# S2MoE: Robust Sparse Mixture of Experts via Stochastic Learning

Giang Do\* Hung Le Truyen Tran

Applied Artificial Intelligence Institute (A2I2), Deakin University {s224363215,thai.le,truyen.tran}@deakin.edu.au

Abstract

Sparse Mixture of Experts (SMoE) enables efficient training of large language models by routing input tokens to a select number of experts. However, training SMoE remains challenging due to the issue of representation collapse. Recent studies have focused on improving the router to mitigate this problem, but existing approaches face two key limitations: (1) expert embeddings are significantly smaller than the model's dimension, contributing to representation collapse, and (2) routing each input to the Top-K experts can cause them to learn overly similar features. In this work, we propose a novel approach called Robust Sparse Mixture of Experts via Stochastic Learning (S2MoE), which is a mixture of experts designed to learn from both deterministic and non-deterministic inputs via Learning under Uncertainty. Extensive experiments across various tasks demonstrate that S2MoE achieves performance comparable to other routing methods while reducing computational inference costs by 28%.

## 1 Introduction

Sparse Mixture of Experts (SMoE) models have achieved notable success in natural language processing (NLP) and visual representation learning tasks (Du et al., 2022; Fedus et al., 2022; Riquelme et al., 2021a; Shen et al., 2023). These advancements build on the Transformer architecture (Vaswani et al., 2017) and its variants (Child et al., 2019; Dai et al., 2019b), which leverage large datasets and significant compute resources. However, training large Transformer models can be prohibitively expensive, requiring extensive compute hours (Kaddour et al., 2023). To address this, SMoE models activate only a subset of experts for each input, reducing inference time compared to dense models (Shazeer et al., 2017; Zoph et al., 2022; Artetxe et al., 2022; Krajewski et al., 2024).



Figure 1: BPC (*Bits-per-character*) on the Text8 dataset with varying numbers of experts used for inference. S2MoE requires the activation of only one expert to achieve comparable performance with other routing methods, resulting in a savings of **28%** in computational inference costs. All methods have the same FLOPs.

Despite promising results, SMoE models face the challenge of representation collapse, where either a few experts dominate the routing or all experts learn similar representations (Chi et al., 2022a; Chen et al., 2022). To address this, research has focused on improving router policies (Chi et al., 2022b; Chen et al., 2023a; Do et al., 2023a). One solution, SMoE-Dropout (Chen et al., 2023b), freezes a randomly initialized router throughout training and gradually increases the number of active experts. However, these existing approaches have two limitations: (1) the expert embeddings are much smaller than the model dimension, leading to representation collapse, and (2) routing each input to the Top-K experts can cause them to learn similar features.

To address these limitations, this work proposes a novel approach called Robust Sparse Mixture of Experts via Stochastic Learning (S2MoE) to enhance expert knowledge and prevent overlap in their learning. Instead of feeding the same input to the Top-K experts, S2MoE utilizes a Gaussian noise to enhance feature learning prior to expert selection, a concept that has been validated in the vision domain (Luisier et al., 2011; Russo, 2003; Chen et al., 2024). By doing this, S2MoE can en-

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author

hance expert learning efficiency during training and reduce the representation collapse issue. To showcase its effectiveness, we perform comprehensive evaluations across various NLP tasks, comparing S2MoE with several state-of-the-art SMoE routing strategies. Additionally, S2MoE reaches the same performance levels with fewer experts during inference, greatly improving the efficiency of deploying LLMs in real-world applications. Figure 1 demonstrates that S2MoE requires the activation of only one expert to achieve comparable performance with other routing methods, resulting in a savings of **28%** in computational inference costs.

## 2 Related Work

#### Sparse Mixture of Experts (SMoE).

Sparse Mixure of Experts (SMoE) building on the Mixture of Experts framework (Jacobs et al., 1991; Jordan and Jacobs, 1994), gained traction with large language models and has since been applied in various fields, including computer vision and speech recognition (Zhou et al., 2022; Riquelme et al., 2021b). However, SMoE encounters the challenge of representation collapse, where experts produce similar outputs. To combat this, various methods have emerged, such as XMoE, which uses low-dimensional routing scores (Chi et al., 2022b), and SMoE-dropout, which activates more experts gradually (Chen et al., 2023a). Other strategies include HyperRouter (Do et al., 2023a) and Stable-MoE (Dai et al., 2022a), both aimed at improving the stability and robustness of routers. Despite these innovations, representation collapse remains a persistent issue (Pham et al., 2024). Our approach differs by emphasizing enhanced feature learning, which helps expand experts' knowledge and reduce the collapse issue.

Learning under Uncertainty. Learning under Uncertainty have a long history that consists wellknown research topic in Machine Learning such as Dropout (Srivastava et al., 2014), Bayesian neural networks (Friedman et al., 1997) and noise regularized learning (Noh et al., 2017). Some studies (Moreno-Barea et al., 2018; Maharana et al., 2022; Hu et al., 2018) have applied learning under uncertainty in data augmentation, a common technique in the vision domain that helps models improve robustness and reduce overfitting. Additionally, (Chen et al., 2024) enhanced feature learning for vision models by incorporating Gaussian noise generation.

### 3 Methodology

We propose a novel model, the Stochastic Sparse Mixture of Experts (S2MoE), which is a mixture of experts designed to learn from both deterministic and non-deterministic inputs. As illustrated in Figure 2, our method consists of two parts: (1) learning with the original input and (2) learning with noise-added input. To regulate the quality of the noise generation process, we introduce uncertainty loss, as shown in the Equation 4.

## 3.1 Preliminaries

**Sparse Mixture of Experts.** The Sparse Mixture of Experts (SMoE) is typically a transformer architecture that replaces the multi-layer perceptron (MLP) layers in standard transformers with Mixture of Experts (MoE) layers, inspired by (Shazeer et al., 2017). Given  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$  as the output of the multi-head attention (MHA) layer, the result of the SMoE with N experts is a weighted sum of each expert's computation,  $E_i(x)$ , weighted by the router function S(x):

$$f_{\text{SMoE}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathcal{S}(\boldsymbol{x})_i \cdot E_i(\boldsymbol{x})$$
 (1)

Where S(x) is computed by TopK function as below the Equation 2, and  $W_e$  is a learnable experts embeddings.

$$\mathcal{S}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \operatorname{TopK}(\operatorname{softmax}(W_e \cdot \boldsymbol{x}), k) \quad (2)$$

 $\operatorname{TopK}(\boldsymbol{v},k) = \begin{cases} \boldsymbol{v_i} & \text{if } \boldsymbol{v_i} \text{ is in the top } k \text{ larges} \\ -\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$ 

## 3.2 Robust Sparse Mixture of Experts via Stochastic Learning (S2MoE)

Uncertainty Modeling. Inspired by (Chen et al., 2024), we introduce the Gaussian Noise Module as Figure 2, which directly applies to the representation space to enhance model feature learning. Given a representation  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$  and  $\mu_x$ ,  $\sigma_x$  representing the mean and standard deviation of the Gaussian noise calculated per batch from the feature x, the noise-augmented input  $\hat{x}$  is defined by the following formula:  $\hat{x} = N_1 \cdot x + N_2$ , where  $N_1$ ,  $N_2$  are two noise vectors that are sampled from two Gaussian distribution ( $N_1 \sim \mathcal{N}(1, \sigma_x^2)$ ),  $N_2 \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_x, \sigma_x^2)$ ).

Existing Sparse Mixture of Experts (SMoE) models provide the same input to the top K-Experts in a TopK setting. In this paper, we propose a



Figure 2: An illustration of our S2MoE that enhances model knowledge through Gaussian noise generation. The method involves two components: learning from the original input and the noise-augmented input concurrently through SMoE, with their outputs combined by a gating network implemented as a 1-layer MLP. Best viewed in colors.

novel architecture that enhances model knowledge through Gaussian noise generation, as illustrated in Figure 2. The output of the S2MoE layer is defined by the following equation:

$$f^{\text{S2MoE}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = g\left(x\right) f^{\text{SMoE}}(\boldsymbol{x}) + (1 - g\left(x\right)) f^{\text{SMoE}}(\boldsymbol{\hat{x}}),$$
(3)

Here, g(x) represents a gating network that combines the SMoE outputs from the original input and the feature-augmented input. The term 1 - g(x)reflects the model's trade-off between focusing on learning the original features and exploring new ones

**Learning.** Same as (Fedus et al., 2022), (Chi et al., 2022a), we propose the training objective with jointly minimizing the loss of the target task, an auxiliary balancing loss ( $\mathcal{L}^{b}$ ) and a below uncertainty loss ( $\mathcal{L}^{u}$ ). For learning under uncertainty, following previous works (Vo et al., 2018; Lee et al., 2021; Chen et al., 2024), we adopt InfoNCE (van den Oord et al., 2019) loss to control the similar between the original input and the noise-augmented input. Given x,  $\hat{x}$  of a mini-batch with B sample as the hidden representations and the noise-augmented one respectively, the uncertainty loss is calculated as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{u}}(x,\hat{x}) = \frac{1}{B} \sum_{i=1}^{B} -\log \frac{\exp\left(\kappa\left(x^{i},\hat{x}^{i}\right)\right)}{\sum_{j=1}^{B} \exp\left(\kappa\left(x^{i},\hat{x}^{j}\right)\right)}$$
(4)

The overall training objective is to minimize:

 $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{task}} \, + \alpha \cdot \mathcal{L}^{\text{b}} \, + \beta \cdot \mathcal{L}^{\text{u}}$ 

where  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  are coefficients for the balancing loss and uncertainty loss, respectively. The term  $\mathcal{L}_{task}$ is defined by the specific task being learned by the Large Language Models (LLMs), while  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  are hyperparameters that can be chosen on a case-bycase basis. In practice, we find that  $\alpha \approx 0.01$  is an appropriate choice.

## 3.3 S2MoE solves Representation Collapse by Design

The Jacobian matrix of S2MoE with respect to  $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$  is given by:

$$J_{S2MoE} = g(x) J_{SMoE} + J_{g(x)} f_{SMoE}(x) +$$

$$g(x)_d N_1^T J_{SMoE} + (1 - J_{g(x)_d}) N_1^T f_{SMoE}(x)$$

$$\implies J_{S2MoE} = J_1 + \sum_{j=1}^N c_j e_j^\top + \sum_{l=1}^N d_l e_l^\top \quad (5)$$

where  $J_1 = (J_{g(x)_d} + (1 - J_{g(x)_d})N_1^T)f_{\text{SMoE}}(x)$ ;  $c_j = S(x)_k (\delta_{kj} - S_j) \mathbf{E}(x)_i$ ;  $d_l = N_1 c_j$ .

Similar to the Jacobian matrix of SMoE as Section A.5, the Jacobian matrix of S2MoE also consists two terms: (1)  $J_1$ , which depends on the input token and experts for the final output; and (2)  $\sum_{j=1}^{N+N} o_j e_j^{\top}$  indicates to learn better gating function to minimize the task loss. Since N+N >> N, this suggests that S2MoE is more effective than SMoE in addressing the representation collapse issue.

## 4 **Experiments**

We conduct experiments on language model pretraining using various datasets, including Enwik8, Text8 (Mahoney, 2011), Wikitext-103 (Merity et al., 2017), and One Billion Words (Chelba et al., 2014). To evaluate performance, we fine-tune the pre-trained models on a range of downstream benchmarks. Additionally, we apply our method to the existing pre-trained language model BERT (Devlin et al., 2019) to demonstrate its effectiveness compared to other SMoE routing methods.

## 4.1 Experiment Setting

Most of our experiments follow the approach of Chen et al. (2023b) and use a base Transformer-XL (Dai et al., 2019a) with four decoder layers.

We compare our S2MoE method with several stateof-the-art routing strategies: (i) *SMoE* (Fedus et al., 2022); (ii) *SMoE-Dropout* (Chen et al., 2023b); (iii) *XMoE* (Chi et al., 2022a); and (iv) *StableMoE* (Dai et al., 2022b).

**Pre-training.** We train both base and large-scale versions of Transformer-XL on four datasets (Enwik8, Text8, Wikitext-103, and One Billion Words) for 100k iterations, following the implementation in (Chen et al., 2023b).

**Fine-tuning.** We fine-tune the pre-trained weights for text classification tasks, including SST-2 (Socher et al., 2013), SST-5 (Socher et al.,

Table 1: Bit-per-character on the enwik8, text8, and Perplexity on the WikiText-103, One Billion Words test sets, where lower values indicate better performance. Here, k represents the number of experts selected during inference. The best results are highlighted in **bold**.

Enwik8					
k	SMoE	SMoE-Dropout	StableMoE	XMoE	S2MoE
1	1.22	2.47	1.22	1.23	1.21
2	1.20	1.56	1.20	1.21	1.19
4	1.21	1.34	1.20	1.21	1.19
8	1.21	1.27	1.21	1.21	1.20
16	1.21	1.26	1.22	1.21	1.21
		Te	xt8		
1	1.30	2.33	1.30	1.30	1.29
2	1.29	1.56	1.28	1.28	1.27
4	1.29	1.40	1.29	1.28	1.28
8	1.29	1.34	1.29	1.29	1.28
16	1.29	1.33	1.30	1.29	1.29
		WikiTe	ext-103		
1	32.38	130.11	31.88	32.83	31.31
2	30.16	58.37	29.97	30.34	29.63
4	30.34	41.88	30.34	30.71	29.97
8	30.94	36.93	31.12	31.21	30.59
16	31.31	37.82	31.81	31.48	31.09
One Billion Word					
1	61.82	197.56	61.89	62.04	60.79
2	58.00	93.19	58.25	58.33	57.44
4	58.68	69.90	58.77	58.99	57.94
8	59.79	62.26	59.77	59.88	58.81
16	60.38	61.67	60.44	60.29	59.39

2013), IMDB (Maas et al., 2011), and BANKING77 (Casanueva et al., 2020). Furthermore, we compare our method with the SMoE baseline using the existing pre-trained language model BERT (Devlin et al., 2019), following the experimental settings of (He et al., 2023). More implementation details and additional results are provided in the Appendix A.

#### 4.2 Pre-training Result

**Base training.** Table 1 presents the pre-training results for four datasets (enwik8, text8. WikiText-103, and One Billion Words). We observe that S2MoE significantly outperforms the baseline SMoE, as well as advanced routing methods such as XMoE (Chi et al., 2022a) and StableMoE (Dai et al., 2022b) on the four all pre-training datasets. The advantage of S2MoE training lies in its inference efficiency by using fewer experts. Notably, S2MoE significantly outperforms SMoE on text8 when using only one expert. It also surpasses SMoE-Dropout (two experts) on WikiText-103, reducing perplexity from 93.19 to 60.79 with just one expert. When S2MoE uses only one expert, it reduces FLOPs by 28% compared to methods like SMoE and SMoE-Dropout, which use two experts, while maintaining competitive performance.

Model	Transformer-XL				BERT		
Method	SST-2	SST-5	IMDB	BANKING77	MRPC	QNLI	SST-2
S2MoE	83.6	41.4	89.5	87.2	78.7	90.6	92.8
SMoE	80.8	40.4	88.6	80.2	74.5	90.0	92.2
SMoE-Dropout	81.8	40.0	89.1	77.3	-	-	-
XMoE	81.3	40.3	88.7	82.7	-	-	
StableMoE	82.5	41.1	88.5	78.6	-	-	-
MEO (He et al., 2023)	-	-	-	-	76.2	90.4	92.3

Table 2: Accuracy of the model after fine-tuned on various datasets. Higher is better, best results are in bold.

**Large training.** . Table 5 reports the BPC on the enwik8 dataset using large Transformer-XL. We observe the gap between our S2MoE and the baselines becomes more significant, indicating our S2MoE enjoys good scalability with the model complexity. S2MoE consistently outperforms both baselines, regardless of backbone size or the number of experts activated, demonstrating its potential to scale up effectively in large language models.

## 4.3 Fine-tuning Result

**Pre-training weights.** We report the results of the fine-tuning experiment on the SST-2, SST-5, IMDB, and BANKING77 datasets in Table 2, using Transformer-XL pre-trained on enwik8. Overall, S2MoE consistently achieves higher accuracy compared to other baselines across all datasets.

**BERT.** We implement Sparse Mixture of Experts for BERT (Devlin et al., 2019), following the MEO approach (He et al., 2023). We present the fine-tuning results on the MRPC (Dolan and Brockett, 2005), QNLI (Wang et al., 2018), and SST-2 datasets using S2MoE, comparing it with SMoE and the MEO baseline in Table 2. The results demonstrate that our method is not only effective for pre-training tasks but also performs effectively on existing pre-trained models, such as those in the BERT family.

## 5 Conclusion

In this research, we explored the potentials and limitations of SMoE for training large language models (LLMs) and introduced Uncertain Sparse Mixture of Experts (S2MoE) to enhance expert learning capacity while mitigating the collapse issue among experts. As a result, S2MoE is able to learn more robust expert representations while addressing the representation collapse commonly seen in conventional SMoE training.Experiments on both pre-training and fine-tuning tasks demonstrated that S2MoE enables more efficient and effective training and inference compared to advanced routing strategies.

## Limitations

Our study centers on improving the efficiency and effectiveness of training large language models (LLMs) using SMoE. While the results are promising, our experiments were limited to medium-scale datasets and a base Transformer-XL model due to computational constraints. Therefore, further empirical evaluations are necessary to validate the scalability of S2MoE and other SMoE strategies on modern LLMs and larger datasets.

## **Ethics Statement**

Despite promising results, training large-scale LLMs remains inherently costly and demands significant computational resources, which must be carefully managed. Additionally, our paper utilized web-sourced data, which is known to contain gender and racial biases, necessitating further efforts to mitigate these negative impacts. Lastly, while our study marks a promising step toward advancing the development of new LLMs, it underscores the need for careful regularization to prevent potential misuse in harmful applications.

## References

Mikel Artetxe, Shruti Bhosale, Naman Goyal, Todor Mihaylov, Myle Ott, Sam Shleifer, Xi Victoria Lin, Jingfei Du, Srinivasan Iyer, Ramakanth Pasunuru, Giri Anantharaman, Xian Li, Shuohui Chen, Halil Akin, Mandeep Baines, Louis Martin, Xing Zhou, Punit Singh Koura, Brian O'Horo, Jeff Wang, Luke Zettlemoyer, Mona Diab, Zornitsa Kozareva, and Ves Stoyanov. 2022. Efficient large scale language modeling with mixtures of experts. *Preprint*, arXiv:2112.10684.

Iñigo Casanueva, Tadas Temčinas, Daniela Gerz, Matthew Henderson, and Ivan Vulić. 2020. Efficient intent detection with dual sentence encoders. In *Proceedings of the 2nd Workshop on Natural Language Processing for Conversational AI*, pages 38–45, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Ciprian Chelba, Tomas Mikolov, Mike Schuster, Qi Ge, Thorsten Brants, Phillipp Koehn, and Tony Robinson. 2014. One billion word benchmark for measuring progress in statistical language modeling. *Preprint*, arXiv:1312.3005.

Tianlong Chen, Zhenyu Zhang, Ajay Jaiswal, Shiwei Liu, and Zhangyang Wang. 2023a. Sparse moe as the new dropout: Scaling dense and self-slimmable transformers. *Preprint*, arXiv:2303.01610.

Tianlong Chen, Zhenyu Zhang, AJAY KUMAR JAISWAL, Shiwei Liu, and Zhangyang Wang. 2023b. Sparse MoE as the New Dropout: Scaling Dense and

Self-Slimmable Transformers. In *The Eleventh International Conference on Learning Representations*.

Yiyang Chen, Zhedong Zheng, Wei Ji, Leigang Qu, and Tat-Seng Chua. 2024. Composed image retrieval with text feedback via multi-grained uncertainty regularization. *Preprint*, arXiv:2211.07394.

Zixiang Chen, Yihe Deng, Yue Wu, Quanquan Gu, and Yuanzhi Li. 2022. Towards Understanding the Mixtureof-Experts Layer in Deep Learning. In Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems.

Zewen Chi, Li Dong, Shaohan Huang, Damai Dai, Shuming Ma, Barun Patra, Saksham Singhal, Payal Bajaj, Xia Song, Xian-Ling Mao, Heyan Huang, and Furu Wei. 2022a. On the Representation Collapse of Sparse Mixture of Experts. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*.

Zewen Chi, Li Dong, Shaohan Huang, Damai Dai, Shuming Ma, Barun Patra, Saksham Singhal, Payal Bajaj, Xia Song, Xian-Ling Mao, Heyan Huang, and Furu Wei. 2022b. On the representation collapse of sparse mixture of experts. *Preprint*, arXiv:2204.09179.

Rewon Child, Scott Gray, Alec Radford, and Ilya Sutskever. 2019. Generating long sequences with sparse transformers. *Preprint*, arXiv:1904.10509.

Damai Dai, Li Dong, Shuming Ma, Bo Zheng, Zhifang Sui, Baobao Chang, and Furu Wei. 2022a. Stablemoe: Stable routing strategy for mixture of experts. *Preprint*, arXiv:2204.08396.

Damai Dai, Li Dong, Shuming Ma, Bo Zheng, Zhifang Sui, Baobao Chang, and Furu Wei. 2022b. StableMoE: Stable Routing Strategy for Mixture of Experts. In *Proceedings of the 60th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 7085–7095, Dublin, Ireland. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Zihang Dai, Zhilin Yang, Yiming Yang, Jaime Carbonell, Quoc Le, and Ruslan Salakhutdinov. 2019a. Transformer-XL: Attentive Language Models beyond a Fixed-Length Context. In *Proceedings of the 57th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 2978–2988, Florence, Italy. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Zihang Dai, Zhilin Yang, Yiming Yang, Jaime Carbonell, Quoc V. Le, and Ruslan Salakhutdinov. 2019b. Transformer-xl: Attentive language models beyond a fixed-length context. *Preprint*, arXiv:1901.02860.

Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. 2019. BERT: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. In Proceedings of the 2019 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long and Short Papers), pages 4171–4186, Minneapolis, Minnesota. Association for Computational Linguistics. Giang Do, Khiem Le, Quang Pham, TrungTin Nguyen, Thanh-Nam Doan, Bint T. Nguyen, Chenghao Liu, Savitha Ramasamy, Xiaoli Li, and Steven Hoi. 2023a. Hyperrouter: Towards efficient training and inference of sparse mixture of experts. *Preprint*, arXiv:2312.07035.

Giang Do, Khiem Le, Quang Pham, TrungTin Nguyen, Thanh-Nam Doan, Bint T. Nguyen, Chenghao Liu, Savitha Ramasamy, Xiaoli Li, and Steven Hoi. 2023b. Hyperrouter: Towards efficient training and inference of sparse mixture of experts. *Preprint*, arXiv:2312.07035.

William B. Dolan and Chris Brockett. 2005. Automatically constructing a corpus of sentential paraphrases. In *Proceedings of the Third International Workshop on Paraphrasing (IWP2005)*.

Nan Du, Yanping Huang, Andrew M Dai, Simon Tong, Dmitry Lepikhin, Yuanzhong Xu, Maxim Krikun, Yanqi Zhou, Adams Wei Yu, Orhan Firat, Barret Zoph, Liam Fedus, Maarten P Bosma, Zongwei Zhou, Tao Wang, Emma Wang, Kellie Webster, Marie Pellat, Kevin Robinson, Kathleen Meier-Hellstern, Toju Duke, Lucas Dixon, Kun Zhang, Quoc Le, Yonghui Wu, Zhifeng Chen, and Claire Cui. 2022. GLaM: Efficient Scaling of Language Models with Mixture-of-Experts. In *Proceedings of the 39th International Conference on Machine Learning*, volume 162 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 5547–5569. PMLR.

William Fedus, Barret Zoph, and Noam Shazeer. 2022. Switch Transformers: Scaling to Trillion Parameter Models with Simple and Efficient Sparsity. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 23(120):1–39.

Nir Friedman, Dan Geiger, and Moises Goldszmidt. 1997. Bayesian network classifiers. *Machine Learning*, 29:131–163.

Shwai He, Run-Ze Fan, Liang Ding, Li Shen, Tianyi Zhou, and Dacheng Tao. 2023. Merging experts into one: Improving computational efficiency of mixture of experts. In *Proceedings of the 2023 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 14685–14691, Singapore. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Hu Hu, Tian Tan, and Yanmin Qian. 2018. Generative adversarial networks based data augmentation for noise robust speech recognition. In 2018 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP), pages 5044–5048.

Robert A. Jacobs, Michael I. Jordan, Steven J. Nowlan, and Geoffrey E. Hinton. 1991. Adaptive mixtures of local experts. *Neural Computation*, 3(1):79–87.

Michael Jordan and Robert Jacobs. 1994. Hierarchical mixtures of experts and the. *Neural computation*, 6:181–

Jean Kaddour, Joshua Harris, Maximilian Mozes, Herbie Bradley, Roberta Raileanu, and Robert McHardy. 2023. Challenges and applications of large language models. *Preprint*, arXiv:2307.10169. Jakub Krajewski, Jan Ludziejewski, Kamil Adamczewski, Maciej Pióro, Michał Krutul, Szymon Antoniak, Kamil Ciebiera, Krystian Król, Tomasz Odrzygóźdź, Piotr Sankowski, Marek Cygan, and Sebastian Jaszczur. 2024. Scaling laws for fine-grained mixture of experts. *Preprint*, arXiv:2402.07871.

Seungmin Lee, Dongwan Kim, and Bohyung Han. 2021. Cosmo: Content-style modulation for image retrieval with text feedback. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, pages 802–812.

Florian Luisier, Thierry Blu, and Michael Unser. 2011. Image denoising in mixed poisson-gaussian noise. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 20(3):696–708.

Andrew L. Maas, Raymond E. Daly, Peter T. Pham, Dan Huang, Andrew Y. Ng, and Christopher Potts. 2011. Learning Word Vectors for Sentiment Analysis. In *Proceedings of the 49th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies*, pages 142–150, Portland, Oregon, USA. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Kiran Maharana, Surajit Mondal, and Bhushankumar Nemade. 2022. A review: Data pre-processing and data augmentation techniques. *Global Transitions Proceedings*, 3(1):91–99. International Conference on Intelligent Engineering Approach(ICIEA-2022).

Matt Mahoney. 2011. Large text compression benchmark.

Stephen Merity, Caiming Xiong, James Bradbury, and Richard Socher. 2017. Pointer Sentinel Mixture Models. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*.

Francisco J. Moreno-Barea, Fiammetta Strazzera, José M. Jerez, Daniel Urda, and Leonardo Franco. 2018. Forward noise adjustment scheme for data augmentation. In 2018 IEEE Symposium Series on Computational Intelligence (SSCI), pages 728–734.

Hyeonwoo Noh, Tackgeun You, Jonghwan Mun, and Bohyung Han. 2017. Regularizing deep neural networks by noise: Its interpretation and optimization.

Quang Pham, Giang Do, Huy Nguyen, TrungTin Nguyen, Chenghao Liu, Mina Sartipi, Binh T. Nguyen, Savitha Ramasamy, Xiaoli Li, Steven Hoi, and Nhat Ho. 2024. Competesmoe – effective training of sparse mixture of experts via competition. *Preprint*, arXiv:2402.02526.

Carlos Riquelme, Joan Puigcerver, Basil Mustafa, Maxim Neumann, Rodolphe Jenatton, André Susano Pinto, Daniel Keysers, and Neil Houlsby. 2021a. Scaling vision with sparse mixture of experts. *Preprint*, arXiv:2106.05974.

Carlos Riquelme, Joan Puigcerver, Basil Mustafa, Maxim Neumann, Rodolphe Jenatton, André Susano Pinto, Daniel Keysers, and Neil Houlsby. 2021b. Scaling vision with sparse mixture of experts. In Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, volume 34, pages 8583–8595. Curran Associates, Inc.

F. Russo. 2003. A method for estimation and filtering of gaussian noise in images. *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*, 52(4):1148–1154.

Noam Shazeer, Azalia Mirhoseini, Krzysztof Maziarz, Andy Davis, Quoc Le, Geoffrey Hinton, and Jeff Dean. 2017. Outrageously large neural networks: The sparsely-gated mixture-of-experts layer. *Preprint*, arXiv:1701.06538.

Sheng Shen, Zhewei Yao, Chunyuan Li, Trevor Darrell, Kurt Keutzer, and Yuxiong He. 2023. Scaling visionlanguage models with sparse mixture of experts. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2023*, pages 11329–11344, Singapore. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Richard Socher, Alex Perelygin, Jean Wu, Jason Chuang, Christopher D. Manning, Andrew Ng, and Christopher Potts. 2013. Recursive Deep Models for Semantic Compositionality Over a Sentiment Treebank. In *Proceedings of the 2013 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 1631–1642, Seattle, Washington, USA. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Nitish Srivastava, Geoffrey Hinton, Alex Krizhevsky, Ilya Sutskever, and Ruslan Salakhutdinov. 2014. Dropout: A simple way to prevent neural networks from overfitting. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 15(56):1929–1958.

Aaron van den Oord, Yazhe Li, and Oriol Vinyals. 2019. Representation learning with contrastive predictive coding. *Preprint*, arXiv:1807.03748.

Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N Gomez, Ł ukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. 2017. Attention is all you need. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, volume 30. Curran Associates, Inc.

Nam Vo, Lu Jiang, Chen Sun, Kevin Murphy, Li-Jia Li, Li Fei-Fei, and James Hays. 2018. Composing text and image for image retrieval - an empirical odyssey. *Preprint*, arXiv:1812.07119.

Alex Wang, Amanpreet Singh, Julian Michael, Felix Hill, Omer Levy, and Samuel Bowman. 2018. GLUE: A multi-task benchmark and analysis platform for natural language understanding. In *Proceedings of the* 2018 EMNLP Workshop BlackboxNLP: Analyzing and Interpreting Neural Networks for NLP, pages 353–355, Brussels, Belgium. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Yanqi Zhou, Tao Lei, Hanxiao Liu, Nan Du, Yanping Huang, Vincent Zhao, Andrew M Dai, zhifeng Chen, Quoc V Le, and James Laudon. 2022. Mixture-ofexperts with expert choice routing. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, volume 35, pages 7103–7114. Curran Associates, Inc. Barret Zoph, Irwan Bello, Sameer Kumar, Nan Du, Yanping Huang, Jeff Dean, Noam Shazeer, and William Fedus. 2022. St-moe: Designing stable and transferable sparse expert models. *Preprint*, arXiv:2202.08906.

#### **A** Appendix

#### A.1 Implementation Details

The base Transformer-XL variant (Chen et al., 2023b) comprises four Transformer decoder layers, each with an input dimension of 256. Each layer includes a self-attention mechanism with eight attention heads, followed by a feedforward neural network (FFN) that has an inner dimension of 512. The dropout ratio is set at 0.1. We divide the FFN into 16 experts, each with the same dimensions. For the larger variants, we scale the model up to twelve layers.

Our experiments are based on the publicly available SMoE-Dropout implementation (Chen et al.,  $2023b)^1$ . The pre-training experiments were conducted using a single H100 GPU, while the fine-tuning experiments were performed on a single A100 GPU. It is important to note that parallel training on multiple GPUs may produce different results.

#### A.2 Pre-training Experiments

We provide the S2MoE implementation details for pre-training our Transformer-XL base and large on enwik8, text8, WikiText-103, and One Billion Word in Table 3.

Table 3: Implementation details for pre-training experimentson enwik8, text8, WikiText-103, and One Billion Word datasets.

Dataset I	Input length	Batch size	Optimizer	Lr	# Iterations
enwik8 text8 WikiText-103	512 512 512 512	48 48 22	Adam Adam Adam	2.5e-4 2.5e-4 2.5e-4	100k 100k 100k

### A.3 Fine-tuning Experiments

To perform the fine-tuning experiments, we utilize the same model architecture as in the pre-training phase. Table 4 presents the implementation details for the fine-tuning experiments conducted across four different datasets.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>https://github.com/VITA-Group/ Random-MoE-as-Dropout

Table 4: Implementation for fine-tuning experiments on downstream tasks.

Dataset	Input length	Batch size	Optimizer	Lr	# Epochs
SST-2	512	16	Adam	1e-4	15
SST-5	512	16	Adam	1e-4	15
IMDB	512	4	Adam	1e-4	15
BANKING77	512	16	Adam	1e-4	15

Table 5: Perplexity on the Wikitext-103 test set using the Transformer-XL large models. Lower is better. The best results are highlighted in **bold**.

	Large	Transformer	-XL	
$_{k}$	SMoE-Dropout	StableMoE	XMoE	S2MoE
1 2 4 8 16	84.86 40.02 31.41 28.64 28.30	26.80 24.24 24.56 25.67 26.85	27.26 24.28 24.54 25.05 <b>25.54</b>	<b>26.20</b> <b>24.12</b> <b>24.24</b> <b>24.90</b> 26.00

## A.4 Additional Results

We trained a large Transformer-XL model with 12 decoder layers and 64 experts. The results are reported as Table 5.

One of the key hyperparameters of the S2MoE method is  $\beta$ , which determines the quality of feature generation from Gaussian noise. The hyperparameter  $\beta$  can be learned from data. In practice, we have found that values of  $\beta$  in the range of (0.1, 0.01) are effective as Table 6.

Table 6: Tuning  $\beta$  on enwik8 dataset.

β	Transformer-XL
1.0	1.202
0.5	1.186
0.1	1.159
0.01	1.163

#### A.5 Representation Collapse in SMoE

Following (Chi et al., 2022a) and (Do et al., 2023b), we illustrate the representation collapse issue using the Jacobian matrix approach. Specifically, the Jacobian matrix of the SMoE with respect to  $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$  is given as:

$$J_{SMoE} = S(x)_k J^{\text{FFN}} + \sum_{j=1}^N S(x)_k (\delta_{kj} - S_j) E(x)_i e_j^\top$$
$$\implies J_{SMoE} = S(x)_k J^{\text{FFN}} + \sum_{j=1}^N c_j e_j^\top, \quad (6)$$

where  $c_j = S(x)_k (\delta_{kj} - S_j) E(x)_i$ . The fist part of Equation 6,  $S(x)_k J^{\text{FFN}}$ , represents the contribution from the input token and experts to the final output. The second part, (2)  $\sum_{j=1}^{N} c_j e_j^{\top}$  relates to learning an improved gating function to minimize task loss. Furthermore, Equation 6 is recommended to be updated as a linear combination of expert embeddings. Due to  $N \ll d$  in practice, the above equation illustrates representation collapse from  $\mathbb{R}^d$  to  $\mathbb{R}^N$ .