

AN ISOLATED LYAPUNOV EXPONENT

JAIRO BOCHI

ABSTRACT. We construct a continuous linear cocycle over an expanding base dynamics for which the Lyapunov exponents of all ergodic invariant probability measures are small, except for one measure whose Lyapunov exponents are away from zero. The support of this distinguished measure is not a periodic orbit. In particular, our example violates the periodic approximation property.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. **Lyapunov exponents.** A *linear cocycle* is a pair (T, A) where $T: X \rightarrow X$ is a map from a set X to itself, and $A: X \rightarrow \text{Mat}(d, \mathbb{R})$ is a function taking values in the set of real $d \times d$ matrices. The *cocycle products* are formed by multiplying the values of A along orbits of T , that is,

$$(1) \quad A_T^{(n)}(x) := A(T^{n-1}x) \cdots A(x), \quad \text{for all } x \in X \text{ and } n \geq 0,$$

where the empty product $A^{(0)}(x)$ is defined as the identity matrix.

Now assume that T is a measure-preserving transformation of a probability space (X, \mathcal{S}, μ) , that the function A takes values on the group of invertible matrices $\text{GL}(d, \mathbb{R})$, and that the (nonnegative) function $\log \max\{\|A(x)\|, \|A(x)^{-1}\|\}$ is μ -integrable. Then the *Lyapunov exponents*

$$(2) \quad \lambda_i(T, A, x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log s_i(A_T^{(n)}(x))$$

exist for μ -almost every $x \in X$ and every $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$; here $s_i(\cdot)$ denotes the i^{th} largest singular value. The average values $\lambda_i(T, A, \mu) := \int_X \lambda_i(T, A, x) d\mu(x)$ are also well defined. If the measure μ is ergodic, then $\lambda_i(T, A, x) = \lambda_i(T, A, \mu)$ for μ -almost every x .

A case of particular interest is when the measure μ is supported on a periodic orbit, that is $\mu = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \delta_{T^j p}$ where $p \in X$ and $k > 0$ are such that $T^k p = p$. In this case, the Lyapunov exponents are $\lambda_i(T, A, \mu) = \frac{1}{k} \log |\beta_i|$, where β_1, \dots, β_d are the eigenvalues of the matrix product $A_T^k(p)$, repeated according to multiplicity and ordered according to their absolute values.

Henceforth, X will be a compact metric space, and the transformation T will be continuous. The set of all T -invariant Borel probability measures on X will be denoted \mathcal{M}_T and endowed with the weak topology. This is a convex compact set, and the set of its extreme points will be denoted by $\mathcal{M}_T^{\text{erg}}$, since it is composed exactly by the ergodic measures. Also, let $\mathcal{M}_T^{\text{per}}$ denote the subset of $\mathcal{M}_T^{\text{erg}}$ formed by measures whose support is a periodic orbit.

Date: March 29, 2025.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 37D25.

Now, if $A: X \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(d, \mathbb{R})$ is a continuous function on the compact space X , then the Lyapunov exponents $\lambda_i(T, A, \mu)$ are well-defined for all $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_T$. Therefore, fixed a continuous linear cocycle (T, A) over a compact base, we can consider the averaged Lyapunov exponents $\lambda_i(T, A, \cdot)$ as functions on the space \mathcal{M}_T of invariant measures. For $i = 1$, Kingman's subadditive ergodic theorem implies that, for all $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_T$,

$$(3) \quad \lambda_1(T, A, \mu) = \inf_{n>0} \frac{1}{n} \int_X \log \|A_T^{(n)}(x)\| d\mu(x);$$

in particular, the function $\lambda_1(T, A, \cdot)$ is upper semicontinuous. A similar argument using exterior powers shows that $\sum_{j=1}^i \lambda_j(T, A, \cdot)$ is upper semicontinuous, for each i .

In general, the Lyapunov exponents are *not* continuous functions of the measure. For example, let $T = \sigma$ be the one-sided full shift on two symbols 0, 1, and for each $x = (x_i)_{i \geq 0}$, let the matrix $A(x)$ be $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/2 \end{pmatrix}$ if the zeroth symbol x_0 is 0 and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ otherwise. For each $k \geq 1$, let μ_k be the shift-invariant measure supported on the orbit of the periodic point $(0^{k-1}1)^\infty$ (that is, the point $p = (p_i)_{i \geq 0}$ such that $p_i = 1$ iff $i \equiv 1 \pmod k$). Then the sequence (μ_k) converges weakly to $\nu := \delta_{0^\infty}$. However, $\lambda_1(\sigma, A, \mu_k) = 0$ for every k and $\lambda_1(\sigma, A, \nu) = \log 2$. So ν is a point of discontinuity of $\lambda_1(\sigma, A, \cdot)$.

For further discussion of continuity or discontinuity of Lyapunov exponents, see [Vi2].

1.2. An isolated Lyapunov exponent. The purpose of this paper is to construct examples of continuous linear cocycles (T, A) for which the Lyapunov exponents display a rather extreme form of discontinuity as functions of the measure. Our examples will take values in the group $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$. In particular, it will be sufficient to consider the first Lyapunov exponent λ_1 , since the second one will be $\lambda_2 = -\lambda_1$. Our main result is:

Theorem 1. *Let D be the doubling map on the circle $\mathbb{T} := \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. Fix numbers $c > \varepsilon > 0$. There exists a continuous map $A: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ with the following properties:*

- (a) *there exists an ergodic measure ν such that $\lambda_1(D, A, \nu) = c$;*
- (b) *for all ergodic measures μ different from ν , we have $\lambda_1(D, A, \mu) \leq \varepsilon$;*
- (c) *if (μ_k) is any sequence of ergodic measures different from ν and converging weakly to ν , we have $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_1(D, A, \mu_k) = 0$.*

In fact, ν can be chosen as a Sturmian measure with arbitrary irrational parameter.

This is illustrated in Fig. 1. The definition of Sturmian measures is given in Section 3; for the moment, we note that they are not supported on periodic orbits (at least when the parameter is irrational).

In the next subsection, we describe an application of Theorem 1.

1.3. Periodic approximation of Lyapunov exponents. Subshifts of finite type have the property that every ergodic Borel probability measure can be approximated by a probability measure supported on a periodic orbit, that is, $\mathcal{M}_T^{\mathrm{per}}$ is dense in $\mathcal{M}_T^{\mathrm{erg}}$. This periodic approximation property also holds for Axiom A diffeomorphisms [Si] and C^1 -generic diffeomorphisms [AbBC, Theorem 4.2]. Katok's Closing Lemma [Kat, Section 3] shows that every ergodic *hyperbolic* measure of




FIGURE 1. The Lyapunov exponent function in Theorem 1.

a $C^{1+\alpha}$ diffeomorphism can be approximated by measures supported on periodic orbits (see [LLiuS] for further information).

Given a linear cocycle (T, A) and an ergodic measure $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_T^{\text{erg}}$, we are interested in the problem of approximating μ by elements of $\mathcal{M}_T^{\text{per}}$ and simultaneously approximating the Lyapunov exponents of the cocycle. The following result, due to Kalinin [Ka], provides sufficient conditions for this problem to have a solution:

Theorem 2 (Kalinin’s periodic approximation theorem). *Let $T: X \rightarrow X$ be either a subshift of finite type or the restriction of a diffeomorphism to a hyperbolic set with local product structure. Suppose that $A: X \rightarrow \text{GL}(d, \mathbb{R})$ is Hölder-continuous. Then, for every $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_T^{\text{erg}}$, there exists a sequence (μ_k) in $\mathcal{M}_T^{\text{per}}$ such that $\mu_k \rightarrow \mu$ weakly and $\lambda_i(T, A, \mu_k) \rightarrow \lambda_i(T, A, \mu)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.*

We observe that the original statement in [Ka, Theorem 1.4] presents a slightly more general hypothesis on the dynamics. Moreover, it does not explicitly include the conclusion that $\mu_k \rightarrow \mu$, although this property follows from the proof. Theorem 2 was preceded by a more restrictive result of Wang and Sun [WS, Theorem 3.1] and was independently established by Dai [Da1].

The Periodic Approximation Theorem 2 has several applications. It is a key ingredient in Kalinin’s proof of the Livsic theorem for matrix cocycles [Ka, Theorem 1.1]. Using the connection between the joint spectral radius and Lyapunov exponents (see [DaHX, Theorem 3.1], [Mo, Theorem 2.1]), the well-known theorem of Berger and Wang [BeW] becomes an immediate corollary of Theorem 2.

Improvements of Theorem 2 in various directions can be found in the articles [Ba1, Ba2, Da2, KaS2, LLiaS, ZC]. Related results of perturbative nature include [AbBC, Theorem 3.18] and [BoB, Theorem 5.1]. The problem of extending the Periodic Approximation Theorem 2 to infinite-dimensional cocycles is subtle, and there are positive and negative results: see [BaD, Hu, KaS1]. For a survey on periodic approximation of Lyapunov exponents, see [Sa, Section 13.5].

In spite of this activity, the necessity of the hypothesis of Hölder continuity in Theorem 2 has not been investigated before. By lifting the cocycle constructed in Theorem 1 to the natural extension of the doubling map (i.e., the Smale–Williams solenoid), we conclude that the Periodic Approximation Theorem 2 does not hold for continuous cocycles. This argument also shows that the cocycle A in Theorem 1 cannot be Hölder-continuous.

For another instance of exotic behavior of the Lyapunov exponents of continuous cocycles and further discussion of the role of regularity, see [Bo2].

1.4. A few words about the construction. The example in Theorem 1 is constructed as follows. Let ν be a Sturmian measure with irrational parameter, and let K be its support. Then the restriction of the doubling map to K is semiconjugate to an irrational rotation. Using this fact, we start by defining the cocycle A on K so that it is nonuniformly hyperbolic there. Then we need to extend the cocycle to the complement of K so that the Lyapunov exponents are small. The dynamics on the complement of K has a convenient structure which we exploit. The key ingredient in our proof is a theorem from [ABD2], which among other things says that every nonuniformly hyperbolic $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ -cocycle over an irrational rotation can be “accessed” by a continuous path formed by cocycles with zero Lyapunov exponent. Our construction consists of “inserting” this path into the gaps of the Cantor set K . However, to ensure continuity of the resulting cocycle, we need to “modulate” the small gaps. This has an effect on the Lyapunov exponents, which needs to be kept small.

2. PRELIMINARIES ON LINEAR COCYCLES

Let (T, A) be a continuous linear cocycle. Suppose A takes values in the group $\mathrm{SL}^\pm(d, \mathbb{R})$ of matrices with determinant ± 1 . We say that the cocycle (T, A) is *product bounded* if

$$(4) \quad \sup_{x \in X} \sup_{n \geq 0} \|A_T^{(n)}(x)\| < \infty.$$

This condition is satisfied if the cocycle (T, A) is *conjugate* to an orthogonal cocycle (T, O) , that is,

$$(5) \quad A(x) = C(Tx) O(x) C(x)^{-1}$$

for some continuous maps $C: X \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(d, \mathbb{R})$ and $O: X \rightarrow \mathrm{O}(d)$. The converse holds if the base dynamics T is minimal: see [CNP, Theorem A].

We say that a continuous $\mathrm{SL}^\pm(2, \mathbb{R})$ -cocycle (T, A) is *uniformly hyperbolic* if

$$(6) \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \inf_{x \in X} \log \|A_T^{(n)}(x)\| > 0.$$

For further discussion and equivalent formulations, see [DF1, §3.8.1] and [Vil, §2.2].

The Haar measure on the circle $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ is denoted by $\mathrm{Leb}_{\mathbb{T}}$ or Leb . For each $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, let $R_\alpha: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ be the translation $x \mapsto x + \alpha \pmod{1}$.

The starting point of our construction is the following:

Proposition 3. *Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ and $c > 0$. There exists a continuous function $A: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ such that the cocycle (R_α, A) is not uniformly hyperbolic and has Lyapunov exponent $\lambda_1(R_\alpha, A, \mathrm{Leb}) = c$.*

The statement above is well-known and can be proved in several ways (see e.g. [DF2, Chapter 9]), but for the convenience of the reader we provide an indication of proof. We will use the construction of Herman [He1].

Proof. Let $\gamma > 1$ be a parameter. Define $A: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ by

$$(7) \quad A(x) := \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma^{-1} \end{pmatrix} U(x), \quad \text{where } U(x) := \begin{pmatrix} \cos 2\pi x & -\sin 2\pi x \\ \sin 2\pi x & \cos 2\pi x \end{pmatrix}.$$

Herman [He1] proved that the cocycle (R_α, A) has a positive Lyapunov exponent; actually, this exponent is given by the following formula (see [AB, Example 12]):

$$(8) \quad \lambda_1(R_\alpha, A, \text{Leb}) = \log \frac{\gamma + \gamma^{-1}}{2}.$$

In particular, the value of $\gamma > 1$ can be adjusted so that $\lambda_1(R, A, \text{Leb}) = c$.

Herman's cocycle (R_α, A) is not uniformly hyperbolic: this fact follows from a topological argument [He2, Proposition 4.2]. Let us present here a more direct proof. We claim that, for all $n \geq 0$,

$$(9) \quad A_{R_\alpha}^{(2n)}(R_\alpha^{-n}(\frac{1}{2})) = (-1)^n U(-n\alpha);$$

in particular, property (6) fails. The verification, by induction, is left to the reader. \square

A cocycle (R_α, A) satisfying the conclusions of Proposition 3 is called *nonuniformly hyperbolic*, because it has nonzero Lyapunov exponents and is not uniformly hyperbolic. According to [Bo1, Theorem C], there exists a C^0 -perturbation \tilde{A} of A such that $\lambda_1(R_\alpha, \tilde{A}, \text{Leb}) = 0$. (See [Vi1, §9.2] for another proof). On the other hand, [ABD1, Theorem 1] provides a perturbation \tilde{A} which is conjugate to an orthogonal cocycle. (A simplification of the proof was found in [BoN]). The following result, which is [ABD2, Theorem 4] specialized to irrational rotations, provides even stronger conclusions: the perturbations above can be found in a continuous path converging to the original cocycle.

Theorem 4. *Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ and let $A: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ be continuous. If the cocycle (R_α, A) is not uniformly hyperbolic, then there exist continuous maps*

$$(10) \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{T} \times [0, 1] \mapsto A_t(x) \in \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}),$$

$$(11) \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{T} \times (0, 1] \mapsto C_t(x) \in \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}), \text{ and}$$

$$(12) \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{T} \times (0, 1] \mapsto O_t(x) \in \text{SO}(2)$$

such that

$$(13) \quad A_0(x) = A(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{T} \text{ and}$$

$$(14) \quad A_t(x) = C_t(R_\alpha(x))O_t(x)C_t(x)^{-1} \quad \text{for all } (x, t) \in \mathbb{T} \times (0, 1].$$

Thus, for each $t \in (0, 1]$, the cocycle (R_α, A_t) is product bounded, with a corresponding bound that depends continuously on t and tends to infinity as $t \rightarrow 0$. By reparametrization, this convergence can be made arbitrarily slow. More precisely, we have the following statement:

Lemma 5. *Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ and $c > 0$. Let $M: (0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be any continuous strictly decreasing function such that $M(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow 0$. Then there exists a continuous map*

$$(15) \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{T} \times [0, 1] \mapsto B_t(x) \in \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$$

such that

$$(16) \quad \lambda_1(R_\alpha, B_0, \text{Leb}) = c$$

and, for all $t \in (0, 1]$, $x \in \mathbb{T}$, and $n \geq 0$,

$$(17) \quad \|B_t^{(n)}(x)\| \leq e^{M(t)}$$

where $B_t^{(n)} = (B_t)_{R_\alpha}^{(n)}$.

Proof. Given α and c , let A be given by Proposition 3. We apply Theorem 4 obtaining the functions $A_t(x)$, $C_t(x)$, and $O_t(x)$. For each $(x, t) \in \mathbb{T} \times [0, 1]$, let

$$(18) \quad \hat{A}_t(x) := C_0(R_\alpha(x))^{-1}A_t(x)C_0(x),$$

which is a continuous function to $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$. The cocycle (R_α, \hat{A}_0) is conjugate to (R_α, A_0) and so has Lyapunov exponent c . On the other hand, $\hat{A}_1 = O_1$ takes values in $\mathrm{SO}(2)$. Furthermore, for each $t \in (0, 1]$, the cocycle (R_α, \hat{A}_t) is product-bounded; in fact,

$$(19) \quad \sup_{x \in X} \sup_{n \geq 0} \|\hat{A}_t^{(n)}(x)\| \leq \sup_{x \in X} \|C_0(x)^{-1}C_t(x)\|^2.$$

Let

$$(20) \quad \hat{M}(t) := 2 \log \sup_{x \in X} \sup_{s \in [t, 1]} \|C_0(x)^{-1}C_s(x)\|.$$

Then $\hat{M}: (0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is continuous, decreasing, and $\hat{M}(1) = 0$. Given the function M as in the statement of the lemma, let $\phi: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be an increasing homeomorphism such that $\hat{M}(\phi(t)) \leq M(t)$ for all $t \in (0, 1]$. Then the function $B_t(x) := \hat{A}_{\phi(t)}(x)$ has the required properties. \square

3. STURMIAN MEASURES

Sturmian sequences and measures have a long history: see [Lo, Chapter 2], [Fo, Chapter 6], and references therein. Sturmian sequences are usually viewed as elements of the symbolic space $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$, and the corresponding measures are shift-invariant. However, since we are working with the doubling map on the circle, we will introduce Sturmian measures in the corresponding setting. Our exposition is self-contained, but it definitely overlaps [Ve, BuS] (e.g., compare Fig. 3 below and [Ve, Fig. 13]).

Let α be an irrational number, which will be fixed from now on.

3.1. A devil staircase. Let $F: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined as follows:

$$(21) \quad F(x) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-n-1} [x + n\alpha].$$

The following properties are immediate:

$$(22) \quad F(x+1) = F(x) + 1,$$

$$(23) \quad 2F(x) = [x] + F(x + \alpha).$$

The function F is right-continuous and (since α is irrational) strictly increasing. Define another function:

$$(24) \quad f(x) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-n-1} ([x + n\alpha] - 1).$$

Then $f \leq F$ and f has the same properties listed above, except that

$$(25) \quad 2f(x) = [x] - 1 + f(x + \alpha)$$

and f is left-continuous. Furthermore, $F(x) = f(x)$, unless $x = -n\alpha + m$ for some integers $n \geq 0$ and m . The values of the two functions at these points satisfy the relation:

$$(26) \quad F(-n\alpha + m) = f(-n\alpha + m) + 2^{-n-1}, \quad n, m \in \mathbb{Z}, n \geq 0.$$




FIGURE 2. Graph of the function \tilde{h} if $\alpha = \frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{2}$.

Let $\tilde{h}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by the following condition:

$$(27) \quad \tilde{h}(y) = x \iff f(x) \leq y \leq F(x);$$

see Fig. 2. Then \tilde{h} is increasing, continuous, and satisfies $\tilde{h}(x+1) = \tilde{h}(x) + 1$. As a consequence of equalities (23) and (25), we have the following property:

$$(28) \quad \tilde{h}(y) = x \notin \mathbb{Z} \implies \tilde{h}(2y) = [x] + x + \alpha.$$

Remark 6. Using summation by parts, we can rewrite F as

$$(29) \quad F(x) = [x] + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-n-1} ([x + (n+1)\alpha] - [x + n\alpha]);$$

similarly for f . Therefore, if $0 < \alpha < 1$, then the binary expansions of the fractional parts of $F(x)$ and $f(x)$ constitute “rotation sequences” [Fo, p. 151], “mechanical words” [Lo, p. 53], or “optimal sequences” [Ve, p. 550].

3.2. Down to the circle. Recall that $\mathbb{T} := \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. Let $\pi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ denote the quotient projection. The Haar measure on the circle \mathbb{T} is $\text{Leb}_{\mathbb{T}} = \pi_*(\text{Leb}_{[0,1]})$, where $\text{Leb}_{[0,1]}$ denotes Lebesgue measure on the unit interval and the star denotes push-forward.

We consider two dynamical systems on \mathbb{T} , the doubling map D and the irrational rotation $R = R_{\alpha}$, which can be defined by the formulas

$$(30) \quad D(\pi(x)) := \pi(2x), \quad R(\pi(x)) := \pi(x + \alpha), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Let $h: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ be defined by

$$(31) \quad h(\pi(x)) := \pi(\tilde{h}(x)), \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

where \tilde{h} is as in the previous subsection. Then h is continuous and has degree 1; in particular, it is surjective. Furthermore,

$$(32) \quad h \circ \pi \circ F = h \circ \pi \circ f = \pi.$$




FIGURE 3. Intervals I_n and their images $h(I_n) = \{R_\alpha^{-n}(0)\}$ for $\alpha = \frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{2}$.

For each integer $n \geq 0$, define a set $I_n \subseteq \mathbb{T}$ by

$$(33) \quad I_n := \pi((f(-n\alpha), F(-n\alpha))).$$

Equivalently, I_n is the interior of the set $h^{-1}(R^{-n}(0))$. By property (26), I_n is an open interval of length 2^{-n-1} (where by an *interval* we mean a connected proper subset of \mathbb{T} , and *length* is Haar measure). These intervals have pairwise disjoint closures. Property (28) implies:

$$(34) \quad h \circ D = R \circ h \quad \text{on the semicircle } \mathbb{T} \setminus I_0.$$

In particular,

$$(35) \quad D(I_n) = I_{n-1} \quad \text{for all } n > 0.$$

See Fig. 3.

Consider the set

$$(36) \quad K := \mathbb{T} \setminus \bigsqcup_{n \geq 0} I_n.$$

Equivalently, $K = \bigcap_{n \geq 0} D^{-n}(\mathbb{T} \setminus I_0)$. Then K is a Cantor set and satisfies $D(K) = K$ and $h(K) = \mathbb{T}$.

We define the *Sturmian measure with parameter α* as

$$(37) \quad \nu := (\pi \circ F)_*(\text{Leb}_{[0,1]}) = (\pi \circ f)_*(\text{Leb}_{[0,1]}).$$

This is a Borel probability measure supported on K . By (32), this measure satisfies $h_*\nu = \text{Leb}_{\mathbb{T}}$. It follows from (34) that ν is D -invariant. Additionally, as a consequence of the fact that R is uniquely ergodic, ν is the unique D -invariant probability measure whose support is contained in the semicircle $\mathbb{T} \setminus I_0$.

Remark 7. If the parameter α is rational, then the construction above can be performed with some adaptations, yielding a measure ν whose support is a periodic orbit contained in a semicircle. See [BuS].




FIGURE 4. Graph of the discontinuous function ϕ on the first five intervals, for $\alpha = \frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{2}$.

4. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

Fix numbers $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ and $c > \varepsilon > 0$.

4.1. Step 1: Defining the cocycle. The *arc-length metric* on the circle \mathbb{T} is:

$$(38) \quad d(x, y) := \min \{|r - s| : r, s \in \mathbb{R}, r = x \bmod \mathbb{Z}, s = y \bmod \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Using the sets I_n and K constructed in the previous section, we define an auxiliary function $\phi: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows:

$$(39) \quad \phi(x) := \begin{cases} 2^{n+2}d(x, \partial I_n) & \text{if } x \in I_n, n \geq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in K. \end{cases}$$

See Fig. 4. By (35), for each $n > 0$, the map D sends the interval I_n onto the interval I_{n-1} doubling arc-length. Therefore, for each $n \geq 0$ and $x \in I_n$,

$$(40) \quad \phi(x) = \phi(Dx) = \dots = \phi(D^n x) = 4d(D^n x, \partial I_0).$$

For each integer $n \geq 0$, let

$$(41) \quad \ell(n) := \lfloor (n+2)^{1/4} \rfloor.$$

Define another auxiliary function ψ on \mathbb{T} as

$$(42) \quad \psi(x) := \begin{cases} \phi(x)/\ell(n) & \text{if } x \in I_n, n \geq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in K. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 8. *The function ψ is continuous.*

Proof. For each $n \geq 1$, let $\psi_n: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the piecewise affine function defined as $\psi_n(x) := \psi(x)$ if $N(x) \leq n$ and $\psi_n(x) := 0$ otherwise. Then $|\psi(x) - \psi_n(x)| \leq \frac{1}{\ell(n)}$ for every x . Therefore, ψ is a uniform limit of a sequence of continuous functions, and so it is continuous. \square

For each positive integer n , let

$$(43) \quad \delta(n) := \min \{4d(x, \partial I_0) : x \in I_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup I_n\}.$$

Then $1 > \delta(1) \geq \delta(2) \geq \dots$ and $\delta(n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Additionally, since $I_0 \cap D^{-1}(I_n) = I_{n+1} + \frac{1}{2}$, we have

$$(44) \quad x \in I_0 \cap D^{-1}(I_n) \Rightarrow 4d(x, \partial I_0) = 4d(x + \frac{1}{2}, \partial I_0) \geq \delta(n+1).$$

The values $\frac{\delta(n+1)}{\ell(n)}$ form a strictly decreasing sequence in $[0, 1]$ tending to 0. Therefore, we can choose a continuous strictly decreasing function $M: (0, 1] \rightarrow$

$[0, \infty)$ such that

$$(45) \quad M \left(\frac{\delta(n+1)}{\ell(n)} \right) = \frac{\varepsilon(n+2)^{1/4}}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

Let $B_t(x)$ be the matrix function (depending on this function M) given by Lemma 5.

Define $A: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ as:

$$(46) \quad A(x) := B_{\psi(x)}(h(x)),$$

where h comes from (31). Since h , ψ , and B are continuous functions, A is continuous as well.

4.2. Step 2: Bounding Lyapunov exponents. The cocycle we have just constructed has the prescribed Lyapunov exponent with respect to the Sturmian measure ν :

Lemma 9. $\lambda_1(D, A, \nu) = c$.

Proof. Since ψ vanishes on K , it follows from (46) and (34) that

$$(47) \quad A_D^{(n)}(x) = (B_0)_R^{(n)}(h(x)) \quad \text{for all } x \in K \text{ and } n \geq 0.$$

Since $\nu(K) = 1$ and $h_*\nu = \mathrm{Leb}$, we obtain $\lambda_1(D, A, \nu) = \lambda_1(R, B_0, \mathrm{Leb})$, which by (16) equals c . \square

Lemma 10. *Let $n \geq 0$, $m \geq 0$. Then, for all $x \in I_n \cap D^{-(n+1)}(I_m)$, we have*

$$(48) \quad \log \|A^{(n+1)}(x)\| \leq \varepsilon \sqrt{\frac{n+m+2}{2}}.$$

Proof. Fix $x \in I_n \cap D^{-(n+1)}(I_m)$. Write

$$(49) \quad A^{(n+1)}(x) = A(x_0)A(x_1) \cdots A(x_n), \quad \text{where } x_k := D^{n-k}x.$$

Note that $x_k \in I_k$ for each $k \in \{0, \dots, n\}$.

Let $t := \mathrm{4d}(x_0, \partial I_0)$. By property (40), $\phi(x_k) = t$ for all $k \in \{0, \dots, n\}$. Since $x_0 \in I_0 \cap D^{-1}(I_m)$, by property (44) we have

$$(50) \quad t \geq \delta(m+1).$$

Let $s := \ell(n)$. Note that $s^4 - 2 \leq n$. Define numbers $n_1 < n_2 < \cdots < n_{s+1}$ as:

$$(51) \quad n_j := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j = 1, \\ j^4 - 2 & \text{if } 2 \leq j \leq s, \\ n + 1 & \text{if } j = s + 1. \end{cases}$$

Then, for each $j \in \{1, \dots, s\}$,

$$(52) \quad n_j \leq k < n_{j+1} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \ell(k) = j.$$

Rewrite the product (49) as

$$(53) \quad A^{(n+1)}(x) = P_1 P_2 \cdots P_s, \quad \text{where}$$

$$(54) \quad P_j := A(x_{n_j}) A(x_{n_j+1}) \cdots A(x_{n_{j+1}-1}).$$

Fix $j \in \{1, \dots, s\}$, and let us bound $\|P_j\|$. It follows from (52) that

$$(55) \quad n_j \leq k < n_{j+1} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \psi(x_k) = \frac{t}{j}.$$

Using the fact that $h|_{I_k} \equiv R^{-k}(0)$, definition (46) gives:

$$(56) \quad n_j \leq k < n_{j+1} \quad \Rightarrow \quad A(x_k) = B_{t/j}(R^{-k}(0)).$$

Therefore

$$(57) \quad P_j = B_{t/j}(R^{-n_j}(0))B_{t/j}(R^{-n_j+1}(0)) \cdots B_{t/j}(R^{-n_{j+1}+1}(0)).$$

By the fundamental property (17),

$$(58) \quad \|P_j\| \leq e^{M(t/j)}.$$

Now we can bound $\log \|A^{n+1}(x)\| \leq \sum_{j=1}^s M(t/j)$. Since $M(\cdot)$ is decreasing,

$$(59) \quad \log \|A^{n+1}(x)\| \leq sM(t/s) \stackrel{(50)}{\leq} (n+2)^{\frac{1}{4}} M\left(\frac{\delta(m+1)}{\ell(n)}\right),$$

Since $\delta(\cdot)$ is decreasing and $\ell(\cdot)$ is increasing,

$$(60) \quad \log \|A^{n+1}(x)\| \leq (n+m+2)^{\frac{1}{4}} M\left(\frac{\delta(n+m+1)}{\ell(n+m)}\right) \stackrel{(45)}{=} \varepsilon \sqrt{\frac{n+m+2}{2}}. \quad \square$$

Lemma 11. *Let μ be a D -invariant ergodic Borel probability measure such that $\mu \neq \nu$. Then*

$$(61) \quad \lambda_1(D, A, \mu) \leq \varepsilon \sqrt{\mu(I_0)}.$$

Proof. Since ν is the unique D -invariant probability measure supported on $\mathbb{T} \setminus I_0$ and $\mu \neq \nu$, we have $\mu(I_0) > 0$. Since μ is ergodic, the orbit $(D^k x)_{k \geq 0}$ of μ -almost every point $x \in \mathbb{T}$ hits the set I_0 infinitely many times; furthermore, if $k_0 < k_1 < k_2 < \cdots$ denote the hitting times, then

$$(62) \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{i}{k_i} = \mu(I_0),$$

by Birkhoff's theorem. Fix a point x for which these properties hold. For each $i \geq 0$, let

$$(63) \quad x_i := D^{k_i+1}x \quad \text{and} \quad n_i := k_{i+1} - k_i.$$

Note that $x_i \in I_{n_i-1}$. Then we can apply Lemma 10 with $(x_i, n_i - 1, n_{i+1} - 1)$ in place of (x, n, m) , obtaining

$$(64) \quad \log \|A^{(n_i)}(x_i)\| \leq \varepsilon \sqrt{a_i}, \quad \text{where } a_i := \frac{n_i + n_{i+1}}{2}.$$

Letting $j \geq 1$, consider the factorization

$$(65) \quad A^{(k_j+1)}(x) = A^{(n_{j-1})}(x_{j-1}) \cdots A^{(n_1)}(x_1) A^{(n_0)}(x_0) A^{(k_0+1)}(x),$$

Let $C := \log \|A^{(k_0+1)}(x)\|$. Then:

$$(66) \quad \log \|A^{(k_j+1)}(x)\| \leq C + \varepsilon (\sqrt{a_0} + \cdots + \sqrt{a_{j-1}})$$

$$(67) \quad \leq C + \varepsilon \sqrt{j} \sqrt{a_0 + \cdots + a_{j-1}},$$

by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. Since $a_0 + \cdots + a_{j-1} < n_0 + \cdots + n_j \leq k_{j+1}$, we obtain

$$(68) \quad \frac{\log \|A^{(k_j+1)}(x)\|}{k_j} \leq \frac{C}{k_j} + \varepsilon \frac{j}{k_j} \sqrt{\frac{k_{j+1}}{j}}.$$

For μ -a.e. x , as $j \rightarrow \infty$, the left hand side converges to the Lyapunov exponent $\lambda_1(D, A, \mu)$ while, thanks to (62), the right hand side converges to $\varepsilon\sqrt{\mu(I_0)}$. So we obtain the announced inequality (61). \square

Proof of Theorem 1. Statement (a) was already checked in Lemma 9, while statement (b) follows immediately from Lemma 11. As for the last statement, suppose that $\mu_k \rightarrow \nu$ (weakly), with each $\mu_k \neq \nu$. Since $\nu(\partial I_0) = 0$, it follows that $\mu_k(I_0) \rightarrow \nu(I_0) = 0$ (see e.g. [Pa, Thm. II.6.1(e)]). Now Lemma 11 gives $\lambda_1(D, A, \mu_k) \rightarrow 0$. \square

5. QUESTIONS

Does there exist a continuous $\mathrm{SL}^\pm(2, \mathbb{R})$ -cocycle over some expanding or hyperbolic base dynamics admitting a unique ergodic measure with non-zero Lyapunov exponents? Can such a cocycle have trivial periodic data in the sense of [Ka]? Perhaps it is possible to construct an example by adapting a few ideas from [Bo2], but the author is currently not able to overcome all difficulties. For a related open problem, see [BoPS, §4.5].

In this paper, Sturmian measures were convenient for two reasons: first, the relation with irrational rotations allowed us to use Proposition 3; second, the complement of the support of a Sturmian measure has a especially simple structure. It is conceivable that the construction can be adapted to other invariant measures. For what ergodic measures is the statement of Theorem 1 valid? Can we characterize such measures allowing arbitrary expanding or hyperbolic base dynamics?

A more challenging problem, in the spirit of the flexibility program (see [BoKR]), is as follows: Fixed an expanding or hyperbolic base dynamics T , is it possible to fully describe the collection of the functions $\lambda_1(T, A, \cdot): \mathcal{M}_T \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, where A varies in $C^0(X, \mathrm{SL}^\pm(2, \mathbb{R}))$? Those functions are upper-semicontinuous and affine; are there any other restrictions?

Is it possible for derivative cocycles to exhibit the behavior described in this paper? Does there exist a C^1 -diffeomorphism f of a compact surface and a nontrivial homoclinic class Λ such that $f|_\Lambda$ admits an ergodic measure ν whose Lyapunov exponents are isolated from the Lyapunov exponents of all other ergodic measures μ in the sense that

$$(69) \quad \lambda_1(f, \nu) > \varepsilon \geq \lambda_1(f, \mu) \geq \lambda_2(f, \mu) \geq -\varepsilon > \lambda_2(f, \nu) \quad ?$$

In a different direction, is it possible to identify the optimal modulus of continuity of the cocycle under which the Periodic Approximation Theorem 2 holds?

REFERENCES

- [AbBC] ABDENUR, F.; BONATTI, C.; CROVISIER, S. – Nonuniform hyperbolicity for C^1 -generic diffeomorphisms. *Isr. J. Math.* 183, 1–60 (2011). [doi](#) [Zbl](#) [MR](#) (↑2,3)
- [AB] AVILA, A.; BOCHI, J. – A formula with some applications to the theory of Lyapunov exponents. *Isr. J. Math.* 131, 125–137 (2002). [doi](#) [Zbl](#) [MR](#) (↑5)
- [ABD1] AVILA, A.; BOCHI, J.; DAMANIK, D. – Cantor spectrum for Schrödinger operators with potentials arising from generalized skew-shifts. *Duke Math. J.* 146, no. 2, 253–280 (2009). [doi](#) [Zbl](#) [MR](#) (↑5)
- [ABD2] AVILA, A.; BOCHI, J.; DAMANIK, D. – Opening gaps in the spectrum of strictly ergodic Schrödinger operators. *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* 14, no. 1, 61–106 (2012). [doi](#) [Zbl](#) [MR](#) With a *Corrigendum*. (↑4,5)
- [Ba1] BACKES, L. – On the periodic approximation of Lyapunov exponents for semi-invertible cocycles. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* 37, no. 12, 6353–6368 (2017). [doi](#) [Zbl](#) [MR](#) (↑3)

- [Ba2] BACKES, L. – Periodic approximation of Oseledets subspaces for semi-invertible cocycles. *Dyn. Syst.* 33, no. 3, 480–496 (2018). [doi](#) [Zbl](#) [MR](#) (↑3)
- [BaD] BACKES, L.; DRAGIČEVIĆ, D. – Periodic approximation of exceptional Lyapunov exponents for semi-invertible operator cocycles. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.* 44, no. 1, 183–209 (2019). [doi](#) [Zbl](#) [MR](#) (↑3)
- [BeW] BERGER, M.A.; WANG, Y. – Bounded semigroups of matrices. *Linear Algebra Appl.* 166, 21–27 (1992). [doi](#) [Zbl](#) [MR](#) (↑3)
- [Bo1] BOCHI, J. – Genericity of zero Lyapunov exponents. *Ergodic Theory Dyn. Syst.* 22, no. 6, 1667–1696 (2002). [doi](#) [Zbl](#) [MR](#) (↑5)
- [Bo2] BOCHI, J. – Monochromatic nonuniform hyperbolicity. [arXiv: 2408.03878](#) [[math.DS](#)] (↑3,12)
- [BoB] BOCHI, J.; BONATTI, C. – Perturbation of the Lyapunov spectra of periodic orbits. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* 105, no. 1, 1–48 (2012). [doi](#) [Zbl](#) [MR](#) (↑3)
- [BoKR] BOCHI, J.; KATOK, A.; RODRIGUEZ HERTZ, F. – Flexibility of Lyapunov exponents. *Ergodic Theory Dyn. Syst.* 42, no. 2, 554–591 (2022). [doi](#) [Zbl](#) (↑12)
- [BoN] BOCHI, J.; NAVAS, A. – Almost reduction and perturbation of matrix cocycles. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, Anal. Non Linéaire* 31, no. 6, 1101–1107 (2014). [doi](#) [Zbl](#) [MR](#) (↑5)
- [BoPS] BOCHI, J.; PESIN, YA.; SARIG, O. – Complete regularity of linear cocycles and the Baire category of the set of Lyapunov-Perron regular points. [arXiv: 2409.01798](#) [[math.DS](#)] (↑12)
- [BuS] BULLETT, S.; SENTENAC, P. – Ordered orbits of the shift, square roots, and the devil’s staircase. *Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc.* 115, no. 3, 451–481 (1994). [doi](#) [Zbl](#) [MR](#) (↑6,8)
- [CNP] CORONEL, D.; NAVAS, A.; PONCE, M. – On bounded cocycles of isometries over minimal dynamics. *J. Mod. Dyn.* 7, no. 1, 45–74 (2013). [doi](#) [Zbl](#) [MR](#) (↑4)
- [Da1] DAI, XIONGPING – Exponential closing property and approximation of Lyapunov exponents of linear cocycles. *Forum Math.* 23, no. 2, 321–347 (2011). [doi](#) [Zbl](#) [MR](#) (↑3)
- [Da2] DAI, XIONGPING – On the approximation of Lyapunov exponents and a question suggested by Anatole Katok. *Nonlinearity* 23, no. 3, 513–528 (2010). [doi](#) [Zbl](#) [MR](#) (↑3)
- [DaHX] DAI, XIONGPING; HUANG, YU; XIAO, MINGQING – Realization of joint spectral radius via ergodic theory. *Electron. Res. Announc. Math. Sci.* 18, 22–30 (2011). [doi](#) [Zbl](#) [MR](#) (↑3)
- [DF1] DAMANIK, D.; FILLMAN, J. – *One-dimensional ergodic Schrödinger operators. I. General theory.* Grad. Stud. Math. 221, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (2022). [doi](#) [Zbl](#) [MR](#) (↑4)
- [DF2] DAMANIK, D.; FILLMAN, J. – *One-dimensional ergodic Schrödinger operators. II. Specific cases.* Grad. Stud. Math. 249, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (2025). [doi](#) [Zbl](#) [MR](#) (↑4)
- [Fo] FOGG, N. PYTHEAS – *Substitutions in dynamics, arithmetics and combinatorics.* Lecture Notes in Mathematics. 1794. Springer, Berlin (2002). [doi](#) [Zbl](#) [MR](#) (↑6,7)
- [He1] HERMAN, M.R. – Construction d’un difféomorphisme minimal d’entropie topologique non nulle. *Ergodic Theory Dyn. Syst.* 1, 65–76 (1981). [doi](#) [Zbl](#) [MR](#) (↑4,5)
- [He2] HERMAN, M.R. – Une méthode pour minorer les exposants de Lyapounov et quelques exemples montrant le caractère local d’un théorème d’Arnold et de Moser sur le tore de dimension 2. *Comment. Math. Helv.* 58, no. 3, 453–502 (1983). [doi](#) [Zbl](#) [MR](#) (↑5)
- [Hu] HURTADO, S. – Examples of diffeomorphism group cocycles with no periodic approximation. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* 147, no. 9, 3797–3802 (2019). [doi](#) [Zbl](#) [MR](#) (↑3)
- [Ka] KALININ, B. – Livšic theorem for matrix cocycles, *Ann. Math.* 173, no. 2, 1025–1042 (2011). [doi](#) [Zbl](#) [MR](#) (↑3,12)
- [KaS1] KALININ, B.; SADOVSKAYA, V. – Periodic approximation of Lyapunov exponents for Banach cocycles. *Ergodic Theory Dyn. Syst.* 39, no. 3, 689–706 (2019). [doi](#) [Zbl](#) [MR](#) (↑3)
- [KaS2] KALININ, B.; SADOVSKAYA, V. – Lyapunov exponents of cocycles over non-uniformly hyperbolic systems. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* 38, no. 10, 5105–5118 (2018). [doi](#) [Zbl](#) [MR](#) (↑3)
- [Kat] KATOK, A. – Lyapunov exponents, entropy and periodic orbits for diffeomorphisms. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Étud. Sci.* 51, 137–173 (1980). [doi](#) [Zbl](#) [MR](#) (↑2)
- [LLiaS] LIANG, CHAO; LIAO, GANG; SUN, WENXIANG – A note on approximation properties of the Oseledets splitting. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* 142, no. 11, 3825–3838 (2014). [doi](#) [Zbl](#) [MR](#) (↑3)
- [LLiuS] LIANG, CHAO; LIU, GENG; SUN, WENXIANG – Approximation properties on invariant measure and Oseledec splitting in non-uniformly hyperbolic systems. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* 361, no. 3, 1543–1579 (2009). [doi](#) [Zbl](#) [MR](#) (↑3)

- [Lo] LOTHAIRE, M. – *Algebraic combinatorics on words*. Encyclopedia Math. Appl. 90, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (2002). [doi](#) [Zbl](#) [MR](#) (↑6,7)
- [Mo] MORRIS, I.D. – Mather sets for sequences of matrices and applications to the study of joint spectral radii. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* 107, no. 1, 121–150 (2013). [doi](#) [Zbl](#) [MR](#) (↑3)
- [Pa] PARTHASARATHY, K.R. – *Probability measures on metric spaces*. Reprint of the 1967 original. AMS Chelsea Publ., Providence, RI (2005). [doi](#) [Zbl](#) [MR](#) (↑12)
- [Sa] SADOVSKAYA, V. – Linear cocycles over hyperbolic systems. Chapter 13 in: Damjanovi'c et al. (eds.), *A vision for dynamics in the 21st century. The legacy of Anatole Katok*. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (2024). [doi](#) [Zbl](#) [MR](#) (↑3)
- [Si] SIGMUND, K. – Generic properties of invariant measures for Axiom A diffeomorphisms. *Invent. Math.* 11, 99–109 (1970). [doi](#) [Zbl](#) [MR](#) (↑2)
- [Ve] VEERMAN, P. – Symbolic dynamics and rotation numbers. *Physica A* 134, no. 3, 543–576 (1986). [doi](#) [Zbl](#) [MR](#) (↑6,7)
- [Vi1] VIANA, M. – *Lectures on Lyapunov exponents*. Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math. 145 Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (2014). [doi](#) [Zbl](#) [MR](#) (↑4,5)
- [Vi2] VIANA, M. – (Dis)continuity of Lyapunov exponents. *Ergodic Theory Dyn. Syst.* 40, no. 3, 577–611 (2020). [doi](#) [Zbl](#) [MR](#) (↑2)
- [WS] WANG, Z.; SUN, W. – Lyapunov exponents of hyperbolic measures and hyperbolic periodic orbits. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* 362, no. 8, 4267–4282 (2010). [doi](#) [Zbl](#) [MR](#) (↑3)
- [ZC] ZOU, RUI; CAO, YONGLUO – Livšic theorem for matrix cocycles over nonuniformly hyperbolic systems. *Stoch. Dyn.* 19, no. 2, Article ID 1950010, 12 pp. (2019). [doi](#) [Zbl](#) [MR](#) (↑3)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY
 Email address: bochi@psu.edu