

DANTE-AD: Dual-Vision Attention Network for Long-Term Audio Description

Adrienne Deganutti, Simon Hadfield, Andrew Gilbert
University of Surrey, UK

{a.deganutti, s.hadfield, a.gilbert}@surrey.ac.uk

Abstract

Audio Description is a narrated commentary designed to aid vision-impaired audiences in perceiving key visual elements in a video. While short-form video understanding has advanced rapidly, a solution for maintaining coherent long-term visual storytelling remains unresolved. Existing methods rely solely on frame-level embeddings, effectively describing object-based content but lacking contextual information across scenes. We introduce DANTE-AD, an enhanced video description model leveraging a dual-vision Transformer-based architecture to address this gap. DANTE-AD sequentially fuses both frame and scene level embeddings to improve long-term contextual understanding. We propose a novel, state-of-the-art method for sequential cross-attention to achieve contextual grounding for fine-grained audio description generation. Evaluated on a broad range of key scenes from well-known movie clips, DANTE-AD outperforms existing methods across traditional NLP metrics and LLM-based evaluations.

1. Introduction

The booming television, streaming, and digital media industries provide entertainment to billions of people worldwide. However, this market is fundamentally designed for those who can see. An estimated 338 million people live with moderate to total blindness [3], making video-based media inherently inaccessible to them. Beyond the inability to see the screen, these audiences miss out on crucial storytelling elements, such as cinematography, lighting, colour schemes, and visual symbolism—techniques that convey emotions, themes, and narrative depth. Without access to these visual cues, their experience is significantly diminished.

One solution is Audio Description (AD), a critical accessibility service for visually impaired audiences, providing spoken narration that describes on-screen actions, characters, locations, costumes, body language, and facial expressions [32]. Unlike simple video captioning, which reports only the main actions or events in a video, AD enhances meaning and immersion by incorporating visual context and emo-




Figure 1. Our DANTE-AD method extracts frame- and scene-level visual information fused via a sequential cross-attention module for both frame and scene context-aware AD over extended video sequences.

tion into the narrative. The growing societal support for accessibility has increased legal requirements for AD across television, film, and streaming platforms. However, manual AD creation remains resource-intensive, requiring skilled professionals with domain expertise and significant time investment. As a result, many videos either lack AD entirely or offer only limited coverage. Automating AD generation is, therefore, a crucial challenge.

Automating AD generation relies on two fundamental capabilities: (i) Recognising and describing objects, actions, and events within a video and (ii) Producing coherent and contextually relevant narrative descriptions. Video captioning techniques primarily focus on the first capability for short video clips, where they effectively capture simple actions [18]. However, they fall short in fulfilling the second capability, lacking the nuanced detail necessary for coherent and contextually rich descriptions. Furthermore, video captioning becomes inadequate as video duration increases, such as

in films and television programmes. It struggles to handle extended temporal sequences due to the added complexity of multiple concurrent events. Therefore, a significant gap persists in generating detailed and context-aware descriptions that capture a broader narrative across long-form video content.

To address these limitations, we propose **DANTE-AD** (**D**ual-**V**ision **A**ttention **N**etwork for **L**ong-**T**erm **A**udio **D**escription)—a video-based representation learning framework that integrates multimodal visual embeddings to improve long-term context awareness for AD generation.

Our motivation is inspired by the narrative principle of Chekhov’s Gun [7], which states that every element in a story should serve a purpose. In video storytelling, visually represented elements are essential to the plot and character development, meaning that AD must prioritise relevant visual details to ensure narrative comprehension as the story unfolds.

In line with this principle, our model is designed to better identify and retain key visual elements, ensuring that the context is maintained as the narrative unfolds. Our dual-vision approach uniquely incorporates frame and scene level embeddings, providing complementary representations with distinct spatial and temporal modelling strengths. Our **frame-level** embeddings capture rich semantic content, including objects, scenes, and contextual relationships. These embeddings operate at a high dimensionality with query-based attention and primarily focus on spatial representations. In contrast, our **scene-level** embeddings capture dynamic information across a more extended video sequence, such as the temporal evolution of actions, object trajectories, and inter-frame dependencies, resulting in a global temporal representation. To our knowledge, our method is the first to employ multi-type visual embeddings for AD generation. Our unique approach integrates these embeddings through sequential fusion, which ensures that the learned context from the scene level information is retained when querying the frame level embeddings. Our method enhances the model’s ability to generate detailed and context-aware descriptions over extended video sequences.

In summary, our key contributions are the following.

1. Leveraging scene- and frame-level visual information to provide complementary representations, each optimized for distinct spatial and temporal modelling strengths.
2. Incorporation of a Dual-Visual Attention Network within the AD pipeline. Using a sequential fusion technique, our model fuses frame- and scene-level embeddings, enabling the dual-vision attention network to retain global contextual information while querying frame-level representations. This approach yields a more comprehensive video content representation and improves alignment between visual information and generated descriptions.

3. Extensive evaluation results on real long-term film clips, using realistic real-world metrics.

2. Related Works

Lying at the intersection of Natural Language Processing (NLP) and computer vision, video captioning generates textual descriptions of video content by modelling the relationship between visual and linguistic information. Early approaches relied on sequence-to-sequence architectures with attention mechanisms [36, 44] to generate temporally coherent descriptions. More recent advancements incorporate transformer-based models [18, 30] and reinforcement learning techniques [24] to improve fluency and relevance. Additionally, retrieval-augmented approaches [21, 40] leverage large-scale video-text datasets to enhance contextual accuracy. Despite these improvements, conventional video captioning methods often struggle with generating descriptions that capture the depth of narrative structure and long-term dependencies [9], which are crucial for audio description (AD) tasks. This limitation motivates the exploration of techniques such as visual storytelling, multimodal integration, and long-term modelling, as discussed in the following sections.

2.1. Visual Storytelling

Various machine learning fields rely on visual storytelling to convey complex spatial, narrative, and emotional information, allowing models to interpret and generate visual content contextually and meaningfully. This includes areas such as Vision-Language Navigation (VLN) within 3D environments [37], video game generation [19], and persuasiveness analysis within social media [28]. Specifically, AD leverages visual storytelling to generate an engaging and logically structured explanation of a visual story, one of the main qualities that differentiates AD from traditional video captioning tasks. AD requires cohesion across frames rather than isolated descriptions. It also relies on engaging narration and a logically structured explanation of the visual content. Studies such as [8] and [43] have explored removing redundant descriptions across similar frames to enhance narrative flow. While [10, 11, 22] demonstrated how identifying characters within the video improves the perceptual understanding of the story. This clarity enhances the narrative flow and ensures that key interactions and dialogue are clearly attributed. In contrast, our work focuses on a more nuanced understanding of the scene, improving narration and context comprehension. By incorporating dual visual embeddings, our method provides a more detailed portrayal of the visual story.

2.2. Multimodal Video Captioning

Video captioning tasks have commonly worked on incorporating additional input modalities to achieve better results,




Figure 2. Overview of our audio description generation pipeline. The system features two primary branches: a frame-level visual branch (blue) and a scene-level visual branch (red). Ground-truth references are embedded and processed auto-regressively using a causal attention mask. Sequential fusion integrates the visual embeddings within the Dual-Vision Attention Network (purple). The fused representation is fed to our LLaMA language model and decoded into a natural language AD prediction.

such as audio [12, 27], subtitles [16], dynamic motion [5], external memory banks [15], and emotion vocabulary [29] among others. These multimodal techniques enable richer descriptions by leveraging diverse input sources. For example, [16] demonstrates moment localisation within a video by leveraging temporally aligned subtitles. Multimodal approaches also improve video-text alignment. For instance, [31, 50] align movies with their corresponding books, enhancing narrative comprehension. Our method introduces a novel approach to multimodal AD generation by integrating a second visual modality focusing on context, alongside the frame-based visual modality and text-form ground-truth references. This aims to improve both scene understanding and narrative depth.

2.3. Long-Term Modelling

Video captioning extends image captioning [42] by incorporating temporal dynamics. Techniques such as sliding window approaches [4] help capture motion across neighbouring frames. However, most video captioning methods [18, 27, 40, 42] rely on short-form video datasets [27, 38, 39, 48], which results in captions lacking global coherence or long-term narrative structure. Unlike video captioning, generating coherent audio descriptions depends on the ability to capture long-term dependencies, particularly to avoid repetition of information. Recent techniques aim to retain long-term memory efficiently, with methods such as autoregressive decoding [25], memory clustering [49], and recursive video captioning [13], which generates captions at multiple hierarchical time scales for more coherent

long-form descriptions. Our method addresses these challenges by enhancing scene understanding through dual visual embeddings, allowing for improved long-term dependency modelling as video durations increase.

3. Model Architecture

Our model architecture is shown in Fig. 2. It consists of two parallel feature extraction branches, one for extracting and processing frame-level embeddings and another for global scene-level representations. A dual-vision Transformer network sequentially integrates the individual spatial frame details with the long-term temporal contextual scene information to fuse the two sets of embeddings. A frozen Large Language Model decodes the fused information into natural language by decoding the fused logits.

3.1. Frame-Level Visual Branch

We consider a film sequence consisting of N frames:

$$M = \{I_1, I_2, \dots, I_N\} \quad (1)$$

where each frame I_i is a 3-channel RGB image of spatial resolution $H \times W$. To reduce computational cost while retaining temporal information, we uniformly subsample the video to T frames:

$$M_T = \{I_{t_1}, I_{t_2}, \dots, I_{t_T}\}, \text{ where } t_k = \left\lceil \frac{kN}{T} \right\rceil \quad (2)$$

Given a batch size B , our input video representation X is such that $X \in \mathbb{R}^{B \times 3 \times T \times H \times W}$.

Visual Encoder We extract frame-level dense visual embeddings for each frame independently using a pre trained EVA-CLIP (ViT-G/14) from the pre-trained BLIP-2 model [17]. The vision encoder outputs per-frame embeddings:

$$L_{\text{frames}} = f_{\text{vision}}(X) \in \mathbb{R}^{(B \times T) \times D_v} \quad (3)$$

where D_v is the hidden dimension of the vision model. To create spatial awareness across the embeddings, the pre-trained Q-Former from BLIP-2 [17] performs cross attention between each frame embeddings and a collection of learnable query tokens, Q_F to extract additional frame-level spatial information:

$$Q_{\text{frames}}^{(t)} = \text{Q-Former}(Q_F, L_{\text{frames}}^{(t)}) \in \mathbb{R}^{N_q \times D_q} \quad (4)$$

where N_q is the number of query tokens and D_q is their hidden size. To encode temporal information across frames, we add positional embeddings to the learned queries $E^{(t)}$ that correspond to the relative frame positions, thereby capturing the sequential structure of the video data.

$$\tilde{Q}_{\text{frames}}^{(t)} = Q_{\text{frames}}^{(t)} + E^{(t)} \quad (5)$$

Frame Video Q-Former. The position-encoded frame embeddings are flattened to obtain a global video representation such that $\tilde{Q}^{(t)} \in \mathbb{R}^{B \times (T \times N_q) \times D_q}$. This sequence is then processed by the Video Q-Former from Video-LLaMA [46], initialised with weights pre-trained on the HowTo-AD dataset from Movie-Llama2 [11]. Let Q_V be a new set of randomly initialised query tokens:

$$Q_{\text{video}}^{(t)} = \text{Video Q-Former}(Q_V, \tilde{Q}^{(t)}) \in \mathbb{R}^{N_q \times D_q} \quad (6)$$

Language Model Projection. A linear projection layer projects the video embeddings $Q_{\text{video}}^{(t)} \in \mathbb{R}^{B \times N_q \times D_q}$ to match the context window dimensions D_L of LLaMA2 [33]:

$$F = W \times Q_{\text{video}}^{(t)}, \text{ where } W \in \mathbb{R}^{D_L \times D_q} \quad (7)$$

such that $F \in \mathbb{R}^{B \times N_q \times D_L}$. We initialise this projection layer with the pre-trained weights from Movie-Llama2 [11].

3.2. Scene-Level Visual Branch

To improve video scene understanding, we extract global sequence representations using a memory-efficient side network-based transformer model, Side4Video (S4V) [41], as our feature extraction backbone. Specifically, we adopt the action recognition module from Side4Video, which operates a lightweight side network pre-trained on Kinetics-400 [14] in parallel with the CLIP-ViT-B/16 [26] vision model.

We take the subsampled video frames from Eq. (2) in Sec. 3.1 and feed each frame I_t to the Side4Video model. The parallel vision Transformer model g_{vision} splits each frame into a sequence of non-overlapping patches P , which are then projected into the S4V embedding space D_S . The S4V block, integrated between each Transformer layer, includes temporal convolution, [CLS] token shift [41], self-attention, and a fully connected MLP layer:

$$S_{\text{out}} = \text{S4V}(g_{\text{vision}}(P)) \quad (8)$$

The resulting output from the side network $S_{\text{out}} \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times P \times D_S}$ captures rich spatial-temporal information for each frame within the video sequence. The final scene-level representation is obtained by applying Global Average Pooling (GAP) [41] over the embedded sequence:

$$S = \frac{1}{T \times P} \sum_{t,p} S_{\text{out}} \quad (9)$$

Lastly, the scene-level embeddings S are projected to the LLaMA2 embedding dimension such that $S \in \mathbb{R}^{B \times 1 \times D_L}$.

3.3. Dual-Vision Transformer

The dual-vision Transformer network is our novel approach to integrating long-term AD context within individual video frames by cross-attending to frame and scene-level embeddings via a sequential fusion strategy [23]. The dual-vision network takes as input the video frame embeddings F from Eq. (7), global scene embeddings S from Eq. (9), and ground-truth AD segments. During training, the network leverages the ground-truth AD segments as supervision to enhance alignment between video embeddings and text descriptions. Specifically, the model is trained to predict the next token in the AD sequence conditioned on the video embeddings and the preceding ground-truth tokens, ensuring accurate temporal and semantic alignment. The Transformer architecture, as shown in Fig. 3, consists of three layers, each featuring a self-attention module, a cross-attention module, and a Feed-Forward Network (FFN).

The ground-truth captions are first tokenized and encoded into D_L -dimensional word embeddings using the pre-trained LLaMA2-7B model. The Transformer generates an embedded AD sequence in an autoregressive manner, conditioning on both the visual embeddings (frame and scene-level) and the preceding word embeddings to predict the next word in the sequence. At each time step t , it uses the sequence of words predicted up to time step $t - 1$ to generate the next token.

To preserve word order, sinusoidal positional embeddings [34] are added to the word embeddings before self-attention is applied to create the position-encoded representations ω where $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^{D_L}$. A causal attention mask is then used to restrict interactions with future tokens, ensuring




Figure 3. We propose a sequential fusion method within the Dual-Vision Attention Network to integrate frame- and scene-level embeddings. Ground-truth word embeddings are processed using a causal self-attention mask.

proper autoregressive training.

Sequential Cross-Attention Fusion. Cross-attention is computed independently for each type of visual embedding using two stacked multi-head attention layers. This sequential approach allows the model to extract frame-level actions and events before integrating them into a broader scene-level narrative. Specifically, the first attention layer processes frame-level embeddings F_{t-1} to capture fine-grained temporal details. The second layer then refines this representation by attending to the scene-level embeddings S_{t-1} , incorporating higher-level contextual cues before generating the final description.

Within the multi-head attention mechanism, the frame or scene-level visual embeddings act as keys and values, while the word embeddings ω serve as queries. We exclude the ground-truth text during inference and initiate autoregressive generation with an embedded [BOS] token. The model then recursively feeds its own predictions back into the decoder at each timestep until the sequence is complete.

3.4. Language Model

The final component of our audio description generation pipeline is the natural language decoding stage. For this, we leverage the open-source LLaMA2-7B model, a pre-trained language model that remains frozen during training to preserve its generalisation capabilities and reduce computational overhead. The decoder processes the output embeddings from the dual-vision attention module, projecting them into the language model’s embedding space before feeding them into LLaMA2-7B. To facilitate autoregressive text generation, we prepend a [BOS] embedding to indicate the start of the sequence and append a [EOS] embedding to signal termination, ensuring coherent and bounded outputs.

3.5. Training Details

Our initial film sequences M are subsampled to $T = 8$ frames, aligning with the 8-frame subsampling standard used in prior works [10, 11]. This consistency enables a fair and accurate comparison of our results with these baselines. The Q-Former and Video Q-Former are initialised with 32 queries, following the configurations established in [11, 46]. During training, we only train the parameters of the frame-level projection layer, the scene-level projection layer, and the dual-vision attention network, while all other components, including the Q-Former and Video Q-Former are initialised with pre-trained weights and kept frozen. This selective training approach maintains robustness while adapting the model to our specific task.

Given that the model’s AD generation task is extensively pre-trained on the HowTo-AD dataset [11], we conduct our context-aware fine-tuning for only 2 epochs to adapt the model effectively without overfitting to our smaller fine-tuning dataset. We use the AdamW optimizer [20], a learning rate of 3×10^{-5} , and a cosine decay schedule to ensure stable convergence. We pre-compute the frame- and scene-level visual embeddings offline to enhance computational efficiency and load them offline during training. This offline strategy significantly reduces memory demands and computational overhead, enabling our training pipeline to fit on a single RTX-4090 GPU with 24GB of memory.

4. Experiments

4.1. Datasets

We train and evaluate our method using the CMD-AD dataset [11], a version of the Condensed Movie Dataset (CMD) [1] adapted explicitly for audio description. CMD-AD consists of short, key scenes (approximately 2 minutes each) from

Method	VLM	LLM	Cr \uparrow	R@1/5 \uparrow	LLM-AD-Eval (%) \uparrow	
					LLaMA	GPT-3.5
Video-BLIP2 [45]	EVA-CLIP	OPT-2.7B	4.8	22.0	31.50	23.33
Video-Llama2 [6]	EVA-CLIP	LLaMA2-7B	5.2	23.6	31.83	23.83
AutoAD-II [10]	CLIP-B32	GPT-2	13.5	26.1	34.66	25.50
AutoAD-III [11]	EVA-CLIP	OPT-2.7B	22.3	29.8	46.33	37.50
AutoAD-III [11]	EVA-CLIP	LLaMA2-7B	25.0	31.2	48.67	38.17
DistinctAD [8]	CLIP _{AD} -B16	LLaMA3-8B	22.7	33.0	48.00	-
DANTE-AD	EVA-CLIP + S4V	LLaMA2-7B	28.89	28.01	48.83	34.50

Table 1. Comparisons of AD performance on the CMD-AD dataset. LLM-AD-Eval [11] is evaluated with LLaMA2-7B-chat (left) and GPT-3.5-turbo (right). We report the results for our method DANTE-AD using the sequential fusion of our visual embeddings.

well-known films sourced from YouTube. Each scene is annotated with human-generated audio descriptions, which have been transcribed from AudioVault¹ using WhisperX [2]. These detailed, natural language descriptions of the visual scenes serve as the ground truth for training and evaluating our model. Due to various encoding issues with the raw videos, our processed version of the CMD-AD dataset is reduced from approximately 101k down to 96k AD segments. We will be releasing the audio visual feature embeddings to allow further research on this dataset. Tab. 2 details the number of AD segments, scenes, and film counts in each split of our processed dataset compared to the original CMD-AD dataset.

	Version	Train	Eval	Total
Segments	CMD-AD	93,952	7,316	101,268
Segments	DANTE-AD	89,798	7,075	96,873
Scenes	CMD-AD	8,324	591	8,915
Scenes	DANTE-AD	8,017	575	8,592
Films	CMD-AD	1,321	98	1,419
Films	DANTE-AD	1,319	97	1,416

Table 2. Statistics of AD data used in our work compared to the original CMD-AD [11] dataset.

4.2. Evaluation Metrics

We evaluate DANTE-AD using both traditional NLP metrics (CIDEr [35] and Recall@k/N [10]) and the LLM-based metric LLM-AD-Eval [11]. CIDEr measures word-level precision between a generated sentence and a consensus of reference descriptions. It benefits tasks with high output diversity, where multiple valid descriptions can accurately

¹<https://audiovault.net>

depict the same visual content. In contrast, R@k/N is calculated using BertScore [47], which evaluates recall by assessing how many of the N ground-truth segments appear among the model’s top- k predictions. Although these metrics are widely used in natural language evaluation, they have limitations when applied to long video descriptions, where varied phrasing for the same idea increases. We incorporate LLM-based metrics to address this, better accommodating semantically equivalent yet syntactically distinct sentences. We utilise the open-source LLaMA2-7B-chat model alongside the GPT-3.5-turbo APIs from OpenAI for LLM-AD-Eval. Each model is prompted to score the similarity between predicted audio descriptions and their ground-truth counterparts on a 0-5 scale, with 5 representing the highest similarity. These scores are then converted to percentages for clearer reporting. While LLM-AD-Eval better aligns with human judgment, the subjective interpretation of its 0-5 scores introduce ambiguity.

4.3. Quantitative Results

We evaluate our model’s performance against prior AD methods, presenting our results in Tab. 1. For additional context, we include performance on two non-AD video captioning benchmarks: Video-BLIP2 [45] and Video-LLaMA2 [6]. Our DANTE-AD model is trained and evaluated on the CMD-AD dataset, with the model weights pre-trained on HowTo-AD [11]. DANTE-AD outperforms all previous methods on CIDEr and LLM-AD-Eval when evaluated using LLaMA2-7B, demonstrating performance on both word level precision and relative similarity measures.

Effect of text caption length. For AD, a more extended caption is often desired to provide storytelling elements. Therefore, we analyse the caption length on DANTE-AD compared to AutoAD-III. As shown in Fig. 4, our method generates descriptions that average longer than those produced by AutoAD-III. We infer that the additional scene-level context

enhances the generated descriptions, enabling greater detail due to the richer information provided. This is further supported by our qualitative results in Fig. 6, which compares a shorter sentence from AutoAD-III to our method’s more fine-grained output.




Figure 4. Text Caption length distribution of our generated descriptions (blue) compared to AutoAD-III [11] (grey).

Effect of visual embedding ordering. The sequential fusion of our method is key to integrating the scene and frame details. Therefore, we can explore various configurations of the cross-attention to assess the causal relationship between scene- and frame-level processing, as illustrated in Fig. 5. Specifically, we evaluate whether processing frame-level embeddings first ($F_{t-1} \Rightarrow S_{t-1}$) improves scene comprehension or if prioritising scene-level context ($S_{t-1} \Rightarrow F_{t-1}$) improves contextual grounding for frame-level representations. Previous findings in Tab. 1 indicate that the LLM-AD-Eval metric yields higher scores for lengthy, verbose descriptions, regardless of their fidelity to the video content. In contrast, the CIDEr metric, which relies on word-for-word alignment with ground-truth descriptions, prioritises accuracy relative to the video content. Consequently, Tab. 3 reveals that initialising frame-level information with global context first improves contextual precision. Conversely, generating global information after frame-level details produces more elaborate, detailed sentences, as qualitatively demonstrated in Fig. 6(c).

Concatenated cross-attention. Alongside the sequential fusion of the scene and frame embeddings, we compare to simply using the concatenation of the embeddings along their video sequence length, forming the fused visual embeddings $[F; S]$. These are the keys and values in the multi-head attention layer, while the position-encoded word embeddings ω serve as the queries. Fig. 7 shows the distribution of the caption lengths for concatenation and our proposed approach. The naive concatenation method generates the longest text




Figure 5. Illustration of the ordering of frame-level (F_{t-1}) and scene-level (S_{t-1}) visual embeddings during sequential cross-attention within our dual-vision attention network.

Method	Cr \uparrow	R@ \uparrow	LLM-AD-Eval (%) \uparrow	
			LL	G3.5
$S \Rightarrow F$	35.71	27.78	44.17	33.33
$F \Rightarrow S$	28.89	28.01	48.83	34.50

Table 3. Results of sequential cross-attention in our dual-vision model, comparing the impact of the order of frame- (F) and scene-level (S) embeddings on AD performance. CIDEr and LLM-AD-Eval reported using LLaMA2-7B and GPT-3.5.

captions, as shown in Fig. 7. However, these extended captions often go beyond the video’s visual content, introducing verbose captions that do not align with the actual temporal localisation. For example, in sequence 16 in Fig. 6(a), the character is only dialling the phone, and it is not until sequence 17 that he puts the receiver to his ear. In contrast, our sequential method yields the most accurate results. Segment 16 provides a more descriptive caption than the ground truth, while sequence 17 focuses on the beginning of the scene rather than the latter part where the moustache combing is shown. This highlights a limitation of evaluation metrics that rely solely on comparisons with the ground-truth text, as the visual information being described is correct, albeit presented from a different perspective. This is quantitatively shown with the concatenation achieving a CIDEr score of only 21, compared to our 28.9.

4.4. Qualitative Results

Alongside our quantitative comparison, Fig. 6 compares the ground truth, the simple concatenation approach, and the outputs of AutoAD-III [11]. This demonstrates that our approach produces descriptions that are neither too brief or verbose. Fig. 6(b) highlights the challenge of using the




Figure 6. **Qualitative results on CMD-AD-Eval.** (a) Two consecutive AD segments. (“Dial M for Murder: 2017/JY4UoItJIA”), compared with the naive concatenation method and AutoAD-III. (b) Comparison of the qualitative results with quantitative LLM-AD-Eval scores on the two language models (“The Hurt Locker: 2015/rviQWy48B_w”). (c) Qualitative results comparing the influence of frame- and scene-level embedding ordering within the sequential fusion cross-attention (“Bulletproof: 2011/_d4H6lx9-Is”).




Figure 7. Comparison of the text caption length distribution of generated descriptions between DANTE-AD using concatenation and the sequential fusion method.

LLM-based similarity metrics, as it results in a wide range of scores despite our output qualitatively aligning well with the ground truth. Similarly, Fig. 6(c) underscores the importance of ordering in sequential fusion, our method, ($F \implies S$), generating more reasoned and descriptive audio descriptions. Further examples of generated audio description captions are provided in the supplementary material in section Sec. 7.

5. Conclusion

In this work, we introduced **DANTE-AD**, a novel dual-vision Transformer-based model for generating detailed and contextually rich audio descriptions in long-form video content. Unlike prior methods that rely solely on frame-level embeddings, DANTE-AD integrates both frame- and scene-level representations through a sequential fusion strategy, enabling a more comprehensive understanding of temporal context. By incorporating a multi-stage attention mechanism, our model effectively grounds fine-grained visual details within a coherent long-term narrative.

We extensively evaluated real-world movie clips and demonstrated that DANTE-AD significantly outperforms existing audio description techniques, providing more emotive and story-rich results. Our findings highlight the importance of leveraging spatial and temporal modelling capabilities to bridge the gap between object-centric video captioning and holistic storytelling in AD generation.

Future work may explore further refinements in long-term scene understanding, adaptive attention mechanisms for balancing local and global information, and enhanced multi-modal fusion techniques to incorporate additional modalities, such as audio and subtitles. We hope our contributions pave the way for more effective and accessible automated AD solutions, improving media accessibility for vision-impaired audiences.

References

- [1] Max Bain, Arsha Nagrani, Andrew Brown, and Andrew Zisserman. Condensed movies: Story based retrieval with contextual embeddings. In *Proceedings of the Asian Conference on Computer Vision*, 2020. 5
- [2] Max Bain, Jaesung Huh, Tengda Han, and Andrew Zisserman. Whisperx: Time-accurate speech transcription of long-form audio. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.00747*, 2023. 6
- [3] Rupert Bourne, Jaimie D Steinmetz, Seth Flaxman, Paul Svitil Briant, Hugh R Taylor, Serge Resnikoff, Robert James Casson, Amir Abdoli, Eman Abu-Gharbieh, Ashkan Afshin, et al. Trends in prevalence of blindness and distance and near vision impairment over 30 years: an analysis for the global burden of disease study. *The Lancet global health*, 9(2):e130–e143, 2021. 1
- [4] Lin Chen, Xilin Wei, Jinsong Li, Xiaoyi Dong, Pan Zhang, Yuhang Zang, Zehui Chen, Haodong Duan, Zhenyu Tang, Li Yuan, et al. Sharegpt4video: Improving video understanding and generation with better captions. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 37:19472–19495, 2025. 3
- [5] Shaoxiang Chen, Wenhao Jiang, Wei Liu, and Yu-Gang Jiang. Learning modality interaction for temporal sentence localization and event captioning in videos. In *Computer Vision—ECCV 2020: 16th European Conference, Glasgow, UK, August 23–28, 2020, Proceedings, Part IV 16*, pages 333–351. Springer, 2020. 3
- [6] Zesen Cheng, Sicong Leng, Hang Zhang, Yifei Xin, Xin Li, Guanzheng Chen, Yongxin Zhu, Wenqi Zhang, Ziyang Luo, Deli Zhao, et al. Videollama 2: Advancing spatial-temporal modeling and audio understanding in video-llms. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.07476*, 2024. 6
- [7] Paul Debreczeny. Chekhov’s art: A stylistic analysis. by peter m. bitsilli. translated by toby w. clyman and edwina jannie cruise. ann arbor: Ardis, 1983. xiii, 194 pp. 5.00, paper. *Slavic Review*, 43(2):347–348, 1984. 2
- [8] Bo Fang, Wenhao Wu, Qiangqiang Wu, Yuxin Song, and Antoni B Chan. Distinctad: Distinctive audio description generation in contexts. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2411.18180*, 2024. 2, 6
- [9] Tengda Han, Max Bain, Arsha Nagrani, Gül Varol, Weidi Xie, and Andrew Zisserman. Autoad: Movie description in context. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 18930–18940, 2023. 2
- [10] Tengda Han, Max Bain, Arsha Nagrani, Gul Varol, Weidi Xie, and Andrew Zisserman. Autoad ii: The sequel-who, when, and what in movie audio description. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 13645–13655, 2023. 2, 5, 6
- [11] Tengda Han, Max Bain, Arsha Nagrani, Gül Varol, Weidi Xie, and Andrew Zisserman. Autoad iii: The prequel-back to the pixels. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 18164–18174, 2024. 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 1
- [12] Vladimir Iashin and Esa Rahtu. Multi-modal dense video captioning. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition workshops*, pages 958–959, 2020. 3
- [13] Md Mohaiminul Islam, Ngan Ho, Xitong Yang, Tushar Nagarajan, Lorenzo Torresani, and Gedas Bertasius. Video recap: Recursive captioning of hour-long videos. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 18198–18208, 2024. 3
- [14] Will Kay, Joao Carreira, Karen Simonyan, Brian Zhang, Chloe Hillier, Sudheendra Vijayanarasimhan, Fabio Viola, Tim Green, Trevor Back, Paul Natsev, et al. The kinetics human action video dataset. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1705.06950*, 2017. 4
- [15] Minkuk Kim, Hyeon Bae Kim, Jinyoung Moon, Jinwoo Choi, and Seong Tae Kim. Do you remember? dense video captioning with cross-modal memory retrieval. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 13894–13904, 2024. 3
- [16] Jie Lei, Licheng Yu, Tamara L Berg, and Mohit Bansal. Tvr: A large-scale dataset for video-subtitle moment retrieval. In *Computer Vision—ECCV 2020: 16th European Conference, Glasgow, UK, August 23–28, 2020, Proceedings, Part XXI 16*, pages 447–463. Springer, 2020. 3
- [17] Junnan Li, Dongxu Li, Silvio Savarese, and Steven Hoi. Blip-2: Bootstrapping language-image pre-training with frozen image encoders and large language models. In *International conference on machine learning*, pages 19730–19742. PMLR, 2023. 4
- [18] Kevin Lin, Linjie Li, Chung-Ching Lin, Faisal Ahmed, Zhe Gan, Zicheng Liu, Yumao Lu, and Lijuan Wang. Swinbert: End-to-end transformers with sparse attention for video captioning. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 17949–17958, 2022. 1, 2, 3
- [19] Jialin Liu, Sam Snodgrass, Ahmed Khalifa, Sebastian Risi, Georgios N Yannakakis, and Julian Togelius. Deep learning for procedural content generation. *Neural Computing and Applications*, 33(1):19–37, 2021. 2
- [20] Ilya Loshchilov and Frank Hutter. Decoupled weight decay regularization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1711.05101*, 2017. 5
- [21] Antoine Miech, Jean-Baptiste Alayrac, Lucas Smaira, Ivan Laptev, Josef Sivic, and Andrew Zisserman. End-

- to-end learning of visual representations from uncurated instructional videos. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 9879–9889, 2020. 2
- [22] A Nagrani and A Zisserman. From benedict cumberbatch to sherlock holmes: character identification in tv series without a script. In *British Machine Vision Conference, 2017*. British Machine Vision Association and Society for Pattern Recognition, 2017. 2
- [23] Van-Quang Nguyen, Masanori Suganuma, and Takayuki Okatani. Grit: Faster and better image captioning transformer using dual visual features. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 167–184. Springer, 2022. 4
- [24] Ramakanth Pasunuru and Mohit Bansal. Reinforced video captioning with entailment rewards. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1708.02300*, 2017. 2
- [25] AJ Piergiovanni, Dahun Kim, Michael S Ryoo, Isaac Noble, and Anelia Angelova. Whats in a video: Factorized autoregressive decoding for online dense video captioning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2411.14688*, 2024. 3
- [26] Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Chris Hallacy, Aditya Ramesh, Gabriel Goh, Sandhini Agarwal, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Pamela Mishkin, Jack Clark, et al. Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision. In *International conference on machine learning*, pages 8748–8763. PmLR, 2021. 4
- [27] Xuyang Shen, Dong Li, Jinxing Zhou, Zhen Qin, Bowen He, Xiaodong Han, Aixuan Li, Yuchao Dai, Lingpeng Kong, Meng Wang, et al. Fine-grained audible video description. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 10585–10596, 2023. 3
- [28] Donghyuk Shin, Shu He, Gene Moo Lee, Andrew B Whinston, Suleyman Cetintas, and Kuang-Chih Lee. *Enhancing social media analysis with visual data analytics: A deep learning approach*. SSRN Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2020. 2
- [29] Peipei Song, Dan Guo, Xun Yang, Shengeng Tang, and Meng Wang. Emotional video captioning with vision-based emotion interpretation network. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 33:1122–1135, 2024. 3
- [30] Chen Sun, Austin Myers, Carl Vondrick, Kevin Murphy, and Cordelia Schmid. Videobert: A joint model for video and language representation learning. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*, pages 7464–7473, 2019. 2
- [31] Makarand Tapaswi, Martin Bauml, and Rainer Stiefelhagen. Book2movie: Aligning video scenes with book chapters. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 1827–1835, 2015. 3
- [32] The Authority for Television On Demand Limited (ATVoD). Video on demand access services: Best practice guidelines for service providers, 2012. 1
- [33] Hugo Touvron, Louis Martin, Kevin Stone, Peter Albert, Amjad Almahairi, Yasmine Babaei, Nikolay Bashlykov, Soumya Batra, Prajjwal Bhargava, Shrusti Bhosale, et al. Llama 2: Open foundation and fine-tuned chat models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.09288*, 2023. 4
- [34] Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N Gomez, Łukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. Attention is all you need. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*. Curran Associates, Inc., 2017. 4
- [35] Ramakrishna Vedantam, C Lawrence Zitnick, and Devi Parikh. Cider: Consensus-based image description evaluation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 4566–4575, 2015. 6
- [36] Subhashini Venugopalan, Marcus Rohrbach, Jeffrey Donahue, Raymond Mooney, Trevor Darrell, and Kate Saenko. Sequence to sequence-video to text. In *Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision*, pages 4534–4542, 2015. 2
- [37] Xin Wang, Qiuyuan Huang, Asli Celikyilmaz, Jianfeng Gao, Dinghan Shen, Yuan-Fang Wang, William Yang Wang, and Lei Zhang. Reinforced cross-modal matching and self-supervised imitation learning for vision-language navigation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 6629–6638, 2019. 2
- [38] Xin Wang, Jiawei Wu, Junkun Chen, Lei Li, Yuan-Fang Wang, and William Yang Wang. Vatex: A large-scale, high-quality multilingual dataset for video-and-language research. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*, pages 4581–4591, 2019. 3
- [39] Jun Xu, Tao Mei, Ting Yao, and Yong Rui. Msr-vtt: A large video description dataset for bridging video and language. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 5288–5296, 2016. 3
- [40] Jilan Xu, Yifei Huang, Junlin Hou, Guo Chen, Yuejie Zhang, Rui Feng, and Weidi Xie. Retrieval-augmented egocentric video captioning. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 13525–13536, 2024. 2, 3
- [41] Huanjin Yao, Wenhao Wu, and Zhiheng Li. Side4video: Spatial-temporal side network for memory-efficient image-to-video transfer learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.15769*, 2023. 4
- [42] Quanzeng You, Hailin Jin, Zhaowen Wang, Chen Fang, and Jiebo Luo. Image captioning with semantic attention. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on*

- computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 4651–4659, 2016. [3](#)
- [43] Zeng You, Zhiquan Wen, Yaofu Chen, Xin Li, Runhao Zeng, Yaowei Wang, and Mingkui Tan. Towards long video understanding via fine-detailed video story generation. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology*, 2024. [2](#)
- [44] Haonan Yu, Jiang Wang, Zhiheng Huang, Yi Yang, and Wei Xu. Video paragraph captioning using hierarchical recurrent neural networks. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 4584–4593, 2016. [2](#)
- [45] Keunwoo Peter Yu. Videoblip, 2023. [6](#)
- [46] Hang Zhang, Xin Li, and Lidong Bing. Video-llama: An instruction-tuned audio-visual language model for video understanding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.02858*, 2023. [4](#), [5](#)
- [47] Tianyi Zhang, Varsha Kishore, Felix Wu, Kilian Q Weinberger, and Yoav Artzi. Bertscore: Evaluating text generation with bert. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1904.09675*, 2019. [6](#)
- [48] Luowei Zhou, Chenliang Xu, and Jason Corso. Towards automatic learning of procedures from web instructional videos. In *Proceedings of the AAAI conference on artificial intelligence*, 2018. [3](#)
- [49] Xingyi Zhou, Anurag Arnab, Shyamal Buch, Shen Yan, Austin Myers, Xuehan Xiong, Arsha Nagrani, and Cordelia Schmid. Streaming dense video captioning. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 18243–18252, 2024. [3](#)
- [50] Yukun Zhu, Ryan Kiros, Rich Zemel, Ruslan Salakhutdinov, Raquel Urtasun, Antonio Torralba, and Sanja Fidler. Aligning books and movies: Towards story-like visual explanations by watching movies and reading books. In *Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision*, pages 19–27, 2015. [3](#)

DANTE-AD: Dual-Vision Attention Network for Long-Term Audio Description

Supplementary Material

6. LLM-AD-Eval Prompts

For accurate comparison with previous methods, we use the same prompts for LLM-AD-Eval as provided in [11]. For reference, the prompt for LLM-AD-Eval using LLaMA2-7B-chat is given in Fig. 8 and for GPT-3.5-turbo in Fig. 9.

Please evaluate the following movie audio description pair:
- Correct Audio Description: $\{ground\text{-}truth\ AD\ segment\}$
- Predicted Audio Description: $\{predicted\ AD\ segment\}$
Provide your evaluation only as a matching score where the matching score is an integer value between 0 and 5, with 5 indicating the highest level of match.
Please generate the response in the form of a Python dictionary string with keys 'score', where its value is the matching score in INTEGER, not STRING.
DO NOT PROVIDE ANY OTHER OUTPUT TEXT OR EXPLANATION. Only provide the Python dictionary string.
For example, your response should look like this: $\{'score': \}$.

Figure 8. LLM-AD-Eval prompt for evaluations using LLaMA2-7B-chat from [11].

System:
You are an intelligent chatbot designed for evaluating the quality of generative outputs for movie audio descriptions. Your task is to compare the predicted audio descriptions and determine its level of match, considering mainly the visual elements like actions, objects and interactions. Here's how you can accomplish the task:
Instructions:
- Check if the predicted audio description covers the main visual elements from the movie, especially focusing in the verbs and nouns.
- Evaluate whether the predicted audio description includes specific details rather than just generic points. It should provide comprehensive information that is tied to specific elements of the video.
- Consider synonyms or paraphrases as valid matches. Consider pronouns like 'he' or 'she' as valid matches with character names. Consider different character names as valid matches.
- Provide a single evaluation score that reflects the level of match of the prediction, considering the visual elements like actions, objects and interactions.
User:
Please evaluate the following movie description pair:
- Correct Audio Description: $\{ground\text{-}truth\ AD\ segment\}$
- Predicted Audio Description: $\{predicted\ AD\ segment\}$
Provide your evaluation only as a matching score where the matching score is an integer value between 0 and 5, with 5 indicating the highest level of match. Please generate the response in the form of a Python dictionary string with keys 'score', where its value is the matching score in INTEGER, not STRING.
DO NOT PROVIDE ANY OTHER OUTPUT TEXT OR EXPLANATION. Only provide the Python dictionary string.
For example, your response should look like this: $\{'score': \}$.

Figure 9. LLM-AD-Eval prompt for evaluations using GPT-3.5-turbo from [11].

7. Qualitative Examples

To showcase our results on DANTE-AD, we provide additional qualitative examples in Fig. 10.



Ground Truth: Chucky and Tiffany gaze at each other.

DANTE-AD: They stare at each other in the dim light.



Ground Truth: Richmond fans cheer on their feet, and Charles stuffs another running ball.

DANTE-AD: As the ball flies through the air, the kids cheer and jump up and down.



Ground Truth: Thing one grabs thing two by the feet and pounds them against the couch.

DANTE-AD: He grabs her by the ankles and tosses her in the air.



Ground Truth: His face contorts with emotion, and he swallows as tears well in his eyes.

DANTE-AD: His eyes fill with tears as he stares down at the floor.



Ground Truth: Chased by a Doberman Pinscher through a patio, Alfalfa dives into an Olympic-sized swimming pool.

DANTE-AD: He dives into the water and swims to the edge of the pool.



Ground Truth: O'Reilly fires his gun and an explosion rips through the house sending Moses flying.

DANTE-AD: The explosion blows the roof off the house and sends debris flying.

Figure 10. Qualitative results of our DANTE-AD method on CMD-AD-Eval. Our method uses sequential fusion cross-attention between frame- and scene-level visual embeddings.