

Sufficient conditions for the variation of toughness under the distance spectral in graphs involving minimum degree

Peishan Li^a *

^a School of Mathematics and Statistics, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou, Gansu, China

Abstract The toughness $t(G)$ is defined as $t(G) = \min_{S \subset V(G)} \left\{ \frac{|S|}{c(G-S)} : c(G-S) > 1 \right\}$, where G is a connected non-complete graph and $c(G-S)$ denotes the number of connected components of $G-S$. If $t(G) \geq t$, then G is called a \bar{t} -tough graph. In 1995, Alon first explored the lower bound of the toughness of connected d -regular graphs with respect to d and the second largest eigenvalue of the adjacency matrix. In 1988, Enomoto introduced a variant $\tau(G)$ of $t(G)$. $\tau(G)$ is defined as $\tau(G) = \min_{S \subset V(G)} \left\{ \frac{|S|}{c(G-S)-1} : c(G-S) > 1 \right\}$. If $\tau(G) \geq \tau$, then G is called a τ -tough graph. In 2025, Chen, Fan and Lin provided sufficient conditions for a graph to be \bar{t} -tough in terms of the minimum degree and the distance spectral radius. Inspired by this, we propose a sufficient condition for a graph to be τ -tough in terms of minimum degree and distance spectral radius, and provide the corresponding proof, where τ or $\frac{1}{\tau}$ is a positive integer.

Keywords: Toughness, Distance spectral radius, Minimum degree

1 Introduction

A graph G consists of a non-empty finite set $V(G)$ and a finite set $E(G)$, where $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ represents the set of all vertices in the graph, and $E(G)$ represents the set of all edges in G . The *order* and *size* of G denote the number of vertices and edges in G , respectively. A graph with only one vertex is called a *trivial graph*, and K_n denotes the complete graph of order n . All graphs mentioned in this paper are undirected simple graphs. For two vertices v and w in G , if there is an edge vw connecting them, then v and w are said to be *adjacent*, and the vertices and the edge vw are said to be *incident*. The *degree* $d(v)$ of vertex v is defined as the number of edges incident to it. We use $\delta(G)$ and $\Delta(G)$ to denote the minimum and maximum degrees of G , respectively.

A *path* is an alternating sequence of vertices and edges in a graph. A path is typically represented as $v_0e_1v_1e_2v_2 \cdots e_kv_k$, where v_0, v_1, \dots, v_k are vertices in G , e_1, e_2, \dots, e_k are edges in G , and for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, the endpoints of edge e_i are v_{i-1} and v_i . If there is a path between any two vertices in G , then G is said to be *connected*; otherwise, it is

*Corresponding author. E-mail addresses: lipsh2021@lzu.edu.cn (P.S. Li)

disconnected. Each maximal connected subgraph of G is called a *connected component*, or simply a *component*, and $c(G)$ denotes the number of components in G . If G' satisfies $V(G') = V(G)$ and $E(G') \subset E(G)$, then G' is called a *spanning subgraph* of G . For $S \subset V(G)$, $G - S$ denotes the subgraph obtained by deleting all vertices in S and their incident edges from G , and $G[S]$ denotes the subgraph of G induced by S . $G[S]$ is the subgraph of G with vertex set S and edge set consisting of all edges in G whose endpoints are both in S . For two graphs G_1 and G_2 with the same vertex set, $G_1 \cup G_2$ denotes the *disjoint union* of G_1 and G_2 . The *join* $G_1 \vee G_2$ of G_1 and G_2 is the graph obtained from $G_1 \cup G_2$ by adding all possible edges between $V(G_1)$ and $V(G_2)$.

The *distance* between vertices v_i and v_j in G is the length of the shortest path from v_i to v_j , denoted by $d_{ij}(G)$. The *distance matrix* $D(G)$ of G is an $n \times n$ matrix with rows and columns indexed by $V(G)$. For $i \neq j$, the (i, j) -th entry of $D(G)$ is $d_{ij}(G)$, and $d_{ii}(G) = 0$. Clearly, $D(G)$ is a real symmetric matrix with zero diagonal entries. We can order the eigenvalues of $D(G)$ as $\lambda_1(D(G)) \geq \lambda_2(D(G)) \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n(D(G))$. According to the Perron-Frobenius theorem, $\lambda_1(D(G))$ is always greater than 0 (unless G is a trivial graph) and satisfies $\lambda_1(D(G)) \geq |\lambda_i(D(G))|$ for $i = 2, 3, \dots, n$. We call $\lambda_1(D(G))$ the *distance spectral radius* of G . The maximum absolute value of the eigenvalues of the adjacency matrix $A(G)$ is called the *spectral radius* of G . In this paper, we consistently use J to denote the all-ones matrix, I to denote the identity matrix, and O to denote the zero matrix.

The concept of graph toughness was first introduced by Chvátal [1] in 1973. For a connected non-complete graph G , the toughness $t(G)$ is defined as $t(G) = \min_{S \subset V(G)} \left\{ \frac{|S|}{c(G-S)} : c(G-S) > 1 \right\}$. If $t(G) \geq t$, then G is called a \bar{t} -*tough* graph. In recent years, many researchers have focused on finding sufficient conditions for graphs to be \bar{t} -tough [3, 9]. In 1995, Alon [4] first explored the lower bound of toughness for connected d -regular graphs in terms of d and the second largest eigenvalue λ of the adjacency matrix: $t(G) > \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{d^2}{d+\lambda^2} \right) - 1$. Around the same time, Brouwer [5] independently proved a better lower bound: $t(G) > \frac{d}{\lambda} - 2$, and conjectured that $t(G) \geq \frac{d}{\lambda} - 1$. Later, Gu [6] proved part of this conjecture: $t(G) > \frac{d}{\lambda} - \sqrt{2}$. In 2021, Gu [8] fully proved this conjecture. In 2010, Liu and Chen [9] improved the eigenvalue conditions given by Alon and Brouwer, ensuring that connected d -regular graphs are \bar{t} -tough. In 2014, Cioabă and Wong [10] further refined these conditions and provided another condition based on edge connectivity to ensure that connected d -regular graphs are \bar{t} -tough.

In recent years, many scholars have begun to study the relationship between toughness and eigenvalues of various types of matrices. In 2021, Haemers [11] proposed studying the lower bound of toughness from the perspective of minimum degree and Laplacian eigenvalues, and conjectured that $t(G) \geq \frac{\mu_{n-1}(G)}{\mu_1(G) - \delta}$, where $\mu_i(G)$ denotes the i -th largest eigenvalue of the Laplacian matrix $L(G)$ of G . When restricted to connected d -regular graphs, this conjecture becomes $t(G) \geq \frac{d - \lambda_2(G)}{-\lambda_n(G)}$, which is stronger than Brouwer's [5] conjecture. In 2022, Gu and Haemers [7] proved that for a connected graph of order n with minimum degree δ , $t(G) \geq \frac{\mu_{n-1}(G)\mu_1(G)}{\mu_1(G) - \delta}$ and $t(G) \geq \frac{\mu_{n-1}(G)}{\mu_1(G) - \mu_{n-1}(G)}$. In 2023, Fan, Lin, and Lu [12] provided sufficient conditions based on the spectral radius for a graph G to be 1 -tough and \bar{t} -tough. In 2025, Lou, Liu, and Shu [13] gave sufficient conditions based on the distance spectral radius for a graph G to be 1 -tough and \bar{t} -tough. To better study the existence of factors in graphs, Enomoto [2] introduced a variant $\tau(G)$ of $t(G)$

in 1998. For a connected non-complete graph G , $\tau(G) = \min_{S \subset V(G)} \left\{ \frac{|S|}{c(G-S)-1} : c(G-S) > 1 \right\}$. If $\tau(G) \geq \tau$, then G is called a τ -tough graph. In 2024, Chen, Fan, and Lin [14] proved sufficient conditions for τ -toughness based on the spectral radius.

Inspired by the work of Lou, Liu, and Shu [13] and Chen, Fan, and Lin [14], this paper continues to explore the relationship between τ -tough and the distance spectral radius. In this paper, we consider cases where $|S|$ and $c(G-S) - 1$ are mutually divisible and propose the following theorems with corresponding proofs.

Theorem 1.1. *Let δ be a positive integer, and $n \geq \max\{9\delta, \frac{\delta^2}{2} + 3\delta + 3\}$. If $\delta(G) = \delta \geq 2$ and $\lambda_1(D(G)) \leq \lambda_1(D(K_\delta \vee (K_{n-2\delta-1} \cup (\delta+1)K_1)))$, then G is a 1-tough graph, unless $G \cong K_\delta \vee (K_{n-2\delta-1} \cup (\delta+1)K_1)$.*

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\tau \geq 2$ be an integer, and $n \geq 4\tau^2 + 5\tau + 1$. If $\lambda_1(D(G)) \leq \lambda_1(D(K_{\tau-1} \vee (K_{n-\tau} \cup K_1)))$, then G is a τ -tough graph, unless $G \cong K_{\tau-1} \vee (K_{n-\tau} \cup K_1)$.*

Theorem 1.3. *Let $\frac{1}{\tau} \geq 1$ be a positive integer, and $n \geq 4\tau + \frac{1}{\tau} + 5$. If $\lambda_1(D(G)) \leq \lambda_1(D(K_1 \vee (K_{n-\frac{1}{\tau}-2} \cup (\frac{1}{\tau} + 1)K_1)))$, then G is a τ -tough graph, unless $G \cong K_1 \vee (K_{n-\frac{1}{\tau}-2} \cup (\frac{1}{\tau} + 1)K_1)$.*

2 Preliminary lemmas

In this section, we introduce the relevant concepts and necessary lemmas that will be used in the proof of the theorem. First, we explore the relationship between the distance spectral radius of a graph and its subgraphs.

Lemma 2.1 (Godsil [15]). *Let e be an edge of a graph G such that $G - e$ is connected. Then*

$$\lambda_1(D(G)) < \lambda_1(D(G - e)).$$

Next, we introduce the concepts of equivalent partitions and quotient matrices, and present relevant conclusions. Let M be a real $n \times n$ matrix. Suppose M can be written in the following matrix

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} M_{1,1} & M_{1,2} & \cdots & M_{1,m} \\ M_{2,1} & M_{2,2} & \cdots & M_{2,m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ M_{m,1} & M_{m,2} & \cdots & M_{m,m} \end{pmatrix},$$

where the rows and columns are partitioned into subsets X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. The quotient matrix $R(M)$ of matrix M with respect to the given partition is an $m \times m$ matrix. The (i, j) -entry of $R(M)$ is the average of the row-sums of the block $M_{i,j}$ in M . If the row-sum of each block $M_{i,j}$ is a constant, then the corresponding partition is called an *equivalent partition*, and the corresponding matrix is called an *equivalent quotient matrix*.

Lemma 2.2 (Brouwer and Haemers [16], Godsil and Royle [17], Haemers [18]). *Let M be a real symmetric matrix and let $R(M)$ be its equitable quotient matrix. Then the eigenvalues of the quotient matrix $R(M)$ are eigenvalues of M . Furthermore, if M is nonnegative and irreducible, then the spectral radius of the quotient matrix $R(M)$ equals to the spectral radius of M .*

Next, we introduce the relationship between the distance spectral radius of join graph.

Lemma 2.3 (Zhang and Lin [19]). *Let n, c, s and n_i ($1 \leq i \leq c$) be positive integers with $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \dots \geq n_c \geq 1$ and $n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_c = n - s$. Then*

$$\lambda_1(D(K_s \vee (K_{n_1} + K_{n_2} + \dots + K_{n_c}))) \geq \lambda_1(D(K_s \vee (K_{n-s-(c-1)} + (c-1)K_1)))$$

with equality if and only if $(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_c) = (n - s - (c - 1), 1, \dots, 1)$.

Lemma 2.4 (Lou, Liu, and Shu [13]). *Let n, c, s, p and n_i ($1 \leq i \leq c$) be positive integers with $n_1 \geq 2p$, $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \dots \geq n_c \geq p$ and $n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_c = n - s$. Then*

$$\lambda_1(D(K_s \vee (K_{n_1} + K_{n_2} + \dots + K_{n_c}))) \geq \lambda_1(D(K_s \vee (K_{n-s-p(c-1)} + (c-1)K_p)))$$

with equality if and only if $(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_c) = (n - s - p(c - 1), p, \dots, p)$.

Finally, we present some inequalities for the distance spectral radius.

For a connected graph G of order n , let $W(G) = \sum_{i < j} d_{ij}(G)$ be the Wiener index of graph G . From the Rayleigh quotient [20], we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 2.5. *Let G be a connected graph with order n . Then*

$$\lambda_1(D(G)) = \max_{\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}} \frac{\mathbf{x}^T D(G) \mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{x}} \geq \frac{\mathbf{1}^T D(G) \mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{1}} = \frac{2W(G)}{n},$$

where $\mathbf{1} = (1, 1, \dots, 1)^T$.

Let $W^{(2)}(G)$ denote the sum of squared distances between all unordered vertex pairs in graph G , that is,

$$W^{(2)}(G) = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} d_{ij}^2(G).$$

Lemma 2.6 (Zhou and Trinajstić [21–23]). *Let G be a graph on $n \geq 2$ vertices with sum of the squares of the distances between all unordered pairs of vertices $W^{(2)}(G)$. Then*

$$\lambda_1(D(G)) \leq \sqrt{\frac{2(n-1)W^{(2)}(G)}{n}}$$

with equality if and only if G is the complete graph K_n , and if G has exactly one positive distance eigenvalue, then

$$\lambda_1(D(G)) \geq \sqrt{W^{(2)}(G)}$$

with equality if and only if G is K_2 .

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, we give the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let G^* denote the graph $K_\delta \vee (K_{n-2\delta-1} \cup (\delta+1)K_1)$ mentioned in the theorem. Suppose to the contrary that G is not a 1-tough graph. By the definition of 1-tough, there exists a non-empty vertex subset $S \subset V(G)$ such that $|S| < c(G-S) - 1$.

Let $|S| = s$, $c(G - S) = c$, which implies $s \leq c - 2$. For positive integers $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \dots \geq n_{s+2} \geq 1$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{s+2} n_i = n - s$, G is a spanning subgraph of $\hat{G} = K_s \vee (K_{n_1} \cup K_{n_2} \cup \dots \cup K_{n_c})$. By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\lambda_1(D(\hat{G})) \leq \lambda_1(D(G)) \quad (1)$$

with equality if and only if $G \cong \hat{G}$.

Let $\tilde{G} = K_s \vee (K_{n-2s-1} \cup (s+1)K_1)$. By Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\lambda_1(D(\hat{G})) \leq \lambda_1(D(\tilde{G})) \quad (2)$$

with equality if and only if $\hat{G} \cong \tilde{G}$.

We consider three cases based on the range of s .

Case 1. $s = \delta$

Here $\tilde{G} = K_\delta \vee (K_{n-2\delta-1} \cup (\delta+1)K_1) = G^*$. From (1) and (2), $\lambda_1(D(G^*)) \leq \lambda_1(D(G))$ with equality if and only if $G \cong G^*$. Combined with the theorem's condition, we get $\lambda_1(D(G)) = \lambda_1(D(G^*))$. By the equality condition, $G \cong K_\delta \vee (K_{n-2\delta-1} \cup (\delta+1)K_1)$.

Let $S = V(K_\delta)$, we can calculate

$$\frac{|S|}{c(G-S)-1} = \frac{\delta}{\delta+2-1} = \frac{\delta}{\delta+1} < 1.$$

Thus $\tau(G) < 1$, and $G \cong K_\delta \vee (K_{n-2\delta-1} \cup (\delta+1)K_1)$ is not a 1-tough graph.

Case 2. $s \geq \delta + 1$

The distance matrix $D(G^*)$ of G^* is

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \delta + 1 & n - 2\delta - 1 & \delta \\ \delta + 1 & \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 2(J - I) & 2J & J \\ 2J & J - I & J \\ J & J & J - I \end{array} \right) & & \end{array}$$

We can partition the vertex set of G^* as $V(G^*) = V((\delta+1)K_1) \cup V(K_{n-2\delta-1}) \cup V(K_\delta)$. Clearly, this partition of $V(G^*)$ is equivalent. The quotient matrix is

$$R_\delta = \begin{pmatrix} 2\delta & 2(n-2\delta-1) & \delta \\ 2(\delta+1) & n-2\delta-2 & \delta \\ \delta+1 & n-2\delta-1 & \delta-1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

After calculation, the characteristic polynomial of R_δ is

$$\begin{aligned} P(R_\delta, x) = & x^3 - (n + \delta - 3)x^2 - (2n\delta + 5n - 5\delta^2 - 6\delta - 6)x \\ & + n\delta^2 - n\delta - 4n - 2\delta^3 + 2\delta^2 + 6\delta + 4. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

By Lemma 2.2, $\lambda_1(D(G^*)) = \lambda_1(R_\delta)$ is the largest root of $P(R_\delta, x) = 0$. The quotient matrix R_s for \tilde{G} is obtained by replacing all δ in R_δ with s . Similarly, $\lambda_1(D(\tilde{G})) = \lambda_1(R_s)$ is the largest root of $P(R_s, x) = 0$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(R_\delta, x) - P(R_s, x) = & (s - \delta)(x^2 + (2n - 5\delta - 5s - 6)x \\ & - n(s + \delta) + n + 2(s^2 + s\delta + \delta^2) - 2(s + \delta) - 6) \\ \triangleq & (s - \delta)f(x). \end{aligned}$$

Claim. $P(R_\delta, x) - P(R_s, x) > 0$ for $x \in [n + \delta + 1, +\infty)$.

Proof. Since $\delta + 1 \leq s$, we only need to show $f(x) > 0$ for $x \in [n + \delta + 1, +\infty)$. From $\sum_{i=1}^{s+2} n_i = n - s$, we have $n \geq 2s + 2$, so $\delta + 1 \leq s \leq \frac{n-2}{2}$. Thus the axis of symmetry of $f(x)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} x &= -n + \frac{5}{2}s + \frac{5}{2}\delta + 3 \\ &= (n + \delta + 1) - 2n + \frac{5}{2}s + \frac{3}{2}\delta + 2 \\ &\leq (n + \delta + 1) - \frac{3}{2}s + \frac{3}{2}\delta - 2 \\ &\leq (n + \delta + 1) - \frac{7}{2} \\ &< n + \delta + 1. \end{aligned}$$

This means $f(x)$ is monotonically increasing for $x \in [n + \delta + 1, +\infty)$. With $\delta + 1 \leq s \leq \frac{n-2}{2}$, $n \geq 9\delta$, and $\delta \geq 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &\geq f(n + \delta + 1) \\ &= 2s^2 - (6n + 3\delta + 7)s + 3n^2 - 2\delta n - n - 2\delta^2 - 11\delta - 11 \\ &\geq 2\left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^2 - (6n + 3\delta + 7)\left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right) + 3n^2 - 2\delta n - n - 2\delta^2 - 11\delta - 11 \\ &= \frac{1}{2}n^2 - \left(\frac{7}{2}\delta + \frac{1}{2}\right)n - 2\delta^2 - 8\delta - 2 \\ &\geq 2\delta^2 - 3\delta - 1 \\ &> 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

The Wiener index of G^* is

$$\begin{aligned} W(G^*) &= \sum_{i < j} d_{ij}(G^*) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}[(\delta + 1)(2n - \delta - 2) + (n - 2\delta - 1)(n + \delta) + \delta(n - 1)] \\ &= \frac{1}{2}n^2 + \left(\delta + \frac{1}{2}\right)n - \frac{3}{2}\delta^2 - \frac{5}{2}\delta - 1. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.5 and $n \geq 9\delta$, $\delta \geq 2$, we have

$$\lambda_1(D(G^*)) \geq n + \delta + 1.$$

Combining the claim with $\lambda_1(D(G^*)) \geq n + \delta + 1$, we get $\lambda_1(D(G^*)) < \lambda_1(D(\tilde{G}))$. By (1) and (2), we have

$$\lambda_1(D(G^*)) < \lambda_1(D(\tilde{G})) \leq \lambda_1(D(\hat{G})) \leq \lambda_1(D(G))$$

which contradicts the condition $\lambda_1(D(G)) \leq \lambda_1(D(K_\delta \vee (K_{n-2\delta-1} \cup (\delta+1)K_1)))$ in the theorem.

Case 3. $1 \leq s \leq \delta - 1$

Recall that for positive integers $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \dots \geq n_{s+2} \geq 1$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{s+2} n_i = n - s$, G is a spanning subgraph of $\hat{G} = K_s \vee (K_{n_1} \cup K_{n_2} \cup \dots \cup K_{n_{s+2}})$. Note that $\delta(\hat{G}) \geq \delta(G) = \delta$, so $n_{s+2} - 1 + s \geq \delta$, implying $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \dots \geq n_{s+2} \geq \delta - s + 1$. We claim that $n_1 \geq 2(\delta - s + 1)$. Suppose to the contrary that $n_1 \leq 2\delta - 2s + 1$. Noting that $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \dots \geq n_{s+2} \geq 1$ and $1 \leq s \leq \delta - 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} n &= s + n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_{s+1} + n_{s+2} \\ &\leq s + (s+2)(2\delta - 2s + 1) \\ &= -2s^2 + (2\delta - 2)s + 4\delta + 2 \\ &\triangleq n(s). \end{aligned}$$

The symmetry axis of $n(s)$ is $s_{\text{axis}} = \frac{\delta-1}{2}$. When $\delta \geq 3$, $1 \leq s_{\text{axis}} \leq \delta - 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} n(s) &\leq -2\left(\frac{\delta-1}{2}\right)^2 + (2\delta-2)\left(\frac{\delta-1}{2}\right) + 4\delta + 2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\delta^2 + 3\delta + \frac{5}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

When $2 \leq \delta \leq 3$, $s_{\text{axis}} \leq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} n(s) &\leq -2 + 2\delta - 2 + 4\delta + 2 \\ &< 6\delta. \end{aligned}$$

Both cases contradict $n \geq \max\{9\delta, \frac{\delta^2}{2} + 3\delta + 3\}$. Therefore, the assumption is false, and we conclude that $n_1 \geq 2(\delta - s + 1)$.

Let $G' = K_s \vee (K_{n-s-(\delta-s+1)(s+1)} \cup (s+1)K_{\delta-s+1})$. By Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\lambda_1(D(G')) \leq \lambda_1(D(\hat{G})) \quad (4)$$

with equality if and only if $G' \cong \hat{G}$.

Subcase 1. $s = 1$.

In this case, $G' = K_1 \vee (K_{n-2\delta-1} \cup 2K_\delta)$. Its distance matrix $D(G')$ is

$$\begin{array}{cccc} & \delta & \delta & n-2\delta-1 & 1 \\ \delta & \left(\begin{array}{cccc} J-I & 2J & 2J & J \\ 2J & J-I & 2J & J \\ 2J & 2J & J-I & J \\ J & J & J & O \end{array} \right) & & & \\ \delta & & & & \\ n-2\delta-1 & & & & \\ 1 & & & & \end{array}$$

Recall that $G^* = K_\delta \vee (K_{n-2\delta-1} \cup (\delta+1)K_1)$. Let \mathbf{x} be the Perron vector of $D(G^*)$. By symmetry, \mathbf{x} takes the same value within each of the vertex sets $V((\delta+1)K_1)$, $V(K_{n-2\delta-1})$, and $V(K_\delta)$. Denote the components of \mathbf{x} corresponding to these vertex sets as x_1 , x_2 , and x_3 , respectively. From $D(G^*)\mathbf{x} = \lambda_1(D(G^*))\mathbf{x}$, we have

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_1(D(G^*))x_1 = 2\delta x_1 + 2(n-2\delta-1)x_2 + \delta x_3 \\ \lambda_1(D(G^*))x_2 = 2(\delta+1)x_1 + (n-2\delta-2)x_2 + \delta x_3 \\ \lambda_1(D(G^*))x_3 = (\delta+1)x_1 + (n-2\delta-1)x_2 + (\delta-1)x_3 \end{cases}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_1(D(G^*))(2x_3 - x_1) = 2x_1 + (\delta - 2)x_3 \\ \lambda_1(D(G^*))(x_2 - x_3) = (\delta + 1)x_1 - (x_2 - x_3) \end{cases}.$$

Since $\delta \geq 2$, we have $2x_1 + (\delta - 2)x_3 \geq 2x_1 > 0$ and $(\lambda_1(D(G^*)) + 1)(x_2 - x_3) = (\delta + 1)x_1 > 0$. Therefore, $2x_3 \geq x_1 > 0$ and $x_2 > x_3 > 0$.

After splitting and rearranging $D(G^*)$, it can be expressed as

$$\begin{matrix} & \delta & 1 & \delta - 1 & n - 2\delta - 1 & 1 \\ \delta & \left(\begin{array}{ccccc} 2(J - I) & 2J & J & 2J & J \\ 2J & O & J & 2J & J \\ J & J & J - I & J & J \\ 2J & 2J & J & J - I & J \\ J & J & J & J & O \end{array} \right) \end{matrix}.$$

Similarly, after splitting and rearranging $D(G')$, it can be expressed as

$$\begin{matrix} & \delta & 1 & \delta - 1 & n - 2\delta - 1 & 1 \\ \delta & \left(\begin{array}{ccccc} J - I & 2J & 2J & 2J & J \\ 2J & O & J & 2J & J \\ 2J & J & J - I & 2J & J \\ 2J & 2J & 2J & J - I & J \\ J & J & J & J & O \end{array} \right) \end{matrix}.$$

By simple calculation, we obtain that the matrix $D(G') - D(G^*)$ is

$$\begin{matrix} & \delta & 1 & \delta - 1 & n - 2\delta - 1 & 1 \\ \delta & \left(\begin{array}{ccccc} -(J - I) & O & J & O & O \\ O & O & O & O & O \\ J & O & O & J & O \\ O & O & J & O & O \\ O & O & O & O & O \end{array} \right) \end{matrix}.$$

From this, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1(D(G')) - \lambda_1(D(G^*)) &\geq \mathbf{x}^T(D(G') - D(G^*))\mathbf{x} \\ &= -\delta(\delta - 1)x_1^2 + 2\delta(\delta - 1)x_1x_3 + (\delta - 1)(n - 2\delta - 1)x_2x_3 + (\delta - 1)(n - 2\delta - 1)x_2^2 \\ &= \delta(\delta - 1)(2x_3 - x_1)x_1 + (\delta - 1)(n - 2\delta - 1)x_2x_3 + (\delta - 1)(n - 2\delta - 1)x_2^2 \\ &> 0 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\lambda_1(D(G')) > \lambda_1(D(G^*))$.

Subcase 2. $2 \leq s \leq \delta - 1$

In this case, $G' = K_s \vee (K_{n-s-(\delta-s+1)(s+1)} \cup (s+1)K_{\delta-s+1})$. Its distance matrix $D(G')$ is

$$\begin{matrix} & \delta - s + 1 & \cdots & \delta - s + 1 & n - s - (\delta - s + 1)(s + 1) & s \\ \delta - s + 1 & \left(\begin{array}{ccccc} J - I & \cdots & 2J & 2J & J \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \delta - s + 1 & 2J & \cdots & J - I & 2J & J \\ n - s - (\delta - s + 1)(s + 1) & 2J & \cdots & 2J & J - I & J \\ s & J & \cdots & J & J & J - I \end{array} \right) \end{matrix}.$$

We can partition the vertex set of G' as $V(G') = V((s+1)K_{\delta-s+1}) \cup V(K_{n-s-(\delta-s+1)(s+1)}) \cup V(K_s)$. Clearly, this partition of $V(G')$ is equivalent. The quotient matrix is

$$R_{s,\delta} = \begin{pmatrix} (\delta-s) + 2s(\delta-s+1) & 2[n-s-(\delta-s+1)(s+1)] & s \\ 2(s+1)(\delta-s+1) & n-s-(\delta-s+1)(s+1)-1 & s \\ (s+1)(\delta-s+1) & n-s-(\delta-s+1)(s+1) & s-1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

After calculation, the characteristic polynomial of $R_{s,\delta}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} P(R_{s,\delta}, x) = & x^3 + [s^2 - (\delta+1)s - n + 3]x^2 + [2s^4 - (4\delta+2)s^3 \\ & + (2\delta^2 - 3\delta + 2n - 3)s^2 + (5\delta^2 - 2n\delta + n + 5\delta)s + 3\delta^2 - 3n\delta + 6\delta - 5n + 6]x \\ & - s^5 + (2\delta+3)s^4 - (\delta^2 + 3\delta + n)s^3 + (n\delta - 6\delta + 2n - 5)s^2 \\ & + (4\delta^2 - n\delta + 4\delta + 2n)s + 3\delta^2 - 3n\delta + 6\delta - 4n. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

By Lemma 2.2, $\lambda_1(D(G')) = \lambda_1(R_{s,\delta})$ is the largest root of $P(R_{s,\delta}, x) = 0$. Note that G^* contains the proper subgraph $K_{n-\delta-1}$, so $\lambda_1(D(G^*)) > \lambda_1(D(K_{n-\delta-1})) = n-\delta-2$. Combining (3) and (5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & P(R_{\delta}, n-\delta-2) - P(R_{s,\delta}, n-\delta-2) \\ = & (\delta-s)[3sn^2 + (4\delta+2s^3 - (2\delta+3)s^2 - (9\delta+9)s + 4)n - s^4 - (\delta+1)s^3 \\ & + (2\delta^2 + 6\delta + 4)s^2 + (6\delta^2 + 11\delta + 5)s - 5\delta^2 - 9\delta - 4] \\ \triangleq & (\delta-s)g(n). \end{aligned}$$

Since $2 \leq s \leq \delta-1$ and $\delta \geq s+1 \geq 3$, the symmetry axis of $g(n)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} n &= \frac{-2s^3 + (2\delta+3)s^2 + (9\delta+9)s - 4\delta - 4}{6s} \\ &= -\frac{1}{3}s^2 + \frac{2\delta+3}{6}s + \frac{3\delta+3}{2} - \frac{4\delta+4}{6s} \\ &\leq -\frac{1}{3}s^2 + \frac{2\delta+3}{6}s + \frac{3\delta+3}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

The symmetry axis of the above equation is $s_{\text{axis}} = \frac{2\delta+3}{4}$. When $3 \leq \delta \leq \frac{7}{2}$, then $s_{\text{axis}} \geq \delta-1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} n &\leq -\frac{1}{3}(\delta-1)^2 + \frac{2\delta+3}{6}(\delta-1) + \frac{3\delta+3}{2} \\ &= \frac{7}{3}\delta + \frac{2}{3} \\ &< \frac{1}{2}\delta^2 + 2\delta + 2. \end{aligned}$$

When $\delta > \frac{7}{2}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} n &\leq \frac{1}{3}s^2 + \frac{2\delta+3}{6}s + \frac{3\delta+3}{2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{2\delta+3}{4}\right)^2 + \frac{2\delta+3}{6}\left(\frac{2\delta+3}{4}\right) + \frac{3\delta+3}{2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{12}\delta^2 + \frac{7}{4}\delta + \frac{27}{16} \\
&< \frac{1}{2}\delta^2 + 2\delta + 2.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that $g(n)$ is monotonically increasing for $n \in [\frac{1}{2}\delta^2 + 2\delta + 2, +\infty)$. Since $2 \leq s$, $\delta \geq s + 1 \geq 3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
g(n) &\geq g\left(\frac{1}{2}\delta^2 + 2\delta + 2\right) \\
&= \frac{\delta}{4}[\delta(3s\delta^2 - (4s^2 - 6s - 8)\delta + 4s^3 - 14s^2 + 6s + 20) \\
&\quad + 12s^3 - 16s^2 - 4s + 28] - (s-1)(s^3 - 2s^2 + 1) + 3 \\
&\geq \frac{\delta}{4}[\delta(3s^3 - 6s^2 + 23s + 28) + 12s^3 - 16s^2 - 4s + 28] - (s-1)(s^3 - 2s^2 + 1) + 3 \\
&\geq \frac{\delta}{4}(3s^4 + 6s^3 + 7s^2 + 24s + 28) - (s-1)(s^3 - 2s^2 + 1) + 3 \\
&\geq \frac{1}{4}(3s^5 + 2s^4 + 19s^3 + 16s^2 + 24s + 16) \\
&> 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $s \leq \delta - 1$, we have

$$P(R_\delta, n - \delta - 2) > P(R_{s,\delta}, n - \delta - 2). \quad (6)$$

For $x \in [n - \delta - 2, +\infty)$, $s \geq 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
P'(R_\delta, x) - P'(R_{s,\delta}, x) &= (\delta - s)[(2s - 2)x + 2s^3 - (2\delta + 2)s^2 \\
&\quad - (5\delta - 2n + 3)s + 2\delta + n] \\
&\geq (\delta - s)[(2s - 2)(n - \delta - 2) + 2s^3 - (2\delta + 2)s^2 \\
&\quad - (5\delta - 2n + 3)s + 2\delta + n] \\
&= (\delta - s)[2s^3 - (2\delta + 2)s^2 + (4n - 7\delta - 7)s + 4\delta - n + 4] \\
&\triangleq (\delta - s)h(s).
\end{aligned}$$

Then we prove that $h(s) > 0$ for $2 \leq s \leq \delta - 1$.

$$h'(s) = 6s^2 - 4(\delta + 1)s + 4n - 7\delta - 7$$

The symmetry axis of the above equation is $s_{\text{axis}} = \frac{\delta+1}{3}$. When $3 \leq \delta \leq 5$, $s_{\text{axis}} \leq 2$ and

$$h'(s) \geq h'(2) = 4n - 15\delta + 9 \geq 2\delta^2 - 3\delta + 3 > 0.$$

When $\delta > 5$, $2 < s_{\text{axis}} \leq \delta - 1$ and

$$h'(s) \geq h'\left(\frac{\delta+1}{3}\right) = 4n - \frac{2}{3}\delta^2 - \frac{25}{3}\delta - \frac{23}{3} \geq \frac{4}{3}\delta^2 + 3\delta + \frac{7}{3} > 0.$$

This implies that $h(s)$ is monotonically increasing for $2 \leq s \leq \delta - 1$. Combining with $n \geq 9\delta$ and $\delta \geq 3$, we have

$$h(s) \geq h(2) = 7n - 18\delta - 2 \geq 45\delta - 2 > 0.$$

Since $s \leq \delta - 1$, we can obtain that

$$P'(R_\delta, x) > P'(R_{s,\delta}, x). \quad (7)$$

Next, we consider $P'(R_\delta, x) = 3x^2 - 2(\delta + n - 3)x + 5\delta^2 + (6 - 2n)\delta - 5n + 6$. Note that $n \geq 9\delta$ and $\delta \geq 3$, the symmetry axis for $P'(R_\delta, x)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \frac{\delta + n - 3}{3} \\ &= (n - \delta - 2) - \frac{2}{3}n + \frac{4}{3}\delta + 1 \\ &\leq (n - \delta - 2) - \frac{2}{3}(9\delta) + \frac{4}{3}\delta + 1 \\ &= (n - \delta - 2) - \frac{14}{3}\delta + 1 \\ &< n - \delta - 2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} P'(R_\delta, x) &\geq P'(R_\delta, n - \delta - 2) = n^2 - (8\delta + 7)n + 10\delta^2 + 16\delta + 6 \\ &\geq 19\delta^2 - 47\delta + 6 \\ &> 0. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Therefore, $P(R_\delta, x)$ is monotonically increasing for $x \in [n - \delta - 2, +\infty)$.

Combining (6), (7), and (8), we conclude that $\lambda_1(D(G')) > \lambda_1(D(G^*))$. Combining (1), (4), Subcase 1, and Subcase 2, we have

$$\lambda_1(D(G^*)) < \lambda_1(D(G')) \leq \lambda_1(D(\hat{G})) \leq \lambda_1(D(G))$$

which contradicts the condition $\lambda_1(D(G)) \leq \lambda_1(D(K_\delta \vee (K_{n-2\delta-1} \cup (\delta+1)K_1)))$ in the theorem. \square

4 Proof of Theorem 1.2

Proof. Let G^* denote the graph $K_{\tau-1} \vee (K_{n-\tau} \cup K_1)$ mentioned in the theorem. Suppose to the contrary that G is not a τ -tough graph. Then there exists a non-empty vertex subset $S \subset V(G)$ such that $|S| < \tau(c(G - S) - 1)$. Let $|S| = s$ and $c(G - S) = c$. Since $\tau \geq 2$, we have $s \leq \tau(c - 1) - 1$. For positive integers $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \dots \geq n_c \geq 1$ with $\sum_{i=1}^c n_i = n - \tau(c - 1) + 1$, G is a spanning subgraph of $\hat{G} = K_{\tau(c-1)-1} \vee (K_{n_1} \cup K_{n_2} \cup \dots \cup K_{n_c})$. By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\lambda_1(D(\hat{G})) \leq \lambda_1(D(G)) \quad (9)$$

with equality if and only if $G \cong \hat{G}$.

Let $\tilde{G} = K_{\tau(c-1)-1} \vee (K_{n-(\tau+1)(c-1)+1} \cup (c-1)K_1)$. By Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\lambda_1(D(\tilde{G})) \leq \lambda_1(D(\hat{G})) \quad (10)$$

with equality if and only if $\hat{G} \cong \tilde{G}$.

We consider two cases based on the range of c .

Case 1. $c = 2$

In this case, $\tilde{G} = K_{\tau-1} \vee (K_{n-\tau} \cup K_1) = G^*$. From (9) and (10), we have $\lambda_1(D(G^*)) \leq \lambda_1(D(G))$ with equality if and only if $G \cong G^*$. Combining this with the condition in the theorem gives $\lambda_1(D(G^*)) = \lambda_1(D(G))$. The equality condition implies $G \cong K_{\tau-1} \vee (K_{n-\tau} \cup K_1)$.

Let $S = V(K_{\tau-1})$, then we can calculate

$$\frac{|S|}{c(G-S)-1} = \tau - 1 < \tau.$$

Thus $\tau(G) < \tau$, and $G \cong K_{\tau-1} \vee (K_{n-\tau} \cup K_1)$ is not a τ -tough graph.

Case 2. $c \geq 3$

Consider $\tilde{G} = K_{\tau(c-1)-1} \vee (K_{n-(\tau+1)(c-1)+1} \cup (c-1)K_1)$. Its distance matrix $D(\tilde{G})$ is

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & c-1 & n-(\tau+1)(c-1)+1 & \tau(c-1)-1 \\ \begin{array}{c} c-1 \\ n-(\tau+1)(c-1)+1 \\ \tau(c-1)-1 \end{array} & \begin{pmatrix} 2(J-I) & 2J & J \\ 2J & J-I & J \\ J & J & J-I \end{pmatrix} \end{array}$$

The Wiener index of \tilde{G} is

$$\begin{aligned} W(\tilde{G}) &= \sum_{i < j} d_{ij}(D(\tilde{G})) \\ &= (c-1)(c-2) + 2(c-1)(n-(\tau+1)(c-1)+1) \\ &\quad + (c-1)(\tau(c-1)-1) + \frac{(n-c+1)(n-c)}{2} \\ &= -\left(\tau + \frac{1}{2}\right)c^2 + (n+2\tau + \frac{3}{2})c + \frac{n^2}{2} - \frac{3}{2}n - \tau - 1. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1(D(\tilde{G})) &\geq \frac{2W(\tilde{G})}{n} \\ &= \frac{-(2\tau+1)c^2 + (2n+4\tau+3)c + n^2 - 3n - 2\tau - 2}{n} \\ &\triangleq \frac{\Phi(c)}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

From $\sum_{i=1}^c n_i = n - \tau(c-1) + 1$, we have $n - \tau(c-1) + 1 \geq c$, so $2 \leq c-1 \leq \frac{n}{\tau+1}$. Rewrite $\Phi(c) = -(2\tau+1)(c-1)^2 + (2n+1)(c-1) + n^2 - n$. Let $x = c-1$, then $2 \leq x \leq \frac{n}{\tau+1}$ and

$$\Phi(x) = \Phi(c) = -(2\tau+1)x^2 + (2n+1)x + n^2 - n.$$

Since $n \geq 4\tau^2 + 5\tau + 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi\left(\frac{n}{\tau+1}\right) - \Phi(2) \\ &= \frac{n^2 - (4\tau^2 + 7\tau + 3)n + 2(\tau+1)^2(4\tau+1)}{(\tau+1)^2} \\ &= \frac{(n-2\tau-2)(n-4\tau^2-5\tau-1)}{(\tau+1)^2} \\ &> 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\min \Phi(x) = \Phi(2)$. We can get

$$\lambda_1(D(\tilde{G})) \geq \frac{\Phi(2)}{n} = \frac{-4(2\tau+1) + 2(2n+1) + n^2 - n}{n} = \frac{n^2 + 3n - 8\tau - 2}{n} \geq n + 3.$$

Now consider $G^* = K_{\tau-1} \vee (K_{n-\tau} \cup K_1)$. Its distance matrix $D(G^*)$ is

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \tau-1 & n-\tau & 1 \\ \tau-1 & \left(\begin{array}{ccc} J-I & J & J \\ J & J-I & 2J \\ J & 2J & O \end{array} \right) \\ n-\tau & & & \\ 1 & & & \end{array}$$

Compute the sum of squared distances, we have

$$\begin{aligned} W^{(2)}(G^*) &= \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} d_{ij}^2(G^*) \\ &= \frac{(\tau-1)(\tau-2)}{2} + (\tau-1)(n-\tau+1) + \frac{(n-\tau-1)(n-\tau)}{2} + 4(n-\tau) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}n^2 + \frac{5}{2}n - 3\tau \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.6 and $\tau \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1(D(G^*)) &\leq \sqrt{\frac{2(n-1)W^{(2)}(G^*)}{n}} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{(n-1)(n^2 + 5n - 6\tau)}{n}} \\ &< \sqrt{\frac{n^3 + 4n^2 - 5n}{n}} \\ &= \sqrt{(n+2)^2 - 9} \\ &\leq n + 2 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\lambda_1(D(G^*)) < n + 2 < \lambda_1(D(\tilde{G}))$. Combining (9) and (10), we have

$$\lambda_1(D(G^*)) < \lambda_1(D(\tilde{G})) \leq \lambda_1(D(\hat{G})) \leq \lambda_1(D(G))$$

which contradicts the condition $\lambda_1(D(G)) \leq \lambda_1(D(K_{\tau-1} \vee (K_{n-\tau} \cup K_1)))$ in the theorem. \square

5 Proof of Theorem 1.3

Let G^* denote the graph $K_1 \vee (K_{n-\frac{1}{\tau}-2} \cup (\frac{1}{\tau} + 1)K_1)$ mentioned in the theorem. Suppose to the contrary that G is not a τ -tough graph. Then there exists a non-empty vertex subset $S \subset V(G)$ such that $|S| < \tau(c(G - S) - 1)$. Let $|S| = s$ and $c(G - S) = c$. It follows that $c \geq \frac{s}{\tau} + 2$. The graph G is a spanning subgraph of $\hat{G} = K_s \vee (K_{n_1} \cup K_{n_2} \cup \cdots \cup K_{n_{\frac{s}{\tau}+2}})$, where $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \cdots \geq n_{\frac{s}{\tau}+2} \geq 1$ and $n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_{\frac{s}{\tau}+2} = n - s$. By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\lambda_1(D(\hat{G})) \leq \lambda_1(D(G)). \quad (11)$$

with equality if and only if $G \cong \hat{G}$.

Let $\tilde{G} = K_s \vee (K_{n-s-(\frac{s}{\tau}+1)} \cup (\frac{s}{\tau} + 1)K_1)$. By Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\lambda_1(D(\tilde{G})) \leq \lambda_1(D(\hat{G})). \quad (12)$$

with equality if and only if $\hat{G} \cong \tilde{G}$.

Since $S \neq \emptyset$, we have $s \geq 1$. We consider two cases based on the value of s .

Case 1. $s = 1$.

In this case, $\tilde{G} = K_1 \vee (K_{n-1-(\frac{1}{\tau}+1)} \cup (\frac{1}{\tau} + 1)K_1) = G^*$. From (11) and (12), we have $\lambda_1(D(G^*)) \leq \lambda_1(D(G))$ with equality if and only if $G \cong G^*$. Combining this with the condition in the theorem, we obtain $\lambda_1(D(G^*)) = \lambda_1(D(G))$. The equality condition implies $G \cong K_1 \vee (K_{n-1-(\frac{1}{\tau}+1)} \cup (\frac{1}{\tau} + 1)K_1)$.

Let $S = V(K_1)$. Then we can calculate

$$\frac{|S|}{c(G - S) - 1} = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{\tau} + 1 - 1} < \tau.$$

Thus, $\tau(G) < \tau$, and $G \cong K_1 \vee (K_{n-1-(\frac{1}{\tau}+1)} \cup (\frac{1}{\tau} + 1)K_1)$ is not a τ -tough graph.

Case 2. $s \geq 2$.

Here, $\tilde{G} = K_s \vee (K_{n-s-(\frac{s}{\tau}+1)} \cup (\frac{s}{\tau} + 1)K_1)$. Its distance matrix $D(\tilde{G})$ is

$$n-s-(\frac{s}{\tau}+1) \begin{pmatrix} \frac{s}{\tau} + 1 & n-s-(\frac{s}{\tau}+1) & s \\ 2(J-I) & 2J & J \\ 2J & J-I & J \\ s & J & J-I \end{pmatrix}.$$

We can partition the vertex set of \tilde{G} as $V(\tilde{G}) = V((\frac{s}{\tau} + 1)K_1) \cup V(K_{n-s-(\frac{s}{\tau}+1)}) \cup V(K_s)$. Clearly, this partition of $V(\tilde{G})$ is equivalent. The quotient matrix is

$$R_{\tau,s} = \begin{pmatrix} 2\frac{s}{\tau} & 2(n-s-(\frac{s}{\tau}+1)) & s \\ 2(\frac{s}{\tau}+1) & n-s-(\frac{s}{\tau}+1)-1 & s \\ \frac{s}{\tau}+1 & n-s-(\frac{s}{\tau}+1) & s-1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The characteristic polynomial of $R_{\tau,s}$ is

$$P(R_{\tau,s}, x) = x^3 + \frac{-n\tau^2 - s\tau + 3\tau^2}{\tau^2}x^2 + \frac{-2n\tau s - 5n\tau^2 + 3s^2\tau + 2s^2 + 3s\tau + 3s\tau^2 + 6\tau^2}{\tau^2}x + \frac{ns^2\tau + n\tau s^2 - 2n\tau s - 4n\tau^2 - s^3\tau - s^3 - \tau^2 s^2 + s^2\tau + 2s^2 + 2s\tau^2 + 4s\tau + 4\tau^2}{\tau^2}.$$

Note that G^* has the quotient matrix $R_\tau = R_{\tau,1}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(R_\tau, x) - P(R_{\tau,s}, x) &= \frac{s-1}{\tau^2}(\tau x^2 + (2n\tau - 3s\tau - 2s - 3\tau^2 - 6\tau - 2)x \\ &\quad - n(s\tau + \tau^2 - \tau) + s^2\tau + s^2 + s\tau^2 - s - \tau^2 - 4\tau - 1) \\ &\triangleq \frac{s-1}{\tau^2}\alpha(x). \end{aligned}$$

Claim. $P(R_\tau, x) - P(R_{\tau,s}, x) > 0$ for $x \in [n + \frac{1}{\tau} + 1, +\infty)$.

Proof. Since $s \geq 2$, we only need to show that $\alpha(x) > 0$ for $x \in [n + \frac{1}{\tau} + 1, +\infty)$. From $\sum_{i=1}^{\frac{s}{\tau}+2} n_i = n - s$, we have $n \geq s + \frac{s}{\tau} + 2$, which implies $2 \leq s \leq \frac{n-2}{1+\frac{1}{\tau}}$. Since $\frac{1}{\tau} \geq 1$, we have $0 \leq \tau \leq 1$. The symmetry axis of $\alpha(x)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} x &= -n + \frac{3}{2}s + \frac{s}{\tau} + \frac{3\tau}{2} + 3 + \frac{1}{\tau} \\ &= (n + \frac{1}{\tau} + 1) - 2n + \frac{3}{2}s + \frac{s}{\tau} + \frac{3}{2}\tau + 2 \\ &\leq (n + \frac{1}{\tau} + 1) - 2(s + \frac{s}{\tau} + 2) + \frac{3}{2}s + \frac{s}{\tau} + \frac{3}{2}\tau + 2 \\ &\leq n + \frac{1}{\tau} + 1 \end{aligned}$$

This means $\alpha(x)$ is monotonically increasing for $x \in [n + \frac{1}{\tau} + 1, +\infty)$. Since $2 \leq s \leq \frac{n-2}{1+\frac{1}{\tau}}$, $n \geq 4\tau + \frac{1}{\tau} + 5$, and $0 \leq \tau \leq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(x) &\geq \alpha\left(n + \frac{1}{\tau} + 1\right) \\ &= (\tau + 1)x^2 + \left(-4n\tau - 2n + \tau^2 - 3\tau - 6 - \frac{2}{\tau}\right)x \\ &\quad - 4\tau^2 - 12\tau - 7 - \frac{1}{\tau} + 3n^2\tau + n(-4\tau^2 - \tau + 2) \\ &\geq (\tau + 1)\left(\frac{n-2}{1+\frac{1}{\tau}}\right)^2 + \frac{n-2}{1+\frac{1}{\tau}}\left(-4n\tau - 2n + \tau^2 - 3\tau - 6 - \frac{2}{\tau}\right) \\ &\quad - 4\tau^2 - 12\tau - 7 - \frac{1}{\tau} + 3n^2\tau + n(-4\tau^2 - \tau + 2) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\tau^2 + \tau}\left(n^2\tau^2 - (3\tau^4 + 4\tau^3 + \tau^2)n - 6\tau^4 - 6\tau^3 - 7\tau^2 - 4\tau - 1\right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{(\tau^2 + \tau)(\tau + 1)}\left(-12\tau^6 - 33\tau^5 - 14\tau^4 + 24\tau^3 + 22\tau^2 + 5\tau\right) \\ &> 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

Now consider $G^* = K_1 \vee (K_{n-\frac{1}{\tau}-2} \cup (\frac{1}{\tau} + 1)K_1)$. Its distance matrix $D(G^*)$ is

$$\begin{matrix} & \frac{1}{\tau} + 1 & n - 1 - (\frac{1}{\tau} + 1) & 1 \\ n - 1 - (\frac{1}{\tau} + 1) & \begin{pmatrix} 2(J - I) & 2J & J \\ 2J & J - I & J \\ 1 & J & O \end{pmatrix} \end{matrix}$$

The Wiener index of G^* is

$$\begin{aligned} W(G^*) &= \sum_{i < j} d_{ij}(G^*) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\left(1 + \frac{1}{\tau}\right)(2n - 3) + \left(n - \frac{1}{\tau} - 2\right) \left(\frac{1}{\tau} + n\right) + n - 1 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(n^2 + n \left(1 + \frac{2}{\tau}\right) - 4 - \frac{5}{\tau} - \frac{1}{\tau^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.5 and $n \geq 4\tau + \frac{1}{\tau} + 5$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1(D(G^*)) &\geq \frac{2W(G^*)}{n} \\ &= n + 1 + \frac{2}{\tau} - \frac{4}{n} - \frac{5}{n\tau} - \frac{1}{n\tau^2} \\ &= n + \frac{1}{\tau} + 1 + \frac{1}{\tau} - \frac{4}{n} - \frac{5}{n\tau} - \frac{1}{n\tau^2} \\ &\geq n + \frac{1}{\tau} + 1 \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with the claim, we conclude that $\lambda_1(D(G^*)) < \lambda_1(D(\tilde{G}))$. Combining (11) and (12), we have

$$\lambda_1(D(G^*)) < \lambda_1(D(\tilde{G})) \leq \lambda_1(D(\hat{G})) \leq \lambda_1(D(G))$$

which contradicts the condition $\lambda_1(D(G)) \leq \lambda_1(D(K_1 \vee (K_{n-\frac{1}{\tau}-2} \cup (\frac{1}{\tau} + 1)K_1)))$ in the theorem. \square

References

- [1] V. Chvátal, Tough graphs and hamiltonian circuits, *Discrete Math.* **3** (1973) 215–228.
- [2] H. Enomoto, Note toughness and the existence of k-factors. III, *Discrete Math.* 189(1998) 277–282.
- [3] H. Broersma, E. Engbers, H. Trommel, Various results on the toughness of graphs. *Networks*, 33 (1999) 233–238.
- [4] N. Alon, Tough Ramsey graphs without short cycles, *J. Algebraic Combin.* **4** (1995) 189–195.
- [5] A. E. Brouwer, Toughness and spectrum of a graph, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **226/228** (1995) 267–271.
- [6] X. Gu, Toughness in pseudo-random graphs, *European J. Combin.* **92** (2021), Paper No. 103255, 11 pp.
- [7] X. Gu, W. H. Haemers, Graph toughness from Laplacian eigenvalues, *Algebr. Comb.* **5** (2022) 53–61.

- [8] X. Gu, A proof of Brouwer's toughness conjecture, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* **35** (2021) 948–952.
- [9] B.L. Liu, S.Y. Chen, Algebraic conditions for t-tough graphs, *Czechoslovak Math. J.* **60** (2010) 1079–1089.
- [10] S. M. Cioabă, W. Wong, The spectrum and toughness of regular graphs, *Discrete Appl. Math.* **176** (2014) 43–52.
- [11] W.H. Haemers, Toughness conjecture, <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/3484372>, 2021.
- [12] D.D. Fan, H.Q. Lin, H.L. Lu, Toughness, hamiltonicity and spectral radius in graphs, *European J. Combin.* **110** (2023) 103701.
- [13] J. Lou, R.F. Liu, J.L. Shu, Toughness and distance spectral radius in graphs involving minimum degree, *Discrete Appl. Math.*, **361** (2025) 34–47.
- [14] Y.Y. Chen, D.D. Fan, H.Q. Lin, Toughness and spectral radius in graphs, *Discrete Math.* **347** (2024) 114191.
- [15] C.D. Godsil, Algebraic Combinatorics, Chapman and Hall Mathematics Series, New York, 1993.
- [16] A.E. Brouwer, W.H. Haemers, Spectra of graphs, Springer, Berlin, 2011.
- [17] C. Godsil, G.F. Royle, Algebraic graph theory, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.
- [18] W.H. Haemers, Interlacing eigenvalues and graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **226** (1995) 593–616.
- [19] Y.K. Zhang, H.Q. Lin, Perfect matching and distance spectral radius in graphs and bipartite graphs, *Discrete Appl. Math.* **304** (2021) 315–322.
- [20] R.A. Horn, C.R. Johnson, Matrix analysis, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1985.
- [21] B. Zhou, N. Trinajstić, On the largest eigenvalue of the distance matrix of a connected graph, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **447** (2007) 384–387.
- [22] B. Zhou, N. Trinajstić, Further results on the largest eigenvalues of the distance matrix and some distance-based matrices of connected (molecular) graphs, *Internet Electron J. Mol. Des.* **6** (2007) 375–384.
- [23] B. Zhou, N. Trinajstić, Mathematical properties of molecular descriptors based on distances, *Croat. Chem. Acta* **83** (2010) 227–242.