CONVERGENT POWER SERIES FOR ANHARMONIC CHAIN WITH PERIODIC FORCING

PEDRO L. GARRIDO, TOMASZ KOMOROWSKI, JOEL L. LEBOWITZ, AND STEFANO OLLA

ABSTRACT. We study the case of a pinned anharmonic chain of oscillators, with coordinates $(\mathbf{q}.\mathbf{p}) = \{(q_x, p_x) : x \in \mathbb{Z}_N = \{-N, \dots, N\}\}$, subjected to an external driving force $\mathcal{F}(\cdot)$ of period $\theta = 2\pi/\omega$ acting on the oscillator at x = 0. The system evolves according to a Hamiltonian dynamics with frictional damping, $\gamma > 0$, present at both endpoints x = -N, N. The Hamiltonian is given by

$$\mathcal{H}_{N}(\mathbf{q}.\mathbf{p}) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_{N}} \left[\frac{p_{x}^{2}}{2} + \frac{1}{2} (q_{x} - q_{x-1})^{2} + \frac{\omega_{0}^{2} q_{x}^{2}}{2} + \nu \Big(V(q_{x}) + U(q_{x} - q_{x-1}) \Big) \right],$$
(0.1)

where $V(\cdot)$ and $U(\cdot)$ are C^2 smooth anharmonic pinning and interaction potentials with bounded second derivatives, $\omega_0 > 0$ and $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$. We prove that if ω does not fall in the spectrum of the infinite harmonic system $\mathcal{I} \coloneqq [\omega_0, \sqrt{\omega_0^2 + 4}]$, then there exists a $\nu_0 > 0$ such that for $|\nu| < \nu_0$ the system approaches asymptotically in time a unique θ -periodic solution, whose coordinates are given by a convergent power type series in ν . The series coefficients are bounded and smooth functions of ν . The value of ν_0 is a lower bound on the radius of convergence, independent of N, the friction coefficient $\gamma > 0$ and \mathcal{F} . It depends only on the supremum norm of $V''(\cdot)$ and $U''(\cdot)$ and the distance of the set of integer multiplicities of ω from \mathcal{I} .

1. INTRODUCTION

The study of one-dimensional coupled oscillator models out of equilibrium plays an important role in statistical mechanics. On the atomistic level it was initiated by the seminal work of Fermi-Pasta-Ulam-Tsingou [17]. Their work was perhpas the first application of digital computers to a non-military scientific goal. The results of simulations, where they found lack of ergodicity in a chain with anharmonic interactions, are still a source of investigation and a challenge to theoretists, [7, 18, 15, 31]. There has also been much work on transport properties of anharmonic chains both for systems in contact with thermal reservoirs and for systems driven by external periodic forces, see [1, 2, 5, 29, 11, 12, 13, 21, 22, 16, 33, 34] and references therein.

Date: April 1, 2025File: new-integer-lattice-article-submit.tex.

P.G. acknowledges the support of the Project I+D+i Ref.No. PID2023-149365NB-I00, funded by MICIU/AEI/10.13039/501100011033/ and by ERDF/EU, T.K acknowledges the support of the NCN grant 2024/53/B/ST1/00286.

In the present paper we study a one-dimensional anharmonic chain with an external periodic forcing applied at a single site and damping forces at its ends.

Computer simulations on such a system, where a quartic anharmonicity is added to a pinned harmonic potential, reveal a rich variety of steady states emerging as the driving parameters of the external force are varied, see [25, 23, 5, 6, 32, 26, 8, 20].

The harmonic version of this model was rigorously studied by us in a recent work [19]. Here, we demonstrate that, under specific conditions on the anharmonicity and the forcing frequency, a rigorous perturbation scheme can be established around the pure harmonic case.

1.1. Microscopic dynamics. The configuration of the system is given by

$$(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) = (q_{-N}, \dots, q_N, p_{-N}, \dots, p_N) \in \Omega_N \coloneqq \mathbb{R}^{2N+1} \times \mathbb{R}^{2N+1}.$$
(1.1)

We denote $\mathbb{Z}_N := \{-N, \ldots, N\}$. The microscopic dynamics of the chain are given by the forced Hamiltonian system with the Hamiltonian given by (0.1), friction on both endpoints of the lattice interval and a driving periodic force at x = 0. This yields

$$\ddot{q}_{x}(t;\nu) = \Delta q_{x}(t;\nu) - \omega_{0}^{2}q_{x}(t;\nu) - \gamma \left(\delta_{-N}(x) + \delta_{N}(x)\right)\dot{q}_{x}(t;\nu) - \nu \left(V'(q_{x}(t;\nu)) - \nabla U'\left(q_{x}(t;\nu) - q_{x-1}(t;\nu)\right)\right) + \mathcal{F}(t/\theta)\delta_{x,0}, \quad x \in \mathbb{Z}_{N},$$

$$(1.2)$$

with the parameters $\gamma > 0, \omega_0 > 0, \theta > 0$. The Neumann laplacian Δ and the discrete gradient ∇ are defined as

$$\Delta f_x = f_{x+1} + f_{x-1} - 2f_x, \quad \nabla f_x = f_{x+1} - f_x, \quad x \in \mathbb{Z}_N,$$
(1.3)

with the boundary condition

$$f_{N+1} = f_N, \quad f_{-N-1} = f_{-N}.$$
 (1.4)

The assumption that $\gamma > 0$ is our standing hypothesis and, unless otherwise stated, is in force throughout the paper.

We let the force be of the form

$$\mathcal{F}(t/\theta) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{F}_m e^{im\omega t}, \quad \text{where } \widehat{F}_0 = 0 \quad \text{and} \\ \widehat{F}_m^{\star} = \widehat{F}_{-m} \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(m |\widehat{F}_m| \right)^2 < +\infty.$$
(1.5)

Here

$$\omega = \frac{2\pi}{\theta}.\tag{1.6}$$

In the special instance N = 0, $\mathbb{Z}_0 := \{0\}$ the above model can be formulated as follows

$$\ddot{q}_0(t;\nu) = -\omega_0^2 q_0(t;\nu) - 2\gamma \dot{q}_0(t;\nu) - \nu V'(q_0(t;\nu)) + \mathcal{F}(t/\theta)$$
(1.7)

and it constitutes a case of its own interest, see ref. [14, 9]. We will discuss it in Section 3.

We consider the case where the non-quadratic part of the pinning and interacting potentials V(q), and U(r) respectively, are of C^2 class of regularity ¹ with bounded second derivatives, i.e.

$$\|V''\|_{\infty} + \|U''\|_{\infty} < +\infty.$$
 (1.8)

Here for a given function $G : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ we denote by

$$\|G\|_{\infty} \coloneqq \sup_{q} |G(q)|. \tag{1.9}$$

Examples of such potentials are furnished by

$$V(q) = \frac{q^{2n}}{1 + \alpha q^{2n}} \quad \text{for some } \alpha > 0, \tag{1.10}$$

or

$$V(q) = (\sin q)^{2n}, \qquad V(q) = (1 + \alpha q^2)^{\delta/2}, \tag{1.11}$$

where $n \ge 1$ and $\delta \in (0,2)$. Analogous examples of interaction potentials, e.g. $U(r) = \cos r$, are also covered.

We prove that when all integer multiplicities of $\omega = \frac{2\pi}{\theta}$ are outside the interval containing the spectrum of the harmonic chain $\mathcal{I} := [\omega_0, \omega_u]$, with $\omega_u := \sqrt{\omega_0^2 + 4}$, a unique state of the system is achieved, as $t \to +\infty$, for an arbitrary initial condition. In addition, the state is θ -periodic and given by a perturbative expansion in the parameter ν , that converges for $|\nu| < \nu_0$ for all fixed $N, \gamma > 0$, with

$$\nu_0 = \frac{\delta_*}{\mathfrak{V}}.\tag{1.12}$$

Here $\delta_* := \inf \left[|(m\omega)^2 - w^2| : m \in \mathbb{Z}, w \in \mathcal{I} \right]$, and $\mathfrak{V} = ||V''||_{\infty} + 3||U''||_{\infty}$.

Finding a periodic stable solution of (1.2) by a convergent perturbative series is, we believe, impossible for nonlinear anharmonicity that grows faster than quadratic, considered in [3, 28, 15], and, as far as we know, not proven for any other non-equilibrium system. Our results do not extend to the case where some integer multiplicity of ω lies inside spectrum of the harmonic chain or $|\nu| > \nu_0$.

2. Main Results

2.1. **Definition of norms.** To make the above statements precise we define the Euclidean norm

$$\|f\|_N \coloneqq \left(\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} f_x^2\right)^{1/2} < +\infty$$

on the space \mathbb{R}^{2N+1} of all real valued sequences $(f_x)_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N}$. Consider the space $L^2([0,\theta]; \mathbb{R}^{2N+1})$ of all Borel measurable functions $F : [0,\theta] \to \mathbb{R}^{2N+1}$ equipped with the norm

$$|||F|||_{N} \coloneqq \left(\frac{1}{\theta} \int_{0}^{\theta} ||F(t)||_{N}^{2} \mathrm{d}t\right)^{1/2}$$
(2.1)

¹Our argument actually requires only C^1 smoothness and the bounded Lipschitz assumption on the derivative.

for any Borel measurable $F : [0, \theta] \to \ell_2(\mathbb{Z}_N)$. We shall also consider spaces $H^k([0, \theta]; \mathbb{R}^{2N+1})$, for k = 1, 2, ..., obtained by the completion of the space of C^{∞} -smooth functions F in the norm

$$|||F|||_{N,k} \coloneqq \left(|||F|||_{N}^{2} + \frac{1}{\theta} \sum_{\ell=1}^{k} \int_{0}^{\theta} ||F^{(\ell)}(t)||_{N}^{2} \mathrm{d}t \right)^{1/2}.$$
(2.2)

Here $F^{(\ell)}(t)$ denotes the ℓ -th derivative. In the following, when no ambiguity would arise, we drop the subscript N.

2.2. A periodic solution for an anharmonic chain. In the case when $\gamma > 0$ we state the following existence result, whose proof is fairly routine and relies on the application of the Schauder fixed point theorem (see Appendix C).

Theorem 2.1. Assume $\gamma > 0$. For each $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$ there exists a θ -periodic solution $\mathbf{q}_{p}(t;\nu) = (q_{x,p}(t;\nu))_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_{N}} \text{ of } (1.2).$

2.3. Construction of a periodic solution for an anharmonic chain by perturbative series. Given a sequence $f := (f_x)_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N}$ define

$$W_x(f) := V'(f_x) - \nabla U'(f_x - f_{x-1}).$$
(2.3)

We consider a sequence $(Q_{x,p}^{(L)}(t;\nu))$, $x \in \mathbb{Z}_N$, L = 0, 1, ... of functions, θ -periodic in t, that satisfy

$$\ddot{Q}_{x,p}^{(L)}(t;\nu) = \left(\Delta - \omega_0^2\right) Q_{x,p}^{(L)}(t;\nu) - \gamma(\delta_{-N}(x) + \delta_N(x)) \dot{Q}_{x,p}^{(L)}(t;\nu) - \nu W_x \left(Q_p^{(L-1)}(t;\nu)\right) + \mathcal{F}(t/\theta) \delta_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{Z}_N.$$
(2.4)

We let

$$W_x(Q_p^{(-1)}(t;\nu)) \equiv 0 \quad \text{and} \quad Q_{x,p}^{(0)}(t;\nu) \coloneqq q_{x,p}^{(0)}(t;\nu), \tag{2.5}$$

where $q_{x,p}^{(0)}(t;\nu) = q_{x,p}^{(0)}(t)$ is the unique θ -periodic solution of (1.2) for $\nu = 0$. For $L \ge 1$ we define

$$q_{x,p}^{(L)}(t;\nu) \coloneqq \nu^{-L} \left(Q_{x,p}^{(L)}(t;\nu) - Q_{x,p}^{(L-1)}(t;\nu) \right)$$
(2.6)

i.e.

$$Q_{x,p}^{(L)}(t;\nu) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{L} q_{x,p}^{(\ell)}(t;\nu)\nu^{\ell}.$$
(2.7)

A straightforward calculation (see (7.17) below) shows that $q_x^{(L)}(t;\nu), x \in \mathbb{Z}_N$ is a θ -periodic solution of

$$\ddot{q}_{x}^{(L)}(t;\nu) = \Delta q_{x}^{(L)}(t;\nu) - \omega_{0}^{2} q_{x}^{(L)}(t;\nu) - \gamma \dot{q}_{x}^{(L)}(t;\nu) \delta_{-N}(x) - \gamma \dot{q}_{x}^{(L)}(t;\nu) \delta_{N}(x) - v_{x,L-1}(t;\nu), \quad x \in \mathbb{Z}_{N},$$
(2.8)

where

$$v_{x,L-1}(t;\nu) = \frac{1}{\nu^{L-1}} \Big[W_x \big(Q_p^{(L-1)}(t;\nu) \big) - W_x \big(Q_p^{(L-2)}(t;\nu) \big) \Big].$$
(2.9)

Note that $v_{x,0}(t;\nu) = W_x(q_p^{(0)}(t;\nu)).$

Since (2.8) can be treated as a harmonic chain with a prescribed periodic forcing, a standard argument, similar to the one used in Section 4.1, proves that the scheme described above has a unique θ -periodic solution.

An essential assumption used in our next result is that no integer multiplicity of the forcing frequency belongs to the spectrum of the harmonic chain on the lattice \mathbb{Z} , with no boundary condition, i.e.

$$m\omega \notin \mathcal{I} := [\omega_0, \sqrt{\omega_0^2 + 4}] \quad \text{for all } m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$
 (2.10)

We recall that

$$\delta_* = \inf \left[|(m\omega)^2 - w^2| \colon m \in \mathbb{Z}, \ w \in \mathcal{I} \right],$$

$$\mathfrak{V} = ||V''||_{\infty} + 3||U''||_{\infty}.$$

(2.11)

By taking m = 0 we observe that $\delta_* \leq \omega_0^2$. Let

$$\nu_0 \coloneqq \frac{\delta_*}{\mathfrak{V}}.\tag{2.12}$$

Our main result can be formulated as follows.

Theorem 2.2. Suppose that $\delta_* > 0$. Then, the following estimate holds

$$|||q_{\mathbf{p}}^{(\ell+1)}(\cdot;\nu)||| \leq \frac{1}{\nu_{0}} |||q_{\mathbf{p}}^{(\ell)}(\cdot;\nu)|||, \quad \nu \in \mathbb{R}, \ \ell = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$
(2.13)

In consequence, for $|\nu| < \nu_0$ the sums $Q_{x,p}^{(L)}(t;\nu)$, given by (2.7) converge in the $\|\cdot\|_{N,1}$ -norm (see (2.2)), as $L \to +\infty$. The convergence is uniform in N and

$$\left\| \sum_{\ell=L}^{+\infty} q_{p}^{(\ell)}(\cdot;\nu)\nu^{\ell} \right\| \leq \frac{|\nu/\nu_{0}|^{L} \left\| q_{p}^{(0)}(\cdot;\nu) \right\|}{1-|\nu/\nu_{0}|}, \quad L = 1, 2, \dots, |\nu| < \nu_{0}.$$
(2.14)

Consequently, for $|\nu| < \nu_0$ the series,

$$q_{x,p}(t;\nu) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{+\infty} q_{x,p}^{(\ell)}(t;\nu)\nu^{\ell}.$$
 (2.15)

defines a θ -periodic solution of (1.2).

Our next result concerns the uniqueness of the θ -periodic solution of (1.2).

Theorem 2.3. For $|\nu| < \nu_0$ the system (1.2) has a unique θ -periodic solution.

Hence, for $|\nu| < \nu_0$, (2.15) defines the unique θ -periodic solution of (1.2).

2.4. Global stability of the unique periodic solution in the anharmonic case. Theorems 2.2 and 2.3 hold even in the absence of dissipation at the boundaries ($\gamma = 0$). Of course in this case the unique periodic solution has no stability properties, it is just a very special solution of (1.2). Stability can be proven when $\gamma > 0$.

For $t \ge s$ denote by $\mathbf{q}(t, s, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}; \nu) = (q_x(t, s, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}; \nu))$ the solution of (1.2) satisfying the initial condition

$$\mathbf{q}(s, s, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}; \nu) = \mathbf{q}, \qquad \dot{\mathbf{q}}(s, s, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}; \nu) = \mathbf{p}.$$
 (2.16)

Then $(\mathbf{q}(t, s, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}; \nu), \dot{\mathbf{q}}(t, s, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}; \nu))$ tends to the periodic solution constructed in Theorem 2.2, as $s \to -\infty$.

Theorem 2.4. Suppose that $(\mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{p}}(t;\nu))$ is the unique periodic solution of (1.2) constructed in Theorem 2.2 and $\gamma > 0$. Then,

$$\lim_{s \to -\infty} \left(\left\| \mathbf{q}(t, s, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}; \nu) - \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{p}}(t; \nu) \right\| + \left\| \dot{\mathbf{q}}(t, s, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}; \nu) - \dot{\mathbf{q}}_{\mathbf{p}}(t; \nu) \right\| \right) = 0$$
(2.17)

for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) \in \Omega_N$ and $|\nu| < \nu_0$, with ν_0 given by (2.12).

We present the proof of this result in Section 7.2.

2.5. Work done by periodic forcing. The work performed by the force on the system over the period θ is given by the formula

$$\mathcal{W}(\nu) = \frac{1}{\theta} \int_0^\theta \mathcal{F}(t/\theta) p_{0,p}(t;\nu) \mathrm{d}t.$$
(2.18)

Here $p_{x,p}(t;\nu) = \dot{q}_{x,p}(t;\nu)$. We have the following identity

$$\mathcal{H}_{N}\left(\mathbf{q}_{p}(t;\nu),\dot{\mathbf{q}}_{p}(t;\nu);\nu\right) - \mathcal{H}_{N}\left(\mathbf{q}_{p}(0;\nu),\dot{\mathbf{q}}_{p}(0;\nu);\nu\right) + \gamma\left(\int_{0}^{t} p_{-N,p}^{2}(s;\nu)\mathrm{d}s + \int_{0}^{t} p_{N,p}^{2}(s;\nu)\mathrm{d}s\right) = \int_{0}^{t} p_{0,p}(s;\nu)\mathcal{F}\left(\frac{s}{\theta}\right)\mathrm{d}s.$$
(2.19)

Here $\mathcal{H}_N(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the Hamiltonian of the system, see (0.1). A direct consequence of (2.19) is the following.

Theorem 2.5. Suppose that $\gamma > 0$ and $(q_{x,p}(\cdot; \nu))$ is a θ -periodic solution of (1.2). Furthermore assume that the potential U is such that the equation²

$$r + \nu U'(r) = 0 \tag{2.20}$$

has only a finite number of solutions. Then,

$$\mathcal{W}(\nu) = \frac{\gamma}{\theta} \Big(\int_0^\theta p_{-N,p}^2(s) \mathrm{d}s + \int_0^\theta p_{N,p}^2(s) \mathrm{d}s \Big) > 0.$$
(2.21)

2.6. Spatial decay of the periodic solution as $N \to \infty$.

Theorem 2.6. There exists positive constants C_1, A, ρ depending only on ω, γ and independent of N such that for $|\nu| < \nu_0 \wedge C_1$

$$|q_{x,p}(t;\nu)| \leq A \exp\left\{-\rho|x|\right\}, \quad t \in [0,\theta] \quad and$$

$$\int_{0}^{\theta} p_{x,p}^{2}(t;\nu) dt \leq A \exp\left\{-\rho|x|\right\}, \quad x \in \mathbb{Z}_{N}.$$
(2.22)

The proof of this result is presented in Section B.2.

²Condition 2.20 means that the interaction potential $\frac{1}{2}r^2 + \nu U(r)$, between the neighboring atoms does not become "flat" in some intervals, which would prevent the energy transfer in the chain.

2.7. The case of odd harmonic modes: loss of uniqueness. It turns out that in the special case when both potentials $V(\cdot)$, $U(\cdot)$ are even and

$$\widehat{\mathbf{F}}_{2m} = 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}$$
 (2.23)

the convergence of the series (2.15) can be extended to all $|\nu| < \overline{\nu}_0 = \frac{\overline{\delta}_*}{\mathfrak{V}}$, where we can define

$$\overline{\delta}_* := \inf\left[\left| \left((2m-1)\omega \right)^2 - w^2 \right| \colon m \in \mathbb{Z}, \ w \in \mathcal{I} \right] > \delta_*.$$
(2.24)

Theorem 2.7. Under hypothesis (2.23) estimates (2.13) are in force for $|\nu| < \overline{\nu}_0$, Consequently in this case the series (2.15) defines a θ -periodic solution of (1.2).

Remark 2.8. We stress here the fact that Theorem 2.7 does not claim either the uniqueness, or stability of the solution given by the series (2.15), in the case $\nu_0 \leq |\nu| < \overline{\nu}_0$. In this interval the periodic solution exists (2.15) but it may not be stable or unique. Then, see Section 5.1, the system (1.2) may admit more than one θ -periodic solution. Obviously, in that case, the stability in the sense claimed in Theorem 2.4 cannot hold.

3. The case N = 0: a single anharmonic oscillator with damping

The case when N = 0, i.e. a single anharmonic oscillators with damping and a one mode periodic forcing (see (1.7)), is interesting in its own right and is an example of what happens for small N, [14]. It is instructive to look first at the harmonic case ($\nu = 0$), where the equation can be solved explicitly. Assuming for simplicity that $\mathcal{F}(t) = F \cos(\omega t)$, equation (1.7) is given by

$$\ddot{q}(t) = -\omega_0^2 q(t) - 2\gamma \dot{q}(t) + F \cos(\omega t) \quad , \quad q(0) = q_0, \quad \dot{q}(0) = p_0.$$
(3.1)

Its solution when $\omega_0 \neq \omega$ and $\gamma = 0$ is given by:

$$q(t) = q_0 \cos(\omega_0 t) + \frac{p_0}{\omega_0} \sin(\omega_0 t) + F \frac{\cos(\omega t) - \cos(\omega_0 t)}{\omega_0^2 - \omega^2}.$$
 (3.2)

When $\omega = \omega_0$ (the resonance case) we interpret the solution as the limit $\omega \to \omega_0$. If $\gamma > 0$, then denoting $\lambda_{\pm} = \gamma \pm \sqrt{\gamma^2 - \omega_0^2}$ we can write the solution of (3.1)

$$q(t) = \alpha_0 e^{-\lambda_+ t} + \alpha_1 e^{-\lambda_- t} + \frac{F}{(\omega_0^2 - \omega^2)^2 + 4\gamma^2 \omega^2} \left[(\omega_0^2 - \omega^2) \cos(\omega t) + 2\gamma \omega \sin(\omega t) \right]$$
(3.3)

and

$$\alpha_{0} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\gamma^{2} - \omega_{0}^{2}}} \Big[\frac{2\gamma F \omega^{2}}{(\omega_{0}^{2} - \omega^{2})^{2} + 4\gamma^{2}\omega^{2}} - p_{0} \Big] - \frac{\lambda_{-}}{2\sqrt{\gamma^{2} - \omega_{0}^{2}}} \Big[q_{0} - \frac{F(\omega_{0}^{2} - \omega^{2})}{(\omega_{0}^{2} - \omega^{2})^{2} + 4\gamma^{2}\omega^{2}} \Big],$$

$$\alpha_{1} = \frac{\lambda_{+}}{2\sqrt{\gamma^{2} - \omega_{0}^{2}}} \Big[q_{0} - \frac{F(\omega_{0}^{2} - \omega^{2})}{(\omega_{0}^{2} - \omega^{2})^{2} + 4\gamma^{2}\omega^{2}} \Big] - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\gamma^{2} - \omega_{0}^{2}}} \Big[\frac{2\gamma F \omega^{2}}{(\omega_{0}^{2} - \omega^{2})^{2} + 4\gamma^{2}\omega^{2}} - p_{0} \Big].$$

For $\gamma = \omega_0$ ($\lambda_+ = \lambda_- = \gamma$) we take the limit $\gamma \to \omega_0$ to get a finite value.

Remark 3.1. Notice that when $\gamma > 0$, the system tends to a θ – periodic state for any initial condition ($Re(\lambda_{\pm}) > 0$). However, when $\gamma = 0$ and $\omega \neq \omega_0$ only the specific initial condition

$$q_0 = \frac{F}{\omega_0^2 - \omega^2}, \quad p_0 = 0$$

drives the system to such a periodic state. For other initial data there is no θ -periodic solution. For $\omega = \omega_0$ no θ -periodic solution exists.

Under the anharmonic perturbation Theorems 2.2 - 2.4 hold with the following modifications:

$$\delta_* \coloneqq \inf_{m=0,1,\dots} \phi(m), \quad \text{where}$$

$$\phi(m) \coloneqq \left\{ \left(\omega_0^2 - (m\omega)^2 \right)^2 + 4\gamma^2 (m\omega)^2 \right\}^{1/2}.$$
(3.4)

A simple calculation shows that for $\omega_0 > \sqrt{2\gamma}$

$$\delta_* = \begin{cases} \min\{\phi(m_*), \phi(m_*+1)\}, & \text{where} \\ \\ m_* \coloneqq \left\lfloor \frac{(\omega_0^2 - 2\gamma^2)^{1/2}}{\omega} \right\rfloor \end{cases}$$
(3.5)

and for $\omega_0 \leq \sqrt{2\gamma}$ we have

$$\delta_* = \omega_0^2. \tag{3.6}$$

For the situation examined in Section 2.7 (V and U even and only odd forcing modes), in the case N = 0 the value of $\overline{\delta}_*$ is given by formula (3.5), if $\omega_0 \ge \sqrt{\omega^2 + 2\gamma^2}$ and

$$\overline{\delta}_* := \left\{ \left(\omega^2 - \omega_0^2 \right)^2 + 4(\gamma \omega)^2 \right\}^{1/2}, \qquad (3.7)$$

if $\omega_0 < \sqrt{\omega^2 + 2\gamma^2}$. Then we define $\overline{\nu}_0 \coloneqq \frac{\overline{\delta}_*}{\mathfrak{V}}$.

Finally, observe that the values ν_0 (or $\overline{\nu}_0$) for N = 0 depend explicitly on the friction coefficient γ . We expect that an optimal ν_0 would generally depends on γ and N and, therefore, our estimation (2.12) is just a lower bound of all of them that is independ of N and γ .

4. PROOF OF THE CONVERGENCE OF THE PERTURBATIVE SERIES.

We prove in this section the convergence of the perturbative scheme, Theorem 2.2 and the corresponding uniqueness result, Theorem 2.3.

4.1. Time harmonics of a periodic solution. Consider a θ -periodic solution to (1.2), i.e. such a solution $(q_{x,p}(t;\nu))$ that satisfies

$$q_{x,p}(t+\theta;\nu) = q_{x,p}(t;\nu), \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$
(4.1)

Then,

$$q_{x,p}(t;\nu) =: \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \widetilde{q}_x(m;\nu) e^{im\omega t}.$$
(4.2)

where

$$\widetilde{q}_x(m;\nu) = \frac{1}{\theta} \int_0^\theta e^{-im\omega t} q_{x,p}(t;\nu) \mathrm{d}t, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}, \ x \in \mathbb{Z}_N$$
(4.3)

are the Fourier coefficients of the $\theta\mbox{-}{\rm periodic}$ solution. They satisfy the system of equations

$$0 = \left[(\omega m)^2 - \omega_0^2 + \Delta - i\gamma\omega m (\delta_{x,-N} + \delta_{x,N}) \right] \widetilde{q}_x(m;\nu) + \widehat{F}_m \delta_{x,0} + \nu \widetilde{v}_x(m;\nu), \quad x \in \mathbb{Z}_N,$$

$$(4.4)$$

with

$$\widetilde{v}_{x}(m;\nu) = \frac{1}{\theta} \int_{0}^{\theta} e^{-im\omega t} v_{x}(t;\nu) dt \quad \text{and}$$

$$v_{x}(t;\nu) \coloneqq -V'(q_{x,p}(t;\nu)) + \nabla U'(q_{x,p}(t;\nu) - q_{x-1,p}(t;\nu)), \quad (m,x) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_{N}.$$

$$(4.5)$$

Denote by $\ell_{2,N}$ the space of all square integrable complex valued sequences $\widetilde{\mathbf{f}} := (\widetilde{f}_x(m))_{(m,x)\in\mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{Z}_N}$ satisfying $\widetilde{f}_x^{\star}(m) = \widetilde{f}_x(-m)$ equipped with the Hilbert space norm

$$\|\widetilde{\mathbf{f}}\|_{\ell^2,N} \coloneqq \left(\sum_{(m,x)\in\mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{Z}_N} |\widetilde{f}_x(m)|^2\right)^{1/2}.$$
(4.6)

Immediately, from (4.4) and Green's function estimate (A.21) we conclude the following.

Proposition 4.1. Suppose that $F \in L^2[0,\theta]$ and $(\widetilde{q}_x(m;\nu))_{(m,x)\in\mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{Z}_N} \in \ell_{2,N}$ is a solution of the system (4.4). Then, $\mathbf{q}(t) \coloneqq (q_{x,p}(t;\nu))_{(t,x)\in\mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{Z}_N}$ given by (4.2) belongs to $H^2([0,\theta];\mathbb{R}^{2N+1})$ and is a periodic solution of (1.2).

4.2. The case of a harmonic chain. Consider first the case of a harmonic chain, i.e. when $\nu = 0$. Then equation (1.2) takes the form

$$\ddot{q}_x(t) = \left(\Delta - \omega_0^2\right) q_x(t) - \gamma \left(\delta_{-N}(x) + \delta_N(x)\right) \dot{q}_x(t) + \mathcal{F}(t/\theta) \delta_{x,0}, \quad x \in \mathbb{Z}_N.$$
(4.7)

Proposition 4.2. For $\nu = 0$ there exists a unique θ -periodic solution.

Proof. The Fourier coefficients of a θ -periodic solution satisfy

$$\left(\omega_0^2 - \Delta - (m\omega)^2 + i\gamma m\omega(\delta_{-N} + \delta_N)\right)\widetilde{q}_x(m) = \widehat{F}_m \delta_{x,0}, \quad x \in \mathbb{Z}_N, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$
(4.8)

Then, the unique solution of (4.8) is given by the formula

$$\widetilde{q}_x(m) = \widehat{F}_m H^{(N)}_{-(m\omega)^2,\gamma m\omega}(x,0)$$
(4.9)

and

$$q_{\mathbf{p},x}(t) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \widetilde{q}_x(m) e^{im\omega t}, \quad p_{\mathbf{p},x}(t) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} im\omega \widetilde{q}_x(m) e^{im\omega t}.$$
(4.10)

Here $H^{(N)}_{-(m\omega)^2,\sigma}(x,y)$ is the Green's function of $\lambda + \omega_0^2 - i\sigma(\delta_{-N} + \delta_N) - \Delta$, i.e.

$$H_{\lambda,\sigma}^{(N)}(x,y) = \left(\lambda + \omega_0^2 - \Delta + i\sigma(\delta_{-N} + \delta_N)\right)^{-1} \delta_y(x), \qquad (4.11)$$

where we assume that $\sigma, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. The computation of the Green's function is carried out in Section A.3.

Remark 4.3. By (A.15) and Proposition A.1, the solution given by (4.9) is well defined even if $\gamma = 0$. However, without the dissipation at the boundaries this will be just a very special solution without any stability properties, see Remark 3.1. When $\gamma > 0$ this is a globally stable periodic solution, c.f. (3.1) and (3.2).

4.3. **Proof of Theorem 2.2.** Consider the Fourier coefficients of the approximating scheme (2.8)

$$\widetilde{q}_x^{(L)}(m;\nu) = \frac{1}{\theta} \int_0^\theta e^{-im\omega t} q_{x,p}^{(L)}(t;\nu) dt, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}_N.$$
(4.12)

Coefficients $\tilde{q}_x^{(0)}(m)$ satisfy (4.4) for $\nu = 0$, and for L = 1, 2, ...

$$0 = \left[\Delta + (m\omega)^2 - \omega_0^2 - i\gamma m\omega (\delta_{-N}(x) + \delta_N(x))\right] \widetilde{q}_x^{(L)}(m) - \widetilde{v}_{x,L-1}(m;\nu), \qquad x \in \mathbb{Z}_N,$$
(4.13)

with (see (2.9))

$$\widetilde{v}_{x,L-1}(m;\nu) = \frac{1}{\theta} \int_0^\theta e^{-im\omega t} v_{x,L-1}(t;\nu) \mathrm{d}t.$$
(4.14)

We have, see (4.11),

$$\widehat{q}_x^{(0)}(m;\nu) = \widehat{F}_m H_{-(m\omega)^2,\gamma m\omega}(x,0)$$
(4.15)

and

$$\widetilde{q}_x^{(L)}(m;\nu) = \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}_N} H_{-(m\omega)^2,\gamma m\omega}(x,y) \widetilde{v}_{y,L-1}(m), \quad x \in \mathbb{Z}_N, \, \ell = 1, 2, \dots$$
(4.16)

Multiplying both sides of (4.13) by $\left(\tilde{q}_x^{(L)}(m)\right)^*$ and summing over x we get

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \left(\omega_0^2 - \Delta - (m\omega)^2 \right) \widetilde{q}_x^{(L)}(m) \left(\widetilde{q}_x^{(L)}(m) \right)^* = -i\gamma(m\omega) \widetilde{q}_{-N}^{(L)}(m) \left(\widetilde{q}_{-N}^{(L)}(m) \right)^* \quad (4.17)$$
$$-i\gamma(m\omega) \widetilde{q}_N^{(0)}(m) \left(\widetilde{q}_N^{(0)}(m) \right)^* + \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \widetilde{v}_{x,L-1}(m;\nu) \left(\widetilde{q}_x^{(L)}(m) \right)^*,$$

for each $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $L = 1, 2, \dots$ Observe that

$$0 < -\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \left(\Delta \widetilde{q}_x^{(L)}(m) \right) \widetilde{q}_x^{(L)}(m)^* = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \left| \nabla \widetilde{q}_x^{(L)}(m) \right|^2 \leq 4 \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \left(\widetilde{q}_x^{(L)}(m) \right)^2.$$
(4.18)

Consequently for the real part of (4.17) we have

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \left(\omega_0^2 - (m\omega)^2 \right) |\widetilde{q}_x^{(L)}(m)|^2 \leq \operatorname{Re} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \widetilde{v}_{x,L-1}(m;\nu) \left(\widetilde{q}_x^{(L)}(m) \right)^*$$

$$\leq \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} |\widetilde{v}_{x,L-1}(m;\nu)| \left| \widetilde{q}_x^{(L)}(m) \right|.$$

$$(4.19)$$

Since $\widehat{q}_x^{(L)}(-m) = \left(\widehat{q}_x^{(L)}(m)\right)^*$ we have $|\widehat{q}_x^{(L)}(-m)|^2 = |\widehat{q}_x^{(L)}(m)|^2$. Suppose that $0 < |m|\omega < \omega_0$. Then

$$\sum_{0 < (m\omega)^2 < \omega_0^2} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \left(\omega_0^2 - (m\omega)^2 \right) |\widetilde{q}_x^{(L)}(m)|^2 \leq \sum_{0 < (m\omega)^2 < \omega_0^2} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} |\widetilde{v}_{x,L-1}(m;\nu)| \left| \widetilde{q}_x^{(L)}(m) \right|.$$

$$(4.20)$$

In the case when $|m|\omega>\sqrt{\omega_0^2+4}$ we argue as follows. Using inequality (4.18) we have

$$\sum_{(m\omega)^{2} > \omega_{0}^{2} + 4} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_{N}} \left((m\omega)^{2} - \omega_{0}^{2} - 4 \right) |\widetilde{q}_{x}^{(L)}(m)|^{2} \leq \sum_{(m\omega)^{2} > \omega_{0}^{2} + 4} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_{N}} \left[\left((m\omega)^{2} - \omega_{0}^{2} \right) |\widetilde{q}_{x}^{(L)}(m)|^{2} - \left| \nabla \widetilde{q}_{x}^{(L)}(m) \right|^{2} \right]$$
(4.21)
$$= \sum_{(m\omega)^{2} > \omega_{0}^{2} + 4} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_{N}} \underbrace{\left((m\omega)^{2} + \Delta - \omega_{0}^{2} - i\gamma m(\delta_{-N}(x) + \delta_{x,N}) \right) \widetilde{q}_{x}^{(L)}(m)}_{= -\widetilde{v}_{x,L-1}(m;\nu)} \left(\widetilde{q}_{x}^{(L)}(m) \right)^{*}$$

Hence we can now sum over all m and by (4.20), (4.21), the definition of δ_* (2.11) and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we obtain

$$\delta_* \| \widetilde{q}^{(L)}(\cdot; \nu) \|_{\ell^2, N}^2 \leq \left(\| \widetilde{v}_{L-1}(\cdot; \nu) \|_{\ell^2, N} \right)^{1/2} \left(\| \widetilde{q}^{(L)}(\cdot; \nu) \|_{\ell^2, N}^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$
(4.22)

Then, by the Plancherel identity,

$$\|\|q_{\mathbf{p}}^{(L)}(\cdot;\nu)\|\|^{2} = \|\widetilde{q}^{(L)}(\cdot;\nu)\|_{\ell^{2},N}^{2} \leq \frac{1}{\delta_{*}} \|\widetilde{v}_{L-1}(\cdot;\nu)\|_{\ell^{2},N}^{2}$$
$$= \frac{1}{\delta_{*}\theta} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_{N}} \int_{0}^{\theta} [v_{x,L-1}(t;\nu)]^{2} dt.$$

Using (2.9) and (1.8) we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} |v_{x,L-1}(t;\nu)| &\leq \frac{1}{|\nu|^{L-1}} \Big[\|V''\|_{\infty} \Big| Q_{x,p}^{(L-1)}(t;\nu) - Q_{x,p}^{(L-2)}(t;\nu) \Big| \\ &+ \|U''\|_{\infty} \Big(\Big| \nabla^{\star} \Big(Q_{x,p}^{(L-1)}(t;\nu) - Q_{x,p}^{(L-2)}(t;\nu) \Big) \Big| + \Big| \nabla \Big(Q_{x,p}^{(L-1)}(t;\nu) - Q_{x,p}^{(L-2)}(t;\nu) \Big) \Big| \Big) \\ &= \|V''\|_{\infty} \Big| q_{x,p}^{(L-1)}(t;\nu) \Big| + \|U''\|_{\infty} \Big(\Big| \nabla^{\star} q_{x,p}^{(L-1)}(t;\nu) \Big| + \Big| \nabla q_{x,p}^{(L-1)}(t;\nu) \Big| \Big) \\ &\leq (\|V''\|_{\infty} + \|U''\|_{\infty}) \Big| q_{x,p}^{(L-1)}(t;\nu) \Big| + \|U''\|_{\infty} \Big(\Big| q_{x-1,p}^{(L-1)}(t;\nu) \Big| + \Big| q_{x+1,p}^{(L-1)}(t;\nu) \Big| \Big). \end{aligned}$$
(4.23)

Hence,

As a result, see (2.11),

$$\|q_{\mathbf{p}}^{(L)}(\cdot;\nu)\|_{N}^{2} \leq \frac{\mathfrak{V}^{2}}{\delta_{*}^{2}\theta} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_{N}} \int_{0}^{\theta} [q_{x,\mathbf{p}}^{(L-1)}(t;\nu)]^{2} \mathrm{d}t$$

and estimate (2.13) follows for N = 1, 2, ... The remaining conclusions of the theorem follow from the estimate.

4.4. Regularity of the periodic solution. From equality (4.13) we conclude that there exists a constant C > 0 such that

$$(m\omega)^{2} \| \widetilde{q}^{(L)}(m;\nu) \|_{N} \leq C (\| \widetilde{q}^{(L)}(m;\nu) \|_{N} + \| \widetilde{v}_{L-1}(m;\nu) \|_{N}), \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$
(4.25)

From (4.24) we obtain in turn that

$$|||v_L(\cdot;\nu)||| \le \mathfrak{V}|||q_p^{(L)}(\cdot;\nu)|||, \quad L = 1, 2, \dots$$
(4.26)

Combining (4.25), (4.26) and (2.13) we conclude that there exist constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} [1 + (m\omega)^2]^2 \| \widetilde{q}^{(L)}(m;\nu) \|_N^2 \leq C_1 (\| q_p^{(L)}(\cdot;\nu) \|^2 + \| v_L(\cdot;\nu) \|^2)$$

$$\leq C_2 \| q_p^{(L)}(\cdot;\nu) \|^2 \leq \frac{C_2}{\nu_0^{2L}}, \quad L = 1, 2, \dots$$
(4.27)

Proposition 4.4. Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.2 there exists a constant C > 0 such that

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|q_{p}^{(L)}(t;\nu)\|_{N} \leq \frac{C}{\nu_{0}^{L}} \quad and$$

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|\dot{q}_{p}^{(L)}(t;\nu)\|_{N} \leq \frac{C}{\nu_{0}^{L}}, \quad L = 1, 2, \dots$$
(4.28)

In consequence, there exists C > 0

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left(\|Q_{\mathbf{p}}^{(L)}(t;\nu)\|_{N} + \|\dot{Q}_{\mathbf{p}}^{(L)}(t;\nu)\|_{N} \right) \leq C, \quad L = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$
(4.29)

Furthermore $Q_{\mathbf{p}}^{(L)}(\cdot;\nu) \in C^2([0,\theta];\mathbb{R}^{2N+1})$ and the series given by (2.15) converges uniformly in t to a θ -periodic function $(q_{x,\mathbf{p}}(t;\nu))$ whose Fourier coefficients $(\widetilde{q}_x(m;\nu))$ satisfy the system (4.4).

Proof. From bound (4.27) and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we have

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|q_{p}^{(L)}(t;\nu)\|_{N} \leq \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \|\widetilde{q}^{(L)}(m;\nu)\|_{N}$$
$$\leq \left(\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} [1+(m\omega)^{2}] \|\widetilde{q}^{(L)}(m;\nu)\|_{N}^{2}\right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} [1+(m\omega)^{2}]^{-1}\right)^{1/2} \leq \frac{C}{\nu_{0}^{L}}$$

for some constant C > 0. The proof of the second estimate in (4.28) is similar. These estimates obviously imply the uniform convergence of the series in (2.15) and bound (4.29).

From (4.27) and its definition (2.7) we conclude also that $Q_{\rm p}^{(L)}(\cdot;\nu)$ belongs to $H^2([0,\theta];\mathbb{R}^{2N+1})$ and satisfies (2.4). In fact $Q_{\rm p}^{(L)}(\cdot;\nu) \in C^2([0,\theta];\mathbb{R}^{2N+1})$. \Box From the proof of Theorem 2.2 we conclude also the following.

Corollary 4.5. Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.2 for any $|\nu| < \nu_0$ there exists a constant C > 0 independent of N and $\gamma > 0$ such that the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_N(\cdot, \cdot)$ defined in (0.1) satisfies

$$\frac{1}{\theta} \int_0^\theta \mathcal{H}_N \big(\mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{p}}(t;\nu), \mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{p}}(t;\nu) \big) \mathrm{d}t \leqslant C.$$
(4.30)

4.5. Uniqueness: Proof of Theorem 2.3.

Proof. Consider the mapping \mathcal{T} of $L^2([0,\theta]; \mathbb{R}^{2N+1})$ into itself, assigning to each $f(t) = (f_x(t))$ belonging to $L^2([0,\theta]; \mathbb{R}^{2N+1})$ an element

$$(\mathcal{T}f)_x(t) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \widetilde{T}f_x(m)e^{im\omega t}, \quad x \in \mathbb{Z}_N.$$

given by

$$\widetilde{Tf}_{x}(m) = H^{(N)}_{-(m\omega)^{2},\gamma m\omega}(x,0)\widehat{F}_{m} + \nu \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}_{N}} H^{(N)}_{-(m\omega)^{2},\gamma m\omega}(x,y)\widetilde{v}_{y}(m;f), \quad (m,x) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_{N}.$$

$$(4.31)$$

Here

$$f_x(t) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \widetilde{f}_x(m) e^{im\omega t}, \quad x \in \mathbb{Z}_N$$
(4.32)

and

$$\widetilde{v}_y(m;f) = -\frac{1}{\theta} \int_0^\theta \Big[V'(f_x(t)) - \nabla U(f_x(t) - f_{x-1}(t)) \Big] \mathrm{d}t.$$

We have $\left(\widetilde{Tf}_x(m)\right)^* = \widetilde{Tf}_x(-m)$.

Suppose that $f^{(1)}, f^{(2)} \in L^2([0,\theta]; \mathbb{R}^{2N+1})$. Then, $\delta \widetilde{T} f_x(m) := \widetilde{T} f_x^{(2)}(m) - \widetilde{T} f_x^{(1)}(m)$ satsify

$$0 = \left(\Delta + (m\omega)^2 - \omega_0^2 - i\gamma m\omega(\delta_{-N} + \delta_N)\right) \left(\delta \widetilde{T} \widetilde{f}_x(m)\right) + \nu\left(\widetilde{v}_x(m; f^{(2)}) - \widetilde{v}_x(m; f^{(1)})\right), \quad (m, x) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_N.$$

$$(4.33)$$

Multiplying both sides by $\left(\delta \widetilde{Tf}_x^{(j)}(m)\right)^*$ and summing over x we get

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{x\in\mathbb{Z}_N} \left[\left(\omega_0^2 - (m\omega)^2 \right) |\delta \widetilde{T}f_x(m)|^2 + |\nabla \delta \widetilde{T}f_x(m)|^2 \right] + i\gamma m\omega \left(|\delta \widetilde{T}f_{-N}(m)|^2 + |\delta \widetilde{T}f_N(m)|^2 \right) \\ &= \nu \sum_{x\in\mathbb{Z}_N} \left(\widetilde{v}_x(m; f^{(2)}) - \widetilde{v}_x(m; f^{(1)}) \right) \left(\delta \widetilde{T}f_x(m) \right)^*. \end{split}$$

Using the assumption (2.10) and applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality on the right hand side we conclude that

$$\delta_* \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \left| \delta \widetilde{T} f_x(m) \right|^2 \\ \leq \left| \nu \right| \left(\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \left| \widetilde{v}_x(m; f^{(2)}) - \widetilde{v}_x(m; f^{(1)}) \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \left| \delta \widetilde{T} f_x(m) \right|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

In consequence

$$|||Tf^{(2)} - Tf^{(1)}|||_N \leq \frac{|\nu|}{\nu_0} |||f^{(2)} - f^{(1)}|||_N.$$

By the Banach contraction principle there exists a unique solution of the equation Tf = f in $L^2([0, \theta]; \mathbb{R}^{2N+1})$. Then f(t) given by (4.32) is the unique θ -periodic solution of (1.2). Hence the conclusion of the theorem follows.

5. Proof of Theorem 2.7

Using the argument from the proof of Theorem 2.2 one can infer the conclusions of Theorem 2.7, provided it can be shown that

$$\widehat{q}_x^{(L)}(2m;\nu) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{Z}_N, \, L, m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$
(5.1)

This would follow, if we can prove that

$$\widetilde{v}_{x,L}(2m;\nu) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{Z}_N, \ L, m = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$
(5.2)

see (4.14). To see (5.2) (and (5.1)) assume for simplicity that $U \equiv 0$. The argument in the general case is similar. Since $V'(\cdot)$ is odd and real valued, its Fourier transform $\widehat{V'}(\xi)$ is also an odd function. To further simplify the argument we suppose that its support is compact. The general case can be treated by an approximation argument. Thanks to (4.9) and the fact that $\widehat{F}_{2m} = 0$ for all m,

we conclude that (5.1) holds for L = 0. Suppose now that (5.2) is true for some non-negative integer L - 1. Since

$$q_x^{(L-1)}(t) = \sum_{m=1}^{+\infty} \tilde{q}_x^{(L-1)} (2m-1) e^{i(2m-1)\omega t} + \sum_{m=1}^{+\infty} \left(\tilde{q}_x^{(L-1)} (2m-1) \right)^* e^{-i(2m-1)\omega t}$$

we have

$$\widetilde{v}_{x,L}(2m) = \frac{1}{2\pi\theta} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{V'}(\xi) d\xi \int_{0}^{\theta} e^{-2i\omega mt} \exp\left\{i\xi q_{x}^{(L-1)}(t)\right\} dt$$

$$= \lim_{M \to +\infty} \frac{\theta}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{V'}(\xi) d\xi \int_{0}^{\theta} e^{-2i\omega mt} \exp\left\{i\sum_{m'=1}^{M} \xi \widehat{q}_{x}^{(L-1)}(2m'-1)e^{i(2m'-1)\omega t}\right\}$$

$$\times \exp\left\{i\sum_{m''=1}^{M} \xi (\widehat{q}_{x}^{(0)}(2m''-1))^{*}e^{-i(2m''-1)\omega t}\right\} dt.$$
(5.3)

Denote by $C(1) = [z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1]$, a contour oriented counter-clockwise. Using contour integration we can rewrite the utmost right hand side of (5.3) as

$$\begin{split} &\lim_{M,N\to+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{V'}(\xi) \widehat{v}_{M,N}^{(L)}(2m;\xi) \mathrm{d}\xi, \quad \text{where} \\ &\widehat{v}_{M,N}^{(L)}(2m;\xi) \coloneqq \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C(1)} \prod_{m'=1}^{M} \sum_{n_{m'}=0}^{N} \frac{\left(i\xi \widehat{q}_{x}^{(L-1)}(2m'-1)z^{2m'-1}\right)^{n_{m'}}}{n_{m'}!} \\ &\times \prod_{m''=1}^{M} \sum_{n_{m''}=0}^{N} \frac{\left(i\xi (\widehat{q}_{x}^{(0)}(2m''-1))^{\star}\right)^{n'_{m''}}}{(z^{2m''-1})^{n'_{m''}}(n'_{m''})!} \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{z^{2m+1}} \end{split}$$

Performing the contour integration we get

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{v}_{M,N}^{(L)}(2m;\xi) &= \sum_{n_1=0}^N \dots \sum_{n_M=0}^N \sum_{n_1'=0}^N \dots \sum_{n_M'=0}^N \left(i\xi\right)^{n_1+\dots+n_M+n_1'+\dots+n_M'} \\ &\times \delta\Big(n_1+3n_2+\dots+(2M-1)n_M-2m-\left(n_1'+3n_2'+\dots+(2M-1)n_M'\right)\Big) \\ &\times \prod_{m'=1}^M \frac{\left(\widetilde{q}_x^{(L-1)}(2m'-1)\right)^{n_{m'}}}{n_{m'}!} \prod_{m''=1}^M \frac{\left((\widetilde{q}_x^{(0)}(2m''-1))^{\star}\right)^{n_{m''}}}{(n_{m''}')!}. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\delta(k) = 0$, if $k \neq 0$ and 1 if k = 0. The expression above is non-zero only if

$$n'_1 + n'_2 + \ldots + n'_M + n_1 + n_2 + \ldots + n_M$$
 is even.

Since $\xi \mapsto \widehat{V'}(\xi)$ is odd and $\xi \mapsto \widehat{v}_{M,N}^{(L)}(2m;\xi)$ is even we conclude therefore that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{V'}(\xi) \widehat{v}_{M,N}^{(L)}(2m;\xi) \mathrm{d}\xi = 0$$

and (5.2) follows by an induction argument.

5.1. Remark about non-uniqueness. Suppose that the interaction potential $U \equiv 0$ and V is even. If ν is as in the statement of Theorem 2.3, then the derivative of the pinning potential $q \mapsto W(q;\nu) \coloneqq \frac{(\omega_0 q)^2}{2} + \nu V(q)$ is strictly increasing. Therefore, the potential attains its unique minimum at q = 0. Our result states that the periodic solution of (1.2) constructed in Theorem 2.2 is unique.

However, as it has been observed in Remark 2.7, the perturbative scheme may work for a larger range of the anharmonicity parameter ν than considered in Theorem 2.3. In the latter case there could exist some periodic solutions of (1.2) other than defined by (2.15). Consider for example the forcing given by

$$\mathcal{F}\left(\frac{t}{\theta}\right) = 2F\cos(\omega t).$$
 (5.4)

Assume that $V(\cdot)$ is of C^2 class of regularity. According to Remark 2.7, by adjusting ω to be sufficiently large, we can make $\overline{\nu}_0$ - the range of the convergence of the perturbative scheme - as large as we wish. Then for some $|\nu| < \overline{\nu}_0$ the potential $W(q;\nu)$ could admit some other local minimum, say at $\overline{q} \neq 0$. Then $W'(\overline{q};\nu) = \omega_0^2 \overline{q} + \nu V'(\overline{q}) = 0$. Assume furthermore that $W''(\overline{q};\nu) = \omega_0^2 + \nu V''(\overline{q}) > 0$. Then, obviously

$$\overline{q}_x(t;0) \equiv \overline{q}, \quad x \in \mathbb{Z}_N \tag{5.5}$$

solves equation (1.2) with $\mathcal{F}(\cdot) \equiv 0$ (corresponding to F = 0). It can be seen, see Section D of the Appendix, that there will be $\theta = 2\pi/\omega$ -periodic solutions $(\overline{q}_x(t;F))_{x\in\mathbb{Z}_N}$ of (1.2) that are close to $(\overline{q}_x(t;0))_{x\in\mathbb{Z}_N}$ for small values of F.

6. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.5

The only remaining part is the proof of strict positivity of the work functional. Suppose that $W(\nu) = 0$.

Then $p_{-N,p}(t) = p_{N,p}(t) \equiv 0$, $t \ge 0$. This implies that $q_{-N,p}(t) \equiv q_{-N,p}$, $q_{N,p}(t) \equiv q_{N,p}$, for all $t \ge 0$, and some constants $q_{-N,p}$ and $q_{N,p}$. Then $q_{-N+1,p}(t)$ must solve the equation

$$0 = q_{-N+1,p}(t) - q_{-N,p} - \omega_0^2 q_{-N,p} - \nu \left[V'(q_{-N,p}) - U'(q_{-N+1,p}(t) - q_{-N,p}) \right].$$

that implies that $q_{-N+1,p}(t)$ is constant in t and $\dot{p}_{-N+1,p}(t) = 0$. Consequently

$$0 = \dot{p}_{-N+1,p}(t) = q_{-N+2,p}(t) + q_{-N,p} - (1 + \omega_0^2)q_{-N+1,p}$$
$$-\nu \left[V'(q_{-N+1,p}) + U'(q_{-N+2,p}(t) - q_{-N+1,p}) - U'(q_{-N+1,p} - q_{-N,p}) \right].$$

that implies $q_{-N+2,p}(t)$ is constant in t and $\dot{p}_{-N+2,p}(t) = 0$. Iterating we have

$$q_{-N+j,p}(t) \equiv q_{-N+j,p}, \qquad \dot{p}_{-N+j,p}(t) = 0, \quad j = 0, \dots, N.$$

Repeating the same argument starting from the other side we obtain

$$q_{N-j,p}(t) \equiv q_{N-j,p}, \qquad \dot{p}_{N-j,p}(t) = 0, \quad j = 0, \dots, N.$$

This implies for the 0-site

$$0 = \dot{p}_{0,p}(t) = (q_{1,p} - q_{-1,p} - 2q_{0,p}) - \omega_0^2 q_{0,p} - \nu \left[V'(q_{0,p}) + U'(q_{1,p} - q_{0,p}(t)) - U'(q_{0,p} - q_{-1,p}) \right] + \mathcal{F}(t/\theta)$$

and we conclude that $\mathcal{F}(t/\theta) \equiv \text{const}$, which contradicts (1.5).

7. GLOBAL STABILITY OF THE PERIODIC SOLUTION

In the present section we assume that N is finite and $\mathbf{q}(t; \nu, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) = (q_x(t; \nu, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}))$, is the solution of (1.2) with the initial condition at s

$$q_x(s;\nu,\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p}) = q_x \quad \text{and} \quad \dot{q}_x(s;\nu,\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p}) = p_x, \quad x \in \mathbb{Z}_N$$
(7.1)

for any $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $\mathbf{p}(t; \nu, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) = (p_x(t; \nu, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}))$, where $p_x(t; \nu, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) = \dot{q}_x(t; \nu, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})$. We omit writing the initial data (\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) , if they are obvious from the context.

We shall also denote by

$$\mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{p}}(t;\nu) = \left(q_{x,\mathrm{p}}(t;\nu)\right)_{x\in\mathbb{Z}_{N}}, \qquad \mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{p}}(t;\nu) = \left(p_{x,\mathrm{p}}(t;\nu)\right)_{x\in\mathbb{Z}_{N}}$$

a θ -periodic solution of (1.2).

7.1. Global stability of the periodic solution in the case of a harmonic chain on \mathbb{Z}_N . In the case $\nu = 0$ equation (4.7), with the initial data at *s* given by (**q**, **p**), can be explicitly solved. Let us introduce some auxiliary notation. Define a 2 × 2 bloc matrix, with each block a $(2N + 1) \times (2N + 1)$ matrix,

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0_{2N+1} & \mathrm{Id}_{2N+1} \\ \Delta - \omega_0^2 \mathrm{Id}_{2N+1} & -\gamma E_{2N+1} \end{pmatrix},$$
(7.2)

where Id_n is the $n \times n$ identity matrix and

$$E_{2N+1} = [\delta_{-N-1}(x)\delta_{-N-1}(y) + \delta_{N+1}(x)\delta_{N+1}(y)]_{x,y=-N-1,\dots,N+1}$$

We denote by $\sigma(A)$ the set of all eigenvalues of A and by $\operatorname{Re} \sigma(A)$ the set of all their real parts. Both here and in what follows by the norm of the matrix $M = [m_{i,j}]$ we understand its operator norm $||M|| := \sup_{||x||=1} ||Mx||$, where $|| \cdot ||$ is the euclidean norm of a vector.

Suppose that $(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) \in \Omega_N$ and $s \leq t$. Denote by $\mathbf{q}(t, s) = (q_x(t, s))$ the solution of (4.7) satisfying

$$q_x(s,s) = q_x, \quad \dot{q}_x(s,s) = p_x, \quad x \in \mathbb{Z}_N.$$

$$(7.3)$$

Using this notation we can write

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{\mathbf{q}}(t;s)\\ \dot{\mathbf{p}}(t;s) \end{pmatrix} = A \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{q}(t;s)\\ \mathbf{p}(t;s) \end{pmatrix} + \mathbb{F}\left(\frac{t}{\theta}\right),$$

$$\mathbf{q}(s;s) = \mathbf{q}, \quad \mathbf{p}(s;s) = \mathbf{p}.$$
 (7.4)

The solution can be written as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{q}(t;s) \\ \mathbf{p}(t;s) \end{pmatrix} = e^{A(t-s)} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{q} \\ \mathbf{p} \end{pmatrix} + \int_{s}^{t} e^{A(t-s')} \mathbb{F}\left(\frac{s'}{\theta}\right) \mathrm{d}s', \tag{7.5}$$

where $\mathbb{F}(t)$ is a vector valued function, with 2(2N+1) components that are all 0, except the one corresponding to the momentum co-ordinate at x = 0, where it equals $\mathcal{F}(t)$. In particular, $\mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{p}}(t)$ the θ -periodic solution of (1.2) is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{p}}(t) \\ \mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{p}}(t) \end{pmatrix} = \int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{A(t-s)} \mathbb{F}\left(\frac{s}{\theta}\right) \mathrm{d}s.$$
(7.6)

Define

$$\lambda_N \coloneqq -\lim_{t \to +\infty} \frac{\log \|e^{At}\|_N}{t}.$$
(7.7)

It can be shown, see [30, Proposition 1.2] that there exists $C_0 > 0$ such that

$$\lambda_N \ge \frac{C_0}{N^3}, \quad N = 1, 2, \dots$$
 (7.8)

As an immediate consequence of (7.7) we conclude the following.

Proposition 7.1. There exist $C_A > 0$ such that

$$\|e^{At}\| \leqslant C_A e^{-\lambda_N t}, \quad t \ge 0.$$
(7.9)

We claim that, with the presence of dissipation on both ends of a chain the solution $(\mathbf{q}(t, s, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}), \dot{\mathbf{q}}(t, s, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}))$ tends to the periodic solution as $s \to -\infty$.

Theorem 7.2. Suppose that $(\mathbf{q}_{p}(t))$ is the unique periodic solution given by (4.10). Then, for $\gamma > 0$

$$\lim_{s \to -\infty} \left(\| \mathbf{q}(t, s, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) - \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{p}}(t) \|_{N} + \| \dot{\mathbf{q}}(t, s, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) - \dot{\mathbf{q}}_{\mathbf{p}}(t) \|_{N} \right) = 0$$
(7.10)

for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) \in \Omega_N$.

Proof. Using (7.5) and (7.6) we get

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{q}(t;s) - \mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{p}}(t) \\ \mathbf{p}(t;s) - \mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{p}}(t) \end{pmatrix} = e^{A(t-s)} \begin{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{q} \\ \mathbf{p} \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{p}}(s) \\ \mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{p}}(s) \end{pmatrix} \end{bmatrix}.$$
(7.11)

 \square

The result is then an immediate conclusion from Proposition 7.1.

7.2. Global stability via the approximation scheme.

Theorem 7.3. Suppose that $\mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{p}}(t;\nu)$ is the periodic solution defined in Theorem 2.2 and $\gamma > 0$. Then, for each $|\nu| < \nu_0$ (see (2.11)) and $\xi > 0$ large enough we have

$$\lim_{s \to -\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\xi t} \| \mathbf{q}(t; s, \nu) - \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{p}}(t; \nu) \|^2 dt = 0.$$
 (7.12)

The proof of the theorem is given in Section 7.2.3 below but first we apply it to prove Theorem 2.4.

Proof of Theorem 2.4 in the case $|\nu| < \nu_0$. As a corollary of Theorem 7.3 we conclude that $(\mathbf{q}(\cdot; s, \nu), \mathbf{p}(\cdot; s, \nu))$ converges to the periodic solution $(\mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{p}}(\cdot; \nu), \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{p}}(\cdot; \nu))$, as $s \to -\infty$, in $L^2_{\text{loc}}[0, +\infty)$. Since the functions are bounded, together with their derivatives, the family is compact in the topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets of $[0, +\infty)$. The L^2_{loc} convergence allows us to identify the limit, as the periodic solution, which in particular implies the conclusion of Theorem 2.4.

7.2.1. Approximation scheme. For fixed $(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) \in \Omega_N$ and s < 0 define

$$\mathbf{q}^{(L)}(t;s,\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p},\nu) = \left(q_x^{(L)}(t;s,\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p},\nu)\right)_{x\in\mathbb{Z}_N}, \quad t\ge 0, \quad L=0,1,2,\dots$$

as the solution of

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{q}_{x}^{(0)}(t;s,\nu) &= \left(\Delta - \omega_{0}^{2}\right) q_{x}^{(0)}(t;s,\nu) - \gamma \left(\delta_{-N}(x) + \delta_{N}(x)\right) \dot{q}_{x}^{(0)}(t;s,\nu) + \mathcal{F}(t/\theta) \delta_{0}(x), \\ q_{x}^{(0)}(0;s,\nu) &= q_{x}(0;s,\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p},\nu) - \sum_{L=1}^{+\infty} q_{x,\mathbf{p}}^{(L)}(0;\nu) \nu^{L}, \\ \dot{q}_{x}^{(0)}(0;\nu) &= \dot{q}_{x}(0;s,\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p},\nu) - \sum_{L=1}^{+\infty} \dot{q}_{x,\mathbf{p}}^{(L)}(0;\nu) \nu^{L}, \quad x \in \mathbb{Z}_{N} \end{aligned}$$

$$(7.13)$$

and for L = 1, 2, ...

$$\ddot{q}_{x}^{(L)}(t;s,\nu) = (\Delta - \omega_{0}^{2})q_{x}^{(L)}(t;s,\nu) - \gamma (\delta_{-N,x} + \delta_{N,x})\dot{q}_{x}^{(L)}(t;s,\nu) - v_{x,L-1}(t;s,\nu) q_{x}^{(L)}(0;s,\nu) = q_{x,p}^{(L)}(0;\nu), \qquad \dot{q}_{x}^{(L)}(0;s,\nu) = \dot{q}_{x,p}^{(L)}(0;\nu), \qquad x \in \mathbb{Z}_{N}.$$

$$(7.14)$$

Here

$$v_{x,L-1}(t;s,\nu) = \frac{1}{\nu^{L-1}} \Big[W_x \big(\mathbf{Q}^{(L-1)}(t;s,\nu) \big) - W_x \big(\mathbf{Q}^{(L-2)}(t;s,\nu) \big) \Big]$$
(7.15)

where W_x is defined by (2.3) and $\mathbf{Q}^{(L)}(t;s,\nu) = \left(Q_x^{(L)}(t;s,\nu)\right)_{x\in\mathbb{Z}_N}$ is given by an analogue of (2.7):

$$Q_x^{(L)}(t;s,\nu) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{L} q_x^{(\ell)}(t;s,\nu)\nu^{\ell}, \qquad t \ge 0, \qquad L \ge 0.$$
(7.16)

By convention $W_x(\mathbf{Q}^{(-1)}(t;s,\nu)) \equiv 0$. Both here and below we suppress writing the initial data \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p} in the notation, when they are clear from the context.

Notice that
$$\sum_{L=0}^{+\infty} q_x^{(L)}(0; s, \nu)\nu^L = q_x(0; s, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, \nu)$$
. Then for $L \ge 0$
 $\ddot{Q}_x^{(L)}(t; s, \nu) = (\Delta - \omega_0^2)Q_x^{(L)}(t; s, \nu) - \gamma(\delta_{-N}(x) + \delta_N(x))\dot{Q}_x^{(L)}(t; s, \nu) - \nu W(Q_x^{(L-1)}(t; s, \nu)) + \mathcal{F}(t/\theta)\delta_{x,0},$
 $Q_x^{(L)}(0; s, \nu) = q_x(0; s, \nu) - \sum_{\ell=L+1}^{+\infty} q_{x,p}^{(\ell)}(0; \nu)\nu^\ell$
 $\dot{Q}_x^{(L)}(0; \nu) = q_x(0; s, \nu) - \sum_{\ell=L+1}^{+\infty} \dot{q}_{x,p}^{(\ell)}(0; \nu)\nu^\ell, \quad x \in \mathbb{Z}_N.$
(7.17)

Let

$$\mathfrak{Q}_{L}(t,s) \coloneqq \|\mathbf{Q}^{(L)}(t,s;\nu) - \mathbf{Q}^{(L-1)}(t,s;\nu)\| + \|\dot{\mathbf{Q}}^{(L)}(t,s;\nu) - \dot{\mathbf{Q}}^{(L-1)}(t,s;\nu)\|$$

for L = 1, 2, ...

Lemma 7.4. For fixed $t_* > 0 > s$ and $|\nu| < \nu_0$ we have

$$\sum_{L=1}^{+\infty} \sup_{t \in [0,t_*]} \mathfrak{Q}_L(t,s) < +\infty.$$
(7.18)

Proof. The solutions of (7.17) satisfy

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Q}^{(L)}(t;s,\nu) - \mathbf{Q}^{(L-1)}(t;s,\nu) \\ \dot{\mathbf{Q}}^{(L)}(t;s,\nu) - \dot{\mathbf{Q}}^{(L-1)}(t;s,\nu) \end{pmatrix} = \nu^{L} e^{At} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{q}_{p}^{(L)}(0;\nu) \\ \dot{\mathbf{q}}_{p}^{(L)}(0;\nu) \end{pmatrix} - \nu \int_{0}^{t} e^{A(t-s')} \Big[\mathbb{V} \big(\mathbf{Q}^{(L-1)}(s';s,\nu) \big) - \mathbb{V} \big(\mathbf{Q}^{(L-2)}(s';s,\nu) \big) \Big] \mathrm{d}s'$$

$$(7.19)$$

for any $L = 1, 2, ..., t \ge 0$. Here $\mathbb{V} : \Omega_N \to \Omega_N \times \Omega_N$ and $\mathcal{W} : \Omega_N \to \Omega_N$ are functions defined as

$$\mathbb{V}(f) = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ \mathcal{W}(f), \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \mathcal{W}_x(f) = W_x(f), \quad x \in \mathbb{Z}_N$$
(7.20)

(cf (2.3)) and, by convention, $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{Q}^{(-1)}(s'; s, \nu)) \equiv 0$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{Q}_{L}(t,s) &\leq |\nu|^{L} \Big(\|\mathbf{q}_{p}^{(L)}(\cdot;\nu)\|_{\infty} + \|\dot{\mathbf{q}}_{p}^{(L)}(\cdot;\nu)\|_{\infty} \Big) + |\nu|\mathfrak{V} \int_{0}^{t} \mathfrak{Q}_{L-1}(s',s) \mathrm{d}s' \\ &\leq \dots \leq |\nu|^{L} \Big(\|\mathbf{q}_{p}^{(L)}(\cdot;\nu)\|_{\infty} + \|\dot{\mathbf{q}}_{p}^{(L)}(\cdot;\nu)\|_{\infty} \Big) + |\nu|^{L-1} \Big(\|\mathbf{q}_{p}^{(L-1)}(\cdot;\nu)\|_{\infty} + \|\dot{\mathbf{q}}_{p}^{(L-1)}(\cdot;\nu)\|_{\infty} \Big) \frac{|\nu|\mathfrak{V}t}{1!} \end{aligned}$$

$$(7.21)$$

+...+
$$\left(\| \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{p}}^{(0)}(\cdot;\nu) \|_{\infty} + \| \dot{\mathbf{q}}_{\mathbf{p}}^{(0)}(\cdot;\nu) \|_{\infty} \right) \frac{(|\nu|\mathfrak{V}t)^{L}}{L!}.$$

Summing over L we conclude that

$$\sum_{L=1}^{+\infty} \sup_{t \in [0,t_*]} \mathfrak{Q}_L(t,s) \leq \left(\sum_{L=0}^{+\infty} |\nu|^L \left(\|\mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{p}}^{(L)}(\cdot;\nu)\|_{\infty} + \|\dot{\mathbf{q}}_{\mathbf{p}}^{(L)}(\cdot;\nu)\|_{\infty} \right) \right) \exp\left\{ |\nu|\mathfrak{V}t_* \right\}.$$

The conclusion of the lemma then follows from Proposition 4.4.

As a consequence of Lemma 7.4 we have for t > 0

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^{+\infty} q_x^{(\ell)}(t;s,\nu)\nu^{\ell} = \lim_{L \to +\infty} Q_x^{(L)}(t;s,\nu) = q_x(t;s,\nu),$$

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^{+\infty} \dot{q}_x^{(\ell)}(t;s,\nu)\nu^{\ell} = \lim_{L \to +\infty} \dot{Q}_x^{(L)}(t;s,\nu) = \dot{q}_x(t;s,\nu).$$
(7.22)

7.2.2. Approximation scheme for the Laplace transform. Consider the Laplace transforms

$$\widehat{q}_x^{(L)}(\lambda;s,\nu) = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\lambda t} q_x^{(L)}(t;s,\nu) \mathrm{d}t.$$

They satisfy the system

$$0 = \left[\Delta - \omega_0^2 - \lambda^2 - \gamma \lambda (\delta_{-N}(x) + \delta_N(x))\right] \widehat{q}_x^{(0)}(\lambda; s, \nu) + \left[\lambda + \gamma (\delta_{-N}(x) + \delta_N(x))\right] q_x^{(0)}(0; s, \nu) + \dot{q}^{(0)}(0; s, \nu) + \delta_{x,0} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{\widehat{F}_m}{\lambda - im\omega}, \quad x \in \mathbb{Z}_N$$
(7.23)

and for L = 1, 2, ...

$$0 = \left[\Delta - \omega_0^2 - \lambda^2 - \gamma \lambda (\delta_{-N}(x) + \delta_N(x))\right] \widehat{q}_x^{(L)}(\lambda; s, \nu) + \left[\lambda + \gamma (\delta_{-N}(x) + \delta_N(x))\right] q_{x,p}^{(L)}(0; \nu) + \dot{q}_{x,p}^{(L)}(0; \nu) - \widehat{v}_{x,L-1}(\lambda; s, \nu), \quad x \in \mathbb{Z}_N,$$
(7.24)

with

$$\widehat{v}_{x,L-1}(\lambda;s,\nu) = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\lambda t} v_{x,L-1}(t;s,\nu) \mathrm{d}t, \qquad (7.25)$$

where $v_{x,L-1}(t;s,\nu)$ is given by (7.15). Let $\widehat{q}_{x,p}^{(L)}(\lambda;\nu)$ be the Laplace transform of $q_{x,p}^{(L)}(t;\nu)$ and

$$\delta q_x^{(L)}(t; s, \nu) \coloneqq q_x^{(L)}(t; s, \nu) - q_{x,p}^{(L)}(t; \nu),
\delta \widehat{q}_x^{(L)}(\lambda; s, \nu) \coloneqq \widehat{q}_x^{(L)}(\lambda; s, \nu) - \widehat{q}_{x,p}^{(L)}(\lambda; \nu),$$

$$\delta Q_x^{(L)}(t; s, \nu) \coloneqq Q_x^{(L)}(t; \nu) - Q_{x,p}^{(L)}(t; \nu).$$
(7.26)

For $L = 1, 2, \ldots$ we get

$$0 = \left[\Delta - \omega_0^2 - \lambda^2 - \gamma \lambda (\delta_{-N}(x) + \delta_N(x))\right] \delta \widehat{q}_x^{(L)}(\lambda; s, \nu) - \delta \widehat{v}_{x,L-1}(\lambda; s, \nu), \quad x \in \mathbb{Z}_N, \text{ with} \\ \delta \widehat{v}_{x,L-1}(\lambda; s, \nu) \coloneqq \widehat{v}_{x,L-1}(\lambda; s, \nu) - \widehat{v}_{x,L-1}^{(p)}(\lambda; \nu).$$

$$(7.27)$$

Let $\lambda = \xi + i\eta$, where $\xi > 0$ and $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$. Multiplying both sides by $\left(\delta \widehat{q}_x^{(L)}(\lambda; s, \nu)\right)^*$ and summing over x we get

$$\left(\omega_0^2 + \xi^2 - \eta^2 + 2i\xi\eta \right) \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \left| \delta \widehat{q}_x^{(L)}(\lambda; s, \nu) \right|^2 + \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \left| \nabla_x \delta \widehat{q}_x^{(L)}(\lambda; s, \nu) \right|^2 + \gamma \left(\xi + i\eta \right) \left[\left| \delta \widehat{q}_{-N}^{(L)}(\lambda; s, \nu) \right|^2 + \left| \delta \widehat{q}_N^{(L)}(\lambda; s, \nu) \right|^2 \right]$$

$$= -\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \delta \widehat{v}_{x,L-1}(\lambda; s, \nu) \left(\delta \widehat{q}_x^{(L)}(\lambda; s, \nu) \right)^*.$$

$$(7.28)$$

Comparing the real and imaginary parts we conclude that

$$\left(\omega_0^2 + \xi^2 - \eta^2\right) \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \left| \delta \widehat{q}_x^{(L)}(\lambda; s, \nu) \right|^2 + \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \left| \nabla_x \delta \widehat{q}_x^{(L)}(\lambda; s, \nu) \right|^2$$

+ $\gamma \xi \Big[\left| \delta \widehat{q}_{-N}^{(L)}(\lambda; s, \nu) \right|^2 + \left| \delta \widehat{q}_N^{(L)}(\lambda; s, \nu) \right|^2 \Big] = - \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \operatorname{Re} \left[\delta \widehat{v}_{x, L-1}(\lambda; s, \nu) \Big(\delta \widehat{q}_x^{(L)}(\lambda; s, \nu) \Big)^* \Big]$
(7.29)

and

$$2\xi\eta \sum_{x\in\mathbb{Z}_N} \left|\delta\widehat{q}_x^{(L)}(\lambda;s,\nu)\right|^2 + \gamma\eta \left[\left|\delta\widehat{q}_{-N}^{(L)}(\lambda;s,\nu)\right|^2 + \left|\delta\widehat{q}_N^{(L)}(\lambda;s,\nu)\right|^2\right]$$

$$= -\sum_{x\in\mathbb{Z}_N} \operatorname{Im} \left[\delta\widehat{v}_{x,L-1}(\lambda;s,\nu) \left(\delta\widehat{q}_x^{(L)}(\lambda;s,\nu)\right)^*\right].$$
(7.30)

Recall that $\delta_* < \omega_0^2$. Choose $a \in (\delta_*, \omega_0^2)$. For $\eta^2 \leq \omega_0^2 - a$ and all $\xi > 0$ we conclude from (7.29) that

$$a\sum_{x\in\mathbb{Z}_N} \left|\delta\widehat{q}_x^{(L)}(\lambda;s,\nu)\right|^2 \leqslant \left\{\sum_{x\in\mathbb{Z}_N} \left[\delta\widehat{v}_{x,L-1}(\lambda;s,\nu)\right]^2\right\}^{1/2} \left\{\sum_{x\in\mathbb{Z}_N} \left|\delta\widehat{q}_x^{(L)}(\lambda;s,\nu)\right|^2\right\}^{1/2}.$$
(7.31)

For $\eta \ge \sqrt{\omega_0^2 - a}$ we get from (7.30) that

$$2\xi\sqrt{\omega_0^2 - a} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \left|\delta\widehat{q}_x^{(L)}(\lambda; s, \nu)\right|^2 \leq \left\{\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \left[\delta\widehat{v}_{x, L-1}(\lambda; s, \nu)\right]^2\right\}^{1/2} \left\{\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \left|\delta\widehat{q}_x^{(L)}(\lambda; s, \nu)\right|^2\right\}^{1/2}$$
(7.32)

If $\eta \leq -\sqrt{\omega_0^2 - a}$, then we multiply both sides of (7.30) by -1 and (7.32) is still in force. Consequently choosing ξ such that $2\xi\sqrt{\omega_0^2 - a} \ge a$ we have that (7.31) holds for any η .

From the above consideration we conclude the following.

Lemma 7.5. For
$$\lambda = \xi + i\eta$$
, $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$, $\delta_* < a < \omega_0^2$ and $\xi > \frac{a}{2\sqrt{\omega_0^2 - a}}$ we have

$$a^2 \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \left| \delta \widehat{q}_x^{(L)}(\lambda; s, \nu) \right|^2 \leq \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \left[\delta \widehat{v}_{x, L-1}(\lambda; s, \nu) \right]^2.$$
(7.33)

Corollary 7.6. For a and ξ as in the statement of Lemma 7.5 we have

$$a^{2} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_{N}} \int_{0}^{+\infty} e^{-2\xi t} \Big[\delta q_{x}^{(L)}(t; s, \nu) \Big]^{2} dt$$

$$\leq \mathfrak{V}^{2} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_{N}} \int_{0}^{+\infty} e^{-2\xi t} \Big[\delta q_{x}^{(L-1)}(t; s, \nu) \Big]^{2} dt, \quad L = 1, 2, \dots$$
(7.34)

Proof. Integrating over η in (7.33) and using the Parseval identity we conclude that

$$a^{2} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_{N}} \int_{0}^{+\infty} e^{-2\xi t} \Big[\delta q_{x}^{(L)}(t;s,\nu) \Big]^{2} dt \leq \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_{N}} \int_{0}^{+\infty} e^{-2\xi t} \Big[\delta v_{x,L-1}(t;s,\nu) \Big]^{2} dt.$$
(7.35)

Using (7.15) and (1.8) we conclude in the same way as in (4.23) that

$$\begin{aligned} |\delta v_{x,L-1}(t;s,\nu)| &\leq (\|V''\|_{\infty} + \|U''\|_{\infty}) |\delta q_x^{(L-1)}(t;s,\nu)| \\ &+ \|U''\|_{\infty} \Big(|\delta q_{x-1}^{(L-1)}(t;s,\nu)| + |\delta q_{x+1}^{(L-1)}(t;s,\nu)| \Big). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

Combining with (7.35) we conclude estimate (7.34) with \mathfrak{V} defined in (2.11).

7.2.3. Proof of Theorem 7.3. We start with the following.

Lemma 7.7. For fixed $(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) \in \Omega_N$ and ν we have

$$\sup_{t \ge s} \left(\|\mathbf{q}(t,s;\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p},\nu)\| + \|\mathbf{p}(t,s;\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p},\nu)\| \right) \coloneqq \mathfrak{Q}(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p}) < +\infty.$$
(7.37)

Proof. The solution of (1.2) satisfies

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{q}(t,s;\nu) \\ \mathbf{p}(t,s;\nu) \end{pmatrix} = e^{A(t-s)} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{q} \\ \mathbf{p} \end{pmatrix} - \nu \int_{s}^{t} e^{A(t-s')} \mathbb{V}(\mathbf{q}(s',s;\nu)) ds' + \int_{s}^{t} e^{A(t-s')} \mathbb{F}\left(\frac{s'}{\theta}\right) ds'.$$
(7.38)

Here $\mathbb{V}: \Omega_N \to \Omega_N \times \Omega_N$ is a function defined in (7.20). Using (7.38) we conclude that

$$\left(\|\mathbf{q}(t,s;\nu)\|^{2} + \|\mathbf{p}(t,s;\nu)\|^{2} \right)^{1/2} \leq \|e^{A(t-s)}\| \left(\|\mathbf{q}\|^{2} + \|\mathbf{p}\|^{2} \right)^{1/2}$$

$$+ |\nu| \int_{s}^{t} \|e^{A(t-s')}\| \|\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{q}(s',s;\nu))\|_{\ell_{2}(\mathbb{Z}_{N})} \mathrm{d}s'$$

$$+ \int_{s}^{t} \|e^{A(t-s')}\| \|\mathbb{F}\left(\frac{s'}{\theta}\right)\|_{\ell_{2}(\mathbb{Z}_{N})} \mathrm{d}s'.$$

$$(7.39)$$

Thanks to (7.8) we can write

$$\left(\|\mathbf{q}(t,s;\nu)\|^2 + \|\mathbf{p}(t,s;\nu)\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq C e^{-\lambda_N(t-s)} \left(\|\mathbf{q}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{p}\|^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

+ $C \int_s^t e^{-\lambda_N(t-s')} \mathrm{d}s'.$ (7.40)

Hence (7.37) follows.

Consider the equation

$$\ddot{\mathfrak{q}}_x(t) = \left(\Delta - \omega_0^2\right)\mathfrak{q}_x(t) - \gamma\left(\delta_{-N}(x) + \delta_N(x)\right)\dot{\mathfrak{q}}_x(t), \quad x = -N, \dots, N.$$
(7.41)

Denote by B_R the ball of radius R > 0, centered at 0 in $\mathbb{R}^{2(2N+1)}$ and by $q(t) = (q_x(t)), \dot{q}(t) = (\dot{q}_x(t))$.

Lemma 7.8. For fixed R > 0, ν and $\xi \ge 0$ we have

$$\lim_{s \to -\infty} \sup_{(\mathfrak{q}(0), \dot{\mathfrak{q}}(0)) \in B_R} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-2\xi t} \mathfrak{q}_x^2(t) \mathrm{d}t = 0.$$
(7.42)

Proof. Using (7.9) we conclude that for $t \ge 0 \ge s$ we have

$$\left(\sum_{x\in\mathbb{Z}_N}\mathfrak{q}_x^2(t)\right)^{1/2} \leqslant Ce^{-\lambda_N t}\mathfrak{Q}(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p}).$$
(7.43)

From this

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-2\xi t} \mathfrak{q}_x^2(t) \mathrm{d}t \leq C \mathfrak{Q}^2(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-2\lambda_N t} \mathrm{d}t = \frac{C}{2\lambda_N} \mathfrak{Q}^2(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})$$
(7.44)

and (7.45) follows.

We restore the dependence on the initial data $(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) \in \mathbb{R}^{2(2N+1)}$ in the notation of $\delta q_x^{(0)}(t; s, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, \nu)$. From Lemmas 7.7 and 7.8 we immediately conclude the following.

Corollary 7.9. We have

$$\lim_{s \to -\infty} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-2\xi t} \left[\delta q_x^{(0)}(t; s, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, \nu) \right]^2 \mathrm{d}t = 0.$$
(7.45)

Proof. Note that $\delta q^{(0)}(0; s, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, \nu) = \left(\delta q_x^{(0)}(t; s, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, \nu)\right)_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N}$ solves (7.41). According to Lemma 7.7 there exists R > 0 such that

$$\left(\delta q^{(0)}(0; s, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, \nu), \delta \dot{q}^{(0)}(0; s, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, \nu)\right) \in B_R \quad \text{for all } s < 0.$$

The conclusion of the corollary then follows directly from Lemma 7.8.

Notice that $\frac{\mathfrak{V}}{a} < \frac{\mathfrak{V}}{\delta_*} := \nu_0$. Suppose that $|\nu| < \nu_0$. Using Corollary 7.6 we conclude that for ξ large enough we have

$$\left\{\sum_{x\in\mathbb{Z}_N}\int_0^{+\infty} e^{-2\xi t} \left[\delta q_x^{(L)}(t;s,\nu)\right]^2\right\}^{1/2} \mathrm{d}t \leq \left(\frac{\mathfrak{V}}{a}\right)^L \left\{\int_0^{+\infty} e^{-2\xi t} \left[\delta q_x^{(0)}(t;s,\nu)\right]^2 \mathrm{d}t\right\}^{1/2}, \quad L = 1, 2, \dots$$
(7.46)

Hence,

$$\left\{ \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_{N}} \int_{0}^{+\infty} e^{-2\xi t} \left[q_{x}(t;s,\nu) - q_{x,p}(t,\nu) \right]^{2} dt \right\}^{1/2} \\
= \left\{ \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_{N}} \int_{0}^{+\infty} e^{-2\xi t} \left[\sum_{\ell \geqslant 0} \nu^{\ell} \delta q_{x}^{(\ell)}(t;s,\nu) \right]^{2} dt \right\}^{1/2} \\
\leqslant \sum_{\ell \geqslant 0} |\nu|^{\ell} \left\{ \int_{0}^{+\infty} e^{-2\xi t} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_{N}} \left[\delta q_{x}^{(\ell)}(t;s,\nu) \right]^{2} dt \right\}^{1/2} \\
\leqslant \frac{1}{1 - (|\nu|\mathfrak{V}/a)} \left\{ \int_{0}^{+\infty} e^{-2\xi t} \left[\delta q_{x}^{(0)}(t;s,\nu) \right]^{2} dt \right\}^{1/2}.$$
(7.47)

The conclusion of Theorem 7.3 then follows from Corollary 7.9.

APPENDIX A. FORMULAS FOR THE GREEN'S FUNCTION

A.1. Green's function of the operator $\lambda + \omega_0^2 - \Delta$ on \mathbb{Z} . Suppose that $-\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{I}$. The Green's function of the operator $\lambda - \omega_0^2 + \Delta$, where Δ is the free Laplacian on \mathbb{Z} is given by

$$G_{\lambda}(x) = \left(\lambda + \omega_0^2 - \Delta\right)^{-1} \delta_0(x) = \int_0^1 \frac{\cos(2\pi u x) du}{\lambda + 4\sin^2(\pi u) + \omega_0^2}.$$
 (A.1)

Note that

$$G_{\lambda^{\star}}(x) = G_{\lambda}^{\star}(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{Z}, -\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \smallsetminus \mathcal{I}.$$
 (A.2)

Let $\zeta = \chi(\lambda) := \frac{1}{2}(2 + \omega_0^2 + \lambda)$ and let Φ_+ be the inverse of the Joukowski function

 $\zeta = J(z) = \frac{1}{2} \left(z + \frac{1}{z} \right), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}$

considered for |z| > 1. Then $z = \Phi_+(\zeta)$, $\zeta \notin [-1, 1]$. Condition $-\lambda \notin \mathcal{I}$ is equivalent with $\zeta \notin [-1, 1]$. Furthermore $|\Phi_+(\chi(\lambda))| > 1$. We have then

$$G_{\lambda}(x) = \frac{\Phi_{+}^{1-|x|}(\chi(\lambda))}{\Phi_{+}^{2}(\chi(\lambda)) - 1} = \frac{\Phi_{+}^{-|x|}(\chi(\lambda))}{2[\Phi_{+}(\chi(\lambda)) - \chi(\lambda)]}, \quad x \in \mathbb{Z}$$
(A.3)

We can use the mapping $\zeta \mapsto \Phi_+(\zeta)$, $\zeta \notin [-1,1]$ to define the mapping $\zeta \mapsto w = (\zeta^2 - 1)^{1/2}$, $\zeta \notin [-1,1]$ by letting

$$(\zeta^2 - 1)^{1/2} \coloneqq \Phi_+(\zeta) - \zeta, \quad \zeta \notin [-1, 1].$$
 (A.4)

In particular,

$$\{\zeta^2 - 1\}^{1/2} = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\zeta^2 - 1}, & \text{when } \zeta \in [1, +\infty), \\ i\sqrt{1 - \zeta^2}, & \text{when } \zeta \in i(0, +\infty), \\ -\sqrt{\zeta^2 - 1}, & \text{when } \zeta \in (-\infty, -1], \\ -i\sqrt{1 - \zeta^2}, & \text{when } \zeta \in i(-\infty, 0) \end{cases}$$

Here $w \mapsto \sqrt{w}$ is the branch of the inverse of $z \mapsto z^2$ such that $\operatorname{Re} \sqrt{w} > 0$, when $w \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0]$. Furthermore

$$\Phi_+(\chi(\lambda)) = \zeta + \{\zeta^2 - 1\}^{1/2} = \Phi_+(\zeta).$$

Formula (A.3) can be rewritten in the form

$$G_{\lambda}(x) = \frac{\left\{1 + \frac{1}{2}(\lambda + \omega_0^2) + \frac{1}{2}\left\{(\lambda + \omega_0^2)(\lambda + \omega_u^2)\right\}^{1/2}\right\}^{-|x|}}{\left\{(\lambda + \omega_0^2)(\lambda + \omega_u^2)\right\}^{1/2}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{Z}$$
(A.5)

recall that $\omega_u = \sqrt{\omega_0^2 + 4}$.

Suppose that $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$. In case $|m|\omega < \omega_0$ we have

$$G_{-(m\omega)^{2}}(x) = \frac{\xi_{+}^{-|x|}(m\omega)}{D(m\omega)}, \quad x \in \mathbb{Z}, \text{ with}$$

$$\xi_{+}(\Omega) \coloneqq 1 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\omega_{0}^{2} - \Omega^{2} + D(\Omega) \right) \text{ and} \qquad (A.6)$$

$$D(\Omega) \coloneqq \sqrt{(\omega_{0}^{2} - \Omega^{2})(\omega_{u}^{2} - \Omega^{2})}, \quad \Omega \in [\omega_{0}, \omega_{u}]$$

When $|m|\omega > \omega_u$ we have

$$G_{-(m\omega)^{2}}(x) = -\frac{\xi_{-}^{-|x|}(m\omega)}{D(m\omega)}, \quad x \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \text{with}$$

$$\xi_{-}(\Omega) \coloneqq 1 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\omega_{0}^{2} - \Omega^{2} - D(\Omega)\right), \quad x \in \mathbb{Z}.$$
(A.7)

We have

$$\xi_+(\Omega) > 1$$
, when $0 \le \Omega < \omega_0$ and
 $\xi_-(\Omega) < -1$, when $\Omega > \sqrt{4 + \omega_0^2}$.

A.2. Green's function on \mathbb{Z}_N . Suppose that $G_{\lambda}^{(N)}(x,y) = (\lambda + \omega_0^2 - \Delta)^{-1} \delta_y(x)$, $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_N$ is the Green's function for the Neumann laplacian on \mathbb{Z}_N . We have

$$G_{\lambda}^{(N)}(x,y) = \sum_{j=0}^{2N} \frac{\psi_j(x)\psi_j(y)}{\lambda + \omega_j^2}, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_N.$$
(A.8)

Here $\mu_j = \omega_j^2$, $j = 0, \ldots, 2N$ are the eigenvalues of $\omega_0^2 - \Delta$, with

$$\omega_j = \omega \left(\frac{j}{2N+1}\right) \tag{A.9}$$

and the dispersion relation $\omega(k) = \sqrt{\omega_0^2 + 4\sin^2(\pi k)}$. The eigenvectors are given by

$$\psi_0(x) = \left(\frac{1}{2N+1}\right)^{1/2},$$

$$\psi_j(x) = \left(\frac{2}{2N+1}\right)^{1/2} \cos\left(\frac{\pi j}{2} \cdot \frac{2(x+N)+1}{2N+1}\right) \quad x = -N, \dots, N, \ j = 1, \dots, 2N.$$
(A.10)

Using the method of images we obtain the following formula for the Green's function

$$G_{\lambda}^{(N)}(x,y) = \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} \left[G_{\lambda} \left(x - y + 2\ell(2N+1) \right) + G_{\lambda} \left(x + y + (2\ell+1)(2N+1) \right) \right], \quad x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_N,$$
(A.11)

with G_{λ} given by formula (A.5) From (A.3) and (A.11) we conclude the following.

Proposition A.1. Suppose that there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$|\lambda + w| \ge \delta > 0, \quad \forall w \in [\omega_0^2, \omega_0^2 + 4].$$
(A.12)

Then, there exist constants C, c > 0, depending only on δ and such that

$$\left|G_{\lambda}^{(N)}(x,y)\right| \leq \frac{C}{1+|\lambda|} \exp\left\{-c|x-y|\right\}, \quad x,y \in \mathbb{Z}_{N}.$$
(A.13)

A.3. Green's function for $\lambda + \omega_0^2 + i\sigma(\delta_{-N} + \delta_N) - \Delta$. As before Δ is the Neumann laplacian on \mathbb{Z}_N . From (4.11) we conclude that $H_{\lambda,\sigma}^{(N)}(x,y)$ satisfies

$$\left(\lambda + \omega_0^2 - \Delta_x\right) H_{\lambda,\sigma}^{(N)}(x,y) = \delta_y(x) - i\sigma \left(\delta_{-N}(x) + \delta_N(x)\right) H_{\lambda,\sigma}^{(N)}(x,y).$$
(A.14)

Hence

$$H_{\lambda,\sigma}^{(N)}(x,y) = G_{\lambda}^{(N)}(x,y) - i\sigma H_{\lambda,\sigma}^{(N)}(-N,y)G_{\lambda}^{(N)}(x,-N) - i\sigma H_{\lambda,\sigma}^{(N)}(N,y)G_{\lambda}^{(N)}(x,N).$$
(A.15)

For x = -N and x = N we get in particular the following system of equations on $H_{\lambda}^{(N)}(\pm N, y)$

$$H_{\lambda,\sigma}^{(N)}(-N,y)\Big(1+i\sigma G_{\lambda}^{(N)}(N,N)\Big)+i\sigma H_{\lambda,\sigma}^{(N)}(N,y)G_{\lambda}^{(N)}(-N,N) = G_{\lambda}^{(N)}(-N,y)$$
$$i\sigma H_{\lambda,\sigma}^{(N)}(-N,y)G_{\lambda}^{(N)}(N,-N) + H_{\lambda,\sigma}^{(N)}(N,y)\Big(1+i\sigma G_{\lambda}^{(N)}(N,N)\Big) = G_{\lambda}^{(N)}(N,y).$$
(A.16)

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{Q}_{N} &\coloneqq \left(1 + i\sigma G_{\lambda}^{(N)}(N,N)\right)^{2} + \sigma^{2} \left(G_{\lambda}^{(N)}(-N,N)\right)^{2}, \\ \mathfrak{Q}(-N,y) &\coloneqq \left(1 + i\sigma G_{\lambda}^{(N)}(N,N)\right) G_{\lambda}^{(N)}(-N,y) - i\sigma G_{\lambda}^{(N)}(-N,N) G_{\lambda}^{(N)}(N,y), \\ \mathfrak{Q}(N,y) &\coloneqq \left(1 + i\sigma G_{\lambda}^{(N)}(N,N)\right) G_{\lambda}^{(N)}(N,y) - i\sigma G_{\lambda}^{(N)}(-N,N) G_{\lambda}^{(N)}(-N,y), \end{aligned}$$

Note that if $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\sigma \neq 0$ we have

$$\operatorname{Im} \mathfrak{Q}_N = 2\sigma G_{\lambda}^{(N)}(N,N) = 2\sigma \sum_{j=0}^{2N} \frac{\psi_j^2(0)}{\lambda + \omega_j^2} \neq 0.$$
(A.17)

We also have $\mathfrak{Q}_N \neq 0$ in the case when $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, and $|\text{Im }\lambda|$ is sufficiently small. Then,

$$H_{\lambda,\sigma}^{(N)}(-N,y) = \frac{\mathfrak{Q}(-N,y)}{\mathfrak{Q}_N}, \quad H_{\lambda,\sigma}^{(N)}(N,y) = \frac{\mathfrak{Q}(N,y)}{\mathfrak{Q}_N}$$
(A.18)

Substituting into (A.15) we get

$$H_{\lambda,\sigma}^{(N)}(x,y) = G_{\lambda}^{(N)}(x,y) - i\sigma G_{\lambda}^{(N)}(x,-N) \frac{\mathfrak{Q}(-N,y)}{\mathfrak{Q}_{N}} - i\sigma G_{\lambda}^{(N)}(x,N) \frac{\mathfrak{Q}(N,y)}{\mathfrak{Q}_{N}}.$$
(A.19)

Lemma A.2. Suppose that $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and

$$\lambda + w \ge \delta > 0, \quad w \in [\omega_0^2, \omega_u^2]. \tag{A.20}$$

Then, there exist constants $C_*, c_* > 0$, depending only on δ and such that

$$\left|H_{\lambda,\sigma}^{(N)}(x,y)\right| \leq \frac{C_*}{1+|\lambda|} \exp\left\{-c_*|x-y|\right\}, \quad x,y \in \mathbb{Z}_N.$$
(A.21)

Appendix B. Exponential decay of the periodic solution in x

B.1. Some auxiliaries. Suppose that $\tilde{q}_x^{(L)}(m;\nu)$, L = 0, 1, 2, ... are determined by the scheme described in (4.13)–(4.14), see Section 4.3. Let c > 0. Define, by recurrence

$$e_c(x) \coloneqq e_c^{\star,1}(x) \coloneqq e^{-c|x|}$$

and

$$e_c^{\star,L+1}(x) \coloneqq \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-c|x-y|} e_c^{\star,L}(y), \quad x \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

We also adopt the convention that $e_0(x) := \delta_0(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let

$$\widehat{e}_{c}(k) \coloneqq \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-c|x|} \exp\left\{-2\pi i k x\right\} = \frac{1 - e^{-2c}}{|1 - e^{-c} e^{2\pi i k}|^{2}}, \quad k \in \mathbb{T}.$$
(B.1)

Then,

$$\widehat{e}_{c}^{\star,L}(k) \coloneqq \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} e_{c}^{\star,L}(x) \exp\left\{2\pi i k x\right\} = \left(\frac{1 - e^{-2c}}{|1 - e^{-c}e^{2\pi i k}|^2}\right)^{L}$$
(B.2)

Since

$$e_c^{\star,L}(x) = \int_0^1 \left(\frac{1 - e^{-2c}}{|1 - e^{-c}e^{2\pi ik}|^2}\right)^L \exp\left\{2\pi ikx\right\} \mathrm{d}k,$$

we can easily conclude that

$$0 \le e_c^{\star,L}(x) \le \left(\frac{1+e^{-c}}{1-e^{-c}}\right)^L, \quad x \in \mathbb{Z}.$$
 (B.3)

For any q such that

$$|q|h(c) < 1$$
, where $h(c) \coloneqq \frac{1 + e^{-c}}{1 - e^{-c}}$

we can define therefore

$$(\delta_0 - qe_c)^{-1,\star}(x) = \sum_{L=0}^{+\infty} q^L e_c^{\star,L}(x).$$
(B.4)

The series is uniform convergent for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}$. We also have the following.

Lemma B.1. For any c > 0 and |q| < 1/h(c) there exist contstants A(c,q), $\rho(c,q) > 0$, depending only on the indicated parameters, such that

$$0 \leq (\delta_0 - qe_c)^{-1,\star}(x) \leq A(c,q) \exp\left\{-\rho(c,q)|x|\right\}, \quad x \in \mathbb{Z}.$$
 (B.5)

Proof. From (B.4) and (B.2) we conclude that

$$\left(\widehat{\delta_0 - qe_c}\right)^{-1,\star}(k) = \frac{1}{1 - q\left(\frac{1 - e^{-2c}}{|1 - e^{-c}e^{2\pi ik}|^2}\right)}.$$
(B.6)

It is an analytic function in $k \in \mathbb{T}$. Therefore, see e.g. [24, p. 27], there exist constants A and $\rho > 0$ such that

$$0 \leq (\delta_0 - qe_c)^{-1,\star}(x) \leq A \exp\{-\rho|x|\}, \quad x \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

$$\square$$

Lemma B.2. Suppose that $c_* > 0$ is as in the statement of Lemma A.2. Then, there exist $C, c_1 > 0$ such that

$$|\widetilde{q}_x^{(L)}(m;\nu)| \leqslant \frac{Cc_1^L e_{c_*}^{\star,L}(x)}{1+(m\omega)^2}, \quad x \in \mathbb{Z}_N$$
(B.8)

for all $N = 1, 2, \ldots, L = 0, 1, \ldots$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. The estimate for L = 0 follows from (4.15) and estimate (A.21) with the constant

$$C \coloneqq C_* \sup_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} |\widehat{F}_m|,$$

where $C_* > 0$ is as in the statement of Lemma A.2 and $e_{c_*}^{\star,0}(x) = e^{-c_*|x|}$. Suppose that we have shown that

$$\left| \widehat{q}_x^{(L)}(m;\nu) \right| \leqslant \frac{Cc_1^L e_{c_*}^{\star,L}(x)}{1+(m\omega)^2}, \quad x \in \mathbb{Z}_N$$
(B.9)

for some L, with the constant C_1 to be determined later on. Then,

$$q_x^{(L)}(t;\nu) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \widetilde{q}_x^{(L)}(m;\nu) e^{im\omega t}$$

we have

$$|q_x^{(L)}(t;\nu)| \leq \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} |\tilde{q}_x^{(L)}(m;\nu)| \leq C c_1^L e_{c_*}^{\star,L}(x) \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{1 + (m\omega)^2}.$$
 (B.10)

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} &|\tilde{v}_{x,L}(m)| \leq \frac{1}{|\nu|^{L\theta}} \Biggl\{ \int_{0}^{\theta} \left| V'(Q_{x,p}^{(L)}(t;\nu)) - V'(Q_{x}^{(L-1)}(t;\nu)) \right| dt \\ &+ \int_{0}^{\theta} \left| \nabla U'(Q_{x}^{(L)}(t;\nu)) - \nabla U'(Q_{x}^{(L-1)}(t;\nu)) \right| dt \Biggr\} \leq \frac{\|V\|_{\text{Lip}} + 2\|U\|_{\text{Lip}}}{\theta} \int_{0}^{\theta} |q_{x}^{(L)}(t;\nu)| dt \\ &\leq Cc_{1}^{L}(\|V\|_{\text{Lip}} + 2\|U\|_{\text{Lip}})e_{c_{*}}^{*,L}(x) \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{1 + (m\omega)^{2}} \end{aligned}$$
(B.11)

and, thanks to (4.16) and (A.21),

$$\begin{aligned} |\widetilde{q}_{x}^{(L+1)}(m;\nu)| &\leq \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}_{N}} |H_{-(m\omega)^{2},\gamma m\omega}(x,y)| |\widetilde{v}_{y,L}(m)| \\ &\leq CC_{*}c_{1}^{L}(\|V\|_{\mathrm{Lip}} + 2\|U\|_{\mathrm{Lip}}) \Big(\sum_{m' \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{1 + (m'\omega)^{2}} \Big) \frac{e_{c_{*}}^{*,L+1}(x)}{1 + (m\omega)^{2}} \\ &= \frac{Cc_{1}^{L+1}e_{c_{*}}^{*,L+1}(x)}{1 + (m\omega)^{2}}, \end{aligned}$$
(B.12)

provided we choose

$$c_1 \coloneqq C_* \sum_{m' \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{\|V\|_{\text{Lip}} + 2\|U\|_{\text{Lip}}}{1 + (m'\omega)^2}.$$

30

Thus (B.8) follows.

B.2. Proof of Theorem 2.6. We have

$$q_{x,p}(t;\nu) = \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \widetilde{q}_{x,p}(m;\nu) \exp\left\{2\pi i m \omega t\right\},\,$$

where

$$\widetilde{q}_{x,p}(m;\nu) = \sum_{L=0}^{+\infty} \widetilde{q}_{x,p}^{(L)}(m;\nu)\nu^{L}.$$

Using estimate (B.8) we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} |\widetilde{q}_{x,p}(m;\nu)| &\leq \frac{C}{C_1(1+(m\omega)^2)} \sum_{L=0}^{+\infty} (|\nu|C_1)^L e_{c_*}^{\star,L}(x) \\ &= \frac{C}{C_1(1+(m\omega)^2)} \Big(\delta_0 - (|\nu|C_1) e_{c_*} \Big)^{-1,\star}(x). \end{aligned}$$

This estimate together with (B.5) imply the first bound of (2.22). The second bound follows from the fact that

$$\frac{1}{\theta} \int_0^\theta p_{x,p}^2(t;\nu) \mathrm{d}t = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} (m\omega)^2 |\widetilde{q}_{x,p}(m;\nu)|^2.$$

Appendix C. The existence of a periodic solution for a finite anharmonic chain. Proof of Theorem 2.1

Using the notation of Section 7 for any θ -periodic Ω_N -valued function $\mathbf{q}(t)$ we can write

$$\int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{A(t-s)} \mathbb{V}(\mathbf{q}(s)) ds = \sum_{\ell=0}^{+\infty} \int_{0}^{\theta} e^{A(s+\ell\theta)} \mathbb{V}(\mathbf{q}(t-s-\ell\theta)) ds$$
$$= \sum_{\ell=0}^{+\infty} \int_{0}^{\theta} e^{A(s+\ell\theta)} \mathbb{V}(\mathbf{q}(t-s)) ds = \int_{0}^{\theta} (I-e^{A\theta})^{-1} e^{As} \mathbb{V}(\mathbf{q}(t-s)) ds.$$

Suppose that $t \in [0, \theta]$. Then we can write the utmost right hand side of the above equality as being equal to

$$\int_0^\theta K(t-s)\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{q}(s))\mathrm{d}s,$$

where K is the θ -periodic extension of

$$K(s) = \begin{cases} (I - e^{A\theta})^{-1} e^{As}, & s \in [0, \theta/2], \\ (I - e^{A\theta})^{-1} e^{A(\theta+s)}, & s \in [-\theta/2, 0]. \end{cases}$$

We conclude the following.

Proposition C.1. If $\mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{p}}(t;\nu)$ a θ -periodic solution of (1.2), then it satisfies the equation

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{p}}(t;\nu) \\ \mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{p}}(t;\nu) \end{pmatrix} = -\nu \int_{0}^{\theta} K(t-s) \mathbb{V}(\mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{p}}(s;\nu)) \mathrm{d}s + \int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{A(t-s)} \mathbb{F}\left(\frac{s}{\theta}\right) \mathrm{d}s.$$
(C.1)

Conversely, if $\mathbf{q}(t;\nu)$ is a θ -periodic solution of (C.1), then it is a θ -periodic solution of (1.2).

Proof of Theorem 2.1. On the space $C_{\rm p} \coloneqq C_{\rm p} \left([0, \theta]; \Omega_N \right)$ of θ -periodic, Ω_N -valued functions, equipped with the topology of the uniform convergence on compact intervals, we introduce the operator

$$\mathcal{T}[F](t) \coloneqq -\nu \int_0^\theta K(t-s) \mathbb{V}(\Pi_1 F(s)) \mathrm{d}s + \int_{-\infty}^t e^{A(t-s)} \mathbb{F}\left(\frac{s}{\theta}\right) \mathrm{d}s.$$

Here $\Pi_1 : \mathbb{R}^{2(2N+1)} \to \mathbb{R}^{2N+1}$ is given by $\Pi_1 \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{q} \\ \mathbf{p} \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{q}$. We have $\mathcal{T} : \mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{p}} \to \mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{p}}$. Moreover, $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{p}})$ is bounded in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{p}}$ and, since $t \mapsto K(t)$ is Lipschitz, the set is compact. By the Schauder fixed point theorem we conclude the existence of a fixed point for \mathcal{T} , which by virtue of Proposition C.1 is a periodic solution to (1.2).

APPENDIX D. AN APPLICATION OF THE IMPLICIT FUNCTION THEOREM IN THE PROOF OF NON-UNIQUENESS OF PERIODIC SOLUTION

Recall that $(\overline{q}_x(t;F))_{x\in\mathbb{Z}_N}$ is a $\theta = 2\pi/\omega$ -periodic solutions of (1.2) corresponding to the single mode forcing (5.4). Its time harmonics $\overline{\widetilde{q}}_x(m;F)$ solve

$$0 = \left[(\omega m)^2 - \omega_0^2 + \Delta - i\gamma\omega m (\delta_{-N} + \delta_N) \right] \widetilde{\overline{q}}_x(m; \mathbf{F}) + \mathbf{F} \left(\delta_1(m) + \delta_{-1}(m) \right) \delta_0(x) + \nu \widetilde{v}_x(m; \mathbf{F}), \quad (m, x) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_N,$$
(D.1)

with

$$\widetilde{v}_{x}(m; \mathbf{F}) = \frac{1}{\theta} \int_{0}^{\theta} e^{-im\omega t} v_{x}(t; \mathbf{F}) dt \quad \text{and}$$

$$v_{x}(t; \mathbf{F}) \coloneqq -V'(q_{x, \mathbf{p}}(t; \mathbf{F})), \quad (m, x) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_{N}.$$
(D.2)

We can rewrite the equation in the space $\ell_{2,N}$ (see (4.6)) as follows

$$\Phi\left(\mathbf{F}, \widetilde{\widetilde{q}}(\cdot; \mathbf{F})\right) = 0, \tag{D.3}$$

where $\Phi : \mathbb{R} \times \ell_{2,N} \to \ell_{2,N}$ is a mapping given by

$$\Phi\left(\mathbf{F}, \widetilde{f}\right)_{x}(m) \coloneqq \widetilde{f}_{x}(m) - H_{-(m\omega)^{2},\gamma m\omega}^{(N)}(x,0)\mathbf{F}\left(\delta_{1}(m) + \delta_{-1}(m)\right) - \nu \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}_{N}} H_{-(m\omega)^{2},\gamma m\omega}^{(N)}(x,y)\widetilde{v}(\widetilde{f})_{y}(m), \quad (m,x) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_{N}, \widetilde{v}(\widetilde{f})_{x}(m) = \frac{1}{\theta} \int_{0}^{\theta} e^{-im\omega t} V'(f_{x}(t)) dt \quad \text{and} f_{x}(t) \coloneqq \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \widetilde{f}_{x}(m) e^{im\omega t}, \quad t \ge 0.$$

$$(D.4)$$

For F = 0 equation (D.3) is satisfied by $\tilde{\overline{q}} = (\tilde{\overline{q}}_x(m; 0))$ given by

$$\widetilde{\overline{q}}_x(m;0) = \overline{q}\delta_{m,0}, \quad (m,x) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_N.$$

The Fréchet derivative of the right hand side of (D.3) with respect to the q variable, at $(0, \tilde{q})$ is a linear operator $L : \ell_{2,N} \to \ell_{2,N}$ given by

$$L\widetilde{h}_{x}(m) \coloneqq \widetilde{h}_{x}(m) - K\widetilde{h}_{x}(m), \quad \text{where}$$

$$K\widetilde{h}_{x}(m) \coloneqq \nu V''(\overline{q}) \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}_{N}} H^{(N)}_{-(m\omega)^{2},\gamma m\omega}(x,y) \widetilde{h}_{y}(m), \quad (m,x) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_{N}.$$

It is easy to see that the operator $K: \ell_{2,N} \to \ell_{2,N}$ is compact. We shall show that its null space is trivial. This would imply, see e.g. [27, Theorem 21.2.6, 238], that L is onto and its inverse is bounded. Using the implicit function theorem, see e.g. [10, Theorem 4.15.1], we conclude then that there exists $\overline{F}_0 > 0$ such that for any $F \in (-\overline{F}_0, \overline{F}_0)$ equation (D.4) has a unique solution that gives rise to a θ periodic solution $\overline{q}_{p,x}(t; F)$ of (1.2) that satisfies

$$\lim_{\mathbf{F}\to 0}\overline{q}_{\mathbf{p},x}(t;\mathbf{F})=\overline{q}, \quad x\in\mathbb{Z}_N.$$

To see that the kernel of L is trivial note that if $L\tilde{h} = 0$, then

$$0 = \left[(\omega m)^2 - \omega_0^2 - \nu V''(\overline{q}) + \Delta - i\gamma \omega m (\delta_{-N} + \delta_N) \right] \widetilde{h}_x(m), \quad x \in \mathbb{Z}_N.$$
(D.5)

Multiplying both sides pf (D.5) by $\tilde{h}_x^{\star}(m)$ and summing over x we conclude that

$$\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} (m\omega)^2 |\widetilde{h}_{\pm N}(m)|^2 = 0.$$

Hence $\tilde{h}_{\pm N}(m) = 0$ for $m \neq 0$. Arguing as in Section 6, from (D.5) we conclude that $\tilde{h}_x(m) \equiv 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}_N$ and $m \neq 0$. For m = 0 we can multiply both sides of equation (D.5) by $\tilde{h}_x^*(0)$ and sum over x. Since $\omega_0^2 + \nu V''(\overline{q}) > 0$ this allows us to conclude that also in the case $\tilde{h}_x(0) \equiv 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}_N$.

Acknowledgements. J.L.L. thanks D. Huse and A. Dhar for useful discussions.

References

- Aoki K., Lukkarinen J., and Spohn H., Energy Transport in Weakly Anharmonic Chains, Journal of Statistical Physics 124, 1105 (2006). DOI: 10.1007/s10955-006-9171-2
- [2] Benenti G., Lepri S., and Livi R., Anomalous heat transport in classical many-body systems: Overview and perspectives, Front. Phys. 8, 292 (2020). DOI:10.3389/fphy.2020.00292
- Bernardin B. and Huveneers F., Small perturbation of a disordered harmonic chain by a noise and an anharmonic potential Probab. Theory Relat. Fields 157, 301–331 (2013). DOI:10.1007/s00440-012-0458-8
- [4] Bonetto F., Lebowitz J.L. and Rey-Bellet L., Fourier's Law: A Challenge to theorists, Mathematical Physics 2000, 128-150 May (2000). DOI: 10.1142/97818481602240008
- [5] Bukov M., D'Alessio L. and Polkovnikov A., Universal highfrequency behavior of periodically driven systems: From dynamical stabilization to Floquet engineering, Adv. Phys. 64, 139 (2015). DOI: 10.1080/00018732.2015.1055918

- [6] Chatterjee A.K., Kundu A., and Kulkarni M., Spatiotemporal spread of perturbations in a driven dissipative duffing chain: An out-of-time-ordered correlator approach, Phys. Rev. E 102, 052103 (2020). DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevE.102.052103
- [7] Chechin G. M. and Ryabov D. S., Stability of nonlinear normal modes in the Fermi-Pasta-Ulam chain in the thermodynamic limit, Phys. Rev. E 85, 056601 (2012). DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevE.85.056601
- [8] Cole D. C., Lamb E. S., Del'Haye P., Diddams S. A., and Papp S. B., Soliton crystals in kerr resonators, Nature Photonics 11, 671 (2017). DOI: 10.1038/s41566-017-0009-z
- [9] Debnath M. and Roy Chowdhury A., Period doubling and hysteresis in a periodically forced, damped anharmonic oscillator, Phys. Rev. A 44, 1049-1060 (1991).
- [10] Deilmiling K., Nonlinear functional analysis, Springer (1985).
- [11] Dhar A., Heat transport in low-dimensional systems, Adv. Phys. 57, 457 (2008).
 DOI:10.1080/00018730802538522
- [12] Dhar A. and Spohn H., Fourier's law based on microscopic dynamics, C. R. Phys. 20, 393 (2019). DOI: 10.1016/j.physleta.2018.04.055
- [13] Dhar A., Kundu A., Lebowitz J.L., and Scaramazza J.A., Transport Properties of the Classical Toda Chain: Effect of a Pinning Potential, Journal of Statistical Physics 175, 1298 (2019). DOI: 10.1007/s10955-019-02284-6
- [14] Dmitriev A. P., D'yakonov M. I., and loffe A. F., Activated and tunneling transitions between the two forced-oscillation regimes of an anharmonic oscillator, Sov. Phys. JETP 63, 838-843 (1986).
- [15] Dooling D.C. and Hammerberg J.E., Lindstedt series solutions of the Fermi-Pasta-Ulam lattice, Journal of Mathematical Physics, 48, 052702 (2007). DOI:10.1063/1.2721346
- [16] Eckmann J.-P., Pillet C. A., Rey-Bellet, L., Entropy production in nonlinear, thermally driven hamiltonian systems. J. Statist. Phys. 95, 305–331 (1999).
- [17] Fermi, E.; Pasta, J.; Ulam, S. Studies of Nonlinear Problems, (PDF). Document LA-1940. Los Alamos National Laboratory (1955).
- [18] Gallavotti G. (ed.), The Fermi Pasta Ulam Problem: A Status Report, Lecture Notes in Physics 728, Springer (2008). ISBN: 9783540729945
- [19] Garrido P. L., Komorowski T., Lebowitz J.L. and Olla S., On the Behaviour of a Periodically Forced and Thermostatted Harmonic Chain Journal of Statistical Physics 191:30 (2024). DOI: 10.1007/s10955-024-03243-6
- [20] Geniet F. and Leon J., Energy Transmission in the Forbidden Band Gap of a Nonlinear Chain, Physical Review Letters, 89, 134102 (2002). DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.89.134102
- [21] Hairer, M. How Hot Can a Heat Bath Get?, Commun. Math. Phys. 292, 131–177 (2009).
 DOI: 10.1007/s00220-009-0857-6
- [22] Hairer M. and Mattingly J.C., Slow energy dissipation in anharmonic oscillator chains, Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics: A Journal Issued by the Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences 62, 999 (2009). DOI: 10.1002/cpa.20280
- [23] Johansson M., Kopidakis G., Lepri S., and Aubry S., Transmission thresholds in timeperiodically driven nonlinear disordered systems, EPL (Europhysics Letters) 86, 10009 (2009). DOI: 10.1209/0295-5075/86/10009
- [24] Y. Katznelson, An Introduction to Harmonic Analysis, 3-rd edition, CUP 2004.
- [25] Khomeriki R., Lepri S., and Ruffo S., Pattern formation and localization in the forceddamped Fermi-Pasta-Ulam lattice, Phys. Rev. E 64, 056606 (2001). DOI: 10.1103/Phys-RevE.64.056606
- [26] Kumar U., Mishra S., Kundu A., and Dhar A. Observation of multiple attractors and diffusive transport in a periodically driven Klein-Gordon chain, Physical Review E 109, 064124 (2024) DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevE.109.064124
- [27] Lax P., Functional analysis, Wiley & Sons (2002).

- [28] Lefevere R. and Schenkel A., Perturbative Analysis of Anharmonic Chains of Oscillators Out of Equilibrium, Journal of Statistical Physics, 115, 1398-1421 (2004).
- [29] Lepri S. (ed.), Thermal Transport in Low Dimensions, Lecture Notes in Physics 921, Springer (2016). ISBN: 978-3-319-29259-5
- [30] Menegaki A. Quantitative Rates of Convergence to Non-equilibrium Steady State for a Weakly Anharmonic Chain of Oscillators, Journal of Statistical Physics (2020) 181:53–94 DOI: 10.1007/s10955-020-02565-5
- [31] Peng L., Fu W., Zhang Y., and Zhao H., Instability dynamics of nonlinear normal modes in the Fermi-Pasta-Ulam-Tsingou chains, New J. Phys. 24 093003 (2020). DOI: 10.1088/1367-2630/ac8ac3
- [32] Prem A., Bulchandani V. B. and Sondhi S. L., Dynamics and transport in the boundarydriven dissipative Klein-Gordon chain, Phys. Rev. B 107, 104304, (2023). DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.107.104304
- [33] Rey-Bellet, L., Thomas, L.E., Asymptotic behavior of thermal nonequilibrium steady states for a driven chain of anharmonic oscillators. Commun. Math. Phys. 215, 1–24 (2000). DOI:10.1007/s002200000285
- [34] Watanabe Y., Nishida T., Doi Y., and Sugimoto N., Experimental demonstration of excitation and propagation of intrinsic localized modes in a mass-spring chain, Phys. Lett. A 382, 1957 (2018). DOI: 10.1016/j.physleta.2018.04.055

PEDRO L. GARRIDO, UNIVERSIDAD DE GRANADA, GRANADA SPAIN Email address: garrido@onsager.ugr.es

Tomasz Komorowski, Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academy Of Sciences, Warsaw, Poland.

Email address: tkomorowski@impan.pl

JOEL L. LEBOWITZ, DEPARTMENTS OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, RUTGERS UNIVER-SITY

Email address: lebowitz@math.rutgers.edu

STEFANO OLLA, CEREMADE, UNIVERSITÉ PARIS-DAUPHINE, PSL RESEARCH UNIVER-SITY, AND INSTITUT UNIVERSITAIRE DE FRANCE, AND GSSI, L'AQUILA Email address: olla@ceremade.dauphine.fr