theorem 3]) *If  $A_\infty$  is row-finite irreducible matrix, then there exists an exact sequence of the abelian groups:*

$$0 \rightarrow K_1(\mathcal{O}_{A_\infty}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}^\infty \xrightarrow{1-A_\infty^t} \mathbf{Z}^\infty \xrightarrow{i_*} K_0(\mathcal{O}_{A_\infty}) \rightarrow 0, \quad (2.4)$$

so that  $K_0(\mathcal{O}_{A_\infty}) \cong \mathbf{Z}^\infty / (1 - A_\infty^t)\mathbf{Z}^\infty$  and  $K_1(\mathcal{O}_{A_\infty}) \cong \text{Ker}(1 - A_\infty^t)$ , where  $A_\infty^t$  is the transpose of  $A_\infty$  and  $i : \mathcal{F} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{A_\infty}$ . Moreover, the Grothendieck semigroup  $K_0^+(\mathcal{F}) \cong \varinjlim(\mathbf{Z}^\infty, A_\infty^t)$ .

### 2.3. Cluster $C^*$ -algebras.

**2.3.1. Laurent phenomenon.** The cluster algebra of rank  $n$  is a subring  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{x}, B)$  of the field of rational functions in  $n$  variables depending on variables  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and a skew-symmetric matrix  $B = (b_{ij}) \in M_n(\mathbf{Z})$ . The pair  $(\mathbf{x}, B)$  is called a seed. A new cluster  $\mathbf{x}' = (x_1, \dots, x'_k, \dots, x_n)$  and a new skew-symmetric matrix  $B' = (b'_{ij})$  is obtained from  $(\mathbf{x}, B)$  by the exchange relations [Williams 2014] [13, Definition 2.22]:

$$\begin{aligned} x_k x'_k &= \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{\max(b_{ik}, 0)} + \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{\max(-b_{ik}, 0)}, \\ b'_{ij} &= \begin{cases} -b_{ij} & \text{if } i = k \text{ or } j = k \\ b_{ij} + \frac{|b_{ik}|b_{kj} + b_{ik}|b_{kj}|}{2} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

The seed  $(\mathbf{x}', B')$  is said to be a mutation of  $(\mathbf{x}, B)$  in direction  $k$ . where  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . The algebra  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{x}, B)$  is generated by the cluster variables  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  obtained from the initial seed  $(\mathbf{x}, B)$  by the iteration of mutations in all possible directions  $k$ . The Laurent phenomenon says that  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{x}, B) \subset \mathbf{Z}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$ , where  $\mathbf{Z}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$  is the ring of the Laurent polynomials in variables  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  [Williams 2014] [13, Theorem 2.27]. The cluster algebra  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{x}, B)$  has the structure of an additive abelian semigroup consisting of the Laurent polynomials with positive coefficients. In other words, the  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{x}, B)$  is a dimension group [Blackadar 1986] [2, Section 7.3]. The cluster  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathbb{A}(\mathbf{x}, B)$  is an AF-algebra, such that  $K_0(\mathbb{A}(\mathbf{x}, B)) \cong \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{x}, B)$ .

**2.3.2. Cluster  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathbb{A}(S_{g,n})$ .** Denote by  $S_{g,n}$  the Riemann surface of genus  $g \geq 0$  with  $n \geq 0$  cusps. Let  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{x}, S_{g,n})$  be the cluster algebra coming from a triangulation of the surface  $S_{g,n}$  [Williams 2014] [13, Section 3.3]. We shall denote by  $\mathbb{A}(S_{g,n})$  the corresponding cluster  $C^*$ -algebra. Let  $T_{g,n}$  be the Teichmüller space of the surface  $S_{g,n}$ , i.e. the set of all complex structures on  $S_{g,n}$  endowed with the natural topology. The geodesic flow  $T^t : T_{g,n} \rightarrow T_{g,n}$  is a one-parameter group of matrices  $\text{diag}\{e^t, e^{-t}\}$  acting on the holomorphic quadratic differentials on the Riemann surface  $S_{g,n}$ . Such a flow gives rise to a one parameter group of automorphisms  $\sigma_t : \mathbb{A}(S_{g,n}) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}(S_{g,n})$  called the Tomita-Takesaki flow on the AF-algebra  $\mathbb{A}(S_{g,n})$ . Denote by  $\text{Prim } \mathbb{A}(S_{g,n})$  the space of all primitive ideals of  $\mathbb{A}(S_{g,n})$  endowed with the Jacobson topology. Recall ([8]) that each primitive ideal has a parametrization by a vector  $\Theta \in \mathbf{R}^{6g-7+2n}$  and we write it  $I_\Theta \in \text{Prim } \mathbb{A}(S_{g,n})$

**Theorem 2.4.** ([8]) *There exists a homeomorphism  $h : \text{Prim } \mathbb{A}(S_{g,n}) \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \{U \subseteq T_{g,n} \mid U \text{ is generic}\}$ , where  $h(I_\Theta, t) = S_{g,n}$  is given by the formula  $\sigma_t(I_\Theta) \mapsto S_{g,n}$ ; the set  $U = T_{g,n}$  if and only if  $g = n = 1$ . The  $\sigma_t(I_\Theta)$  is an ideal of  $\mathbb{A}(S_{g,n})$  for all  $t \in \mathbf{R}$  and the quotient algebra AF-algebra  $\mathbb{A}(S_{g,n})/\sigma_t(I_\Theta) := \mathbb{A}_\Theta^{6g-6+2n}$  is a non-commutative coordinate ring of the Riemann surface  $S_{g,n}$ .*

### 3. PROOFS

**3.1. Proof of theorem 1.4.** For the sake of clarity, let us outline the main ideas. We start with a preparatory Lemma 3.2 describing matrix matrix  $A_\infty \in GL_\infty(\mathbf{Z})$  in terms of the Jacobi-Perron continued fraction of vector  $\Theta \in \mathbf{R}^{6g-7+2n}$ . Next in Lemma 3.4 it is proved that the abelian group  $K_0(\mathcal{O}_{A_\infty})$  is infinite torsion, if and only if, the matrix  $A_\infty \in GL_\infty(\mathbf{Z})$  is block-periodic. We show in Lemma 3.5 that the formulas  $\mathbb{A}_\Theta \cong \mathbb{A}(S_{g,n})/I_\Theta$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{A_\infty} \otimes \mathcal{K} \cong I_\Theta \rtimes_{\hat{\alpha}} \mathbb{T}$  imply an injective map  $i : K_0(\mathcal{O}_{A_\infty}) \rightarrow K_0(\mathbb{A}_\Theta)$ . Finally, it is proved in Lemma 3.7 that the map  $i$  coincides with the  $m$ -dimensional Minkowski question-mark function, where  $m = 6g - 7 + 2n$ . Let us pass to a detailed argument.

We start with a preparatory lemma having an independent interest. Let  $\Theta := (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m) \in \mathbf{R}^m/\mathbf{Z}^m$  and consider the corresponding Jacobi-Perron continued fraction [Bernstein 1971] [1]:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \Theta \end{pmatrix} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ I & a_1 \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ I & a_k \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbb{I} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.1)$$

where  $a_i = (a_1^{(i)}, \dots, a_{n-1}^{(i)})^T$  is a vector of the non-negative integers,  $I$  the unit matrix and  $\mathbb{I} = (0, \dots, 0, 1)^T$ . Consider an infinite-dimensional matrix  $B_\Theta := \text{diag} \{B_1, B_2, \dots\}$ , where

$$B_i = \begin{cases} \underbrace{(1, \dots, 1, \{0, 1\}, \dots, \{0, 1\})^T}_s, & \text{if } |B_i| = 2s, \\ \underbrace{(1, \dots, 1, \{0, 1\}, \dots, \{0, 1\})^T}_{s+1}, & \text{if } |B_i| = 2s + 1. \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

Following the pattern of formula (1.1), the  $k$ -th entry  $\{0, 1\}$  of the blocks  $B_j, \dots, B_{j+a_k^{(i)}}$  takes value 0 and alternates to the value 1 for the blocks  $B_{j+a_k^{(i)}+1}, \dots, B_{j+a_k^{(i)}+a_k^{(i+1)}}$ .

**Example 3.1.** Assume that  $S_{g,n}$  is a Riemann surface of genus  $g = 1$  with  $n = 1$  cusps. The length of block  $B_i$  in formula (3.2) is equal to  $|B_i| = 2g + n = 3$  in this case. Since the length is an odd number with  $s = 1$ , one gets in view of (3.2) the block  $B_i = (1, 1, \{0, 1\})^T$ . On the other hand, since  $m = 6g - 7 + 2n = 1$ , the Jacobi-Perron fraction in formula (3.1) becomes a regular continued fraction  $[0; a_1, a_2, \dots]$  of a real number  $\theta := \theta_1$ . By way of example, let us consider the case  $\theta = \frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{2}$ . It is well known, that the continued fraction in this case must be infinite and periodic of the form  $\theta = [0, \bar{2}]$ , where  $\bar{2}$  is the minimal period. In view of (1.1), one gets an infinite matrix  $B_\Theta$  given by the formula:

$$B_\Theta = \text{diag} \left\{ \overline{\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}} \right\}, \quad (3.3)$$

where bar indicates the minimal period of  $B_\Theta$ .

**Lemma 3.2.**  $\mathcal{O}_{B_\Theta} \otimes \mathcal{K} \cong I_\Theta \rtimes_{\hat{\alpha}} \mathbb{T}$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{O}_{B_\Theta}$  is a Cuntz-Pimsner algebra, such that  $I_\Theta$  is the AF-core of  $\mathcal{O}_{B_\Theta}$ .

*Proof.* (i) For the sake of clarity, consider the case  $g = n = 1$ . One gets  $m = 6g - 7 + 2n = 1$  and  $\Theta = (1, \theta)$ . It is known that the cluster  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathbb{A}(S_{1,1})$  is given by the Bratteli diagram corresponding to the incidence matrix:

$$\text{diag} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \dots \right\}, \quad (3.4)$$

see [Mundici 1988] [6, Fig. 1], [Boca 2008] [3, Fig. 2] and [8, Fig. 3].

(ii) Let  $I_\theta \subset \mathbb{A}(S_{1,1})$  be a primitive two-sided ideal. Such an ideal is given by a subgraph of the Bratteli diagram of  $\mathbb{A}(S_{1,1})$ ; we refer the reader to [Boca 2008] [3, Fig. 7] for the corresponding picture. Clearly, such a subgraph is obtained by cancellation of certain edges of the Bratteli diagram for  $\mathbb{A}(S_{1,1})$ . In other words, replacing 1's by 0's in the matrix (3.4) gives us a matrix of incidences for the Bratteli diagram of the ideal  $I_\theta$ .

(iii) To determine modifiable entries of (3.4)<sup>1</sup>, let  $[0; a_1, a_2, \dots]$  be the regular continued fraction of  $\theta \in [0, 1]$ . It follows from [Boca 2008] [3, Fig. 7] that the pattern of cancellation for the edges of Bratteli diagram of  $\mathbb{A}(S_{1,1})$  coincides with the pattern (1.1) of the Minkowski question-mark function, see also [Boca 2008] [3, Remark 1]. Indeed, the omitted edges consist of the elementary blocks  $L_a$  and  $R_a$  shown in [Boca 2008] [3, Fig. 5]. The continued fraction of  $\theta$  gives rise to the sequence of blocks [Boca 2008] [3, p. 980 at the bottom]:

$$L_{a_1-1} \circ R_{a_2} \circ L_{a_3} \circ R_{a_2} \circ \dots \quad (3.5)$$

It remains to compare (3.5) and the RHS of (1.1) to conclude that  $B_\theta$  is given by formulas (3.2), where  $s = 1$  and  $|B_i| = 3$ .

(iv) It is verified directly that the matrix  $B_\theta$  is row-finite and irreducible. Thus there exists a Cuntz-Pimsner algebra  $\mathcal{O}_{B_\theta}$  satisfying the isomorphism  $\mathcal{O}_{B_\theta} \otimes \mathcal{K} \cong I_\theta \rtimes_{\hat{\alpha}} \mathbb{T}$ . This argument finishes proof of the case  $g = n = 1$ .

(v) The general case of surface  $S_{g,n}$  is treated likewise by applying the Jacobi-Perron continued fractions of vector  $\Theta := (1, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_{6g-7+2n})$  [Bernstein 1971] [1]. The verification of formulas (3.2) is direct and left to the reader.

Lemma 3.2 is proved. □

**Definition 3.3.** The matrix  $A_\infty = \text{diag} \{B_1, B_2, \dots\}$  is called block-periodic, if  $B_i = B = \text{Const}$ .

**Lemma 3.4.**  $K_0(\mathcal{O}_{A_\infty})$  is an infinite torsion group, whenever  $A_\infty \in GL_\infty(\mathbf{Z})$  is a block-periodic matrix.

<sup>1</sup>Such entries are denoted by symbol  $\{0, 1\}$  in the formula (3.2).

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
& & & & p \\
& & & & \longrightarrow \\
& & & & K_0(\mathcal{O}_{A_\infty}) \\
& & & & \downarrow i \\
& & & & K_0(\mathbb{A}_\Theta) \\
& & & & \longleftarrow \pi \\
& & & & K_0(\mathbb{A}(S_{g,n})) \\
& & & & \downarrow i \\
& & & & K_0(I_\Theta) \\
& & & & \longleftarrow 1 - \hat{\alpha}_* \\
& & & & K_0(I_\Theta) \\
& & & & \downarrow i \\
& & & & K_0(\mathbb{A}(S_{g,n}))
\end{array}$$

FIGURE 1.

*Proof.* (i) Using Theorem 2.3, one gets:

$$K_0(\mathcal{O}_{A_\infty}) \cong \frac{\mathbf{Z}^\infty}{(1 - A_\infty^t)\mathbf{Z}^\infty} \cong \frac{\mathbf{Z}^\infty}{(1 - \text{diag}\{B_1^t, B_2^t, \dots\})\mathbf{Z}^\infty}. \quad (3.6)$$

(ii) Whenever  $A_\infty$  is a block-periodic matrix, we have  $B_i = B$ , where  $B = \text{Const}$  is the minimal period of  $A_\infty$ . The AF-core  $\mathcal{F} \cong \varinjlim \cup_{k,j} \cup_{i \in V_k^j} \mathcal{F}_k^j(i) \subset \mathcal{O}_{A_\infty}$  [Pask & Raeburn 1996] [11, Definition 2.2.1] gives rise to the inductive limit:

$$K_0(\mathcal{O}_{A_\infty}) \cong \varinjlim \frac{\mathbf{Z}^k}{(1 - \text{diag}\{B^t, \dots, B^t\})\mathbf{Z}^k}, \quad (3.7)$$

where  $k$  is the rank of matrix  $\text{diag}\{B^t, \dots, B^t\}$ . Since  $\det(1 - \text{diag}\{B^t, \dots, B^t\}) \neq 0$ , one concludes that the inductive limit (3.7) is isomorphic to an infinite torsion group.

Lemma 3.4 is proved.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.5.** *There exists an injective map  $i : K_0(\mathcal{O}_{A_\infty}) \rightarrow K_0(\mathbb{A}_\Theta)$  between the sets <sup>2</sup>  $K_0(\mathbb{A}_\Theta)$  and  $K_0(\mathcal{O}_{A_\infty})$ .*

*Proof.* (i) Consider the Pimsner-Voiculescu exact sequence for the crossed product  $C^*$ -algebra  $I_\Theta \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{T}$  [Blackadar 1986] [2, Section 10.6]. Adopting the notation of [Pask & Raeburn 1996] [11, Figure 1], one gets the following exact sequence of the  $K_0$ -groups:

$$K_0(I_\Theta) \xrightarrow{1 - \hat{\alpha}_*} K_0(I_\Theta) \xrightarrow{p} K_0(\mathcal{O}_{A_\infty}). \quad (3.8)$$

*Remark 3.6.* In [Pask & Raeburn 1996] [11, Figure 1] the notation  $K_0(\mathcal{O}_{A_\infty} \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{T})$  is used. The latter is proved isomorphic to  $K_0(\mathcal{O}_{A_\infty^\infty})$  [Pask & Raeburn 1996] [11, p. 432], where  $\mathcal{O}_{A_\infty^\infty}$  is isomorphic to the AF-core  $\mathcal{F}_{A_\infty^\infty} := I_\Theta$  of the Cuntz-Pimsner algebra  $\mathcal{O}_{A_\infty}$  [Pask & Raeburn 1996] [11, Lemma 2.2.3].

(ii) On the other hand, each two-sided ideal  $I_\Theta \subset \mathbb{A}(S_{g,n})$  gives rise to a short exact sequence of the  $K_0$ -groups [Blackadar 1986] [2, Section 2.3]:

$$K_0(I_\Theta) \xrightarrow{i} K_0(\mathbb{A}(S_{g,n})) \xrightarrow{\pi} K_0(\mathbb{A}_\Theta). \quad (3.9)$$

(iii) Formulas (3.8) and (3.9) define a commutative diagram in Figure 1. The arrow closure of the diagram defines an injective map  $i : K_0(\mathcal{O}_{A_\infty}) \rightarrow K_0(\mathbb{A}_\Theta)$ .

<sup>2</sup>See Remark 1.2.

Lemma 3.5 is proved.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.7.** *The map  $i : K_0(\mathcal{O}_{A_\infty}) \rightarrow K_0(\mathbb{A}_\Theta)$  coincides pointwise with the Minkowski question-mark function.*

*Proof.* The proof consists in a step-by-step verification of properties (i)-(iii) of Theorem 2.1 which the map (1.2) must satisfy.

(i) Let  $\left(\frac{p_1}{q_1}, \dots, \frac{p_m}{q_m}\right) \in \mathbf{Q}^m/\mathbf{Z}^m$  be an  $m$ -tuple of the rational numbers. In view of Lemma 3.2, this case corresponds to a matrix  $A_\infty = \text{diag}\{B\}$ , where  $B$  is a finite block. In other words,  $\mathcal{O}_{A_\infty} \cong \mathcal{O}_n$  is a Cuntz algebra. In particular, the isomorphism (2.3) degenerates to an isomorphism:

$$(A_{n^\infty} \otimes \mathcal{K}) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbf{Z} \cong \mathcal{O}_n \otimes \mathcal{K}, \quad (3.10)$$

where  $A_{n^\infty}$  is a uniformly hyperfinite (UHF) algebra corresponding to the supernatural number  $n^\infty$  and  $\alpha$  is multiplication by  $n$  automorphism of  $A_{n^\infty}$  [Blackadar 1986] [2, Example 10.11.8]. On the other hand, an analog of Lemma 3.2 implies

$$\frac{\mathbf{Z}}{(n-1)\mathbf{Z}} \cong K_0(\mathcal{O}_n) \subset K_0(\mathbb{A}_\Theta) \cong \mathbf{Z}^m. \quad (3.11)$$

Clearly, the inclusion (3.11) is true if and only if  $n = 2$ . Recall that  $K_0(A_{2^\infty}) \cong \mathbf{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$  is the additive group of dyadic rationals [Blackadar 1986] [2, Section 7.5]. Thus one gets a map

$$\Theta = \left(\frac{p_1}{q_1}, \dots, \frac{p_m}{q_m}\right) \mapsto A_{2^\infty} \mapsto K_0(A_{2^\infty}) \cong \mathbf{Z} \left[\frac{1}{2}\right]. \quad (3.12)$$

In other words, the map (3.12) implies property (i) of Theorem 2.1.

(ii) Let  $(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m) \in \overline{\mathbf{Q}}^m/\mathbf{Z}^m$  be an  $m$ -tuple of the algebraic numbers of degree  $m+1$  over  $\mathbf{Q}$ . In this case the Jacobi-Perron fraction (3.1) is periodic except, possibly, a finite number of terms. In particular, the matrix  $A_\infty \in GL_\infty(\mathbf{Z})$  must be block-periodic (Lemma 3.2). Therefore the group  $K_0(\mathcal{O}_{A_\infty})$  is an infinite torsion group (Lemma 3.4). Finally, Lemma 3.5 gives us a map:

$$\overline{\mathbf{Q}}^m/\mathbf{Z}^m \ni (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m) \mapsto \left(\frac{p_1}{q_1}, \dots, \frac{p_m}{q_m}\right) \in \left(\mathbf{Q} - \mathbf{Z} \left[\frac{1}{2}\right]\right)^m / \mathbf{Z}^m. \quad (3.13)$$

Property (ii) of Theorem 2.1 follows from (3.13).

(iii) If  $(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m) \in \mathbf{R}^m/\mathbf{Z}^m$  is an  $m$ -tuple of the remaining irrational numbers, then the Jacobi-Perron continued fraction (3.1) is aperiodic. In this case matrix  $A_\infty \in GL_\infty(\mathbf{Z})$  is not block-periodic and, therefore, the group  $K_0(\mathcal{O}_{A_\infty})$  is no longer a torsion group. Thus Lemma 3.5 defines a map:

$$\mathbf{R}^m/\mathbf{Z}^m \ni (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m) \mapsto (\theta'_1, \dots, \theta'_m) \in (\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{Q})^m / \mathbf{Z}^m. \quad (3.14)$$

Property (iii) of Theorem 2.1 follows from (3.14).

Lemma 3.7 is proved.  $\square$

Theorem 1.4 follows from Lemma 3.7.



$$\begin{array}{ccc}
V(k) & \xrightarrow[\text{cohomology}]{\text{Serre-Deninger}} & \{Fr_\infty^i \mid 0 \leq i \leq 2n\} \\
F \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\
(\Lambda, [I], K) & \xrightarrow{\text{Theorem 1.4}} & \{A_\infty^i \mid 0 \leq i \leq 2n\}
\end{array}$$

matrix similarity

FIGURE 2.

**3.2. Proof of corollary 1.5.** Corollary 1.5 follows from Theorem 1.4 and [9, Theorem 1.3]. Indeed, let  $F$  be a functor on the category of  $n$ -dimensional projective varieties  $V(k)$  with values in the triples  $(\Lambda, [I], K)$ , where  $K \subset \mathbf{R}$  is a number field,  $\Lambda \subseteq O_K$  is an order in the ring of integers  $O_K$  of the field  $K$  and  $[I] \subset \Lambda$  is an ideal class [9, Theorem 1.3]. Moreover, one gets a grading  $\{(\Lambda^i, [I], K) \mid 0 \leq i \leq 2n\}$  by the subrings  $\Lambda^i \subseteq \Lambda$  as specified in [7, p. 271]. Likewise, Theorem 1.4 defines a functor on the triples  $(\Lambda^i, [I], K) \cong (K_0(\mathbb{A}_{\Theta_i}), K_0^+(\mathbb{A}_{\Theta_i}))$  [2, Section 7.3] with values in the similarity classes of matrices  $\{A_\infty^i \in GL_\infty(\mathbf{Z}) \mid 0 \leq i \leq 2n\}$ . On the other hand, Serre and Deninger used an infinite-dimensional cohomology  $H_{ar}^i(V)$  to define a functor on  $V(k)$  with values in the similarity classes of matrices  $\{Fr_\infty^i \in GL_\infty(\mathbf{Z}) \mid 0 \leq i \leq 2n\}$ . The latter represent Frobenius action on the cohomology groups  $H_{ar}^i(V)$  [Deninger 1991] [4, Theorem 4.1] and [Serre 1970] [12]. Thus one gets a commutative diagram in Figure 2. It follows from the diagram that  $\{Fr_\infty^i \cong A_\infty^i \mid 0 \leq i \leq 2n\}$ , where  $\cong$  is the similarity of matrices in the group  $GL_\infty(\mathbf{Z})$ .

Corollary 1.5 is proved.

## REFERENCES

1. L. Bernstein, *The Jacobi-Perron Algorithm, its Theory and Applications*, Lect. Notes in Math. **207**, Springer 1971.
2. B. Blackadar, *K-Theory for Operator Algebras*, MSRI Publications, Springer, 1986.
3. F. P. Boca, *An AF-algebra associated with the Farey tessellation*, Canad. J. Math. **60** (2008), 975-1000.
4. C. Deninger, *On the  $\Gamma$ -factors attached to motives*, Invent. Math. **104** (1991), 245-261.
5. H. Minkowski, *Zur Geometrie der Zahlen*, Verhandlungen des III internationalen Mathematiker-Kongresses in Heidelberg, pp. 164-173, Berlin, 1904.
6. D. Mundici, *Farey stellar subdivisions, ultrasimplicial groups, and  $K_0$  of AF  $C^*$ -algebras*, Adv. in Math. **68** (1988), 23-39.
7. I. V Nikolaev, *On traces of Frobenius endomorphisms*, Finite Fields Appl. **25** (2014), 270-279.
8. I. V Nikolaev, *On cluster  $C^*$ -algebras*, J. Funct. Spaces **2016**, Article ID 9639875, 8 p. (2016)
9. I. V Nikolaev, *Quantum arithmetic*, arXiv:2412.09148
10. G. Panti, *Multidimensional continued fractions and a Minkowski function*, Monatsh. Math. **154** (2008), 247-264.
11. D. Pask and I. Raeburn, *On the K-theory of Cuntz-Krieger algebras*, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. **32** (1996), 415-443.
12. J.-P. Serre, *Facteurs locaux des fonctions zêta des variétés algébriques (définitions et conjectures)*, Séminaire Delange-Pisot-Poitou (Théorie des nombres) **11** (1969-1970), exp. 19, pp. 1-15.
13. L. K. Williams, *Cluster algebras: an introduction*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **51** (2014), 1-26.

<sup>1</sup> DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, ST. JOHN'S UNIVERSITY, 8000  
UTOPIA PARKWAY, NEW YORK, NY 11439, UNITED STATES.

*Email address:* [igor.v.nikolaev@gmail.com](mailto:igor.v.nikolaev@gmail.com)