

Autonomous Learning with High-Dimensional Computing Architecture Similar to von Neumann’s

Pentti Kanerva

Redwood Center for Theoretical Neuroscience

University of California at Berkeley, Berkeley, CA 94720-3198, USA

pkanerva@berkeley.edu

ABSTRACT: We model human and animal learning by computing with high-dimensional vectors ($H = 10,000$ for example). The architecture resembles traditional (von Neumann) computing with numbers, but the instructions refer to *vectors* and operate on them *in superposition*. The architecture includes a high-capacity memory for vectors, analogue of the random-access memory (RAM) for numbers. The model’s ability to learn from data reminds us of deep learning, but with an architecture closer to biology. The architecture agrees with an idea from psychology that human memory and learning involve a short-term working memory and a long-term data store. Neuroscience provides us with a model of the long-term memory, namely, the cortex of the cerebellum. With roots in psychology, biology, and traditional computing, a theory of computing with vectors can help us understand how brains compute. Application to learning by robots seems inevitable, but there is likely to be more, including language. Ultimately we want to compute with no more material and energy than used by brains. To that end, we need a mathematical theory that agrees with psychology and biology, and is suitable for nanotechnology. We also need to exercise the theory in large-scale experiments. Computing with vectors is described here in terms familiar to us from traditional computing with numbers.

KEYWORDS: machine learning; holographic reduced representation; hyperdimensional computing; vector-symbolic architecture; associative memory; Marr–Albus model; cerebellum; sparse distributed memory; working memory

1 Background

We explore the idea that human beings, animals, and robots can learn to act intelligently in the world by building in memory a *predictive model of the world*. The paper complements a 1988 report on the Organization of an Autonomous Learning System, henceforth referred to as the “Target Article” (Kanerva 1988a).

Neural-net associative memories for high-dimensional vectors were studied already in the 1970s, but operations of the right kind for computing with vectors were found only in the 1990s (Plate 1991 for real and complex vectors, Kanerva 1996 for binary vectors, and Gayler & Wales 1998 for vectors of integers). Computing with vectors is subtle in that it takes place in *superposition*. We compare computing with vectors to conventional computing with numbers and argue for modeling and simulating human and animal cognition with a von Neumann-like architecture for computing with high-dimensional vectors.

We begin with an overview of conventional computing and identify corresponding circuits for computing with vectors. We then discuss reasons to distinguish between a working memory and a long-term memory. The vector math is carried out in the working memory and the results are stored in the long-term memory and ultimately drive action. We make no attempt to map the working memory to neural circuits in the brain, but observe that the circuits in the cerebellum are laid out beautifully in 3D for a massive long-term memory for high-dimensional vectors.

The rest of the paper and the target article are about applying the architecture to autonomous learning by robots, modeled after learning by humans and animals with sensory–motor systems controlled by advanced brains. The paper represents a systems approach that is aligned with James Albus’s ideas about robots with human-like faculties. His book on *Brains, Behavior, and Robotics* (1981) was published a decade before ideas about computing with vectors in superposition began to take hold, but is still most relevant and illuminating.

2 Traditional Computing with Numbers

This section is meant to help readers who know computers from having programmed them in high-level languages but know less about the hardware within. The lesson is that the computer in itself is exceedingly simple and that its success comes from programming.

The traditional computing architecture, known also as the von Neumann ar-

chitecture, consists of a *memory* for storing programs and data (Random-Access Memory, RAM), and a *processor* that runs the program and does the math (Central Processing Unit, CPU). The memory is made of sequentially numbered locations, and a location's number serves as its *address*. Even small computers have memories with millions of locations.

A memory location is a piece of hardware that can hold a small amount of data, for example a number, a letter, or a simple command for the processor to carry out, such as adding two numbers. A memory location can be visualized as a fixed number of bits, 32 for example, and the programmer decides what the bits in any particular location mean.

The CPU has two functions, step-by-step *running* of the program and the *math* that is built into the computer's circuits. The math usually includes addition, subtraction, multiplication, and division of numbers, and logic operations on strings of bits (AND, OR, NOT, Shift and Rotate). Fancier operations are made with programs that combine these basic operations. For example, a program to calculate the mean of a set of numbers copies the numbers, one at a time, from the memory into the processor, adds it to the sum, divides the final sum by the count, and stores the result in memory for later use—for comparing the mean heights of two groups of people, for example.

Random Access is an all-important property of the memory. It means that the contents of any location are immediately available to the processor. The processor merely needs to “know” the location's address. Random access is in contrast to storing data on tape or disk that make the processor wait for the addressed memory location to be under the read/write head. The “miracle” of random access is achieved with an address-decoder circuit.

Random access allows lists of numbers to be stored so that any number in the list can start the retrieval of the *rest* of the list (if the numbers are addresses of memory locations). The numbers in the list are used to address the memory and as data to be stored, and the list is stored by stepping through it one number at a time: each number is then used as the address, to store the *next* number in the list. Linked lists, also called *pointer chains*, are standard fare in list-processing languages such as Lisp, and a useful way to store a system's history, as discussed below.

Finally, computers get their inputs from the world and deliver their outputs through transducers that convert between physical signals and their representation in bits.

3 Computing with Vectors

The traditional (von Neumann) architecture for computing with numbers is readily adapted to computing with high-dimensional fixed-width vectors (e.g., $H = 10,000$). The new architecture includes an instruction set for vectors, a Hyperdimensional Processing Unit (HPU) to carry out the vector operations, and a random-access memory for vectors.

3.1 Instruction Set for Vectors

What operations would make for useful computing with vectors? Linear algebra perhaps, a well-established branch of mathematics that has a major role in artificial neural nets. However, we want operations that do not change dimensionality, so that an output of an operation can serve as an input to further operations, and also as an address to memory. However, the product of two vectors in linear algebra is either a matrix or a number, and so we need a different way to multiply vectors. We do it coordinatewise, known as the Hadamard product.

Three simple operations make a surprisingly powerful system to compute with: vector *addition*, coordinatewise *multiplication*, and the shuffling of vector coordinates (*permutation*). The vectors can be binary or integer or real or complex—the computing power comes more from high dimensionality (e.g., $H = 10,000$) than from the nature of vector components.

The operations have been discussed at length in the literature (Plate 2003, Kanerva 2009, 2023) and are illustrated here with *binary* vectors. Addition is by coordinatewise majority rule with ties broken at random (equivalently, ordinary vector addition followed by applying a threshold to each coordinate); multiplication is coordinatewise Exclusive-Or (XOR); and permutations reorder vector coordinates. The algebra of addition and multiplication resemble ordinary arithmetic in regard to associativity, commutativity, invertibility, and distributivity. Permutations have no counterpart in ordinary arithmetic. They are invertible, most do not commute, and they distribute over both vector addition and multiplication. Permutations of coordinates add all finite groups up to size H into the vector math.

The operations allow traditional data structures (sets, sequences, lists, trees, stacks, etc.) to be represented and operated on in superposed vectors (Kleyko et al. 2022). For example, *sets* can be encoded with addition, the *binding* of variables to values with multiplication, *sequences* with permutations, and data structures at large with combinations of the three operations. For a simple example, a vector

that means $x = a$ can be encoded by the product $P = X * A$ where X and A are H -dimensional vectors representing the variable x and the value a , and $*$ is coordinatewise multiplication (XOR for binary vectors). The three operations are also used to decode a composed vector into its constituent vectors: the value of x in P can be recovered by multiplying P with (the inverse of) X , namely $X * P = X * (X * A) = (X * X) * A = A$, and by noting that XOR is its own inverse so that $X * X$ evaluates to a vector of 0s. In ordinary computing, the variable x is represented by an address of a memory location and is buried in the program code, and the value a is represented by the contents of the location. The three operations also allow regularities in data to be captured in vectors, opening a path to statistical learning.

A fourth operation, based on the dot product, measures the *similarity* between vectors (Hamming similarity, cosine, or Pearson correlation for example). The idea is that when vectors are similar their meanings are similar. Nearly all pairs of vectors in a high-dimensional space are dissimilar (effectively orthogonal)—randomly drawn pairs of vectors are approximately orthogonal. An endless supply of approximately orthogonal vectors is a major reason for insisting on high dimensionality.

3.2 Computing in Superposition

Computing in superposition goes by many names, depending mainly on the intended audience—whether mathematicians, physicist, cognitive scientists, linguists, engineers, or computer scientists: Holographic Reduced Representation (HRR), Vector Symbolic Architecture (VSA), Context-Dependent Thinning, Hyperdimensional Computing, and Semantic Pointer Architecture (SPA). Each item or element or feature or thing or piece of data—each vector—that is included in a superposed vector is distributed evenly over all vector components—any subset of vector components represents the same thing as the entire vector, only less precisely. Representation of this kind is called “holographic” or “holistic,” as opposed to the conventional localist representation where a variable is represented by an address of a memory location and its value by the contents of the location. The encoding of $x = a$ with the vector P above is an example of holistic representation.

Computing in superposition begins with H -dimensional *seed vectors* chosen at random (with i.i.d. components), to represent basic entities such as variables and names. In essence, they are *symbols* to compute with. They can be used as addresses to memory and as data stored in memory, and as inputs to the math operations that

combine them into new vectors, which in turn can address the memory, be stored as data, and serve as inputs to further vector operations. New representations are made from existing ones with explicit calculation, which is fundamentally different from the generation of representations in an autoencoder or in the layers of a deep neural net as it is trained.

The operations allow a high degree of *parallelism*. Because addition and multiplication happen coordinatewise, independently of other coordinates, all H (10,000) can be done at the same time. Furthermore, because the operations are simple, it is feasible for each dimension to have its own processor circuit. Computing with vectors in superposition can then be seen as each coordinate having its own simple computer, all computing the same thing but at exceedingly low precision—down to 1-bit. On the aggregate, however, they compute with high precision if the dimensionality is high enough—and even if some of the simple circuits malfunction! In contrast, traditional circuits for computing with numbers are complicated and are expected to work flawlessly. The simplicity of the coordinatewise operations makes it possible to distribute them throughout the system, reducing the need to move data before it can be computed on. This is called “in-memory computing.”

4 Ideas for Architecture from Biology and Psychology

How does computing with vectors fare in the light of biology and behavioral sciences? Psychological research in the 1960s and '70s identified two levels of organization of human memory, short-term working memory and long-term data store (Atkinson & Shiffrin 1968, Anderson & Bower 1973; see also Wikipedia article on “Atkinson–Shiffrin memory model”). Representations are assembled and analyzed in the working memory and stored in the long-term memory (Tulving & Donaldson 1972, Tulving & Thomson 1973). These early models summarize behavior without accounting for underlying mechanisms and their math.

The psychologists’ models are similar to traditional computing that assembles and interprets data structures in the central processing unit (CPU) and stores them in the random-access memory (RAM). Computing with vectors follows the same organization: vectors are encoded and decoded in the working memory (analogue of CPU) and stored indefinitely in the long-term memory (analogue of RAM). We will discuss the long-term memory first.

4.1 Long-Term Memory for Vectors

Memory allows past experience to bear on the present situation. *Associative memory*, also called *content-addressable* (these terms lack a precise definition), has been an object of psychological inquiry for over a century (James 1890, Raaijmakers & Shiffrin 1981), and mathematical models have been proposed since the early days of artificial neural nets (see Hinton & Anderson 1981 for a review). *Sparse Distributed Memory* (Kanerva 1988b) takes the idea a step further by assuming that the memory could have billions of locations and that its function is long-term data storage. In the rest of this paper, “long-term memory,” “associative memory,” and “high-dimensional RAM” mean the same thing and are often referred to simply as the “memory.”

A psychologically realistic memory for vectors is also addressed by vectors—think of a 10,000-bits wide memory, addressed by 10,000-bit vectors. The number of possible addresses (2-to-power-10,000) is beyond astronomical; only a minuscule fraction can be present as physical storage locations. Yet it is possible to simulate random access by distributing data over multiple locations and reconstructing it upon retrieval. The idea is familiar from neural-net associative memories at large (e.g., Hopfield 1982).

When the sparse distributed memory is addressed, a small number of locations are activated—fewer than one in a thousand. The activated locations either accept (store) data or retrieve (recall) data. There is a further difference to note: storing a number in a computer memory replaces the old contents of the activated/addressed location; storing a vector in an associative memory *adds it to the contents of all activated locations*—the newly stored data are *superposed*.

An associative memory’s likeness to the cortex of the cerebellum is remarkable (Marr 1969, Albus 1971, Kanerva 1993). With a granule cell of the cerebellum representing a storage location, the human brain would have 50 billion locations in which to store a vector. A rough calculation shows that an associative memory of that size could store one vector every minute (more than 10 million bits a day) over a life span of a 100 years.

Catastrophic forgetting is a known phenomenon of artificial neural nets. It is in part due to the limit on the amount of information that any given “hardware” can store, and in part to training a monolithic network with error back-propagation. Catastrophic means that when the capacity limit is reached, the net changes drastically and begins to produce outputs that are unrelated to the vectors it was trained

with—it’s akin to a phase transition in physics where the properties of a substance change suddenly, as when super-cooled water turns into a block of ice. The alternative is *graceful degradation*, which can be achieved with a memory that is organized in locations whose contents can change but addresses are fixed, as they do in ordinary computer memory, in the sparse distributed memory, and apparently also in the cortex of the cerebellum where most synapses are modifiable (store data) but a small fraction (1%) is fixed (they decode the address). However, that 1% serves as a framework for recording a lifetime of experience.

4.2 Short-Term Working Memory

There is more to consider when computing with vectors in superposition: just how many vectors to encode into a single vector? The number is limited by vector dimension and determines the amount of structure that a single vector can hold (Gallant & Okaywe 2013, Frady et al. 2018), beyond which it is necessary to store vectors in the long-term memory. Psychologists call it *chunking*.

The human mind can recall large amounts of data that has been organized in chunks (and in chunks of chunks, and so on). George Miller’s (1956) “Magical Number Seven, Plus or Minus Two” is the classic on the topic. The working memory combines pieces of data into chunks and stores them in the associative memory, and recalls chunks into the working memory, to compose them into further chunks or to break them down into their constituent parts.

Miller’s magical number suggests that a chunk should combine fewer than ten items. That is also about the number of vectors that can be encoded into a single vector and readily decoded with the vector math. With psychology and the vector math as clues, we assume that a working memory can hold and operate on up to about ten vectors at a time.

5 Modeling the World with Vectors

To help us understand intelligence in animals (and in ourselves), we model them with robots whose behavior is controlled by “brains” that compute with high-dimensional vectors. A robot has sensors and actuators for interacting with the world, an associative memory for storing a model of the world, and a working memory where the world model is constructed and interpreted with the vector math (Teeters et al. 2023).

5.1 The Focus

The contents of the working memory are summarized in a single H -dimensional vector called the *focus*. The sensors feed into the focus, the activators are driven from the focus, the memory is addressed by the focus, and vectors in and out of the memory pass through the focus. The vector in the focus is the system’s (robot’s) *state* and it represents the robot’s subjective experience at the moment—the focus is the robot’s subjective self.

The focus is a theoretical construct meant to capture the idea of an integrated self. For example, any of a number of things can bring to our minds a specific experience from the past. It could be a specific sight or sound or smell or ache or pain, or some combination of them. Thus any one of them can serve as an address to memory, to evoke the past experience. Similarly, we recognize a person by how they look or sound or move or act: all those different modalities point to the same person.

It is befitting that the focus is limited to a single vector because our minds can attend to only a few things at a time (cf. Miller’s magical number 7). Limited capacity is behaviorally realistic. Furthermore, because the focus is at the crossroads of sensors, actuators, and memory, an experience created by the world through the senses can also be created from the memory. In computing terms, they reside in a *common H -dimensional space*, allowing the history to be stored as a pointer chain.

As an added benefit, representing sensory modalities and action in common mathematical space allows generic algorithms to be used for learning. It also means that we need to turn physical (and chemical) signals with vastly different properties (sight, sound, taste, smell, temperature, pressure, etc.) into H -dimensional vectors for the working memory. Best ways to do it will be worked out over time. Meanwhile, standard signal-processing techniques together with random projections have been used successfully. For example, letters of the alphabet have been represented by random vectors for written-language identification (Joshi et al. 2017), words of a language have been represented by random vectors for comparing word meaning (Kanerva et al. 2000), and Fourier coefficients for speech have been randomly projected to the H -dimensional space for speaker identification (Huang et al. 2022).

Looking ahead, we need to learn how nervous systems and brains prepare sensory input for further processing, and build that into artificial systems. For example, the cochlea of the inner ear analyses sound into frequencies—it Fourier-transforms the sound before passing it on to the rest of the brain. Regarding vision, the optic

nerve brings in information along about 1.4 million fibers and the primary visual cortex distributes it among 280 million neurons—a 200-fold increase (the numbers are from Wikipedia).

5.2 The Passage of Time

To act intelligently in the world, the actor—an individual or a robot—must deal with the passage of time. We are concerned here with subjective time and represent it with a sequence of *moments*, and a moment with the focus vector. A system’s *history* is then a life-long sequence of vectors for moments of time. The history can be divided into *episodes* defined by shared context. The challenge is to store the history in a way that allows a system to learn from experience.

Short sequences, up to ten or so, can be encoded into a single vector in the working memory and treated as a chunk. Joshi et al. (2017) encoded short sequences of letters (N -grams) as chunks and used them to identify languages. The long-term associative memory makes it possible to deal with longer sequences by storing the history as a life-long pointer chain (see Traditional Computing with Numbers above). The vector for the present moment—the present state, the focus—can then be used as an address to trigger the recall of similar past episodes.

5.3 Sensing and Acting

Sensing. Animals have elaborate *sensory* systems that receive data about the world: the brain “swims” in a torrent of data and needs to find regularities and patterns in the data stream. A wealth of sensory input comes from the body itself. In fact, the body can be regarded as a part of the world from which we receive input and on which we act.

Detecting. Recognizing previously encountered states makes it possible to detect irregularities and anomalies that can serve as an alarm, for example. It does not take much to see that “wrord” is anomalous, for example.

Detection can be accomplished with very basic learning: store the vector for each moment in the memory using the vector itself as the address—this is called “autoassociation.” Frequently occurring similar vectors are reinforced and infrequent vectors become background noise. When the sensory data for a moment arrives, it can be used to address the memory to determine whether it represents something new or something “seen” before. If it recalls a dissimilar vector the new vector is stored, if the two are essentially the same the new vector can be ignored, but if

they are similar, the difference between the two can be analyzed with the vector math to choose an action to take.

Predicting. Intelligent behavior requires more than the ability to detect whether I have seen this before or been here before. We need to look ahead: what to expect next and how to act accordingly. We need to exploit regularities in data that unfold over time.

Prediction can be accomplished by storing the system's history in the memory as a pointer chain, as discussed above. When a vector for a moment recurs and is used to address the memory, it recalls—and predicts—the next moment. A moment later the prediction can be compared to what actually happens—this is essentially anomaly detection that can initiate further action.

Acting. To talk of acting implies the existence of an *actor*. We liken it to a robot or an animal or a human being coping with a seemingly boundless and indifferent world. To exist in the world, the actor needs to acquire resources from the world (material and energy) and to steer out of harm's way—to eat and not to be eaten. The idea of an actor implies the notion of “self,” an individual that is separate from the rest of the world—we use the word “individual” for actors of different kind but not for the world. Individuals/actors have some degree of autonomy. The individual's actions become a part of the world model.

Reacting. Reaction is a response to a stimulus. Some animal behaviors are passed on genetically and other are learned during life. The model deals with both—see discussion of the preference function in the target article. Learning in real time takes advantage of the memory's ability to predict. The actions and reactions of today's robots are mostly programmed, and very little learning takes place in real time out in the field.

Interacting implies two or more parties reacting to each other's actions. If one of the parties is the indifferent world, the individual can act on it, to discover how the world works. When the interaction is between two individuals, each one can learn about the other by probing, but there is more: rather than being indifferent, the two can cooperate or compete, in which case my model of the world needs to include a model of you, which needs to include a model of me, and so on. To avoid infinite regress, we project ourselves to the other individual—act as if the other were like ourselves—and regress only a step or two beyond that.

What is the individual's relation to its model of the world: how is the model used? We can think of the model as a database that the individual consults, to evaluate alternative actions and to choose one that is appropriate for the present

situation. Most of today’s robots work like that, but it is not what actually happens in brains because it assumes a high-level executive (a homuncules) that runs the show. The use of the model by the individual needs to be more organic. Looked at in that way, rather than an individual having a model of the world, the individual *is* the model.

Interaction with the world and its individuals are the data for the world model. An individual’s interaction is experienced as a sequence of states of the focus that include both sensory and motor components. When stored in memory as a pointer chain, it allows the individual to predict and to act according to the prediction. The details are worked out in the target article (Kanerva 1988a) and will not be repeated here. We merely comment on it below.

6 The Organization of an Autonomous Learning System (1988) in Retrospect

Computing with high-dimensional vectors tries to capture the organization of animals that learn and adapt. It is based on the idea that an associative memory can learn *predictive* modeling of the world with a circuit that is strikingly similar to the cerebellum’s. In psychologists’ terms, the associative memory is a long-term data store.

The architecture includes a working memory. Its contents are summarized in a single vector called the *focus*. The state of the focus represents the system’s/robot’s/individual’s subjective experience from moment to moment.

The 1988 paper has nothing concrete to suggest about how the working memory actually works; it merely refers to an “Encoding Problem” as yet to be solved. The gist of the encoding problem was solved in the 1990s by computing with vectors in *superposition*. Other than that, the architecture is conventional (von Neumann) and suitable for both symbolic (Gayler 2003) and probabilistic (Joshi et al. 2017) computing.

The 1988 paper refers to varying the amount of information that a given data source or destination contributes to the focus vector, but does not tell us how actually to do it. Superposition suggests an answer. When each data source and destination is encoded into an H -dimensional vector, the vectors can be weighted by importance before adding them to the focus. For example, we can prioritize sight

over sound, or vice versa, depending on the context. And so we would no longer refer to feature vectors, as that suggests vectors being partitioned into fields for features. Every “feature” that is encoded into a vector is present in every coordinate. In fact, what we traditionally think of as features are more like afterthoughts, to help us compartmentalize the world. If everything in the world were green, color as a feature would not exist.

7 Where to Now?

Computing with high-dimensional vector in superposition seems particularly suited for simulating—and possibly understanding—intelligence of animals with advanced sensory–motor systems and brains. Much needs to be worked out, however, to develop the idea into a viable technology. Fortunately, developing and testing does not require excessive hardware or computing. Ample opportunity to experiment, innovate and invent is within reach. Here are some of the challenges we face.

Programming and Control. Whether and how to do the actual programming with superposed vectors is unclear. For now, conventional programs running in conventional computers control the operation of the hyperdimensional computer in simulation or prototype.

Sparse Vectors. Matching sets of operations for dense vectors have been known from the start (1990s). They are easy to compute with but inefficient. We need good sets of operations for sparse vectors without compromising computing power. The algebra of the operations must allow multiple vectors to be encoded into a single vector, operated on, and the result decoded into known vectors.

Associative Memory. Computing with vectors depends crucially on a high-capacity associative memory for high-dimensional vectors (a high-D RAM), that does a fast near-neighbor search in a huge and sparsely populated address space. When vectors are stored in an associative memory with themselves as addresses (called autoassociative) they can become fix points with basins of attraction of a dynamical system, resulting in rapid retrieval of stored vectors. The sparse distributed memory (Kanerva 1988b) demonstrates the concept but is inefficient. The circuits of the cerebellum can suggest more efficient designs, for example by judicious use of randomness and sparseness.

Noise. One of nature’s marvels is how we recognize a person or an object or a place or a situation—anything—as being the *same* now as at some earlier times, and distinct from other things, in spite of the data arriving at the senses never

repeating exactly. The tendency to categorize things based on what we expect of them, seems to be built in. But even “built in” needs explaining: a physical process that sustains the behavior. Without going into it here, we merely presume that high dimensionality plays an important role, and instead look at how variability in input is handled in cognitive modeling today.

Objects, etc., are treated as points in a feature space that has a similarity measure. Prototypical objects occupy regions of the space, and nearby points are considered to be noisy versions of them. This works when most points are far away, as they are in a high-dimensional space. If the prototypes are stored in memory as fixed point, a noisy version that falls in a basin of attraction is recognized with a slight delay typical of attractor networks.

Another source of “noise” cannot be ignored, and it has to do with encoding and decoding of superposed vectors. Multiplication and permutation (of binary vectors) are invertible and preserve information, but addition is only approximately invertible, and so the decoding of a vector sum puts out noisy vectors. That sets a limit on the number of vectors to include in a sum, and the need to clean up vectors decoded from a sum before further operating on them.

Neuroscience. We have a reasonable idea of how a long-term memory in the brain is organized (cf. the cerebellum) but none for the working memory. If computing with vectors produces human-like or animal-like behavior with energy efficiency comparable to the brain’s, we can hope to understand and identify brain’s circuits for working memory.

Psychology and Psychophysics. Psychologists have probed human (and animal) performance in countless experiments, and conjectured about processes that underlie memory recall, response time, attention span, interference, ability to discriminate, and so on. Relating their observations to computing with high-dimensional vectors can deepen our understanding of the underlying processes and lead to the engineering of more lifelike robots, for example.

Modeling of brains with high-dimensional vectors also opens new vistas in experimental psychology. As the theory and models improve, they will suggest experiments to confirm or counter hypothesized underlying mechanisms. This can benefit both psychology and engineering.

Language and logic are the apex of symbolic behavior and have even been considered as defining intelligence. Symbolic processing also formed the early core of AI—until we tried to build systems that learn by interacting with the world. Where logic and language failed, artificial neural nets were thought to succeed, leading to

today’s deep neural nets. Impressive as they are, their energy requirements so exceed the efficiency of brains, as to rule them out as models of how brains work and make us intelligent.

Human and animal intelligence is based on both learning from sensor data—exploiting regularities in the statistics—and reasoning, even in the absence of fully developed language. Artificially intelligent systems need to be capable of both, which is what computing with vectors aims at. The algebra of the operations makes symbolic processing possible (e.g., the explicit encoding, storage and decoding of structured data), and high-dimensional representation can include probabilities without explicit tallying and bookkeeping.

8 Summary

The paper outlines a traditional (von Neumann-like) architecture for computing with high-dimensional vectors and argues for its use for autonomous learning in real time by systems such as robots. It is also meant to help us understand how humans and animals learn. It combines ideas from psychology (short-term working memory and long-term data store, chunking), neuroscience (cerebellum-like circuit for an associative memory), computer science and engineering (central processing unit, random-access memory, sequence as a pointer chain), and mathematics (algebra of vector operations, effective orthogonality of random vectors). Peculiar about computing with vectors is that it takes place in superposition. Variables and values are vectors of a *common mathematical space*, and so is a variable having a certain value. The memory for storing the vectors is also addressed by the vectors—it’s a “high-dimensional RAM.”

The subjective state of the system is defined by a single vector called the *focus*. It summarizes the contents of the working memory. The sensors feed into the focus, the actuators are driven from the focus, and the memory is accessed through the focus. The passage of time is represented by a sequence of vectors in the focus. Stored in the memory as a pointer chain, it becomes a predictive model of the world.

Autonomous learning requires that some sensory states start out as inherently desirable (satisfy a need) and some as inherently undesirable (painful). A model of the world that includes the system’s own actions can then be used to learn sequences of actions that lead to desirable states and avoid harmful ones.

The architecture is *shallow*. The memory has *one fixed layer* for activating mem-

ory locations and *one variable layer* for storing and recalling data in the activated locations. The fixed layer corresponds to the address-decoder circuit of a computer memory (RAM), and the variable layer corresponds to memory stacks that store the data. This architecture is suggested by the wiring of the cortex of the cerebellum, which contains over half the brain's neurons and synapses. The variable layer can be trained in real time by the perceptron learning rule, and learning can happen in only a few rehearsals.

The vector math is carried out in the working memory (analogue of the Central Processing Unit, CPU) with an instruction set consisting of coordinatewise addition and multiplication, and the permutation of coordinates. Similarity of vectors is based on the dot product. The operations can combine symbolic processing and probabilistic learning.

Interaction with the world happens through sensors and actuators. Input is made into high-dimensional vectors for the working memory/focus with sensor-specific *pre-processing*, and the focus is decoded for commands to actuators with motor-specific *post-processing*. Pre- and post-processing can be engineered, inherited or learned early in the system's existence in multiple passes with backpropagation.

Computing with vectors addresses many of the same issues as deep neural nets but with an architecture more like that of a traditional computer, or of animals with advanced brains. It should do well at simulating human and animal cognition, but that is for future research to determine.

Conflict of Interest Statement. The author declares that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Author Contributions. PK is the sole author of the article.

Funding. This work is supported in part by CoCoSys, one of seven centers in JUMP 2.0, a Semiconductor Research Corporation (SRC) program sponsored by DARPA.

Acknowledgments. Special thanks to professor Bruno Olshausen and his Redwood Center for Theoretical Neuroscience, for providing a fertile setting for the development of ideas expressed in this paper.

Publisher's Note. All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or

those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplemental Material. RIACS Report 88.14 on the Organization of an Autonomous Learning System (Kanerva 1988a), referred to here as the *Target Article*.

References

- Albus JS (1971). A theory of cerebellar functions. *Mathematical Biosciences* 10:25–61.
- Albus JS (1981). *Brains, Behavior, and Robotics*. Peterborough, N.H.: BYTE Books/McGraw–Hill.
- Anderson JR, Bower GH (1973). *Human Associative Memory*. Washington D.C.: V. H. Winston & Sons.
- Atkinson RC, Shiffrin RM (1968). Human memory: A proposed system and its control processes. In Spence KW, Spence JT (eds.). *The Psychology of Learning and Motivation: Advances in Research and Theory* 2:89–195. New York: Academic Press.
- Fraday EP, Kleyko D, Sommer FT (2018). A theory of sequence indexing and working memory in recurrent neural networks. *Neural Computation* 30(6):1449–1513.
- Gallant SI, Okaywe TW (2013). Representing objects, relations, and sequences. *Neural Computation* 25(8):2038–2078.
- Gayler RW (1998). Multiplicative binding, representation operators and analogy. In Holyoak K, Gentner D, Kokinov B (eds.), *Advances in Analogy Research: Integration of Theory and Data from the Cognitive, Computational, and Neural Sciences*, p.405. Sofia, Bulgaria: New Bulgarian University. <http://cogprints.org/502/>
- Gayler RW, Wales RJ (1998). Connections, binding, unification and analogical promiscuity. In Holyoak K, Gentner D, Kokinov B (eds.), *Advances in Analogy Research: Integration of Theory and Data from the Cognitive, Computational, and Neural Sciences*, pp. 181–190. Sofia, Bulgaria: New

- Bulgarian University. <http://cogprints.org/500/>
- Gayler RW (2003). Vector Symbolic Architectures answer Jackendoff’s challenges for cognitive neuroscience. In Slezak P (ed.), *Proc. Joint International Conference on Cognitive Science (CogSci 2003)*, pp. 133–138. Sidney, Australia: University of New South Wales.
<http://arxiv.org/abs/cs/0412059>
- Hinton GE, Anderson JA, eds. (1981). *Parallel Models of Associative Memory*. Hillsdale, N.J.: Erlbaum.
- Hopfield JJ (1982). Neural networks and physical systems with emergent collective computational abilities. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 79(8): 2554–2558. (Biophysics)
- Huang P-C, Kleyko D, Rabaey JM, Olshausen BA, Kanerva P (2022). Computing with hypervectors for efficient speaker identification.
arXiv:2208.13285 [cs.SD]
- James W (1890). *The Principles of Psychology*. New York: Henry Holt and Company.
- Joshi A, Halseth JT, Kanerva P (2017). Language geometry using random indexing. In de Barros JA, Coecke B, Pothos E (eds.), *Quantum Interaction: 10th International Conference, QI 2016, San Francisco, CA, July 20–22, 2016, Revised Selected Papers*, pp. 265–274. Cham, Switzerland: Springer.
- Kanerva P (1988a). The organization of an autonomous learning system. Report 88.14, Research Institute for Advanced Computer Science, NASA Ames Research Center. Appears also as Chapter 10 in Kanerva 1988b.
<https://ntrs.nasa.gov/api/citations/19890004605/downloads/19890004605.pdf> (accessed September 11, 2024)
- Kanerva P (1988b). *Sparse Distributed Memory*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Kanerva P (1993). Sparse Distributed Memory and related models. In Hassoun MH (ed.), *Associative Neural Memories: Theory and Implementation*; pp.50–76. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Kanerva P (1996). Binary spatter-coding of ordered K -tuples. In von der Malsburg C, von Seelen W, Vorbruggen JC, Sendhoff B (eds.) *Artificial*

- Neural Networks – ICANN 96 Proceedings* (Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 1112), pp. 869–873. Berlin: Springer–Verlag.
- Kanerva P (2009). Hyperdimensional Computing: An introduction to computing in distributed representation with high-dimensional random vectors. *Cognitive Computation* 1(2):139–159.
- Kanerva P (2023). Hyperdimensional Computing: An algebra for computing with vectors. In Chen A (ed.), *Advances in Semiconductor Technologies: Selected Topics Beyond Conventional CMOS*; pp. 25–42. Hoboken, N.J.: Wiley/IEEE Press. ISBN: 9781119869580.
- Kanerva P, Kristoferson J, Holst A (2000). Random indexing of text samples for latent semantic analysis. In Gleitman LR, Josh AK (eds.), *Proc. 22nd Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society* (CogSci’00, Philadelphia), p. 1036. Mahwah, New Jersey: Erlbaum, 2000.
- Kleyko D, Davies M, Frady EP, Kanerva P, Kent SJ, Olshausen BA, Osipov E, Rabaey JM, Rachkovskij DA, Rahimi A, Sommer FT (2022). Vector Symbolic Architectures as a computing framework for emerging hardware. *Proceedings of the IEEE* 110(10):1538–1571.
DOI 10.1109/JPROC.2022.3209104
- Marr D (1969). A theory of cerebellar cortex. *J. Physiol. (London)* 202:437–470.
- Miller GA (1956). The magical number seven, plus or minus two: Some limits on our capacity for processing information. *Psychological Review* 63(2):81–97.
- Plate TA (1991). Holographic Reduced Representations: Convolution algebra for compositional distributed representations. In Mylopoulos J, Reiter R (eds.), *Proceedings of the 12th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence (IJCAI)*, pp. 30–35, San Mateo, CA: Morgan Kaufmann.
- Plate TA (2003). *Holographic Reduced Representation: Distributed Representation of Cognitive Structure*. Stanford, CA: CSLI Publications.
- Raaijmakers JGW, Shiffrin RM (1981). Search of associative memory. *Psychological Review* 88(2):93–134.
- Teeters JL et al. (2023). On separating long- and short-term memories in

hyperdimensional computing. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*. 9 Jan 2023. DOI 10.3389/fnins.2022.867568

Tulving E, Donaldson W (1972). *Organization of Memory*. Academic Press.

Tulving E, Thomson DM (1973). Encoding specificity and retrieval processes in episodic memory. *Psychological Review* 80(5):352–373.

<https://doi.org/10.1037/h0020071>