

# A numerical Bernstein splines approach for nonlinear initial value problems with Hilfer fractional derivative

Niels Goedgebure<sup>1</sup> and Kateryna Marynets<sup>\*1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Delft Institute of Applied Mathematics, Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Mathematics and Computer Science, Delft University of Technology. Mekelweg 4, 2628CD Delft, The Netherlands.

March 31, 2025

## Abstract

The Hilfer fractional derivative interpolates the commonly used Riemann-Liouville and Caputo fractional derivative. In general, solutions to Hilfer fractional differential equations are singular for  $t \downarrow 0$  and are difficult to approximate with high numerical accuracy. We propose a numerical Bernstein splines technique to approximate solutions to generalized nonlinear initial values problems with Hilfer fractional derivatives. Convergent approximations are obtained using an efficient vectorized solution setup with few convergence requirements for a wide range of nonlinear fractional differential equations. We demonstrate efficiency of the developed method by applying it to the fractional Van der Pol oscillator, a system with applications in control systems and electronic circuits.

**Keywords:** fractional initial value problems, splines, numerical approximation, Hilfer fractional derivative, fractional Van der Pol oscillator.

## 1 Introduction

Fractional calculus offers extension of classical differential and integral operators to the non-integer orders. Particularly of interest are fractional derivatives, generalizing  $\alpha$ -order differentiation for  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ . Fractional differential equations (FDEs) involving these fractional derivatives have gained considerable interest from applications in control theory [1, 2, 3] and physical systems [4, 5, 6].

In the focus of this paper will be a  $d$ -dimensional fractional initial value problem (FIVP):

$$\begin{cases} {}_0D_t^{\alpha,\beta} \mathbf{y}(t) = \mathbf{f}(t, \mathbf{y}(t)), & 0 < t \leq T, \\ {}_0I_t^{1-\gamma} \mathbf{y}(0) = \tilde{\mathbf{y}}_0, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where  $\mathbf{y} : (0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  are the unknown vector-valued solution functions for initial values  $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\mathbf{f} : (0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  is a given vector-valued function, in general nonlinear with respect to both of its arguments. Here,  ${}_0D_t^{\alpha,\beta}$  denotes the Hilfer fractional derivative of order  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and type  $\beta \in [0, 1]$  as introduced in [4], and  ${}_0I_t^{1-\gamma}$  stands for the fractional Riemann-Liouville integral with  $\gamma = \alpha + \beta - \alpha\beta$ . Note that in this work, operations  ${}_0I_t^\alpha$ ,  ${}_0D_t^{\alpha,\beta}$ ,  $|\cdot|$  and  $\leq$  are applied component-wise.

A general challenge for the modeling and interpretation of FDEs is the type of required initial conditions. Depending on the chosen fractional differential operator, FDEs can result in fractional derivative or integral initial values, as discussed in [7]. The Hilfer fractional differential operator parametrizes this operator choice by interpolating between two commonly used fractional differential operators: Riemann-Liouville for  $\beta = 0$  and Caputo for  $\beta = 1$ . These operators

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: K.Marynets@tudelft.nl (Kateryna Marynets)

and their properties are extensively discussed for instance in [7, 8]. Here, the Riemann-Liouville operator results in fractional initial values whereas the Caputo derivative operator yields integer order initial conditions.

We highlight the results of Furati et al. [9], where the authors prove existence and uniqueness of solutions of FIVP (1) with Hilfer fractional derivatives for a scalar-valued setting ( $d = 1$ ). This results can be also expended to the higher dimensional systems for general  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ . For  $\beta \neq 1$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_0 \neq \mathbf{0}$  however, the solutions to this system are in general singular for  $t \downarrow 0$ . This provides challenges in implementation for the numerical approximations to solutions compared to the Caputo case of  $\beta = 1$ , for which numerous established methods exist [10, 11, 12]. Recently, some numerical methods have been proposed to approximate solutions to the general case for Hilfer fractional differential equations. [13] provide an approach yielding *mild* solutions under conditions for integro-differential equations. Admon et al. [14] proposes a method for linear relaxation-oscillations, applying integration on both sides of (1) and taking a Caputo approach. Another linear Hilfer integro-differential is considered by Naveen et al. [15], taking a Lagrange polynomial approach.

In this paper, we propose a new numerical method to efficiently approximate solutions to the nonlinear FIVP (1) with Hilfer fractional derivatives. The method is expanded from thesis work [16], using a Bernstein splines approach as motivated by recent results in [17, 18]. To overcome numerical difficulties and singularities, we apply a time-domain transformation of solutions using a *weighing* approach as it was done in [9] for its weighed norm, together with a time domain transformation resulting in solutions starting from  $\varepsilon > 0$  small. The new method shows highly satisfactory results with an efficient vectorized implementation and allows for convergence for a wide range of nonlinear problems.

The outline of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we provide preliminary results on fractional calculus to establish existence and uniqueness of analytical solutions for FIVP (1). In Section 3, we present preliminary Bernstein spline approximation results. We use these sections to prove the main convergence result on Hilfer Bernstein splines for FIVP's of Theorem 4.1 in Section 4.1, with a detailed numerical implementation in Section 4.2. We show convergence of numerical experiments in Section 5.1, together with applications to the fractional Van der Pol oscillator in Section 5.2, a system with applications in control theory and electronic circuits as recently studied in [19, 20, 21].

## 2 Preliminary results on fractional calculus

**Definition 2.1** (Riemann-Liouville fractional integral, [11]). For  $\alpha \geq 0$  and  $a \leq t \leq b$ , define the Riemann-Liouville fractional integral as:

$${}_a I_t^\alpha f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_a^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s) ds.$$

Furthermore, we use the notational convention  ${}_a I_t^\alpha f(a) := \lim_{t \downarrow a} {}_a I_t^\alpha f(t)$ .

This operator has a number of useful properties:

**Proposition 2.1** (Semigroup property of fractional integration, [11]). For  $\alpha, \beta > 0$ , if  $f \in L^p[a, b]$  with  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , we have:

$$({}_a I_t^\alpha {}_a I_t^\beta) f(t) = {}_a I_t^{\alpha+\beta} f(t), \quad (2)$$

almost everywhere for  $t \in [a, b]$ . Furthermore, if  $\alpha + \beta > 1$ , the equality (2) holds pointwise.

The following boundedness and continuity result will be useful for further results.

**Proposition 2.2** ( $L^p$  boundedness in  $f$ , [11]). The Riemann-Liouville integral is bounded in  $L^p[a, b]$  for  $f \in L^p[a, b]$ , with  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , satisfying:

$$\|{}_a I_t^\alpha f\|_{L^p[a, b]} \leq \frac{(b-a)^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \|f\|_{L^p[a, b]}.$$

By linearity of integration, this result also provides continuity in  $L^p[a, b]$  with regards to  $f$ .

Now, let us introduce two special functions.

**Definition 2.2** (Beta function, [11]). For  $a, b > 0$ , denote the (complete) beta function as:

$$B(a, b) = \int_0^1 \vartheta^{a-1} (1 - \vartheta)^{b-1} d\vartheta.$$

**Definition 2.3** (Incomplete beta function, [22]). For  $a, b > 0$  and  $0 \leq z \leq 1$ , denote the incomplete beta function as:

$$B_z(a, b) = \int_0^z \vartheta^{a-1} (1 - \vartheta)^{b-1} d\vartheta.$$

We now use the beta functions as introduced above to explicitly calculate the fractional integral of a locally supported monomial.

**Proposition 2.3** (Fractional integral of monomials). For  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $k > -1$  and  $0 < b \leq t$ , the  $\alpha$ -fractional integral of a monomial with local support  $\mathbb{1}_{[0,b]} s^k : [0, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is given by:

$${}_0I_t^\alpha \{ \mathbb{1}_{[0,b]} s^k \} (t) = \frac{t^{\alpha+k}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} B_{b/t}(k+1, \alpha).$$

*Proof.* Substituting  $z := \frac{s}{t}$  gives:

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^b (t-s)^{\alpha-1} s^k ds = \frac{t^{\alpha+k}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^{b/t} (1-z)^{\alpha-1} z^k dz = \frac{t^{\alpha+k}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} B_{b/t}(k+1, \alpha).$$

□

*Remark 2.1.* Note that by Proposition 2.3 we have:

$${}_0I_t^\alpha \mathbb{1}_{[0,b]} s^k = \begin{cases} \frac{t^{\alpha+k}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} B_1(k+1, \alpha) & \text{if } t \leq b \\ \frac{t^{\alpha+k}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} B_{b/t}(k+1, \alpha) & \text{if } t > b \end{cases} = \frac{t^{\alpha+k}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} B_{1 \wedge b/t}(k+1, \alpha).$$

Here,  $x \wedge y := \min(x, y)$ . Hence, the fractional integral of a monomial with local support is not constant after its support, in contrast to the integer-order integration case.

Now, let us establish fractional differentiation.

**Definition 2.4** (Hilfer derivative, [4]). Define the Hilfer fractional derivative of order  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and type  $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$  for  $t \geq 0$  as:

$${}_0D_t^{\alpha, \beta} = {}_0I_t^{\beta(1-\alpha)} \frac{d}{dt} {}_0I_t^{(1-\beta)(1-\alpha)}.$$

*Remark 2.2* (Interpolation of Caputo and RL-derivative). The Hilfer fractional derivative interpolates two of the most commonly used fractional derivative operators:

$${}_0D_t^{\alpha, \beta} = \begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt} {}_0I_t^{1-\alpha}, & \text{for } \beta = 0, \quad (\text{Riemann-Liouville derivative}), \\ {}_0I_t^{1-\alpha} \frac{d}{dt}, & \text{for } \beta = 1, \quad (\text{Caputo derivative}). \end{cases}$$

To obtain solutions to Hilfer fractional differential equations, Furati et al. [9] introduce the following space of functions continuous when weighed:

$$C_{1-\gamma}[0, T] = \{f : (0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : t^{\gamma-1} f \in C[0, T]\},$$

together with the following fractionally differentiable subspace:

$$C_{1-\gamma}^\zeta[0, T] = \{f \in C_{1-\gamma}[0, T], {}_0D_t^{\zeta, 0} f(t) \in C_{1-\gamma}[0, T]\}.$$

For IVP (1), the following existence and uniqueness result holds:

**Theorem 2.1** (Existence and uniqueness [9]). *If  $\mathbf{f} : (0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  satisfies:*

1.  $\mathbf{f}(\cdot, \mathbf{y}(\cdot)) \in C_{1-\gamma}^{\beta(1-\alpha)}[0, T]$  for any  $\mathbf{y} \in C_{1-\gamma}[0, T]$ ,
2.  $\mathbf{f}$  is Lipschitz in its second argument. For some nonnegative matrix  $K \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{d \times d}$ :

$$|\mathbf{f}(t, \mathbf{y}_a(t)) - \mathbf{f}(t, \mathbf{y}_b(t))| \leq K|\mathbf{y}_a(t) - \mathbf{y}_b(t)|,$$

for all  $t \in (0, T]$ ,  $\mathbf{y}_a, \mathbf{y}_b \in G \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ .

Then, there exists a unique solution  $\mathbf{y} \in C_{1-\gamma}^\gamma[0, T]$  to IVP (1). Furthermore,  $\mathbf{y}$  is a solution if and only if it satisfies the equivalent integral equation:

$$\mathbf{y}(t) = \frac{\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_0}{\Gamma(\gamma)} t^{\gamma-1} + {}_0I_t^\alpha \mathbf{f}(t, \mathbf{y}(t)), \quad t > 0. \quad (3)$$

*Proof.* The proof follows from Theorem 23 and 25 of Furati et al. [9], applied component-wise for vector-valued functions in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .  $\square$

### 3 Auxiliary spline theory results

In this section, we establish splines approximation operator results from Bernstein polynomials (Section 3.1) extending to locally supported Bernstein polynomials as splines (Section 3.2).

#### 3.1 Bernstein polynomials

**Definition 3.1** (Bernstein polynomial operator [23]). For a function  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , define the Bernstein operator of order  $q \in \mathbb{N}$  as:

$$B^q f(t) = \sum_{j=0}^q a_j b_{j,q}(s_{[a,b]}(t)),$$

with coefficients  $a_j = f(a + j(b-a)/q)$ , Bernstein basis functions  $b_{j,q} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by  $b_{j,q}(s) = \sum_{\ell=j}^q \binom{q}{\ell} \binom{\ell}{j} (-1)^{\ell-j} s^\ell$  and basis domain map  $s_{[a,b]} : [a, b] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  given by  $s_{[a,b]}(t) = \frac{t-a}{b-a}$ .

*Remark 3.1* (Bernstein operator at endpoints). For a function  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , direct calculation using Definition 3.1 gives:

$$B^q f(a) = f(a), \quad \text{and} \quad B^q f(b) = f(b).$$

To assess the accuracy of approximations for all  $t \in (a, b)$ , let us consider the modulus of continuity.

**Definition 3.2** (Modulus of continuity). For a continuous function  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , the modulus of continuity  $\omega$  is defined as:

$$\omega(f; \delta) = \sup_{|t-s| \leq \delta} |f(t) - f(s)|, \quad \text{for } t, s \in [a, b].$$

We can now obtain a bound on the accuracy of the approximation of a continuous function  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

**Theorem 3.1** (Bernstein operator error bound [23]). *For a continuous function  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , the following error estimate holds:*

$$|f(t) - B^q f(t)| \leq \frac{5}{4} \omega \left( f; \frac{(b-a)}{\sqrt{q}} \right), \quad \text{for all } t \in [a, b].$$

## 3.2 Bernstein splines

To obtain a polynomial approximation for longer time intervals without increasing order  $q$ , a splines approach is taken. We subdivide the time domain:

**Definition 3.3** (Knot collection). Given a closed interval  $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$ , take a knot collection  $\mathcal{A}$  of size  $k + 1$  as the collection of disjoint intervals:

$$\mathcal{A} = \{A_0, \dots, A_k\} = \{[t_0, t_1), \dots, [t_{k-1}, t_k), [t_k, t_{k+1}]\},$$

such that  $\bigcup_{A_i \in \mathcal{A}} A_i = [a, b]$ .

Now, using Definition 3.1, we establish the Bernstein splines operator as follows:

**Definition 3.4** (Bernstein spline operator). For a function  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , and a knot collection  $\mathcal{A}$  of size  $k + 1$ , the order  $q$  Bernstein spline operator is given by:

$$S_{\mathcal{A}}^q f(t) = \sum_{i=0}^k \mathbb{1}_{A_i} B^q \{\mathbb{1}_{\bar{A}_i} f\}(t),$$

where  $\bar{A}_i$  denotes the closure of  $A_i$ .

**Proposition 3.1** (Continuity of the Bernstein splines operator). *If  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous, then  $S_{\mathcal{A}}^q f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous.*

*Proof.* First, note that for every  $t \in A_i \in \mathcal{A}$ , we have that  $S_{\mathcal{A}}^q f : A_i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous by continuity of the Bernstein polynomials as can quickly be seen from Definition 3.1. Now, to prove  $S_{\mathcal{A}}^q f$  is not merely piecewise continuous but continuous on the whole interval  $[a, b]$ , take an arbitrary internal knot point  $t_j$  with  $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ . Then, taking  $t \in A_{j-1} = [t_{j-1}, t_j)$  gives:

$$\lim_{t \uparrow t_j} S_{\mathcal{A}}^q f(t) = \lim_{t \uparrow t_j} \sum_{i=0}^k \mathbb{1}_{A_i} B^q \{\mathbb{1}_{\bar{A}_i} f\}(t) = \lim_{t \uparrow t_j} B^q \{\mathbb{1}_{[t_{j-1}, t_j]} f\}(t) = f(t_j).$$

Here, the last equality follows from the result of Remark 3.1. Similarly, taking  $t \in A_j = [t_j, t_{j+1})$ , we have:  $\lim_{t \downarrow t_j} S_{\mathcal{A}}^q f(t) = \lim_{t \downarrow t_j} B^q \{\mathbb{1}_{[t_j, t_{j+1}]} f\}(t) = f(t_j)$  and hence  $\lim_{t \downarrow t_j} S_{\mathcal{A}}^q f(t) = \lim_{t \uparrow t_j} S_{\mathcal{A}}^q f(t)$ , proving continuity at internal knot points, showing continuity on the whole interval  $[a, b]$ .  $\square$

We can now give an error bound in approximation using the splines operator:

**Theorem 3.2** (Bernstein splines error bound). *Let  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous function with a defined modulus of continuity  $\omega$ . Let  $h = \sup_{A_i \in \mathcal{A}} |A_i|$  be the largest knot size of knot collection  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then, for the Bernstein spline operator of order  $q$ , it holds that for  $a \leq t \leq b$ :*

$$|f(t) - S_{\mathcal{A}}^q f(t)| \leq \frac{5}{4} \omega \left( f; \frac{h}{\sqrt{q}} \right).$$

*Proof.* The proof follows from combining the Bernstein splines operator of Definition 3.4 with the polynomial error bound of Theorem 3.1.  $\square$

**Definition 3.5** (Spline fractional integration operator). For  $u : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  continuous and knot collection  $\mathcal{A}$ , introduce the order  $0 < \alpha < 1$  splines fractional integration operator  ${}^q_a \Phi_t^\alpha$  as:

$${}^q_a \Phi_t^\alpha u(t) = S_{\mathcal{A}}^q {}_a I_t^\alpha u(t), \quad \text{for } a \leq t \leq b,$$

where  $S_{\mathcal{A}}^q$  is the spline operator as defined in Definition 3.4.

To provide error bounds, we can use the results as obtained above:

**Lemma 3.1** (Error of the spline integration operator). *Take  $u : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  continuous. Then, for  $a \leq t \leq b$ :*

$$\|{}_a\mathbf{I}_t^\alpha u - {}_a^q\Phi_t^\alpha u\|_\infty \leq \left(\frac{h}{\sqrt{q}}\right)^\alpha \frac{5\|u\|_\infty}{2\Gamma(\alpha+1)},$$

where  $h$  is the maximum knot size  $h = \sup_{A_i \in \mathcal{A}} |A_i|$  and  $\|\cdot\|_\infty = \sup_{t \in [a, b]} |\cdot|$ .

*Proof.* By the Definition 3.5 and Theorem 3.2 we have:

$$|{}_a\mathbf{I}_t^\alpha u(t) - {}_a^q\Phi_t^\alpha u(t)| = |{}_a\mathbf{I}_t^\alpha u(t) - S_{\mathcal{A}}^q {}_a\mathbf{I}_t^\alpha u(t)| \leq \frac{5}{4} \omega\left({}_a\mathbf{I}_t^\alpha u(t); \frac{h}{\sqrt{q}}\right).$$

Now, let us bound the modulus of continuity. Take  $a \leq t < t' \leq b$ . Then:

$$\begin{aligned} |{}_a\mathbf{I}_{t'}^\alpha u(t') - {}_a\mathbf{I}_t^\alpha u(t)| &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left| \int_a^{t'} u(s)(t'-s)^{\alpha-1} ds - \int_a^t u(s)(t-s)^{\alpha-1} ds \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left| \int_a^t u(s) [(t'-s)^{\alpha-1} - (t-s)^{\alpha-1}] ds + \int_t^{t'} u(s)(t'-s)^{\alpha-1} ds \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\alpha - 1 \in (-1, 0)$  and  $(t' - s) > (t - s)$ , we have  $(t' - s)^{\alpha-1} - (t - s)^{\alpha-1} \geq 0$  for  $a \leq s \leq t$ . Furthermore,  $(t' - s)^{\alpha-1} \geq 0$ . Hence, taking  $\|u\|_\infty := \sup_{s \in [a, b]} |u(s)|$ , we can apply the triangle inequality and Hölder's inequality twice, yielding:

$$\begin{aligned} &|{}_a\mathbf{I}_{t'}^\alpha u(t') - {}_a\mathbf{I}_t^\alpha u(t)| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_a^t ((t' - s)^{\alpha-1} - (t - s)^{\alpha-1}) ds \|u\|_\infty + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_t^{t'} (t' - s)^{\alpha-1} ds \|u\|_\infty \\ &= \frac{-((t' - a)^\alpha - (t - a)^\alpha) + 2(t' - t)^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \|u\|_\infty \leq \frac{2(t' - t)^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \|u\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Here, the final inequality follows since  $t' > t$  gives  $(t' - a)^\alpha - (t - a)^\alpha > 0$ . Finally, taking the maximum distance  $t' - t = h$  gives:

$$\omega\left({}_a\mathbf{I}_t^\alpha u(t); \frac{h}{\sqrt{q}}\right) = \frac{2(hq^{-1/2})^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \|u\|_\infty,$$

resulting in the error bound and concluding the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2** (Bound of the spline integration operator). *Take a continuous function  $u : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Then, for  $a \leq t \leq b$ ,  ${}_a^q\Phi_t^\alpha$  is bounded. In particular,*

$$\|{}_a^q\Phi_t^\alpha u\|_\infty \leq \Psi \|u\|_\infty,$$

with  $\Psi = \frac{\frac{5}{2} \left(\frac{h}{\sqrt{q}}\right)^\alpha + (t-a)^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}$ . Here,  $\|\cdot\|_\infty := \sup_{t \in [a, b]} |\cdot|$ ,  $h$  is the maximum knot size  $h = \sup_{A_i \in \mathcal{A}} |A_i|$  and  $q$  the order of Bernstein basis polynomial splines. Furthermore, since  ${}_a^q\Phi_t^\alpha$  is linear, this also gives continuity in  $u$ .

*Proof.* First, write:

$$\|{}_a^q\Phi_t^\alpha u\|_\infty \leq \|{}_a^q\Phi_t^\alpha u - {}_a\mathbf{I}_t^\alpha u + {}_a\mathbf{I}_t^\alpha u\|_\infty \leq \|{}_a^q\Phi_t^\alpha u - {}_a\mathbf{I}_t^\alpha u\|_\infty + \|{}_a\mathbf{I}_t^\alpha u\|_\infty.$$

Then, by the bounds of Lemma 3.1 and Proposition 2.2 for  $a \leq t$  we have:

$$\|{}_a^q\Phi_t^\alpha u\|_\infty \leq \frac{\frac{5}{2} \left(\frac{h}{\sqrt{q}}\right)^\alpha + (t-a)^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \|u\|_\infty,$$

providing the operator bound.  $\square$

## 4 Spline approximation results

### 4.1 Convergence results

In this section, we prove convergence results of the splines approximations for FIVP (1). Note that for vector-valued functions, operators  ${}_0^q\Phi_t^\alpha$  and  $S_{\mathcal{A}}^q$  are considered component-wise. To establish a numerical method to approximate solutions of FIVP (1) for all Hilfer derivative type values  $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$ , we first consider the Caputo-derivative case of  $\beta = 1$ :

**Lemma 4.1** (Caputo-derivative splines approximations). *Consider FIVP (1) for  $0 \leq t \leq T$  and  $\beta = 1$  and assume that the requirements of existence and uniqueness of Theorem 2.1 hold. Then, for a knot collection  $\mathcal{A} = \{A_0, \dots, A_k\}$ , we can construct an order  $q \in \mathbb{N}$  Bernstein spline approximation  $\mathbf{y}_{j,\mathbf{n}}^q : [0, t_j] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  as:*

$$\mathbf{y}_{j,\mathbf{n}}^q(t) = \tilde{\mathbf{y}}_0 + {}_0^q\Phi_t^\alpha \mathbf{f}(t, \mathbf{y}_{j,\mathbf{n}}^q(t)), \quad 0 \leq t \leq t_j, \quad \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^j,$$

converging to  $\mathbf{y}^q := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{y}_{k,\mathbf{n}}^q$  if:

- $\|\Psi K\|_\infty < 1$ , where  $\Psi = \frac{\frac{5}{2} \left(\frac{h}{\sqrt{q}}\right)^\alpha + h^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}$  and  $h = \sup_{A_i \in \mathcal{A}} |A_i|$ .

Furthermore, we have:

- **Continuity of intermediate approximations.** For all iterations we have  $\mathbf{y}_{j,\mathbf{n}}^q \in C[0, t_j]$  for all  $j$  and  $\mathbf{n}$ .
- **Convergence in polynomial order.** The limit  $\lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{y}^q$  converges to analytical solution  $\mathbf{y}$  with order:

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |\mathbf{y}^q(t) - \mathbf{y}(t)| = \mathcal{O}(q^{-\alpha/2})$$

- **Convergence in knot size.** The limit  $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \mathbf{y}^q$  converges to analytical solution  $\mathbf{y}$  with order:

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |\mathbf{y}^q(t) - \mathbf{y}(t)| = \mathcal{O}(h^\alpha)$$

*Proof.* Construct the solution spline approximation sequence  $\mathbf{y}_{j,\mathbf{n}}^q : [0, t_j] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  as:

$$\mathbf{y}_{j,\mathbf{n}}^q(t) = \sum_{i=0}^j \mathbf{u}_{i,n_i}^q \mathbb{1}_{A_i}(t) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{u}_{0,n_0}^q(t), & \text{if } t \in A_0, \\ \mathbf{u}_{1,n_1}^q(t), & \text{if } t \in A_1, \\ \vdots & \\ \mathbf{u}_{j,n_j}^q(t), & \text{if } t \in A_j. \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

Here,  $\mathbf{u}_{i,n} : A_i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  satisfies:

$$(\mathbf{u}_{i,n+1}^q(t))_{n \geq 0} = \tilde{\mathbf{y}}_0 + {}_0^q\Phi_t^\alpha \mathbf{f}(t, \mathbf{y}_{i,\mathbf{n}}^q(t)), \quad \mathbf{n}_i = n, \quad t \in A_i, \quad (5)$$

where  ${}_0^q\Phi_t^\alpha := S_{\mathcal{A}0}^q \mathbb{I}_t^\alpha$  is the splines integration operator as defined in Definition 3.5. For initial iteration values, take:

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{u}_{i,0}^q := \tilde{\mathbf{y}}_0, & \text{for } i = 0, \\ \mathbf{u}_{i,0}^q := \lim_{t \uparrow t_i} \mathbf{u}_{i-1, \mathbf{n}_{i-1}}^q(t), & \text{for } i \geq 1. \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

Then, the limit of the splines approximation sequence on the whole interval  $[0, T]$  is given by:

$$\mathbf{y}^q(t) := \mathbf{y}_{k,\infty}^q(t) = \sum_{i=0}^k \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{u}_{i,n}^q \mathbb{1}_{A_i}(t). \quad (7)$$

To prove convergence of (7), we first need to show that all iterations  $\mathbf{y}_{j,\mathbf{n}}^q$  are continuous for all  $q, j, \mathbf{n}$ . Take  $q \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, for  $j = 0$ , we know that  $\mathbf{y}_{0,n_0}^q$  is continuous for all  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  since  $\mathbf{y}_{0,0}^q$  is continuous by (6) as it is a constant function, and for  $n_0 \geq 1$ , continuity is obtained by the (Lipschitz) continuity of  $\mathbf{f}$  and Lemma 3.2. Then, for  $\mathbf{y}_{1,n_1}^q$ , the initial iteration  $\mathbf{y}_{1,0}^q$  is again continuous by (6) since:

$$\lim_{t \downarrow t_1} \mathbf{y}_{1,0}^q(t) = \mathbf{u}_{1,0}^q(t_1) = \lim_{t \uparrow t_1} \mathbf{u}_{0,n_0}^q(t) = \lim_{t \uparrow t_1} \mathbf{y}_{1,0}^q(t).$$

Then, for  $t \in [t_1, t_2)$ , first iteration  $\mathbf{y}_{1,0}^q(t)$  is continuous by the continuity of the constant function  $\mathbf{u}_{1,0}^q$ . Repeating the same argument as above, we obtain continuity of  $\mathbf{y}_{1,n}^q$  for  $n_1 \geq 1$ . Finally, repeating the same argumentation for  $j \geq 2$ , we obtain continuity for all  $\mathbf{y}_{j,\mathbf{n}}^q$ .

Now we can show the convergence of the limit inside the summation of (7). Lemma 3.2 gives a bound for  ${}_a^q \Phi_t^\alpha$  for  $a \leq t \leq b$  such that for  $t \in A_i$  with  $h_i := t_{i+1} - t_i$  we have:

$$\sup_{t \in A_i} |{}_t^q \Phi_t^\alpha u(t)| \leq \Psi_i \sup_{t \in A_i} |u(t)|, \quad \text{where} \quad \Psi_i = \frac{\frac{5}{2} \left( \frac{h_i}{\sqrt{q}} \right)^\alpha + h_i^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)}.$$

Now, extending this inequality component-wise to vector-valued functions, we have for  $i \in \{0, \dots, k\}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \in A_i} |\mathbf{u}_{i,n+1}^q(t) - \mathbf{u}_{i,n}^q(t)| &= \sup_{t \in A_i} |{}_0^q \Phi_t^\alpha \{\mathbf{f}(t, \mathbf{y}_{i,\mathbf{n}}^q(t)) - \mathbf{f}(t, \mathbf{y}_{i,\mathbf{n}-1}^q(t))\}| \\ &= \sup_{t \in A_i} |{}_t^q \Phi_t^\alpha \{\mathbf{f}(t, \mathbf{u}_{i,n}^q(t)) - \mathbf{f}(t, \mathbf{u}_{i,n-1}^q(t))\}| \\ &\leq \Psi_i \sup_{t \in A_i} |\mathbf{f}(t, \mathbf{u}_{i,n}^q(t)) - \mathbf{f}(t, \mathbf{u}_{i,n-1}^q(t))| \\ &\leq \Psi K \sup_{t \in A_i} |\mathbf{u}_{i,n}^q(t) - \mathbf{u}_{i,n-1}^q(t)|. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\|\Psi K\|_\infty < 1$ , Banach's fixed point theorem gives uniform convergence. Hence, given  $i \in \{0, \dots, k\}$ , we have convergence for the sequence  $(\mathbf{u}_{i,n}^q)_{n \geq 0}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . From (4) and (5) it now follows that  $\mathbf{y}^q : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  satisfies:  $\mathbf{y}^q(t) = \tilde{\mathbf{y}}_0 + {}_0^q \Phi_t^\alpha \mathbf{f}(t, \mathbf{y}^q(t))$ . Furthermore,  $\mathbf{y}^q \in C[0, T]$  since it is the uniform limit of a sequence of continuous functions.

We now use the above results to obtain a convergence order estimate in  $q$  and  $h$ . Taking an analytical solution  $\mathbf{y} : (0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  of the IVP (1) with equivalent integral equation (3), write:

$$\mathbf{y}^q(t) - \mathbf{y}(t) = ({}_0^q \Phi_t^\alpha - {}_0 I_t^\alpha) \mathbf{f}(t, \mathbf{y}^q(t)) + {}_0 I_t^\alpha \{\mathbf{f}(t, \mathbf{y}^q(t)) - \mathbf{f}(t, \mathbf{y})\}.$$

And thus:

$$\overline{|\mathbf{y}^q(t) - \mathbf{y}(t)|} \leq \left( \frac{h}{\sqrt{q}} \right)^\alpha \frac{5 \|\mathbf{f}\|_\infty}{2 \Gamma(\alpha + 1)} + \|K\|_\infty {}_0 I_t^\alpha \overline{|\mathbf{y}^q(t) - \mathbf{y}(t)|}, \quad (8)$$

where  $\bar{v} := \sup_{i \in \{1, \dots, d\}} v_i$  denotes the supremum over all dimension vector components and  $\|K\|_\infty$  denotes the supremum-matrix norm as the sum over each row, making (8) a scalar equation. Furthermore, since  $\left( \frac{h}{\sqrt{q}} \right)^\alpha \frac{5 \|\mathbf{f}\|_\infty}{2 \Gamma(\alpha + 1)}$  does not depend on  $t$ , we can apply the fractional Grönwall's inequality (Corollary 2, [24]):

$$\overline{|\mathbf{y}^q(t) - \mathbf{y}(t)|} \leq \left( \frac{h}{\sqrt{q}} \right)^\alpha \frac{5 \|\mathbf{f}\|_\infty}{2 \Gamma(\alpha + 1)} E_\alpha(t^\alpha \|K\|_\infty),$$

where  $E_\alpha(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^j}{\Gamma(j\alpha + 1)}$  denotes the Mittag-Leffler function. Then, since  $E_\alpha(t^\alpha \|K\|_\infty)$  is independent of  $q$  and  $h$ , and since the supremum is taken over all dimensions, we obtain convergence orders of:

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |\mathbf{y}^q(t) - \mathbf{y}(t)| = \mathcal{O}(h^\alpha), \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |\mathbf{y}^q(t) - \mathbf{y}(t)| = \mathcal{O}(q^{-\alpha/2}).$$

This concludes the proof by providing a proof for the final two statements.  $\square$

We can now construct approximations for the general case of the Hilfer derivative:

**Theorem 4.1** (General Hilfer derivative spline approximations). *Consider FIVP (1) for  $0 \leq t \leq T$  and  $0 \leq \beta < 1$ . Assume the requirements of existence and uniqueness of Theorem 2.1 hold. Then, for a knot collection  $\mathcal{A} = \{A_0, \dots, A_k\}$  on the interval  $[\varepsilon, T]$  we can construct an order  $q \in \mathbb{N}$  Bernstein spline approximation  $\mathbf{y}_{j,\mathbf{n}}^{q,\varepsilon} : [\varepsilon, t_j] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  as:*

$$\mathbf{y}_{j,\mathbf{n}}^{q,\varepsilon}(t) = \tilde{\mathbf{y}}_0 + {}_t^q \Phi_t^\alpha \mathbf{f}(t, \mathbf{y}_{j,\mathbf{n}}^{q,\varepsilon}(t)), \quad 0 \leq t \leq t_j, \quad \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^j,$$

converging to  $\mathbf{y}^{q,\varepsilon} := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{y}_{k,\mathbf{n}}^{q,\varepsilon}$  if:

- $\|(1 + \frac{h_i}{t_i})^{1-\gamma} \Psi_i K\|_\infty < 1$ , where  $\Psi_i = \frac{\frac{5}{2}(\frac{h_i}{\sqrt{q}})^\alpha + h_i^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}$ , with  $h_i := t_{i+1} - t_i$ ,  $i \in \{0, \dots, k\}$ .

Furthermore, the approximations satisfy:

- **Continuity of intermediate approximations.** For all iterations we have  $\mathbf{y}_{j,\mathbf{n}}^{q,\varepsilon} \in C[\varepsilon, t_j]$  for all  $j$  and  $\mathbf{n}$ .
- **Convergence in polynomial order.** The limit  $\lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{y}^{q,\varepsilon}$  converges to  $\mathbf{y}^\varepsilon$  with order:

$$\sup_{t \in [\varepsilon, T]} |t^{1-\gamma} \mathbf{y}^{q,\varepsilon}(t) - t^{1-\gamma} \mathbf{y}^\varepsilon(t)| = \mathcal{O}(q^{-\alpha/2}).$$

- **Convergence in knot size.** The limit  $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \mathbf{y}^{q,\varepsilon}$  converges to  $\mathbf{y}^\varepsilon$  with order:

$$\sup_{t \in [\varepsilon, T]} |t^{1-\gamma} \mathbf{y}^{q,\varepsilon}(t) - t^{1-\gamma} \mathbf{y}^\varepsilon(t)| = \mathcal{O}(h^\alpha).$$

Furthermore, if  $\alpha + \gamma > 1$ , we have:

- **Convergence to the analytical solution.**

$$\sup_{t \in [\varepsilon, T]} |\mathbf{y}^\varepsilon(t) - \mathbf{y}(t)| = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{\alpha+\gamma-1}).$$

*Proof.* First, we will show that by the assumption of  $\mathbf{f} \in C_{1-\gamma}[0, T]$ , we also have  $\mathbf{f} \in C[\varepsilon, T]$ . Since  $\mathbf{f} \in C_{1-\gamma}[0, T]$ , we have  $\mathbf{h} := t^{1-\gamma} \mathbf{f} \in C[0, T]$ . Then, for  $\varepsilon \leq t \leq T$ , write  $\mathbf{f} := t^{\gamma-1} \mathbf{h}$ . Since  $t^{\gamma-1} \in C[\varepsilon, T]$  because a singularity only appears for  $t \downarrow 0$  and since  $\mathbf{h} \in C[\varepsilon, T]$ , we have that the product must be in  $C[\varepsilon, T]$  as well. Hence,  $\mathbf{f} \in C[\varepsilon, T]$ .

Now, consider the equivalent integral equation (3) of IVP (1). Taking the transformation  $\mathbf{v}(t) := t^{1-\gamma} \mathbf{y}(t)$  then gives:

$$\mathbf{v}(t) = \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_0 + {}_0 I_t^\alpha \mathbf{g}(t, s, \mathbf{v}(s)), \quad t > 0.$$

Here,  $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_0 := \frac{\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_0}{\Gamma(\gamma)}$  and  $\mathbf{g}(t, s, \mathbf{v}(s)) := t^{1-\gamma} \mathbf{f}(s, s^{\gamma-1} \mathbf{v}(s))$ , where  $s \leq t$  is introduced as the variable of integration for notational clarity. Now, consider the  $\varepsilon$ -shifted integral equation:

$$\mathbf{v}^\varepsilon(t) = \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_0 + {}_\varepsilon I_t^\alpha \mathbf{g}(t, s, \mathbf{v}^\varepsilon(s)), \quad t \geq \varepsilon. \quad (9)$$

Note that  $\mathbf{g}$  is Lipschitz in  $\mathbf{v}^\varepsilon$  for  $\varepsilon \leq s \leq t$  since  $\mathbf{f}$  is Lipschitz. Furthermore,  $\mathbf{g} \in C[\varepsilon, T]$  since  $\mathbf{f} \in C[\varepsilon, T]$ . Then, existence and uniqueness of  $\mathbf{v}^\varepsilon \in C[\varepsilon, T]$  in equation (9) can then be obtained by Theorem 23 and 25 of Furati et al. [9] in the Caputo case of  $\beta = 1$  and taking  $a = \varepsilon$ .

To obtain approximations for  $\mathbf{v}^\varepsilon$  of (9), we follow the same proof as in Lemma 4.1. We are left to show we can obtain a fixed point contraction mapping for the transformed system. Write:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{t \in A_i} |\mathbf{u}_{i,n+1}^{q,\varepsilon}(t) - \mathbf{u}_{i,n}^{q,\varepsilon}(t)| \\
&= \sup_{t \in A_i} \left| {}_0^q \Phi_t^\alpha \{ \mathbf{g}(t, s, \mathbf{v}_{i,n}^{q,\varepsilon}(t)) - \mathbf{g}(t, s, \mathbf{v}_{i,n-1}^{q,\varepsilon}(t)) \} \right| \\
&= \sup_{t \in A_i} \left| {}_t^q \Phi_t^\alpha \{ \mathbf{g}(t, s, \mathbf{u}_{i,n}^{q,\varepsilon}(t)) - \mathbf{g}(t, s, \mathbf{u}_{i,n-1}^{q,\varepsilon}(t)) \} \right| \\
&\leq \Psi_i \sup_{s, t \in A_i} |\mathbf{g}(t, s, \mathbf{u}_{i,n}^{q,\varepsilon}(s)) - \mathbf{g}(t, s, \mathbf{u}_{i,n-1}^{q,\varepsilon}(s))| \\
&= \Psi_i \sup_{s, t \in A_i} |t^{1-\gamma} \mathbf{f}(s, s^{\gamma-1} \mathbf{u}_{i,n}^{q,\varepsilon}(s)) - t^{1-\gamma} \mathbf{f}(s, s^{\gamma-1} \mathbf{u}_{i,n-1}^{q,\varepsilon}(s))| \\
&\leq \Psi_i t_{i+1}^{1-\gamma} \sup_{s \in A_i} |\mathbf{f}(s, s^{\gamma-1} \mathbf{u}_{i,n}^{q,\varepsilon}(s)) - \mathbf{f}(s, s^{\gamma-1} \mathbf{u}_{i,n-1}^{q,\varepsilon}(s))| \\
&\leq \Psi_i t_{i+1}^{1-\gamma} K \sup_{s \in A_i} |s^{\gamma-1} \mathbf{u}_{i,n}^{q,\varepsilon}(s) - s^{\gamma-1} \mathbf{u}_{i,n-1}^{q,\varepsilon}(s)| \\
&\leq \Psi_i \left( 1 + \frac{h_i}{t_i} \right)^{1-\gamma} K \sup_{s \in A_i} |\mathbf{u}_{i,n}^{q,\varepsilon}(s) - \mathbf{u}_{i,n-1}^{q,\varepsilon}(s)|.
\end{aligned}$$

Since by assumption  $\|\Psi_i \left( 1 + \frac{h_i}{t_i} \right)^{1-\gamma} K\|_\infty < 1$ , we thus have convergence of approximations  $\mathbf{u}^{q,\varepsilon} \in C[\varepsilon, T]$  to the shifted equation (9), satisfying convergence in  $h$  and  $q$  as specified in the Caputo case of Lemma 4.1. Furthermore, by the same argument as stated above for continuity of  $\mathbf{f} \in C[\varepsilon, T]$ , we know intermediate approximations  $\mathbf{y}_{j,\mathbf{n}}^{q,\varepsilon} \in C[\varepsilon, t_j]$  since  $\mathbf{v}_{j,\mathbf{n}}^{q,\varepsilon} \in C[\varepsilon, t_j]$ .

Finally, to establish the connection to the analytical solution  $\mathbf{y}$ , consider the back-transformed shifted solution  $\mathbf{y}^\varepsilon := t^{\gamma-1} \mathbf{v}^\varepsilon : [\varepsilon, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ . Hence, by (9),  $\mathbf{y}^\varepsilon$  satisfies:  $\mathbf{y}^\varepsilon(t) = \frac{\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_0}{\Gamma(\gamma)} t^{\gamma-1} + {}_\varepsilon I_t^\alpha \mathbf{f}(t, \mathbf{y}^\varepsilon(t))$  for  $t \geq \varepsilon$ . Now consider analytical solution  $\mathbf{y}$  satisfying the equivalent integral equation (3). Then, for  $\varepsilon \leq t \leq T$  write:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{y}(t) - \mathbf{y}^\varepsilon(t) &= {}_0 I_t^\alpha \mathbf{f}(t, \mathbf{y}(t)) - {}_\varepsilon I_t^\alpha \mathbf{f}(t, \mathbf{y}^\varepsilon(t)), \\
&= {}_0 I_\varepsilon^\alpha \mathbf{f}(t, \mathbf{y}(t)) + {}_\varepsilon I_t^\alpha [\mathbf{f}(t, \mathbf{y}(t)) - \mathbf{f}(t, \mathbf{y}^\varepsilon(t))].
\end{aligned}$$

By the Lipschitz condition on  $\mathbf{f}$  and the triangle inequality, we have:

$$|\mathbf{y}(t) - \mathbf{y}^\varepsilon(t)| \leq |{}_0 I_\varepsilon^\alpha \mathbf{f}(t, \mathbf{y}(t))| + {}_\varepsilon I_t^\alpha K |\mathbf{y}(t) - \mathbf{y}^\varepsilon(t)|. \quad (10)$$

By making use of the Hölder inequality, the first term in (10) can be written as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
|{}_0 I_\varepsilon^\alpha \mathbf{f}(t, \mathbf{y}(t))| &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\varepsilon |(t-s)^{\alpha-1} \mathbf{f}(t, \mathbf{y}(t))| ds \\
&= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\varepsilon |(t-s)^{\alpha-1} s^{\gamma-1} \mathbf{f}(t, \mathbf{y}(t)) s^{1-\gamma}| ds \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\varepsilon (t-s)^{\alpha-1} s^{\gamma-1} ds \|\mathbf{f}\|_{1-\gamma}.
\end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 2.3, we have  $\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\varepsilon (t-s)^{\alpha-1} s^{\gamma-1} ds = \frac{t^{\alpha+\gamma-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} B_{\varepsilon/t}(\gamma, \alpha)$ . Hence, we can write:

$$|{}_0 I_\varepsilon^\alpha \mathbf{f}(t, \mathbf{y}(t))| \leq \frac{t^{\alpha+\gamma-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} B_{\varepsilon/t}(\gamma, \alpha) \|\mathbf{f}\|_{1-\gamma}.$$

Furthermore, as will be used later in the proof, this function is decreasing in  $t > \varepsilon$ , as:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\varepsilon (t-s)^{\alpha-1} s^{\gamma-1} ds \right) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\varepsilon \frac{d}{dt} (t-s)^{\alpha-1} s^{\gamma-1} ds \\
&= (\alpha-1) \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\varepsilon (t-s)^{\alpha-2} s^{\gamma-1} ds < 0,
\end{aligned}$$

since  $(t-s)^{\alpha-2}s^{\gamma-1} \geq 0$  for  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\gamma \in (0, 1]$ ,  $0 \leq s \leq \varepsilon$  and furthermore  $(\alpha-1) < 0$ . Thus, the supremum must be attained at  $t = \varepsilon$ , and hence:

$$|{}_0I_\varepsilon^\alpha \mathbf{f}(t, \mathbf{y}(t))| \leq \frac{\varepsilon^{\alpha+\gamma-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} B(\gamma, \alpha) \|\mathbf{f}\|_{1-\gamma}, = \varepsilon^{\alpha+\gamma-1} \underbrace{\frac{\Gamma(\gamma)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\gamma)}}_{:=\Theta} \|\mathbf{f}\|_{1-\gamma}.$$

Then, substituting back to (10), we have:

$$|\mathbf{y}(t) - \mathbf{y}^\varepsilon(t)| \leq \varepsilon^{\alpha+\gamma-1} \Theta + {}_\varepsilon I_t^\alpha K |\mathbf{y}(t) - \mathbf{y}^\varepsilon(t)|.$$

Now, writing  $\mathbf{z}(t) := |\mathbf{y}(t) - \mathbf{y}^\varepsilon(t)| \mathbb{1}_{[\varepsilon, T]}(t)$ , we have

$$\bar{\mathbf{z}}(t) \leq \varepsilon^{\alpha+\gamma-1} \Theta + \frac{\|K\|_\infty}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \bar{\mathbf{z}}(s) ds.$$

Here,  $\bar{\mathbf{z}} := \sup_{i \in \{1, \dots, d\}} z_i$  denotes the supremum over all dimension vector components and  $\|K\|_\infty$  denotes the supremum-matrix norm as the sum over each row. Now, by the fractional Grönwall inequality as proven in Corollary 2 of Ye et al. [24], we have:

$$\bar{\mathbf{z}}(t) \leq \varepsilon^{\alpha+\gamma-1} \Theta E_\alpha(t^\alpha \|K\|_\infty),$$

where  $E_\alpha(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^j}{\Gamma(j\alpha+1)}$  denotes the Mittag-Leffler function. Writing this back as an upper bound to the components of  $\mathbf{y}$  and taking the limit over the supremum now gives:

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \left( \sup_{t \in [\varepsilon, T]} |\mathbf{y}(t) - \mathbf{y}^\varepsilon(t)| \right) \leq \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \varepsilon^{\alpha+\gamma-1} \Theta E_\alpha(T^\alpha \|K\|_\infty) = \mathbf{0},$$

since  $\alpha + \gamma - 1 > 0$ . Furthermore, since  $\Theta E_\alpha(T^\alpha \|K\|_\infty)$  is independent of  $\varepsilon$ , we know:

$$\sup_{t \in [\varepsilon, T]} |\mathbf{y}(t) - \mathbf{y}^\varepsilon(t)| = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{\alpha+\gamma-1}),$$

concluding the proof.  $\square$

## 4.2 Implementation

To construct a numerical method constructing the approximations to the solutions of FIVP (1), we numerically implement the splines and integration operators used in Lemma 4.1 and Theorem 4.1. Given a function  $f : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and a knot collection  $\mathcal{A}$ , the splines approximation operator can directly be implemented from Definition 3.1 and 3.4, yielding:

$$S_{\mathcal{A}}^q f(t) = \sum_{i=0}^k \sum_{j=0}^q \sum_{\ell=j}^q a_{i,j} \binom{q}{\ell} \binom{\ell}{j} (-1)^{\ell-j} \mathbb{1}_{A_i} s_i(t)^\ell, \quad (11)$$

where  $a_{i,j} = f(t_i + j(t_{i+1} - t_i)/q)$  for  $i \in \{0, \dots, k\}$  and  $j \in \{0, \dots, q\}$ . Furthermore,  $s_i : A_i \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is given by  $s_i(t) := \frac{t-t_i}{t_{i+1}-t_i}$ .

Now, for a given Bernstein spline  $S : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with Bernstein coefficients  $a_{i,j}$ , we want to establish an expression for fractional integration. First, consider the monomial part of the expression. Note that for  $t < t_i$ , the integral must be zero as the fractional integral of the zero function is zero. Now, for  $t \geq t_i$ , the integral can be written out as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} {}_0I_t^\alpha \{ \mathbb{1}_{A_i}(t) s_i(t)^\ell \}(t) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-x)^{\alpha-1} \mathbb{1}_{A_i}(x) s_i(x)^\ell dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{t_i}^{t \wedge t_{i+1}} (t-x)^{\alpha-1} s_i(x)^\ell dx \\ &= \frac{(t_{i+1} - t_i)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^{s_i(t) \wedge 1} (t - s_i^{-1}(z))^{\alpha-1} z^\ell dz \\ &= \frac{(t_{i+1} - t_i)^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^{s_i(t) \wedge 1} (s_i(t) - z)^{\alpha-1} z^\ell dz. \end{aligned}$$

Here,  $a \wedge b$  denotes  $\min(a, b)$  and  $z := s_i(x)$ . The notation  $s_i^{-1} : [0, 1] \rightarrow [t_i, t_{i+1}]$  is used for the inverse of  $s_i$  as given by  $s^{-1}(s) = s(t_{i+1} - t_i) + t_i$ . For the final step, using the transformation  $\vartheta := z/s_i(t)$  gives:

$$\begin{aligned} {}_0I_t^\alpha \{ \mathbb{1}_{A_i}(t) s_i(t)^\ell \} (t) &= \frac{(t_{i+1} - t_i)^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^{s_i(t) \wedge 1} (s_i(t) - z)^{\alpha-1} z^\ell dz \\ &= \frac{(t_{i+1} - t_i)^\alpha s_i(t)^{\alpha+\ell}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^{1 \wedge 1/s_i(t)} (1 - \vartheta)^{\alpha-1} \vartheta^\ell d\vartheta \\ &= \frac{(t - t_i)^{\alpha+\ell}}{(t_{i+1} - t_i)^\ell \Gamma(\alpha)} B_{1 \wedge 1/s_i(t)}(\ell + 1, \alpha). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Now, going back to equation (11) and taking the result of (12) combined with linearity of the integral, we have an expression in the form:

$${}_0I_t^\alpha S(t) = \sum_{i=0}^k \sum_{j=0}^q \sum_{\ell=j}^q a_{i,j} \binom{q}{\ell} \binom{\ell}{j} \frac{(t - t_i)^{\alpha+\ell} B_{1 \wedge 1/s_i(t)}(\ell + 1, \alpha)}{(-1)^{j-\ell} (t_{i+1} - t_i)^\ell \Gamma(\alpha)}. \quad (13)$$

Finally, we consider an efficient vectorized software implementation. Given a spline such as obtained in (11) with corresponding coefficient matrix  $A$ :

$$A_{i,j} = a_{i,j}, \quad i \in \{0, \dots, k\}, \quad j \in \{0, \dots, q\},$$

we are interested in computing the right hand side of expression (13) for  $h+1$  evaluation points  $\mathbf{t} = [\tau_0 \ \tau_1 \ \dots \ \tau_h]$ . We vectorize the expression for the Bernstein basis coefficients by writing the  $(q+1) \times (q+1)$ -matrix  $B$  as:

$$B_{j,\ell} = \begin{cases} \binom{q}{\ell} \binom{\ell}{j} (-1)^{\ell-j} & \text{if } \ell \geq j, \\ 0 & \text{else,} \end{cases} \quad \text{for } j, \ell \in \{0, \dots, q\}. \quad (14)$$

Now, define the tensor  $J$  of size  $(k+1) \times (q+1) \times (h+1)$ . By the result of (12), the entries are provided by:

$$J_{i,\ell,m} = \frac{(\tau_m - t_i)^{\alpha+\ell} B_{1 \wedge 1/s_i(\tau_m)}(\ell + 1, \alpha)}{(t_{i+1} - t_i)^\ell \Gamma(\alpha)}, \quad \text{for } \begin{cases} i \in \{0, \dots, k\}, \\ \ell \in \{0, \dots, q\}, \\ h \in \{0, \dots, h\}. \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

For the numerical implementation of (15), the incomplete beta function  $B$  is provided in most numerical software such as in `scipy.special.betainc` for Python. Now, the result of the fractional integral of spline  $S$  at points  $\mathbf{t}$  can be given as

$$[{}_0I_{\tau_0}^\alpha S(\tau_0) \quad {}_0I_{\tau_1}^\alpha S(\tau_1) \quad \dots \quad {}_0I_{\tau_h}^\alpha S(\tau_h)] = (AB) \cdot J,$$

where the operation “ $\cdot$ ” denotes the tensor dot product with the first two dimensions of  $J$ , thus yielding a total resulting vector of size  $h+1$  as required. Note that to obtain coefficients  $a_{i,j}$ , the evaluation vector can be seen as a size  $(k+1)(q+1)$  vector notation of the matrix  $\tau_{i,j}$ :

$$\mathbf{t}_m \sim \tau_{i,j} = t_i + j(t_{i+1} - t_i)/q, \quad m \in \{0, \dots, (k+1)(q+1)\}. \quad (16)$$

In Algorithm 1, the numerical procedure to obtain solution approximations is outlined combining the implemented operators as above with the method of the proof of Theorem 4.1.

An important practical consideration is the chosen method for evaluating multiplication and function compositions  $\mathbf{f}$  on splines. In this paper, we analytically calculate operations such as products on splines, denoted by the operation  $*$  in the pseudocode. This has the benefit of introducing no approximation errors for compositions of splines when evaluated in  $\mathbf{f}$ . However, one downside to this method is that the product of  $n$  splines of order  $q$  yields a resulting spline of order  $nq$ . This higher order spline will be denoted by  $q'$ . A downscaling to  $q$  can for instance

---

**Algorithm 1:** Pseudocode of Hilfer fractional derivative splines approximation procedure. Here,  $*$  denotes the product of splines,  $\cdot$  denotes the tensor dot product in the first two dimensions and **CONV** denotes a chosen boolean convergence criterion.

---

	$\mathbf{f} : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$	differential equation system
	$\alpha \in (0, 1)$	Hilfer-derivative order
	$\beta \in [0, 1)$	Hilfer-derivative type
<b>Data:</b>	$\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$	initial conditions
	$\varepsilon > 0$	time-shift constant
	$\mathcal{A}$	knot collection of size $k + 1$ on $[\varepsilon, T]$
	$q, q' \in \mathbb{N}$	spline order, higher evaluation order

**Result:** Spline solution  $\mathbf{y}^{q,\varepsilon} : [\varepsilon, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ .

- 1 Initialize:  $B, J$  and  $\tau$  (See (14) - (16));
- 2  $A_{m,i,j} \leftarrow 0$  for  $m \in \{0, \dots, d-1\}, i \in \{0, \dots, k\}, j \in \{0, \dots, q\}$ ;
- 3  $\gamma \leftarrow \alpha + \beta - \alpha\beta$ ;
- 4  $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_0 \leftarrow \tilde{\mathbf{y}}_0 / \Gamma(\gamma)$ ;
- 5 **for**  $i \in \{0, \dots, k\}$  **do**
- 6 **if**  $i = 0$  **then**
- 7  $A_{m,i,j} \leftarrow \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_0$ ;
- 8 **else**
- 9  $A_{m,i,j} \leftarrow A_{m,i-1,q}$ ;
- 10 **end**
- 11 **for**  $m \in \{0, \dots, d-1\}$  **do**
- 12  $A^b \leftarrow S_{\mathcal{A}}^{q'} \{f_m(\tau_{0:(i-1),:}^{\gamma-1} * A_{m,0:(i-1),:})\}$ ;
- 13  $\mathbf{I}_b \leftarrow (A^b B) \cdot J_{i,:,m}$ ;
- 14 **while** ! **CONV** **do**
- 15  $A^c \leftarrow S_{\mathcal{A}}^{q'} \{f_m(\tau_{i,:}^{\gamma-1} * A_{m,i,:})\}$ ;
- 16  $\mathbf{I}_c \leftarrow (A^c B) \cdot J_{i,:,m}$ ;
- 17  $A_{m,i,:} \leftarrow \tilde{v}_{0,m} + \tau_{i,:}^{1-\gamma}(\mathbf{I}_b + \mathbf{I}_c)$ ;
- 18 **end**
- 19 **end**
- 20 **end**
- 21 **Return:**  $y_m^{q,\varepsilon}(t) \leftarrow t^{\gamma-1} * A_{m,:,i}$

---

be obtained by using  $q'$  to compute  $J$  (15) with corresponding coefficient time values  $\tau$  for knot selections in  $q$ .

In Algorithm 1, CONV represents a convergence criterion, which can be seen as the implementation of the limit in the components of  $\mathbf{n}$  in the analytical proof. In practice, criteria such as a fixed number of iterations or a maximum change in coefficients  $A_{m,i,:}$  can be chosen. For numerical implementations of the method presented in this paper, we chose an update criterion of  $\|(A_{m,i,:})_n - (A_{m,i,:})_{n-1}\|_\infty < 10^{-18}$  for iterations in  $n$ .

Finally, as can be seen from Algorithm 1, for the number of knots  $k$  large, the backwards integral calculations of lines 12 - 13 will dominate, assuming the number of iterations needed for convergence is independent of  $k$ . This leads to a time complexity of  $\mathcal{O}(k^2)$  since every  $k$  knots, calculations for  $k$  previous knots are carried out. This shows the memory effect of fractional differential equations.


## 5 Numerical results

### 5.1 Polynomial example and convergence results


To show numerical correspondence with the analytical convergence results of Theorem 4.1, a numerical example is implemented for the following FIVP:

$$\begin{cases} {}_0D_t^{\alpha,\beta} y(t) = t^k, \\ {}_0I_t^{1-\gamma} y(0) = \tilde{y}_0. \end{cases} \quad (17)$$


We depict the obtained approximation convergence results on Figure 1 for  $\alpha, \beta = 1/2$ ,  $k = 0.9$ ,  $\tilde{y}_0 = 1$ . Results demonstrate convergence orders satisfying the upper bounds of Theorem 4.1 in polynomial order  $q$ , knot size  $h$  and time-shift  $\varepsilon$ . Convergence is in general faster compared to the theoretical upper bound, possibly caused by higher regularity of solutions. For convergence in  $h$  and  $q$  compared to  $y^\varepsilon$ , the error can be seen to attain minima at knot intersections, with higher errors inside the knot intervals. When assessing convergence in  $\varepsilon$ , an interesting phenomenon can be observed in error behavior. For the highest values of  $\varepsilon$ , the error at  $t \downarrow \varepsilon$  dominates, which is steadily decreased when decreasing  $\varepsilon$ . Furthermore, in these cases, the errors appear to be highest at knot intersections, contrary to the other examples. From  $\varepsilon = 2^{-4}$  however, lowering  $\varepsilon$  does not appear to yield a significant improvement in error metric as the error caused by splines approximations appears to dominate over the error introduced by time-shifting  $\varepsilon$ .



(a) Convergence results for knot size  $h$  with  $q = 1$ ,  $\epsilon = 10^{-15}$ .



(b) Convergence results for polynomial order  $q$  with  $h = 1/2$ ,  $\epsilon = 10^{-15}$ .



(c) Convergence results for  $\epsilon$  with  $q = 2$ ,  $h = 1/2$ .

Figure 1: Results of convergence in  $q$ ,  $h$  and  $\epsilon$  for system (17) with  $\alpha, \beta = 0.5$ ,  $k = 0.9$ ,  $\tilde{y}_0 = 1$ . Errors are evaluated in the weighed norm  $\|f\|_{1-\gamma} := \sup_{t \in [\epsilon, T]} |f(t)|_{1-\gamma}$ , where  $|f(t)|_{1-\gamma} := |t^{1-\gamma} f(t)|$ .

## 5.2 Fractional Van der Pol oscillator

Consider now the Hilfer-fractional Van der Pol oscillator:

$$\ddot{x}(t) - \mu(1 - x(t)^2) {}_0D_t^{\alpha, \beta} x(t) + x(t) = 0, \quad \mu > 0. \quad (18)$$

To write this in a system notation satisfying (1), note that by the component-wise equivalent integral equation (3) and the semigroup property from Proposition 2.1, we can write (18) as a combination of fractional derivatives with  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . Take  $\alpha = 1/2$ , hence  $\gamma = \alpha + 1/2 - 1/2\beta$ . Then, taking:  $\mathbf{x}(t) = (x(t), y(t), z(t), u(t))$ , gives the following FIVP for  $\tilde{x}_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ :

$${}_0D_t^{1/2, \beta} \mathbf{x}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} y(t) \\ z(t) \\ u(t) \\ \mu(1 - x(t)^2)y(t) - x(t) \end{pmatrix}, \quad {}_0I_t^{1-\gamma} \mathbf{x}(0) = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{x}_0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (19)$$

System (19) is then approximated using the Hilfer-derivative method of Section 4.2. A time-shift value of  $\varepsilon = 10^{-15}$  is taken, with a knot selection satisfying for knot size  $h_i := t_{i+1} - t_i$  for  $i \in \{0, \dots, k\}$ :

$$h_i = \begin{cases} \min(h_{\max}, c^{1/(1-\gamma)-1} t_i), & \text{if } 0 \leq \gamma < 1, \\ h_{\max} & \text{if } \gamma = 1. \end{cases} \quad (20)$$

This choice of  $h_i$  ensures the uniform knot convergence requirements of Theorem 4.1 for  $(1 + h_i/t_i)^{1-\gamma} \leq c$ . For implementation, values of  $c = \frac{3}{2}$  and  $h_{\max} = 0.05$  are chosen. Since the highest order of spline multiplication in system (19) is an order 3 multiplication for  $x^2(t)y(t)$ , Bernstein splines of order  $q$  can be implemented with an intermediate computational order  $q' = 3q$ . Simulations are run using  $q = 1$  for  $T = 100$  and  $\tilde{x}_0 = 1$  using various values of  $\beta \in [0, 1]$ . Run statistics are presented in Table 1 with a graphical overview of results in Figure 2.

$\beta$	knots	$x(\varepsilon)$	total time (s)	it. per knot	time per it. (s)
0.00	2038	$1.784 \cdot 10^7$	9.025	20.535	$2.157 \cdot 10^{-4}$
0.25	2029	$2.940 \cdot 10^5$	9.109	20.249	$2.217 \cdot 10^{-4}$
0.50	2019	$4.589 \cdot 10^3$	8.099	19.950	$2.011 \cdot 10^{-4}$
0.75	2009	$6.882 \cdot 10^1$	8.585	19.695	$2.170 \cdot 10^{-4}$
1.00	2000	$1.000 \cdot 10^0$	6.137	19.477	$1.576 \cdot 10^{-4}$

Table 1: Run statistics for simulations of the fractional Van der Pol oscillator (19) for  $T = 100$ .

Results show convergence for the numerical method with a consistently about 20 iterations needed per knot across all values of  $\beta$ , indicating that the knot selection (20) provides satisfactory convergent results. The Caputo case of  $\beta = 1$  yields faster run times compared to the non-Caputo cases, which appears to be caused by the lower average computational time per iteration. This might be due to the lower function values or the fact that no compositions with  $t^{1-\gamma}$  and  $t^{\gamma-1}$  are required in implementation. Furthermore, Figure 2 shows solutions converging to the same limit cycles independent of Hilfer derivative type  $\beta$ , indicating strong attraction to the limit cycle.

## 6 Conclusions

In summary, the proposed method shows high accuracy, good convergence and provides a flexible framework to approximate solutions to a wide range of nonlinear FIVPs. In future research, improvements can be made in computational efficiency for the memory and computational contributions of past solution values on every knot. Furthermore, an adapted spline basis formulation can be provided to give fractionally differentiable approximations satisfying regularity requirements of the analytical solution for every intermediate iteration.




Figure 2: Results for type Hilfer-derivative Van der Pol oscillator (19) for  $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_0 = (1, 0, 0, 0)$ ,  $\alpha = 1/2$  and various  $\beta$ .

## Data availability

The source code used for this publication is available on GitHub (<https://github.com/ngoedegebure>).

## References

- [1] Igor Podlubny. Fractional-order systems and  $PI^\lambda D^\mu$ - controllers. *IEEE Transactions on automatic control*, 44(1):208–214, 1999. doi: 10.1109/9.739144.
- [2] A. J. Calderón, B. M. Vinagre, and V. Feliu. Fractional order control strategies for power electronic buck converters. *Signal Processing*, 86(10):2803–2819, 2006. ISSN 01651684. doi: 10.1016/j.sigpro.2006.02.022.
- [3] Riccardo Caponetto, Giovanni Dongola, Luigi Fortuna, and Ivo Petras. *Fractional order systems: modeling and control applications*, volume 72. World Scientific, 2010.
- [4] Rudolf Hilfer. *Applications of Fractional Calculus in Physics*. World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., 2000.
- [5] R. Hilfer. Experimental evidence for fractional time evolution in glass forming materials. *Chemical Physics*, 284(1-2):399–408, 2002. ISSN 03010104. doi: 10.1016/S0301-0104(02)00670-5.
- [6] Ralf Metzler and Joseph Klafter. The random walk’s guide to anomalous diffusion: a fractional dynamics approach. *Physics Reports*, 339(1):1–77, 2000. ISSN 0370-1573. doi: 10.1016/S0370-1573(00)00070-3.
- [7] Igor Podlubny. *Fractional Differential Equations*. Academic Press, 1999. ISBN 0-12 558840-2.
- [8] A.A. Kilbas, H. M. Srivastava, and J.J. Trujillo. *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*. Elsevier North-Holland, 2006.
- [9] K. M. Furati, M. D. Kassim, and N. E. Tatar. Existence and uniqueness for a problem involving Hilfer fractional derivative. *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, 64(6):1616–1626, 2012. ISSN 08981221. doi: 10.1016/j.camwa.2012.01.009.

- [10] Kai Diethelm, Neville J Ford, and Alan D Freed. A Predictor-Corrector Approach for the Numerical Solution of Fractional Differential Equations. *Nonlinear Dynamics*, 29(1):3–22, 2002. doi: 10.1023/A:1016592219341.
- [11] S.G. Samko, A.A. Kilbas, and O.I. Marichev. *Fractional Integrals and Derivatives*. Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, 1987. ISBN 2881248640.
- [12] A. Charef, H.H. Sun, Y.Y. Tsao, and B. Onaral. Fractal system as represented by singularity function. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 37(9):1465–1470, 1992. doi: 10.1109/9.159595.
- [13] Reny George, Seher Melike Aydogan, Fethiye Muge Sakar, Mehran Ghaderi, and Shahram Rezapour. A study on the existence of numerical and analytical solutions for fractional integrodifferential equations in Hilfer type with simulation. *Applied Mathematics for Modern Challenges*, 8(5), 2023. doi: 10.3934/math.2023541.
- [14] Mohd Rashid Admon, Norazak Senu, Ali Ahmadian, Zanariah Abdul Majid, and Soheil Salahshour. A new accurate method for solving fractional relaxation-oscillation with Hilfer derivatives. *Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 42(1):10, 2023. doi: 10.1007/s40314-022-02154-0.
- [15] S. Naveen, V. Parthiban, and Mohamed I. Abbas. Qualitative Analysis of RLC Circuit Described by Hilfer Derivative with Numerical Treatment Using the Lagrange Polynomial Method. *Fractal and Fractional*, 7(11), 2023. doi: 10.3390/fractalfract7110804.
- [16] N.J. Goedegebure. The fractionally damped van der pol oscillator. Master’s thesis, Delft University of Technology, 2025. URL <https://resolver.tudelft.nl/uuid:38ca4f2d-a0ec-4bde-b871-0c9e6d5b3f8a>.
- [17] Alexandru Mihai Bica. On the order of convergence of the iterative Bernstein splines method for fractional order initial value problems. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 432:115274, 2023. doi: 10.1016/j.cam.2023.115274.
- [18] Zoltan Satmari. Iterative bernstein splines technique applied to fractional order differential equations. *Mathematical Foundations of Computing*, 6(1), 2023. doi: 10.3934/mfc.2021039.
- [19] Ramiro S. Barbosa, J. A.Tenreiro MacHado, B. M. Vinagre, and A. J. Caldern. Analysis of the Van der Pol oscillator containing derivatives of fractional order. *JVC/Journal of Vibration and Control*, 13(9-10):1291–1301, 2007. doi: 10.1177/1077546307077463.
- [20] Juhn Horng Chen and Wei Ching Chen. Chaotic dynamics of the fractionally damped van der Pol equation. *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals*, 35(1):188–198, 2008. doi: 10.1016/j.chaos.2006.05.010.
- [21] Q. X. Liu, J. K. Liu, and Y. M. Chen. Initial conditions-independent limit cycles of a fractional-order van der Pol oscillator. *JVC/Journal of Vibration and Control*, 22(8):2135–2146, 2016. doi: 10.1177/1077546315588031.
- [22] David Osborn and Richard Madey. The incomplete beta function and its ratio to the complete beta function. *Mathematics of Computation*, 22(101):159–162, 1968.
- [23] George G. Lorentz. *Bernstein Polynomials*. Chelsea Publishing Company, 1986 edition, 1953.
- [24] Haiping Ye, Jianming Gao, and Yongsheng Ding. A generalized gronwall inequality and its application to a fractional differential equation. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 328(2):1075–1081, 2007. doi: 10.1016/j.jmaa.2006.05.061.