

Least-Squares Khatri-Rao Factorization of a Polynomial Matrix

Faizan A. Khattak*, Fazal-E-Asim†, Stephan Weiss*, and André L.F. de Almeida†

*Department of Electronic & Electrical Engineering, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow G1 1XW, Scotland

†Department of Teleinformatics Engineering, Federal University of Ceará, Fortaleza, Brazil
{faizan.khattak,stephan.weiss}@strath.ac.uk, {fazal asim,andre}@gtel.ufc.br

Abstract—The Khatri-Rao product is extensively used in array processing, tensor decomposition, and multi-way data analysis. Many applications require a least-squares (LS) Khatri-Rao factorization. In broadband sensor array problems, polynomial matrices effectively model frequency-dependent behaviors, necessitating extensions of conventional linear algebra techniques. This paper generalizes LS Khatri-Rao factorization from ordinary to polynomial matrices by applying it to the discrete Fourier transform (DFT) samples of polynomial matrices. Phase coherence across bin-wise Khatri-Rao factors is ensured via a phase-smoothing algorithm. The proposed method is validated through broadband angle-of-arrival (AoA) estimation for uniform planar arrays (UPAs), where the steering matrix is a polynomial matrix, which can be represented as a Khatri-Rao product between steering matrix in azimuth and elevation directions.

I. INTRODUCTION

The estimation of the angle of arrival (AoA) in narrowband sensor arrays can be effectively addressed using conventional matrix algebra, especially the eigenvalue decomposition (EVD) [1]. Among the numerous harmonic retrieval algorithms, the spectral MULTiple Signal Classification (MUSIC) algorithm is a widely employed EVD-based technique [2, 3]. To circumvent the need for a grid-based search, its root-MUSIC variant [4] estimates the roots of a polynomial corresponding to the AoA of the sources impinging on the receiver antenna array.

The root-MUSIC method is particularly suitable for uniform linear arrays (ULAs), where the Vandermonde structure of the steering vector can be readily reformulated as a polynomial. In contrast for uniform planar arrays (UPAs), where the estimation of both elevation and azimuth angles is required, applying root-MUSIC directly is infeasible. The steering matrix for UPAs can be modelled as the Khatri-Rao (KR) product of two steering matrices, i.e, horizontal and vertical steering matrices [5, 6]. Consequently, a least squares (LS) Khatri-Rao factorization [7, 8] can be employed to separate the steering matrices, enabling the application of MUSIC or root-MUSIC independently for elevation and azimuth spatial angles without any need to pair angles as required in methods like [9]. The Khatri-Rao factorization has also been utilized in various other signal processing applications, such as in channel and joint channel/symbol estimation for multi-antenna systems [8, 10–12], array processing [13], as well as in individual channel estimation for reconfigurable surfaces [14].

For broadband sensor arrays, the information on the AoA is embedded in the delay with which signals arrive at the

different sensor elements. Therefore, lag information must be explicitly preserved, and quantities such as the space-time covariance matrix of the array are now functions in this lag parameter, and turn into polynomial matrices when z -transformed [15]. Decompositions such as the polynomial EVD (PEVD) [16–19] can lead to generalisations of narrowband techniques to the broadband case. For ULAs, the MUSIC algorithm has been generalized to polynomial MUSIC (PMUSIC) [20], capable of performing both spatial and spectral estimation. Analogous to the narrowband case, the PMUSIC approach have been modified to polynomial root-MUSIC (PRMUSIC) [21], significantly lowering the computational complexity associated with PMUSIC by reducing the number expensive convolutions that need to be evaluated.

In this paper, we extend the Khatri-Rao factorisation from the narrowband case to that of broadband multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) communication systems, and in particular to polynomial matrices which adequately models broadband MIMO systems. The proposed approach is DFT domain method where by SVD are computed within the DFT bins and phase smoothing is applied to determine the analytic SVD of a matrix reshaped out from columns of a given polynomial matrix. The DFT size is iteratively increased until convergence metric is satisfied. As an example, the proposed algorithm is applied to an estimated broadband sensor array steering matrix of a UPA for broadband angle of arrival estimation. While this paper does not focus on quantitatively comparing the computational cost reductions between PMUSIC and PRMUSIC with a LS Khatri-Rao factorisation for UPAs, our primary contribution lies in presenting the formulation and implementation of a LS Khatri-Rao factorisation for polynomial matrices.

The structure of this paper is as follows: Sec. II reviews an LS approximation of an ordinary matrix by a Khatri-Rao product. In Sec. III, this is extended to the case of polynomial matrices based on an analytic singular value decomposition with its algorithmic implementation outlined in Sec. IV. A worked example is presented in Sec. V and for an AoA estimation problem for a broadband UPA in Sec. VI. Conclusions are drawn in Sec. VII.

II. BEST LEAST-SQUARES APPROXIMATION OF A MATRIX BY A KHATRI-RAO PRODUCT

Consider a matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{MN \times P}$ which can be approximated as a Khatri-Rao product of two matrices $\mathbf{G} =$

$[\mathbf{g}_1, \dots, \mathbf{g}_P] \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times P}$ and $\mathbf{H} = [\mathbf{h}_1, \dots, \mathbf{h}_P] \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times P}$, defined as

$$\mathbf{A} \approx \mathbf{G} \diamond \mathbf{H} = [\mathbf{g}_1 \otimes \mathbf{h}_1 \ \mathbf{g}_2 \otimes \mathbf{h}_2 \ \dots \ \mathbf{g}_P \otimes \mathbf{h}_P], \quad (1)$$

where \diamond denotes the Khatri-Rao product and \otimes denotes the Kronecker product.

To determine the factors of the Khatri-Rao product in the LS sense, one can employ the singular value decomposition (SVD) of matrices formed from the individual columns of $\mathbf{A} = [\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_P]$. Let \mathbf{a}_p represent the p -th column of \mathbf{A} , where $p = 1, 2, \dots, P$. The vector \mathbf{a}_p can be reshaped into a matrix or tensor using an unvectorization operation, denoted as $\mathbf{A}_p^{(c)} = \text{unvec}\{\mathbf{a}_p\} \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times N}$. Using the SVD of $\mathbf{A}_p^{(c)} = \text{unvec}\{\mathbf{a}_p\}$, the best rank one approximation can be expressed as

$$\mathbf{A}_p^{(c)} = \text{unvec}\{\mathbf{a}_p\} \approx \mathbf{u}_{p,1} \sigma_{p,1} \mathbf{v}_{p,1}^H, \quad (2)$$

where $\mathbf{u}_{p,1}$ and $\mathbf{v}_{p,1}$ are the dominant left and right singular vectors, respectively, and $\sigma_{p,1}$ is the corresponding dominant singular value of $\mathbf{A}_p^{(c)}$. The SVD in (2) can be exploited to estimate \mathbf{g}_p and \mathbf{h}_p but these factors will be complex-valued scalar ambiguous; to see this, note that it can be expressed as

$$\hat{\mathbf{g}}_p = \mathbf{u}_1 \alpha_p, \quad \hat{\mathbf{h}}_p = \mathbf{v}_1^* \beta_p, \quad (3)$$

where α_p and β_p are complex scalar values with constraint $\alpha_p \beta_p = \sigma_{p,1}$. The phase factor in this scaling ambiguity stems from the phase ambiguity of singular vectors [1]. Among this choice for α_p, β_p , we restrict ourselves to

$$\hat{\mathbf{g}}_p = \mathbf{u}_1 \sqrt{\sigma_1}, \quad \hat{\mathbf{h}}_p = \mathbf{v}_1^* \sqrt{\sigma_1} \quad (4)$$

for simplicity. By repeating this process for each column of \mathbf{A} , the matrices \mathbf{G} and \mathbf{H} can be constructed, resulting in the best LS approximation of \mathbf{A} by a Khatri-Rao product [7].

III. EXTENSION OF LS KHATRI-RAO FACTORIZATION TO POLYNOMIAL MATRICES

In this section, we describe the extension of the Khatri-Rao factorisation to a polynomial matrix $\mathbf{A}(z) = [\mathbf{a}_1(z), \dots, \mathbf{a}_P(z)]$, via analytic SVDs of $\text{unvec}\{\mathbf{a}_p(z)\}$, $p = 1, \dots, P$.

A. Existence of Analytic SVD

For an analytic polynomial matrix $\mathbf{A}(z) \in \mathbb{C}^{MN \times P}$, we seek an approximation via a Khatri-Rao product of two analytic polynomial matrices $\mathbf{G}(z) \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times P}$ and $\mathbf{H}(z) \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times P}$ akin to (1) as

$$\mathbf{A}(z) \approx \mathbf{G}(z) \diamond \mathbf{H}(z) = [\mathbf{g}_1(z) \otimes \mathbf{h}_1(z) \ \dots \ \mathbf{g}_P(z) \otimes \mathbf{h}_P(z)], \quad (5)$$

each $\mathbf{A}_p^{(c)}(z) = \text{unvec}\{\mathbf{a}_p(z)\}$, $m = 1, \dots, P$ must admit an analytic SVD, i.e. it must yield factors that are analytic and hence can be arbitrarily closely approximated by causal finite impulse response filters via shifts and truncations [19]. Such an analytic SVD exists with few exceptions [22]; these exceptions are avoided here because the elements of $\mathbf{A}_p^{(c)}(z)$

are estimated from finite data [23, 24]. Therefore we can find in all cases a factorisation

$$\mathbf{A}_p^{(c)}(z) = \mathbf{U}_p(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}(z)_p \mathbf{V}_p^P(z), \quad (6)$$

where $\{\cdot\}^P$ is a para-Hermitian operator equivalent to Hermitian conjugate and time-reversal i.e. $\mathbf{V}_p^P(z) = \{\mathbf{V}_p(1/z^*)\}^H$ [25]. The matrices $\mathbf{U}_p(z) \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times M}$ and $\mathbf{V}_p(z) \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$ hold in their columns the left- and right-singular vectors, respectively, and $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_p(z) \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times N}$ contains analytic singular values on its diagonal. Both factors in (6) often have infinite order, but due to analyticity, they permit sufficiently accurate approximation through Laurent polynomials [19]. Both left and right singular vectors are unique up to a common allpass factor, i.e. given an allpass function $\phi_m(z)$, $\mathbf{u}_m(z)\phi_m(z)$ and $\mathbf{v}_m(z)\phi_m(z)$ remains a valid m th left- and right-singular vectors.

B. Analytic Least-Squares Khatri-Rao Factors

Via the analytic SVD factors of $\mathbf{A}_p^{(c)}(z)$ in (6), we can represent the frequency dependent version of (4) as

$$\mathbf{g}_p(e^{j\Omega}) = \mathbf{u}_{p,1}(e^{j\Omega}) \sqrt{\sigma_{p,1}(e^{j\Omega})}, \quad (7)$$

$$\mathbf{h}_p(e^{j\Omega}) = \mathbf{v}_{p,1}^*(e^{j\Omega}) \sqrt{\sigma_{p,1}(e^{j\Omega})}. \quad (8)$$

As the analytic singular vectors exist [22], the analyticity of the factors in (7) and (8) relies on the whether the square root of the analytic singular values is analytic. Due to $\mathbf{A}(z)$ being estimated from finite data, $\sigma_{p,1}(e^{j\Omega})$ is strictly positive and 2π -periodic for $p = 1, \dots, P$, its square root will also be 2π -periodic and therefore, analytic [22, 26]. Similar to the analytic SVD factors, both $\mathbf{g}_p(z)$ and $\mathbf{h}_p(z)$ will generally be of infinite order. However, due to analyticity, the coefficients will decay at least as fast as exponential functions, therefore, a finite order Laurent polynomial approximation can be obtained via delay and truncation operations akin to analytic eigenvectors case for parahermitian matrices [19, 27].

The complex-valued scalar ambiguity translates into analytic functions, i.e. $\alpha_p(z)$ and $\beta_p(z)$ can be constrained such that $\alpha_p(z)\beta_p(z) = \sigma_{p,1}(z)$. Among the infinite solutions to this constraint, we here seek $\alpha_p(z) = \beta_p(z) = \sqrt{\sigma_{p,1}(z)}$ for $p = 1, \dots, P$. Note that the square root of a polynomial can be determined via a Maclaurin series, with an example given in [28].

IV. DFT-DOMAIN IMPLEMENTATION

A. SVD of $\mathbf{A}_p^{(c)}(z)$ in Sample Points

In order to determine LS factorisation of $\mathbf{A}(z)$ as a Khatri-Rao product, we may implement the ordinary matrix factorization within the sample points of $\mathbf{A}_p^{(c)}(z)$ akin to similar efforts in generalising polynomial matrix algebra [15, 18, 19, 29]. The conventional SVD computed independently in K sample points $\mathbf{A}_p^{(c)}(e^{j\Omega_k}) = \mathbf{A}_{p,k}^{(c)}$, with $\Omega_k, k = 0, \dots, (K-1)$, produces

$$\mathbf{A}_{p,k} = \mathbf{U}_{p,k} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{p,k} \mathbf{V}_{p,k}, \quad (9)$$

where $\mathbf{U}_{p,k} = [\mathbf{u}_{p,1,k}, \dots, \mathbf{u}_{p,M,k}]$ and $\mathbf{V}_{p,k} = [\mathbf{v}_{p,1,k}, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{p,N,k}]$ are unitary matrices comprising the left- and right-singular vectors, respectively. The diagonal matrix $\Sigma_{p,k} = \text{diag}\{\sigma_{p,1,k}, \dots, \sigma_{p,N,k}\}$ contains the bin-wise real-valued singular values such that $\sigma_{p,i,k} > \sigma_{p,i+1,k} \forall k, i = 1, \dots, (M-1)$. Both the left- and right-singular vectors possess a phase ambiguity i.e., $\mathbf{u}_{m,k}e^{j\theta_{m,k}}$ and $\mathbf{v}_{m,k}e^{j\theta_{m,k}}$ are also a valid m th left- and right-singular vector of $\mathbf{A}_{p,k}$. Here we refer to the SVD in (9) as the bin-wise SVD in the k th frequency bin.

The bin-wise SVD in the k th bin relates to the samples of analytic SVD at $z = e^{j\Omega_k}$ [24, 30] as

$$\Sigma_p(e^{j\Omega_k}) = \Sigma_{p,k}, \quad (10)$$

$$\mathbf{U}_p(e^{j\Omega_k}) = \mathbf{U}_{p,k} \Phi_{p,k}, \quad (11)$$

$$\mathbf{V}_p(e^{j\Omega_k}) = \mathbf{V}_k \begin{bmatrix} \Phi_{p,k} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \Phi'_{p,k} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (12)$$

where $\Phi_{p,k}$ is diagonal matrix of phase shifts of size $M \times M$ and $\Phi'_{p,k}$ is unitary diagonal matrix of size $(N-M) \times (N-M)$. As a result, the singular vectors of the bin-wise SVD, computed within the sample points of $\mathbf{A}_p^{(c)}(z)$ on the unit circle, suffers from a loss of phase coherence between adjacent bins [19].

B. Sample Points of $\mathbf{g}_p(z)$ and $\mathbf{h}_p(z)$

The sample points $\mathbf{g}_p(e^{j\Omega_k}), \mathbf{h}_p(e^{j\Omega_k})$ can be determined via the relation between the sample points of analytic SVD of $\mathbf{A}_p^{(c)}(z)$ at $z = e^{j\Omega_k}$ and the bin-wise SVD in (9) through (10)-(12) as

$$\mathbf{g}_p(e^{j\Omega_k}) = \mathbf{u}_{p,1,k} e^{j\phi_{p,1,k}} \sqrt{\sigma_{p,1,k}} \quad (13)$$

$$\mathbf{h}_p(e^{j\Omega_k}) = \mathbf{v}_{p,1,k}^* e^{-j\phi_{p,1,k}} \sqrt{\sigma_{p,1,k}}. \quad (14)$$

This shows that the loss of phase coherence translates to the sample points of the columns of the LS Khatri-Rao factors i.e. $\mathbf{G}(z)$ and $\mathbf{H}(z)$. Therefore, unless the phase coherence is established via e.g. phase smoothing [19, 29], the solution may not be analytic, or at the very least the polynomial orders of the estimate of $\mathbf{G}(z)$ and $\mathbf{H}(z)$ may be significantly larger than necessary. Note that $\mathbf{g}_p(z)$ and $\mathbf{h}_p(z)$ are phase coupled because both left- and right-singular vectors are phase-coupled via a common allpass function. Hence, phase smoothing is only required once for any column index p .

C. Algorithm and Convergence Metric

To estimate $\mathbf{G}(z)$ and $\mathbf{H}(z)$, the analytic SVD method of [29] is applied to determine only the dominant left and right singular vectors i.e., $\mathbf{u}_{p,1}(z), \mathbf{v}_{p,1}(z)$ of $\mathbf{A}_p^{(c)}(z)$ for $p = 1, \dots, P$ instead of the GSMD [31] and the GSB2 [32] algorithms which provide approximate diagonalization and do not offer reduced SVD computation of a $\mathbf{A}_p^{(c)}(z)$. The analytic SVD method requires invoking a phase smoothing algorithm [19] P times in total. For the dominant analytic singular value $\sigma_{p,1}(z)$ of $\mathbf{A}_p^{(c)}(z), p = 1, \dots, P$, it is recommended to use the method in [33]. With analytic SVD factors computed for all P polynomial matrices, it remains

Algorithm 1: LS Khatri-Rao Factorization of $\mathbf{A}(z)$

Extract $\{\mathbf{u}_{p,1}(z), \sigma_{p,1}(z), \mathbf{v}_{p,1}(z)\}$ for

$\mathbf{A}_p^{(c)}, p = 1, \dots, M$ via [18, 29, 33];

Set $\zeta_p = 1$;

for $p = 1 : P$ **do**

 Set K that exceeds order of $\sigma_{p,1}(z)$;

while $\zeta_p > \epsilon$ **do**

 determine $\tilde{\sigma}_{p,1}^{(K/2)}[\tau]$ via (15);

 determine ζ_p via (16);

$\tilde{\sigma}_{p,1}(z) = \sum_{\tau} \tilde{\sigma}_{p,1}^{(K/2)}[\tau] z^{-\tau}$;

$K \leftarrow 2K$;

end

$\hat{\mathbf{g}}_p(z) = \hat{\mathbf{u}}_{p,1}(z) \tilde{\sigma}_{p,1}(z)$;

$\hat{\mathbf{h}}_p(z) = \hat{\mathbf{v}}_{p,1}^*(z) \tilde{\sigma}_{p,1}(z)$;

end

to determine the square root of the analytic singular values with sufficient accuracy. To determine the square of para-Hermitian polynomials i.e. estimated analytic singular values, we compute the square in root in the DFT domain

$$\tilde{\sigma}_{p,1}(e^{j\Omega_k}) = \sqrt{\sigma_{p,1}(e^{j\Omega_k})}, k = 0, \dots, (K-1) \quad (15)$$

at an increasing DFT size until the time-domain aliasing becomes negligible. For this, we define as metric

$$\zeta_p = \frac{\sum_{\tau} \|\tilde{\sigma}_{p,1}^{(K)}[\tau] - \tilde{\sigma}_{p,1}^{(K/2)}[\tau]\|_{\text{F}}^2}{\sum_{\tau} \|\tilde{\sigma}_{p,1}^{(K)}[\tau]\|_{\text{F}}^2}, \quad (16)$$

where $\tilde{\sigma}_{p,1}^{(K)}[\tau]$ and $\tilde{\sigma}_{p,1}^{(K/2)}[\tau]$ is the time-domain equivalent obtained through a K and $K/2$ point IDFT applied to $\{\tilde{\sigma}_{p,1}(e^{j\Omega_k}) | k = 0, \dots, (K-1)\}$ and $\{\tilde{\sigma}_{p,1}(e^{j\Omega_{2k}}) | k = 0, \dots, K/2-1\}$, respectively. A reasonably accurate estimate can be obtained based on the metric ζ_p which will decrease as K increases, and will eventually fall below a suitable threshold $\epsilon > 0$. This threshold defines when the DFT size is sufficiently large to minimise both time-domain aliasing and truncation errors to an acceptable level. The entire procedure for this LS Khatri-Rao factorisation is outlined in Algorithm 1.

V. WORKED EXAMPLE FOR LS KHATRI-RAO FACTORISATION

In this worked example, we construct a $\mathbf{A}(z) \in \mathbb{C}^{4 \times 2}$ of polynomial order 2 from known first order matrices $\mathbf{G}(z)$ and $\mathbf{H}(z)$, given below with elements rounded to two decimals:

$$\mathbf{G}(z) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.98 + 0.98j & -0.55 - 0.44j \\ -0.27 - 1.19j & -0.10 + 1.37j \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -1.38 - 0.87j & -1.89 + 0.25j \\ -0.37 + 0.09j & -2.94 + 0.41j \end{bmatrix} z^{-1},$$

$$\mathbf{H}(z) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.65 - 1.77j & 0.81 + 0.50j \\ -0.16 + 0.44j & 0.41 + 0.68j \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -1.29 - 0.40j & 0.65 - 0.37j \\ 0.96 + 0.05j & -0.41 + 0.26j \end{bmatrix} z^{-1}.$$




Fig. 1. Uniform planar array with azimuth measured within the xy -plane against the x -axis and the elevation angle measured against the xy -axis.

The LS Khatri-Rao factors are estimated via Algorithm 1. The singular vectors are extracted via the phase smoothing algorithm at a DFT size of $K = 32$. The $\tilde{\sigma}_{p,1}(z), p = 1, \dots, P$ are determined with a time-domain aliasing threshold of $\epsilon = 10^{-6}$. Subsequently, the accuracy of the estimated LS Khatri-Rao factors, i.e., $\hat{\mathbf{G}}(z)$ and $\hat{\mathbf{H}}(z)$, is determined, without applying any truncation, through a normalised difference w.r.t $\mathbf{A}(z)$ as

$$\xi = \frac{1}{K} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^K \|\mathbf{A}(e^{j\Omega_k}) - \hat{\mathbf{G}}(e^{j\Omega_k}) \diamond \hat{\mathbf{H}}(e^{j\Omega_k})\|_{\text{F}}^2}{\sum_{k=1}^K \|\mathbf{A}(e^{j\Omega_k})\|_{\text{F}}^2}, \quad (17)$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{\text{F}}$ denotes the Frobenius norm of a matrix.

The estimated LS Khatri-Rao factors are of polynomial order is 31 after truncating trailing zero/coefficients and the error metric ξ is determined at a moderately high $K = 256$ to be of order 10^{-9} . This worked example shows that the LS Khatri-Rao factorisation can be applied to polynomial matrices using the analytic SVD approach via phase smoothing.

VI. BROADBAND AOA FROM UPA STEERING MATRIX

Returning to the problem of AoA estimation for a broadband planar array outlined in Sec. I, we apply the proposed LS Khatri-Rao factorisation to the broadband steering matrix of a UPA. We simulate a scenario for two broadband sources firing from $\{\theta_1, \vartheta_1\} = \{-45^\circ, 50^\circ\}$ and $\{\theta_2, \vartheta_2\} = \{30^\circ, -45^\circ\}$ on an $M \times N$ UPA with $M = N = 8$. The azimuth θ and elevation ϑ are the angles of the projection of the vector indicating the propagation direction into the xy plane, and against the z axis for the configuration shown in Fig. 1. Given an ambiguity w.r.t. a wavefront either arriving from the front or the back of the array, i.e. either from positive or negative x -direction, we consider angular ranges $\theta, \vartheta \in [-\pi, \pi]$.

The broadband steering vectors are constructed based on windowed sinc function [34] of order 50 for $P = 2$ sources. The overall UPA steering matrices are known and can be represented as a Khatri-Rao product of steering matrices in azimuth and elevation directions, such that

$$\mathbf{S}(z) = \mathbf{S}_{\text{az}}(z, \theta_{i=1,2}) \diamond_{\mathbb{C}^{8 \times 2}} \mathbf{S}_{\text{el}}(z, \vartheta_{i=1,2})$$

The resultant $\mathbf{S}(z) \in \mathbb{C}^{64 \times 2}$ effectively represents a UPA broadband steering matrix the $P = 2$ sources at $\{\theta_1, \vartheta_1\} = \{-45^\circ, 50^\circ\}$ and $\{\theta_2, \vartheta_2\} = \{30^\circ, -45^\circ\}$, respectively. For the LS Khatri-Rao factorisation, Algorithm 1 is applied to $\mathbf{S}(z)$; this generates two independent steering matrices where the pairing of AoAs are the same as the LS Khatri-Rao columns. Since only two sources are assumed, we only require the extractio of two rank-one terms, and the phase smoothing algorithm need to be invoked only twice.

With LS Khatri-Rao factors computed, we can apply the PMUSIC algorithm because the azimuth and elevation angles are now decoupled. Hence we capture spatial MUSIC spectrum from the estimated LS Khatri-Rao factors and compare it against spatial MUSIC spectrum obtained directly from the ground truth factors i.e. $\mathbf{S}_{\text{az}}(z, \theta_{i=1,2})$ and $\mathbf{S}_{\text{el}}(z, \vartheta_{i=1,2})$. As shown in Fig. 2, the spectrum of the estimated LS Khatri-Rao factors closely aligns with the ground-truth Khatri-Rao factors for the azimuth and elevation directions, ensuring that the peak positions, and thus the spatial angles, remain consistent. Since each column of $\mathbf{S}(z)$ is decomposed into Kronecker factors, the angle pairing ambiguity is inherently resolved, eliminating the need for an explicit pairing operation as required in prior methods [9].

In practice, $\mathbf{S}[n] \bullet \circ \mathbf{S}(z)$ is often estimated from noisy sensor measurements. To model this effect, $\mathbf{S}(z)$ is perturbed using $\mathbf{E}(z)$, whose coefficients are sampled from a complex Gaussian distribution. The squared Frobenius norm $\mathbf{E}[n] \circ \bullet \mathbf{E}(z) = \sum_n \mathbf{E}[n]z^{-n}$ is calibrated to $\frac{1}{10}$ of squared Frobenius norm of $\mathbf{S}[n]$. Subsequently, the LS Khatri-Rao factorisation is applied to the perturbed $\mathbf{S}(z)$, and the resulting PMUSIC spectrum is presented alongside the non-perturbed LS Khatri-Rao factorisation results in Fig. 2. It is evident from these results that the estimated AoAs remain very accurate when compared to the ground-truth AoAs despite the introduced perturbations.

VII. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have introduced the LS Khatri-Rao factorisation of a polynomial matrix, leveraging the existence of an analytic SVD for polynomial matrices. By determining the dominant analytic singular vectors and singular value for the unvectorized representation of each column of the given polynomial matrix, LS Khatri-Rao factors are derived in a manner analogous to their counterparts in conventional matrix analysis. While we have not yet performed a computational complexity analysis or comparison for the lack of a suitable benchmark, we have demonstrated that the proposed algorithm is particularly applicable to broadband uniform planar arrays




Fig. 2. Polynomial spatial MUSIC normalized spectrum for (a) azimuth, and (b) elevation directions for ground-truth, LS Khatri-Rao factorisation of $\mathbf{S}(z)$ and LS Khatri-Rao factorisation of $\mathbf{S}(z) + \mathbf{E}(z)$ perturbed by a term $\mathbf{E}(z)$ with $\sum_n \|\mathbf{E}[n]\|_F^2 / (\sum_n \|\mathbf{S}[n]\|_F^2) = \frac{1}{10}$.

(UPAs), where it enables the decoupling of azimuth and elevation directions for broadband sources while facilitating automatic angle pairing.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. H. Golub and C. F. Van Loan, *Matrix Computations*, 3rd ed. The Johns Hopkins University Press, 1996.
- [2] R. Schmidt, "Multiple emitter location and signal parameter estimation," *IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation*, vol. 34, no. 3, pp. 276–280, Mar. 1986.
- [3] D. Kundu, "Modified MUSIC algorithm for estimating doa of signals," *Signal processing*, vol. 48, no. 1, pp. 85–90, 1996.
- [4] R. Kumaresan and D. W. Tufts, "Estimating the angles of arrival of multiple plane waves," *IEEE Transactions on Aerospace and Electronic Systems*, vol. AES-19, no. 1, pp. 134–139, 1983.
- [5] Fazal-E-Asim, A. L. F. De Almeida, B. Sokal, B. Makki, and G. Fodor, "Two-dimensional channel parameter estimation for irts-assisted networks," *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, pp. 1–1, 2024.
- [6] C. G. Khatri and C. R. Rao, "Solutions to some functional equations and their applications to characterization of probability distributions," *Sankhy?: The Indian Journal of Statistics, Series A (1961-2002)*, vol. 30, no. 2, pp. 167–180, 1968.
- [7] F. Roemer and M. Haardt, "Tensor-based channel estimation and iterative refinements for two-way relaying with multiple antennas and spatial reuse," *IEEE Transactions*

- on Signal Processing*, vol. 58, no. 11, pp. 5720–5735, 2010.
- [8] A. Y. Kibangou and G. Favier, "Non-iterative solution for PARAFAC with a toeplitz matrix factor," in *2009 17th European Signal Processing Conference*, 2009, pp. 691–695.
- [9] S. Han, X. Lai, Y. Zhang, and X. Zhang, "A computationally efficient and virtualization-free two-dimensional doa estimation method for nested planar array: RD-root-MUSIC algorithm," *Sensors*, vol. 22, no. 14, 2022.
- [10] L. R. Ximenes, G. Favier, and A. L. F. de Almeida, "Closed-form semi-blind receiver for MIMO relay systems using double Khatri-Rao space-time coding," *IEEE Signal Processing Letters*, vol. 23, no. 3, pp. 316–320, 2016.
- [11] A. L. de Almeida and G. Favier, "Double Khatri-Rao space-time-frequency coding using semi-blind PARAFAC based receiver," *IEEE Signal Processing Letters*, vol. 20, no. 5, pp. 471–474, 2013.
- [12] F. Roemer and M. Haardt, "Tensor-based channel estimation and iterative refinements for two-way relaying with multiple antennas and spatial reuse," *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol. 58, no. 11, pp. 5720–5735, 2010.
- [13] L. N. Ribeiro, A. L. F. de Almeida, and J. C. M. Mota, "Separable linearly constrained minimum variance beamformers," *Signal Processing*, vol. 158, pp. 15–25, 2019.
- [14] G. T. de Araujo, A. L. F. de Almeida, and R. Boyer, "Channel estimation for intelligent reflecting surface assisted MIMO systems: A tensor modeling approach," *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Signal Processing*, vol. 15, no. 3, pp. 789–802, 2021.
- [15] V. Neo, S. Redif, J. McWhirter, J. Pestana, I. Proudler, S. Weiss, and P. Naylor, "Polynomial eigenvalue decomposition for multichannel broadband signal processing: A mathematical technique offering new insights and solutions," *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine*, vol. 40, no. 7, pp. 18–37, Nov. 2023.
- [16] J. G. McWhirter, P. D. Baxter, T. Cooper, S. Redif, and J. Foster, "An EVD algorithm for para-hermitian polynomial matrices," *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol. 55, no. 5, pp. 2158–2169, 2007.
- [17] S. Redif, S. Weiss, and J. G. McWhirter, "Sequential matrix diagonalization algorithms for polynomial EVD of parahermitian matrices," *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol. 63, no. 1, pp. 81–89, 2015.
- [18] S. Weiss, I. K. Proudler, and F. K. Coutts, "Eigenvalue decomposition of a Parahermitian matrix: Extraction of analytic eigenvalues," *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol. 69, pp. 722–737, 2021.
- [19] S. Weiss, I. K. Proudler, F. K. Coutts, and F. A. Khattak, "Eigenvalue decomposition of a parahermitian matrix: Extraction of analytic eigenvectors," *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol. 71, pp. 1642–1656, 2023.
- [20] M. A. Alrmah, S. Weiss, and S. Lambbotharan, "An ex-

- tension of the MUSIC algorithm to broadband scenarios using a polynomial eigenvalue decomposition,” in *2011 19th European Signal Processing Conference*, 2011, pp. 629–633.
- [21] W. Coventry, C. Clemente, and J. Soraghan, “Polynomial root-MUSIC algorithm for efficient broadband direction of arrival estimation,” in *2017 Sensor Signal Processing for Defence Conference (SSPD)*, 2017, pp. 1–5.
- [22] S. Weiss, I. K. Proudler, G. Barbarino, J. Pestana, and J. G. McWhirter, “On properties and structure of the analytic singular value decomposition,” *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol. 72, pp. 2260–2275, 2024.
- [23] S. Weiss, J. Pestana, I. K. Proudler, and F. K. Coutts, “Corrections to “on the existence and uniqueness of the eigenvalue decomposition of a parahermitian matrix,”” *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol. 66, no. 23, pp. 6325–6327, Dec 2018.
- [24] M. Bakhit, F. A. Khattak, I. K. Proudler, and S. Weiss, “Impact of estimation errors of a matrix of transfer functions onto its analytic singular values and their potential algorithmic extraction,” in *2024 IEEE High Performance Extreme Computing Virtual Conference*, 2024.
- [25] P. Vaidyanathan, “Theory of optimal orthonormal sub-band coders,” *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol. 46, no. 6, pp. 1528–1543, 1998.
- [26] S. Weiss, J. Pestana, and I. K. Proudler, “On the existence and uniqueness of the eigenvalue decomposition of a parahermitian matrix,” *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol. 66, no. 10, pp. 2659–2672, 2018.
- [27] L. V. Ahlfors, *Complex Analysis: An Introduction to the Theory of Analytic Functions of One Complex Variable*. New York, NY: McGraw-Hill, 1953.
- [28] S. Weiss, J. Pestana, and I. K. Proudler, “On the existence and uniqueness of the eigenvalue decomposition of a parahermitian matrix,” *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol. 66, no. 10, pp. 2659–2672, May 2018.
- [29] M. A. Bakhit, F. A. Khattak, I. K. Proudler, S. Weiss, and G. W. Rice, “Compact order polynomial singular value decomposition of a matrix of analytic functions,” in *2023 IEEE 9th International Workshop on Computational Advances in Multi-Sensor Adaptive Processing (CAMSAP)*, 2023, pp. 416–420.
- [30] F. A. Khattak, M. Bakhit, I. K. Proudler, and S. Weiss, “Extraction of analytic singular values of a polynomial matrix,” in *2024 32nd European Signal Processing Conference (EUSIPCO)*, 2024, pp. 1297–1301.
- [31] F. A. Khattak, I. K. Proudler, J. G. McWhirter, and S. Weiss, “Generalised sequential matrix diagonalisation for the SVD of polynomial matrices,” in *Sensor Signal Processing for Defence Conference (SSPD)*, 2023, pp. 1–5.
- [32] J. G. McWhirter, “An algorithm for polynomial matrix SVD based on generalised kogbetliantz transformations,” in *2010 18th European Signal Processing Conference*, 2010, pp. 457–461.
- [33] F. A. Khattak, I. K. Proudler, and S. Weiss, “Scalable analytic eigenvalue extraction algorithm,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 12, pp. 166 652–166 659, 2024.
- [34] J. Selva, “An efficient structure for the design of variable fractional delay filters based on the windowing method,” *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol. 56, no. 8, pp. 3770–3775, 2008.