
MODELING MAXIMUM DRAWDOWN RECORDS WITH PIECEWISE DETERMINISTIC MARKOV PROCESSE IN CAPITAL MARKETS

Rolando Rubilar-Torrealba*

Lisandro Fermin †

Soledad Torres‡

April 1, 2025

ABSTRACT

We propose to model the records of the maximum Drawdown in capital markets by means a Piecewise Deterministic Markov Process (PDMP). We derive statistical results such as the mean and variance that describes the sequence of maximum Drawdown records. In addition, we developed a simulation study and techniques for estimating the parameters governing the stochastic process, using a practical example in the capital market to illustrate the procedure.

Keywords Records process · financial time series · Piecewise Deterministic Markov Models · risk management

1 Introduction

One of the financial risk management strategies is to regulate the maximum losses that can occur over a fixed time horizon or maximum drawdown. As the level of risk is dynamic, the incentive structure of managers also changes in the face of the new maximum drawdown ([Pospisil and Vecer(2010)]), leading to changes in investment decisions.

The management of financial risk by fund managers typically involves the application of a set of rules defining the behaviour of investments in the context of events that shape financial market prices (cited in references such as [Leal and de Melo Mendes(2005), Zhang(2009), Power(2004)]). Such events can be conditioned by observed losses in the capital markets.

The strategies associated with the maximum Drawdown of a financial asset as a basic element for risk management generate a direct link between the price structure of financial assets with the statistical theory of extreme values, more specifically with the theory of records ([Embrechts et al.(2013)Embrechts, Klüppelberg and Mikosch, Gomes and Guillou(2015), Landriault et al.(2021)Landriault, Li and Lkabous, Li and Zhou(2022)]), assuming that the financial information of the financial asset is public knowledge for all members of the capital market.

In this article we link Piecewise Deterministic Markov Process (PDMP) processes with record theory for the particular case of a risk management process known as maximum Drawdown, which is widely used in the financial literature, but can be easily extended to other disciplines. This research aims to carry out a statistical and mathematical analysis of the characteristics of the limit distribution of the process that defines the maximum Drawdown records, based on the PDMP methodology, and to propose methods for estimating the parameters that determine the process.

The PDMP are processes which was originally developed by [Davis(1993)] and have had an important relevance in determining phenomena in different areas of science. These processes are characterised by having a certain number of states that change randomly and by having a deterministic part that evolves in each of the states of the process.

The general characteristics of PDMP processes allow to approach areas that have not been deeply developed in the literature and to generate new research opportunities. In particular, record theory allows us to understand processes

*Departamento de Industrias, Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María, Valparaíso, Chile; rolando.rubilar@usm.cl

†CIMFAV, Instituto de Ingeniería Matemática, Universidad de Valparaíso, Chile; Modal'X UMR CNRS 9023, Université Paris Nanterre, F92000 Nanterre, France. Email: lisandro.fermin@uv.cl; ljfermin@parisnanterre.fr

‡CIMFAV, Instituto de Ingeniería Matemática, Universidad de Valparaíso, Chile; Universidad Central de Chile, 8370242 Santiago, Chile . Email: soledad.torres@uv.cl

that evolve over time and to understand the asymptotic behaviour of certain phenomena, where record is the largest (smallest) value in a sequence of values that are observed over time.

Among recent developments, we can cite [Rudnicki and Tyran-Kamińska(2015)] who uses PDMP techniques to set up an analysis framework for biological systems, cell life cycle and other biological applications. Another interesting development is by [Kouretas et al.(2006)Kouretas, Koutoumpas and Lygeros] who model biological networks for the study of antibiotic production. Similarly we can see developments in other areas of science such as physics, economics, among others (see [Lin and Buchler(2018), Schäl(1998), Schmidli(2010)]).

The results of the paper show a description of the analytical mean and variance of records obtained from the PDMP process in the context of the maximum Drawdown approach. In addition, simulation techniques and estimation of the parameters of the processes that describes the model were developed, providing important tools for potential applications in different areas of science.

The use of a variety of analytical tools allows for a comprehensive understanding of the stochastic process that governs the sequence of jumps that define the maximum drawdown. Markov chains are a fundamental tool for analysing the evolution of financial series, which have been extensively employed in economic and financial literature ([Mendoza-Arriaga and Linetsky(2016), Cui et al.(2018)Cui, Lee and Liu, Cui et al.(2019)Cui, Kirkby and Nguyen]).

This paper is organized as follows. Section 1 presents the Introduction, the Model and preliminaries is presented in the Section 2. The Characterisation of the process is show in Section 3. The Section 4 corresponds to the Simulation and estimation of the process. In Section 5 we apply the methodology developed for an applied case, and the Section 6 corresponds to the Conclusions of this research.

2 Model and preliminaries

The classical theory of records consider a time series X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n of random variables that can reach a maximum (minimum) value in a period of time. We define an upper and lower record as

$$X_n > \max \{X_0, X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}\},$$

$$X_n < \min \{X_0, X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}\}.$$

Maximum *Drawdown* in T time can be informally defined as the largest drop from a peak of the time series to the smallest value of a valley, which implies reaching a new record in that time. Following [Magdon-Ismail and Atiya(2004)], we define the maximum *Drawdown* process as a process driven by a Brownian process that indicates the maximum drop observed in a period in $[0, T]$.

Let $X(t)$, $0 \leq t \leq T$, a Brownian motion with drift given by $X(t) = \sigma W(t) + \mu t$, where $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ is the trend and $\sigma \geq 0$ is the diffusion parameter.

The mathematical definition of maximum *Drawdown* (D) is

$$D(T; \mu, \sigma) = \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left[\sup_{s \in [0, t]} X(s) - X(t) \right], \quad (1)$$

with $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$. This definition allows to characterise the maximum drop of a time series when considering a fixed value of $T > 0$.

However, we are interested in the process for each time instant over the *records* that it can reach at the maximum *Drawdown*. For this stochastic process we consider the following definition of the occurrence times of a records in the process, such that

$$T_i = \inf\{t > 0 : D(t) > D(T_{i-1}) \wedge \exists s > t, D(s) - D(t) = 0\}, \quad (2)$$

with $T_0 = 0$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}$, where T_i represents the occurrence of the i -th record and $D(\cdot)$ corresponds to the maximum drawdown random variable defined in (1), which present a new record in the time series and, therefore, $D(T_i)$ and T_i are random variables that define a stochastic process of drawdown records that must be characterised in terms of their time evolution and distribution.

The observation of the occurrence of new records allows modeling a stochastic process that alternates in different states and thus observe the long-term behaviour of the records that are achieved. In the following subsection a model is developed that allows to characterise the evolution of the records as a stochastic process.

2.1 Piecewise Deterministic Markov Process

In our model we consider a Piecewise Deterministic Markov Process (PDMP) as the main tool that allows us to characterise the stochastic process. PDMP processes were first introduced by [Davis(1993)] and which has been popularized in recent years [Azais and Bouguet(2018)], becoming a powerful tool for working with stochastic differential equations, specifically with processes that can change states. PDMP processes is defined as follows

2.1.1 Markov Process

Let $(X_t, t \geq 0)$ a Markov process with its continuous realizations on the right-hand side and with a limit on the left-hand side (*cádlág*) almost surely. Moreover, the process X has values in an open subset $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, for $d \geq 1$.

Remark 1 We use the notation $\partial\mathcal{X}$ as a frontier of \mathcal{X} and $\bar{\mathcal{X}}$ the closure of \mathcal{X} . For a Markov process X , we define the Markov semigroup as a family of operators P_t acting on bounded measurable functions f such that, for all $t > 0$

$$P_t f(x) = \mathbb{E}[f(X_t)|X_0 = x],$$

and the infinitesimal generator \mathcal{U} of X , acting on the functions f as

$$\mathcal{U}f(x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{P_t f(x) - f(x)}{t}. \quad (3)$$

The operator \mathcal{U} characterises the dynamics of the process and can be interpreted as the derivative in time of the semigroup $\partial_t P_t = \mathcal{U}$, at $t = 0$.

The PDMP process is determined by three characteristic components:

1. Deterministic part of the movement between jumps Υ .
2. λ jump rate associated with a probability distribution.
3. Transition measure or Q jump kernel.

In addition, the transition measure Q has the following characteristics:

1. For each fix $A \in \mathcal{E}$, mapping $x \rightarrow Q(A; x)$ is measurable.
2. $Q(\{x\}; x) = 0$

Let us consider $(J_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ a time-homogeneous irreducible Markov chain taking values in the state space $K = \{1, \dots, k\}$ with initial law $\pi_i = \mathbb{P}(J_0 = i)$ for all $i \in K$ and transition probability matrix $Q = (q_{ij})_{i,j \in K}$, i.e.

$$\mathbb{P}(J_{n+1} = j | J_n = i) = q_{ij}.$$

which implies that all states are connected and each state can be reached by a given state i .

We denote by $(T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ the sequence of the record occurrence random times and $(S_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ the random interval times; i.e. $S_n = T_{n+1} - T_n$.

In addition, we define $(\nu_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ by

$$\nu_t = \sum_{n \geq 0} J_n \mathbb{1}_{[T_n, T_{n+1}[}(t).$$

If $(J_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an irreducible Markov Chain, then $(\nu_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is an irreducible Markov process with the same initial law that your immersed Markov chain $(J_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Here, J_n is the state take by ν_t on $[T_n, T_{n+1}[$.

The matrix generator $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j \in K}$ of the process $(\nu_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ is given by

$$a_{ij} = \begin{cases} \lambda_i q_{ij} & \text{for } i \neq j \\ -\lambda_i(1 - q_{ii}) & \text{for } i = j \end{cases}. \quad (4)$$

The generator A is stable and conservative; i.e. $\sum_{j \in K} a_{ij} = 0$ and $a_{ij} \geq 0$ for $i \neq j$. which implies that the solutions of the system are driven by this differential operator which does not change over time and maintains stable solutions.

With these preliminaries we can define the record stochastic process $(R_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$, which take values on $[0, 1]$ and suppose that $\mathbb{P}(R_0 = r) = 1$.

2.2 Definition of record process

We consider a PDMP process that is modeled by mean of the Markov chain $(J_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. This process makes it possible to observe the expected value of maximum drawdown over time, allowing the development of applications that can be used in several areas of knowledge that require risk management in their analysis. The process considering the following assumptions:

Assumption 1

- i) Between two consecutive jump times T_n and T_{n+1} , the continuous time process (R_t) is constant and equal to $r_n = R_{T_n}$.
- ii) The record jumps size Δ_n at the time T_n , is given by $\Delta_n = \rho(1 - r_n)$, where ρ is a random variable that takes values on $[0, 1]$ with probability distribution G_i , for $J_n = i \in K$. The probability distributions $(G_i)_{i \in K}$ could be different.
- iii) The interval time S_n is a random variable with exponential distribution of parameter λ_i , for $i \in K$, where the jump rate λ_i of state i is a strictly positive constant.

Note that the records process increases by the value Δ_n at the time T_n . After that, the record process remains constant until the next jump T_{n+1} . Thus, the sample path of the stochastic process $(R_t, \nu_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ with values in $[0, 1] \times K$ starting from a fixed point (r, ν) is defined in the following way, as we illustrate in Figure 1.




Figure 1: Sample path of the PDMP process (R_t, ν_t) .

First, say that $\nu_t = J_0 = \nu$ for $t < T_1 = S_0$, where S_0 stands for the first jump time of ν_t , which has an exponential distribution of parameter λ_{J_0} , and $\nu_{T_1} = J_1$. Now, we define ρ_{J_1} as a random variable with density distribution g_{J_1} ,

and then we take $\Delta_1 = \rho_{J_1}(1 - r)$. Then the sample path (R_t) up to the first jump time T_1 is now defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} R_t &= r && \text{if } 0 \leq t < T_1, \\ R_{T_1} &= r + \Delta_1. \end{aligned}$$

The process now restarts from $r_1 = R_{T_1}$ according to the same recipe. Thus, we define S_1 a random variable with exponential distribution of parameter λ_{J_1} , so we take $T_2 = T_1 + S_1$. The Markov process (ν_t) jump to regime J_2 with rate q_{J_1, J_2} , thus $\nu_{T_2} = J_2$ and $\Delta_2 = \rho_{J_2}(1 - r_1)$ where ρ_{J_2} is a random variable with probability distribution G_{J_2} . Then, the sample path (R_t) up to the second jump time, starting from r_1 at time T_1 , is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} R_t &= r_1 && \text{if } T_1 \leq t < T_2, \\ R_{T_2} &= r_1 + \Delta_2; \end{aligned}$$

and so on. Finally, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for $k = 1, \dots, n$, we take $(J_k)_{k=0:n}$ a sample path of the Markov chain, S_k a random variable with exponential distribution of parameter λ_{J_k} , $T_{k+1} = T_k + S_k$, $\nu_{T_{k+1}} = J_{k+1}$, and we define $\Delta_{k+1} = \rho_{J_{k+1}}(1 - r_k)$ where $\rho_{J_{k+1}}$ is a random variable with probability distribution $G_{J_{k+1}}$ and $r_k = R_{T_k}$. Then, we have

$$R_t = r + \sum_{k=1}^n \Delta_k \quad \text{if } T_n \leq t < T_{n+1},$$

which can be rewritten as

$$R_t = r + \sum_{k \geq 1} \Delta_k \mathbb{1}_{(t \geq T_k)}. \quad (5)$$

Note that the record jump size Δ_k is a function of $\nu_{T_k} = J_k$ and $R_{T_{k-1}} = r_{k-1}$.

Lemma 1 *The records process $(R_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ defined in (5) with initial value $R_0 \in [0, 1[$ satisfy*

$$R_t = R_0 + (1 - R_0)R_t^0, \quad (6)$$

where the process $(R_t^0)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ given by

$$R_t^0 = \sum_{k \geq 1} \rho_{J_k} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (1 - \rho_{J_i}) \right) \mathbb{1}_{(t \geq T_k)}, \quad (7)$$

is the records process obtained when the initial condition is zero, $R_0^0 = 0$.

Proof: R_t is given by equation (5) where $\Delta_k = \rho_{J_k}(1 - r_k)$ are given by the following recursive equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_1 &= \rho_{J_1}(1 - R_0) \\ \Delta_2 &= \rho_{J_2}(1 - R_{T_1}) = \rho_{J_2}(1 - R_0 - \Delta_1) = \rho_{J_2}(1 - \rho_{J_1})(1 - R_0) \\ \Delta_3 &= \rho_{J_3}(1 - R_{T_2}) = \rho_{J_3}(1 - R_0 - \Delta_1 - \Delta_2) = \rho_{J_3}(1 - \rho_{J_2})(1 - \rho_{J_1})(1 - R_0) \\ &\vdots \\ \Delta_k &= \rho_{J_k}(1 - R_{T_{k-1}}) = \rho_{J_k}(1 - R_0 - \Delta_1 - \dots - \Delta_{k-1}) = \rho_{J_k} \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (1 - \rho_{J_i})(1 - R_0). \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$R_t = R_0 + (1 - R_0) \sum_{k \geq 1} \rho_{J_k} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (1 - \rho_{J_i}) \right) \mathbb{1}_{(t \geq T_k)}.$$

When $R_0 = 0$ we obtain the records process

$$R_t^0 = \sum_{k \geq 1} \rho_{J_k} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (1 - \rho_{J_i}) \right) \mathbb{1}_{(t \geq T_k)}.$$

Considering that R_0 and ν_0 are independents, we have that the process $(R_t, \nu_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ is a piecewise deterministic Markov process (PDMP).

We denote by \mathcal{M} the set of measurable real valued functions on $E = [0, 1] \times K$ and by \mathcal{M}_0 the set of bounded measurable real valued function on E .

From [Davis(1993)], we have that the domain $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{U})$ of the infinitesimal generator \mathcal{U} of $(\nu_t, R_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ consists of those functions $f \in \mathcal{M}$ integrable with respect to the probability measures G . For $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{U})$ the infinitesimal generator \mathcal{U} is given by

$$\mathcal{U}f(r, \nu) = \lambda_\nu \sum_{j \in K} q_{\nu j} \int_{[0,1]} (f(r + \rho(1-r), j) - f(r, \nu)) G_j(d\rho), \quad (8)$$

with $(r, \nu) \in E$.

We denote by $P : (\nu, j, r, B, t) \rightarrow P_{\nu j}(r, B, t)$ the transition probability of (R_t, ν_t) ; i.e.

$$P_{\nu j}(r, B, t) = \mathbb{P}(R_t \in B, \nu_t = j | R_0 = r, \nu_0 = \nu).$$

It is defined for all $r \in [0, 1]$, $\nu, j \in K$, $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}([0, 1])$, with $\mathcal{B}([0, 1])$ being the Borel σ -field of $[0, 1]$.

The transition probability permits to give an expression for the probability distribution of (R_t, ν_t) in the following way:

$$\mathbb{P}(R_t \in B, \nu_t = j | R_0 = r) = \sum_{\nu \in K} \pi_\nu P_{\nu j}(r, B, t). \quad (9)$$

For $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ fixed, define an operator $\mathcal{P}_t : \mathcal{M}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_0$ by the following conditional expectation given the starting point (r, ν)

$$\mathcal{P}_t f(r, \nu) = \mathbb{E}_{(r, \nu)}[f(R_t, \nu_t)] = \sum_{j \in K} \int_{[0,1]} f(r', j) P_{\nu j}(r, dr', t).$$

Here, $(\mathcal{P}_t; t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ is the semigroup associated with the infinitesimal generator \mathcal{U} ; (i.e $\mathcal{P}_{s+t} = \mathcal{P}_s \mathcal{P}_t$).

From [Davis(1993)], we recall that for t fixed, $(r, \nu) \in E$ and $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{U})$, $z(t, r, \nu) = \mathcal{P}_t f(r, \nu)$ is the unique solution of the following partial differential equation (EDP):

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial z}{\partial t}(t, r, \nu) = \mathcal{U}z(t, r, \nu), \\ z(0, r, \nu) = f(r, \nu). \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

3 Statistical properties of record process

3.1 Mean

First, to analyze the variability of the process we proceed to calculate the mean of the stochastic process. The mean of R_t given the starting point $R_0 = r$ is given by

$$m(t, r) = \sum_{\nu \in K} \pi_\nu m(t, r, \nu),$$

where $\pi = (\pi_\nu)_{\nu \in K}$ is the initial law of the Markov chain $(\nu_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ and $m(t, r, \nu) = \mathbb{E}_{(r, \nu)}[R_t]$ is the conditional expectations of R_t , given the starting point (r, ν) .

Proposition 1 *The conditional expectations $m(t, r) = \mathbb{E}_r[R_t]$ of R_t , with the starting point $R_0 = r$ is given by*

$$m(t, r) = \pi e^{Bt} r + \pi (e^{Bt} - I) B^{-1} \Lambda Q \mu, \quad (11)$$

where $m(t, r) = (m(t, r, j))_{j \in K}$, $B = \Lambda Q(I - M) - \Lambda$, $\Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_j, j \in K)$, Q the transition probability matrix of the Markov chain $(\nu_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ and π its initial distribution, $\mu = (\mu_j)_{j \in K}$ with $\mu_j = \int_{[0,1]} \rho G_j(d\rho)$, $M = \text{diag}(\mu_j, j \in K)$, and I is the $k \times k$ identity matrix.

Proof: We have that $m(t, r, \nu)$ is the unique solution of the system (10) for $f(r, \nu) = r$, then we can rewrite (10) as

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial m}{\partial t}(t, r, \nu) = \lambda_\nu \sum_{j \in K} q_{\nu j} \int_{[0,1]} (m(t, r + \rho(1-r), j) - m(t, r, \nu)) G_j(d\rho), \\ m(0, r, \nu) = r, \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

On the other hand, from Lemma 1

$$\begin{aligned}
m(t, r + \rho(1 - r), j) &= \mathbb{E}[R_t | R_0 = r + \rho(1 - r), \nu_0 = j] \\
&= \mathbb{E}[\rho + (1 - \rho)(r + (1 - r)R_t^0) | R_0 = r + \rho(1 - r), \nu_0 = j] \\
&= \mathbb{E}[r + \rho - \rho r + R_t^0 - rR_t^0 - \rho R_t^0 + \rho r R_t^0 | R_0 = r + \rho(1 - r), \nu_0 = j] \\
&= \mathbb{E}[r + (1 - r)R_t^0 - \rho(r + (1 + r)R_t^0 - 1) | R_0 = r + \rho(1 - r), \nu_0 = j] \\
&= \rho + (1 - \rho)\mathbb{E}[R_t | R_0 = r, \nu_0 = j] \\
&= \rho + (1 - \rho)m(t, r, j).
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Then, from (12) and (13) we have

$$\frac{\partial m}{\partial t}(t, r, \nu) = \lambda_\nu \sum_{j \in K} q_{\nu j} (\mu_j + (1 - \mu_j)m(t, r, j) - m(t, r, \nu)).$$

This differential equations system can be written as a system of equations in matricial terms

$$\frac{\partial \bar{m}}{\partial t}(t, r) = \Lambda Q \mu + B \bar{m}(t, r). \tag{14}$$

The solution of this differential equations system is given by

$$\bar{m}(t, r) = e^{Bt} r + (e^{Bt} - I) B^{-1} \Lambda Q \mu. \tag{15}$$

Thus, we obtain the result given in equation (11) for $m(t, r) = \pi \bar{m}(t, r)$.

3.1.1 Case of one-state

Using equation (13), we have

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial m}{\partial t}(t, r, \nu_0) = \mu_{\nu_0}(1 - m(t, r, \nu_0)), \\ m(0, r, \nu_0) = r, \end{cases} \tag{16}$$

with $\mu_{\nu_0} = \int_{[0,1]} \rho G_{\nu_0}(d\rho)$, and ν_0 corresponds to the state ν_0 which remains fixed for all time t .

Regrouping terms, we have

$$\frac{\partial m}{\partial t}(t, r, \nu_0) + \mu_{\nu_0} m(t, r, \nu_0) = \mu_{\nu_0},$$

solving the differential equation we have

$$m(t, r, \nu_0) = 1 - \frac{1 - r}{e^{\mu_{\nu_0} t}}, \tag{17}$$

providing the functional form of the process mean R_t for the case of a one-state. We can analyse the function that characterises the process, and obtain the following results

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} m(t, r, \nu_0) &= r, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} m(t, r, \nu_0) &= 1, \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

describing the dynamics of the R_t process over time.

3.1.2 Case of two-state

From (14) for the two-state case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial m}{\partial t}(t, r, j) &= a m(t, r, j) + b m(t, r, i) + e \\ \frac{\partial m}{\partial t}(t, r, i) &= c m(t, r, j) + d m(t, r, i) + f \\ m(0, r, \nu_0) &= r, \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

where the coefficients are defined as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
a &= \lambda_j q_{1,1}(1 - \mu_j) - \lambda_j \\
b &= \lambda_j q_{1,2}(1 - \mu_i) \\
c &= \lambda_i q_{2,1}(1 - \mu_j) \\
d &= \lambda_i q_{2,2}(1 - \mu_i) - \lambda_i \\
e &= \lambda_j(q_{1,1}\mu_j + q_{1,2}\mu_i) \\
f &= \lambda_i(q_{2,1}\mu_j + q_{2,2}\mu_i).
\end{aligned}$$

Here λ_j, λ_i represent the jump rate from state j and i , respectively; μ_j, μ_i represent the expected value of the jump in state j and i , respectively and $q_{1,1}, q_{1,2}, q_{2,1}, q_{2,2}$ the components of the transition matrix Q for two states.

For solving numerically the system of differential equations (19), the Runge-Kutta method [Soetaert et al.(2010)Soetaert, Petzoldt and Setzer, Zheng and Zhang(2017)] can be used. An example is given in Table 1, where X represents the random variable for the time between jump events subject to states i and j and ρ corresponds to the random variable for the size of the jump.

	Distribution	
	$\nu_t = i$	$\nu_t = j$
$X \sim$	<i>Exponential</i> (2)	<i>Exponential</i> (1)
$\mathbb{E}[X] =$	0.5	1.0
$\rho \sim$	<i>Beta</i> (2, 20)	<i>Beta</i> (2, 30)
$\mathbb{E}[\rho] =$	0.012	0.015

Table 1: Characteristics of the stochastic elements of the process R_t

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} q_{1,1} & q_{1,2} \\ q_{2,1} & q_{2,2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.6 & 0.4 \\ 0.5 & 0.5 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (20)$$

In this case, the representation of the evolution of the mean for each state (ν) by the Runge-Kutta method is described as

$$\begin{cases} m(t + \Delta, r, \nu) = m(t, r, \nu) + \frac{1}{6}(K_1 + 2K_2 + 2K_3 + K_4), \\ K_1 = \Delta f(t, m(t, r, \nu)), \\ K_2 = \Delta f(t + \frac{1}{2}\Delta, m(t, r, \nu) + \frac{1}{2}K_1), \\ K_3 = \Delta f(t + \frac{1}{2}\Delta, m(t, r, \nu) + \frac{1}{2}K_2), \\ K_4 = \Delta f(t + \Delta, m(t, r, \nu) + K_3), \end{cases} \quad (21)$$

The following figure 2, shows the evolution of the expected value of the process R_t , as a result of the numerically solution of the system of equations defined in (19) for the two-state case with initial value $r = 0$. It is observed that the mean values increase rapidly at the beginning and then decrease with time, approaching the limit 1. The figure is like the learning curve, which describes a situation in which the task may be easy to learn and the learning progression is initially rapid and fast.

The curve levels off after a certain instant t . In the graph it can be noticed that this instant $t \sim 30$ could be described as a plateau.

3.2 Variance estimation

In this section, the variability of the record process R_t is studied, starting from the computation of the second moment given the initial point $R_0^2 = r^2$

$$m_2(t, r) = \sum_{\nu \in K} \pi_\nu m_2(t, r, \nu),$$

where $\pi = (\pi_\nu)_{\nu \in K}$ is the initial law of the Markov chain $(\nu_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ and $m_2(t, r, \nu) = E_{r, \nu}[R_t^2]$ is the conditional expectations of R_t , given the initial point (r^2, ν) .




Figure 2: Evolution of the expected value of R_t process.

Proposition 2 The variance of records process $(R_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ defined in (5) with initial value $R_0^2 = r^2$ is,

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Var}(R_t) &= \mathbb{E}_{r,\nu} [R_t^2] - \mathbb{E}_{r,\nu}^2 [R_t] \\
&= \pi e^{Ht} r^2 + \pi \int_0^t e^{-H(t-s)} \Lambda Q \mu_2 ds + \pi \int_0^t e^{H(t-s)} K \bar{m}(s, r) ds \\
&\quad - (\pi e^{Bt} r + \pi (e^{Bt} - I) B^{-1} \Lambda Q \mu)^2
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

where $B = \Lambda Q(I - M) - \Lambda$, $\Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_j, j \in K)$, Q the transition probability matrix of the Markov chain $(\nu_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$, $H = [\lambda Q(I - 2M + M_2 - \Lambda)]$, $K = 2\Lambda Q(M - M_2)$, $\mu = (\mu_j)_{j \in K}$ with $\mu_j = \int_{[0,1]} \rho G_j(d\rho)$, $\mu_2 = (\mu_{2,j})_{j \in K}$ with $\mu_{2,j} = \int_{[0,1]} \rho^2 G_j(d\rho)$.

Proof: Let $f(r, \nu) = r^2$, $m_2(t, r, \nu) = \mathbb{E}_{r,\nu}[R_t^2]$. The unique solution of the system (10) that allow to define the behaviour of the second moment in the stochastic process R_t , considering a finite number of of states is given by

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial m_2}{\partial t}(t, r, \nu) = \lambda_\nu \sum_{j \in K} q_{\nu j} \int_{[0,1]} (m_2(t, r + \rho(1-r), j) - m_2(t, r, \nu)) G_j(d\rho), \\ m_2(0, r, \nu) = r^2, \end{cases} \tag{23}$$

From Lemma 1, we can write the second moment as

$$\begin{aligned}
m_2(t, r_1 + \rho(1-r), j) &= \mathbb{E}[R_t^2 | R_0 = r + \rho(1-r), \nu_0 = j] \\
&= \rho^2 + 2\rho(1-\rho)m(t, r, j) + m_2(t, r, j)(1-\rho)^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Let $\mu_j = \int_{[0,1]} \rho G_j(d\rho)$, $\mu_{2,j} = \int_{[0,1]} \rho^2 G_j(d\rho)$. Combining (23) y (24), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial m_2}{\partial t}(t, r, \nu) &= \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_\nu q_{\nu,j} (\mu_{2,j} + 2(\mu_j - \mu_{2,j})m(t, r, j) \\
&\quad + (1 - 2\mu_j + \mu_{2,j})m_2(t, r, j) - m_2(t, r, \nu)),
\end{aligned}$$

which in matrix form is written as

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \bar{m}_2}{\partial t}(t, r) &= \Lambda Q \mu_2 + 2\Lambda Q(M - M_2) \bar{m}(t, r) \\
&\quad + \Lambda Q(I - 2M + M_2) \bar{m}_2(t, r) - \Lambda \bar{m}_2(t, r).
\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Let $H = [\lambda Q(I - 2M + M_2 - \Lambda)]$ y $K = 2\Lambda Q(M - M_2)$. Regrouping terms from (25), we get the following differential equation

$$\frac{\partial \bar{m}_2}{\partial t}(t, r) - H \bar{m}_2(t, r) = \Lambda Q \mu_2 K \bar{m}(t, r), \tag{26}$$

which has as a solution

$$\bar{m}_2(t, r) = e^{Ht} r^2 + \int_0^t e^{-H(t-s)} \Lambda Q \mu_2 ds + \int_0^t e^{H(t-s)} K \bar{m}(s, r) ds. \tag{27}$$

Finally, from (11) and (27), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(R_t) &= e^{Ht} r^2 + \int_0^t e^{-H(t-s)} \Lambda Q \mu_2 ds + \int_0^t e^{H(t-s)} K \bar{m}(s, r) ds \\ &\quad - \left(e^{Bt} r + (e^{Bt} - I) B^{-1} \Lambda Q \mu \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

3.2.1 Case of one-state

In this section the case of a steady state such that $\nu = \nu_0$ is presented, which shows the intuition of the results obtained for the n -state case. From equation (23) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \bar{m}_2}{\partial t}(t, r, \nu_0) &= \lambda \mu_2 + 2\lambda(\mu - \mu_2)m(t, r, \nu_0) + \lambda(\mu_2 - 2\mu)m_2(t, r, \nu_0) \\ m_2(0, r, \nu_0) &= r^2, \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

which is equivalent to

$$\frac{\partial m_2}{\partial t}(t, r, \nu_0) + c m_2(t, r, \nu_0) = a + b m(t, r, \nu_0), \quad (29)$$

with $a = \lambda \mu_2$, $b = 2\lambda(\mu - \mu_2)$ and $c = \lambda(2\mu - \mu_2)$. Combining equations (17) and (29), we have

$$m_2(t, r, \nu_0) = \frac{a+b}{c} + \frac{r-1}{(c-\mu)e^{ct}} + \left(r^2 - \frac{a+b}{c} - \frac{r-1}{(c-\mu)} \right) \frac{1}{e^{ct}}. \quad (30)$$

Therefore, the variance of the process R_t is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(R_t) &= \\ &\quad \frac{a+b}{c} + \frac{r-1}{(c-\mu)e^{ct}} + \left(r^2 - \frac{a+b}{c} - \frac{r-1}{(c-\mu)} \right) \frac{1}{e^{ct}} - \left(1 - \frac{1-r}{e^{\mu\nu_0 t}} \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{r-1}{(c-\mu)e^{ct}} + \left(r^2 - 1 - \frac{r-1}{(c-\mu)} \right) \frac{1}{e^{ct}} + 2 \frac{1-r}{e^{\mu\nu_0 t}} - \left(\frac{1-r}{e^{\mu\nu_0 t}} \right)^2 \\ &\leq \frac{2(1-r)}{e^{\mu\nu_0 t}}, \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

providing the functional form of the variance of process R_t for the case of one-state. Analysing the function that characterises the second moment of the process, and obtain the following results

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} m_2(t, r, \nu_0) &= r^2, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} m_2(t, r, \nu_0) &= 1, \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

describing the dynamics of the second moment of process over time, with a variance of

$$\text{Var}(R_t) \leq 2(1-r)e^{-\mu\nu_0 t}, \quad (33)$$

3.2.2 Case of two-state

In this section the variance process of R_t for the two-state case is computed, which can be extended to n different states. From (25) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial m_2}{\partial t}(t, r, j) &= a m_2(t, r, j) + b m_2(t, r, i) + c m_2(t, r, j) + d + e m(t, r, j) + f m(t, r, i) \\ \frac{\partial m_2}{\partial t}(t, r, i) &= g m_2(t, r, j) + h m_2(t, r, i) + k m_2(t, r, i) + l + n m(t, r, j) + p m(t, r, i) \\ m_2(0, r, \nu_0) &= r, \end{aligned}$$

where the coefficients are defined as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
a &= \lambda_j q_{1,1}(1 - 2\mu_j + \mu_{2,j}) \\
b &= \lambda_j q_{1,2}(1 - 2\mu_i + \mu_{2,i}) \\
c &= \lambda_j \\
d &= \lambda_j(q_{1,1}\mu_{2,j} + q_{1,2}\mu_{2,i}) \\
e &= 2\lambda_j q_{1,1}(\mu_j - \mu_{2,j}) \\
f &= 2\lambda_j q_{1,2}(\mu_i - \mu_{2,i}) \\
g &= \lambda_i q_{2,1}(1 - 2\mu_j + \mu_{2,j}) \\
h &= \lambda_i q_{2,2}(1 - 2\mu_i + \mu_{2,i}) \\
k &= \lambda_i \\
l &= \lambda_i(q_{2,1}\mu_{2,j} + q_{2,2}\mu_{2,i}) \\
n &= 2\lambda_i q_{2,1}(\mu_j - \mu_{2,j}) \\
p &= 2\lambda_i q_{2,2}(\mu_i - \mu_{2,i}).
\end{aligned}$$

Here, λ_j, λ_i represent the jump rate from state j and i , respectively; μ_j, μ_i the expected value of the jump in state j and i , respectively; $q_{1,1}, q_{1,2}, q_{2,1}, q_{2,2}$ the components of the transition matrix Q for two states. In this case, the system of differential equations can be solved by Runge-Kutta method [Soetaert et al.(2010)Soetaert, Petzoldt and Setzer], using parameters defined in the Table 1. In Figure 3 we plot the evolution of the variance of the process R_t , with initial value $r^2 = 0$, and demonstrate convergence to zero. As illustrated in the graphics, the maximum variance is obtained around the time $t = 5$, which decays rapidly, approaching its asymptotic value equal to zero at period $t = 50$.




Figure 3: Evolution of variance of R_t process.

Remark 2 From Chebyshev inequality ([Saw et al.(1984)Saw, Yang and Mo])

$$\mathbb{P}(|R_t - m(t, r)| \geq t^{-\alpha}) \leq t^{2\alpha} \text{Var}(R_t), \quad (34)$$

for all $\alpha > 0$. As t tends to infinity, equations (17), (31), and (33) give

$$\mathbb{P}(|R_t - 1| \geq t^{-\alpha}) \leq 2(1 - r)e^{-\mu\nu_0 t} t^{2\alpha} = 0, \quad (35)$$

and therefore, the process R_t converge in probability.

4 Simulation and estimation in the record process

In this section we proceed to perform a series of simulations in order to characterise the record phenomenon from an empirical perspective. Secondly, we present the estimation method of the process R_t for different states of the time series. This study allow us to have a concrete tool to generate applications of the stochastic R_t process.

4.1 Simulation of record process

For simulate the process R_t we will use the approximation made by Thomas [Thomas(2019)] for PDMP processes. We will use the theoretical development developed in previous section for two-state case to check the simulation against the analytical results. Through this application, we present a methodology that can be used in various areas of science, such as economy (see [Chang(2009), Liu and Mumtaz(2011)]), pharmacology (see [Fermín and Lévy-Véhel(2020)]), finance (see, [Liu et al.(2012)Liu, Margaritis and Wang]).

First, we must characterise the changes of state of the process R_t for each of the jumps. Each jump of the process is characterised by a time occurrence and a jump size. Both characteristics are modelled independently by defining a transition matrix Q .

The algorithm is described below for the case when the process R_t has two-state, and the times between jumps has an exponential distribution with parameters λ_i and λ_j . When a new record is reached, the size of the jump has a Beta distribution depending on whether they belong to the ν_i or ν_j state, respectively. The parameters of the corresponding Beta distributions are (α_i, α_j) and (β_i, β_j) . The extension to n-states is natural by adding sections referring to the states.

Algorithm 1 Simulation of the R_t process for two states

Require: Set the initial values of the process $R_0 = r$ and the initial state ν_0 . Define the probabilities of the states of the transition matrix Q . Additionally it sets the time counter k and initial time t to zero.

```

while  $t \leq T$  do
  if  $\nu_t = i$  then
    Simulate  $X \sim Exponential(\lambda_i)$ 
    Simulate  $Y \sim Beta(\alpha_i, \beta_i)$ 
    Simulate  $u \sim Uniform(0, 1)$ 
    if  $Q(1, 1) > u$  then
       $\nu_t = i$ 
    else
       $\nu_t = j$ 
    end if
     $t = t + X$ 
     $R_t = R_{t-k} + Y(1 - R_{t-k})$ 
     $k = X$ 
  else
    Simulate  $X \sim Exponential(\lambda_j)$ 
    Simulate  $Y \sim Beta(\alpha_j, \beta_j)$ 
    Simulate  $u \sim Uniform(0, 1)$ 
    if  $Q(2, 1) > u$  then
       $\nu_t = i$ 
    else
       $\nu_t = j$ 
    end if
     $t = t + X$ 
     $R_t = R_{t-k} + Y(1 - R_{t-k})$ 
     $k = X$ 
  end if
end while



```

In the algorithm we can identify two cases that we can associate to each of the two states of the process. For both, we simulate the times between jumps and the size of the jump. In addition, a uniform random variable is simulated that allows us to simulate the permanence or exit of the current state in which the process R_t is.

For example, we assume a two-state matrix Q with the coefficients defined in (3.1.2). We further assume the distributions of the times between jumps and the distributions of the jumps expressed in Table 1.

Figure 4a shows a set of sample paths simulations to illustrate the behaviour of the process over time, considering the characteristics of the states presented above.

Similarly, figure 4b shows the 10,000 sample path simulation, where the dotted line corresponds to the 5th and 95th percentiles, respectively. Through this procedure we can estimate the expected value and variance of the R_t process by means of a simulation analysis.

(a) Sample path of R_t process(b) Sample path of R_t process, 10,000 simulationsFigure 4: Sample path of R_t process for a sample of 10 simulate paths and a large sample of 10,000 simulate paths.

We can observe that, for this parameterisation, after period 10 approximately 90% of the paths exceed the value of 0.5, after period 20 approximately 95% of them exceed the value of 0.75, and after period 40 approximately 95% of the paths have a difference less than 0.02 at the limit 1.


On the other hand, the greatest variability of the trajectories can be observed between period 5 and 10, observing the convergence of the trajectories as time grows as shown in figure 4b.

To verify the efficiency of the simulation process, a dot-dashed line corresponding to the analytical mean calculated for those process parameters R_t is placed in the figure. We can see that the computational approximation of the process is very similar to the one obtained through the analytical solution.

This approach makes it possible to characterise the evolution of the main statistics of the R_t process numerically, providing a quick and simple tool for understanding the evolution of the phenomenon.

Figure 5 shows the evolution of the variance of the R_t process, observing a convergence to zero that follows an exponential behaviour, as described in the previous sections.

Similar to Figure 4b, the analytical solution of the variance by means of a dot-dashed line has been used to show the efficiency of the computational process. Like the mean, the computationally estimated variance is similar to the analytically estimated variance.

Figure 5: Estimated variance of R_t process, 10,000 simulations

4.2 Estimation of the parameters of PDMP record process

Several studies have developed estimation techniques for PDMP processes. Among which we can mention [Chiquet et al.(2009)Chiquet, Limnios and Eid], where the authors investigate some methods to estimate the parameters of the dynamical system, involving maximum likelihood estimation for the infinitesimal generator of the underlying jump Markov process. In this article we present an estimation based on the maximum likelihood principles and distributions on jumps size of the R_t process. Algorithm 2 shows the way to estimate the parameters of a PDMP - R_t process. Based on a specific state, the algorithm estimates the time distribution between jumps and the jump size distribution. We define the function $Par_{exp}(\cdot)$ that allows us to calculate the parameters of the exponential distribution of the vector x_i, x_j , respectively. Finally, $Par_{beta}(\cdot)$ allows us to calculate the parameters of the Beta distribution of the vector y_i , and y_j , respectively.

We define the function $Like(\cdot)$ that allows us to calculate the maximum likelihood, considering the parameters of (x_i, y_i) , and of (x_j, y_j) jointly, obtaining the vector $Likelilelihood_i$, and $Likelilelihood_j$. Based on the above calculations. At the end, we define dif which will be a criterion for the convergence of the algorithm.

Algorithm 2 Estimation of the parameters in R_t process for two states

Require: Set the initial values of the labels of states of process R_t and the initial state ν_0 . Define a guess of the probabilities of the states of the transition matrix Q and initial value $Likelihood_0$. Set a δ value for measure de convergence of algorithm and a value inicial $dif > \delta$ to start the iterative process. Additionally it sets the time counter k and initial time t to zero and $s = 1, g = 1, r = 1$.

```

while  $dif \geq \delta$  do
  while  $t \leq T$  do
    if  $E_r = i$  then
       $x_{i,s} = x_r$ 
       $y_{i,s} = y_r$ 
       $s = s + 1$ 
    else
       $x_{j,g} = x_r$ 
       $y_{j,g} = y_r$ 
       $g = g + 1$ 
    end if
     $t = t + x_r$ 
     $r = r + 1$ 
  end while
   $Likelihood_i = Like(Par_{exp}(x_i), Par_{beta}(y_i), x, y)$ 
   $Likelihood_j = Like(Par_{exp}(x_j), Par_{beta}(y_j), x, y)$ 
   $dif = Likelihood_0 - (\sum(Likelihood_i) + \sum(Likelihood_j))$ 
   $Likelihood_0 = \sum(Likelihood_i) + \sum(Likelihood_j)$ 
end while

```

Once the distributions have been estimated and the labels of the specific state to which it belongs have been assigned, it is necessary to estimate the transition matrix Q . This estimation of the transition matrix will input a new estimation process of Algorithm 2, repeating the process until it converges. The following Algorithm 3 allows to generate the

classification of the state labels. The first cycle generates the new classification of the labels, given the maximum likelihood calculations defined in Algorithm 2. The second cycle allows the estimation of the state matrix Q . Consider that the algorithm can be repeated until there are no variations of the state matrices and the calculated likelihoods.

Algorithm 3 Estimation of the states in the PDMP- R_t process with two states

Require: Set the initial values of the labels of states of process R_t and the initial state ν_0 . Define a guess of the probabilities of the states of the transition matrix Q and initial value $Likelihood_0$. Set a δ value for measure of convergence of algorithm and a value inicial $diff > \delta$ to start the iterative process. Additionally it sets the time counter k and initial time t to zero and $s = 1, g = 1, r = 1$.

```

for  $r = 1 : R$  do
  if  $Likelihood_{i,r} > Likelihood_{j,r}$  then
     $E_r = i$ 
  else
     $E_r = j$ 
  end if
end for
for  $r = 1 : R$  do
  if  $E_r = i$  then
     $C_i = C_i + 1$ 
    if  $E_{r+1} = i$  then
       $C_{i,i} = C_{i,i} + 1$ 
    end if
  else
     $C_j = C_j + 1$ 
    if  $E_{r+1} = j$  then
       $C_{j,j} = C_{j,j} + 1$ 
    end if
  end if
end for
 $Q_{i,i} = C_{i,i} / C_i$ 
 $Q_{j,j} = C_{j,j} / C_j$ 
 $Q_{i,j} = 1 - Q_{i,i}$ 
 $Q_{j,i} = 1 - Q_{j,j}$ 

```

5 Financial applications

Figure 6 shows the evolution of the S&P500 index which represents the 500 most traded companies on the New York Stock Exchange from 1 January 1950 to 31 December 2019, public data extracted from <https://finance.yahoo.com/>. The S&P500 is used as a proxy indicator for economic performance, which assumes that there is full transparency in the investment positions of each economic agent.




Figure 6: S&P500 index and Maximum Drawdown.

When analysing the figure 6, we can observe periods of time in which the observation of a new record is more recurrent, while in other periods the frequency of new records is lower. The red circles highlight the moment at which a new record is set. The lower time series shows the value of the record for a period t , and the size of the jump corresponds to the difference of the record with the immediately preceding period. This suggests the existence of at least 2 different states of nature to observe a new record in this time series.

Using the Algorithms 2 and 3 on the data obtained from the maximum drawdown process for the S&P500 time series showing the evolution of records, we have the following results: which represent the parameter values of the estimated



Distribution		
	$\nu_t = i$	$\nu_t = j$
$X \sim$	<i>Exponential</i> (0.47)	<i>Exponential</i> (5.4×10^{-4})
$\mathbb{E}[X] =$	2.095	1819
$\rho \sim$	<i>Beta</i> (1.83, 145.90)	<i>Beta</i> (0.77, 47.86)
$\mathbb{E}[\rho] =$	0.012	0.015

Table 2: Parameter Inference of the S&P500 $-R_t$ process.

distributions for each of the two states present in the R_t process. In addition, by means of Algorithm xxx we can estimate the transition matrix Q . These results allow us to estimate the long-term behaviour of the time series shown in Figure 7 and 8.

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} q_{1,1} & q_{1,2} \\ q_{2,1} & q_{2,2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.883 & 0.117 \\ 0.750 & 0.250 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (36)$$

With all the parameters obtained according to Algorithms 2 and 3 we can numerically calculate the mean and the variance of the estimated processes which we will call \hat{R}_r . In figures 7 and 8 we show the estimation of the mean and variance of the S&P500 $-R_t$ process.

Figure 7: Sample path of \hat{R}_t process, 10,000 simulationsFigure 8: Variance of \hat{R}_t process, 10,000 simulations

6 Conclusions

The maximum drawdown is a widely employed risk management strategy within the domain of capital markets, whereby the maximum observed decline over a specified time interval can be identified. This research establishes a link between a piecewise deterministic Markov process and record theory, specifically in relation to the maximum drawdown.

This research presents a statistical analysis of a record process utilising a maximum drawdown approach. The characteristics that drive the maximum drawdown process, such as the mean and variance, were defined, thereby providing analytical tools for defining the characteristics of the limit process, in which a finite number of states are considered. This latter process has numerous applications in the context of financial risk management.

In addition, computational estimation techniques were developed, allowing the estimation of the parameters governing a given time series, such as hop occurrence times, process hop sizes, and the state matrix, which makes it possible to simulate processes and build useful applications.

The expected future work includes the application of a generalisation of this work, considering Mittag-Leffler type distributions as the distribution of the time between jumps, which requires modifying the differential operators. In addition to considering modifications to the process, such as the possibility of the jump range being greater than one, which has other theoretical and practical implications.

Acknowledgments

Soledad Torres was partially supported by Fondecyt project number 1230807 and Matham- sud SMILE AM-SUD230032, Proyecto ECOS210037 (C21E07), Mathamsud AMSUD210023 and Fondecyt Regular No. 1221373.

Lisandro Fermín was partially supported by by Fondecyt project number 1230807, MATH-AMSUD 23-MATH-12, MathAmSud Tomcat 22-math-10, and the project Labex MME-DII-2024-2-000000018 (ANR11-LBX-0023-01).

References

- [Azais and Bouguet(2018)] Azais, R., Bouguet, F., 2018. *Statistical Inference for Piecewise-deterministic Markov Processes*. John Wiley & Sons.
- [Chang(2009)] Chang, K.L., 2009. Do macroeconomic variables have regime-dependent effects on stock return dynamics? evidence from the markov regime switching model. *Economic Modelling* 26, 1283–1299.
- [Chiquet et al.(2009)Chiquet, Limnios and Eid] Chiquet, J., Limnios, N., Eid, M., 2009. Piecewise deterministic markov processes applied to fatigue crack growth modelling. *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference* 139, 1657–1667.
- [Cui et al.(2019)Cui, Kirkby and Nguyen] Cui, Z., Kirkby, J.L., Nguyen, D., 2019. A general framework for time-changed markov processes and applications. *European Journal of Operational Research* 273, 785–800.
- [Cui et al.(2018)Cui, Lee and Liu] Cui, Z., Lee, C., Liu, Y., 2018. Single-transform formulas for pricing asian options in a general approximation framework under markov processes. *European Journal of Operational Research* 266, 1134–1139.
- [Davis(1993)] Davis, M., 1993. *Markov Models & Optimization*. volume 49. CRC Press.
- [Embrechts et al.(2013)Embrechts, Klüppelberg and Mikosch] Embrechts, P., Klüppelberg, C., Mikosch, T., 2013. *Modelling extremal events: for insurance and finance*. volume 33. Springer Science & Business Media.
- [Fermín and Lévy-Véhel(2020)] Fermín, L.J., Lévy-Véhel, J., 2020. Variability and singularity arising from a piecewise-deterministic markov process applied to model poor patient compliance in the multi-iv case. *Journal of Applied Statistics* 47, 2525–2545. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1080/02664763.2019.1711030>, doi:10.1080/02664763.2019.1711030, arXiv:<https://doi.org/10.1080/02664763.2019.1711030>.
- [Gomes and Guillou(2015)] Gomes, M.I., Guillou, A., 2015. Extreme value theory and statistics of univariate extremes: a review. *International statistical review* 83, 263–292.
- [Kouretas et al.(2006)Kouretas, Koutoumpas and Lygeros] Kouretas, P., Koutoumpas, K., Lygeros, J., 2006. Parameter identification for piecewise deterministic markov processes: A case study on a biochemical network. *IFAC Proceedings Volumes* 39, 172–178.
- [Landriault et al.(2021)Landriault, Li and Lkabous] Landriault, D., Li, B., Lkabous, M.A., 2021. On the analysis of deep drawdowns for the lévy insurance risk model. *Insurance: Mathematics and Economics* 100, 147–155.
- [Leal and de Melo Mendes(2005)] Leal, R.P.C., de Melo Mendes, B.V., 2005. Maximum drawdown: Models and applications. *The Journal of Alternative Investments* 7, 83–91.
- [Li and Zhou(2022)] Li, S., Zhou, X., 2022. The parisian and ultimate drawdowns of lévy insurance models. *Insurance: Mathematics and Economics* 107, 140–160.
- [Lin and Buchler(2018)] Lin, Y.T., Buchler, N.E., 2018. Efficient analysis of stochastic gene dynamics in the non-adiabatic regime using piecewise deterministic markov processes. *Journal of The Royal Society Interface* 15, 20170804.
- [Liu and Mumtaz(2011)] Liu, P., Mumtaz, H., 2011. Evolving macroeconomic dynamics in a small open economy: An estimated markov switching dsge model for the uk. *Journal of Money, Credit and Banking* 43, 1443–1474.
- [Liu et al.(2012)Liu, Margaritis and Wang] Liu, X., Margaritis, D., Wang, P., 2012. Stock market volatility and equity returns: Evidence from a two-state markov-switching model with regressors. *Journal of Empirical Finance* 19, 483–496.
- [Magdon-Ismail and Atiya(2004)] Magdon-Ismail, M., Atiya, A.F., 2004. Maximum drawdown. *Risk Magazine* 17, 99–102.
- [Mendoza-Arriaga and Linetsky(2016)] Mendoza-Arriaga, R., Linetsky, V., 2016. Multivariate subordination of markov processes with financial applications. *Mathematical Finance* 26, 699–747.
- [Pospisil and Vecer(2010)] Pospisil, L., Vecer, J., 2010. Portfolio sensitivity to changes in the maximum and the maximum drawdown. *Quantitative Finance* 10, 617–627.
- [Power(2004)] Power, M., 2004. The risk management of everything. *The Journal of Risk Finance* .
- [Rudnicki and Tyran-Kamińska(2015)] Rudnicki, R., Tyran-Kamińska, M., 2015. Piecewise deterministic markov processes in biological models, in: *Semigroups of operators-theory and applications*. Springer, pp. 235–255.
- [Saw et al.(1984)Saw, Yang and Mo] Saw, J.G., Yang, M.C., Mo, T.C., 1984. Chebyshev inequality with estimated mean and variance. *The American Statistician* 38, 130–132.

- [Schäl(1998)] Schäl, M., 1998. On piecewise deterministic markov control processes: control of jumps and of risk processes in insurance. *Insurance: Mathematics and Economics* 22, 75–91.
- [Schmidli(2010)] Schmidli, H., 2010. Conditional law of risk processes given that ruin occurs. *Insurance: Mathematics and Economics* 46, 281–289.
- [Soetaert et al.(2010)Soetaert, Petzoldt and Setzer] Soetaert, K., Petzoldt, T., Setzer, R.W., 2010. Solving differential equations in r: package desolve. *Journal of statistical software* 33, 1–25.
- [Thomas(2019)] Thomas, N., 2019. Stochastic numerical methods for Piecewise Deterministic Markov Processes: applications in Neuroscience. Ph.D. thesis. Sorbonne université.
- [Zhang(2009)] Zhang, H., 2009. Effect of derivative accounting rules on corporate risk-management behavior. *Journal of accounting and economics* 47, 244–264.
- [Zheng and Zhang(2017)] Zheng, L., Zhang, X., 2017. Modeling and analysis of modern fluid problems. Academic Press.