

# Citegeist: Automated Generation of Related Work Analysis on the arXiv Corpus

Claas Beger<sup>\*\*</sup>  
Cornell University  
cbb89@cornell.edu

Carl-Leander Henneking<sup>\*</sup>  
Cornell University  
ch2273@cornell.edu

## Abstract

Large Language Models provide significant new opportunities for the generation of high-quality written works. However, their employment in the research community is inhibited by their tendency to hallucinate invalid sources and lack of direct access to a knowledge base of relevant scientific articles. In this work, we present Citegeist: An application pipeline using dynamic Retrieval Augmented Generation (RAG) on the arXiv Corpus to generate a related work section and other citation-backed outputs. For this purpose, we employ a mixture of embedding-based similarity matching, summarization, and multi-stage filtering. To adapt to the continuous growth of the document base, we also present an optimized way of incorporating new and modified papers. To enable easy utilization in the scientific community, we release both, a [website](#), as well as an implementation harness that works with several different LLM implementations.

## 1 Introduction

Significant advances in language generation quality in recent years have enabled the employment of Large Language Models (LLMs) as writing assistants for various fields and purposes. However, while the quality of produced texts is often high, LLMs face critical challenges in domains requiring factual precision and verifiable citations, such as academic writing. Their tendency to hallucinate invalid or non-existent sources (Chelli et al., 2024; Magesh et al., 2024; Buchanan et al., 2024), combined with the lack of direct integration with up-to-date scientific knowledge bases, limits their applicability in research contexts. To address the former challenge, (Lewis et al., 2021) introduced Retrieval-Augmented-Generation (RAG) by directly employing a Wikipedia-based vector index to insert external knowledge into the model context.

This work aims to transition this approach to the arXiv corpus, a popular and ever-growing dataset consisting of approximately 2.6 million academic papers. The vast size, frequent updates, and the availability of a dedicated Python library make the arXiv an intuitive choice for research-centered retrieval-augmented generation (RAG). However, this scale imposes challenges in data interaction, as the full-text dataset exceeds 1 TB. To mitigate this, we perform multiple filtering steps based on abstracts and retrieve full documents only for identified relevant sources. We then construct a vector database of abstract embeddings to enable efficient similarity search.

Additionally, recognizing that the related work requirements vary across research fields and paper contents, we introduce three key hyperparameters—*breadth*, *depth*, and *diversity*—which are employed in our retrieval algorithm. We also place a strong emphasis on proper citation techniques and rigorously evaluate our approach in terms of source relevancy and the writing quality of the generated results.

Beyond the generation of related work sections, we adapt our method to answer scientific questions with citation-based responses, yielding promising results.

## Key Contributions:

- Development of a dynamic retrieval and synthesis application for related work generation.
- Introduction of three key hyperparameters—*breadth*, *depth*, and *diversity*—to fine-tune the content and style of the result.
- Support for uploading full PDFs to enhance content-based retrieval.
- Employment of full paper texts through alternating between importance weighting and summarization techniques.

<sup>\*</sup>Equal contribution.




Figure 1: Our pipeline takes either an abstract or a full paper as input and produces a related works analysis, incl. all citations. We initially retrieve a set of candidate works that we filter in multiple steps, and then we summarize and synthesize relevant content to produce the related works analysis.

## 2 Related Work

Recent advancements using RAG have been shown to enhance performance on knowledge-intensive tasks by integrating external sources (Lewis et al., 2021). Building on this, Jiang et al. (2023) and Trivedi et al. (2023) demonstrate how retrieval datasets can be dynamically updated to incorporate the latest knowledge. Alternatively, Yao et al. (2023) demonstrates how data may be accessed directly through Agentic Workflow. Our project aims to use the arXiv corpus (arXiv.org submitters, 2024) for a dynamic RAG system applied to the task of related work analysis. These works are highly relevant to our approach since arXiv experiences close to 20,000 article submissions a month (see Figure 4) and correct data migration is non-trivial. This issue is especially prominent for applications that explicitly train a model for information or document retrieval (Kishore et al., 2023). Furthermore, there has been research on the efficiency of such retrieval models for scientific articles (Ajith et al., 2024) with a focus on extractive question-answering but without utilization of summarization or RAG.

In recent literature, related work generation has emerged as a prominent task within the domain of scientific multi-document summarization (Li and Ouyang, 2022). However, many prior approaches rely heavily on a predefined citation list (Hu and Wan, 2014; Chen and Zhuge, 2019; Wang et al., 2020; Deng et al., 2021). In contrast, we propose an independent extraction pipeline that does not require citation data, addressing a significant gap in the existing body of work. Our method leverages multi-stage retrieval, filtering, and summarization techniques to enhance related work generation. To the best of our knowledge, few works have ex-

plored this direction. For instance, [git](#) focuses on synthesizing large bodies of academic literature, but their approach is designed for general literature reviews rather than for extracting related work tailored to a specific input abstract. Similarly, [Asai et al. \(2024\)](#) aims at unifying relevant scientific papers for a given query, with a primary focus on scientific question answering. However, their method does not incorporate hyperparameters to fine-tune results, nor does it support processing full PDFs. Furthermore, our approach distinguishes itself by employing a dynamic combination of summarization and relevance estimation during full-text processing.

## 3 Method

We leverage an existing arXiv metadata corpus, which contains abstracts and paper IDs. To build up our vector database, we extract abstracts and perform an embedding operation using the all-mpnet-base-v2 (Henderson et al., 2019) Sentence Transformer. We chose this model because of its applicability to paragraphs of up to about 384-word pieces, which is suitable for academic abstracts and filtered page contents. Using this model, we embed all abstracts contained in the corpus and generate a corresponding hash, which we utilize later to refresh the existing database efficiently. Further, we compute a topic assignment using a fine-tuned BERTopic version (Grootendorst, 2022), which can be used to produce content-based subsets. Based on this data, we build up our vector database using Milvus (Wang et al., 2021), which is optimized for similarity-based lookups.

### 3.1 Retrieval and Generation

Our proposed architecture works on an excerpt of the target paper, most of the time this will be

the abstract (alternatives are discussed later in this section). We embed this abstract and perform a similarity-based search using a cosine-similarity metric on our embedding metadata. Based on the results, we extract candidate abstracts. We parameterize the selection criteria to account for different user requirements. The selection starts with the abstract that has the highest similarity score. Thereafter, the next paper  $i^*$  is chosen iteratively according to

$$i^* = \arg \max_{i \notin S} \left[ (1 - w) \cdot s_i + w \cdot \left( 1 - \min_{p_j \in S} \text{sim}(e_i, e_j) \right) \right] \quad (1)$$

Where  $P = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n\}$  is the set of all papers in the query result,  $S$  is the subset of chosen papers, and each paper  $p_i$  has an abstract embedding  $e_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . The parameter  $w \in [0, 1]$  determines the trade-off between prioritizing similarity and ensuring diversity.  $\text{sim}(e_i, e_j)$  is the cosine similarity, and  $s_i$  denotes similarity to the input abstract. Generally, we define  $w$  as the *diversity* of the generation. We also consider the *breadth*, which affects the size of the initial paper set, as well as the number of selected candidates. We refer to the result of this process as the *longlist* of candidate papers.

Next, we fetch the full papers for all selected candidates using their arXiv ID and extract the contained text, which is filtered using regex to exclude citations, appendix, and other irrelevant parts. We embed every page and compute similarities to the input abstract to determine a number  $k$  of the most relevant pages.  $k$  is another parameter, which we refer to as *depth* of the generation. To choose the  $k$  representative pages, we reuse the functionality from Equation 1. We calculate the mean of the similarity scores and select a final set of papers, which are an aggregate of the abstract and the papers' selected pages. We refer to this set as the *shortlist*.

These papers constitute all relevant sources we employ in our generation process. To prepare the corresponding prompt, we first perform a summarization of the relevant pages and abstract of a short-listed paper using GPT4o. We also include the source abstract in this process to tailor the resulting summary to the input paper. Finally, we aggregate the summaries in a synthesis prompt, which requests the reformulation into a joint related works section, including relevant citations, which are extracted using the arXiv library API. Finally, we filter the related works section and create a citation list that links to the relevant arXiv webpage. The

full pipeline, including all steps, is displayed in Figure 1.

We consider an alternative to the candidate paper identification step if, instead of the abstract, a full (multi-page) paper is submitted by the user. In this case, we perform embedding matching over all pages and aggregate the scores. Using these, we filter for the top similarity papers, after which we fall back to the original pipeline. We note that this is only a shallow modification and we aim at investigating more sophisticated updates to the pipeline in the future.

## 3.2 Update Functionality

A primary advantage of the arXiv corpus is its frequent update schedule, which we adopt into our application. This dynamic nature is a key strength compared to static knowledge bases, particularly in the context of academic research, which is subject to constant advancements and evolving trends. However, the substantial size of the arXiv corpus requires efficient technical implementations to manage these updates effectively.

### 3.2.1 Update and Reload Logic

The system uses a hash table to manage arXiv metadata, with SHA-256 hashes computed for each entry's serialized representation. Each hash is mapped to its corresponding paper ID. During synchronization, the system compares the hash values of incoming records with those in the hash table according to three cases:

- (1) **No Changes Detected:** When a record's hash matches the stored hash, no action is taken.
- (2) **Updates to Existing Records:** When a record's hash differs but its paper ID exists, the system recomputes the embedding and updates the database record. The hash table entry is then updated.
- (3) **New Records Detected:** For records not found in the hash table, the system computes the hash and embedding, assigns a topic using the BERTopic model, and inserts the record into the database. The hash table is updated with the new entry.

The reload process implements an iterative pipeline that processes the dataset in batches, using GPU acceleration for computing embeddings. This approach maintains synchronization between the local database and source data while avoiding redundant computation for unchanged records.




Figure 2: Visualization of the *breadth* and *diversity* Setting. Nodes represent abstract embeddings through dimensionality reduction.

Table 1: Source relevance (Rel) and full related work quality (Qual) as evaluated by LLM-as-a-judge using GPT4o (GPT), Gemini 1.5-Pro 002 (Gem), Mistral-Large (Mist).

Metric	Rel Mean	Rel Sum	Qual GPT	Qual Gem	Qual Mist
GPT4o	1.57 $\pm$ 0.68	3.64 $\pm$ 1.51	5.82 $\pm$ 1.33	5.36 $\pm$ 0.77	6.27 $\pm$ 1.21
Agentic	6.36 $\pm$ 1.38	20.82 $\pm$ 5.10	<b>7.64</b> $\pm$ 0.48	4.18 $\pm$ 1.70	8.00 $\pm$ 0.00
Citegeist	<b>6.90</b> $\pm$ 0.33	<b>69.00</b> $\pm$ 3.31	7.55 $\pm$ 0.52	6.91 $\pm$ 0.29	8.27 $\pm$ 0.45
Citegeist (w. paper)	6.82 $\pm$ 0.27	68.18 $\pm$ 2.73	<b>7.64</b> $\pm$ 0.50	6.91 $\pm$ 0.29	<b>8.64</b> $\pm$ 0.64
Source	5.78 $\pm$ 0.63	57.91 $\pm$ 15.40	7.27 $\pm$ 0.47	<b>7.18</b> $\pm$ 0.57	7.91 $\pm$ 0.51

## 4 Evaluation

Quantifying the quality of related work sections is difficult, as there are various relevant factors to consider. Due to resource constraints, we are unable to employ human annotators, which is why we generally fall back to employing LLM-as-a-judge. As we find that LLMs struggle with a direct comparison through exhibiting significant positional bias, we define two separate individual quality dimensions: source relevance and writing quality. For the former, we extract the source and citation abstract and prompt the model to assign a score from 0-10 depending on the relevance of the citation. For writing quality, we create a separate prompt containing the full related work section plus the source abstract and again elicit a score on a range from 0-10. Since we employ GPT4o as a judge and author in this scenario, which has been shown to lead to bias (Panickssery et al., 2024), we provide alternative evaluations from Mistral-Large (hug, 2024) and Gemini 1.5-Pro 002 (Team et al., 2024). We show an overview of the evaluation in Table 1.

For our evaluation dataset, we take 11 papers that are not yet contained in our arXiv dataset to simulate a newly written paper draft. To account

for content diversity, we select five Computer Science, two Economics, one Information Science, one Physics, and two Mathematics papers. We prompt GPT4o directly to generate related work sections as a baseline. Thereafter, we manually analyze the contained citations for their correctness and replace all incorrect ones (this includes errors in author or title as well) with "(invalid citation)". Additionally, we develop a basic agentic workflow, in which we give GPT4o access to the arXiv API search functionality to perform a keyword lookup. The model is then prompted to choose the works it would like to employ based on the yielded abstracts. For Citegeist, our application, we generate two related work sections using the input abstract and the full paper. We also evaluate the related work section from the source paper as an approximation of the gold standard. We acknowledge that the relevance metric of our approximation can be expected to be biased toward our solution since we specifically choose works based on abstract similarity. However, as we find that including full papers often does not fit into the context window, and the abstract can be considered a high-level summary of the paper, this remains a valid relevance estimation.



If not specified otherwise, all our experiments were run with *breadth* ten, *diversity* zero, and *depth* two. We find that our solution strongly outperforms GPT4o across all metrics and is frequently rated above the source as well. Gemini tends to rate our generated works a little weaker than GPT; however, Mistral ranks our solution a little stronger, so we do not find significant implications for annotator bias. Note that we did not employ alternative annotators for the relevance since it does not contain generated text. The table also presents the aggregated relevance score sum, which we deem to be relevant since specific fields require very thorough related work sections. As expected, our base pipeline performs the best on the used relevance estimation since it only utilizes the abstract for the choice of papers. However, the solution using the full paper improves the quality estimation, hinting that this choice of works may lead to more comprehensive writing later on.

We also experiment with the effects of increasing the *depth* and *diversity* parameters. Setting *diversity* to 0.3, we observe a small decrease in paper relevancy (-0.05) and a small increase in quality (+0.17). This is the expected outcome, and we observe that higher *diversity* will often include interesting new areas but also address papers that are not directly relevant sometimes. Increasing *depth* from two to six reduces relevancy (-0.31) but does not significantly change the quality (+0.01). We believe that the model struggles to focus on relevant parts once the page count exceeds a certain number during summarization, and the additional pages may dilute the overall paper relevance estimation during filtering.

In addition to related work generation, we briefly explore expansion of our application to scientific question-answering and general-purpose research contextualization, which only requires prompt adaptation. While we do not conduct formal experiments, we find that the model is able to focus well on identified academic works and cites their sources correctly, resulting in appropriate answers.

## 5 Conclusion

This work presents a novel application, Citegeist, for the synthesis of scientific context retrieval and summarization on the arXiv corpus. We find that our pipeline provides a diverse set of functionalities and is able to generate high-quality related work analyses for various input papers. While difficult to

evaluate, our experiments show clear improvement over directly prompting GPT4o and competitiveness with the source sections, even if there is a certain bias to relevance estimation. We also expose certain parameters directly to the user to influence the content of the generated section. Our pipeline is supported by a dedicated update functionality to incorporate the newest papers. We hope to address a significant gap in current research with our work and showcase strong generative capabilities based on correctly cited scientific sources. We publish our full [implementation](#) on github and the corresponding [dataset](#) on huggingface. A corresponding PyPi package is also forthcoming. A video demonstration of interaction with our website can be found on [Youtube](#).

## Limitations

While we are confident in the pipeline functionality, we also discovered limitations. One drawback is the processing time for updates, with a typical two-week cycle taking approximately 4 hours without a GPU, making the process time-intensive for large-scale updates. The trade-off lies in the choice of update frequency, which may limit real-time synchronization if only done rarely. Future improvements, such as enhanced parallelization and streamlined database interactions, could help reduce processing time.

While LLM annotators may struggle to consider this without further information, we find that some of the related works sections tend to go over works in a rather shallow manner. Increasing *depth* can mitigate this to a certain degree, but after a certain point, the model struggles to consolidate all given pages. This could be addressed by adding further stages to the summarization process or employing a model with a larger context window. We also denote that we inherit the arXiv-specific content distribution, meaning, for instance, Mathematics is represented more than Philosophy. Another bottleneck is that generated sections are generally unable to accommodate more than 10-12 related works, which could potentially be solved by splitting generation across multiple prompts or, again, by choosing a model with a larger context window.

Lastly, we acknowledge that future work could expand or improve our evaluations. Since the application directly incorporates relevancy estimates, it may be considered problematic to measure this as a quality estimate. In addition, identifying related

works also requires a deep understanding of several smaller aspects of the draft paper to ensure a well-rounded contextualization. Further, our study was limited by the number of draft papers we were able to gather, partially due to the manual process of verifying all cited papers of the GPT4o draft prompt. We plan to expand on this evaluation in the future, potentially by conducting an evaluation involving human annotators to see how the scoring compares.

## References

- GitHub - allenai/ai2-scholarqa-lib: Repo housing the open sourced code for the ai2 scholar qa app and also the corresponding library — github.com. <https://github.com/allenai/ai2-scholarqa-lib/>. [Accessed 29-03-2025].
2024. mistralai/Mistral-Large-Instruct-2407 · Hugging Face — huggingface.co. <https://huggingface.co/mistralai/Mistral-Large-Instruct-2407>. [Accessed 17-12-2024].
- Anirudh Ajith, Mengzhou Xia, Alexis Chevalier, Tanya Goyal, Danqi Chen, and Tianyu Gao. 2024. *Lit-search: A retrieval benchmark for scientific literature search*. *Preprint*, arXiv:2407.18940.
- arXiv Team. 2024. *Submissions by category since 2009+*. Accessed on 17 Dec 2024.
- arXiv.org submitters. 2024. *arxiv dataset*.
- Akari Asai, Jacqueline He, Rulin Shao, Weijia Shi, Amanpreet Singh, Joseph Chee Chang, Kyle Lo, Luca Soldaini, Sergey Feldman, Mike D’arcy, David Wadden, Matt Latzke, Minyang Tian, Pan Ji, Shengyan Liu, Hao Tong, Bohao Wu, Yanyu Xiong, Luke Zettlemoyer, Graham Neubig, Dan Weld, Doug Downey, Wen tau Yih, Pang Wei Koh, and Hananeh Hajishirzi. 2024. *Openscholar: Synthesizing scientific literature with retrieval-augmented lms*. *Preprint*, arXiv:2411.14199.
- Joy Buchanan, Stephen Hill, and Olga Shapoval. 2024. *ChatGPT Hallucinates Non-existent Citations: Evidence from Economics*. *The American Economist*, 69(1):80–87.
- Mikaël Chelli, Jules Descamps, Vincent Lavoué, Christophe Trojani, Michel Azar, Marcel Deckert, Jean-Luc Raynier, Gilles Clowez, Pascal Boileau, and Caroline Ruetsch-Chelli. 2024. *Hallucination rates and reference accuracy of chatgpt and bard for systematic reviews: Comparative analysis*. *J Med Internet Res*, 26:e53164.
- Jingqiang Chen and Hai Zhuge. 2019. *Automatic generation of related work through summarizing citations*. *Concurrency and Computation: Practice and Experience*, 31.
- Zekun Deng, Zixin Zeng, Weiye Gu, Jiawen Ji, and Bolin Hua. 2021. *Automatic related work section generation by sentence extraction and reordering*. In *AI@iConference*.
- Maarten Grootendorst. 2022. Bertopic: Neural topic modeling with a class-based tf-idf procedure. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2203.05794*.
- Matthew Henderson, Paweł Budzianowski, Iñigo Casanueva, Sam Coope, Daniela Gerz, Girish Kumar, Nikola Mrkšić, Georgios Spithourakis, Pei-Hao Su, Ivan Vulić, and Tsung-Hsien Wen. 2019. *A repository of conversational datasets*. *Preprint*, arXiv:1904.06472.
- Yue Hu and Xiaojun Wan. 2014. *Automatic generation of related work sections in scientific papers: An optimization approach*. pages 1624–1633.
- Zhengbao Jiang, Frank F. Xu, Luyu Gao, Zhiqing Sun, Qian Liu, Jane Dwivedi-Yu, Yiming Yang, Jamie Callan, and Graham Neubig. 2023. *Active retrieval augmented generation*. *Preprint*, arXiv:2305.06983.
- Varsha Kishore, Chao Wan, Justin Lovelace, Yoav Artzi, and Kilian Q Weinberger. 2023. *IncDSI: Incrementally updatable document retrieval*. In *Proceedings of the 40th International Conference on Machine Learning*, volume 202 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 17122–17134. PMLR.
- Patrick Lewis, Ethan Perez, Aleksandra Piktus, Fabio Petroni, Vladimir Karpukhin, Naman Goyal, Heinrich Küttler, Mike Lewis, Wen tau Yih, Tim Rocktäschel, Sebastian Riedel, and Douwe Kiela. 2021. *Retrieval-augmented generation for knowledge-intensive nlp tasks*. *Preprint*, arXiv:2005.11401.
- Xiangci Li and Jessica Ouyang. 2022. *Automatic related work generation: A meta study*. *Preprint*, arXiv:2201.01880.
- Varun Magesh, Faiz Surani, Matthew Dahl, Mirac Suzgun, Christopher D. Manning, and Daniel E. Ho. 2024. *Hallucination-free? assessing the reliability of leading ai legal research tools*. *Preprint*, arXiv:2405.20362.
- Arjun Panickssery, Samuel R. Bowman, and Shi Feng. 2024. *Llm evaluators recognize and favor their own generations*. *Preprint*, arXiv:2404.13076.
- Gemini Team, Petko Georgiev, Ving Ian Lei, Ryan Burnell, Libin Bai, Anmol Gulati, Garrett Tanzer, Damien Vincent, Zhufeng Pan, Shibo Wang, Soroosh Mariooryad, Yifan Ding, Xinyang Geng, Fred Alcober, Roy Frostig, Mark Omernick, Lexi Walker, Cosmin Paduraru, Christina Sorokin, Andrea Tacchetti, Colin Gaffney, Samira Daruki, Olcan Serincoglu, Zach Gleicher, Juliette Love, Paul Voigtlaender, Rohan Jain, Gabriela Surita, Kareem Mohamed, Rory Blevins, Junwhan Ahn, Tao Zhu, Kornaphop Kawintiranon, Orhan Firat, Yiming Gu, Yujing Zhang, Matthew Rahtz, Manaal Faruqui, Natalie Clay, Justin Gilmer, JD Co-Reyes, Ivo Penchev, Rui

Zhu, Nobuyuki Morioka, Kevin Hui, Krishna Hari-  
dasan, Victor Campos, Mahdis Mahdih, Mandy Guo,  
Samer Hassan, Kevin Kilgour, Arpi Vezzer, Heng-  
Tze Cheng, Raoul de Liedekerke, Siddharth Goyal,  
Paul Barham, DJ Strouse, Seb Noury, Jonas Adler,  
Mukund Sundararajan, Sharad Vikram, Dmitry Lep-  
ikhin, Michela Paganini, Xavier Garcia, Fan Yang,  
Dasha Valter, Maja Trebacz, Kiran Vodrahalli, Chu-  
layuth Asawaroengchai, Roman Ring, Norbert Kalb,  
Livio Baldini Soares, Siddhartha Brahma, David  
Steiner, Tianhe Yu, Fabian Mentzer, Antoine He,  
Lucas Gonzalez, Bibo Xu, Raphael Lopez Kauf-  
man, Laurent El Shafey, Junhyuk Oh, Tom Hennigan,  
George van den Driessche, Seth Odoom, Mario Lucic,  
Becca Roelofs, Sid Lall, Amit Marathe, Betty Chan,  
Santiago Ontanon, Luheng He, Denis Teplyashin,  
Jonathan Lai, Phil Crone, Bogdan Damoc, Lewis  
Ho, Sebastian Riedel, Karel Lenc, Chih-Kuan Yeh,  
Aakanksha Chowdhery, Yang Xu, Mehran Kazemi,  
Ehsan Amid, Anastasia Petrushkina, Kevin Swersky,  
Ali Khodaei, Gowoon Chen, Chris Larkin, Mario  
Pinto, Geng Yan, Adria Puigdomenech Badia, Piyush  
Patil, Steven Hansen, Dave Orr, Sebastien M. R.  
Arnold, Jordan Grimstad, Andrew Dai, Sholto Dou-  
glas, Rishika Sinha, Vikas Yadav, Xi Chen, Elena Gri-  
bovskaya, Jacob Austin, Jeffrey Zhao, Kaushal Patel,  
Paul Komarek, Sophia Austin, Sebastian Borgeaud,  
Linda Friso, Abhimanyu Goyal, Ben Caine, Kris  
Cao, Da-Woon Chung, Matthew Lamm, Gabe Barth-  
Maron, Thais Kagohara, Kate Olszewska, Mia Chen,  
Kaushik Shivakumar, Rishabh Agarwal, Harshal  
Godhia, Ravi Rajwar, Javier Snaider, Xerxes Doti-  
walla, Yuan Liu, Aditya Barua, Victor Ungureanu,  
Yuan Zhang, Bat-Orgil Batsaikhan, Mateo Wirth,  
James Qin, Ivo Danihelka, Tulsee Doshi, Martin  
Chadwick, Jilin Chen, Sanil Jain, Quoc Le, Ar-  
jun Kar, Madhu Gurusurthy, Cheng Li, Ruoxin  
Sang, Fangyu Liu, Lampros Lamprou, Rich Munoz,  
Nathan Lintz, Harsh Mehta, Heidi Howard, Mal-  
colm Reynolds, Lora Aroyo, Quan Wang, Lorenzo  
Blanco, Albin Cassirer, Jordan Griffith, Dipanjan  
Das, Stephan Lee, Jakob Sygnowski, Zach Fisher,  
James Besley, Richard Powell, Zafarali Ahmed, Do-  
minik Paulus, David Reitter, Zalan Borsos, Rishabh  
Joshi, Aedan Pope, Steven Hand, Vittorio Selo, Vi-  
han Jain, Nikhil Sethi, Megha Goel, Takaki Makino,  
Rhys May, Zhen Yang, Johan Schalkwyk, Christina  
Butterfield, Anja Hauth, Alex Goldin, Will Hawkins,  
Evan Senter, Sergey Brin, Oliver Woodman, Mar-  
vin Ritter, Eric Noland, Minh Giang, Vijay Bolina,  
Lisa Lee, Tim Blyth, Ian Mackinnon, Machel Reid,  
Obaid Sarvana, David Silver, Alexander Chen, Lily  
Wang, Loren Maggiore, Oscar Chang, Nithya At-  
taluri, Gregory Thornton, Chung-Cheng Chiu, Os-  
kar Bunyan, Nir Levine, Timothy Chung, Evgenii  
Eltyshv, Xiance Si, Timothy Lillicrap, Demetra  
Brady, Vaibhav Aggarwal, Boxi Wu, Yuanzhong Xu,  
Ross McIlroy, Kartikeya Badola, Paramjit Sandhu,  
Erica Moreira, Wojciech Stokowiec, Ross Hems-  
ley, Dong Li, Alex Tudor, Pranav Shyam, Elahe  
Rahimtoroghi, Salem Haykal, Pablo Sprechmann,  
Xiang Zhou, Diana Mincu, Yujia Li, Ravi Addanki,  
Kalpesh Krishna, Xiao Wu, Alexandre Frechette,  
Matan Eyal, Allan Dafoe, Dave Lacey, Jay Whang,

Thi Avrahami, Ye Zhang, Emanuel Taropa, Hanzhao  
Lin, Daniel Toyama, Eliza Rutherford, Motoki Sano,  
HyunJeong Choe, Alex Tomala, Chalence Safranek-  
Shrader, Nora Kassner, Mantas Pajarskas, Matt  
Harvey, Sean Sechrist, Meire Fortunato, Christina  
Lyu, Gamaleldin Elsayed, Chenkai Kuang, James  
Lottes, Eric Chu, Chao Jia, Chih-Wei Chen, Pe-  
ter Humphreys, Kate Baumli, Connie Tao, Rajku-  
mar Samuel, Cicero Nogueira dos Santos, Anders  
Andreassen, Nemanja Rakićević, Dominik Grewe,  
Aviral Kumar, Stephanie Winkler, Jonathan Caton,  
Andrew Brock, Sid Dalmia, Hannah Sheahan, Iain  
Barr, Yingjie Miao, Paul Natsev, Jacob Devlin, Fer-  
yal Behbahani, Flavien Prost, Yanhua Sun, Artiom  
Myaskovsky, Thanumalayan Sankaranarayana Pillai,  
Dan Hurt, Angeliki Lazaridou, Xi Xiong, Ce Zheng,  
Fabio Pardo, Xiaowei Li, Dan Horgan, Joe Stanton,  
Moran Ambar, Fei Xia, Alejandro Lince, Mingqiu  
Wang, Basil Mustafa, Albert Webson, Hyo Lee, Ro-  
han Anil, Martin Wicke, Timothy Dozat, Abhishek  
Sinha, Enrique Piqueras, Elahe Dabir, Shyam Upad-  
hyay, Anudhyan Boral, Lisa Anne Hendricks, Corey  
Fry, Josip Djolonga, Yi Su, Jake Walker, Jane La-  
banowski, Ronny Huang, Vedant Misra, Jeremy  
Chen, RJ Skerry-Ryan, Avi Singh, Shruti Rijh-  
wani, Dian Yu, Alex Castro-Ros, Beer Changpinyo,  
Romina Datta, Sumit Bagri, Arnar Mar Hrafnkel-  
sson, Marcello Maggioni, Daniel Zheng, Yury Sul-  
sky, Shaobo Hou, Tom Le Paine, Antoine Yang,  
Jason Riesa, Dominika Rogozinska, Dror Marcus,  
Dalia El Badawy, Qiao Zhang, Luyu Wang, Helen  
Miller, Jeremy Greer, Lars Lowe Sjos, Azade Nova,  
Heiga Zen, Rahma Chaabouni, Mihaela Rosca, Jiepu  
Jiang, Charlie Chen, Ruibo Liu, Tara Sainath, Maxim  
Krikun, Alex Polozov, Jean-Baptiste Lespiau, Josh  
Newlan, Zeyncep Cankara, Soo Kwak, Yunhan Xu,  
Phil Chen, Andy Coenen, Clemens Meyer, Katerina  
Tshilas, Ada Ma, Juraj Gottweis, Jinwei Xing, Chen-  
jie Gu, Jin Miao, Christian Frank, Zeynep Cankara,  
Sanjay Ganapathy, Ishita Dasgupta, Steph Hughes-  
Fitt, Heng Chen, David Reid, Keran Rong, Hongmin  
Fan, Joost van Amersfoort, Vincent Zhuang, Aaron  
Cohen, Shixiang Shane Gu, Anhad Mohanney,  
Anastasija Ilic, Taylor Tobin, John Wieting, Anna  
Bortsova, Phoebe Thacker, Emma Wang, Emily  
Caveness, Justin Chiu, Eren Sezener, Alex Kaskasoli,  
Steven Baker, Katie Millican, Mohamed Elhawaty,  
Kostas Aisopos, Carl Lebsack, Nathan Byrd, Hanjun  
Dai, Wenhao Jia, Matthew Wiethoff, Elnaz Davoodi,  
Albert Weston, Lakshman Yagati, Arun Ahuja, Isabel  
Gao, Golan Pundak, Susan Zhang, Michael Azzam,  
Khe Chai Sim, Sergi Caelles, James Keeling, Ab-  
hanshu Sharma, Andy Swing, YaGuang Li, Chenxi  
Liu, Carrie Grimes Bostock, Yamini Bansal, Zachary  
Nado, Ankesh Anand, Josh Lipschultz, Abhijit Kar-  
markar, Lev Proleev, Abe Ittycheriah, Soheil Has-  
sas Yeganeh, George Polovets, Aleksandra Faust,  
Jiao Sun, Alban Rustemi, Pen Li, Rakesh Shivanna,  
Jeremiah Liu, Chris Welty, Federico Lebron, Anirudh  
Baddepudi, Sebastian Krause, Emilio Parisotto, Radu  
Soricut, Zheng Xu, Dawn Bloxwich, Melvin John-  
son, Behnam Neyshabur, Justin Mao-Jones, Ren-  
shen Wang, Vinay Ramasesh, Zaheer Abbas, Arthur  
Guez, Constant Segal, Duc Dung Nguyen, James

Svensson, Le Hou, Sarah York, Kieran Milan, Sophie Bridgers, Wiktor Gworek, Marco Tagliasacchi, James Lee-Thorp, Michael Chang, Alexey Guseynov, Ale Jakse Hartman, Michael Kwong, Ruizhe Zhao, Sheleem Kashem, Elizabeth Cole, Antoine Miech, Richard Tanburn, Mary Phuong, Filip Pavetic, Sebastien Cevey, Ramona Comanescu, Richard Ives, Sherry Yang, Cosmo Du, Bo Li, Zizhao Zhang, Mariko Iinuma, Clara Huiyi Hu, Aurko Roy, Shaan Bijwadia, Zhenkai Zhu, Danilo Martins, Rachel Saputro, Anita Gergely, Steven Zheng, Dawei Jia, Ioannis Antonoglou, Adam Sadovsky, Shane Gu, Yingying Bi, Alek Andreev, Sina Samangoeei, Mina Khan, Tomas Kocisky, Angelos Filos, Chintu Kumar, Colton Bishop, Adams Yu, Sarah Hodgkinson, Sid Mittal, Premal Shah, Alexandre Moufarek, Yong Cheng, Adam Bloniarz, Jaehoon Lee, Pedram Pejman, Paul Michel, Stephen Spencer, Vladimir Feinberg, Xuehan Xiong, Nikolay Savinov, Charlotte Smith, Siamak Shakeri, Dustin Tran, Mary Chesus, Bernd Bohnet, George Tucker, Tamara von Glehn, Carrie Muir, Yiran Mao, Hideto Kazawa, Ambrose Slone, Kedar Soparkar, Disha Shrivastava, James Cobon-Kerr, Michael Sharman, Jay Pavagadhi, Carlos Araya, Karolis Misiunas, Nimesh Ghelani, Michael Laskin, David Barker, Qiujia Li, Anton Briukhov, Neil Houlsby, Mia Glaese, Balaji Lakshminarayanan, Nathan Schucher, Yunhao Tang, Eli Collins, Hyeontaek Lim, Fangxiaoyu Feng, Adria Recasens, Guangda Lai, Alberto Magni, Nicola De Cao, Aditya Siddhant, Zoe Ashwood, Jordi Orbay, Mostafa Deghani, Jenny Brennan, Yifan He, Kelvin Xu, Yang Gao, Carl Saroufim, James Molloy, Xinyi Wu, Seb Arnold, Solomon Chang, Julian Schrittwieser, Elena Buchatskaya, Soroush Radpour, Martin Polacek, Skye Giordano, Ankur Bapna, Simon Tokumine, Vincent Hellendoorn, Thibault Sottiaux, Sarah Cogan, Aliaksei Severyn, Mohammad Saleh, Shantanu Thakoor, Laurent Shefey, Siyuan Qiao, Meenu Gaba, Shuo yin Chang, Craig Swanson, Biao Zhang, Benjamin Lee, Paul Kishan Rubenstein, Gan Song, Tom Kwiatkowski, Anna Koop, Ajay Kanan, David Kao, Parker Schuh, Axel Stjerngren, Golnaz Ghiasi, Gena Gibson, Luke Vilnis, Ye Yuan, Felipe Tiengo Ferreira, Aishwarya Kamath, Ted Klimenko, Ken Franko, Kefan Xiao, Indro Bhattacharya, Miteyan Patel, Rui Wang, Alex Morris, Robin Strudel, Vivek Sharma, Peter Choy, Sayed Hadi Hashemi, Jessica Landon, Mara Finkelstein, Priya Jhakra, Justin Frye, Megan Barnes, Matthew Mauer, Dennis Daun, Khuslen Baatarsukh, Matthew Tung, Wael Farhan, Henryk Michalewski, Fabio Viola, Felix de Chaumont Quitry, Charline Le Lan, Tom Hudson, Qingze Wang, Felix Fischer, Ivy Zheng, Elspeth White, Anca Dragan, Jean baptiste Alayrac, Eric Ni, Alexander Pritzel, Adam Iwanicki, Michael Isard, Anna Bulanova, Lukas Zilka, Ethan Dyer, Devendra Sachan, Srivatsan Srinivasan, Hannah Muckenhirn, Honglong Cai, Amol Mandhane, Mukarram Tariq, Jack W. Rae, Gary Wang, Kareem Ayoub, Nicholas FitzGerald, Yao Zhao, Woohyun Han, Chris Alberti, Dan Garrette, Kashyap Krishnakumar, Mai Gimenez, Anselm Levskaya, Daniel Sohn, Josip Matak, Inaki Iturrate, Michael B. Chang, Jackie Xi-

ang, Yuan Cao, Nishant Ranka, Geoff Brown, Adrian Hutter, Vahab Mirrokni, Nanxin Chen, Kaisheng Yao, Zoltan Egyed, Francois Galilee, Tyler Liechty, Praveen Kallakuri, Evan Palmer, Sanjay Ghemawat, Jasmine Liu, David Tao, Chloe Thornton, Tim Green, Mimi Jasarevic, Sharon Lin, Victor Cotruta, Yi-Xuan Tan, Noah Fiedel, Hongkun Yu, Ed Chi, Alexander Neitz, Jens Heitkaemper, Anu Sinha, Denny Zhou, Yi Sun, Charbel Kaed, Brice Hulse, Swaroop Mishra, Maria Georgaki, Sneha Kudugunta, Clement Farabet, Izhak Shafran, Daniel Vlasic, Anton Tsitsulin, Rajagopal Ananthanarayanan, Alen Carin, Guolong Su, Pei Sun, Shashank V, Gabriel Carvajal, Josef Broder, Iulia Comsa, Alena Repina, William Wong, Warren Weilun Chen, Peter Hawkins, Egor Filonov, Lucia Loher, Christoph Hirsenschall, Weiyi Wang, Jingchen Ye, Andrea Burns, Hardie Cate, Diana Gage Wright, Federico Piccinini, Lei Zhang, Chu-Cheng Lin, Ionel Gog, Yana Kulizhskaya, Ashwin Sreevatsa, Shuang Song, Luis C. Cobo, Anand Iyer, Chetan Tekur, Guillermo Garrido, Zhuyun Xiao, Rupert Kemp, Huaixiu Steven Zheng, Hui Li, Ananth Agarwal, Christel Ngani, Kati Goshvadi, Rebeca Santamaria-Fernandez, Wojciech Fica, Xinyun Chen, Chris Gorgolewski, Sean Sun, Roopal Garg, Xinyu Ye, S. M. Ali Eslami, Nan Hua, Jon Simon, Pratik Joshi, Yelin Kim, Ian Tenney, Sahitya Potluri, Lam Nguyen Thiet, Quan Yuan, Florian Luisier, Alexandra Chronopoulou, Salvatore Scellato, Praveen Srinivasan, Minmin Chen, Vinod Koverkathu, Valentin Dalibard, Yaming Xu, Brennan Saeta, Keith Anderson, Thibault Sellam, Nick Fernando, Fantine Huot, Junehyuk Jung, Mani Varadarajan, Michael Quinn, Amit Raul, Maigo Le, Ruslan Habalov, Jon Clark, Komal Jalan, Kalesha Bullard, Achintya Singhal, Thang Luong, Boyu Wang, Sujeevan Rajayogam, Julian Eisenschlos, Johnson Jia, Daniel Finchelstein, Alex Yakubovich, Daniel Balle, Michael Fink, Sameer Agarwal, Jing Li, Dj Dvijotham, Shalini Pal, Kai Kang, Jaclyn Konzelmann, Jennifer Beattie, Olivier Dousse, Diane Wu, Remi Crocker, Chen Elkind, Siddhartha Reddy Jonnalagadda, Jong Lee, Dan Holtmann-Rice, Krystal Kallarackal, Rosanne Liu, Denis Vnukov, Neera Vats, Luca Invernizzi, Mohsen Jafari, Huanjie Zhou, Lilly Taylor, Jennifer Prendki, Marcus Wu, Tom Eccles, Tianqi Liu, Kavya Kopparapu, Francoise Beaufays, Christof Angermueller, Andreea Marzoca, Shourya Sarcar, Hilal Dib, Jeff Stanway, Frank Perbet, Nejc Trdin, Rachel Sterneck, Andrey Khorlin, Dinghua Li, Xihui Wu, Sonam Goenka, David Madras, Sasha Goldshtein, Willi Gierke, Tong Zhou, Yaxin Liu, Yannic Liang, Anais White, Yunjie Li, Shreya Singh, Sanaz Bahargam, Mark Epstein, Sujoy Basu, Li Lao, Adnan Ozturel, Carl Crous, Alex Zhai, Han Lu, Zora Tung, Neeraj Gaur, Alanna Walton, Lucas Dixon, Ming Zhang, Amir Globerson, Grant Uy, Andrew Bolt, Olivia Wiles, Milad Nasr, Ilia Shumailov, Marco Selvi, Francesco Piccinno, Ricardo Aguilar, Sara McCarthy, Misha Khalman, Mrinal Shukla, Vlado Galic, John Carpenter, Kevin Vilella, Haibin Zhang, Harry Richardson, James Martens, Matko Bosnjak, Shreyas Ram-mohan Belle, Jeff Seibert, Mahmoud Alnahlawi,



Brian McWilliams, Sankalp Singh, Annie Louis, Wen Ding, Dan Popovici, Lenin Simicich, Laura Knight, Pulkit Mehta, Nishesh Gupta, Chongyang Shi, Saaber Fatehi, Jovana Mitrovic, Alex Grills, Joseph Pagadora, Tsendsuren Munkhdalai, Dessie Petrova, Danielle Eisenbud, Zhishuai Zhang, Damion Yates, Bhavishya Mittal, Nilesh Tripuraneni, Yannis Assael, Thomas Brovelli, Prateek Jain, Mihajlo Velimirovic, Canfer Akbulut, Jiaqi Mu, Wolfgang Macherey, Ravin Kumar, Jun Xu, Haroon Qureshi, Gheorghe Comanici, Jeremy Wiesner, Zhitao Gong, Anton Ruddock, Matthias Bauer, Nick Felt, Anirudh GP, Anurag Arnab, Dustin Zelle, Jonas Rothfuss, Bill Rosgen, Ashish Shenoy, Bryan Seybold, Xinjian Li, Jayaram Mudigonda, Goker Erdogan, Jiawei Xia, Jiri Simsa, Andrea Michi, Yi Yao, Christopher Yew, Steven Kan, Isaac Caswell, Carey Radebaugh, Andre Elisseeff, Pedro Valenzuela, Kay McKinney, Kim Paterson, Albert Cui, Eri Latorre-Chimoto, Solomon Kim, William Zeng, Ken Durden, Priya Ponnappalli, Tiberiu Sosea, Christopher A. Choquette-Choo, James Manyika, Brona Robenek, Harsha Vashisht, Sebastien Pereira, Hoi Lam, Marko Velic, Denese Owusu-Afriyie, Katherine Lee, Tolga Bolukbasi, Alicia Parrish, Shawn Lu, Jane Park, Balaji Venkatraman, Alice Talbert, Lambert Rosique, Yuchung Cheng, Andrei Sozanschi, Adam Paszke, Praveen Kumar, Jessica Austin, Lu Li, Khalid Salama, Bartek Perz, Wooyeol Kim, Nandita Dukkipati, Anthony Baryshnikov, Christos Kaplanis, XiangHai Sheng, Yuri Chervonyi, Caglar Unlu, Diego de Las Casas, Harry Askham, Kathryn Tunyasuvunakool, Felix Gimeno, Siim Poder, Chester Kwak, Matt Miecnikowski, Vahab Mirrokni, Alek Dimitriev, Aaron Parisi, Dangyi Liu, Tomy Tsai, Toby Shevlane, Christina Kouridi, Drew Garmon, Adrian Goedeckemeyer, Adam R. Brown, Anitha Vijayakumar, Ali Elqursh, Sadegh Jazayeri, Jin Huang, Sara Mc Carthy, Jay Hoover, Lucy Kim, Sandeep Kumar, Wei Chen, Courtney Biles, Garrett Bingham, Evan Rosen, Lisa Wang, Qijun Tan, David Engel, Francesco Pongetti, Dario de Cesare, Dongseong Hwang, Lily Yu, Jennifer Pullman, Srinu Narayanan, Kyle Levin, Siddharth Gopal, Megan Li, Asaf Aharoni, Trieu Trinh, Jessica Lo, Norman Casagrande, Roopali Vij, Loic Matthey, Bramandia Ramadhana, Austin Matthews, CJ Carey, Matthew Johnson, Kremen Goranova, Rohin Shah, Shereen Ashraf, Kingshuk Dasgupta, Rasmus Larsen, Yicheng Wang, Manish Reddy Vuyyuru, Chong Jiang, Joana Ijazi, Kazuki Osawa, Celine Smith, Ramya Sree Boppana, Tayan Bilal, Yuma Koizumi, Ying Xu, Yasemin Altun, Nir Shabat, Ben Bariach, Alex Korchemniy, Kiam Choo, Olaf Ronneberger, Chimezie Iwuanyanwu, Shubin Zhao, David Soergel, Cho-Jui Hsieh, Irene Cai, Shariq Iqbal, Martin Sundermeyer, Zhe Chen, Elie Bursztein, Chaitanya Malaviya, Fadi Biadsy, Prakash Shroff, Inderjit Dhillon, Tejasi Latkar, Chris Dyer, Hannah Forbes, Massimo Nicosia, Vitaly Nikolaev, Somer Greene, Marin Georgiev, Pidong Wang, Nina Martin, Hanie Sedghi, John Zhang, Praseem Banzal, Doug Fritz, Vikram Rao, Xuezhi Wang, Jiageng Zhang, Viorica Patraucean, Dayou Du, Igor Mordatch, Ivan Jurin, Lewis Liu, Ayush Dubey, Abhi

Mohan, Janek Nowakowski, Vlad-Doru Ion, Nan Wei, Reiko Tojo, Maria Abi Raad, Drew A. Hudson, Vaishakh Keshava, Shubham Agrawal, Kevin Ramirez, Zhichun Wu, Hoang Nguyen, Ji Liu, Madhavi Sewak, Bryce Petrini, DongHyun Choi, Ivan Philips, Ziyue Wang, Ioana Bica, Ankush Garg, Jarek Wilkiewicz, Priyanka Agrawal, Xiaowei Li, Danhao Guo, Emily Xue, Naseer Shaik, Andrew Leach, Sath MNM Khan, Julia Wiesinger, Sammy Jerome, Abhishek Chakladar, Alek Wenjiao Wang, Tina Ornduff, Folake Abu, Alireza Ghaffarkhah, Marcus Wainwright, Mario Cortes, Frederick Liu, Joshua Maynez, Andreas Terzis, Pouya Samangouei, Riham Mansour, Tomasz Kepa, François-Xavier Aubet, Anton Algymr, Dan Banica, Agoston Weisz, Andras Orban, Alexandre Senges, Ewa Andrejczuk, Mark Geller, Niccolo Dal Santo, Valentin Anklin, Majd Al Merey, Martin Baeuml, Trevor Strohman, Junwen Bai, Slav Petrov, Yonghui Wu, Demis Hassabis, Koray Kavukcuoglu, Jeff Dean, and Oriol Vinyals. 2024. [Gemini 1.5: Unlocking multimodal understanding across millions of tokens of context](#). *Preprint*, arXiv:2403.05530.

Harsh Trivedi, Niranjana Balasubramanian, Tushar Khot, and Ashish Sabharwal. 2023. [Interleaving retrieval with chain-of-thought reasoning for knowledge-intensive multi-step questions](#). *Preprint*, arXiv:2212.10509.

Jianguo Wang, Xiaomeng Yi, Rentong Guo, Hai Jin, Peng Xu, Shengjun Li, Xiangyu Wang, Xiangzhou Guo, Chengming Li, Xiaohai Xu, Kun Yu, Yuxing Yuan, Yinghao Zou, Jiquan Long, Yudong Cai, Zhenxiang Li, Zhifeng Zhang, Yihua Mo, Jun Gu, Ruiyi Jiang, Yi Wei, and Charles Xie. 2021. [Milvus: A purpose-built vector data management system](#). In *Proceedings of the 2021 International Conference on Management of Data, SIGMOD '21*, page 2614–2627, New York, NY, USA. Association for Computing Machinery.

Pancheng Wang, Shasha Li, Haifang Zhou, Jintao Tang, and Ting Wang. 2020. [Toc-rwg: Explore the combination of topic model and citation information for automatic related work generation](#). *IEEE Access*, 8:13043–13055.

Shunyu Yao, Jeffrey Zhao, Dian Yu, Nan Du, Izhak Shafran, Karthik Narasimhan, and Yuan Cao. 2023. [React: Synergizing reasoning and acting in language models](#). *Preprint*, arXiv:2210.03629.

## A Web-Interface

We provide access to the pipeline via a simple Web UI as shown in [Figure 3](#). The user can provide the abstract text or upload an entire PDF document. In both cases, the user can then also tweak the three key hyperparameters used during generation.




Figure 3: Citegeist Web Interface.

## B Vector Database

A core requirement of using the citegeist pipeline is to have access to the abstract embeddings of the entire arXiv corpus. Hosting a vector database with 2.6M entries locally will likely exceed the computational capacity of some users' hardware limits and not reach the performance levels of a properly hosted and indexed vector database. Therefore, we decided to make a hosted version of this database available to all users who wish to run our pipeline. Instead of installing the database locally, they can leverage gRPC calls to our hosted version, which returns matches at low latencies. This not only improves the user experience in terms of installation but also in terms of usage, as the hosted database is many times faster than the local version.

The database is available at <http://49.12.219.90:19530> and further explanations are provided in the codebase.

## C Sample Output

We took the abstract of this paper and ran our pipeline on it. The outputs can be found below.

### Related Work:

In recent years, the integration of Retrieval Augmented Generation (RAG) with Large Language Models (LLMs) has emerged as a promising approach to enhance the generation of citation-backed scientific content. This approach addresses the prevalent issue of hallucinations in LLMs by incorporating retrieval mechanisms to ensure factual

accuracy. Several studies have explored this domain, each contributing unique methodologies and insights that align with or complement our research on using dynamic RAG on the arXiv Corpus.

A significant body of work has focused on improving the reliability and efficiency of literature synthesis through retrieval-augmented systems. For instance, LitLLM, a toolkit designed to automate literature reviews, employs RAG principles to mitigate hallucinations in LLMs by integrating retrieval mechanisms (Agarwal et al., 2024). Similarly, OpenScholar leverages a vast datastore of open-access papers to synthesize citation-backed responses, emphasizing the importance of adapting to the continuous growth of scientific literature (Asai et al., 2024). Both studies share our goal of enhancing the accuracy and reliability of LLM-generated scientific content, highlighting the potential of retrieval-augmented systems in advancing scientific writing.

Another area of research has explored the integration of knowledge graphs and full-text grounding to improve citation accuracy and contextual relevance. The KG-CTG framework utilizes knowledge graphs to enhance citation text generation, aligning with our approach of embedding-based similarity matching and multi-stage filtering (Anand et al., 2024). Additionally, the use of Cited Text Spans (CTS) instead of abstracts for grounding citation generation further underscores the importance of full-text integration to avoid hallucinations, a challenge also addressed in our work (Li

et al., 2023). These studies highlight the potential of leveraging structured data and comprehensive text sources to improve the quality of generated scientific content.

The synthesis of related work sections has also been a focal point in recent research. Shah and Barzilay’s model for generating related work sections through a tree of cited papers aligns with our objectives by addressing the challenge of synthesizing information from multiple sources (Shah & Barzilay, 2021). Similarly, the Multi-XScience dataset, designed for extreme multi-document summarization, provides a robust foundation for generating citation-backed outputs, complementing our use of the arXiv Corpus (Lu et al., 2020). These contributions emphasize the importance of content planning and summarization strategies in enhancing the coherence and relevance of related work sections.

Furthermore, innovative approaches to reference synthesis and handling have been proposed to optimize retrieval and generation processes. LLM-Ref, a writing assistant tool, enhances reference handling by retrieving and generating content directly from text paragraphs, contrasting with traditional RAG systems (Fuad & Chen, 2024). SciLit, a pipeline for automating retrieval, summarization, and citation, employs a two-stage pre-fetching and re-ranking system to recommend papers efficiently, similar to our dynamic RAG approach (Gu & Hahnloser, 2023). These studies underscore the need for advanced retrieval and generation techniques to improve the accuracy and contextual relevance of scientific writing.

Finally, the meta-study by Li and Ouyang on automatic related work generation highlights the challenges and lack of standardization in methodologies and evaluations, informing our efforts to enhance the accuracy and relevance of automatically generated scientific content (Li & Ouyang, 2022). Additionally, the Retrieve-Cluster-Summarize system addresses hallucination issues by integrating document retrieval, clustering, and summarization, aligning with our emphasis on maintaining source validity while adapting to the continuous growth of the document base (Lennox et al., 2023). These insights contribute to a broader understanding of the complexities involved in generating citation-backed outputs and the potential of RAG systems in overcoming these challenges.

[We have verified the correctness of all cited sources, but have not embedded them as to avoid

*ambiguity with our actual citations*].

## D Additional Statistics & Visualizations




Figure 4: Number of monthly submissions to the arXiv site since establishment.




Figure 5: Histogram of topic distribution within arXiv (arXiv Team, 2024).