# A RATIONAL COHOMOLOGY WHICH INCLUDING THAT OF A SPIN HYPERELLIPTIC MAPPING CLASS GROUP

YAN FU, GEFEI WANG

ABSTRACT. Let  $\mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{S}_q \overleftrightarrow{\times} \mathfrak{S}_q$  be the  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -extension of the product of two symmetric groups  $\mathfrak{S}_q \times \mathfrak{S}_q$ . In this paper, we compute the  $\mathfrak{G}$ -invariant part of the rational cohomology of the pure braid group  $P_n$ , where n=2q, denoted by  $H^*(P_n)^{\mathfrak{G}}$ . As is known classically,  $H^*(P_n)^{\mathfrak{G}}$  includes the rational cohomology of a spin hyperelliptic mapping class group, denoted by  $H^*(\mathcal{S}(\Sigma_g;c))$ , where 2g+2=n=2q.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $\Sigma_g$  be a compact connected oriented surface of genus g, which admits a branched 2-fold covering  $\varphi: \Sigma_g \to S^2$  with 2g+2 branch points. The branched covering induces a group homomorphism of the Artin braid group  $B_{2g+2}$  to the mapping class group of genus g.

A 2-Spin structure c, or spin structure c for short, on the surface  $\Sigma_g$  can be regarded as a map

$$c: H_1(\Sigma_g, \mathbb{Z}/2) \to \mathbb{Z}/2$$

such that  $c(x+y) = c(x) + c(y) + x \cdot y$  for any  $x, y \in H_1(\Sigma_g, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ , where  $x \cdot y$  is the intersection number. The mapping class group of genus g acts on the set of spin structures in a natural way, and we call the isotropy group at a spin structure c the spin mapping class group associated with c (cf. [5]).

We want to study unstable aspects of the rational cohomology of the spin mapping class group. As first examples, we have spin hyperelliptic mapping class groups. Let  $S(\Sigma_g)$  be the hyperelliptic mapping class group for a compact connected oriented surface  $\Sigma_g$  of genus g. We can consider the spin hyperelliptic mapping class group  $S(\Sigma_g; c)$  as the isotropy group of  $S(\Sigma_g)$  at each spin structure c. The action of the group  $S(\Sigma_g)$  on the set of spin structures on the surface  $\Sigma_g$  has  $\lceil \frac{g}{2} \rceil + 1$  orbits. Hence we have  $\lceil \frac{g}{2} \rceil + 1$  spin hyperelliptic mapping class groups for  $\Sigma_g$ . The isotropy group  $\mathfrak{G}_i$  of each orbits can be described by the direct products of two symmetric groups  $\mathfrak{S}_{g+1+2i} \times \mathfrak{S}_{g+1-2i}$  or a  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -extension of direct products of two symmetric groups  $\mathfrak{S}_{g+1} \not \times \mathfrak{S}_{g+1}$  (cf. e.g., [8] Proposition 3.1, p.3.95, or [11]). Now we consider the rational cohomology groups  $H^*(S(\Sigma_g; c))$ . From [4] and by using the Lyndon-Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence,  $H^*(S(\Sigma_g; c))$  is isomorphic to the  $\mathfrak{G}_i$ -invariant part of the rational cohomology group of the pure sphere braid group  $SP_{2g+2}$ , denoted by  $H^*(SP_{2g+2})^{\mathfrak{G}_i}$ . Moreover, for any  $n \geq 2$ , the natural surjection of the pure Artin braid group  $P_n$  onto the pure sphere braid group  $SP_n$  induces an

 $<sup>2020\ \</sup>textit{Mathematics Subject Classification}.\ \text{Primary 20F36; Secondary 20J06, 57K20, 14H30}.$ 

Key words and phrases. spin structures, mapping class groups, cohomology of groups.

The second named author is partially supported by National Key R&D Program of China 2020 YFA0712800 and NSFC grant No.12131009.

injection of the rational cohomology groups (cf. e.g., [6]). Hence the  $\mathfrak{G}_i$ -invariant part of the rational cohomology group of  $P_{2g+2}$ , denoted by  $H^*(P_{2g+2})^{\mathfrak{G}_i}$ , includes  $H^*(\mathcal{S}(\Sigma_q;c))$  as a subalgebra.

In [12], based on the result of Lehrer and Solomon in [7], the second named author gave the set of generators of  $H^*(P_n)^{\mathfrak{G}}$  and calculated  $H^*(P_n)^{\mathfrak{G}}$  for the cases  $\mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{S}_{n-q} \times \mathfrak{S}_q$ ,  $n-q \geq q$ . More explicitly, as a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space, we have

$$H^*(P_n)^{\mathfrak{G}} \cong \bigoplus_{\substack{\lambda \\ s \in \mathfrak{G} \setminus \mathfrak{S}_n/\mathbb{Z}_{\lambda} \\ s \in \mathfrak{G} \setminus \mathfrak{S}_n/\mathbb{Z}_{\lambda}}} (s \otimes \zeta_{\lambda}),$$

where  $\lambda$  are the (n-\*) partitions of n,  $\zeta_{\lambda}$  is a 1-dimensional representation of  $\lambda$ ,  $Z_{\lambda}$  is the centralizer of  $\lambda$ ,  $(Z_{\lambda})_s = sZ_{\lambda}s^{-1} \cap \mathfrak{G}$  and  $s \otimes \zeta_{\lambda}$  is defined by  $(s \otimes \zeta_{\lambda})(g) = \zeta_{\lambda}(s^{-1}gs)$ . We give a complete invariant

$$\chi: \mathfrak{G} \backslash \mathfrak{S}_n/Z_{\lambda} \to \{\emptyset\} \sqcup (\coprod_d (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^d/\langle c_d \rangle)$$

for  $H^*(P_n)$ , where  $c_d$  is a cycle of length d. While the case  $\mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{S}_q \overleftrightarrow{\times} \mathfrak{S}_q$ , n = 2q, is unresolved.

In this article, we calculate  $H^*(P_n)^{\mathfrak{G}}$  for the case  $\mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{S}_q \overleftrightarrow{\times} \mathfrak{S}_q$ , n = 2q. In section 2, we give some revisions on the  $(\mathfrak{S}_{n-q} \times \mathfrak{S}_q)$ -invariant part of the rational cohomology of  $P_n$ , where  $n-q \geq q$ . In section 3, we consider the natural surjection

$$\phi: H^*(P_n)^{\mathfrak{S}_q \times \mathfrak{S}_q} \to H^*(P_n)^{\mathfrak{G}}$$

and give the kernel of  $\phi$ . Since we have already calculated  $H^*(P_n)^{\mathfrak{S}_q \times \mathfrak{S}_q}$ , we get  $H^*(P_n)^{\mathfrak{G}}$ . In section 4, we calculate the dimension of  $H^*(P_n)^{\mathfrak{G}}$ , prove that **Theorem 3.1.** 

$$\dim(H^*(P_n)^{\mathfrak{G}}) = \frac{\dim(H^*(P_n)^{\mathfrak{S}_q \times \mathfrak{S}_q}) + |E^P|}{2} - |K^P|.$$

Where  $E^P$  and  $K^P$  are subsets of the set of generators of  $H^*(P_n)^{\mathfrak{S}_q \times \mathfrak{S}_q}$ . We also give a formula to calculate the cardinality  $|E^P|$  and  $|K^P|$ .

## Acknowledgments

The second named author would like to thank Nariya Kawazumi for helpful discussions. All authors contribute equally.

2. Revisions on 
$$H^*(P_n)^{\mathfrak{S}_{n-q} \times \mathfrak{S}_q}$$

For a partition  $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge \cdots \ge \lambda_j > 0)$  of n, let  $c_{\lambda} = c_{\lambda_1} c_{\lambda_2} \cdots c_{\lambda_j}$  be a element of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ , where

$$c_{\lambda_i} = (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_{i-1} + 1, \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_{i-1} + 2, \dots, \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_i)$$

is a cycle of length  $\lambda_i$ . Let  $N_{\lambda}$  be the subgroup of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  generated by  $\{\nu_i|\lambda_i=\lambda_{i+1}\}$ , where

$$\nu_{i} = (\lambda_{1} + \lambda_{2} + \dots + \lambda_{i-1} + 1, \lambda_{1} + \lambda_{2} + \dots + \lambda_{i} + 1)$$

$$(\lambda_{1} + \lambda_{2} + \dots + \lambda_{i-1} + 2, \lambda_{1} + \lambda_{2} + \dots + \lambda_{i} + 2) \dots$$

$$(\lambda_{1} + \lambda_{2} + \dots + \lambda_{i}, \lambda_{1} + \lambda_{2} + \dots + \lambda_{i+1}),$$

 $C_{\lambda} = \langle c_{\lambda_1} \rangle \times \langle c_{\lambda_2} \rangle \times \cdots \times \langle c_{\lambda_j} \rangle$  the direct product of cyclic groups  $\langle c_{\lambda_i} \rangle$ . Let  $Z_{\lambda}$  be the centralizer of the element  $c_{\lambda}$ . Then the centralizer  $Z_{\lambda}$  of the element  $c_{\lambda}$  is the semi-product of  $N_{\lambda}$  and  $C_{\lambda}$ ,  $Z_{\lambda} = N_{\lambda} \ltimes C_{\lambda}$ .

Assume that  $\alpha_{\lambda}$  is the character of  $N_{\lambda}$  defined by  $\alpha_{\lambda}(\nu_{i}) = (-1)^{\lambda_{i}+1}$ ,  $\phi_{\lambda} = (\phi_{\lambda_{1}} \otimes \phi_{\lambda_{2}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_{\lambda_{j}})\varepsilon$  is the character of  $C_{\lambda}$ , where  $\phi_{\lambda_{i}}(g_{i}) = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{\lambda_{i}}}$  and  $\varepsilon$  is the sign character of  $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$ . From [7], the *i*-th cohomology group of  $P_{n}$  has a  $\mathbb{Q}\mathfrak{S}_{n}$ -module isomorphism

$$H^i(P_n) \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda} \operatorname{Ind}_{Z_{\lambda}}^{\mathfrak{S}_n}(\zeta_{\lambda}),$$

where  $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge \cdots \ge \lambda_j > 0)$  are those j partitions of n such that i + j = n and  $\zeta_{\lambda} = \alpha_{\lambda} \phi_{\lambda}$ .

From the classical linear representation theory, we have

$$H^*(P_n)^{\mathfrak{G}} \cong \bigoplus_{\substack{\lambda \\ s \in \mathfrak{G} \setminus S_n/Z_\lambda \\ s \in \mathfrak{G} \setminus S_n/Z_\lambda}} (s \otimes \zeta_\lambda),$$

where  $(Z_{\lambda})_s = sZ_{\lambda}s^{-1} \cap \mathfrak{G}$  and  $s \otimes \zeta_{\lambda}$  is defined by  $(s \otimes \zeta_{\lambda})(g) = \zeta_{\lambda}(s^{-1}gs)$ . Let  $(\mathbb{Z}/2)^n$  be the set of maps  $\delta : [n] \to \mathbb{Z}/2$ . Then we write

$$\delta(1, 2, \cdots, n) = (\delta(1), \delta(2), \cdots, \delta(n)).$$

The right  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  action on  $(\mathbb{Z}/2)^n$  is defined by

$$\delta \circ \sigma(1, 2, \dots, n) = (\delta(\sigma(1)), \delta(\sigma(2)), \dots, \delta(\sigma(n))),$$

where  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ .

**Definition 2.1** ([12] Definition 2.4). We define a map

$$\{\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_{i-1} + 1, \cdots, \lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_i\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2,$$

denoted by  $\delta_{\lambda_i}^{k_i}$ , by  $\delta_{\lambda_i}^{k}(a) = (\delta \circ c_{\lambda_i}^{k-1})(a)$  and call it the i-th cycle of  $\delta$ . We write

$$\delta_{\lambda_1}^{k_1}\delta_{\lambda_2}^{k_2}\cdots\delta_{\lambda_j}^{k_j}=\delta\circ(c_{\lambda_1}^{k_1-1}c_{\lambda_2}^{k_2-1}\cdots c_{\lambda_j}^{k_j-1}).$$

Hence the  $Z_{\lambda}$ -orbit of  $\delta$  is

$$\{\delta_{\lambda_1}^{k_1}\delta_{\lambda_2}^{k_2}\cdots\delta_{\lambda_i}^{k_j}\circ\nu|\nu\in N_\lambda, 1\leq k_i\leq\lambda_i\}.$$

If  $\lambda_i = \lambda_{i+1}$  for some i, then  $\nu_i \in N_{\lambda}$  acts on  $\delta_{\lambda_1}^{k_1} \delta_{\lambda_2}^{k_2} \cdots \delta_{\lambda_i}^{k_j}$  by

$$\delta_{\lambda_1}^{k_1} \cdots \delta_{\lambda_i}^{k_i} \delta_{\lambda_{i+1}}^{k_{i+1}} \cdots \delta_{\lambda_j}^{k_j} \circ \nu_i = \delta_{\lambda_1}^{k_1} \cdots \delta_{\lambda_i}^{k_{i+1}} \delta_{\lambda_{i+1}}^{k_i} \cdots \delta_{\lambda_j}^{k_j}$$

Let

$$B_i(\delta) = \{\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{i-1} + 1 \le i_j \le \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_i | \delta(i_j) = 1\}$$
  
$$\subset \{\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{i-1} + 1, \dots, \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_i\}.$$

We write  $B_i(\delta) = \{b_1 < \dots < b_{d_i}\}$  with  $d_i = |B_i(\delta)|$ . We define an element in  $(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^{d_i}/\langle c_{d_i} \rangle$ , denoted by  $\chi_i(\delta)$ , by

$$\chi_i(\delta) = (b_2 - b_1 - 1, \dots, b_{d_i} - b_{d_i - 1} - 1, \lambda_i - b_{d_i} + b_1)$$

$$= (b_3 - b_2 - 1, \dots, \lambda_i - b_{d_i} + b_1, b_2 - b_1 - 1)$$

$$= \dots,$$

and call it the i-th invariant cycle of  $\delta$ , while  $\chi_i(\delta) = (\lambda_i - 1)$  if  $|B_i(\delta)| = 1$  and  $\chi_i(\delta) = \emptyset$  if  $B_i(\delta) = \emptyset$ . We define a subset of

$$\{\emptyset\} \sqcup (\coprod_d (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^d / \langle c_d \rangle),$$

denoted by  $\chi(\delta)$ , by

$$\chi(\delta) = \{\chi_1(\delta), \chi_2(\delta), \cdots, \chi_j(\delta)\}\$$

and call it the full invariant cycle set of  $\delta$ . We define  $I_{\lambda}(q)$  by

$$I_{\lambda}(q) = \{\chi(\delta)||B_1(\delta)| + \dots + |B_j(\delta)| = q\}.$$

Now we let  $\mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{S}_q \times \mathfrak{S}_q$ ,  $s \in \mathfrak{G} \setminus \mathfrak{S}_n/\mathbb{Z}_\lambda$ . The complete invariant

$$\chi: \mathfrak{G} \backslash \mathfrak{S}_n/Z_{\lambda} \to \{\emptyset\} \sqcup (\coprod_d (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^d/\langle c_d \rangle)$$

is defined by  $\chi(s) = \chi(\delta_s) = \{\chi_1(\delta_s), \chi_2(\delta_s), \dots, \chi_j(\delta_s)\}$ , where  $\delta_s$  is defined by

$$\delta_s(i) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } 1 \le s(i) \le n - q, \\ 1, & \text{if } n - q + 1 \le s(i) \le n. \end{cases}$$

**Theorem 2.2** ([12] Theorem 2.5).  $(s \otimes \zeta_{\lambda}, 1)_{(Z_{\lambda})_s} = 1$  if and only if  $\chi(\delta_s)$  satisfies

- (1)  $1 \leq |B_i(\delta_s)| \leq \lambda_i 1$  for every  $\lambda_i \geq 3$ .
- (2) If  $\lambda_i \geq 3$  with  $\lambda_i \equiv 0, 1, 3 \mod 4$ , then the number of minimum elements in

$$\{(a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_{d_i}), (a_2, a_3, \cdots, a_{d_i}, a_1), \cdots\}$$

equals 1.

(3) If  $\lambda_i \geq 2$  with  $\lambda_i \equiv 2 \mod 4$ , then the number of minimum elements in

$$\{(a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_{d_i}), (a_2, a_3, \cdots, a_{d_i}, a_1), \cdots\}$$

is at most 2.

(4) If there exist some  $\lambda_i = \lambda_{i+1} = 2k$ , then  $|B_i(\delta_s)| > |B_{i+1}(\delta_s)|$  or  $|B_i(\delta_s)| = |B_{i+1}(\delta_s)|$  with  $\chi_i(\delta_s) < \chi_{i+1}(\delta_s)$ .

Let  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_a, 1, 1, \dots)$ ,  $\lambda_i \geq 2, 1 \leq i \leq a$ , be a (n - \*) partition of n. We denote by  $\Lambda(n - *)$  the set of all (n - \*) partitions of n. For a partition  $\lambda \in \Lambda(n - *)$ , we consider

$$d = (d_1, d_2, \cdots, d_a) \in (\mathbb{Z}_{>0})^a$$

and

$$(\lambda, d) = ((\lambda_1, d_1), (\lambda_2, d_2), \cdots, (\lambda_a, d_a))$$

which satisfies  $d_i \geq d_{i+1}$  if  $\lambda_i = \lambda_{i+1}$ . If d satisfies  $d_1 + d_2 + \cdots + d_a \leq q$ , then it is easy to see that there exists some full invariant cycle sets  $\chi(\delta_s)$  such that  $|B_i(\delta_s)| = d_i$ . We define

$$\Pi(\lambda_i, d_i) = \{ \chi_i(\delta_s) \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^{d_i} / \langle c_{d_i} \rangle || B_i(\delta_s)| = d_i, (s \otimes \phi_{\lambda_i} \varepsilon, 1)_{(Z_{\lambda_i})_s} = 1 \}$$

and denote by  $|\Pi(\lambda_i, d_i)|$  the cardinality of  $\Pi(\lambda_i, d_i)$ .

By the definition of  $\chi$  and from Theorem 2.2, if we take

$$\bigcup_{\lambda} \{ s \otimes \zeta_{\lambda} | (s \otimes \zeta_{\lambda}, 1)_{(Z_{\lambda})_{s}} = 1 \}$$

as the set of generators of  $H^*(P_n)^{\mathfrak{G}}$ , then we can get each generator  $s \otimes \zeta_{\lambda}$  by  $\chi_i(\delta_s) \in \Pi(\lambda_i, d_i)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq a$  and some  $d_i$  (cf. [12] Theorem 2.6 and Theorem 3.1).

3. Some results of 
$$H^*(P_n)^{\mathfrak{G}}$$
 when  $\mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{S}_q \overleftrightarrow{\times} \mathfrak{S}_q$ 

In this section, we consider the  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -extension of the direct product of two symmetric groups  $\mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{S}_q \times \mathfrak{S}_q$ , where the  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -extension is given by

$$\sigma = (1, 2q)(2, 2q - 1) \cdots (q, q + 1).$$

Lemma 3.1. For an invariant cycle

$$\chi_i(\delta_s) = (\underbrace{0, \cdots, 0}_{b_1}, a_1, \underbrace{0, \cdots, 0}_{b_2}, a_2, \cdots, \underbrace{0, \cdots, 0}_{b_m}, a_m),$$

we have

$$\chi_i(\delta_{\sigma s}) = (b_1 + 1, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{a_1 - 1}, b_2 + 1, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{a_2 - 1}, \dots, b_m + 1, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{a_m - 1}).$$

Furthermore, it induces a bijection between  $\Pi(\lambda_i, d_i)$  and  $\Pi(\lambda_i, \lambda_i - d_i)$ .

**Proof:** By the definition of  $\sigma$ , we have

$$\delta_{\sigma s}(i) = \delta_{\sigma}(s(i)) = \delta(2q - s(i)) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } 1 \le s(i) \le q, \\ 0, & \text{if } q + 1 \le s(i) \le 2q. \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, we have  $(s \otimes \zeta_{\lambda}, 1)_{(Z_{\lambda})_s} = (\sigma s \otimes \zeta_{\lambda}, 1)_{(Z_{\lambda})_{\sigma s}}$ . Hence  $\chi_i(\delta_{\sigma s}) \in \Pi(\lambda_i, \lambda_i - d_i)$  if and only if  $\chi_i(\delta_s) \in \Pi(\lambda_i, d_i)$ . These prove the Lemma.

We write  $\overline{\chi_i}(\delta_s) = \chi_i(\delta_{\sigma s})$  and call it the dual of  $\chi_i(\delta_s)$ . Then  $\sigma$  acts on the full invariant cycle set

$$\chi(\delta_s) = \{\chi_1(\delta_s), \chi_2(\delta_s), \cdots, \chi_j(\delta_s)\}\$$

in a natural way by

$$\sigma \circ \chi(\delta_s) = \{ \overline{\chi_1}(\delta_s), \overline{\chi_2}(\delta_s), \cdots, \overline{\chi_j}(\delta_s) \}.$$

We also denote  $\overline{\chi}(\delta_s) = \sigma \circ \chi(\delta_s)$  and call it the dual of  $\chi(\delta_s)$ .

Note that  $\mathfrak{S}_q \times \mathfrak{S}_q$  is a normal subgroup of  $\mathfrak{G}$ ,  $\sigma$  acts on  $H^*(P_n)^{\mathfrak{S}_q \times \mathfrak{S}_q}$  in a natural way, hence there exists a natural surjection

$$\phi: H^*(P_n)^{\mathfrak{S}_q \times \mathfrak{S}_q} \to H^*(P_n)^{\mathfrak{G}}$$

of  $\mathbb{Q}\mathfrak{G}$ -modules defined by

$$\phi(s \otimes \zeta_{\lambda}) = s \otimes \zeta_{\lambda} + \sigma(s \otimes \zeta_{\lambda}),$$

where n = 2q. More explicitly, we have

$$\sigma(s \otimes \zeta_{\lambda}) = \varepsilon(\lambda, s)(\sigma s \otimes \zeta_{\lambda}),$$

where  $\varepsilon(\lambda, s) = \pm 1$  is depending on  $\lambda$  and s.

We take

$$\bigcup_{\lambda} \{ s \otimes \zeta_{\lambda} | (s \otimes \zeta_{\lambda}, 1)_{(Z_{\lambda})_{s}} = 1 \}$$

as the set of generators of  $H^*(P_n)^{\mathfrak{S}_q \times \mathfrak{S}_q}$ . For a fixed generator  $s \otimes \zeta_{\lambda}$  with a partition  $\lambda$  of  $H^*(P_n)^{\mathfrak{S}_q \times \mathfrak{S}_q}$ , since  $\sigma s$  is uniquely determined by s,  $\phi(s \otimes \zeta_{\lambda}) \neq 0$  is a generator of  $H^*(P_n)^{\mathfrak{G}}$ . The kernel of  $\phi$  is generated by

$$\bigcup_{\lambda} \{ s \otimes \zeta_{\lambda} - \varepsilon(\lambda, s) (\sigma s \otimes \zeta_{\lambda}) | (s \otimes \zeta_{\lambda}, 1)_{(Z_{\lambda})_{s}} = 1 \}.$$

Recall that  $\lambda$  is a (n-\*) partition of n. We denote by  $\Lambda(n-*)$  the set of all (n-\*) partitions of n. For a partition

$$\lambda = (\underbrace{\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_1}_{|(\lambda_1)|}, \underbrace{\lambda_2, \cdots, \lambda_2}_{|(\lambda_2)|}, \cdots, \underbrace{\lambda_a, \cdots, \lambda_a}_{|(\lambda_a)|}, 1, \cdots, 1) \in \Lambda(n - *),$$

we write

$$\lambda_i \in \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \cdots, \lambda_a\}$$

and

$$|(\lambda_i)| = \sharp \{1 \le j \le |\lambda_1| + \dots + |\lambda_a| |\lambda_j = \lambda_i\}.$$

We consider

$$d = (d_1, d_2, \cdots, d_{|(\lambda_1)| + \cdots + |(\lambda_a)|}) \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^{|(\lambda_1)| + \cdots + |(\lambda_a)|}$$

and

$$(\lambda, d) = ((\lambda_1, d_1), (\lambda_2, d_2), \cdots, (\lambda_{|(\lambda_1)| + \cdots + |(\lambda_a)|}, d_{|(\lambda_1)| + \cdots + |(\lambda_a)|}))$$

which satisfies  $d_i \geq d_{i+1}$  if  $\lambda_i = \lambda_{i+1}$ . For a fixed  $\lambda$ , we denote

$$E = \left\{ (\lambda, d) \middle| \begin{array}{l} \lambda \in \Lambda(n - *), d_1 + \dots + d_{|(\lambda_1)| + \dots + |(\lambda_a)|} \leq q, \\ \exists 0 \leq k_i \leq \lfloor \frac{\lambda_i}{2} \rfloor, 1 \leq i \leq a, \text{ s.t.} \\ d_{|(\lambda_1)| + \dots + |(\lambda_{i-1})| + j} = \lambda_i - d_{|(\lambda_1)| + \dots + 2k_i - j + 1}, \\ d_{|(\lambda_1)| + \dots + |(\lambda_{i-1})| + j} > \frac{\lambda_i}{2}, \\ 1 \leq i \leq a, 1 \leq j \leq k_i, \\ d_{|(\lambda_1)| + \dots + |(\lambda_{i-1})| + j} = \frac{\lambda_i}{2}, \\ 2k_i + 1 \leq j \leq |(\lambda_i)| \end{array} \right\}.$$

## Definition 3.2. Let

$$\lambda = (\underbrace{\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_1}_{|(\lambda_1)|}, \underbrace{\lambda_2, \cdots, \lambda_2}_{|(\lambda_2)|}, \cdots, \underbrace{\lambda_a, \cdots, \lambda_a}_{|(\lambda_a)|}, 1, \cdots, 1)$$

be a partition of n. We define a subset of the set of generators of

$$\bigotimes_{|(\lambda_1)|} H^{\lambda_1 - 1}(P_n)^{\mathfrak{S}_q \times \mathfrak{S}_q} \otimes \cdots \otimes \bigotimes_{|(\lambda_a)|} H^{\lambda_a - 1}(P_n)^{\mathfrak{S}_q \times \mathfrak{S}_q}$$

denoted by  $E^P$ , by

$$E^{P}$$

$$= \bigcup_{(\lambda,d) \in E} \left\{ s \otimes \zeta_{\lambda} \left( \frac{(s \otimes \zeta_{\lambda}, 1)_{(Z_{\lambda})_{s}} = 1,}{\overline{\chi_{|(\lambda_{1})| + \dots + |(\lambda_{i-1})| + j}}(\delta_{s}) = \chi_{|(\lambda_{1})| + \dots + |(\lambda_{i-1})| + j}(\delta_{s}),} \\ \chi_{|(\lambda_{1})| + \dots + |(\lambda_{i-1})| + j}(\delta_{s}) < \overline{\chi_{|(\lambda_{1})| + \dots + |(\lambda_{i-1})| + j}}(\delta_{s}),} \\ 1 \leq i \leq a, 1 \leq j \leq k_{i},} \\ \chi_{|(\lambda_{1})| + \dots + |(\lambda_{i-1})| + j}(\delta_{s}) < \chi_{|(\lambda_{1})| + \dots + |(\lambda_{i-1})| + j}(\delta_{s}),} \\ 1 \leq i \leq a, 1 \leq j \leq k_{i} - 1,} \\ \chi_{|(\lambda_{1})| + \dots + |(\lambda_{i-1})| + j}(\delta_{s}) = \overline{\chi_{|(\lambda_{1})| + \dots + |(\lambda_{i-1})| + j}}(\delta_{s}),} \\ \chi_{|(\lambda_{1})| + \dots + |(\lambda_{i-1})| + j}(\delta_{s}) < \chi_{|(\lambda_{1})| + \dots + |(\lambda_{i-1})| + j}(\delta_{s}),} \\ \chi_{|(\lambda_{1})| + \dots + |(\lambda_{i-1})| + j}(\delta_{s}) < \chi_{|(\lambda_{1})| + \dots + |(\lambda_{i-1})| + j+1}(\delta_{s}),} \\ 1 \leq i \leq a, 2k_{i} < j \leq |(\lambda_{i})| \right\}$$

It is easy to see that if  $s \otimes \zeta_{\lambda} \in E^{P}$ , then  $\pm s \otimes \zeta_{\lambda}$  is a generator of  $H^{*}(P_{n})^{\mathfrak{S}_{q} \times \mathfrak{S}_{q}}$ , where the sign is depending on the lexicographic order of  $\chi(\delta_{s})$ . Hence with respect to the sign, we also say  $E^{P}$  is a subset of the set of the generators of  $H^{*}(P_{n})^{\mathfrak{S}_{q} \times \mathfrak{S}_{q}}$ . We will use  $E^{P}$  to compute  $H^{*}(P_{n})^{\mathfrak{S}}$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** Let  $s \otimes \zeta_{\lambda}$  be a generator of  $H^*(P_n)^{\mathfrak{S}_q \times \mathfrak{S}_q}$ .  $s \otimes \zeta_{\lambda} = \sigma s \otimes \zeta_{\lambda}$  if and only if  $s \otimes \zeta_{\lambda} \in E^P$ .

**Proof:** Assume that  $s \otimes \zeta_{\lambda} \in E^{P}$ , we consider it as an element in

$$\bigotimes_{|(\lambda_1)|} H^{\lambda_1-1}(P_n)^{\mathfrak{S}_q \times \mathfrak{S}_q} \otimes \cdots \otimes \bigotimes_{|(\lambda_a)|} H^{\lambda_a-1}(P_n)^{\mathfrak{S}_q \times \mathfrak{S}_q}.$$

By the definition, the invariant cycles associated with  $\sigma \circ (s \otimes \zeta_{\lambda}) = \pm (\sigma s) \otimes \zeta_{\lambda}$  satisfies

$$\begin{split} & \overline{\chi_{|(\lambda_{1})|+\cdots+|(\lambda_{i-1})|+j}}(\delta_{\sigma s}) = \chi_{|(\lambda_{1})|+\cdots+|(\lambda_{i-1})|+j}(\delta_{s}) \\ = & \chi_{|(\lambda_{1})|+\cdots+|(\lambda_{i-1})|+2k_{i}-j+1}(\delta_{\sigma s}) = \overline{\chi_{|(\lambda_{1})|+\cdots+|(\lambda_{i-1})|+2k_{i}-j+1}}(\delta_{s}), \\ & \chi_{|(\lambda_{1})|+\cdots+|(\lambda_{i-1})|+j}(\delta_{s}) < \overline{\chi_{|(\lambda_{1})|+\cdots+|(\lambda_{i-1})|+j}}(\delta_{s}), \\ & 1 \leq i \leq a, 1 \leq j \leq k_{i}, \\ & \chi_{|(\lambda_{1})|+\cdots+|(\lambda_{i-1})|+j}(\delta_{s}) > \chi_{|(\lambda_{1})|+\cdots+|(\lambda_{i-1})|+j+1}(\delta_{s}), \\ & 1 \leq i \leq a, k_{i} \leq j \leq 2k_{i} - 1, \\ & \chi_{|(\lambda_{1})|+\cdots+|(\lambda_{i-1})|+j}(\delta_{s}) = \overline{\chi_{|(\lambda_{1})|+\cdots+|(\lambda_{i-1})|+j}}(\delta_{s}), \\ & \chi_{|(\lambda_{1})|+\cdots+|(\lambda_{i-1})|+j}(\delta_{s}) > \chi_{|(\lambda_{1})|+\cdots+|(\lambda_{i-1})|+j+1}(\delta_{s}), \\ & 1 \leq i \leq a, 2k_{i} < j \leq |(\lambda_{i})|. \end{split}$$

Hence as an element in

$$\bigotimes_{|(\lambda_1)|} H^{\lambda_1-1}(P_n)^{\mathfrak{S}_q \times \mathfrak{S}_q} \otimes \cdots \otimes \bigotimes_{|(\lambda_a)|} H^{\lambda_a-1}(P_n)^{\mathfrak{S}_q \times \mathfrak{S}_q},$$

we have  $\pm(\sigma s) \otimes \zeta_{\lambda} = s \otimes \zeta_{\lambda}$ . Thus as a generator of  $H^*(P_n)^{\mathfrak{S}_p \times \mathfrak{S}_p}$ , we have  $s \otimes \zeta_{\lambda} = \sigma s \otimes \zeta_{\lambda}$ .

Conversely, if  $s \otimes \zeta_{\lambda} = \sigma s \otimes \zeta_{\lambda}$ , then we have  $s \otimes \zeta_{\lambda} \in E^{P}$  obviously. Lemma 3.4. If  $s \otimes \zeta_{\lambda} \in E^{P}$ , then

$$\varepsilon(\lambda,s) = \prod_{\lambda_i \in \{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\cdots,\lambda_a\}} (-1)^{\frac{|(\lambda_i)|(\lambda_i-1)(\lambda_i-2)}{2} + (\lambda_i-1)^2 k_i (2k_i-1)}.$$

**Proof:** By the definition, we get

$$\varepsilon(\lambda,s) = \prod_{\lambda_i \in \{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\cdots,\lambda_a\}} (-1)^{\frac{|(\lambda_i)|(\lambda_i-1)(\lambda_i-2)}{2} + (\lambda_i-1)^2 k_i(2k_i-1)}$$

by the direct computation.

Now consider the natural surjection

$$\phi: H^*(P_n)^{\mathfrak{S}_q \times \mathfrak{S}_q} \to H^*(P_n)^{\mathfrak{G}}.$$

**Theorem 3.5.** The none trivial elements in the kernel of  $\phi$  restricted by  $E^P$  is generated by

$$K^P = \bigcup_{(\lambda,d)\in K} \{s\otimes \zeta_\lambda\} \subset E^P,$$

where  $K \subset E$  is the set of all  $(\lambda, d)$  such that : there exists odd number of  $1 \le i \le a$ , such that  $\lambda_i$  and  $k_i$  satisfy one of:

- (1) If  $k_i \equiv 0 \mod 2$ , then  $\lambda_i \equiv 0, 3$  with  $|(\lambda_i)| \equiv 1, 3 \mod 4$ .
- (2) If  $k_i \equiv 1 \mod 2$ , then  $\lambda_i \equiv 2 \mod 4$ .
- (3) If  $k_i \equiv 1 \mod 2$ , then  $\lambda_i \equiv 0 \mod 4$  with  $|(\lambda_i)| \equiv 0, 2 \mod 4$ .
- (4) If  $k_i \equiv 1 \mod 2$ , then  $\lambda_i \equiv 3 \mod 4$  with  $|(\lambda_i)| \equiv 1, 3 \mod 4$ .

**Proof:** Assume that  $(s \otimes \zeta_{\lambda}, 1)_{(Z_{\lambda})_s} = 1$ . We will show that  $\phi(s \otimes \zeta_{\lambda}) \neq 0$  when  $s \otimes \zeta_{\lambda} \notin E^{P}$  at first. From Lemma 3.3, conversely, we have  $s \otimes \zeta_{\lambda} \neq \pm \sigma s \otimes \zeta_{\lambda}$ . Hence  $\phi(s \otimes \zeta_{\lambda}) \neq 0$ .

Now assume that  $s \otimes \zeta_{\lambda} \notin E^{P}$ , then from Lemma 3.4 we have

sume that 
$$s \otimes \zeta_{\lambda} \notin E^{\gamma}$$
, then from Lemma 3.4 we have
$$\varepsilon(\lambda, s) = \prod_{\lambda_{i} \in \{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \cdots, \lambda_{a}\}} (-1)^{\frac{|(\lambda_{i})|(\lambda_{i}-1)(\lambda_{i}-2)}{2} + (\lambda_{i}-1)^{2}k_{i}(2k_{i}-1)}.$$

We will calculate  $\varepsilon(\lambda, s) = -1$ . For each  $\lambda_i$ , we have

$$(-1)^{\frac{|(\lambda_i)|(\lambda_i-1)(\lambda_i-2)}{2} + (\lambda_i-1)^2 k_i(2k_i-1)} = -1$$

if and only if  $\lambda_i$  and  $k_i$  satisfy one of

- (1) If  $k_i \equiv 0 \mod 2$ , then  $\lambda_i \equiv 0, 3$  with  $|(\lambda_i)| \equiv 1, 3 \mod 4$ .
- (2) If  $k_i \equiv 1 \mod 2$ , then  $\lambda_i \equiv 2 \mod 4$ .
- (3) If  $k_i \equiv 1 \mod 2$ , then  $\lambda_i \equiv 0 \mod 4$  with  $|(\lambda_i)| \equiv 0, 2 \mod 4$ .
- (4) If  $k_i \equiv 1 \mod 2$ , then  $\lambda_i \equiv 3 \mod 4$  with  $|(\lambda_i)| \equiv 1, 3 \mod 4$ .

These prove the theorem.

4. The dimension of 
$$H^*(P_n)^{\mathfrak{G}}$$

For a fixed  $\lambda$ , we denote

$$E_{1}(\lambda, d) = \left\{ 1 \le i \le |(\lambda_{1})| + \dots + |(\lambda_{a})| | \lambda_{i} \equiv 1 \mod 2, d_{i} > \frac{\lambda_{i}}{2} \right\},$$

$$E_{21}(\lambda, d) = \left\{ 1 \le i \le |(\lambda_{1})| + \dots + |(\lambda_{a})| | \lambda_{i} \equiv 0 \mod 2, d_{i} > \frac{\lambda_{i}}{2} \right\},$$

$$E_{22}(\lambda, d) = \left\{ 1 \le i \le |(\lambda_{1})| + \dots + |(\lambda_{a})| | \lambda_{i} \equiv 0 \mod 2, d_{i} = \frac{\lambda_{i}}{2} \right\},$$

$$\frac{|(\lambda_{i}, d_{i})|}{|(\lambda_{i}, d_{i})|} = \sharp \left\{ 1 \le j \le a |(\lambda_{j}, d_{j})| = (\lambda_{i}, d_{i}) \right\},$$

$$\overline{\Pi(\lambda_{i}, \frac{\lambda_{i}}{2})} = \left\{ \chi_{i}(\delta_{s}) \middle| \begin{array}{c} (s \otimes \phi_{\lambda_{i}}, 1)_{(\underline{Z_{\lambda})_{s}}} = 1, \\ \chi_{i}(\delta_{s}) = \chi_{i}(\delta_{s}) \end{array} \right\}.$$

We denote by  $|E^P|$  and  $|K^P|$  the cardinality of  $E^P$  and  $K^P$  respectively. For  $1 < b < |(\lambda_i, d_i)|$ , we also define simply

$$\overline{K(b)} = \{(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_b) \in (\mathbb{Z}_{>0})^b | k_1 + k_2 + \dots + k_b = |\overline{(\lambda_i, d_i)}| \}$$

for  $\overline{K(\lambda_i, d_i, b)}$ .

Theorem 4.1.

$$dim(H^*(P_n)^{\mathfrak{G}}) = \frac{dim(H^*(P_n)^{\mathfrak{S}_q \times \mathfrak{S}_q}) + |E^P|}{2} - |K^P|.$$

**Proof:** By the definition of  $\phi$ , we can calculate  $\dim(H^*(P_n)^{\mathfrak{G}})$  directly. For a fixed  $\lambda$ , we assume that  $(s \otimes \zeta_{\lambda}, 1)_{(Z_{\lambda})_s} = 1$ .

If  $s \otimes \zeta_{\lambda} \notin E^{P}$ , then we have  $s \otimes \zeta_{\lambda} \neq \sigma s \otimes \zeta_{\lambda}$  with  $\phi(s \otimes \zeta_{\lambda}) = \pm \phi(\sigma s \otimes \zeta_{\lambda})$ . The kernel of  $\phi$  associated with this part is generated by

$$\{s \otimes \zeta_{\lambda} - \varepsilon(\lambda, s)(\sigma s \otimes \zeta_{\lambda}) | (s \otimes \zeta_{\lambda}, 1)_{(Z_{\lambda})_s} = 1\}.$$

Hence the dimension of  $H^*(P_n)^{\mathfrak{G}}$  associated with this part is

$$\frac{\dim(H^*(P_n)^{\mathfrak{S}_q \times \mathfrak{S}_q}) - |E^P|}{2}.$$

If  $s \otimes \zeta_{\lambda} \in E^{P}$ , then from Theorem 3.5,  $K^{P}$  is a set of generators of the kernel of  $\phi$  associated with this part. Hence the dimension of  $H^*(P_n)^{\mathfrak{G}}$  associated with this part is

$$|E^P \setminus K^P| = |E^P| - |K^P|.$$

Thus we have

$$\dim(H^*(P_n)^{\mathfrak{G}}) = \frac{\dim(H^*(P_n)^{\mathfrak{S}_q \times \mathfrak{S}_q}) - |E^P|}{2} + |E^P| - |K^P|$$
$$= \frac{\dim(H^*(P_n)^{\mathfrak{S}_q \times \mathfrak{S}_q}) + |E^P|}{2} - |K^P|.$$

These prove the theorem.

## Theorem 4.2.

$$|E^{P}| = \sum_{(\lambda,d)\in E} \begin{pmatrix} \prod_{i\in E_{1}(\lambda,d)} \left(\sum_{b=1}^{|(\lambda_{i},d_{i})|} |K(b)| \begin{pmatrix} |\Pi(\lambda_{i},d_{i})| \\ b \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ \times \prod_{i\in E_{21}(\lambda,d)} \begin{pmatrix} |\Pi(\lambda_{i},d_{i})| \\ |(\lambda_{i},d_{i})| \end{pmatrix} \\ \times \prod_{i\in E_{22}(\lambda,d)} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{|\Pi(\lambda_{i},\frac{\lambda_{i}}{2})| - |\Pi(\lambda_{i},\frac{\lambda_{i}}{2})|}{2} \\ k_{i} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} |\overline{\Pi(\lambda_{i},\frac{\lambda_{i}}{2})|} \\ |(\lambda_{i},\frac{\lambda_{i}}{2})| - 2k_{i} \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$|K^{P}| = \sum_{(\lambda,d) \in K} \begin{pmatrix} \prod_{i \in E_{1}(\lambda,d)} (\sum_{b=1}^{|(\lambda_{i},d_{i})|} |K(b)| \begin{pmatrix} |\Pi(\lambda_{i},d_{i})| \\ b \end{pmatrix}) \\ \times \prod_{i \in E_{21}(\lambda,d)} \begin{pmatrix} |\Pi(\lambda_{i},d_{i})| \\ |(\lambda_{i},d_{i})| \end{pmatrix} \\ \times \prod_{i \in E_{22}(\lambda,d)} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{|\Pi(\lambda_{i},\frac{\lambda_{i}}{2})| - |\Pi(\lambda_{i},\frac{\lambda_{i}}{2})|}{2} \\ k_{i} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} |\overline{\Pi(\lambda_{i},\frac{\lambda_{i}}{2})}| \\ |(\lambda_{i},\frac{\lambda_{i}}{2})| - 2k_{i} \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Proof:** Similar with the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [12], since  $s \otimes \zeta_{\lambda} \in E^P$  is only depending on each first  $k_i$  and last  $|(\lambda_i)| - 2k_i$  invariant cycles associated with each  $\lambda_i \in \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_a\}$  and  $d_{|(\lambda_1)|+\dots+|(\lambda_{i-1})|+j}$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq k_i$ , we get these formulas immediately.

From Theorem 3.3 in [12], we already get the cardinality of  $\Pi(\lambda_i, d_i)$ . Now we compute the cardinality of  $\overline{\Pi(\lambda_i, \frac{\lambda_i}{2})}$ . We write  $\overline{\Pi(2d, d)}$  for some  $d \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ 

**Lemma 4.3.**  $\chi_i(\delta_s) = \overline{\chi_i}(\delta_s)$  if and only if there exists some divisors  $k \geq 1$  of d, such that  $\delta_s(j) = \delta_s(j + \frac{d}{k}) + 1$ , where

$$\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{i-1} + 1 \le j \le \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{i-1} + \frac{2k-1}{k}d.$$

Moreover,  $\chi_i(\delta_s) \in \overline{\Pi(2d,d)}$  if and only if  $\delta_s(j) = \delta_s(j+d) + 1$  with  $\delta_s(j) \neq 0$  $\delta_s(j+\frac{d}{k})+1$  for every divisor  $k\geq 2$  of d.

**Proof:** By the definition of  $\sigma$ , we have  $\overline{\chi_i}(\delta_s) = \delta_{\sigma s}(j) = \delta_s(j) + 1$  for every  $1 \leq j \leq 2q$ .

Assume that  $\chi_i(\delta_s) = \overline{\chi_i}(\delta_s)$ , then there exists some divisors  $k \geq 1$  of d, such

that  $c_{2d}^{\frac{d}{k}} \circ \delta_{\sigma s} = \delta_s$ . Hence we have  $\delta_s(j) = \delta_s(j + \frac{d}{k}) + 1$ . On the other hand, if there exists a divisor  $k \geq 1$  of d, such that  $\delta_s(j) = 0$  $\delta_s(j+\frac{d}{k})+1$ , then we have  $c_{2d}^{\frac{d}{k}}\circ\delta_{\sigma s}=\delta_s$ . Hence we have  $\chi_i(\delta_s)=\overline{\chi_i}(\delta_s)$ . Thus the first part of this Lemma follows.

Note that if  $\chi_i(\delta_s)$  satisfies  $\delta_s(j) = \delta_s(j + \frac{d}{k}) + 1$  for a divisor  $k \geq 2$  of d, then  $c_{2d}^{\frac{2d}{k}} \circ \delta_s = c_{2d}^{\frac{d}{k}} \circ \delta_{\sigma s} = \delta_s$ . Since  $2d \equiv 0, 2 \mod 4$ , we have  $\chi_i(\delta_s) \notin \overline{\Pi(2d,d)}$  for such  $\chi_i(\delta_s)$ . By the conversation, we complete our proof.

**Theorem 4.4.** Let  $d = 2^{k_0} p_1^{k_1} \cdots p_m^{k_m}$ , where  $p_i$  are some odd primes for  $1 \le i \le m$ , then

$$|\overline{\Pi(2d,d)}| = \frac{1}{2d} (2^d + \sum_{j=1}^m (-1)^j 2^{\frac{d}{p_{i_1} \cdots p_{i_j}}}).$$

**Proof:** For  $\delta_s$ , if  $\delta_s(j) = \delta_s(j + \frac{d}{2^k}) + 1$  for some  $1 \le k \le k_0$ , then we have  $\delta_s(j) = \delta_s(j+d)$ . Hence we don't need to consider this case.

$$A_0 = \{\delta_s | \delta_s(j) = \delta_s(j+d) + 1 \text{ with } \delta_s(j) \neq \delta_s(j+\frac{d}{k}) + 1 \text{ for every divisor } k \geq 2 \text{ of } d\}$$

and

$$A_i = \{\delta_s | \delta_s(j) = \delta_s(j + \frac{d}{p_i}) + 1\}.$$

Then from Lemma 4.3,

$$(\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} A_i)/\langle c_{2d}\rangle$$

is the set of all  $\chi_i(\delta_s)$  such that  $\chi_i(\delta_s) = \overline{\chi_i}(\delta_s)$ ,

$$A_0 \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^m A_i,$$

 $A_0 \cap A_i = \emptyset$ ,  $1 \le i \le m$  and  $A_0/\langle c_{2d} \rangle \simeq \overline{\Pi(2d,d)}$ . Note that

$$|\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} A_i| = 2^d = |\bigcup_{i=0}^{m} A_i|,$$

by using the inclusion-exclusion principle, we have

$$|A_0| = |\bigcup_{i=1}^m A_i| - \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{1 \le i_1 \le \dots \le i_j \le m} (-1)^j |A_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap A_{i_j}|.$$

Since

$$|A_{i_1} \cap \cdots \cap A_{i_j}| = 2^{\frac{d}{p_{i_1} \cdots p_{i_j}}},$$

we have

$$|A_0| = 2^d + \sum_{j=1}^m (-1)^j 2^{\frac{d}{p_{i_1} \cdots p_{i_j}}}.$$

Note that for every  $\delta_s \in A_0$  and for every  $1 \leq j \leq 2d-1$ , we have  $c_{2d}^j \circ \delta_s \neq \delta_s$ . Hence we have

$$|\overline{\Pi(2d,d)}| = \frac{1}{2d} (2^d + \sum_{j=1}^m (-1)^j 2^{\frac{d}{p_{i_1} \cdots p_{i_j}}}),$$

the theorem follows.

#### References

- V. I. Arnold, A remark on the ramification of hyperelliptic integrals as functions of parameters, Funct. Anal. Appl., 2(1968), 187–189.
- [2] V. I. Arnold, The cohomology ring of the colored braids group, Math. Notes, 5(1969), 138– 140.
- [3] Joan S. Birman, *Braids, Links, and Mapping Class Groups*, Annals of Math. Studies **82**(1975).
- [4] Joan S. Birman, Hugh M.Hilden, On the mapping class groups of closed surfaces as covering spaces, *Advances in the Theory of Riemann Surfaces*, Annals of Math. Studies **66**(1971), 81-115.
- [5] D. Johnson, Spin structures and quadratic forms on surfaces, J. London Math. Soc., 22(1980), no. 2, 365–373.
- [6] N. Kawazumi, On the homotopy type of the moduli space of n-point sets of P<sup>1</sup>, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, 37(1990), 263–297.
- [7] G, I, Lehrer, L, Solomon, On the action of the symmetric group on the cohomology of the complement of its reflecting hyperplanes, J. Algebra, 104(1986), 410–424.
- [8] D. Mumford, Tata Lectures on Theta, II, Birkhäuser, Boston-Basel-Stuttgart, 1984.
- [9] O. Randal-Williams, The Picard group of the moduli space of r-Spin Riemann surfaces, Adv. Math., **231**(2012), no. 1, 482–515.
- [10] O. Randal-Williams, Homology of the moduli spaces and mapping class groups of framed, r-Spin and Pin surfaces, J. Topol., 7(2014), no. 1, 155–186.
- [11] G. Wang, The artin braid group actions on the set of spin structures on a surface, Hokkaido Math. J., 52(2023), no. 3, 427-462.
- [12] G. Wang, On the rational cohomology of spin hyperelliptic mapping class groups, preprint (2024), arXiv:2401.03110

YAN FU, CHERN INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, NANKAI UNIVERSITY, TIANJIN, P. R. CHINA  $Email\ address$ : 1120230012@mail.nankai.edu.cn

GEFEI WANG, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCE, PEKING UNIVERSITY, BEIJING, P. R. CHINA *Email address*: wanggefei@math.pku.edu.cn