p-Adic Polynomial Regression as Alternative to Neural Network for Approximating p-Adic Functions of Many Variables

A. P. Zubarev

Physics Department, Samara University,
Moskovskoe shosse 34, 443123, Samara, Russia
Natural Science Department,
Samara State University of Railway Transport,
Perviy Bezimyaniy pereulok 18, 443066, Samara, Russia
e-mail: apzubarev@mail.ru

April 1, 2025

Abstract

A method for approximating continuous functions $\mathbb{Z}_p^n \to \mathbb{Z}_p$ by a linear superposition of continuous functions $\mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{Z}_p$ is presented and a polynomial regression model is constructed that allows approximating such functions with any degree of accuracy. A physical interpretation of such a model is given and possible methods for its training are discussed. The proposed model can be considered as a simple alternative to possible p-adic models based on neural network architecture.

Keywords: p-adic alalysis, p-adic approximation, universal approximating theorem, Mahler's interpolation series

1 Introduction

From the most general point of view, a neural network is a multiparameter approximation of multidimensional functions of many real variables. The structure of almost any neural network can be described by a directed graph, each vertex of which has several incoming edges and one outgoing edge. Directed edges of the graph correspond to real variables, and vertices of the graph correspond to functions that map a set of variables corresponding to incoming edges to a variable corresponding to an outgoing edge. The functions corresponding to the vertices depend on the parameters and the set of all these parameters forms the set of parameters of the neural network. Thus, the neural network is a model function $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{f}_{nn}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w})$, which depends on the input parameters \mathbf{x} , takes values on the space of output parameters \mathbf{y} and is parameterized by the set of parameters \mathbf{w} . The task of the neural network is to approximate by the function $\mathbf{f}_{nn}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w})$ an unknown (target) function $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})$, about which only some information is

known, contained in the sample arrays of input X and output f(X) data. The solution to this problem consists of finding the values of the parameters w (or, in the general case, a subdomain of parameter values) for which the given function $f_{nn}(x, w)$ approximates the sample data (X, f(X)) with a given degree of accuracy. To find the approximation $f_{nn}(x, w)$ it is necessary to solve the problem of minimizing the loss function on the parameter space w. The loss function can be chosen in various ways, for example, in the form of the sum of squares of norms of differences of the target function and values of the approximating function on the training set of sample data X_{train} : $\min_{w} \sum_{x \in X_{train}} \|f(x) - f_{nn}(x, w)\|^2$. Generally speaking, since sample data are usually noisy, a random component is usually added to the model function. Nevertheless, we will not concern ourselves here with the statistical features of the models and will consider the purely deterministic aspect of modeling.

Regression models have been known for a very long time, and like neural networks, they are also designed to approximate multidimensional functions of many real variables. From the most general point of view, regression is a representation of a model function $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{f}_{reg}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w})$ in the form of some superposition of a set of given functions, parameterized by a set of parameters \mathbf{w} . Just like for a neural network, the task of regression is to approximate the function $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})$ by a model function $\mathbf{f}_{reg}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w})$ by finding the range of values of the parameters \mathbf{w} for which this approximation is performed with a given accuracy.

Almost all regression and neural network models use real-valued multidimensional arrays as input and output parameters and model parameters. Meanwhile, a natural question arises: is it possible to use p-adic arrays instead of real ones as input and output parameters and model parameters? Such a need arises if the task is to approximate the target function $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})$, which is not a real-valued function of several real variables, but a p-adic-valued function of several p-adic variables. A similar problem can, in principle, arise in a number of applications of p-adic analysis and p-adic mathematical physics [1, 2]. It should be noted that a number of works have been devoted to the application of the p-adic approach to the construction of neural networks (see, for example, [3, 4]). In these works, neural networks in which the state of each layer is parameterized by a number from the ring of p-adic integers were constructed and studied. Such models are hierarchical in topology and can be considered as one of the effective tools for solving pattern recognition problems, but they can ultimately be reduced to multidimensional real-valued models.

As has been argued in a number of papers (see, for example, [5, 6, 7]) almost any neural network model with any accuracy can be approximated by a polynomial regression model. This follows from the fact that any activation function in any compact domain can be approximated with any degree of accuracy by a Taylor series. On the other hand, any polynomial regression model can also be approximated with any degree of accuracy by a neural network model with activation functions of sigmoid type, which follows from Cybenko's approximation theorem [8, 9]. Thus, polymial regression models and neural network models are alternatives to each other. Nevertheless, as noted in [5, 6, 7], polynomial regression models can be much more effective than neural network models in a number of problems involving approximation of complex functions. In addition, the parameters of polynomial regression models are better controlled than those of multilayer neural networks when solving problems of multicollinearity and overtraining.

In this paper it is shown that, with respect to the problem of approximating p-adic-valued functions of many p-adic variables, the polynomial regression model arises naturally and is a

simple alternative to other possible p-adic models based on neural network architecture. The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present a method for approximating functions $\mathbb{Z}_p^n \to \mathbb{Z}_p$ by a linear superposition of functions $\mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{Z}_p$ (\mathbb{Z}_p is the ring of p-adic integers). In Section 3, we construct a polynomial regression model and give its possible physical interpretation. In Section 4, we discuss possible methods for training the proposed model.

2 Approximation of function $\mathbb{Z}_p^n \to \mathbb{Z}_p$ by linear superposition of functions $\mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{Z}_p$

Let us first present the minimal information from p-adic analysis (see, e.g., [10, 11, 12]) that is necessary to understand this paper. Let $\mathbb Q$ be a field of rational numbers and let p be a fixed prime number. Any rational number $x \neq 0$ is representable as $x = p^{-\gamma} \frac{a}{b}$, where a, γ are integers, b are natural number and a and b are not divisible by p and have no common multipliers. p-Adic norm of the number $x \in \mathbb Q$ is defined by the equality $|x|_p = p^{\gamma}, |0|_p = 0$. The completion of the field of rational numbers by the p-adic norm forms the field of p-adic numbers $\mathbb Q_p$. The metric $d(x,y) = |x-y|_p$ turns $\mathbb Q_p$ into a complete separable, totally disconnected, locally compact ultrametric space. In the literature, the following notations are commonly used for subsets of the field $\mathbb Q_p$: $B_r(a) = \left\{x \in \mathbb Q_p : |x-a|_p \leq p^r\right\}$ is a ball of radius p^r centered at a (any point belonging to the ball can be chosen as its center); $S_r(a) = \left\{x \in \mathbb Q_p : |x-a|_p = p^r\right\}$ is a sphere of radius p^r centered at p; p centered at p and have no common multipliers. p belonging to the ball can be chosen as its center); p belonging to the ball can be chosen as its center); p belonging to the ball can be chosen as its center); p belonging to the ball can be chosen as its center); p belonging to the ball can be chosen as its center); p centered at p belonging to the ball can be chosen as its center); p belonging to the ball can be chosen as its center); p belonging to the ball can be chosen as its center); p belonging to the ball can be chosen as its center); p centered at p belonging to the property p belonging to the ball can be chosen as its center); p belonging to the property p belonging to the property

$$x = p^{-\gamma} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x_i p^i, \tag{1}$$

where $0 \le x_i \le p-1$ for i > 0 and $0 < x_0 \le p-1$. The *n*-dimensional space of *p*-adic numbers \mathbb{Q}_p^n consists of points $\boldsymbol{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$, where $x_i \in \mathbb{Q}_p$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. The *p*-adic norm on \mathbb{Q}_p^n is defined as $|\boldsymbol{x}|_p = \max_i \left(|x_i|_p\right)$. This norm generates an ultrametric distance

$$d\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{(1)},\boldsymbol{x}^{(2)}\right)$$
 on \mathbb{Q}_p^n for any two points $\boldsymbol{x}^{(1)},\boldsymbol{x}^{(2)}\in\mathbb{Q}_p^n$: $d\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{(1)},\boldsymbol{x}^{(2)}\right)=\max_i\left(\left|x_i^{(1)}-x_i^{(2)}\right|_p\right)$. The space \mathbb{Q}_p^n (like \mathbb{Q}_p) is a complete locally compact and totally disconnected ultrametric space. The space \mathbb{Z}_p^n is the set of points $\boldsymbol{x}=(x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_n)$, where $x_i\in\mathbb{Z}_p$, $i=1,2,\ldots,n$. A

space. The space \mathbb{Z}_p^n is the set of points $\boldsymbol{x}=(x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_n)$, where $x_i\in\mathbb{Z}_p$, $i=1,2,\ldots,n$. A p-adic-valued function $f(\boldsymbol{x})$ on \mathbb{Q}_p^n (on \mathbb{Z}_p^n) is a map $\mathbb{Q}_p^n\to\mathbb{Q}_p$ ($\mathbb{Z}_p^n\to\mathbb{Q}_p$). As in traditional analysis, a function $f(\boldsymbol{x})$ is said to be continuous on \mathbb{Q}_p^n (on \mathbb{Z}_p^n) if for all $\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y}\in\mathbb{Q}_p^n$ (for all $\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y}\in\mathbb{Z}_p^n$) we have $\lim_{d(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y})\to 0}|f(\boldsymbol{x})-f(\boldsymbol{y})|_p=0$. On the set of continuous functions $f:\mathbb{Q}_p^n\to\mathbb{Q}_p(f:\mathbb{Z}_p^n\to\mathbb{Q}_p)$ we can determine the norm $\|f(\boldsymbol{x})\|_p=\max_{\boldsymbol{x}}|f(\boldsymbol{x})|_p$. A function $f:\mathbb{Q}_p^n\to\mathbb{Q}_p(f:\mathbb{Z}_p^n\to\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is analytic if it is defined on \mathbb{Q}_p^n (on \mathbb{Z}_p^n) by a power series.

We will show that any continuous function $\mathbb{Z}_p^n \to \mathbb{Z}_p$ can be approximated with any degree of accuracy by a linear superposition of continuous functions of one variable $\mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{Z}_p$. In this construction, the central place is occupied by the well-known Mahler's theorem (see [13, 14, 15]), which is formulated here in the form of Theorem 1.

Theorem 1. Let $f: \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{Q}_p$ be a continuous function and let

$$w_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^{n-k} \binom{n}{k} f(k), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$
 (2)

Then the series

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} w_k \omega_k \left(x \right),$$

where

$$\omega_k(x) = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ k \end{pmatrix} \equiv \frac{x(x-1)\cdots(x-k+1)}{k!}, \ x \in \mathbb{Z}_p, \tag{3}$$

converges uniformly on \mathbb{Z}_p and

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} w_k \omega_k(x), \ x \in \mathbb{Z}_p.$$
(4)

Note that the decomposition (4) also holds for any continuous function $f(\boldsymbol{x}): \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{Z}_p$, since $|\binom{n}{k}|_p \leq 1$ for $n, k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, then $|a_n|_p \leq 1$ for $f(x): \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{Z}_p$. It is also easy to see that $|\omega_n(x)|_p \leq 1$ ÄlÑ $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ by p-adic continuity of polinomials and its values on dence subset $\mathbb{Z}_+ \subset \mathbb{Z}_p$ [14]. Thus, the expansion holds for any continuous function $f(\boldsymbol{x}): \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{Z}_p$, where $a_k \in \mathbb{Z}_p$.

From Mahler's theorem it follows that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that for all $n > N |f(x) - \sum_{k=0}^{n} w_k \omega(x)|_p < \varepsilon$. This means that any continuous function $f: \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{Z}_p$ can be approximated with any degree of accuracy by finite series of the form $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} w_k \omega_k(x)$ with $w_k \in \mathbb{Z}_p$.

Next, we will need the Theorem from [16]), which we will formulate here in the form of Theorem 2.

Theorem 2. Let the function $f(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) : \mathbb{Z}_p^n \to \mathbb{Z}_p$ be continuous on \mathbb{Z}_p^n . Then it can be represented as a superposition

$$f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = h\left(\sum_{i=1}^n p^i \phi(x_i)\right), \tag{5}$$

where h is a continuous function $h: \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $\phi(x)$ is a continuous mapping $\mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{Z}_p$ of the form

$$\phi\left(x\right) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} x_j p^{nj}.\tag{6}$$

Since the function h from Theorem 2 is continuous in \mathbb{Z}_p , we can approximate it with any degree of accuracy by a finite decomposition of the form (4). In other words, for any continuous function $h: \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{Z}_p$ and for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist such $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $w_k \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, $k = 0, 1, \ldots, N$ that inequality

$$\left\| f\left(x\right) - \sum_{k=0}^{N} w_k \omega_k\left(x\right) \right\|_{p} < \varepsilon \tag{7}$$

holds.

From (7) and Theorem 2 follows a formula for approximating any continuous function $f: \mathbb{Z}_p^n \to \mathbb{Z}_p$ by a linear superposition of continuous functions $\omega_k \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p^i \phi\left(x_i\right)\right)$ with any given accuracy, which we formulate in the form of Theorem 3.

Theorem 3. Let the function $f(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) : \mathbb{Z}_p^n \to \mathbb{Z}_p$ be continuous on \mathbb{Z}_p^n . Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists such $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $w_k \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, k = 0, 1, ..., N that the inequality

$$\left\| f\left(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\right) - \sum_{k=0}^{N} w_k \omega_k \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p^i \phi\left(x_i\right) \right) \right\|_p < \varepsilon.$$
 (8)

holds.

Note that despite the fact that the values of the functions $\omega_k(x)$ lie in \mathbb{Z}_p and each of the functions $\omega_k(x)$ can be represented in the form of a polynomial of degree k in the variable x, the coefficients of these polynomials lie in \mathbb{Q}_p , but not in \mathbb{Z}_p .

3 Physical interpretation and model of p-adic polynomial regression

The physical interpretation of the problem of approximating p-adic-valued functions of several p-adic variables can be imagined as follows. Let there be a set of \mathcal{O} objects of the same type in the number N that we can observe and measure their characteristics. Let us imagine that the observable characteristics of these objects are more conveniently parameterized on the set of p-adic than on the set of real numbers. More specifically, we will assume that to each object $X \in \mathcal{O}$ we can associate a set of measured p-adic-valued characteristics, which we can divide into a set of n independent characteristics (input featires) $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in M_x \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ and the set of dependent characteristics (target variables) $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m) \in M_y \subset \mathbb{Z}^m$. Thus, to each object with number a we assign a pair $(\mathbf{x}^{(a)}, \mathbf{y}^{(a)}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+m}$. The main question we want to pose is the following: are there quantitative relationships between the characteristics of each object (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) and (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m) , expressed by a set of m (m < n) universal functional dependencies of the form $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})$?

For simplicity, in the following we will assume that m=1. We will assume that the dependence (target function) expressed by the equation $y=f(\boldsymbol{x}):\mathbb{Z}_p^n\to\mathbb{Z}_p$ exists but is unknown to us a priori. We also assume that the function $f(\boldsymbol{x})$ with a given degree of accuracy can be pointwise approximated by a function from the k-parameter function space $f(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{w})$, where $\boldsymbol{w}\in M_w\subset\mathbb{Z}_p^k$, $\boldsymbol{w}=\{w_i\},\ i=1,2,\ldots,k$ and we can precisely define this space by explicitly defining all functions $f(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{w}):\mathbb{Z}_p^{n+k}\to\mathbb{Q}_p$. Traditionally, the function $f(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{w})$ is called the model of the function $f(\boldsymbol{x})$, and the set $M_w\subset\mathbb{Q}^k$ is called the hypothesis space. Then the problem of finding the function $f(\boldsymbol{x})$ is reduced to determining the parameters $\boldsymbol{w}=\boldsymbol{w}_0\in\mathbb{Q}_p^k$, such that $f(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{w}_0)=f(\boldsymbol{x})$ with a given degree of accuracy. This problem is

equivalent to the problem of minimization on the hypothesis space of the loss function, which can be chosen in various forms, for example in the form

$$L(\boldsymbol{w}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{a=1}^{N} |l_a(\boldsymbol{w})|_p, \qquad (9)$$

where

$$l_a\left(\boldsymbol{w}\right) = y^{(a)} - \sum_{k=0}^{K} w_k \omega_k \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p^i \phi\left(x_i^{(a)}\right)\right). \tag{10}$$

If the number of objects N is rather large, then instead of the space \mathcal{O} of all objects, we can consider its subset $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{O}$ with the number of elements $N_D < N$, which is a sample. Each sample object $X_a \in \mathcal{D}, a = 1, \ldots, N^D$ has a set of measured characteristics $(\boldsymbol{x}^{(a)}, y^{(a)})$. As in the traditional machine learning approach, we divide the dataset D into two subsets: $D^{train} \cup D^{val} = D$, where D^{train} is the training dataset (the sample of data used to fit the model) and D^{val} is the validation dataset (the sample of data used to provide an unbiased evaluation of a model fit on the training dataset while tuning model parameters w). Let the number of sets in D^{train} and D^{val} be N^{train} and $N^{val} = N^D - N^{train}$, respectively. Then instead of the function minimization problem (9), we can consider the problem of minimizing a function

$$L_{train}\left(\boldsymbol{w}\right) = \frac{1}{N^{train}} \sum_{a=1}^{N^{train}} \left| y^{(a)} - f\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{(a)}, \boldsymbol{w}\right) \right|_{p}, \tag{11}$$

on a training dataset D^{train} . As a model of the function y = f(x), we choose the function

$$f(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{w}) = \sum_{k=0}^{K} w_k \omega_k \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p^i \phi\left(x_i^{(a)}\right) \right), \tag{12}$$

which we will call the p-adic polynomial regression function and which depends on K parameters w_k . To approximate noisy data, a random variable must also be added to the model function (12)

4 The problem of learning

The problem of minimizing the loss function (9) is fundamentally different from the analogous problem in the real case. In the real case, the loss function is a real-valued function of many real variables and it is natural to apply gradient methods to optimize it. For linear regression models, when the loss function is represented in the form of the sum of squares of the norms of the differences between the values of the target function and the values of the approximating function, it is quadratic in the model parameters. In this case, the values of the model parameters at which the loss function reaches a minimum can be determined unambiguously. In the p-adic case, the loss function (9) is a real-valued function of many p-adic variables, which is represented in the form of a sum of p-adic norms of p-adic-valued functions. Such functions are locally constant almost everywhere, so their derivatives on p-adic variables are almost everywhere zero. Therefore, in the p-adic case, alternative methods must be applied to optimize the loss function.

Obviously, the minimum possible value of the function (9) is zero, which is achieved when the conditions

$$l_a\left(\boldsymbol{w}\right) = 0. \tag{13}$$

are met. In the case when K = N + 1, $N = N^{train}$ the solution of the system (13) is unique and trivial to find. Indeed, in this case, since the functions $\omega_k(x)$ are linearly independent, the square matrix

$$A_{ak} = \omega_k \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p^i \phi\left(x_i^{(a)}\right) \right), \ a = 1, \dots, N^{train}, \ k = 0, 1, \dots, N^{train} - 1$$

has an inverse A_{ka}^{-1} matrix and the coefficients w_k , which are the solution of the system (13), are represented in the form

$$w_k = \sum_{a=1}^{N} A_{ka}^{-1} y^{(a)}.$$

In this case, the function of the regression model is

$$f\left(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{w}\right) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \left(\sum_{b=1}^{N} A_{kb}^{-1} y^{(b)}\right) \omega_k \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p^i \phi\left(x_i\right)\right). \tag{14}$$

and representation (14) gives a complete solution to the problem of approximating the function $f(\mathbf{x})$ by a known training sample.

In the case where K > N+1, $N = N^{train}$ the system (13) has many solutions. In this case, the first N parameters w_k , k = 0, ..., N-1 can be expressed through the remaining K-N free parameters $w_i, j = N, ..., K$ by the formula

$$w_k = \sum_{a=1}^{N} A_{ka}^{-1}(\{x\}) \left(y_a - \sum_{k=N}^{K} w_k \omega_k \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p^i \phi\left(x_a^{(i)}\right) \right) \right), \ k = 0, \dots N - 1.$$
 (15)

However, there is no guarantee that the solution (15) found with some fixed $w_j, j = N, \ldots, K$ will optimize the function (9) on the validation dataset. Therefore, it is very likely that this solution will correspond to an overtrained model and additional optimization on the solution space of the system (13) is required. One way of additional optimization can be to find a solution (15) such that the function $\sum_{k=0}^{N} |w_k|_p$ reaches a minimum on the parameter space w_k , $k = N, \ldots, K$. This problem in turn reduces to the optimization of the sum of norms of linear p-adic functions with the number of parameters less than the number of summands in this sum (see below).

The case K < N+1 is the most interesting from a physical point of view, because usually in regression and neural network models the number of data in training sets is much larger than the number of model parameters. In this case, the equations (13), in general, have no solution because the minimum possible value of the loss function (9) can be a positive rational number. Unfortunately, we are not aware of a deterministic recurrence algorithm for finding the minimum of such functions in the p-adic case. Nevertheless, we can propose a stochastic optimization algorithm for the function (9) based on a random walk in the parameter space \boldsymbol{w} , which is described by a non-Markovian random process at discrete time $t = i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$:

$$\boldsymbol{w}_{i+1} = \boldsymbol{w}_i + \boldsymbol{\xi}_i \left(\boldsymbol{w}_i \right). \tag{16}$$

In the formula (16), $\boldsymbol{\xi}_i(\boldsymbol{w})$ is a sequence of independent random functions with values in \mathbb{Z}_p depending on the random variable \boldsymbol{w} taking values in \mathbb{Z}_p^{K+1} . Each of the functions $\boldsymbol{\xi}_i(\boldsymbol{w})$ has a conditional distribution law of the following form

$$dP\left(\boldsymbol{\xi} \mid \boldsymbol{w}\right) = \mathcal{N}_{i}^{-1} \exp\left(-\beta_{i} \left(L\left(\boldsymbol{w} + \boldsymbol{\xi}\right) - L\left(\boldsymbol{w}\right)\right)\right) d_{p}^{N} \boldsymbol{\xi}, \tag{17}$$

where

$$\mathcal{N}_{i} = \int\limits_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{N}} d_{p}^{N} \boldsymbol{\xi}' \exp\left(-\beta_{i} \left(L\left(\boldsymbol{w} + \boldsymbol{\xi}'\right) - L\left(\boldsymbol{w}\right)\right)\right),$$

 $d_p^N \boldsymbol{\xi}$ is the N-dimensional p-adic Haar measure [10, 12] and β_i is some sequence of positive numbers.

Theorem 4. Let \mathbf{w}_i be a fixed realization of the process (16) at the *i*-th step, parameterized by the sequence of numbers $\beta_j, j = 0, 1, \ldots, i - 1$. Then if there exists a number $\varepsilon_i > 0$ such that the set of points $U_{i,\varepsilon_i}^- = \{ \boldsymbol{\xi} : L(\mathbf{w}_i + \boldsymbol{\xi}) - L(\mathbf{w}_i) < -\varepsilon \}$ has a non-zero measure $\int_{U_{i,\varepsilon_i}^-} d_p^N \boldsymbol{\xi}$, then there exists a value $\beta_i > 0$, such that the inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_{n}^{N}} L\left(\boldsymbol{w}_{i} + \boldsymbol{\xi}\right) dP\left(\boldsymbol{\xi} \mid \boldsymbol{w}_{i}\right) < L\left(\boldsymbol{w}_{i}\right)$$
(18)

is hold.

Proof of Theorem 4. For a fixed realization \boldsymbol{w}_i we fix the number $\varepsilon_i > 0$. Let us denote $U_{i,\varepsilon_i}^+ = \mathbb{Z}_p^N \setminus U_{i,\varepsilon_i}^-$. Note that since the set U_{i,ε_i}^+ includes the point $\boldsymbol{\xi} = 0$, then

$$\sup_{\boldsymbol{\xi} \in U_{i,\varepsilon_{i}}^{+}} \left(L\left(\boldsymbol{w}_{i} + \boldsymbol{\xi}\right) - L\left(\boldsymbol{w}_{i}\right) \right) \equiv A_{i} \geq 0.$$

Next, let us write down

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{N}} dP\left(\boldsymbol{\xi} \mid \boldsymbol{w}_{i}\right) L\left(\boldsymbol{w}_{i} + \boldsymbol{\xi}\right) =$$

$$= L\left(\boldsymbol{w}_{i}\right) + \mathcal{N}_{i}^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{N}} \exp\left(-\beta_{i}\left(L\left(\boldsymbol{w}_{i} + \boldsymbol{\xi}\right) - L\left(\boldsymbol{w}_{i}\right)\right)\right) \left(L\left(\boldsymbol{w}_{i} + \boldsymbol{\xi}\right) - L\left(\boldsymbol{w}_{i}\right)\right) d_{p}^{N} \boldsymbol{\xi}$$

$$= L\left(\boldsymbol{w}_{i}\right) + \mathcal{N}_{i}^{-1} \int_{U_{i,\varepsilon_{i}}^{+}} \exp\left(-\beta_{i}\left(L\left(\boldsymbol{w}_{i} + \boldsymbol{\xi}\right) - L\left(\boldsymbol{w}_{i}\right)\right)\right) \left(L\left(\boldsymbol{w}_{i} + \boldsymbol{\xi}\right) - L\left(\boldsymbol{w}_{i}\right)\right) d_{p}^{N} \boldsymbol{\xi}$$

$$-\mathcal{N}_{i}^{-1} \int_{U_{i,\varepsilon_{i}}^{-}} \exp\left(\beta_{i}\left(L\left(\boldsymbol{w}_{i}\right) - L\left(\boldsymbol{w}_{i} + \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)\right)\right) \left(L\left(\boldsymbol{w}_{i}\right) - L\left(\boldsymbol{w}_{i} + \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)\right) d_{p}^{N} \boldsymbol{\xi}$$

$$\leq L\left(\boldsymbol{w}_{i}\right) + \mathcal{N}_{i}^{-1} \left(A_{i} \exp\left(-\beta_{i} A_{i}\right) \int_{U_{i,\varepsilon_{i}}^{+}} d_{p}^{N} \boldsymbol{\xi} - \varepsilon_{i} \exp\left(\beta_{i} \varepsilon_{i}\right) \int_{U_{i,\varepsilon_{i}}^{-}} d_{p}^{N} \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)$$

Choosing sufficiently large β_i , it is always possible to ensure the fulfillment of the condition

$$A_{i} \exp\left(-\beta_{i} A_{i}\right) \int_{U_{i,\varepsilon_{i}}^{+}} d_{p}^{N} \boldsymbol{\xi} - \varepsilon_{i} \exp\left(\beta_{i} \varepsilon_{i}\right) \int_{U_{i,\varepsilon_{i}}^{-}} d_{p}^{N} \boldsymbol{\xi} < 0,$$

for any $A_i \geq 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, from which the statement of Theorem 4 follows.

According to the statement of Theorem 4, it is possible to construct a K + 1-dimensional p-adic-valued random process of the form (16)), such that the random process $L(\mathbf{w}_i)$ is a supermartingale in the strict sense, i.e. it ensures a strict decrease of the function $L(\mathbf{w}_i)$ on average. Therefore, based on the process (16), it is possible to generate a statistical machine algorithm for minimizing the loss function (9) in the regression model (12).

Acknowledgments

The study was supported by the Ministry of Higher Education and Science of Russia by the State assignment to educational and research institutions under project no. FSSS-2023-0009.

Data Availability Statement

The data supporting the findings of this study are available within the article and its supplementary material. All other relevant source data are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

References

- [1] B. Dragovich, A. Yu. Khrennikov, S. V. Kozyrev and I. V. Volovich, "On p-adic mathematical physics," p-Adic Num. Ultrametr. Anal. Appl. 1 (1), 1–17, (2009).
- [2] B. Dragovich, A. Yu. Khrennikov, S. V. Kozyrev, I. V. Volovich and E. I. Zelenov, "p-Adic mathematical physics: The first 30 years," p-Adic Num. Ultrametr. Anal. Appl. 9 (2), 87–121, (2017).
- [3] S. Albeverio, A. Y. Khrennikov and B. Tirozzi, "p-Adic dynamical systems and neural networks," Mathematical models and methods in applied sciences 9 (09), 1417–1437 (1999).
- [4] A. Y. Khrennikov, M. Nilson, "p-Adic neural networks," In: p-Adic Deterministic and Random Dynamics. Mathematics and Its Applications, vol 574, 123–153 (Springer, Dordrecht, 2004).
- [5] U. A. Kumar, "Comparison of neural networks and regression analysis: A new insight," Expert Systems with Applications **29** (2), 424–430 (2005).
- [6] X. Cheng, B. Khomtchouk, N. Matloff and P. Mohanty, "Polynomial regression as an alternative to neural nets," arXiv preprint arXiv:1806.06850 (2018).

- [7] P. Morala, J. A. Cifuentes, R. E. Lillo and I. Ucar, "Towards a mathematical framework to inform neural network modelling via polynomial regression," Neural Networks **142**, 57–72 (2021).
- [8] G. Cybenko, "Approximation by superpositions of a sigmoidal function," Mathematics of control, signals and systems 2 (4), 303–314 (1989).
- [9] T. Chen, Y. Chen and R. W. Liu, (1992). "A constructive proof and an extension of Cybenko's approximation theorem," In Computing Science and Statistics: Statistics of Many Parameters: Curves, Images, Spatial Models, 163–168 (New York, NY: Springer New York, 1992).
- [10] V. S. Vladimirov, I. V. Volovich and E. I. Zelenov, p-Adic Analysis and Mathematical Physics (World Scientific Publishing, Singapore, 1994).
- [11] S. Katok, p-Adic analysis compared with real (American Mathematical Society, 2007).
- [12] A. Y. Khrennikov and V. M. Shelkovich, (2012). Modern p-adic analysis and mathematical physics: theory and applications (Fizmatlit, Moscow, 2012).
- [13] K. Mahler, "An Interpolation Series for Continuous Functions of a p-adic Variable," Journal fur die reine und angewandte mathematik **199**, 23–34 (1958).
- [14] K. Mahler, Introduction to p-adic numbers and their functions (CUP Archive No. 64, 1973).
- [15] R. Bojanic, "A simple proof of Mahler's theorem on approximation of continuous functions of a *p*-adic variable by polynomials," J. Number Theory **6**, 412–415 (1974).
- [16] A. P. Zubarev, "On the analog of the Kolmogorov-Arnold superposition representation for continuous functions of several p-adic variables," arXiv preprint arXiv:2503.08547 (2025).