

On the Analysis of Qualitative Nyquist Plots

Davide Tebaldi ^{*}, Roberto Zanasi 

^a*University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, Via Pietro Vivarelli 10 - int.
1, Modena, 41125, , Italy*

Abstract



A powerful tool in control and systems engineering is represented by Nyquist plots, for which a qualitative representation often gives a clearer visualization of the frequency response function that is typically not given by computer programs, especially if portions of the Nyquist plot extend to infinity. This letter addresses the graphical analysis of the frequency response function, with the objective of enhancing the procedure for the qualitative construction of Nyquist plots. Several results supported by analytical proofs are derived for what concerns the low and high frequency behavior, which enable to improve the qualitative construction of Nyquist plots in the vicinity of the initial and final points.

Keywords: Nyquist plots, frequency response function, frequency analysis, graphical analysis, qualitative Nyquist plot construction.

1. Introduction

Nyquist plots are one of the possible graphical representations of the frequency response function [1] having a large variety of different applications in the field of control theory, including the Nyquist stability criterion [2, 3, 4, 5] for linear systems and the stability analysis of nonlinear systems using criteria such as the Circle criterion [6], the Popov criterion [6], or the describing function method [7]. Furthermore, Nyquist plots are also employed to perform the passivity analysis of physical systems [8, 9], and to design lead/lag networks using the concept of admissible domain [10]. Nyquist plots

^{*}Corresponding author

Email addresses: davide.tebaldi@unimore.it (Davide Tebaldi )
roberto.zanasi@unimore.it (Roberto Zanasi )

also find application in several other fields, including the medical one as in [11], where they are employed within an algorithm that recognizes different types of swallowing events, which is used for screening and treatment of dysphagia. In [12], Nyquist plots are used for the thermal characterization of electronic packages, while a method based on the use of Nyquist plots to relax linear matrix inequalities conservatism for robust mechatronics synthesis is proposed in [13].

For what concerns the construction of Nyquist plots, an interesting feature is described in [14], showing that many Nyquist plots can be associated with characteristic curves on the complex plane. However, whenever a detailed and punctual representation of Nyquist plots is desired, their construction is typically addressed making use of computer aided control system design software, such as the Control System Toolbox available in the MATLAB/Simulink environment [15], the LabVIEW software [16], and others. However, the reading of the resulting Nyquist plots may not be easy, because of the large range in magnitude that can result when spanning a wide range of frequency. Following the same principle employed for Bode plots, some works employ the logarithmic scale for the magnitude representation [17] which, however, still require the use of computer aided control system design software and to arbitrarily set the minimum magnitude value to be represented.

A qualitative representation is often sufficient to extract the desired features of the Nyquist plot. The typical approaches for constructing Nyquist plots require a large amount of calculations [1, 18, 19] and are essentially based on the analysis of the frequency response function at low and high frequencies. In [20], a revised version of the method for plotting qualitative Nyquist plots was proposed, based on the calculation of two novel parameters Δ_τ and Δ_p allowing to improve the Nyquist plot representation at low and high frequencies, with the specific objective of discriminating whether the Nyquist plot is leading or lagging compared to the initial and final phases, respectively. This procedure allows to enhance the comprehension of the plots given by computer aided control system design software. However, the proposed procedure failed whenever $\Delta_\tau = 0$ or $\Delta_p = 0$. Furthermore, these two parameters only encompass information about the phase, without giving information about the modulus of the Nyquist plot in the vicinity of the initial and final point.

In the present letter, we extend the work in [20] by providing the following new contributions: 1) a much deeper frequency response analysis at

low frequency; 2) the generalization of the low-frequency response analysis to the high-frequency case, providing dual results; 3) the generalization of the previous parameters Δ_τ and Δ_p , overcoming the limitation occurring whenever they are equal to zero and also providing information about the modulus, as well as the phase, of the Nyquist plot in the vicinity of the initial and final point; 4) several results supported by analytical proofs allowing to discriminate the qualitative behavior of the frequency response function in the vicinity of the initial and final points when constructing the qualitative Nyquist plot.

The remainder of this letter is structured as follows. Sec. 2 introduces the qualitative graphical analysis of the frequency response function, which is deepened in Sec. 3 and Sec. 4 by deriving several results for the low and high frequency response, respectively. To validate the proposed results, two case studies are presented in Sec. 5, while the conclusions are given in Sec. 6.

2. Qualitative graphical analysis of the frequency response in the complex plane

Consider the transfer function $\bar{G}(s)$ expressed as follows:

$$\bar{G}(s) = \frac{K}{s^h} G(s), \quad (1)$$

where the function $G(s)$ has the following structure:

$$G(s) = \frac{a_m s^m + \dots + a_3 s^3 + a_2 s^2 + a_1 s + a_0}{b_n s^n + \dots + b_3 s^3 + b_2 s^2 + b_1 s + b_0} \quad (2)$$

and is characterized by non-null coefficients a_m , b_n , a_0 and b_0 , without loss of generality. The initial and final points of the Nyquist plot of function $\bar{G}(s)$ can be obtained by considering the functions $\bar{G}_0(s)$ and $\bar{G}_\infty(s)$, that approximate $\bar{G}(s)$ for $s \simeq 0^+$ and $s \simeq \infty$, respectively. The approximating function $\bar{G}_0(s)$ is obtained from $\bar{G}(s)$ in (1) by neglecting all the terms in s except for the zeros and poles at the origin:

$$\bar{G}_0(s) = \lim_{s \simeq 0^+} \bar{G}(s) = \frac{K}{s^h} G_0, \quad \text{where } G_0 = \frac{a_0}{b_0} \quad (3)$$

and where h is the number of poles of the transfer function $\bar{G}(s)$ at the origin. The approximating function $\bar{G}_\infty(s)$ is deduced from $\bar{G}(s)$ in (1) by

considering only the terms in s having the highest degree:

$$\bar{G}_\infty(s) = \lim_{s \simeq \infty} \bar{G}(s) = \frac{K}{s^r} G_\infty, \quad \text{where } G_\infty = \frac{a_m}{b_n} \quad (4)$$

and where $r = h + n - m$ is the relative degree of function $\bar{G}(s)$. The initial point $\bar{P}_0 = \bar{M}_0 e^{j\bar{\varphi}_0}$ and the final point $\bar{P}_\infty = \bar{M}_\infty e^{j\bar{\varphi}_\infty}$ of the Nyquist plot can be computed by considering the magnitude and the phase of the frequency response functions $\bar{G}_0(j\omega)$ and $\bar{G}_\infty(j\omega)$ for $\omega \simeq 0^+$ and $\omega \simeq \infty$:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{M}_0 &= \lim_{\omega \simeq 0^+} \frac{|K|}{\omega^h} M_0 = \begin{cases} \infty & \text{if } h > 0 \\ |K| M_0 & \text{if } h = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } h < 0 \end{cases}, \quad M_0 = |G_0|, \\ \bar{\varphi}_0 &= \arg(K) - h \frac{\pi}{2} + \varphi_0, \quad \varphi_0 = \arg(G_0), \\ \bar{M}_\infty &= \lim_{\omega \simeq \infty} \frac{|K|}{\omega^r} M_\infty = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } r > 0 \\ |K| M_\infty & \text{if } r = 0 \\ \infty & \text{if } r < 0 \end{cases}, \quad M_\infty = |G_\infty|, \\ \bar{\varphi}_\infty &= \arg(K) - r \frac{\pi}{2} + \varphi_\infty, \quad \varphi_\infty = \arg(G_\infty). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

From (5), it follows that the Nyquist plot starts from the origin when $\omega \simeq 0^+$ if $h < 0$, from a point on the real axis if $h = 0$, or from infinity if $h > 0$, as graphically shown in Fig. 1(a). Dually, from (5) it follows that the Nyquist plot ends at infinity when $\omega \simeq \infty$ if $r < 0$, at a point on the real axis if $r = 0$, or at the origin if $r > 0$, as graphically shown in Fig. 1(b). The initial and final directions of the Nyquist plot are determined by the parameters $\bar{\varphi}_0$ and $\bar{\varphi}_\infty$. However, as shown in Fig. 1, the behavior of the Nyquist curve is not univocal either when $\omega \simeq 0^+$ or $\omega \simeq \infty$, because the system $\bar{G}(s)$ may exhibit a phase lead or a phase lag with respect to the initial and final phases $\bar{\varphi}_0$ and $\bar{\varphi}_\infty$, respectively. This aspect is investigated in detail in the following sections.

Note that the frequency response of function $\bar{G}(s)$ for $s \simeq 0$ and $s \simeq \infty$ is strictly related to the frequency response of function $G(s)$ through (1)-(5). In the following sections, the frequency response of function $G(s)$ is carried out for $s \simeq 0$ and $s \simeq \infty$ to study the behavior in the vicinity of the initial and final points $P_0 = M_0 e^{j\varphi_0}$ and $P_\infty = M_\infty e^{j\varphi_\infty}$. The obtained results can be directly extended to the frequency response of function $\bar{G}(s)$ by using the




Figure 1: (a) Nyquist plots in the low frequency range for different values of the number h of poles at the origin and (b) Nyquist plots in the high frequency range for different values of the relative degree r .

relations (5) between the initial points \bar{P}_0, P_0 and between the final points \bar{P}_∞, P_∞ .

3. Frequency response of $G(s)$ for $s \simeq 0$

The Taylor series expansion for $s = 0$ of function $G(s)$ in (2) has the following form:

$$G(s) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} G_k s^k, \quad \text{where} \quad G_k = \frac{1}{k!} \left. \frac{d^k G(s)}{ds^k} \right|_{s=0}. \quad (6)$$

From (6) it follows that the frequency response function $G(j\omega)$ of function $G(s)$ can be expressed as follows:

$$G(j\omega) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} G_k (j\omega)^k = \underbrace{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} G_{2n} (-1)^n \omega^{2n}}_{G_{ev}(\omega)} + j\omega \underbrace{\left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} G_{2n+1} (-1)^n \omega^{2n} \right]}_{G_{od}(\omega)}, \quad (7)$$

where $G_{ev}(\omega)$ and $\omega G_{od}(\omega)$ are the real and imaginary parts of the frequency response function $G(j\omega)$. Note that the functions $G_{ev}(\omega)$ and $G_{od}(\omega)$ are only composed of the even and odd coefficients G_k of the Taylor series expansion (6), respectively.

3.1. Sign of the phase $\varphi_0(\omega)$ when $\omega \simeq 0^+$

The phase $\varphi_0(\omega)$ of function $G(j\omega)$ when $\omega \simeq 0^+$ can be expressed from (7) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_0(\omega) &= \arctan \left[\frac{\omega G_{od}(\omega)}{G_{ev}(\omega)} \right] \\ &= \arctan \left[\frac{\omega(G_1 - G_3\omega^2 + G_5\omega^2 - G_7\omega^6 + \dots)}{G_0 - G_2\omega^2 + G_4\omega^2 - G_6\omega^6 + \dots} \right].\end{aligned}\quad (8)$$

Definition 1. Let parameter Δ_{τ_k} be defined as follows:

$$\Delta_{\tau_k} = (-1)^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} \frac{G_k}{G_0}, \quad (9)$$

where $k > 0$ is a positive integer number, and function $\lfloor x \rfloor$ is the floor of x .

Property 1. Let k be an odd integer index $k \in \{1, 3, 5, \dots, \infty\}$. If $G_1 = G_3 = G_5 = \dots = G_{k-2} = 0$, then the phase $\varphi_0(\omega)$ of function $G(j\omega)$ when $\omega \simeq 0^+$ can be approximated as follows:

$$\varphi_0(\omega) \simeq (-1)^{\frac{k-1}{2}} \frac{G_k}{G_0} \omega^k = \Delta_{\tau_k} \omega^k. \quad (10)$$

Relation (10) holds since $\frac{k-1}{2} = \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor$ for odd k .

Proof. The expression of $\varphi_0(\omega)$ in (10) follows directly from (9) and (8) when $\omega \simeq 0^+$ and $G_1 = G_3 = G_5 = \dots = G_{k-2} = 0$.

Note that the sign of the parameter Δ_{τ_k} used in (10) determines if the Nyquist plot of the frequency response function $G(j\omega)$ exits the initial point $P_0 = M_0 e^{j\varphi_0}$ defined in (5) by moving clockwise or counter-clockwise in the complex plane, as shown in Fig. 2. Equivalently, the sign of the parameter Δ_{τ_k} used in (10) determines if the Nyquist plot of the frequency response function $\bar{G}(j\omega)$ exits the initial point $\bar{P}_0 = \bar{M}_0 e^{j\bar{\varphi}_0}$ defined in (5) by moving clockwise or counter-clockwise in the complex plane.




Figure 2: Nyquist plot of $G(j\omega)$ exiting the initial point P_0 by moving clockwise or counter-clockwise depending on the sign of parameter $\Delta\tau_k$.

Definition 2. With reference to the transfer function $G(s)$ defined in (2), let Δ_{ij} denote the following determinant:

$$\Delta_{ij} = \begin{vmatrix} a_i & a_j \\ b_i & b_j \end{vmatrix} = a_i b_j - a_j b_i, \quad (11)$$

for $i, j \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

Property 2. All the odd coefficients G_k of the series expansion (6), for $k \in \{1, 3, 5, \dots, \infty\}$, can be computed recursively using the following formula:

$$G_k = \frac{1}{b_0^2} \left(\nabla_k - \sum_{h=1}^{\frac{k-1}{2}} (-1)^h G_{k-2h} B_h \right), \quad (12)$$

where the coefficients ∇_k are function of the determinants Δ_{ij} defined in (11):

$$\nabla_k = \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{k-1}{2}} (-1)^j \Delta_{k-j,j}, \quad (13)$$

and B_h are polynomials of the coefficients b_k in (2):

$$B_h = b_h^2 + 2 \sum_{j=1}^h (-1)^j b_{h-j} b_{h+j}. \quad (14)$$

Property 2 can be verified symbolically in Matlab: by using the **Symbolic Math Toolbox**, it can be verified that the coefficients G_k in (12), for odd

values of k , are equal to the coefficients G_k defined in (6).

From (11) and (13), it can be observed that the coefficients ∇_k are function only of the parameters a_i and b_i of $G(s)$ in (2) characterized by indexes $i \leq k$.

Theorem 1. *The sign of the phase $\varphi_0(\omega)$ of function $G(j\omega)$ when $\omega \simeq 0^+$ can be determined as follows:*

$$\text{sign}(\varphi_0(\omega)) = \text{sign}(\Delta_{\tau_k}), \quad (15)$$

where Δ_{τ_k} is the first non-zero element of the series of odd coefficients Δ_{τ_k} for increasing values of the odd index $k \in \{1, 3, 5, \dots, \infty\}$. Furthermore, the coefficients Δ_{τ_k} in (15) can also be expressed as follows:

$$\Delta_{\tau_k} = (-1)^{\frac{k-1}{2}} \frac{\nabla_k}{a_0 b_0}. \quad (16)$$

Proof. The first equality $\text{sign}(\varphi(\omega)) = \text{sign}(\Delta_{\tau_k})$ in (15) comes directly from (10) in Property 1 and from the Definition 1 of Δ_{τ_k} in (9). Then, from (12) it follows that when $G_1 = G_3 = G_5 = \dots = G_{k-2} = 0$, the coefficients G_k can be expressed as follows:

$$G_k = \frac{\nabla_k}{b_0^2}. \quad (17)$$

Therefore, substituting (17) in (9) and recalling the static gain $G_0 = a_0/b_0$ from (5), yield the expression of Δ_{τ_k} in (16).

3.2. Behavior of the Modulus $M_0(\omega)$ when $\omega \simeq 0^+$

Theorem 2. *The modulus $M_0(\omega)$ of function $G(j\omega)$ when $\omega \simeq 0^+$ increases or decreases as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned} M_0(\omega) \text{ increases if } \Delta_{\tau_k} > 0, \\ M_0(\omega) \text{ decreases if } \Delta_{\tau_k} < 0, \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

where Δ_{τ_k} is the first non-zero element of the series of even coefficients Δ_{τ_k} for increasing values of the even index $k \in \{0, 2, 4, \dots, \infty\}$.

Proof. When $\omega \simeq 0^+$, from (7) it follows that the modulus of $G(j\omega)$ can be approximated as follows:

$$M_0(\omega) \simeq |G_{ev}(\omega)| \simeq |G_0| \left| 1 - \frac{G_2}{G_0} \omega^2 + \frac{G_4}{G_0} \omega^4 - \frac{G_6}{G_0} \omega^6 + \dots \right|, \quad (19)$$




Figure 3: Different types of Nyquist plots when exiting the initial point P_0 .

where $G_{ev}(\omega)$ is the real part of $G(j\omega)$. Note that the modulus $M_0(\omega)$ of point $G(j\omega)$ when $\omega \simeq 0^+$ contains only the even coefficients G_k , for $k \in \{0, 2, 4, \dots, \infty\}$, of the series expansion (6). From (19), it can be observed that when $\omega \simeq 0^+$ the modulus $|G(j\omega)|$ increases or decreases depending on the sign of the coefficients $-\frac{G_2}{G_0}$, $\frac{G_4}{G_0}$, $-\frac{G_6}{G_0}$, etc. Replacing the Definition 1 of Δ_{τ_k} from (9) in (19) yields (18).

The recursive formula given in (12) can only be used for odd values of index k . The coefficients G_k for the calculation of the even coefficients Δ_{τ_k} in (18) can instead be computed by using the recursive formula (20) given in the following Property 3.

Property 3. *All the coefficients G_k present in the series expansion (6), for $k \geq 0$, can also be computed recursively using the following formula:*

$$G_k = \frac{1}{b_0^2} \left(\Delta_{k0} - b_0 \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} G_{k-j} b_j \right). \quad (20)$$

Property 3 can be verified symbolically in Matlab: by using the **Symbolic Math Toolbox**, it can be verified that the coefficients G_k in (20), for both even and odd values of k , are equal to the coefficients G_k defined in (6).

3.3. Exiting the initial point P_0 when $\omega \simeq 0^+$

Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 have shown that the odd coefficients G_1, G_3, G_5, \dots and the even coefficients G_2, G_4, G_6, \dots in (6) can be used to determine the sign of the phase $\varphi_0(\omega)$ and whether the modulus $M_0(\omega)$ increases

or the decreases when $\omega \simeq 0^+$. The combined results of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 allow to determine how the frequency response function $G(j\omega)$ exits the initial point P_0 when $\omega \simeq 0^+$. Specifically, the following two Lemmas originate from Theorem 1.

Lemma 1. *When $\omega \simeq 0^+$ and the first non-zero odd parameter Δ_{τ_k} has an index $k < h$, where h is the index of the first not-zero even parameter Δ_{τ_h} , the function $G(j\omega)$ exits the initial point P_0 by moving in the complex plane along a direction perpendicular to the real axis. The possible four Nyquist curves that can be obtained are shown in Fig. 3(a).*

Proof. From (7) and (9), if $\omega \simeq 0^+$, $\Delta_{\tau_k} \neq 0$ is the first non-zero odd parameter, and $\Delta_{\tau_h} \neq 0$ is the first non-zero even parameter, it follows that function $G(j\omega)$ can be expressed as:

$$G(j\omega) \simeq G_0 - G_h\omega^h + jG_k\omega^k \simeq G_0(1 + \Delta_{\tau_h}\omega^h + j\Delta_{\tau_k}\omega^k). \quad (21)$$

From (21) it follows that, when $\omega \simeq 0^+$, the function $G(j\omega)$ exits the initial point P_0 by drawing a Nyquist curve that moves along a direction which is *perpendicular* to the real axis because the infinitesimal $\Delta_{\tau_k}\omega^k$ is larger than the infinitesimal $\Delta_{\tau_h}\omega^h$, since $k < h$. The four possible Nyquist curves shown in Fig. 3(a) depend on the positive or negative values of the two parameters Δ_{τ_k} and Δ_{τ_h} .

Lemma 2. *When $\omega \simeq 0^+$ and the first non-zero odd parameter Δ_{τ_k} has an index $k > h$, where h is the index of the first not-zero even parameter Δ_{τ_h} , the function $G(j\omega)$ exits the initial point P_0 by drawing a Nyquist curve that moves along a direction parallel to the real axis. The Nyquist curves that can be obtained in this case are shown in Fig. 3(b).*

Proof. From (7) and (9), when $\omega \simeq 0^+$, $\Delta_{\tau_k} \neq 0$ is the first non-zero odd parameter, and $\Delta_{\tau_h} \neq 0$ is the first non-zero even parameter, it follows that function $G(j\omega)$ can be expressed as in (21). In this case, from (21) it follows that, when $\omega \simeq 0^+$, the function $G(j\omega)$ exits the initial point P_0 by drawing a Nyquist curve that moves along a direction which is *parallel* to the real axis because the infinitesimal $\Delta_{\tau_k}\omega^k$ is smaller than the infinitesimal $\Delta_{\tau_h}\omega^h$, since $k > h$. In this case, the four possible Nyquist curves are shown in Fig. 3(b).

4. Frequency response of $G(s)$ at $s \simeq \infty$

Substituting $\tilde{s} = \frac{1}{s}$ in (1) yields:

$$\bar{G}(s) = \frac{K}{s^r} \tilde{G}(s), \quad \text{where} \quad r = h + n - m \quad (22)$$

is the relative degree of function $\bar{G}(s)$ and function $\tilde{G}(s)$ is:

$$\tilde{G}(s) = \frac{(a_0 \tilde{s}^m + a_1 \tilde{s}^{m-1} + \dots + a_{m-2} \tilde{s}^2 + a_{m-1} \tilde{s} + a_m)}{(b_0 \tilde{s}^n + b_1 \tilde{s}^{n-1} + \dots + b_{n-2} \tilde{s}^2 + b_{n-1} \tilde{s} + b_n)}. \quad (23)$$

Function $\tilde{G}(s)$ has a similar structure as function $G(s)$ in (2), in which the coefficients a_i and b_i are in reversed order.

Definition 3. Let \tilde{a}_i and \tilde{b}_j denote the “dual version” of coefficients a_i and b_j , defined as follows:

$$\tilde{a}_i = a_{m-i} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{b}_j = b_{n-j}, \quad (24)$$

for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ and $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. From (24), it follows:

$$a_i = \tilde{a}_{m-i} \quad \text{and} \quad b_j = \tilde{b}_{n-j}. \quad (25)$$

Substituting (25) in (23) yields:

$$\tilde{G}(s) = \frac{(\tilde{a}_m \tilde{s}^m + \tilde{a}_{m-1} \tilde{s}^{m-1} + \dots + \tilde{a}_2 \tilde{s}^2 + \tilde{a}_1 \tilde{s} + \tilde{a}_0)}{(\tilde{b}_n \tilde{s}^n + \tilde{b}_{n-1} \tilde{s}^{n-1} + \dots + \tilde{b}_2 \tilde{s}^2 + \tilde{b}_1 \tilde{s} + \tilde{b}_0)}, \quad (26)$$

having now the same structure as function $G(s)$ in (2) but using the dual coefficients \tilde{a}_i and \tilde{b}_j and the new variable \tilde{s} .

The frequency response of function $G(s)$ at $s \simeq \infty$ can be studied using the Taylor series expansion of $\tilde{G}(s)$ at $\tilde{s} = 0$:

$$\tilde{G}(s) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \tilde{G}_k \tilde{s}^k = \tilde{G}_0 + \tilde{G}_1 \tilde{s} + \tilde{G}_2 \tilde{s}^2 + \dots, \quad (27)$$

where the coefficients \tilde{G}_k in (27) are the dual version of the coefficients G_k in (6) using (24). Equivalently, also the coefficients Δ_{τ_k} in (9), Δ_{ij} in (11),

∇_k in (13) and B_h in (14) can be dualized into $\tilde{\Delta}_{\tau_k}$, $\tilde{\Delta}_{ij}$, $\tilde{\nabla}_k$ and \tilde{B}_h using (24). In particular, the dual determinant $\tilde{\Delta}_{ij}$ is defined as follows:

$$\tilde{\Delta}_{ij} = \begin{vmatrix} a_{m-i} & a_{m-j} \\ b_{n-i} & b_{n-j} \end{vmatrix} = a_{m-i}b_{n-j} - a_{m-j}b_{n-i}. \quad (28)$$

Note that $\tilde{G}_0 = \frac{a_m}{b_n}$ in (27) is equal to G_∞ defined in (4).

Theorem 3. *(Dual of Theorem 1) The sign of the phase $\varphi_\infty(\omega)$ of function $G(j\omega)$ when $\omega \simeq \infty$ can be determined as follows:*

$$\text{sign}(\varphi_\infty(\omega)) = \text{sign}(-\tilde{\Delta}_{\tau_k}), \quad (29)$$

where $\tilde{\Delta}_{\tau_k}$ is the first non-zero element of the series of odd coefficients $\tilde{\Delta}_{\tau_k}$, for increasing values of the odd index $k \in \{1, 3, 5, \dots, \infty\}$. Furthermore, the coefficient $\tilde{\Delta}_{\tau_k}$ in (29) can also be expressed as follows:

$$\tilde{\Delta}_{\tau_k} = (-1)^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} \frac{\tilde{\nabla}_k}{a_m b_n}. \quad (30)$$

Proof. The frequency response $\tilde{G}(j\omega)$ of function $\tilde{G}(s)$ in (27) when $\omega \simeq \infty$ can be expressed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{G}(j\omega) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \tilde{G}_k \frac{1}{(j\omega)^k} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \tilde{G}_k \frac{(-j)^k}{\omega^k} \\ &= \tilde{G}_0 - \frac{\tilde{G}_2}{\omega^2} + \frac{\tilde{G}_4}{\omega^4} + \dots - \frac{j}{\omega} \left[\tilde{G}_1 - \frac{\tilde{G}_3}{\omega^2} + \frac{\tilde{G}_5}{\omega^4} + \dots \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

When $\omega \simeq \infty$ and $\tilde{G}_1 = \tilde{G}_3 = \tilde{G}_5 = \dots = \tilde{G}_{k-2} = 0$, the phase $\varphi_\infty(\omega)$ of $\tilde{G}(j\omega)$ in (31) and, equivalently, of $G(j\omega)$, can be approximated as follows:

$$\varphi_\infty(\omega) \simeq \arctan \left[-\frac{(-1)^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} \tilde{G}_k}{\tilde{G}_0 \omega^k} \right] \simeq -\frac{\tilde{\Delta}_{\tau_k}}{\omega^k}, \quad (32)$$

from which equality (29) follows. Finally, the expression (30) is the dual version of (16) in Theorem 1.




Figure 4: Different types of Nyquist plots when entering the final point P_∞ .

Theorem 4. (Dual of Theorem 2) The modulus $M_\infty(\omega)$ of function $G(j\omega)$ when $\omega \simeq \infty$ increases or decreases as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} M_\infty(\omega) & \text{ increases if } \tilde{\Delta}_{\tau_k} > 0, \\ M_\infty(\omega) & \text{ decreases if } \tilde{\Delta}_{\tau_k} < 0, \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

where $\tilde{\Delta}_{\tau_k}$ is the first non-zero element of the series of even coefficients $\tilde{\Delta}_{\tau_k}$ for increasing values of the even index $k \in \{0, 2, 4, \dots, \infty\}$.

Proof. When $\omega \simeq \infty$, from (31) it follows that $|\tilde{G}(j\omega)|$ and, equivalently, $M_\infty(\omega)$ can be expressed as:

$$M_\infty(\omega) = |\tilde{G}(j\omega)| \simeq |\tilde{G}_0| \left| 1 - \frac{\tilde{G}_2}{\tilde{G}_0\omega^2} + \frac{\tilde{G}_4}{\tilde{G}_0\omega^4} - \frac{\tilde{G}_6}{\tilde{G}_0\omega^6} + \dots \right|, \quad (34)$$

which is the dual of $M_0(\omega)$ in (19). Therefore, the same proof as Theorem 2 applies here using the dual coefficients $\tilde{\Delta}_{\tau_k}$.

4.1. Entering the final point P_∞ when $\omega \simeq \infty$

Following the same outline of Sec. 3.3, the dual of Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 originate from Theorem 3.

Lemma 3. (Dual of Lemma 1) When $\omega \simeq \infty$ and the first non-zero odd parameter $\tilde{\Delta}_{\tau_k}$ has an index $k < h$, where h is the index of the first not-zero even parameter $\tilde{\Delta}_{\tau_h}$, the function $G(j\omega)$ enters the final point P_∞ by drawing a Nyquist curve that moves along a direction perpendicular to the real axis.




Figure 5: Qualitative Nyquist plot of $G(j\omega)$ associated with $G(s)$ in (35).

From Lemma 3 and Theorem 4 it follows that, when $\omega \simeq \infty$ and $k < h$, the function $G(j\omega)$ enters the final point P_∞ by drawing one of the four Nyquist curves shown in Fig. 4(a).

Lemma 4. (*Dual of Lemma 2*) When $\omega \simeq \infty$ and the first non-zero odd parameter $\tilde{\Delta}_{\tau_k}$ has an index $k > h$, where h is the index of the first not-zero even parameter $\tilde{\Delta}_{\tau_h}$, the function $G(j\omega)$ enters the final point P_∞ by drawing a Nyquist curve that moves along a direction parallel to the real axis.

From Lemma 4 and Theorem 2 it follows that, when $\omega \simeq \infty$ and $k > h$, the function $G(j\omega)$ enters the final point P_∞ by drawing one of the four Nyquist curves shown in Fig. 4(b).

5. Case studies

5.1. First case study

Reference is made to the following transfer function:

$$\bar{G}(s) = \frac{2s^3 + 6s^2 + 2s + 1}{4s^3 + 5s^2 + 2s + 1}. \quad (35)$$

The initial and final points \bar{P}_0 and \bar{P}_∞ of the Nyquist plot of $\bar{G}(j\omega)$ are characterized by: $\bar{M}_0 = 1$, $\bar{M}_\infty = 0.5$, $\bar{\varphi}_0 = \bar{\varphi}_\infty = 0$. Applying Definition 1 and Properties 2 and 3 yields:

$$\Delta_{\tau_1} = \frac{G_1}{G_0} = 0, \quad \Delta_{\tau_2} = -\frac{G_2}{G_0} = -1, \quad \Delta_{\tau_3} = -\frac{G_3}{G_0} = 4,$$

$$\tilde{\Delta}_{\tau_1} = \frac{\tilde{G}_1}{\tilde{G}_0} = \frac{7}{4}, \quad \tilde{\Delta}_{\tau_2} = -\frac{\tilde{G}_2}{\tilde{G}_0} = \frac{27}{16}.$$

In order to obtain the qualitative Nyquist plot of the frequency response function $\bar{G}(j\omega)$, the procedure described in [20] would fail in this case, since the parameter called Δ_τ , which is Δ_{τ_1} in this letter, is equal to zero. Therefore, it would not be possible to discriminate the behavior of the phase $\varphi_0(\omega)$ when $\omega \simeq 0^+$, namely whether the Nyquist plot starts by leading or lagging compared to the initial phase $\bar{\varphi}_0$. The detailed frequency analysis performed in this letter allows to still be able to discriminate the behavior of the phase $\varphi_0(\omega)$ when $\omega \simeq 0^+$ thanks to Theorem 1, and also allows to discriminate the behavior of the modulus $M_0(\omega)$ when $\omega \simeq 0^+$ thanks to Theorem 2. Applying Theorem 1 and Theorem 2, since $\Delta_{\tau_3} > 0$, $\Delta_{\tau_2} < 0$ (case $k > h$), the Nyquist plot exits the initial point \bar{P}_0 as the curve ① in Fig. 3(b), as shown in Fig. 5. Applying Theorem 3 and Theorem 4, since $\tilde{\Delta}_{\tau_1} > 0$, $\tilde{\Delta}_{\tau_2} > 0$ (case $k < h$), the Nyquist plot enters the final point \bar{P}_∞ as the curve ④ in Fig. 4(a), as shown in Fig. 5. The qualitative behavior of the remaining part of the Nyquist plot when $\omega \neq 0^+$ and $\omega \neq \infty$ can be determined by calculating the intersections with the real axis using the Routh–Hurwitz criterion. The red arrows in Fig. 5 denote the following vectors:

$$\vec{\varphi}_0 = \left. \frac{d\bar{G}(j\omega)}{d\omega} \right|_{\omega \simeq 0^+} \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{\varphi}_\infty = \left. \frac{d\bar{G}(j\omega)}{d\omega} \right|_{\omega \simeq \infty}$$

indicating the direction tangential to the Nyquist plot at the initial and final points.

5.2. Second case study

Reference is made to the following transfer function:

$$\bar{G}(s) = \frac{s^2 + 12s + 35}{s(s^4 + 12s^3 + 30s^2 + 28s + 9)}. \quad (36)$$




Figure 6: Qualitative Nyquist plot of $G(j\omega)$ associated with $G(s)$ in (36).

Applying Definition 1 and Properties 2 and 3 yields $\Delta_{\tau_1} = -2.76$, $\tilde{\Delta}_{\tau_1} = 0$, $\tilde{\Delta}_{\tau_3} = 88$. Applying Theorem 1, since $\Delta_{\tau_1} < 0$, the Nyquist plot starts by lagging with respect to the initial phase $\vec{\varphi}_0$, as shown in Fig. 6. The procedure described in [20] would fail to discriminate the phase of $\tilde{G}(j\omega)$ when $\omega \simeq \infty$ since the parameter called Δ_p , which is $\tilde{\Delta}_{\tau_1}$ in this letter, is equal to zero. The detailed frequency analysis performed in this letter allows to still be able to discriminate the behavior thanks to Theorem 3: since $\tilde{\Delta}_{\tau_1} = 0$ and $\tilde{\Delta}_{\tau_3} > 0$, the Nyquist plot ends by lagging with respect to the final phase $\vec{\varphi}_\infty$. The qualitative behavior of the remaining part of the Nyquist curve when $\omega \neq 0^+$ and $\omega \neq \infty$ can be determined by calculating the intersections with the real axis using the Routh–Hurwitz criterion and abscissa σ_a of the asymptote shown in Fig. 6.

The actual Nyquist plot is shown in Fig. 7. By comparing Fig. 6 and Fig. 7, it can be observed that qualitative representations of Nyquist plots are much more effective in providing a global view of the frequency response function both at low and high frequency. Furthermore, Fig. 6 shows that qualitative Nyquist plots make it easier to construct the complete diagram in order to perform a stability analysis.




Figure 7: Actual Nyquist plot of $G(j\omega)$ associated with $G(s)$ in (36).

6. Conclusion

This letter has addressed the graphical analysis of the frequency response function, with the objective of improving the procedure for the qualitative construction of Nyquist plots. Several analytical results have been derived and proven, concerning the graphical behavior of the frequency response function at low and high frequency. Two case studies have been considered, showing how the obtained results allow to discriminate the qualitative behavior of the frequency response on the Nyquist plot in the vicinity of the initial and final points.

Funding

The work was partly supported by the University of Modena and Reggio Emilia through the action FARD (Finanziamento Ateneo Ricerca Dipartimentale) 2023/2024, and funded under the National Recovery and Resilience Plan (NRRP), Mission 04 Component 2 Investment 1.5 - NextGenerationEU,

Call for tender n. 3277 dated 30/12/2021 Award Number: 0001052 dated 23/06/2022.

References

- [1] G. F. Franklin, J. D. Powell, and A. Emami-Naeini, “Feedback control of dynamic systems”, fourth edition, *Prentice Hall PTR, Upper Saddle River*, NJ, USA, 2001.
- [2] A. G. J. MacFarlane and H. Nyquist, “Frequency-Response Methods in Control Systems”, *IEEE Comput. Soc. Press*, New York, NY, USA: 1979.
- [3] Y.-L. Zhang, M. Zhu, D. Li, J.-M. Wang, “Static boundary feedback stabilization of an anti-stable wave equation with both collocated and non-collocated measurements”, *Syst. Control Lett.*, vol. 154, 2021, 104967
- [4] M. Bucolo, A. Buscarino, L. Fortuna and M. Frasca, “Nyquist Plots for MIMO Systems Under Frequency Transformations”, *IEEE Control Syst. Lett.*, vol. 6, pp. 169-174, 2022.
- [5] A. Buscarino, L. Fortuna, M. Frasca, “Nyquist plots under frequency transformations”, *Syst. Control Lett.*, vol. 125, 2019, pp. 16-21.
- [6] H. Khalil, “Nonlinear Systems”, *Prentice Hall PTR*, 2002.
- [7] K. J. Astrom and R.M. Murray, “Feedback Systems: An Introduction for Scientists and Engineers”, *Princeton University Press*, Princeton, NJ, USA, 2008.
- [8] G. Braglia, D. Tebaldi, and L. Biagiotti. “Phase-free Dynamic Movement Primitives Applied to Kinesthetic Guidance in Robotic Co-manipulation Tasks”, *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.08238*, 2024.
- [9] D. Tebaldi and R. Zanasi, “A unified methodology for the power efficiency analysis of physical systems”, *J. Franklin Inst.*, vol. 361, no. 1, pp. 283-300, 2024.
- [10] R. Zanasi, S. Cuoghi, L. Ntogramatzidis, “Analytical Design of Lead-Lag Compensators on Nyquist and Nichols planes”, *IFAC Proceedings Volumes*, vol. 44, no. 1, pp. 7666-7671, 2011.

- [11] F. Zhang et al., “Swallowing Events Recognition Method Based on Complex Impedance Pharyngography and Nyquist Plots”, *IEEE Sensors J.*, vol. 22, no. 18, pp. 18076-18084, Sept. 2022.
- [12] P. Kawka, G. De Mey and B. Vermeersch, “Thermal Characterization of Electronic Packages Using the Nyquist Plot of the Thermal Impedance”, *IEEE Trans. on Compon. and Packag. Technol.*, vol. 30, no. 4, pp. 660-665, Dec. 2007.
- [13] Y. Z. Tan and C. K. Pang, “Relaxing LMI Conservatism Using Nyquist Plots and Its Application to Robust Mechatronics Synthesis”, *IEEE Trans. Control Syst. Technol.*, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 600-610, March 2017.
- [14] A. Emami-Naeini, “The shapes of Nyquist plots [Lecture Notes]”, *IEEE Control Syst. Mag.*, vol. 29, no. 5, pp. 102-115, Oct. 2009.
- [15] Control System Toolbox Documentation: <https://it.mathworks.com/products/control.html>. Last accessed: September 2024.
- [16] LabVIEW Documentation: <https://www.ni.com/en/shop/labview.html>. Last accessed: September 2024.
- [17] T. Andresen, “A logarithmic-amplitude polar diagram”, *Model. Identif. Control*, 22 (2), 65–72, 2001.
- [18] B. C. Kuo and F. Golnaraghi, “Automatic Control Systems”, ninth ed., *John Wiley & Sons, Inc.*, New York, NY, USA, 2002.
- [19] R. C. Dorf and R. H. Bishop, “Modern Control Systems”, eleventh ed., *Prentice Hall PTR*, Upper Saddle River, NJ, USA, 2008.
- [20] R. Zanasi, F. Grossi, and L. Biagiotti, “Qualitative graphical representation of Nyquist plots”, *Syst. Control Lett.*, vol. 83, pp. 53-60, 2015.