# On inequalities involving the spherical operator transforms

Fuad Kittaneh, Satyajit Sahoo and Hranislav Stanković

ABSTRACT. This paper explores refinements of some operator norm inequalities through the generalized spherical Aluthge transform and the spherical Heinz transform. We introduce the spherical Schatten *p*-norm for operator tuples and establish several related inequalities. Additionally, equality conditions for some of these inequalities are also presented. Furthermore, we define the (joint) Schatten *p*-numerical radius and the Schatten hypo-*p*-norm for operator tuples, deriving some fundamental inequalities in this setting.

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Let  $(\mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  be a separable complex Hilbert space, and denote by  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$  the space of all bounded linear operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ . The null space and the range of an operator  $T \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$  are denoted by  $\mathcal{N}(T)$  and  $\mathcal{R}(T)$ , respectively. The adjoint of T is represented by T<sup>\*</sup>. The modulus of T is given by  $|T| = (T^*T)^{1/2}$ , while its real and imaginary parts are defined as  $\Re(T) = \frac{T+T^*}{2}$  and  $\Im(T) = \frac{T-T^*}{2i}$ , respectively. An operator T is called positive, denoted  $T \ge 0$ , if  $\langle Tx, x \rangle \ge 0$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ . If  $-T \ge 0$ , we write  $T \le 0$ . An operator T is self-adjoint (or Hermitian) if  $T = T^*$ . The set of positive operators forms a convex cone in  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , inducing the partial order > on the set of self-adjoint operators: for Hermitian operators A and B, we write  $A \ge B$  if and only if  $A - B \ge 0$ . This order is known as the Löwner order. It is evident that  $|T| \ge 0$ , and that  $\operatorname{Re} T$  and  $\operatorname{Im} T$  are self-adjoint for any operator  $T \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . An operator T is normal if it satisfies  $T^*T = TT^*$ , and it is unitary if  $T^*T = TT^* = I$ . Due to importance of normal operators in operator theory, as well as in quantum mechanics, many generalizations of this class have appeared over the decades. An operator T is said to be quasinormal if T commutes with  $T^*T$ , i.e.,  $TT^*T = T^*T^2$ , and hyponormal if  $TT^* \leq T^*T$ . Clearly, both of these classes generalize the class of normal operators, and we have that

normal  $\Rightarrow$  quasinormal  $\Rightarrow$  hyponormal.

For other generalizations of normal operators, see, for example, [32].

Closely related to hyponormal operators (and especially *p*-hyponormal operators) are various operator transforms. If  $T \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , then T admits a polar decomposition T = U|T|, where U is a partial isometry (so that  $U^*U$  and  $UU^*$ 

Date: April 1, 2025.

<sup>2020</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 47A13, 47A30, 47B10, 47B65.

Key words and phrases. Joint operator norm, Schatten *p*-norm, Schatten *p*-numerical radius, Schatten hypo-*p*-norm, spherical Heinz transform, spherical Aluthge transform.

are projections). The Aluthge transform of an operator  $T \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is given by  $\widetilde{T} = |T|^{1/2}U|T|^{1/2}$ . The Duggal transform of T is defined as  $T^D = |T|U$ , while the mean transform is given by  $\widehat{T} = \frac{1}{2}(U|T| + |T|U) = \frac{1}{2}(T + T^D)$ . For  $t \in [0, 1]$ , the generalized Aluthge transform of T is defined as  $\widetilde{T}(t) = |T|^t U|T|^{1-t}$ . Clearly,  $\widetilde{T}(0) = T$ ,  $\widetilde{T}(1) = T^D$ , and  $\widehat{T} = \frac{1}{2}(\widetilde{T}(0) + \widetilde{T}(1))$ . Recently, the authors of [14] introduced the generalized mean transform of T, given by

$$\widehat{T}(t) = \frac{1}{2}(\widetilde{T}(t) + \widetilde{T}(1-t)),$$

while the  $\lambda$ -mean transform of T is introduced in [49], and it is defined by

$$M_{\lambda}(T) = \lambda T + (1 - \lambda)T^{I}$$

for  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ . In particular,  $M_0(T) = T^D$  and  $M_{\frac{1}{2}}(T) = \widehat{T}$  is the mean transform of T. For further details on the Aluthge and Duggal transforms, see [8, 18, 36]. In recent years, the mean transform and its generalizations have also received significant attention (see [4, 5, 16, 17, 40]).

Next, let  $\mathfrak{K}(\mathcal{H})$  denote the ideal of compact operators on the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . For  $T \in \mathfrak{K}(\mathcal{H})$  the singular values of T, denoted by  $s_1(T), s_2(T), \ldots$ , correspond to the eigenvalues of the positive operator |T| and are arranged in decreasing order, accounting for multiplicity. Furthermore, let

$$\mathcal{S} := \left\{ T \in \mathfrak{K}(\mathcal{H}) : \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} s_j(T) < \infty \right\}.$$

Operators in S are called the trace class operators. The trace functional, denoted by  $tr(\cdot)$ , is defined on S as

$$\operatorname{tr}(T) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle Te_j, e_j \rangle, \quad T \in \mathcal{S},$$
(1.1)

where  $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  forms an orthonormal basis for the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . It is worth noting that this definition coincides with the standard trace definition when  $\mathcal{H}$  is finite-dimensional. The series in (1.1) converges absolutely, and its value remains unchanged regardless of the choice of basis.

Additionally, let us clarify the definition of the Schatten *p*-class with  $p \geq 1$ . An operator *T* belongs to the Schatten *p*-class, denoted as  $\mathfrak{C}_p(\mathcal{H})$ , if the sum of the *p*-th powers of its singular values is finite. More precisely,  $T \in \mathfrak{C}_p(\mathcal{H})$  if

$$\operatorname{tr}(|T|^p) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} s_j(T)^p < \infty.$$

The Schatten *p*-norm of  $T \in \mathfrak{C}_p(\mathcal{H})$  is given by

$$||T||_p := [\operatorname{tr}(|T|^p)]^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

When p = 2, the ideal  $\mathfrak{C}_2(\mathcal{H})$  is referred to as the Hilbert–Schmidt class. In this case,  $\mathfrak{C}_2(\mathcal{H})$  forms a Hilbert space with the inner product  $\langle T, S \rangle_2 = \operatorname{tr}(TS^*)$ . Also, when p = 1, we obviously have that  $\mathfrak{C}_1(\mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{S}$ . Recall that for any unitarily invariant norm  $||| \cdot |||$  on  $\mathcal{H}$ , the invariance property |||UTV||| = |||T||| holds for any pair of unitary operators U and V, provided that  $T \in \mathcal{J}_{|||\cdot|||}$ , where  $\mathcal{J}_{|||\cdot|||}$  denotes the norm ideal associated with  $|||\cdot|||$ . The standard operator norm and the *p*-Schatten norms are examples of unitarily invariant, or symmetric, norms. It is well known that  $|||T||| = |||T|||| = |||T^*|||$  for any  $T \in \mathcal{J}_{|||\cdot|||}$ . Furthermore, all these ideals are contained within the ideal of compact operators. For a comprehensive exploration of the general theory of unitarily invariant norms, we refer to [34].

Furthermore, let us introduce some notations from the multivariable operator theory, as well as briefly recall some classes of operator *d*-tuples, which will be of interest in the sequel. For  $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, \ldots, T_d) \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})^d$ , by  $\mathbf{T}^*$  we denote the operator *d*-tuple  $\mathbf{T}^* = (T_1^*, \ldots, T_d^*) \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})^d$ . If  $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, \ldots, T_m) \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})^m$  and  $\mathbf{S} = (S_1, \ldots, S_n) \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})^n$ , the product of  $\mathbf{T}$  and  $\mathbf{S}$  is given by

$$\mathbf{T} \circ \mathbf{S} := (T_1 S_1, \dots, T_1 S_n, \dots, T_m S_1, \dots, T_m S_n).$$

Related to this definition, we introduce the following notation:

$$\mathbf{T}^1 = \mathbf{T}$$
 and  $\mathbf{T}^{n+1} = \mathbf{T} \circ \mathbf{T}^n$ 

where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

For  $S, T \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , let [S, T] = ST - TS be the commutator of S and T. We say that an *d*-tuple  $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, \ldots, T_d) \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})^d$  of operators on  $\mathcal{H}$  is jointly hyponormal if the operator matrix

$$[\mathbf{T}^*, \mathbf{T}] := \begin{bmatrix} [T_1^*, T_1] & [T_2^*, T_1] & \cdots & [T_d^*, T_1] \\ [T_1^*, T_2] & [T_2^*, T_2] & \cdots & [T_d^*, T_2] \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ [T_1^*, T_d] & [T_2^*, T_d] & \cdots & [T_d^*, T_d] \end{bmatrix}$$

is positive on the direct sum of n copies of  $\mathcal{H}$  (cf. [10,22]). An operator d-tuple  $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, \ldots, T_d) \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})^d$  is said to be commuting (or a d-tuple of commuting operators) if  $T_i T_j = T_j T_i$  for all  $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$ . A d-tuple  $\mathbf{T}$  is said to be normal if  $\mathbf{T}$  is commuting and each  $T_k$  is normal,  $k \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$ . The notion of quasinormality has several multivariable analogues. We shall restrict ourselves to the spherically quasinormal operator tuples. We say that a commuting operator d-tuple is spherically quasinormal if each  $T_i$  commutes with  $\sum_{j=1}^n T_j^* T_j$ ,  $i \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$  (see [33]). As shown in [10], [11] and [33], we have

normal  $\Rightarrow$  spherically quasinormal  $\Rightarrow$  jointly hyponormal.

For more details on these classes and the relations between them, see [23,24,28, 43,44]. The norm of an operator *d*-tuple appears in several important forms. The spherical operator norm (or joint operator norm) of  $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, \ldots, T_d) \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})^d$  is given by

$$\|\mathbf{T}\| := \sup\left\{\left(\sum_{k=1}^{d} \|T_k x\|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} : x \in \mathcal{H}, \|x\| = 1\right\}.$$

The following simple observations provide useful characterizations of the spherical operator norm.

Lemma 1.1. [31, Lemma 2.1] Let  $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, \dots, T_d) \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})^d$ . Then $\|\mathbf{T}\| = \left\|\sum_{k=1}^d T_k^* T_k\right\|^{\frac{1}{2}}.$ 

Lemma 1.2. [6, Lemma 2.1] Let  $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, \ldots, T_d) \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})^d$ . Then

$$\|\mathbf{T}\| = \|\mathbb{T}\|$$

where  $\mathbb{T}$  denotes the following operator matrix on  $\mathcal{H}_d = \bigoplus_{i=1}^d \mathcal{H}$ :

$$\mathbb{T} = \begin{bmatrix} T_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ T_d & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (1.2)

The hypo-norm introduced in [29] (as a special case of more general hypo-p-norms) is defined by

$$\|\mathbf{T}\|_h := \sup_{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d) \in \overline{\mathbb{B}}_d} \|\lambda_1 T_1 + \dots + \lambda_d T_d\|,$$

where  $\mathbb{B}_d$  denotes the open unit ball of  $\mathbb{C}^d$  with respect to the Euclidean norm  $\|\cdot\|_2$ , i.e.,

$$\mathbb{B}_d := \left\{ \boldsymbol{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d) \in \mathbb{C}^d : \|\boldsymbol{\lambda}\|_2^2 = \sum_{k=1}^d |\lambda_k|^2 \le 1 \right\}.$$
 (1.3)

As shown recently, it turns out that  $\|\mathbf{T}\|$  and  $\|\mathbf{T}\|_h$  are always equivalent on  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})^d$  (see [42, Theorem 1.18] and [30, Proposition 2.1]):

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \|\mathbf{T}\| \le \|\mathbf{T}\|_h \le \|\mathbf{T}\|, \quad \mathbf{T} \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})^d.$$

There is also a notion of the Euclidean operator norm, which first appeared in [41] and it is given by

$$\|\mathbf{T}\|_e := \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \|T_k\|^2\right)^{1/2}$$

However, when we speak about *the* norm of an operator *d*-tuple, we usually think of a spherical operator norm. It is worth mentioning that while  $\|\mathbf{T}\|_{h} = \|\mathbf{T}^*\|_{h}$ and  $\|\mathbf{T}\|_{e} = \|\mathbf{T}^*\|_{e}$  for any  $\mathbf{T} \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})^{d}$ , this is not the case for  $\|\cdot\|$ . For other related concepts, we refer the reader to [7, 47].

For  $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, \ldots, T_d) \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})^d$ , we define the joint (or spherical) numerical radius of  $\mathbf{T}$  as

$$\omega(\mathbf{T}) = \sup\left\{\left(\sum_{k=1}^{d} |\langle T_k x, x \rangle|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} : x \in \mathcal{H}, \|x\| = 1\right\}.$$

For more details, see [19]. It was shown in [42, Proof of Theorem 1.19] that  $\omega(\mathbf{T})$  coincides with the hypo-numerical radius  $\omega_h(\mathbf{T})$ , which is given by

$$\omega_h(\mathbf{T}) := \sup_{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d) \in \mathbb{B}_d} \omega(\lambda_1 T_1 + \dots + \lambda_d T_d).$$

For  $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, \ldots, T_d) \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})^d$ , it was shown in [12, Theorem 2.2] and [13, Theorem 2.4] that

$$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{d}} \|\mathbf{T}\| \le \omega(\mathbf{T}) \le \|\mathbf{T}\|_e \le \|\mathbf{T}\|.$$

The spectrum of an operator tuple also has many (nonequivalent) definitions. See, for instance, [9,48]. An operator *d*-tuple  $\mathbf{T} \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})^d$  is said to be Taylor invertible if its associated Koszul complex  $\mathcal{K}(\mathbf{T}, \mathcal{H})$  is exact. For d = 2, the Koszul complex  $\mathcal{K}(\mathbf{T}, \mathcal{H})$  associated with  $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, T_2)$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  is given by:

$$\mathcal{K}(\mathbf{T},\mathcal{H}): \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{H} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{T}} \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H} \xrightarrow{(-T_2 \ T_1)} \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow 0,$$
  
where  $\mathbf{T} = \begin{pmatrix} T_1 \\ T_2 \end{pmatrix}$ .

Let  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ . For  $T_1, \ldots, T_d \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , consider a *d*-tuple  $\mathbf{T} = \begin{pmatrix} T_1 \\ \vdots \\ T_d \end{pmatrix}$  as an

operator from  $\mathcal{H}$  into  $\mathcal{H} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{H}$ , that is,

$$\mathbf{T} = \begin{pmatrix} T_1 \\ \vdots \\ T_d \end{pmatrix} : \mathcal{H} \to \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{H} \\ \oplus \\ \mathcal{H} \\ \mathcal{H} \end{array}$$
(1.4)

We define (canonical) spherical polar decomposition of  $\mathbf{T}$  (cf. [25, 26, 37]) as

$$\mathbf{T} = \begin{pmatrix} T_1 \\ \vdots \\ T_d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} V_1 \\ \vdots \\ V_d \end{pmatrix} P = \begin{pmatrix} V_1 P \\ \vdots \\ V_d P \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{V}P,$$

where  $P := \sqrt{T_1^* T_1 + \dots + T_d^* T_d}$  is a positive operator on  $\mathcal{H}$ , and

$$\mathbf{V} = \begin{pmatrix} V_1 \\ \vdots \\ V_d \end{pmatrix} : \mathcal{H} \to \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{H} \\ \vdots \\ \mathcal{H} \\ \oplus \\ \mathcal{H} \end{array}$$

is a spherical partial isometry from  $\mathcal{H}$  into  $\mathcal{H} \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathcal{H}$ . In other words,  $V_1^*V_1 + \cdots + V_d^*V_d$  is the (orthogonal) projection onto the initial space of the partial

isometry  $\mathbf{V}$  which is

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{T})^{\perp} = \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{d} \mathcal{N}(T_i)\right)^{\perp} = \mathcal{N}(P)^{\perp} = \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{d} \mathcal{N}(V_i)\right)^{\perp}.$$

The following characterization of spherically quasinormal tuples in terms of the polar decomposition will be useful later.

**Theorem 1.1.** [46, Theorem 1.2] Let  $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, \ldots, T_d) \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})^d$  be a d-tuple of commuting operators. The following conditions are equivalent:

(i) **T** is spherically quasinormal;
(ii) PV = VP, where

	$ [V_1] $	0	•••	[0			$\lceil P \rceil$		[0
$\mathbb{V} =$	:	÷	•••	:	and	$\mathbb{P} =$	:	· · .	: .
	$V_d$	0	•••	0			0		P

Next, let us recall the multivariable analogues of the earlier mentioned operator transforms. For  $\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{V}P = (V_1P, \ldots, V_dP) \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})^d$ , the following concepts are introduced:

• spherical Aluthge transform:

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{T}} = (\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}_1, \dots, \widetilde{\mathbf{T}}_d) = (P^{\frac{1}{2}} V_1 P^{\frac{1}{2}}, \dots, P^{\frac{1}{2}} V_d P^{\frac{1}{2}});$$

• spherical Duggal transform:

$$\mathbf{T}^D = (\mathbf{T}_1^D, \dots, \mathbf{T}_d^D) = (PV_1, \dots, PV_d);$$

• spherical mean transform:

$$\widehat{\mathbf{T}} = \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{T} + \mathbf{T}^D);$$

• generalized spherical Aluthge transform:

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}(t) = (\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}_1(t), \dots, \widetilde{\mathbf{T}}_d(t)) = (P^t V_1 P^{1-t}, \dots, P^t V_d P^{1-t}),$$

for  $t \in [0, 1];$ 

• spherical Heinz transform:

$$\widehat{\mathbf{T}}(t) = \frac{1}{2}(\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}(t) + \widetilde{\mathbf{T}}(1-t)),$$

for  $t \in [0, 1]$ . In other words,  $\widehat{\mathbf{T}}(t) = (\widehat{\mathbf{T}}_1(t), \dots, \widehat{\mathbf{T}}_d(t))$ , where

$$\widehat{\mathbf{T}}_{i}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left( P^{t} V_{i} P^{1-t} + P^{1-t} V_{i} P^{t} \right), \quad i \in \{1, \dots, d\}.$$

Obviously,  $\widehat{\mathbf{T}}(t) = \widehat{\mathbf{T}}(1-t)$ ,  $\widehat{\mathbf{T}}(0) = \widehat{\mathbf{T}}(1) = \widehat{\mathbf{T}}$  and  $\widehat{\mathbf{T}}(\frac{1}{2}) = \widetilde{\mathbf{T}}$ .

For more details on the mentioned concepts, we refer the reader to [15, 25, 26, 31, 37, 45, 46]. Finally, we can extend the notion of the  $\lambda$ -mean transform to a multivariable setting, as well.

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{V}P = (V_1P, \dots, V_dP) \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})^d$  be the canonical spherical polar decomposition of a d-tuple  $\mathbf{T}$  and let  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ . The spherical  $\lambda$ -mean transform of  $\mathbf{T}$  is defined as

$$\mathbf{M}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{T}) = (\mathbf{M}_{\lambda}^{1}(\mathbf{T}), \dots, \mathbf{M}_{\lambda}^{d}(\mathbf{T})) = \lambda \mathbf{T} + (1 - \lambda) \mathbf{T}^{D}$$

In particular,  $\mathbf{M}_0(\mathbf{T}) = \mathbf{T}^D$  and  $\mathbf{M}_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{T}) = \widehat{\mathbf{T}}$ .

Note that many properties of the ordinary spherical mean transform (see [45]) also hold for the spherical  $\lambda$ -mean transform.

The organization of the paper is as follows. Section 2 presents several refinements of operator norm inequalities using the generalized spherical Aluthge transform and the spherical Heinz transform. Section 3 introduces the spherical Schatten *p*-norm for operator tuples and establishes related inequalities involving these transforms. Additionally, equality conditions for some of these inequalities are also discussed. In Section 4, we define the (joint) Schatten *p*-numerical radius and the Schatten hypo-*p*-norm for operator tuples, deriving several fundamental inequalities in this context.

## 2. Operator Norm inequalities

In this section, we have established some refinements of earlier results. For every unitarily invariant norm, we have the Heinz inequalities

$$2\left\|\left|A^{\frac{1}{2}}XB^{\frac{1}{2}}\right|\right\| \le \left\|\left|A^{\nu}XB^{1-\nu} + A^{1-\nu}XB^{\nu}\right|\right\| \le \left\|AX + XB\right\|,$$
(2.1)

for A, B, and X be operators on a complex separable Hilbert space such that A and B are positive and for  $0 \le \nu \le 1$ .

The following inequality is a refinement of the second inequality in (2.1), which follows from [39, Corollary 3]. Let A, B, and X be operators such that A and B are positive. Then for  $0 \le \nu \le 1$  and for every unitarily invariant norm,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left\| A^{\nu} X B^{1-\nu} + A^{1-\nu} X B^{\nu} \right\| \right\| &\leq 4r_0 \left\| \left\| A^{\frac{1}{2}} X B^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\| \right\| + (1-2r_0) \left\| A X + X B \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| \left\| A X + X B \right\| \right\|, \end{aligned}$$
(2.2)

where  $r_0 = \min\{\nu, 1 - \nu\}.$ 

In [38, Theorem 2], it was shown that for A, B are positive operators in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and  $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , the inequality

$$\left\| \left\| A^{\nu} X B^{1-\nu} \right\| \right\| \le \left\| \left\| A X \right\| \right\|^{\nu} \left\| X B \right\| \right\|^{1-\nu}, \tag{2.3}$$

holds for every  $\nu \in [0, 1]$ .

The following two results are refinements of [46, Theorem 4.4].

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, \ldots, T_d) \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})^d$ . Then

$$\|\widehat{\mathbf{T}}(t)\| \le 2r_0 \|\widehat{\mathbf{T}}\| + (1 - 2r_0) \|\widehat{\mathbf{T}}\| \le \|\widehat{\mathbf{T}}\| \le \|\mathbf{T}\|$$

for any  $t \in [0, 1]$ , where  $r_0 = \min\{t, 1 - t\}$ . In particular,

$$\|\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}\| \le \|\widehat{\mathbf{T}}\| \le \|\mathbf{T}\|$$

*Proof.* Let  $t \in [0,1]$  be arbitrary and  $\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{V}P = (V_1P, \ldots, V_dP)$  be the spherical polar decomposition of **T**. Let  $\mathbb{V}$  and  $\mathbb{P}$  be as in Theorem 1.1. By Lemma 1.2,

$$\|\widehat{\mathbf{T}}(t)\| = \frac{1}{2} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} P^{t}V_{1}P^{1-t} + P^{1-t}V_{1}P^{t} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ P^{t}V_{d}P^{1-t} + P^{1-t}V_{d}P^{t} & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbb{P}^{t}\mathbb{V}\mathbb{P}^{1-t} + \mathbb{P}^{1-t}\mathbb{V}\mathbb{P}^{t}\|.$$
(2.4)

Now, using (2.2), we have

$$\|\widehat{\mathbf{T}}(t)\| \leq 2r_0 \|\mathbb{P}^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{V} \mathbb{P}^{\frac{1}{2}}\| + \frac{(1-2r_0)}{2} \|\mathbb{P} \mathbb{V} + \mathbb{V} \mathbb{P}\|$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbb{P} \mathbb{V} + \mathbb{V} \mathbb{P}\| = \|\widehat{\mathbf{T}}\|.$$
(2.5)

Therefore,

$$2r_0 \|\mathbb{P}^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{V}\mathbb{P}^{\frac{1}{2}}\| + \frac{(1-2r_0)}{2} \|\mathbb{P}\mathbb{V} + \mathbb{V}\mathbb{P}\| = 2r_0 \|\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}\| + (1-2r_0)\|\widehat{\mathbf{T}}\|.$$
(2.6)

Using (2.5) and (2.6), we have

$$\|\widehat{\mathbf{T}}(t)\| \le 2r_0 \|\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}\| + (1 - 2r_0)\|\widehat{\mathbf{T}}\| \le \|\widehat{\mathbf{T}}\|.$$

Now using the inequality of [46, Theorem 4.4], we get

$$\|\widehat{\mathbf{T}}(t)\| \le 2r_0 \|\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}\| + (1 - 2r_0) \|\widehat{\mathbf{T}}\| \le \|\widehat{\mathbf{T}}\| \le \|\mathbf{T}\|.$$

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, \ldots, T_d) \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})^d$ . Then

$$\|\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}\| \le \|\widehat{\mathbf{T}}(t)\| \le \frac{1}{2} (\|\mathbf{T}^D\|^t \|\mathbf{T}\|^{1-t} + \|\mathbf{T}^D\|^{1-t} \|\mathbf{T}\|^t) \le \|\mathbf{T}\|$$
(2.7)

for any  $t \in [0, 1]$ . In particular,

$$\|\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}\| \le \|\widehat{\mathbf{T}}(t)\| \le \frac{1}{2}(\|\mathbf{T}^D\| + \|\mathbf{T}\|) \le \|\mathbf{T}\|.$$

*Proof.* Let  $t \in [0,1]$  be arbitrary and  $\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{V}P = (V_1P, \ldots, V_dP)$  be the spherical polar decomposition of  $\mathbf{T}$ . Using (2.4) and the triangle inequality, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\widehat{\mathbf{T}}(t)\| &= \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbb{P}^t \mathbb{V} \mathbb{P}^{1-t} + \mathbb{P}^{1-t} \mathbb{V} \mathbb{P}^t \| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbb{P}^t \mathbb{V} \mathbb{P}^{1-t}\| + \|\mathbb{P}^{1-t} \mathbb{V} \mathbb{P}^t\|. \end{aligned}$$

Now, using (2.3), we have

$$\|\widehat{\mathbf{T}}(t)\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbb{PV}\|^{t} \|\mathbb{VP}\|^{1-t} + \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbb{PV}\|^{1-t} \|\mathbb{VP}\|^{t} \\ = \frac{1}{2} (\|\mathbf{T}^{D}\|^{t} \|\mathbf{T}\|^{1-t} + \|\mathbf{T}^{D}\|^{1-t} \|\mathbf{T}\|^{t}).$$
(2.8)

Now,

$$\|\mathbf{T}^{D}\| = \|\mathbb{P}\mathbb{V}\| \le \|\mathbb{V}\|\|\mathbb{P}\| = \|\mathbf{T}\| \left\|\sum_{i=1}^{d} V_{i}^{*}V_{i}\right\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \le \|\mathbf{T}\|.$$
(2.9)

Using (2.8) and (2.9), we have

$$\|\widehat{\mathbf{T}}(t)\| \le \frac{1}{2} (\|\mathbf{T}^D\|^t \|\mathbf{T}\|^{1-t} + \|\mathbf{T}^D\|^{1-t} \|\mathbf{T}\|^t) \le \|\mathbf{T}\|.$$
(2.10)

Let  $A = B = \mathbb{P}, X = \mathbb{V}$  in the first inequality of (2.1), we obtain

$$\|\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}\| = \|\mathbb{P}^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathbb{V}\mathbb{P}^{\frac{1}{2}}\| \le \frac{1}{2}\|\mathbb{P}^{t}\mathbb{V}\mathbb{P}^{1-t} + \mathbb{P}^{1-t}\mathbb{V}\mathbb{P}^{t}\| = \|\widehat{\mathbf{T}}(t)\|$$
(2.11)

Now combining (2.10) and (2.11), we obtain

$$\|\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}\| \le \|\widehat{\mathbf{T}}(t)\| \le \frac{1}{2} (\|\mathbf{T}^D\|^t \|\mathbf{T}\|^{1-t} + \|\mathbf{T}^D\|^{1-t} \|\mathbf{T}\|^t) \le \|\mathbf{T}\|.$$

Inspired by [49, Theorem 3.2], we extend the one-dimensional case to a multivariable operator setting. More precisely, the following theorem is a generalization of [46, Eq. (4.5)]. (We emphasize that in [46], the hypo-norm of a tuple **T** is denoted by  $\|\mathbf{T}\|_{e}$ , which is here reserved for the Euclidean norm of **T**. We also remark that there is a typo in [46, Eq. (4.4)] and [46, Eq. (4.5)], as the right hand side of the second inequality in both equations should be  $\|\mathbf{T}\|$  instead of  $\|\mathbf{T}\|_{e}$ .)

Theorem 2.3. Let 
$$\mathbf{T} = (T_1, \dots, T_d) \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})^d$$
. Then for each  $0 \le \lambda \le 1$ ,  
 $2\sqrt{\lambda - \lambda^2} \|\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}\|_h \le \|\mathbf{M}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{T})\|_h \le \lambda \|\mathbf{T}\|_h + (1 - \lambda) \|\mathbf{T}^D\|_h \le \|\mathbf{T}\|.$  (2.12)

In particular, for  $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$ ,

$$\|\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}\|_h \le \|\widehat{\mathbf{T}}\|_h \le \|\mathbf{T}\|.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{V}P = (V_1P, \dots, V_dP)$  be the spherical polar decomposition of  $\mathbf{T}$ . It follows from (2.1) that

$$||A^{\frac{1}{2}}XB^{\frac{1}{2}}|| \le \left|\left|\frac{AX + XB}{2}\right|\right|,$$
 (2.13)

for positive operators A, B, and  $X \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . Let  $\boldsymbol{\mu} = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_d) \in \overline{\mathbb{B}}_d$  be arbitrary and let  $U_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} = \sum_{i=1}^d \mu_i V_i$ . Setting  $A = (1 - \lambda)P$ ,  $X = U_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$  and  $B = \lambda P$ in (2.13), we obtain

$$2\sqrt{\lambda - \lambda^2} \|P^{\frac{1}{2}} U_{\mu} P^{\frac{1}{2}}\| \le \|(1 - \lambda) P U_{\mu} + \lambda U_{\mu} P\|.$$
(2.14)

Next, note that

$$\mu_1 \widetilde{\mathbf{T}}_1 + \dots + \mu_d \widetilde{\mathbf{T}}_d = P^{\frac{1}{2}} U_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} P^{\frac{1}{2}},$$
  
$$\mu_1 \mathbf{M}_{\lambda}^1(\mathbf{T}) + \dots + \mu_d \mathbf{M}_{\lambda}^d(\mathbf{T}) = (1 - \lambda) P U_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} + \lambda U_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} P.$$

By taking the supremum over all  $(\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_d) \in \overline{\mathbb{B}}_d$  in (2.14), we have

$$2\sqrt{\lambda - \lambda^2} \|\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}\|_h \le \|\mathbf{M}_\lambda(\mathbf{T})\|_h.$$
(2.15)

Further, since  $\mathbf{M}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{T}) = \lambda \mathbf{T} + (1 - \lambda) \mathbf{T}^{D}$ , and using the fact  $\|\mathbf{T}^{D}\|_{h} \leq \|\mathbf{T}^{D}\| \leq \|\mathbf{T}\|$ , we have

$$\|\mathbf{M}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{T})\|_{h} \leq \lambda \|\mathbf{T}\|_{h} + (1-\lambda)\|\mathbf{T}^{D}\|_{h} \leq \|\mathbf{T}\|.$$
(2.16)

Now, (2.12) follows from (2.15) and (2.16).

Using a similar technique, we obtain the following theorem, which is a refinement of [46, Theorem 4.5].

**Theorem 2.4.** Let 
$$\mathbf{T} = (T_1, \dots, T_d) \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})^d$$
. Then  
 $\|\widehat{\mathbf{T}}(t)\|_{1} \leq 2r_2 \|\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}\|_{1} + (1 - 2r_2)\|\widehat{\mathbf{T}}\|_{1} \leq \|\widehat{\mathbf{T}}\|_{1} \leq \|\mathbf{T}\|_{1}$ 

$$|\mathbf{T}(t)||_h \le 2r_0 ||\mathbf{T}||_h + (1 - 2r_0) ||\mathbf{T}||_h \le ||\mathbf{T}||_h \le ||\mathbf{T}||_h$$

for any  $t \in [0, 1]$ , where  $r_0 = \min\{t, 1 - t\}$ .

In particular,

$$\|\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}\|_h \le \|\widehat{\mathbf{T}}\|_h \le \|\mathbf{T}\|.$$

In a similar way as in Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.3, we can prove the following operator norm inequalities, which is a generalization of [46, Eq. (4.2)].

**Theorem 2.5.** Let  $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, \dots, T_d) \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})^d$ . Then for each  $0 \le \lambda \le 1$ ,  $2\sqrt{\lambda - \lambda^2} \|\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}\| \le \|\mathbf{M}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{T})\| \le \lambda \|\mathbf{T}\| + (1 - \lambda) \|\mathbf{T}^D\| \le \|\mathbf{T}\|.$ 

$$2\sqrt{\lambda} - \lambda^2 \|\mathbf{T}\| \le \|\mathbf{M}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{T})\| \le \lambda \|\mathbf{T}\| + (1-\lambda)\|\mathbf{T}^D\| \le \|\mathbf{T}\|.$$

In particular, for  $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$ ,

$$\|\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}\| \leq \|\widehat{\mathbf{T}}\| \leq \|\mathbf{T}\|.$$

The following theorem follows from the same method as used in [46] and from [1, Theorem 6].

**Theorem 2.6.** Let  $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, \ldots, T_d) \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})^d$ . Then  $\omega(\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}) \leq \omega(\widehat{\mathbf{T}}(t)) \leq \omega(\widehat{\mathbf{T}}),$ 

for any  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

**Theorem 2.7.** Let  $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, \ldots, T_d) \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})^d$ . The following conditions are equivalent:

(i)  $\mathbf{T}^2 = \mathbf{0}$ ; (ii)  $\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}(t) = \mathbf{0}$  for each  $t \in (0, 1]$ ; (iii)  $\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}(t) = \mathbf{0}$  for some  $t \in (0, 1]$ ; (iv)  $\widehat{\mathbf{T}}(t) = \mathbf{0}$  for each  $t \in (0, 1)$ ; (v)  $\widehat{\mathbf{T}}(t) = \mathbf{0}$  for some  $t \in (0, 1)$ .

*Proof.* The equivalence  $(i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii)$  follows directly from [46, Theorem 2.7]. The implications  $(ii) \Rightarrow (iv) \Rightarrow (v)$  are also obvious. Now assume that  $\widehat{\mathbf{T}}(t) = \mathbf{0}$  for some  $t \in (0, 1)$ . By (2.7), we have that

$$\|\widehat{\mathbf{T}}\| \le \|\widehat{\mathbf{T}}(t)\| = 0,$$

i.e.,  $\widetilde{\mathbf{T}} = 0$ , showing that *(iii)* holds.

**Remark 2.1.** Note that the case t = 0 in the previous theorem must be considered separately. In fact, it was shown in [45, Corollary 2.3] that

$$\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{0} \iff \widehat{\mathbf{T}} = \mathbf{0}$$

We also mention that  $\lambda = 0$  in [4, Proposition 3.2] should be excluded.

# 3. Spherical Schatten *p*-norm inequalities

Let  $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, \dots, T_d) \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})^d$  and  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . By treating  $\mathbf{T}$  as an operator column given by (1.4), we can naturally say that the operator *d*-tuple  $\mathbf{T}$  belongs to the Schatten *p*-class if  $\begin{pmatrix} T_1 \\ \vdots \\ T_d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{C}_p(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}^d)$ , i.e., if  $\operatorname{tr}(P^p) < \infty$ .

where  $P = \sqrt{T_1^* T_1 + \cdots + T_d^* T_d}$ . We shall simply write  $\mathbf{T} \in \mathfrak{C}_p^d(\mathcal{H})$ .

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $\mathbf{T} \in \mathfrak{C}_p^d(\mathcal{H})$ . The (spherical) Schatten p-norm of  $\mathbf{T}$ ,  $\|\mathbf{T}\|_{s,p}$ , is defined as

$$\left\|\mathbf{T}\right\|_{s,p} := \left[\operatorname{tr}\left(P^{p}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

The following elementary lemma provides another way of looking at the quantity  $\|\mathbf{T}\|_{s,p}$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** Let  $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, \ldots, T_d) \in \mathfrak{C}_p^d(\mathcal{H})$  and  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . Then  $\mathbb{T} \in \mathfrak{C}_p(\mathcal{H}^d)$ and

$$\|\mathbf{T}\|_{s,p} = \|P\|_p = \|\mathbb{T}\|_p,$$

where  $\mathbb{T}$  is given by (1.2).

*Proof.* By direct computation, we have

$$\begin{split} \|\mathbb{T}\|_{p} &= \left\| \begin{bmatrix} T_{1} & 0 & \cdots & 0\\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots\\ T_{d} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{p} \\ &= \left[ \operatorname{tr} \left( \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{T_{1}^{*}T_{1} + \cdots + T_{d}^{*}T_{d}} & & \\ & & 0 & \\ & & & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{p} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \left[ \operatorname{tr} \left( P^{p} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} = \|\mathbf{T}\|_{p} \,. \end{split}$$

The first equality is obvious.

We will also need the following simple result.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $T_1, \ldots, T_d \in \mathfrak{C}_p(\mathcal{H})$ . Then

$$\|T_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus T_d\|_p = \left(\sum_{i=1}^d \|T_i\|_p^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

*Proof.* By the definition of *p*-norm,

$$\|T_1 \oplus \dots \oplus T_d\|_p = \left\| \begin{bmatrix} T_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & T_d \end{bmatrix} \right\|_p = \left[ \operatorname{tr} \left( \begin{bmatrix} |T_1|^p & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & |T_d|^p \end{bmatrix} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}$$
$$= \left( \sum_{i=1}^d \operatorname{tr} \left( |T_i|^p \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \left( \sum_{i=1}^d \|T_i\|_p^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Note that if  $\mathbf{T} \in \mathfrak{C}_p^d(\mathcal{H})$ , then in particular, the operator column given in (1.4) is compact, and thus  $T_i$  is compact for each  $i \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$ . A natural question arises whether each coordinate operator belongs to  $\mathfrak{C}_p(\mathcal{H})$ . The following theorem provides an affirmative answer to this question.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, \ldots, T_d) = (V_1 P, \ldots, V_d P) \in \mathfrak{C}_p^d(\mathcal{H})$ . Then  $T_i \in \mathfrak{C}_p(\mathcal{H})$  and

$$\|T_i\|_p \le \|\mathbf{T}\|_{s,p}$$

for each  $i \in \{1, ..., d\}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $i \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$  be arbitrary. Since  $V_i^* V_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^d V_i^* V_i \leq I$ , we have that  $\|V_i\| \leq 1$ , and thus

$$||T_i||_p = ||V_iP||_p \le ||V_i|| ||P||_p \le ||P||_p$$

The conclusion now follows from Lemma 3.1.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{V}P = (V_1P, \dots, V_dP) \in \mathfrak{C}_p^d(\mathcal{H})$ . Then  $\mathbf{M}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{T}) \in \mathfrak{C}_p^d(\mathcal{H})$  for each  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ , and

$$\|\mathbf{M}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{T})\|_{s,p} \le (\lambda + (1-\lambda)\sqrt[p]{d}) \|\mathbf{T}\|_{s,p}.$$
(3.1)

In particular,

$$\|\mathbf{T}^{D}\|_{s,p} \leq \sqrt[p]{d} \|\mathbf{T}\|_{s,p} \quad and \quad \|\widehat{\mathbf{T}}\|_{s,p} \leq \frac{1+\sqrt[p]{d}}{2} \|\mathbf{T}\|_{s,p}.$$
(3.2)

*Proof.* Using the triangle inequality for the Schatten *p*-norm, and the fact that  $||TS||_p \leq ||T||_p ||S||$ , we have

$$\begin{split} \|\mathbf{M}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{T})\|_{s,p} &= \left\|\lambda\mathbf{T} + (1-\lambda)\mathbf{T}^{D}\right\|_{s,p} \\ &= \left\|\lambda\mathbb{VP} + (1-\lambda)\mathbb{PV}\right\|_{p} \\ &\leq \lambda \left\|\mathbb{VP}\right\|_{p} + (1-\lambda)\left\|\mathbb{PV}\right\|_{p} \\ &\leq \lambda \left\|\mathbb{T}\right\|_{p} + (1-\lambda)\left\|\mathbb{P}\right\|_{p}\left\|\mathbb{V}\right\|. \end{split}$$

Since  $\sum_{i=1}^{d} V_i^* V_i$  is the orthogonal projection onto  $\overline{\mathcal{R}(P)}$ , Lemma 1.1 and Lemma 1.2 imply that

$$\|\mathbb{V}\| = \|\mathbf{V}\| = \left\|\sum_{i=1}^{d} V_i^* V_i\right\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \le 1$$

Furthermore, by Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2, we have that  $\|\mathbb{T}\|_p = \|\mathbf{T}\|_{s,p}$ , and

$$\|\mathbb{P}\|_{p} = \|P \oplus \cdots \oplus P\|_{p} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{d} \|P\|_{p}^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \sqrt[p]{d} \|P\|_{p} = \sqrt[p]{d} \|\mathbf{T}\|_{s,p}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{split} \|\mathbf{M}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{T})\|_{s,p} &\leq \lambda \, \|\mathbb{T}\|_{p} + (1-\lambda) \, \|\mathbb{P}\|_{p} \, \|\mathbb{V}\| \\ &\leq \lambda \, \|\mathbf{T}\|_{s,p} + (1-\lambda) \sqrt[p]{d} \, \|\mathbf{T}\|_{s,p} \\ &= (\lambda + (1-\lambda) \sqrt[p]{d}) \, \|\mathbf{T}\|_{s,p} \,, \end{split}$$

showing that (3.1) holds.

In the case when p = 2 and dim  $(\mathcal{H}) < \infty$ , we also have the following estimates. **Lemma 3.3.** Let  $\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{V}P = (V_1P, \ldots, V_dP)$  be a d-tuple of  $n \times n$  complex matrices. Then

$$\left\|\mathbf{T}^{D}\right\|_{s,2} \le \sqrt{n} \left\|\mathbf{T}\right\|_{s,2}$$

*Proof.* Since  $\mathbf{T}^D = (PV_1, \ldots, PV_d)$ , we have that  $(\mathbf{T}^D)^* \mathbf{T}^D = \sum_{i=1}^d V_i^* P^2 V_i$ , and thus

$$\|\mathbf{T}^{D}\|_{s,2}^{2} = \operatorname{tr}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{d} V_{i}^{*} P^{2} V_{i}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \operatorname{tr}\left(V_{i}^{*} P^{2} V_{i}\right)$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{d} \operatorname{tr}\left(P^{2} V_{i} V_{i}^{*}\right) = \operatorname{tr}\left(P^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{d} V_{i} V_{i}^{*}\right)$$

Since  $tr(AB) \leq tr(A)tr(B)$  for positive semi-definite matrices A and B, it follow that

$$\left\|\mathbf{T}^{D}\right\|_{s,2}^{2} \leq \operatorname{tr}\left(P^{2}\right)\operatorname{tr}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{d}V_{i}V_{i}^{*}\right).$$
(3.3)

Now, since  $\sum_{i=1}^{d} V_i^* V_i \leq I$ , using the monotonicity of the trace functional, we have

$$\operatorname{tr}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{d} V_{i}V_{i}^{*}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \operatorname{tr}\left(V_{i}V_{i}^{*}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \operatorname{tr}\left(V_{i}^{*}V_{i}\right)$$
$$= \operatorname{tr}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{d} V_{i}^{*}V_{i}\right) \leq \operatorname{tr}\left(I\right) = n.$$

Furthermore, since  $\|\mathbf{T}\|_{s,2}^2 = \operatorname{tr}(P^2)$ , (3.3) implies that

$$\left\|\mathbf{T}^{D}\right\|_{s,2}^{2} \leq n \left\|\mathbf{T}\right\|_{s,2}^{2},$$

and thus,

$$\left\|\mathbf{T}^{D}\right\|_{s,2} \le \sqrt{n} \left\|\mathbf{T}\right\|_{s,2}$$

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, \ldots, T_d)$  be a d-tuple of  $n \times n$  complex matrices. Then

$$\|\mathbf{M}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{T})\|_{s,2} \le (\lambda + (1-\lambda)\sqrt{\min\{n,d\}}) \|\mathbf{T}\|_{s,2}.$$

In particular,

$$\|\widehat{\mathbf{T}}\|_{s,2} \le \frac{1+\sqrt{\min\{n,d\}}}{2} \|\mathbf{T}\|_{s,2}.$$

*Proof.* It follows from Theorem 3.3 that

$$\begin{split} \|\mathbf{M}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{T})\|_{s,2} &\leq \left\|\lambda\mathbf{T} + (1-\lambda)\mathbf{T}^{D}\right\|_{s,2} \\ &\leq \lambda \left\|\mathbf{T}\right\|_{s,2} + (1-\lambda) \left\|\mathbf{T}^{D}\right\|_{s,2} \\ &\leq (\lambda + (1-\lambda)\sqrt{n}) \left\|\mathbf{T}\right\|_{s,2}. \end{split}$$

The conclusion now follows by combining the previous inequality with (3.1).  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 3.4.** Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, \ldots, T_d) \in \mathfrak{C}_p^d(\mathcal{H})$ . Then  $\widehat{\mathbf{T}}(t) \in \mathfrak{C}_p^d(\mathcal{H})$  for each  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ , and

$$\|\widehat{\mathbf{T}}(t)\|_{s,p} \le 2r_0 \|\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}\|_{s,p} + (1 - 2r_0) \|\widehat{\mathbf{T}}\|_{s,p} \le \|\widehat{\mathbf{T}}\|_{s,p} \le \frac{1 + \sqrt[p]{d}}{2} \|\mathbf{T}\|_{s,p}, \qquad (3.4)$$

where  $r_0 = \min\{t, 1-t\}.$ 

In particular,

$$\|\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}\|_{s,p} \le \|\widehat{\mathbf{T}}\|_{s,p} \le \frac{1+\sqrt[p]{d}}{2} \|\mathbf{T}\|_{s,p}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $t \in [0, 1]$  be arbitrary and  $\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{V}P = (V_1P, \ldots, V_dP)$  be the spherical polar decomposition of  $\mathbf{T}$ . Using Lemma 3.1, we have that

$$\|\widehat{\mathbf{T}}(t)\|_{s,p} = \frac{1}{2} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} P^{t}V_{1}P^{1-t} + P^{1-t}V_{1}P^{t} & 0 & \dots & 0\\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ P^{t}V_{d}P^{1-t} + P^{1-t}V_{d}P^{t} & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{p}$$
(3.5)  
$$= \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbb{P}^{t}\mathbb{V}\mathbb{P}^{1-t} + \mathbb{P}^{1-t}\mathbb{V}\mathbb{P}^{t}\|_{p}.$$

Now, as in the proof of Theorem 2.1 we conclude that the first two inequalities hold (3.4), while the last one follows from (3.2).

In a similar fashion, we can prove the following p-norm analogue of Theorem 2.2.

**Theorem 3.5.** Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, \ldots, T_d) \in \mathfrak{C}_p^d(\mathcal{H})$ . Then

$$\|\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}\|_{s,p} \le \|\widehat{\mathbf{T}}(t)\|_{s,p} \le \frac{1}{2} (\|\mathbf{T}^D\|_{s,p}^t \|\mathbf{T}\|_{s,p}^{1-t} + \|\mathbf{T}^D\|_{s,p}^{1-t} \|\mathbf{T}\|_{s,p}^t) \le \frac{d^{\frac{t}{p}} + d^{\frac{1-t}{p}}}{2} \|\mathbf{T}\|_{s,p}$$

for any  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

In particular,

$$\|\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}\|_{s,p} \le \|\widehat{\mathbf{T}}(t)\|_{s,p} \le \frac{1}{2} (\|\mathbf{T}^D\|_{s,p} + \|\mathbf{T}\|_{s,p}) \le \frac{1 + \sqrt[p]{d}}{2} \|\mathbf{T}\|_{s,p}$$

By combining Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 3.5, we obtain the following corollary:

Corollary 3.1. Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, \ldots, T_d) \in \mathfrak{C}_p^d(\mathcal{H})$ . Then

$$\|\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}\|_{s,p} \le \|\widehat{\mathbf{T}}(t)\|_{s,p} \le \|\widehat{\mathbf{T}}\|_{s,p} \le \frac{1+\sqrt[p]{d}}{2} \|\mathbf{T}\|_{s,p}.$$
(3.6)

for any  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

Recall the following theorems from [39], which consider the equality conditions in (2.1).

**Theorem 3.6.** [39, Theorem 5] Let A, B, and X be operators such that A and B are positive, and let 1 . Then

$$||A^{\nu}XB^{1-\nu} + A^{1-\nu}XB^{\nu}||_p = ||AX + XB||_p$$

for some  $\nu$  with  $0 < \nu < 1$  if and only if AX = XB.

**Theorem 3.7.** [39, Theorem 6] Let A, B, and X be operators such that A and B are positive and invertible, and let 1 . Then

$$2 \left\| A^{1/2} X B^{1/2} \right\|_p = \left\| A^{\nu} X B^{1-\nu} + A^{1-\nu} X B^{\nu} \right\|_p$$

for some  $\nu$  with  $0 < \nu < 1$ ,  $v \neq \frac{1}{2}$ , if and only if AX = XB.

The following result provides a necessary and sufficient condition for the second inequality of (3.6) to hold.

**Theorem 3.8.** Let  $1 and let <math>\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{V}P = (V_1P, \ldots, V_dP) \in \mathfrak{C}_p^d(\mathcal{H})$  be a commuting d-tuple. Then

$$\|\widehat{\mathbf{T}}(t)\|_{s,p} = \|\widehat{\mathbf{T}}\|_{s,p} \quad (t \in (0,1)),$$
(3.7)

if and only if  $\mathbf{T}$  is normal.

*Proof.* If **T** is normal, then it is spherically quasinormal. Using [27, Lemma 2.1], we have that  $V_iP = PV_i$ ,  $i \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$ . From here, it is obvious that  $\widehat{\mathbf{T}}(t) = \mathbf{T}$  for any  $t \in [0, 1]$ . In particular,  $\widehat{\mathbf{T}}(t) = \widehat{\mathbf{T}}$ , showing that (3.7) holds.

Now assume that (3.7) is true. It follows from (3.5) that for any  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$\|\widehat{\mathbf{T}}(t)\|_{s,p} = \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbb{P}^t \mathbb{V} \mathbb{P}^{1-t} + \mathbb{P}^{1-t} \mathbb{V} \mathbb{P}^t\|_p.$$

Hence,  $\|\widehat{\mathbf{T}}(t)\|_{s,p} = \|\widehat{\mathbf{T}}\|_{s,p}$  is equivalent with  $\|\mathbb{P}^t \mathbb{V}\mathbb{P}^{1-t} + \mathbb{P}^{1-t} \mathbb{V}\mathbb{P}^t\|_p = \|\mathbb{P}\mathbb{V} + \mathbb{V}\mathbb{P}\|_p.$ 

By Theorem 3.6, we have that  $\mathbb{PV} = \mathbb{VP}$ . Now, Theorem 1.1 yields the spherical quasinormality of **T**. Consequently, **T** is jointly hyponormal, and in particular, for each  $i \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$ ,  $T_i$  is hyponormal. We also have that  $T_i$  is compact. Since every compact hyponormal operator is in fact normal (see [20, Corollary 4.9]), we obtain that  $T_i$  is normal for each  $i \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$ , showing that **T** is normal.

In a similar fashion, we consider the first inequality in (3.6). Note that due to an invertibility condition, we are restricted to a finite-dimensional case.

**Theorem 3.9.** Let  $1 and let <math>\mathbf{T} = (T_1, \ldots, T_d)$  be a d-tuple of commuting complex matrices. If  $\mathbf{T}$  is Taylor invertible, then

$$\|\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}\|_{s,p} = \|\widehat{\mathbf{T}}(t)\|_{s,p} \quad \left(t \in (0,1), t \neq \frac{1}{2}\right),$$

if and only if  $\mathbf{T}$  is normal.

*Proof.* Since **T** is Taylor invertible, [21, Corollary 3.6] implies that P is invertible. Consequently,  $\mathbb{P}$  is also invertible. The proof now follows from Theorem 3.7 and by using the similar lines of arguments as in the proof of Theorem 3.8.

# 4. JOINT SCHATTEN *p*-NUMERICAL RADIUS INEQUALITIES

Following the work of [2], we give the following definition:

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, \ldots, T_d) \in \mathfrak{C}_p^d(\mathcal{H})$ . The (joint) Schatten p-numerical radius of  $\mathbf{T}$ ,  $\omega_{s,p}(\mathbf{T})$ , is defined as

$$\omega_{s,p}(\mathbf{T}) := \sup_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \overline{\mathbb{B}_d}} \omega_p \left( \lambda_1 T_1 + \dots + \lambda_d T_d \right),$$

where  $\mathbb{B}_d$  is given by (1.3) and  $\omega_p(T) = \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \left\| \Re\left(e^{i\theta}T\right) \right\|_p$ ,  $T \in \mathfrak{C}_p(\mathcal{H})$ . In other words,

$$\omega_{s,p}(\mathbf{T}) = \sup_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \overline{\mathbb{B}_d}} \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \left\| \Re \left[ e^{i\theta} (\lambda_1 T_1 + \dots + \lambda_d T_d) \right] \right\|_p.$$

We also define the following quantity:

**Definition 4.2.** Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, \ldots, T_d) \in \mathfrak{C}_p^d(\mathcal{H})$ . The Schatten hypo-p-norm of  $\mathbf{T}$ ,  $\|\mathbf{T}\|_{s,h,p}$ , is defined as

$$\|\mathbf{T}\|_{s,h,p} := \sup_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \overline{\mathbb{B}_d}} \|\lambda_1 T_1 + \dots + \lambda_d T_d\|_p.$$

The following theorem shows that the previously introduced notions are welldefined and provides fundamental relations between them.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, \ldots, T_d) = (V_1P, \ldots, V_dP) \in \mathfrak{C}_p^d(\mathcal{H})$ . Then

$$\omega_{s,p}(\mathbf{T}) \le \|\mathbf{T}\|_{s,h,p} \le \|\mathbf{T}\|_{s,p}.$$
(4.1)

*Proof.* Let us first show the second inequality in (4.1). Let  $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_d) \in \mathbb{B}_d$  be arbitrary and let  $U_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} = \sum_{i=1}^d \lambda_i V_i$ . Then

$$\lambda_1 T_1 + \dots + \lambda_d T_d = U_{\lambda} P.$$

Note that [3, Theorem 17] applied to  $A_i = \lambda_i I$  and  $B_i = V_i$ ,  $i \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$ , yields

$$||U_{\lambda}|| = \left\|\sum_{i=1}^{d} \lambda_i V_i\right\| \le \left(\sum_{i=1}^{d} |\lambda_i|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\|\sum_{i=1}^{d} |V_i|^2\right\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \le 1,$$

since  $\lambda \in \mathbb{B}_d$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^d V_i^* V_i \leq I$ . Thus, using Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\|\lambda_1 T_1 + \dots + \lambda_d T_d\|_p = \|U_{\lambda} P\|_p \le \|U_{\lambda}\| \|P\|_p \le \|\mathbf{T}\|_{s,p}.$$

Therefore,

$$\|\mathbf{T}\|_{s,h,p} = \sup_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \overline{\mathbb{B}_d}} \|\lambda_1 T_1 + \dots + \lambda_d T_d\|_p \le \|\mathbf{T}\|_{s,p},$$

Since  $||T||_p = ||T^*||_p$  for any operator  $\mathfrak{C}_p(\mathcal{H})$ , using [1, Theorem 2], it follows that

 $\omega_p \left( \lambda_1 T_1 + \dots + \lambda_d T_d \right) \le \left\| \lambda_1 T_1 + \dots + \lambda_d T_d \right\|_p$ 

From here,

$$\sup_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\in\overline{\mathbb{B}_d}}\omega_p\left(\lambda_1T_1+\cdots+\lambda_dT_d\right)\leq \sup_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\in\overline{\mathbb{B}_d}}\left\|\lambda_1T_1+\cdots+\lambda_dT_d\right\|_p$$

i.e.,

$$\omega_{s,p}(\mathbf{T}) \le \|\mathbf{T}\|_{s,h,p}$$

This completes the proof.

**Remark 4.1.** Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . Since  $||T||_p = ||T^*||_p$  and  $\Re(T) = \Re(T^*)$  for any  $T \in \mathfrak{C}_p(\mathcal{H})$ , it is easy to see that

$$\left\|\mathbf{T}\right\|_{s,h,p} = \left\|\mathbf{T}^*\right\|_{s,h,p} \quad and \quad \omega_{s,p}(\mathbf{T}) = \omega_{s,p}(\mathbf{T}^*)$$

for  $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, \ldots, T_d) \in \mathfrak{C}_p^d(\mathcal{H})$ . In the case p = 2, using the commutativity of the trace, we also have that

$$\|\mathbf{T}\|_{s,2}^{2} = \operatorname{tr}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{d} T_{i}^{*}T_{i}\right) = \operatorname{tr}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{d} T_{i}T_{i}^{*}\right) = \|\mathbf{T}^{*}\|_{s,2}^{2}.$$

However, the previous equality does not always hold for  $\|\cdot\|_{s,p}$ ,  $p \neq 2$  (we can take **T** as in Example 4.1 below). This complements a similar remark regarding the operator norm and the hypo-norm of operator tuples.

In order to prove our next result, we need the following useful lemma. It follows from [35, Lemma 2.1], by choosing the function  $f(t) = t^r$ , which is concave (convex) for 0 < r < 1  $(r \ge 1)$  on  $[0, \infty)$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** [35, Lemma 2.1] Let  $A_k \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ ,  $k \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$ , be positive operators. Then, for every unitarily invariant norm

$$\left\| \left( \sum_{k=1}^{d} A_k \right)^r \right\| \le \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{d} A_k^r \right\|, \tag{4.2}$$

for 0 < r < 1 and

$$\left\| \left( \sum_{k=1}^{d} A_k \right)^r \right\| \le d^{r-1} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{d} A_k^r \right\|, \tag{4.3}$$

for  $r \geq 1$ .

**Theorem 4.2.** Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, \ldots, T_d) \in \mathfrak{C}_p^d(\mathcal{H})$ . Then

$$\frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{T}\|_{s,h,p} \le \omega_{s,p}(\mathbf{T}) \le \|\mathbf{T}\|_{s,h,p}$$

Moreover,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt[p]{d}} \|\mathbf{T}\|_{s,p} \le \|\mathbf{T}\|_{s,h,p} \le \|\mathbf{T}\|_{s,p} \tag{4.4}$$

for  $1 \le p < 2$ , and

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \|\mathbf{T}\|_{s,p} \le \|\mathbf{T}\|_{s,h,p} \le \|\mathbf{T}\|_{s,p}$$
(4.5)

for  $2 \leq p < \infty$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_d) \in \mathbb{B}_d$  be arbitrary. Using [1, Theorem 2] again, we have that

$$\frac{1}{2} \left\| \lambda_1 T_1 + \dots + \lambda_d T_d \right\|_p \le \omega_p \left( \lambda_1 T_1 + \dots + \lambda_d T_d \right)$$

and therefore,

$$\frac{1}{2} \left\| \mathbf{T} \right\|_{s,h,p} = \frac{1}{2} \sup_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathbb{B}_d} \left\| \lambda_1 T_1 + \dots + \lambda_d T_d \right\|_p \le \sup_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathbb{B}_d} \omega_p \left( \lambda_1 T_1 + \dots + \lambda_d T_d \right) = \omega_{s,p}(\mathbf{T}).$$

Now assume that  $1 \le p < 2$ . Note that for each  $i \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$ , we have that

$$\left\|\mathbf{T}\right\|_{s,h,p} = \sup_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \overline{\mathbb{B}_d}} \left\|\lambda_1 T_1 + \dots + \lambda_d T_d\right\|_p \ge \left\|T_i\right\|_p,$$

and thus,

$$d \|\mathbf{T}\|_{s,h,p}^{p} \ge \sum_{i=1}^{d} \|T_{i}\|_{p}^{p}.$$
(4.6)

Now, since  $\frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{p}{2} < 1$ , inequality (4.2) implies that

$$\|P\|_{p}^{p} = \left\| \left( \sum_{i=1}^{d} T_{i}^{*}T_{i} \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right\|_{1} \leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{d} (T_{i}^{*}T_{i})^{\frac{p}{2}} \right\|_{1}$$
$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{d} \|(T_{i}^{*}T_{i})^{\frac{p}{2}}\|_{1} = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \||T_{i}||_{p}^{p}$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{d} \|T_{i}\|_{p}^{p}.$$

It now follows from Lemma 3.1 and (4.6) that

$$d \left\| \mathbf{T} \right\|_{s,h,p}^{p} \ge \left\| \mathbf{T} \right\|_{s,p}^{p},$$

i.e.,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt[p]{d}} \|\mathbf{T}\|_{s,p} \le \|\mathbf{T}\|_{s,h,p}.$$

To prove the complementary inequality, assume that  $2 \le p < \infty$ . Now, since  $\frac{p}{2} \ge 1$ , inequality (4.3) implies that

$$\begin{split} \|P\|_{p}^{p} &= \left\| \left( \sum_{i=1}^{d} T_{i}^{*} T_{i} \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right\|_{1} \leq d^{\frac{p}{2}-1} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{d} (T_{i}^{*} T_{i})^{\frac{p}{2}} \right\|_{1} \\ &\leq d^{\frac{p}{2}-1} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \| (T_{i}^{*} T_{i})^{\frac{p}{2}} \|_{1} = d^{\frac{p}{2}-1} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \| |T_{i}|^{p} \|_{1} \\ &= d^{\frac{p}{2}-1} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \| T_{i} \|_{p}^{p}. \end{split}$$

From here,

$$d \|\mathbf{T}\|_{s,h,p}^{p} \ge \frac{1}{d^{\frac{p}{2}-1}} \|\mathbf{T}\|_{s,p}^{p},$$

i.e.,

$$\frac{1}{d^{\frac{p}{2}}} \|\mathbf{T}\|_{s,p}^p \le \|\mathbf{T}\|_{s,h,p}^p.$$

This completes the proof.

Corollary 4.1. Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, \ldots, T_d) \in \mathfrak{C}_p^d(\mathcal{H})$ . Then

$$\frac{1}{2\sqrt[p]{d}} \|\mathbf{T}\|_{s,p} \le \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{T}\|_{s,h,p} \le \omega_{s,p}(\mathbf{T}) \le \|\mathbf{T}\|_{s,h,p} \le \|\mathbf{T}\|_{s,p}$$

for  $1 \le p < 2$ , and

$$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{d}} \|\mathbf{T}\|_{s,p} \le \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{T}\|_{s,h,p} \le \omega_{s,p}(\mathbf{T}) \le \|\mathbf{T}\|_{s,h,p} \le \|\mathbf{T}\|_{s,p}$$

for  $2 \leq p < \infty$ .

By using [1, Theorem 8] and a similar argumentation as in the proof of Theorem 4.1, we have that the following better estimate holds in the case p = 2.

Corollary 4.2. Let  $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, \dots, T_d) \in \mathfrak{C}_2^d(\mathcal{H})$ . Then  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2d}} \|\mathbf{T}\|_{s,2} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \|\mathbf{T}\|_{s,h,2} \leq \omega_{s,2}(\mathbf{T}) \leq \|\mathbf{T}\|_{s,h,2} \leq \|\mathbf{T}\|_{s,2}.$ 

**Example 4.1.** Note that the first inequality in (4.5) is sharp. Indeed, let  $p \ge 2$  be arbitrary and let  $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, T_2)$ , where  $T_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $T_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . Then

$$P = \sqrt{T_1^* T_1 + T_2^* T_2} = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

and thus,

$$\|\mathbf{T}\|_{s,p}^p = \operatorname{tr}(P^p) = 2^{\frac{p}{2}}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{split} \|\mathbf{T}\|_{s,h,p}^{p} &= \sup_{|\lambda_{1}|^{2} + |\lambda_{2}|^{2} = 1} \|\lambda_{1}T_{1} + \lambda_{2}T_{2}\|_{p}^{p} = \sup_{|\lambda_{1}|^{2} + |\lambda_{2}|^{2} = 1} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{1} & 0\\ \lambda_{2} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{p}^{p} \\ &= \sup_{|\lambda_{1}|^{2} + |\lambda_{2}|^{2} = 1} \operatorname{tr} \left( \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{|\lambda_{1}|^{2} + |\lambda_{2}|^{2}} & 0\\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{p} \right) \\ &= \sup_{|\lambda_{1}|^{2} + |\lambda_{2}|^{2} = 1} \left( |\lambda_{1}|^{2} + |\lambda_{2}|^{2} \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} = 1. \end{split}$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \|\mathbf{T}\|_{s,p} = 1 = \|\mathbf{T}\|_{s,h,p}.$$

**Example 4.2.** Let  $p \ge 1$  and let  $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, T_2)$ , where  $T_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $T_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ . Then

$$P = \sqrt{T_1^* T_1 + T_2^* T_2} = I,$$

and therefore,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt[p]{2}} \|\mathbf{T}\|_{s,p} = \frac{1}{2^{\frac{1}{p}}} \left[ \operatorname{tr} \left( P^p \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} = \frac{1}{2^{\frac{1}{p}}} \cdot 2^{\frac{1}{p}} = 1.$$

On the other hand,

$$\|\mathbf{T}\|_{s,h,p} = \sup_{|\lambda_1|^2 + |\lambda_2|^2 = 1} \|\lambda_1 T_1 + \lambda_2 T_2\|_p = \sup_{|\lambda_1|^2 + |\lambda_2|^2 = 1} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0\\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_p$$
$$= \sup_{|\lambda_1|^2 + |\lambda_2|^2 = 1} (|\lambda_1|^p + |\lambda_2|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} = 1.$$

This shows that the first inequality in (4.4) is sharp and the equality can hold for any  $p \ge 1$ .

#### DECLARATIONS

# Funding

This work has been supported by the Ministry of Science, Technological Development and Innovation of the Republic of Serbia [Grant Number: 451-03-137/2025-03/200102].

## Availability of data and materials

No data were used to support this study.

# Competing interests

The author declares that he has no competing interests.

# Authors' contributions

The work was a collaborative effort of all authors, who contributed equally to writing the article. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

# References

- A. Abu-Omar, F. Kittaneh, A generalization of the numerical radius, Linear Algebra Appl. 569 (2019), 323–334.
- B. Aharmin, Y. Labbane, Extended joint numerical radius of the spherical Aluthge transform. Complex Anal. Oper. Theory, 18(139) (2024), https://doi.org/10.1007/s11785-024-01583-5
- [3] H. Albadawi, Hölder-type inequalities involving unitarily invariant norms, Positivity, 16 (2012), 255–270.
- [4] N. Altwaijry, C. Conde, K. Feki, H. Stanković, New results on some transforms of operators in Hilbert spaces, Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. New Series, 55(42) (2024), https://doi.org/10.1007/s00574-024-00416-5.
- [5] N. Altwaijry, C. Conde, K. Feki, H. Stanković, Schatten p-norm related to some Transforms of Operators, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal. 23(12) (2024), 1990–2004, https://doi.org/10.3934/cpaa.2024078.
- [6] N. Altwaijry, S. S. Dragomir, K. Feki. Inequalities involving the generalized spherical Aluthge transform of operators, Results Math. 78(209) (2023), https://doi.org/10.1007/s00025-023-01994-4.
- [7] N. Altwaijry, K. Feki, H. Stanković, On p-joint spectral radius of operators in Hilbert spaces and related results, Linear and Multilinear Algebra, (2025), https://doi.org/10.1080/03081087.2025.2464647.
- [8] A. Aluthge, On p-hyponormal operators for 0 , Integr Equ. Oper. Theory, 13 (1990), 307–315.
- [9] R. Arens, A. P. Calderón, Analytic functions of several Banach algebra elements, Ann. of Math. 62 (1955), 204–216.
- [10] A. Athavale, On joint hyponormality of operators, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 103 (1988), 417–423.
- [11] A. Athavale, S. Podder, On the reflexivity of certain operator tuples, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged), 81 (2015), 285–291.
- [12] H. Baklouti, K. Feki, On joint spectral radius of commuting operators in Hilbert spaces, Linear Algebra Appl. 557 (2018), 455–463.
- [13] H. Baklouti, K. Feki, O. A. M. Sid Ahmed, Joint numerical ranges of operators in semi-Hilbertian spaces, Linear Algebra Appl. 555 (2018) 266–284.

- [14] C. Benhida, M. Chō, E. Ko, J. E. Lee, On the generalized mean transforms of complex symmetric operators. Banach J. Math. Anal. 14 (2020), 842–855.
- [15] C. Benhida, R. Curto, S. H. Lee, J. Yoon, The spectral picture and joint spectral radius of the generalized spherical Aluthge transform, Adv. Math. 408 (2022), Paper No. 108602, 27 pp. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2022.108602.
- [16] F. Chabbabi, M. Mbekhta, Jordan product maps commuting with the λ-Aluthge transform, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 450 (2017), 293–313.
- [17] F. Chabbabi, M. Ostermann, On the image of the mean transform, arXiv preprint arXiv:2207.13163.
- [18] M. Chō, I. B. Jung, W. Y. Lee, On Aluthge transforms of p-hyponormal operators. Integral Equations and Operator Theory, 53 (2005), 321–329.
- [19] M. Chō, M. Takaguchi. Boundary points of joint numerical ranges, Pac. J. Math. 95 (1981), 27–35.
- [20] J. B. Conway, The Theory of Subnormal Operators, Math. Surveys Monographs, 36. Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, (1991).
- [21] R. Curto, Fredholm and invertible n-tuples of operators, The deformation problem, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 266(1) (1981), 129–159.
- [22] R. Curto, P. Muhly, J. Xia, Hyponormal pairs of commuting operators, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl. 35 (1988), 1–22.
- [23] R. Curto, S. H. Lee, J. Yoon, k-hyponormality of multivariable weighted shifts, J. Funct. Anal. 229 (2005), 462–480.
- [24] R. Curto, S. H. Lee, J. Yoon, Quasinormality of powers of commuting pairs of bounded operators, J. Funct. Anal. 278(3) (2020), 108342.
- [25] R. Curto, J. Yoon, Toral and spherical Aluthge transforms for 2-variable weighted shifts, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris, 354(12) (2016), 1200–1204.
- [26] R. Curto, J. Yoon, Aluthge transforms of 2-variable weighted shifts, Integr Equ. Oper. Theory 90 (5) (2018), Paper No. 52, 32 pp.
- [27] R. Curto, J. Yoon, Spherically Quasinormal Pairs of Commuting Operators, Analysis of Operators on Function Spaces. (2019), 213–237.
- [28] D. S. Cvetković-Ilić, H. Stanković, On normal complements, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 535(2) (2024), 128216, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2024.128216.
- [29] S. S. Dragomir, Hypo-q-norms on Cartesian products of algebras of bounded linear operators on Hilbert spaces, Extracta Math. 34 (2) (2019), 201–235.
- [30] K. Feki, A note on doubly commuting tuples of hyponormal operators on Hilbert spaces, Results Math. 75(93) (2020), https://doi.org/10.1007/s00025-020-01220-5.
- [31] K. Feki, T. Yamazaki, Joint numerical radius of spherical Aluthge transforms of tuples of Hilbert space operators, Math. Inequal. Appl. 24(2) (2021), 405–420.
- [32] T. Furuta, Invitation to Linear Operators. From Matrices to Bounded Linear Operators on a Hilbert Space, Taylor and Francis, London and New York, (2001).
- [33] J. Gleason, Quasinormality of Toeplitz tuples with analytic symbols. Houston J. Math. 32 (2006), 293–298.
- [34] I. Gohberg, M. Krein, Introduction to the Theory of Linear Nonselfadjoint Operators. Translated from the Russian by A. Feinstein. Translations of Mathematical Monographs 18, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I. 1969.
- [35] O. Hirzallah, F. Kittaneh, Non-commutative Clarkson inequalities for n-tuples of operators, Integr Equ. Oper. Theory, 60 (2008), 369–379.
- [36] I. B. Jung, E. Ko, C. Pearcy, Aluthge transform of operators. Integr Equ. Oper. Theory, 37 (2000), 437–448.
- [37] J. Kim, J. Yoon, Aluthge transforms and common invariant subspaces for a commuting n-tuple of operators, Integr Equ. Oper. Theory, 87 (2017), 245–262.
- [38] F. Kittaneh, Norm inequalities for fractional powers of positive operators, Lett. Math. Phys. 27(4) (1993), 279–285.

- [39] F. Kittaneh, On the convexity of the Heinz means, Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory, 68 (2010), 519–527, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00020-010-1807-6.
- [40] S. H. Lee, W. Y. Lee, J. Yoon, The mean transform of bounded linear operators, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 410(1) (2014), 70–81.
- [41] S. M. Patel, Joint normaloidity of operators, Glasnik Math, 15(35) (1980), 373–376.
- [42] G. Popescu, Unitary invariants in multivariable operator theory, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.textbf200 (2009), vi+91 pp.
- [43] H. Stanković, Converse of Fuglede theorem, Oper. Matrices, 17(3) (2023), 705–714.
- [44] H. Stanković, Subnormal n-th roots of matricially and spherically quasinormal pairs, Filomat, 37(16) (2023), 5325–5331.
- [45] H. Stanković, Spherical mean transform of operator pairs, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 530(2) (2024), 127743.
- [46] H. Stanković, Spherical Heinz transform of operator tuples, Z. Anal. Anwendungen, (2024), https://doi.org/10.4171/ZAA/1787.
- [47] H. Stanković, Spherical p-quasinorms of operator tuples, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 542 (2) (2025), 128826, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2024.128826.
- [48] J. L. Taylor, The analytic functional calculus for several commuting operators, Acta Math. 125 (1970), 1–38.
- [49] A. Zamani, On an extension of operator transforms, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 493 (2) (2021), 124546.

(KITTANEH) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF JORDAN, AMMAN, JORDAN AND DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KOREA UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 02841, SOUTH KOREA

Email address: fkitt@ju.edu.jo

(SAHOO) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SCHOOL OF BASIC SCIENCES, INDIAN INSTI-TUTE OF TECHNOLOGY BHUBANESWAR, BHUBANESWAR, ODISHA 752050, INDIA Email address: ssahoomath@gmail.com

(Stanković) Faculty of Electronic Engineering, University of Niš, Aleksandra Medvedeva 14, Niš, Serbia

Email address: hranislav.stankovic@elfak.ni.ac.rs