

# Reproducibility Companion Paper: Making Users Indistinguishable: Attribute-wise Unlearning in Recommender Systems

Yuyuan Li  
School of Communication  
Engineering,  
Hangzhou Dianzi University  
Hangzhou, China  
y2li@hdu.edu.cn

Junjie Fang  
School of Automation, Hangzhou  
Dianzi University  
Hangzhou, China  
junjiefang@hdu.edu.cn

Chaochao Chen\*  
College of Computer Science,  
Zhejiang University  
Hangzhou, China  
zjuccc@zju.edu.cn

Xiaolin Zheng  
College of Computer Science,  
Zhejiang University  
Hangzhou, China  
xlzheng@zju.edu.cn

Yizhao Zhang  
College of Computer Science,  
Zhejiang University  
Hangzhou, China  
22221337@zju.edu.cn

Zhongxuan Han  
College of Computer Science,  
Zhejiang University  
Hangzhou, China  
zxhan@zju.edu.cn

## ABSTRACT

In this paper, we reproduce the experimental results presented in our previous work titled “Making Users Indistinguishable: Attribute-wise Unlearning in Recommender Systems,” which was published in the proceedings of the 31st ACM International Conference on Multimedia. This paper aims to validate the effectiveness of our proposed method and help others reproduce our experimental results. We provide detailed descriptions of our preprocessed datasets, source code structure, configuration file settings, experimental environment, and reproduced experimental results.

## CCS CONCEPTS

• **Information systems** → **Recommender systems**; **Collaborative filtering**; • **Security and privacy** → **Social network security and privacy**.

## KEYWORDS

Recommender Systems, Collaborative Filtering, Attribute Unlearning, Reproducibility

## ACM Reference Format:

Yuyuan Li, Junjie Fang, Chaochao Chen, Xiaolin Zheng, Yizhao Zhang, and Zhongxuan Han. 2025. Reproducibility Companion Paper: Making Users Indistinguishable: Attribute-wise Unlearning in Recommender Systems. In *Proceedings of ACM Conference (Conference’17)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 4 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/nmnnnnn.nmnnnnn>

\*Corresponding author.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from [permissions@acm.org](mailto:permissions@acm.org).

*Conference’17, July 2017, Washington, DC, USA*

© 2025 Association for Computing Machinery.  
ACM ISBN 978-x-xxxx-xxxx-YY/MM... \$15.00  
<https://doi.org/10.1145/nmnnnnn.nmnnnnn>

## 1 CONTRIBUTION SUMMARY

To protect the sensitive attribute of users in recommender systems, Attribute Unlearning (AU) aims to make target attributes indistinguishable from attackers [9]. We investigate a strict and practical setting of AU, namely Post-Training Attribute Unlearning (PoT-AU), where unlearning can only be performed after the recommendation model completes training [1, 3]. To address the PoT-AU problem, we design a two-component loss function to balance the performance of recommendation and unlearning [8]. Specifically, we investigate two types of distinguishability measurements, i.e., User-to-User (U2U) and Distribution-to-Distribution (D2D). We conduct experiments to validate the effectiveness of our proposed methods.

## 2 ARTIFACTS DESCRIPTION

### 2.1 Dataset Preparation

Experiments are conducted on three real world datasets. MovieLens 100K (ML-100K) and 1M (ML-1M)<sup>1</sup> are publicly available. The LFM-2B<sup>2</sup> dataset is currently not publicly available due to copyright restrictions. However, we provide the raw data that we previously downloaded. We filter out the users and items under the minimum interaction threshold. Specifically, it is set to 5 for both ML-100K and ML-1M, and 120 for LFM-2B, respectively. We adopt a leave-one-out evaluation, where each user’s most recent interaction and 99 sampled negative interactions are used as test samples, with the remaining interactions serving as training samples. The complete source code, including datasets, is available on both Baidu Netdisk and Google Drive:

[https://pan.baidu.com/s/1Clq7\\_lFf5D1Di\\_y4pJKkLA?pwd=bqru](https://pan.baidu.com/s/1Clq7_lFf5D1Di_y4pJKkLA?pwd=bqru)

<https://drive.google.com/file/d/1Ffe7Vv4pI4Icz2vyj2PqUtSUTBfGVWOL/view>

<sup>1</sup><https://grouplens.org/datasets/movielens/>

<sup>2</sup><http://www.cp.jku.at/datasets/LFM-2b>

For each dataset, we provide raw files, preprocessed files, and a data processing program (data\_process.ipynb). The preprocessed files are as follows:

- **pos\_dict.npy**: A dictionary that maps the user IDs to their positive item IDs.
- **s\_pre\_adj\_mat.npz**: A sparse adjacency matrix that defines the graph structure for the LightGCN model. Automatically generated when running the LightGCN model.
- **test\_negative\_ratings**: The testing dataset contains one positive item per user and 99 sampled negative items.
- **train\_ratings**: The training dataset containing user IDs, item IDs, and ratings.
- **user\_gender.npy**: A dictionary that maps the user IDs to their gender.

## 2.2 Source Code Structure

Our source codes consists of 6 folders, 2 main program files, and 1 Jupyter notebook located in the root directory. Github repository: <https://github.com/oktton/Attribute-wise-Unlearning>.

- **Folders**:
  - **data/**: contains routines for loading and datasets.
  - **models/**: includes source code for recommendation models.
  - **methods/**: stores different unlearning methods.
  - **utils/**: contains utility functions for model preparation, evaluation, and saving.
  - **configs/**: includes configuration files, i.e., “exp\_config.json” and “attack\_config.json”. “exp\_config.json” is for training experiments and “attack\_config.json” is for attack experiments.
  - **exp\_results/**: stores experimental results, including log files and model files, which will be automatically generated after running experiments.
- **Files**:
  - **main.py**: the main program for unlearning experiments.
  - **attack.py**: the main program for attacking experiments.
  - **generate\_embedding.ipynb**: A Jupyter notebook for generating attribute distribution plots of user embeddings.

The parameters in “exp\_config.json” are defined as follows, while other parameters remain unchanged.

- **method**: The unlearning method, selected from {“original”, “u2u”, “d2d”, “retrain”, “adv”}.
- **model**: The recommendation model architecture, selected from {“ncf”, “lgn”}.
- **dataset**: The name of dataset used in the experiment, selected from {“ml-100k”, “ml-1m”, “lfn-2b”}.
- **device**: The computing device used for training, e.g., “cuda:0”.
- **au\_trade\_off**: The weight coefficient for regularization loss in optimization objective of u2u or d2d. Default is 1e-6.
- **retrain\_trade\_off**: The weight coefficient for distinguishability loss in optimization objective of retrain method. Default is 1.

The parameters in “attack\_config.json” are similar to those in the “exp\_config.json”, while other parameters remain unchanged.

## 2.3 Experimental Environment

Our source codes are tested in the following environment.

- **System and Hardware**: Ubuntu 18.04.5 LTS, Intel(R) Xeon(R) Silver 4114 CPU @ 2.20GHz and NVIDIA GeForce RTX 4080.
- **CUDA Toolkit and CuDNN**: Tested with CUDA==12.4 and CuDNN==8.9.3.
- **Version and Dependencies**: The main libraries and their versions used in this experiment are listed below:
 

```
python==3.10.9
numpy==1.24.3
torch==2.6.0+cu118
xgboost==2.1.2
scikit-learn==1.5.2
pandas==2.2.3
scipy==1.14.1
tqdm==4.66.6
```

 We have packaged them in a file named “requirements.txt” in the root directory. You can install the dependencies by “pip install -r requirements.txt”.

## 3 REPRODUCTION DETAILS

In this section, we provide detailed instructions on how to reproduce our experiments. All the parameters have been set and the codes do not need to be modified. The specific meanings of these parameters were introduced in the section 2. you can run the following command to reproduce training experiments:

```
cd Attribute-wise-Unlearning
python main.py
```

After the training is complete, the results will be stored in the exp\_results folder, including model files and training logs. Then run the following command to reproduce attack experiments:

```
python attack.py
```

Finally, the attack logs of the attack experiment will also be stored in the exp\_results folder.

## 4 EVALUATION EXPERIMENTS

In this section, we introduce experimental setup and results. We study the effectiveness (i.e., recommendation performance, unlearning performance, and efficiency) of our proposed two attribute unlearning methods. Additionally, we analyze the changes in the distribution of user embeddings before and after unlearning to elucidate the mechanisms underlying our proposed methods.

### 4.1 Experimental Setup

For recommendation models, we adopt NMF [7] and LightGCN [6] as the base models. To evaluate the unlearning performance, we employ two types of attackers: MLP [5] and XGB [2]. We compare our proposed unlearning methods (U2U-R and D2D-R) with representative baselines, including Original (without unlearning), Retrain [10], and Adv-InT [4].

### 4.2 Evaluation Results

**4.2.1 Unlearning Performance.** We use the performance of attackers to evaluate the unlearning performance. We use Accuracy (Acc), Precision, Recall, and Area Under Curve (AUC) as evaluation metrics. Table 3 shows the results of unlearning performance.

**Table 1: Results of recommendation performance. The top results are highlighted in bold.**

NMF		NDCG@5	HR@5	NDCG@10	HR@10
ML-100K	Original	0.3083	0.4532	0.3687	0.6353
	U2U-R	0.2444	0.3545	0.2900	0.4989
	D2D-R	0.3013	0.4393	0.3630	0.6330
	Retrain	<b>0.3180</b>	<b>0.4579</b>	<b>0.3767</b>	<b>0.6374</b>
	Adv-InT	0.3120	0.4569	0.3650	0.6246
ML-1M	Original	0.3695	0.5318	0.4236	<b>0.6991</b>
	U2U-R	0.3133	0.4527	0.3670	0.6217
	D2D-R	0.3594	0.5200	0.4114	0.6810
	Retrain	0.3735	0.5363	<b>0.4251</b>	0.6980
	Adv-InT	<b>0.3748</b>	<b>0.5430</b>	0.4250	0.6984
LFM-2B	Original	0.6521	<b>0.7704</b>	0.6809	<b>0.8580</b>
	U2U-R	0.6486	0.7654	0.6771	0.8531
	D2D-R	0.6516	0.7700	0.6794	0.8556
	Retrain	<b>0.6543</b>	0.7695	<b>0.6811</b>	0.8549
	Adv-InT	0.6470	0.7683	0.6752	0.8546
LightGCN		NDCG@5	HR@5	NDCG@10	HR@10
ML-100K	Original	0.3013	0.4387	0.3590	0.6158
	U2U-R	0.2599	0.3782	0.3025	0.5104
	D2D-R	0.2896	0.4201	0.3438	0.5870
	Retrain	0.3002	0.4374	<b>0.3607</b>	<b>0.6216</b>
	Adv-InT	<b>0.3041</b>	<b>0.4389</b>	0.3615	0.6132
ML-1M	Original	<b>0.3489</b>	<b>0.5023</b>	0.4032	<b>0.6735</b>
	U2U-R	0.2717	0.3929	0.3190	0.5391
	D2D-R	0.3434	0.4941	0.3973	0.6607
	Retrain	0.3362	0.4925	0.3937	0.6689
	Adv-InT	0.3480	0.4987	<b>0.4045</b>	0.6722
LFM-2B	Original	0.4513	0.5955	0.4953	<b>0.7298</b>
	U2U-R	0.3771	0.5103	0.4227	0.6483
	D2D-R	0.4509	0.5946	0.4922	0.7240
	Retrain	0.4593	<b>0.5990</b>	0.5001	0.7244
	Adv-InT	<b>0.4645</b>	0.5982	<b>0.5040</b>	0.7210

**Table 2: Running time of unlearning methods.**

Time (s)		U2U-R	D2D-R	Retrain	Adv-InT
ML-100K	NMF	20	12	346	753
	LightGCN	19	11	470	1038
ML-1M	NMF	257	27	3692	6599
	LightGCN	303	32	10463	14702
LFM-2B	NMF	377	38	25284	47949
	LightGCN	377	49	142472	191366

**4.2.2 Recommendation Performance.** We use Normalized Discounted Cumulative Gain (NDCG) and Hit Ratio (HR) as evaluation metrics. For both metrics, we report the results with truncation values  $K = 5$  and 10. Table 1 shows the results of recommendation performance.

**4.2.3 Efficiency.** We report running time to compare the efficiency of unlearning methods. Table 2 shows results of efficiency.

**4.2.4 Analysis of Embedding.** We analyze user embedding distributions to understand our methods’ mechanisms. Due to space limit, we report gender-grouped histograms of user embeddings in “generate\_embedding.ipynb”.

## 5 CONCLUSION

In this paper, we provide the details of the artifacts of the paper “Making Users Indistinguishable: Attribute-wise Unlearning in Recommender Systems” for replication. The artifacts include dataset and source code, experimental environment setup, and experimental results. Utilizing the provided source code, experiments can be conducted and customized to suit specific research needs.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Chaochao Chen, Yizhao Zhang, Yuyuan Li, Jun Wang, Lianying Qi, Xiaolong Xu, Xiaolin Zheng, and Jianwei Yin. 2024. Post-training attribute unlearning in recommender systems. *ACM Transactions on Information Systems* 43, 1 (2024), 1–28.
- [2] Tianqi Chen, Tong He, Michael Benesty, Vadim Khotilovich, Yuan Tang, Hyunsu Cho, Kailong Chen, et al. 2015. Xgboost: extreme gradient boosting. *R package version 0.4-2* 1, 4 (2015), 1–4.
- [3] Xiaohua Feng, Yuyuan Li, Fengyuan Yu, Li Zhang, Chaochao Chen, and Xiaolin Zheng. 2025. Plug and Play: Enabling Pluggable Attribute Unlearning in Recommender Systems. In *Proceedings of the ACM Web Conference 2025*.
- [4] Christian Ganhör, David Penz, Navid Rekasaz, Oleg Lesota, and Markus Schedl. 2022. Unlearning Protected User Attributes in Recommendations with Adversarial Training (*SIGIR ’22*). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 2142–2147. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3477495.3531820>
- [5] Matt W Gardner and SR Dorling. 1998. Artificial neural networks (the multilayer perceptron)—a review of applications in the atmospheric sciences. *Atmospheric environment* 32, 14-15 (1998), 2627–2636.
- [6] Xiangnan He, Kuan Deng, Xiang Wang, Yan Li, Yongdong Zhang, and Meng Wang. 2020. Lightgcn: Simplifying and powering graph convolution network for recommendation. In *Proceedings of the 43rd International ACM SIGIR conference on research and development in Information Retrieval*. 639–648.
- [7] Xiangnan He, Lizi Liao, Hanwang Zhang, Liqiang Nie, Xia Hu, and Tat-Seng Chua. 2017. Neural collaborative filtering. In *Proceedings of the 26th International Conference on World Wide Web (WWW)*. 173–182.
- [8] Yuyuan Li, Chaochao Chen, Xiaolin Zheng, Yizhao Zhang, Zhongxuan Han, Dan Meng, and Jun Wang. 2023. Making users indistinguishable: Attribute-wise unlearning in recommender systems. In *Proceedings of the 31st ACM International Conference on Multimedia*. 984–994.
- [9] Yuyuan Li, Xiaohua Feng, Chaochao Chen, and Qiang Yang. 2024. A Survey on Recommendation Unlearning: Fundamentals, Taxonomy, Evaluation, and Open Questions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.12836* (2024).
- [10] Muhammad Bilal Zafar, Isabel Valera, Manuel Gomez-Rodriguez, and Krishna P Gummadi. 2019. Fairness constraints: A flexible approach for fair classification. *The Journal of Machine Learning Research* 20, 1 (2019), 2737–2778.

**Table 3: Results of unlearning performance (performance of attackers). The top results are highlighted in bold. The lower the attacking performance, the better the unlearning performance.**

NMF		MLP				XGB			
		Acc	Precision	Recall	AUC	Acc	Precision	Recall	AUC
ML-100K	Original	0.6605	0.6666	0.6533	0.7307	0.6502	0.6526	0.6527	0.7101
	U2U-R	0.5042	<b>0.3351</b>	0.6082	0.4980	0.9871	0.9927	0.9816	0.9997
	D2D-R	<b>0.4498</b>	0.3685	<b>0.4107</b>	<b>0.4038</b>	<b>0.3772</b>	<b>0.3872</b>	<b>0.4173</b>	<b>0.3597</b>
	Retrain	0.4450	0.4298	0.4252	0.4129	0.4669	0.4675	0.4688	0.4613
	Adv-InT	0.7094	0.7064	0.7191	0.7736	0.6978	0.6968	0.7104	0.7667
ML-1M	Original	0.7565	0.7492	0.7739	0.8325	0.7170	0.7242	0.7003	0.7941
	U2U-R	0.5978	0.5993	0.6219	0.6520	0.9997	1.0000	0.9994	1.0000
	D2D-R	<b>0.4234</b>	<b>0.4008</b>	<b>0.3434</b>	<b>0.3854</b>	<b>0.4841</b>	<b>0.4835</b>	<b>0.4610</b>	<b>0.4790</b>
	Retrain	0.5223	0.5263	0.4273	0.5291	0.5093	0.5090	0.5371	0.5131
	Adv-InT	0.5298	0.5288	0.5755	0.5438	0.5163	0.5168	0.5406	0.5313
LFM-2B	Original	0.6498	0.6554	0.6424	0.7101	0.6371	0.6325	0.6590	0.6856
	U2U-R	0.6443	0.6362	0.6871	0.7019	0.7979	0.7963	0.8018	0.8832
	D2D-R	0.5613	0.5591	0.5897	0.5944	0.5495	0.5497	0.5562	0.5773
	Retrain	<b>0.4612</b>	<b>0.4606</b>	<b>0.4618</b>	<b>0.4503</b>	<b>0.4733</b>	<b>0.4734</b>	<b>0.4778</b>	<b>0.4690</b>
	Adv-InT	0.6004	0.6135	0.5712	0.6428	0.6000	0.6044	0.5757	0.6386
LightGCN		MLP				XGB			
		Acc	Precision	Recall	AUC	Acc	Precision	Recall	AUC
ML-100K	Original	0.6300	0.6340	0.6325	0.6911	0.6171	0.6237	0.5861	0.6645
	U2U-R	0.5672	0.6047	<b>0.4139</b>	0.5604	0.9761	0.9722	0.9817	0.9983
	D2D-R	<b>0.4298</b>	<b>0.4271</b>	0.5070	<b>0.3888</b>	<b>0.4103</b>	<b>0.4052</b>	<b>0.3918</b>	<b>0.3792</b>
	Retrain	0.4328	0.4416	0.5182	0.4143	0.4964	0.4958	0.5023	0.5190
	Adv-InT	0.6026	0.6112	0.5824	0.6360	0.6098	0.6141	0.5894	0.6503
ML-1M	Original	0.7225	0.7107	0.7557	0.8077	0.6831	0.6812	0.6881	0.7463
	U2U-R	0.6627	0.6758	0.6457	0.7345	0.9871	0.9894	0.9847	0.9992
	D2D-R	0.6615	0.6590	0.6822	0.7179	0.5681	0.5639	0.6021	0.5986
	Retrain	<b>0.4303</b>	<b>0.4195</b>	<b>0.4058</b>	<b>0.4018</b>	<b>0.4458</b>	<b>0.4485</b>	<b>0.4716</b>	<b>0.4209</b>
	Adv-InT	0.6888	0.6905	0.6992	0.7631	0.6462	0.6481	0.6407	0.6998
LFM-2B	Original	0.6473	0.6601	0.6383	0.7073	0.6040	0.6048	0.6024	0.6531
	U2U-R	0.6063	0.5929	0.6985	0.6544	0.9821	0.9824	0.9818	0.9989
	D2D-R	<b>0.4562</b>	<b>0.4503</b>	<b>0.4806</b>	<b>0.4411</b>	<b>0.4818</b>	<b>0.4820</b>	<b>0.4815</b>	<b>0.4818</b>
	Retrain	0.4839	0.4799	0.5279	0.4750	0.4991	0.4994	0.4857	0.4937
	Adv-InT	0.6110	0.6152	0.6000	0.6565	0.5900	0.5903	0.5878	0.6277