

Association in Facial Phenotype, Gene, Disease: A Dataset for Explainable Rare Genetic Diseases Diagnosis

Jie Song^{1,†}, Mengqiao He^{1,†}, Shumin Ren^{1,*}, and Bairong Shen^{1,*}

¹Department of Ophthalmology and Institutes for Systems Genetics, Frontiers Science Center for Disease-related Molecular Network, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610212, China

*corresponding authors: Bairong Shen (Bairong.shen@scu.edu.cn), Shumin Ren (renshumin@wchscu.cn)

†these authors contributed equally to this work

ABSTRACT

Many rare genetic diseases exhibit recognizable facial phenotypes, which are often used as diagnostic clues. However, current facial phenotype diagnostic models, which are trained on image datasets, have high accuracy but often suffer from an inability to explain their predictions, which reduces physicians' confidence in the model output. In this paper, we constructed a dataset, called FGDD, which was collected from 509 publications and contains 1147 data records, in which each data record represents a patient group and contains patient information, variation information, and facial phenotype information. To verify the availability of the dataset, we evaluated the performance of commonly used classification algorithms on the dataset and analyzed the explainability from global and local perspectives. FGDD aims to support the training of disease diagnostic models, provide explainable results, and increase physicians' confidence with solid evidence. It also allows us to explore the complex relationship between genes, diseases, and facial phenotypes, to gain a deeper understanding of the pathogenesis and clinical manifestations of rare genetic diseases.

Background & Summary

More than 6% of the world's population is affected by rare genetic diseases¹, and the latest Orphanet² and OMIM³ databases show that there are currently at least 7000 rare genetic diseases. Among these, many rare genetic diseases have recognizable facial phenotypic features, and facial phenotypes are often used as a basis for diagnosis⁴⁻⁷. In recent studies, the diagnosis of rare genetic diseases through computer vision techniques has reached the level of clinical experts⁸⁻¹⁴.

For example, DeepGestalt⁹, trained on a private dataset of more than 17,000 images, can identify the correct disease in 502 different images, achieving a top 10 accuracy of 91%. But like many AI models, DeepGestalt was unable to explicitly explain its predictions or provide information about which facial features drove the diagnosis.

AI models rely heavily on data, and the GestaltMatcher Database (GMDB)¹⁵ is the only publicly available dataset in the field, containing 10,189 frontal images of 7,695 patients with 683 diseases. However, the models trained on GMDB suffer from the same inability to explain their predictions as DeepGestalt, but in the field of medicine, explainability is crucial¹⁶⁻¹⁸, so an explainable dataset in this field is urgently needed.

Compared to the GMDB image format, tabular format is naturally more explainable. Tabular dataset with clear meanings and units, the relationship between data is more direct and easier to be understood by humans¹⁹. For instance, when we see "age" and "disease" in the table, we can intuitively understand the correlation between them. Moreover, tabular dataset consists of numerical values and categories that can be directly understood by humans, while GMDB image data consists of pixel values that do not have direct semantic information. Furthermore, some logical rules can be found in tabular data, such as "c.6726_6730del; p.Leu2243Serfs*8 in Exon 20 cause Coffin-Siris syndrome 1", whereas for image data, what the model learns tends to be high-level feature combinations.

Therefore, We propose a new tabular dataset, FGDD, which contains 1147 data records, 197 associated genes, 437 associated phenotypes, and 211 associated diseases, of which 689 data records have disease labels. FGDD was constructed by retrieving publications from Human Phenotype Ontology (HPO²⁰)-generated terms, and then identifying facial phenotypes-gene-disease associations from these publications.

The FGDD is primarily used for facial phenotype analysis of rare genetic diseases. It serves multiple purposes, including training explainable diagnostic models, conducting in-depth analysis of the complex relationships between genes, diseases, and facial phenotypes, and uncovering additional potential associations and patterns.

Our contributions can be summarized as follows:

- We propose a new dataset, FGDD, for facial phenotype analysis of rare genetic diseases, which can be used not only for training explainable diagnostic models but also for in-depth analysis of the complex gene-disease-facial phenotype relationships and for mining more potential associations and patterns.
- We have conducted extensive benchmarking on our dataset, and commonly used machine learning models can achieve up to 81% accuracy and provide clinical support.
- We conducted an explainability analysis from both local and global perspectives, and the models trained on FGDD can provide explainable predictions that can be corroborated by relevant research, and enhance physicians' confidence in the model results, which is particularly important in the medical field.

An overview of this study is shown in Fig.1. FGDD dataset is available at <https://figshare.com/s/89093de15415a773b4ba>. All codes are publicly available at <https://github.com/zhelishisongjie/FGDD> and can be audited, copied, and reused.

Methods

Data collection

We utilized facial phenotype concepts and synonyms from the HPO²⁰ as primary keywords, which were then combined with terms related to genetics and chromosomal variation using the Entrez programming utilities tool²¹ to construct our search queries. 11,304 publications are retrieved, after screening, 509 publications are involved in this study.

We collected data from the publications using a combination of automated entity recognition tools^{22,23} and manual labor. Disease ID was standardized by the OMIM³ database, Facial phenotypes were standardized by HPO²⁰, and gene ID was standardized by the NCBI Gene²⁴ database. In the end, data integration and data rechecking were performed. The process of data collection is shown in Fig. 2, **the screening details are provided in Fig. S1 in the supplementary materials.**

Implementation details

For all experiments, we use a split of 70% and 30% for the train and test sets, respectively. All algorithms used the default settings, so there is no separate validation set to adjust the parameters. All experiments can be simply reproduced using our codes.

Data Records

We propose a new dataset, FGDD, for facial phenotype analysis of rare genetic diseases, which can be used not only for interpretable clinical diagnostic support but also for in-depth analysis of the complex gene-disease-facial phenotype relationships and for mining more potential associations and patterns.

FGDD contains 1147 data records, 197 associated genes, 437 associated phenotypes, and 211 associated diseases, of which 689 data records have disease labels. The data resources described in this paper are freely and openly available at <https://figshare.com/s/89093de15415a773b4ba>. Table 1 provides an overview of the files and datasets stored in figshare. All python codes can be audited, replicated, and reused to produce alternative analyses.

Technical Validation

Facial phenotype-Genes-Disease relationship analysis

The Sankey diagram shown in Fig. 3 illustrates the manner in which genetic variations influence facial phenotype, as well as the complex relationship between these variations and underlying diseases. This helps us to comprehend the pathogenesis of genetic diseases and provides valuable reference information for clinical diagnosis and treatment.

Baselines

We tested the performance of common classification algorithms by splitting the training and test sets using a ratio of 7:3. All algorithms used the default settings. The results are shown in Table 2.

Explainability analysis

Global explanation

Global explanation is concerned with understanding the logic of the whole model and tries to explain how the model is obtained through learning. Here we focus on feature importance analysis from both coarse-grained and fine-grained perspectives.

Coarse-grained features divide features into three broad categories: patients, variations, and phenotypes, and analyze the importance of all three. Fine-grained features analyze the three categories individually.

In Fig. 4 patient information plays a minor role in this diagnostic process. This may imply that the pathogenesis of the disease is due to genetic factors more than to individual factors such as environment or lifestyle habits. The variation and facial phenotype are the key diagnostic factors, and genomic analysis should be emphasized in the diagnostic process along with facial phenotypic features to make a more accurate diagnosis. Further studies can explore the genotype-phenotype correlation mechanism to better understand the pathogenesis of this rare genetic disease.

In Fig. 5, variant gene features play a key role in disease diagnosis. Features such as exon count and chromosomal location are essential for accurate disease identification. This indicates that genomic analysis is an important basis for diagnosis. Facial phenotype features also contribute to disease diagnosis. Several facial phenotype-related clinical features provide valuable information for differentiating between different diseases. Physicians need to evaluate the patient's facial phenotypes thoroughly. Background information about the patients themselves, such as geographic region, ethnicity, etc., also has an impact on the diagnosis of certain diseases. This implies that some diseases are often related to population or geographic factors and that individual differences in patients need to be taken into account.

Local explanation

Local explanations are concerned with explaining the reasons for individual predictions or decisions, answering the question "Why did the model make this prediction for this sample?" Here, we explain individual patient predictions based on SHAP²⁵, a cooperative game-theoretic feature importance calculation method.

Fig. 6 shows a local explanation of a patient with a model diagnosis of COFFIN-SIRIS SYNDROME 1 (OMIM# 135900).

Fig. 6A shows the effect of all features on individual prediction results using SHAP analysis, among which the gene ARID1B(57492) has the greatest effect on the prediction results of the model, searching for ARID1B(URL) in the NCBI Gene database, we can find that chromosome and exon count are all ARID1B-related information in the Figure.

Fig. 6B shows the effect of genes on individual predictions in the SHAP analysis, with ARID1B having the largest effect, leading to speculation about the basis of the model's predictions - that ARID1B plays a critical role in the pathogenesis of COFFIN-SIRIS SYNDROME 1. In order to verify the plausibility of this speculation, search for COFFIN-SIRIS SYNDROME 1(URL) on OMIM and find that it is indeed associated with ARID1B. This is also supported by the relevant research²⁶⁻²⁸.

Fig. 6C shows the effect of facial phenotypes on individual predictions in the SHAP analysis, HP:0000574, HP:0000179, HP:0000232, HP:0000455, HP:0010803 have the greatest impact on the predictions, where HP:0000574, HP:0000179, HP:0000455 in the HPO(URL) can all be found associated with COFFIN-SIRIS SYNDROME 1. Although HPO does not directly mention HP:0000232, and HP:0010803 in relation to COFFIN-SIRIS SYNDROME 1, this does not mean that there is no connection between them.

HP:0000232, and HP:0010803 for "Everted lower lip vermilion" and "Everted upper lip vermilion", respectively. HPO does not mention their correlation with COFFIN-SIRIS SYNDROME 1. But the HPO explicitly mentions that "Thick lower lip vermilion" and "Thin upper lip vermilion" are related to COFFIN-SIRIS SYNDROME 1 and ARID1B, and these four facial phenotypes are so similar that they are even categorized in the HPO in the same tier: "Abnormality of upper lip vermilion" and "Abnormality of upper lip vermilion", perhaps they share common underlying mechanisms and overlapping clinical features, indirectly related to COFFIN-SIRIS SYNDROME 1 and ARID1B, which were not revealed before and may provide new clues and directions for future research and diagnosis.

Based on the above observations, the model predictions are based on relevant evidence and may find some implicit and unexposed relationships that can help us to deeply understand the pathogenesis and clinical manifestations of rare genetic diseases. **More explanatory analyses of the predictions are provided in Fig. S8-S9 in the supplementary appendix.**

Usage Notes

The required packages, data pre-processing, specific parameters, codes, and validation methods are all described in detail at <https://github.com/zhelishisongjie/FGDD>.

Code availability

All codes were publicly available at <https://github.com/zhelishisongjie/FGDD>.

References

1. Ferreira, C. R. The burden of rare diseases. *Am. journal medical genetics Part A* **179**, 885–892, <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajmg.a.61124> (2019).

2. Weinreich, S. S., Mangon, R., Sikkens, J., Teeuw, M. E. & Cornel, M. Orphanet: a european database for rare diseases. *Nederlands tijdschrift voor geneeskunde* **152**, 518–519 (2008).
3. Hamosh, A., Scott, A. F., Amberger, J. S., Bocchini, C. A. & McKusick, V. A. Online mendelian inheritance in man (omim), a knowledgebase of human genes and genetic disorders. *Nucleic acids research* **33**, D514–D517, <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gki033> (2005).
4. Helman, S. N., Badhey, A., Kadakia, S. & Myers, E. Revisiting crouzon syndrome: reviewing the background and management of a multifaceted disease. *Oral maxillofacial surgery* **18**, 373–379, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10006-014-0467-0> (2014).
5. Donnai, D. & Karmiloff-Smith, A. Williams syndrome: From genotype through to the cognitive phenotype. *Am. journal medical genetics* **97**, 164–171, [https://doi.org/10.1002/1096-8628\(200022\)97:2<164::aid-ajmg8>3.0.co;2-f](https://doi.org/10.1002/1096-8628(200022)97:2<164::aid-ajmg8>3.0.co;2-f) (2000).
6. Vergano, S. S. & Deardorff, M. A. Clinical features, diagnostic criteria, and management of coffin–siris syndrome. In *American Journal of Medical Genetics Part C: Seminars in Medical Genetics*, vol. 166, 252–256, <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajmg.c.31411> (Wiley Online Library, 2014).
7. Hunt, J. A. & Hobar, C. P. Common craniofacial anomalies: the facial dysostoses. *Plast. reconstructive surgery* **110**, 1714–1725, <https://doi.org/10.1097/01.prs.0000033869.10382.91> (2002).
8. Thevenot, J., López, M. B. & Hadid, A. A survey on computer vision for assistive medical diagnosis from faces. *IEEE journal biomedical health informatics* **22**, 1497–1511, <https://doi.org/10.1109/JBHI.2017.2754861> (2017).
9. Gurovich, Y. *et al.* Identifying facial phenotypes of genetic disorders using deep learning. *Nat. medicine* **25**, 60–64, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-018-0279-0> (2019).
10. Bannister, J. J. *et al.* A deep invertible 3-d facial shape model for interpretable genetic syndrome diagnosis. *IEEE journal biomedical health informatics* **26**, 3229–3239, <https://doi.org/10.1109/JBHI.2022.3164848> (2022).
11. Hallgrímsson, B. *et al.* Automated syndrome diagnosis by three-dimensional facial imaging. *Genet. medicine* **22**, 1682–1693, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41436-020-0845-y> (2020).
12. Jin, B., Cruz, L. & Gonçalves, N. Deep facial diagnosis: deep transfer learning from face recognition to facial diagnosis. *IEEE Access* **8**, 123649–123661, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-33128-3_1 (2020).
13. Pooch, E. H. P., Alva, T. A. P. & Becker, C. D. L. A computational tool for automated detection of genetic syndrome using facial images. In *Intelligent Systems: 9th Brazilian Conference, BRACIS 2020, Rio Grande, Brazil, October 20–23, 2020, Proceedings, Part I 9*, 361–370, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-61377-8_25 (Springer, 2020).
14. Singh, A. & Kisku, D. R. Detection of rare genetic diseases using facial 2d images with transfer learning. In *2018 8th International Symposium on Embedded Computing and System Design (ISED)*, 26–30, <https://doi.org/10.1109/ISED.2018.8703997> (IEEE, 2018).
15. Lesmann, H. *et al.* Gestaltmatcher database—a global reference for facial phenotypic variability in rare human diseases. *medRxiv* 2023–06, <https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.06.06.23290887> (2023).
16. Yoon, C. H., Torrance, R. & Scheinerman, N. Machine learning in medicine: should the pursuit of enhanced interpretability be abandoned? *J. Med. Ethics* **48**, 581–585, <https://doi.org/10.1136/medethics-2020-107102> (2022).
17. Vellido, A. The importance of interpretability and visualization in machine learning for applications in medicine and health care. *Neural computing applications* **32**, 18069–18083, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00521-019-04051-w> (2020).
18. Teng, Q., Liu, Z., Song, Y., Han, K. & Lu, Y. A survey on the interpretability of deep learning in medical diagnosis. *Multimed. Syst.* **28**, 2335–2355, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00530-022-00960-4> (2022).
19. Rudin, C. *et al.* Interpretable machine learning: Fundamental principles and 10 grand challenges. *statistics surveys* **16** (none): 1–85, <https://doi.org/10.1214/21-SS133> (2022).
20. Köhler, S. *et al.* The human phenotype ontology in 2021. *Nucleic acids research* **49**, D1207–D1217, <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkaa1043> (2021).
21. Buchmann, J. P. & Holmes, E. C. Entrezpy: a python library to dynamically interact with the ncbi entrez databases. *Bioinformatics* **35**, 4511–4514, <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btz385> (2019).
22. Luo, L. *et al.* Phenotagger: a hybrid method for phenotype concept recognition using human phenotype ontology. *Bioinformatics* **37**, 1884–1890, <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btab019> (2021).
23. Wei, C.-H., Kao, H.-Y. & Lu, Z. Pubtator: a web-based text mining tool for assisting biocuration. *Nucleic acids research* **41**, W518–W522, <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt441> (2013).

24. Brown, G. R. *et al.* Gene: a gene-centered information resource at ncbi. *Nucleic acids research* **43**, D36–D42, <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gku1055> (2015).
25. Lundberg, S. M. *et al.* From local explanations to global understanding with explainable ai for trees. *Nat. machine intelligence* **2**, 56–67, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s42256-019-0138-9> (2020).
26. Santen, G. W. *et al.* Mutations in swi/snf chromatin remodeling complex gene arid1b cause coffin-siris syndrome. *Nat. genetics* **44**, 379–380, <https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.2217> (2012).
27. van der Sluijs, P. J. *et al.* The arid1b spectrum in 143 patients: from nonsyndromic intellectual disability to coffin–siris syndrome. *Genet. Medicine* **21**, 1295–1307, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41436-018-0330-z> (2019).
28. Vasko, A., Drivas, T. G. & Schrier Vergano, S. A. Genotype-phenotype correlations in 208 individuals with coffin-siris syndrome. *Genes* **12**, 937, <https://doi.org/10.3390/genes12060937> (2021).

Acknowledgements

The research was supported by National Supercomputing Center in Chengdu.

Author contributions statement

Jie Song conducted data collection, validation, result analysis, and manuscript writing. Mengqiao He conducted most of the data collection. Shumin Ren revised the manuscript. Bairong Shen guided the overall process and revised the manuscript. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Figures & Tables




Figure 1. The overall process of this study.




Figure 2. The process of data collection.

Table 1. Overview of data files and codes.

File name	File type	File explanation
FGDD_labelled_set	delimited text (.csv)	The disease-labeled portion of the FGDD dataset includes 689 data records.
FGDD_unlabelled_set	delimited text (.csv)	The unlabeled portion of the FGDD dataset includes 458 data records.
phenotype	delimited text (.csv)	Raw data, facial phenotype data collected in the publications.
gene	delimited text (.csv)	Raw data, gene data collected in the publications.
disease	delimited text (.csv)	Raw data, disease data collected in the publications.
relation_sample_phenotype	delimited text (.csv)	Raw data, patients and their facial phenotypes.
relation_sample_gene_disease	delimited text (.csv)	Raw data, patients and their diseases, genetic variations.
Baselines	IPython Notebook (.ipynb)	Python codes, common classification algorithms trained on FGDD.
Explainability analysis	IPython Notebook (.ipynb)	Python codes, Explainability analysis from a global and local perspective.




Figure 3. Sankey diagram analysis of KDM6A. The KDM6A gene in the first layer, variant details in the second layer, facial phenotypes in the third layer, and rare genetic diseases in the fourth layer.

Table 2. Performance of different classification algorithms on FGDD datasets.

Method	Top-1 accuracy	Macro-F1 score
Logistic Regression	70.53	0.41
Decision Tree	72.95	0.47
SVM	64.25	0.34
Random Forest	77.78	0.52
Catboost	65.70	0.41
Xgboost	64.73	0.35
MLP	78.74	0.52




Figure 4. coarse-grained features importance.




Figure 5. Fine-grained features importance.






Figure 6. Explainability analysis of model single prediction.