Enhance Generation Quality of Flow Matching V2A Model via Multi-Step CoT-Like Guidance and Combined Preference Optimization

 $\label{eq:Haomin Zhang^1, Sizhe Shan^2, Haoyu Wang^2, Zihao Chen^1, Xiulong Liu^3, Chaofan$

 \mathbf{Ding}^1 , Xinhan \mathbf{Di}^1

¹AI Lab, Giant Network.

²Zhejiang University

³University of Washington

{zhanghaomin, chenzihao, dingchaofan, dixinhan}@ztgame.com, {22360174, 22331169}@zju.edu.cn, x11995@uw.edu

Abstract

Creating high-quality sound effects from videos and text prompts requires precise alignment between visual and audio domains, both semantically and temporally, along with step-by-step guidance for professional audio generation. However, current state-of-the-art video-guided audio generation models often fall short of producing high-quality audio for both general and specialized use cases. To address this challenge, we introduce a multi-stage, multi-modal, end-to-end generative framework with Chain-of-Thought-like (CoT-like) guidance learning, termed Chain-of-Perform (CoP). First, we employ a transformerbased network architecture designed to achieve CoP guidance, enabling the generation of both general and professional audio. Second, we implement a multi-stage training framework that follows step-by-step guidance to ensure the generation of high-quality sound effects. Third, we develop a CoP multi-modal dataset, guided by video, to support step-by-step sound effects generation. Evaluation results highlight the advantages of the proposed multi-stage CoP generative framework compared to the state-of-the-art models on a variety of datasets, with FAD 0.79 to $0.74 \ (+6.33\%)$, CLIP 16.12 to 17.70 (+9.80%) on VGGSound, SI-SDR 1.98dB to 3.35dB (+69.19%). MOS 2.94 to 3.49 (+18.71%) on PianoYT-2h, and SI-SDR 2.22dB to 3.21dB (+44.59%), MOS 3.07 to 3.42 (+11.40%) on Piano-10h.

1 Introduction

Foley, the art of synthesizing ambient sounds and sound effects guided by videos, aims to produce high-quality audio, such as background music or human speech, that meets two essential requirements: (1) semantic alignment and (2) temporal synchronization with the associated videos. Foley methods are expected to understand scene contexts and their relationship with audio, while also ensuring audio-visual synchronization, as humans are highly sensitive to mismatches

between sound and visuals [Luo *et al.*, 2023; Zhang *et al.*, 2024b; Iashin and Rahtu, 2021; Wang *et al.*, 2024b; Cheng *et al.*, 2024]. Existing Foley models can be categorized into two main groups. The first group concentrates on improving alignment using specially designed modules [Zhang *et al.*, 2024b; Wang *et al.*, 2023; Li *et al.*, 2024]. The second group seeks to enhance alignment performance by utilizing a unified DiT model architecture [Cheng *et al.*, 2024b]. However, existing video-to-audio (V2A) models often face challenges in achieving accurate alignment across both semantic and temporal domains when guided by visual information. To overcome these limitations, we propose a data-driven approach for synthesizing high-quality audio that enhances both semantic and temporal alignment beyond the scope of traditional supervised learning.

Besides, current state-of-the-art V2A methods neither train on audio-visual data of professional audio aspects such as piano, violin, and movie effects [Lee *et al.*, 2019; Koepke *et al.*, 2020] nor follow professional step-by-step guidance in the learning process to produce high-quality professional audio [Su *et al.*, 2020]. Furthermore, it is unclear whether pretrained V2A models designed for generating common audio can effectively support video-to-professional audio scenarios, such as generating audio for a piano performance.

Therefore, to further improve the alignment between visual and audio in the V2A (common audio) synthesis that jointly considers video, audio, and text in a transformer-based network. We apply a post-training contrastive learning strategy in order to factor multiple domains into shared and unique representations [Liang *et al.*, 2024]. Then, inspired by the Chain-of-Thought [Wei *et al.*, 2022] in large language models (LLMs), we propose CoP to support the step-by-step generation of professional audio (piano) on the basis of the pretrained V2A (common) model. Finally, to capture various playing styles in professional audio, we apply direct preference optimization (DPO) [Rafailov *et al.*, 2024a], extending beyond dense-labeled supervised learning.

In summary, we propose a multi-modal, multi-stage paradigm via CoP for V2A (common and professional audio) generation. In the three-stage training stages, both denselabeled supervised learning and combined preference learning are conducted. Besides, we propose CoP guidance to support high-quality professional audio generation from corresponding visual inputs (videos). Finally, a step-by-step CoP multi-modal dataset is built for the Video-to-Piano audio generation.

2 Related Work

2.1 Video-to-Audio

With the rapid development of video generation and Textto-Audio (T2A) technologies, the task of adding Foley effects to silent videos has attracted increasing attention. Some studies [Iashin and Rahtu, 2021; Viertola et al., 2024; Mei et al., 2024b] have adopted autoregressive methods to generate audio tokens, which are then decoded into audio signals. Meanwhile, the robust capabilities of latent diffusion and flow matching techniques have substantially enhanced both the quality and efficiency of foley production for silent videos [Luo et al., 2023; Wang et al., 2024b]. Some works [Zhang et al., 2024b; Li et al., 2024] have introduced additional control conditions, such as timestamp and energy, to improve audio quality. MultiFoley [Chen et al., 2024b] combines mask denoising with reference audio to achieve video-guided, multi-modal control over the audio generation and extension. Moreover, MMaudio [Cheng et al., 2024] utilizes a multi-modal transformer to perform audio generation through flow matching, incorporating a synchronization module to effectively enhance audio-video temporal alignment. However, existing studies have not adequately addressed the modality differences between audio and video, nor have they thoroughly explored CoT-like guidance in this context. Therefore, building on the DiT flow matching model [Liu et al., 2022], we utilize FactorCL to enhance the alignment between different domains and propose a CoT-like V2A method. This approach facilitates the generation of both general audio (e.g., VGGSound [Chen et al., 2020]) and professional audio (e.g., piano performances) by leveraging step-by-step guidance through a CoP mechanism.

2.2 Visual Piano Transcription

Research in video-based piano Automatic Music Transcription (AMT) has evolved significantly over the years, primarily focusing on predicting MIDI from video. Recent work [Lee et al., 2019; Koepke et al., 2020; Su et al., 2020] has seen widespread adoption of CNN approaches to predict pitch onset events from video frame sequences. Audeo [Su et al., 2020] introduced a three-stage pipeline for generating audio from silent piano performance videos. Their approach utilized an enhanced ResNet [He et al., 2015] to predict pitch onset-offset events from video frames, then refined the MIDI predictions through a GAN network [Goodfellow et al., 2014], and finally converted the predicted MIDI to audio using a MIDI synthesizer. These previous methods generate piano sounds through MIDI predictions, however, current methods are focused on a narrow domain. Furthermore, it remains unclear whether pre-trained V2A models designed for generating common audio can benefit professional audio generation scenarios. Therefore, we propose CoP guidance and a corresponding multi-modal (Visual-Audio-Text-MIDI) network architecture, built upon a flow matching based V2A model.

2.3 Multi-modal Chain-of-Thought Reasoning and Generation

Multi-modal reasoning and generation tasks require models to possess multi-domain perception and high-level cognitive abilities [Xu et al., 2024]. Multi-modal large language models (MLLMs) are excepted to answer complex questions requiring reasoning [Zhang et al., 2024a]. CoT is explored to enhance the ability of reasoning in MLLMs for tasks of understanding and generation [Xu et al., 2024]. A variety of CoT-like methods are studied to enhance the ability of reasoning for the MLLMs [Rafailov et al., 2024b; Xu et al., 2024]. However, step-by-step guidance is not studied in high-quality audio generation from visual inputs. Therefore, we propose a CoT-Like (CoP) guidance learning and corresponding network architecture to improve the generation quality of both general (VGGSound [Chen et al., 2020]) and professional audio (piano) based on flow matching transformers.

3 Method

3.1 Overview

A multi-modal multi-stage paradigm with CoP guidance for V2A (common and professional audio) generation is represented here. A corresponding three-stage training process is conducted. In the first stage, a flow matching [Liu *et al.*, 2022] based transformer to perform V2A and T2A (common audio from VGGSound [Chen *et al.*, 2020] and T2A Datasets) tasks is trained. In the second stage, multi-step CoP guidance training is conducted to improve the generation quality of professional audio (piano). In the third stage, combined preference learning is applied to enhance the alignment between visual and audio representations while improving the generation quality of professional audio (Piano). The three training stages are represented as the following:

- Stage 1: Supervised T/V2A Training.
- Stage 2: Multi-Step CoP Guidance Training.
- Stage 3: Combined Preference Optimization.

The detailed formulation is provided as follows:

$$F^{\text{final}} = \mathbb{F}^{\text{multi-stage}}(C_{\text{text}}, C_{\text{video}}, G_{\text{det}}, G_{\text{cl}}, G_{\text{pre}})$$

= $\mathbb{F}^{\text{stage3}}(\mathbb{F}^{\text{stage1}}(\mathbb{F}^{\text{stage1}}(C_{\text{text}}, C_{\text{video}}), G_{\text{det}}), G_{\text{cl}}, G_{\text{pre}})$ (1)

where C_{text} , C_{video} are the input text and video conditions, G_{det} , G_{cl} , G_{pre} are the guidance of detailed information (like music melody), contrastive learning and preference data respectively, $\mathbb{F}(.)$ denotes the training process of different stages, and F^{final} is the final model we get.

3.2 Stage 1: Supervised T/V2A Training

$$l_{v}, e_{\text{stage1}}^{\text{stage1}} = F_{\text{Audio-DiT}}^{\text{stage1}}(l_{n}, F_{\text{Flan-T5}}(C_{\text{text}}), e_{\text{Video-DiT}}^{\text{stage1}})$$

$$e_{\text{Video-DiT}}^{\text{stage1}} = F_{\text{Video-DiT}}^{\text{stage1}}(F_{\text{CLIP}}(C_{\text{video}}), e_{\text{Audio-DiT}}^{\text{stage1}}))$$
(2)

In stage 1, we perform audio generation leveraging rectified flow matching [Liu *et al.*, 2022] based on a multi-stream



Figure 1: Multi-stage training pipeline of our method.

DiTs [Peebles and Xie, 2023] architecture as described like Eq. 2, where l_v , l_n are Encodec [Défossez *et al.*, 2022] audio latent for flow matching velocity and noisy input respectively, *e* denotes the output of the corresponding DiT in every layer, and *F* denotes the corresponding module. Different modalities and DiTs are trained step-by-step as shown in Fig. 1.

Flow matching calculates the probabilistic paths by predicting the noise distribution to the probability vector field of audio latent. The loss function is defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}_{CFM}(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{t, p_1(x_1), p_t(x|x_1)} ||v_{\theta}(x, t) - u_t(x|x_1)||^2 \quad (3)$$

We perform the flow matching process in the latent space, using Encodec [Défossez *et al.*, 2022] to obtain audio latent, which are pre-trained on 24 kHz monophonic audio across various domains, including speech, music, and general audio. Specifically, we utilize features extracted before the residual quantization layer.

Our model supports conditioning on both text prompts and videos. To enable effective cross-modality mapping, we employ an audio-video mapping module to fuse the outputs of Audio-DiT and Video-DiT at each layer. Outputs of the DiTs, e_a , e_v are concatenated and linearly projected before being added to the original outputs, following $e'_a = e_a + \text{Linear}_a(\text{concat}(e_a, e_v))$. For the text-conditioned audio generation, following the method of Tango [Ghosal *et al.*, 2023], we employ an instruction-tuned large language model, FLAN-T5 [Chung *et al.*, 2024], as the text encoder and incorporate cross-attention in each layer of the Audio-DiT. For video-conditioned audio generation, we use CLIP [Radford *et al.*, 2021] as the visual encoder to extract frame-level features, which are then upsampled to match the length of the audio frames.

3.3 Stage 2: Towards Multi-Stage Chain-of-Perform Guidance Training

$$l_{v}, e_{Audio-DiT}^{stage2} = F_{Audio-DiT}^{stage2} (l_{n}, F_{Flan-T5}(C_{text}), e_{Video-DiT}^{stage2}, e_{Extra-DiT}^{stage2})$$

$$e_{Video-DiT}^{stage2} = F_{Video-DiT}^{stage2} (F_{CLIP}(C_{video}), e_{Audio-DiT}^{stage2}), \qquad (4)$$

$$e_{Extra-DiT}^{stage2} = F_{Extra-DiT}^{stage2} (F_{Roll-Predictor}(C_{video}), e_{Audio-DiT}^{stage2}))$$

In Stage 2, we introduce two additional modules for the complex, domain-specific tasks like piano generation: Extra-DiT and Roll Predictor as Eq. 4. Modules are trained stepby-step as shown in Fig. 1.

The Extra-DiT module is designed to process more precise and detailed information, specifically, the piano roll matrix, which encodes the pitch and duration of piano notes. Similar to the audio-video mapping module, the output of each layer in Extra-DiT is also mapped to Audio-DiT to ensure accurate audio-video alignment.

We employ an improved ResNet model [Su *et al.*, 2020] to predict the piano roll from video frames as the Roll Predictor.

To efficiently predict pitch and onset-offset events, we design a piano roll matrix \hat{M} as a control signal. Specifically, Mis a two-dimensional binary matrix $\tilde{M} \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times N}$, where T is the number of video frames and N is the number of notes (typically 88) in a piano. In this matrix, the pressed notes in each frame are set to 1, while all other positions are set to 0. In experiments incorporating velocity (note strike intensity) guidance, the values of 1 are replaced with the actual relative velocity values. The model processes five consecutive video frames at a time and predicts all the notes pressed during the middle frame. Roll Predictor is trained using mean squared error (MSE). The predicted roll matrix is then projected to the Extra-DiT as an additional condition. Subsequently, the three DiTs and Roll Predictor are jointly trained on both piano performance data and the original T2A and V2A data. The joint loss function is $L_{stage2.2} = L_{roll} + L_{fm}$.

3.4 Stage 3: Combined Preference Optimization

In stage 3, we employ Contrastive Learning and DPO to further enhance sound quality. Contrastive Learning and DPO are applied to models in stage 1 and stage 2, respectively.

Conditional Factorized Contrastive Learning. We utilize two different approaches for contrastive learning. The first is Supervised Contrastive Learning [Khosla *et al.*, 2020]. We select the outputs from the first layer of the Audio-DiT and Video-DiT, denoted as e^a and e^v , respectively, as contrastive learning samples. Within a training batch, we randomly sample a series of consecutive frames j from the clip i, denoted as e^a_{ij} and e^v_{ij} , where frames from the same clip are considered positive samples. The loss function is defined as follows:

$$L_{\rm CL} = -\sum_{i,j} \log \frac{\sum_{l} \exp\left(f_a(e_{ij}^a) \cdot f_v(e_{i,l}^v) / \tau\right)}{\sum_{k,l} \exp\left(f_a(e_{ij}^a) \cdot f_v(e_{k,l}^v) / \tau\right)}$$
(5)

Where $f_a(\cdot)$ and $f_v(\cdot)$ are the projection modules for audio and video embeddings respectively, which consist of a linear layer followed by L2 normalization, and τ is a scalar temperature parameter.

The second approach uses Factorized Contrastive Learning (FactorCL) [Liang *et al.*, 2024], which is designed for multimodal scenarios to balance shared and modality-specific information. Unlike traditional contrastive learning, which maximizes only cross-modal mutual information, FactorCL decomposes the total mutual information $I(X_1, X_2; Y)$ between multi-modal data and the task from an informationtheoretic perspective into three components: the cross-modal shared information $I(X_1; X_2; Y)$ and the unique information of each modality, $I(X_1; Y | X_2)$ and $I(X_2; Y | X_1)$. X_1 and X_2 represent two distinct modalities of input data (e.g., video and audio), and Y denotes the corresponding task label (e.g. label in classification tasks).

FactorCL simultaneously learns four factorized representations $\{Z_{S_1}, Z_{S_2}, Z_{U_1}, Z_{U_2}\}$ to capture "task-relevant shared information" (denoted S) and "task-relevant unique information" (denoted U). This is achieved by estimating mutual information using both lower bounds (InfoNCE) and upper bounds (NCE-CLUB), alongside data augmentation (including unique augmentation) to remove irrelevant noise in an unsupervised setting. The training objective is to maximize the sum of the shared information term S and the unique information terms U_1 and U_2 . The final factorized contrastive loss function $L_{FactorCL}$ is written as Eq. 6.

$$L_{FactorCL} = -(S + U_1 + U_2)$$
(6)

This method typically outperforms traditional contrastive learning on multi-view non-redundant datasets, achieving higher accuracy and stronger representation in downstream tasks. Based on Eq.6, we apply FactorCL to e_a and e_v . e_a represents noisy audio embeddings, while e_v corresponds to video embeddings in multi-modal sound generation task. The objective of FactorCL is to improve the generation task by extracting accurate audio information from noisy audio and video embeddings.

 L_{CL} and $L_{FactorCL}$ are used to post train modules $F_{\text{Audio-DiT}}^{\text{stage1}}$ and $F_{\text{Video-DiT}}^{\text{stage1}}$ from stage 1.

Direct Preference Optimization. As for the piano music generation task, we further incorporate preference learning to enhance sound quality, particularly for generating the desired playing style. We fine-tune our piano model using preference data from two pianists in the Piano-10h dataset with DPO optimization. We first label the training data, which includes two distinct styles of piano music (e.g. "preferred sample") and "control sample"). The objective is to generate audio that closely resembles the preferred samples while diverging from the control samples, guided by the following loss function:

$$L_{\rm dpo}(\pi_{\theta}, \pi_{ref}) = -E_{(x, y_w, y_l)} [log\sigma(\beta \log \frac{\pi_{\theta}(y_w|x)}{\pi_{ref}(y_w|x)} - \beta \log \frac{\pi_{\theta}(y_l|x)}{\pi_{ref}(y_l|x)})]$$
(7)

where y_w, w_l are the preferred and control samples respectively, x is the corresponding condition like MIDI info, π_{ref} is the reference piano model we use.

 L_{dpo} is used to post train all the modules from stage 2.

The integration of contrastive learning and preference learning enables the base flow matching network to address diverse audio style generation requirements, enhancing sound quality and fine-grained control through our postoptimization.

4 Piano-10h Chain-of-Perform Dataset

We have constructed a 10-hour multi-modal video-to-piano CoT-like (CoP) dataset for generating high-quality professional piano audio from videos. The primary constraint for data collection was a five-view piano performance with a fully visible keyboard and practice pedal. We employed two skilled pianists with different performance styles to record this dataset. Additionally, a step-by-step CoP guidance was developed. As shown in Fig. 2, our expert pianist manually provides step-by-step guidance annotations to support the high-quality generation of piano audio, inspired by CoT and



Figure 2: Five views of the Piano-10h dataset supporting step-by-step generation tasks.

CoT-like guidance [Wei *et al.*, 2022]. Specifically, to generate high-quality piano audio from videos, step-by-step instructions with corresponding ground truth annotations and visual input are provided. As depicted in Fig. 2, the process consists of four steps:

- 1. Generate coarse MIDI (pitch and timestamp) using the corresponding top-view video as input.
- 2. Generate precise MIDI (pitch, timestamp, and velocity) using the corresponding top, left, right, and front views video as input.
- 3. Generate fine-grained MIDI (pitch, timestamp, velocity, and sustain) using all five views of the video as input.
- 4. Generate high-quality piano audio with different playing styles by incorporating all additional preferred video-audio clip pairs as extra input.

Dataset	Modality	Clips
AudioCaps [Kim et al., 2019]	T/A	49k
WavCaps [Mei et al., 2024a]	T/A	402k
TangoPromptBank [Ghosal et al., 2023]	T/A	37k
MusicCaps [Agostinelli et al., 2023]	T/A	5k
AF-AudioSet [Kong et al., 2024]	T/A	695k
VGGSound [Chen et al., 2020]	T/V/A	173k

Table 1: Dataset details.



Figure 3: Mel spectrogram example for contrastive learning in VG-Gsound test set.

5 Experiments

Datasets

For general audio generation, we use about 1.2M textaudio pairs, including AudioCaps [Kim *et al.*, 2019], Wav-Caps [Mei *et al.*, 2024a], TangoPromptBank [Ghosal *et al.*, 2023], MusicCaps [Agostinelli *et al.*, 2023], and AF-AudioSet [Gemmeke *et al.*, 2017; Kong *et al.*, 2024]. We only use the VGGSound [Chen *et al.*, 2020] dataset as videorelated data. The data sets are detailed in Table 1. For videoto-piano sound generation, We use datasets PianoYT-2h, as utilized by Audeo [Su *et al.*, 2020], and the front view of video and precise MIDI information of our Piano-10h.

Method	Params	FAD↓	$\text{FD}{\downarrow}$	$KL {\downarrow}$	IS↑	CLIP↑	AV↑
Diff-Foley [Luo et al., 2023] *	859M	6.05	23.38	3.18	10.95	9.40	0.21
FoleyCrafter w/o text [Zhang et al., 2024b] *	1.22B	2.38	26.70	2.53	9.66	15.57	0.25
FoleyCrafter w. text [Zhang et al., 2024b] *	1.22B	2.59	20.88	2.28	13.60	14.80	0.24
V2A-Mapper [Wang et al., 2024a] *	229M	0.82	13.47	2.67	10.53	15.33	0.14
Frieren [Wang et al., 2024b] *	159M	1.36	12.48	2.75	12.34	11.57	0.21
MMAudio-S-16kHz [Cheng et al., 2024]	157M	0.79	5.22	1.65	14.44	-	-
MMAudio-S-44.1kHz [Cheng et al., 2024]	157M	1.66	5.55	1.67	18.02	-	-
MMAudio-M-44.1kHz [Cheng et al., 2024]	621M	1.13	4.74	1.66	17.41	-	-
MMAudio-L-44.1kHz [Cheng et al., 2024]	1.03B	0.97	4.72	1.65	17.40	16.12 *	0.22 *
Ours-Base w/o text	711M	0.80	8.66	2.22	12.08	16.14	0.25
Ours-Base w. text	711M	0.78	6.28	1.73	14.02	16.86	0.25
Ours-Piano2h w. text	789M	0.83	6.97	1.74	13.99	16.49	0.23
Ours-CL w. text	712M	0.75	6.42	1.70	14.72	17.09	0.24
Ours-FactorCL w. text	718M	0.74	5.69	1.69	14.63	17.70	0.24

Table 2: Objective results of VGGSound-Test regarding audio quality, semantic and temporal alignment. w. text denotes audio generation with text as a guiding condition, and w/o text denotes audio generation without text input, using only the video input. *: These are reproduced using their official checkpoints and inference codes, following the same evaluation protocol.

Method	Params	FAD↓	FD↓	IS↑	CLAP↑
AudioLDM2-L [Liu et al., 2024]	712M	5.11	32.50	8.54	0.212
TANGO [Ghosal et al., 2023]	866M	1.87	26.13	8.23	0.185
TANGO2 [Majumder et al., 2024]	866M	2.74	19.77	8.45	0.264
Make-An-Audio [Huang et al., 2023b]	453M	2.59	27.93	7.44	0.207
Make-An-Audio2 [Huang et al., 2023a]	937M	1.27	15.34	9.58	0.251
GenAU-Large [Haji-Ali et al., 2024]	1.25B	1.21	16.51	11.75	0.285
MMAudio-S-16kHz [Cheng et al., 2024]	157M	2.98	14.42	11.36	0.282
MMAudio-S-44.1kHz [Cheng et al., 2024]	157M	2.74	15.26	11.32	0.331
MMAudio-M-44.1kHz [Cheng et al., 2024]	621M	4.07	14.38	12.02	0.351
MMAudio-L-44.1kHz [Cheng et al., 2024]	1.03B	4.03	15.04	12.08	0.348
Ours-Base	711M	1.48	18.10	9.65	0.334

Table 3: Objective results of AudioCaps-Test.

Method	SI-SDR↑	Melody Similarity (MOS)↑	Smoothness and Appeal (MOS)↑	MIDI Precision/Recall/Acc/F1
Audeo [Su <i>et al.</i> , 2020]	1.98 dB	2.63 ± 0.10	3.25 ± 0.09	0.65/0.70/0.51/0.60
Ours-Piano2h w/o guid.	-2.26 dB	1.68 ± 0.11	3.29 ± 0.11	
Ours-Piano2h	3.35 dB	3.44 ± 0.12	3.54 ± 0.11	

Table 4: Objective and subjective evaluations on PianoYT-2h. Frame-level MIDI precision, recall, accuracy, and F1 scores are computed following Audeo.

Method	SI-SDR↑	Melody Similarity (MOS)↑	Smoothness and Appeal (MOS) \uparrow	MIDI Precision/Recall/Acc/F1
Ours-Piano10h	2.22 dB	3.18 ± 0.12	2.96 ± 0.11	0.41/0.47/0.31/0.37
Ours-Piano10h w. velocity	3.06 dB	3.14 ± 0.09	3.28 ± 0.11	
Ours-Piano10h w. velocity w. DPO	3.21 dB	3.52 ± 0.08	3.32 ± 0.10	

Table 5: Objective and subjective evaluations on Piano-10h.

Implementation details

To improve training stability, multi-modal sound generation capability is progressively incorporated throughout the training process using a multi-stage training approach. The training is carried out with a batch size of 128 and a total of 330k steps on 8 Nvidia A800 GPUs. We use the Adam optimizer with a learning rate of 3e-5. We also clip the gradient norm to 0.2 for training stability. During inference, we use a sway sampling strategy [Chen *et al.*, 2024a] with NFE = 64, and a classifier-free guidance strength of 2.0.

We reproduce Audeo's Video2Roll module using the same

ResNet architecture and achieve very similar accuracy and recall rates comparable to those reported by Audeo. The piano model is further trained for an additional 4k steps, which prove sufficient for achieving convergence.

Evaluation Metrics

We employ several metrics to evaluate semantic alignment, temporal alignment, and audio quality on the VGGSound test set and the AudioCaps test set, including Inception Score (IS) [Salimans *et al.*, 2016], CLIP score, Fréchet Distance (FD) [Heusel *et al.*, 2017], Fréchet Audio Distance (FAD), AV-align (AV) [Yariv *et al.*, 2024], KL Divergence-softmax



Figure 4: Mel spectrogram example for the piano model in VG-GSound test set. The high similarity of Mel spectrograms demonstrates that our piano model maintains general V2A capabilities.

(KL-softmax) [Iashin and Rahtu, 2021], and CLAP score [Wu et al., 2023].

For piano music evaluation, we calculate the Scale-Invariant Signal-to-Distortion Ratio (SI-SDR) in the frequency domain to measure the similarity between the generated music and the ground truth. We also conduct subjective evaluations comparing our model's generated piano music with Audeo's synthesized outputs. These evaluations assess both the similarity to the ground truth and the smoothness and musical appeal independent of the ground truth. Multiple evaluators provide Mean Opinion Scores (MOS) for these assessments.

6 Results

6.1 T2A/V2A Foundation Model

We compare our base model after training stage 1 on the VG-GS ound test set with those of existing state-of-the-art models. Our method outperforms the previous best with FAD 0.79 to 0.74(+6.33%), CLIP Score 16.12 to 17.70(+9.80%). The results are presented in Table 2.

For T2A generation, as shown in Table 3, we follow the evaluation protocol of GenAU [Haji-Ali *et al.*, 2024] and MMAudio [Cheng *et al.*, 2024] and evaluate our base model on the Audiocaps test set. Our model still achieves competitive performance on T2A tasks.

6.2 Piano Sound Generation

As shown in Table 4, our piano model consistently outperforms Audeo across both objective (SI-SDR 1.98dB to 3.35dB + 69.19%) and subjective (MOS 2.94 to 3.49 + 18.71%) metrics on the PianoYT-2h dataset, even though employing the same underlying feature extraction module. Meanwhile, it still retains good performance on the general V2A task.

6.3 Ablation Studies

We conduct a series of ablation experiments to demonstrate the effectiveness of our proposed multi-stage training and multi-step guidance dataset.

1. As shown in Table 2, comparing *Ours-Base w/o text* and *Ours-Base w. text* reveals that incorporating textual conditions significantly enhances multi-modal audio gener-

ation. All metrics demonstrate improvements, with an average increase of 12.10%.

- 2. When the model is extended to *Ours-Piano2h w. text*, as shown in Table 2, an Extra-DiT is introduced to support music generation capabilities. All metrics remain comparable to those of *Ours-Base w. text* on VGGSound, indicating that this extension achieves enhanced piano sound generation without substantially compromising the original V2A performance. Mel-spectrogram samples are shown in Fig. 4.
- 3. Ours-CL w. text and Ours-FactorCL w. text in Table 2 further validate the effectiveness of contrastive learning in multi-modal audio generation. Compared with Ours-Base, Ours-FactorCL w. text achieves an average improvement of 3.69%, showcasing the potential of factorized contrastive learning to improve both audio generation quality and cross-modal relevance. Melspectrogram samples are shown in Fig. 3.
- 4. As presented in Table 4 *Ours-Piano2h w/o guid.*, we remove MIDI guidance and train both the Roll Predictor and the multi-stream DiTs in an end-to-end manner. The results demonstrate that a multi-step generation strategy is crucial for enhancing sound quality.
- 5. Ours-Piano10h w. velocity and Ours-Piano10h w. velocity w. DPO in Table 5 demonstrate the effectiveness of velocity guidance and DPO in enhancing learning performance style and audio quality. Compared to Ours-Piano10h w. velocity, SI-SDR increases from 2.22 dB to 3.21 dB (44.59%), Melody Similarity (MOS) improves from 3.18 to 3.52 (10.38%), and Smoothness and Appeal (MOS) rises from 2.96 to 3.32 (12.16%). These results highlight how additional guidance information and preference learning effectively boost music generation performance.

7 Conclusion

In this work, we propose a three-stage strategy for a flow matching based multi-stream DiTs architecture for multimodal controlled sound generation. In the first stage, we train the base T/V2A model. In the second stage, an Extra-DiT is applied for specific tasks, such as piano music generation. In the third stage, post-optimization through contrastive learning and preference learning is employed to improve the generated audio quality. We achieve competitive results in both general sound generation tasks and specific tasks by our method. Additionally, we introduce a high-quality multi-view piano performance video dataset, which includes multi-view videos, highly accurate MIDI information, and diverse piano performance styles from different pianists. Using this dataset, we can improve the generation quality by leveraging various piano performance information, such as pitch, duration, velocity, and style, step by step in a CoT-like way. Evaluation results highlight the advantages of the proposed multi-stage generative framework compared to the state-ofthe-art models on a variety of datasets, with FAD 0.79 to 0.74(+6.33%), CLIP 16.12 to 17.70 (+9.80%) on VGGSound, SI-SDR 1.98dB to 3.35dB (+69.19%), MOS 2.94 to 3.49 (+18.71%) on PianoYT-2h, and SI-SDR 2.22dB to 3.21dB (+44.59%), MOS 3.07 to 3.42 (+11.40%) on Piano-10h.

References

- [Agostinelli et al., 2023] Andrea Agostinelli, Timo I Denk, Zalán Borsos, Jesse Engel, Mauro Verzetti, Antoine Caillon, Qingqing Huang, Aren Jansen, Adam Roberts, Marco Tagliasacchi, et al. Musiclm: Generating music from text. arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.11325, 2023.
- [Chen et al., 2020] Honglie Chen, Weidi Xie, Andrea Vedaldi, and Andrew Zisserman. Vggsound: A large-scale audio-visual dataset. In ICASSP 2020-2020 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP), pages 721–725. IEEE, 2020.
- [Chen *et al.*, 2024a] Yushen Chen, Zhikang Niu, Ziyang Ma, Keqi Deng, Chunhui Wang, Jian Zhao, Kai Yu, and Xie Chen. F5-tts: A fairytaler that fakes fluent and faithful speech with flow matching. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.06885*, 2024.
- [Chen *et al.*, 2024b] Ziyang Chen, Prem Seetharaman, Bryan Russell, Oriol Nieto, David Bourgin, Andrew Owens, and Justin Salamon. Video-guided foley sound generation with multimodal controls. *arXiv preprint arXiv*:2411.17698, 2024.
- [Cheng *et al.*, 2024] Ho Kei Cheng, Masato Ishii, Akio Hayakawa, Takashi Shibuya, Alexander Schwing, and Yuki Mitsufuji. Taming multimodal joint training for high-quality video-to-audio synthesis. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.15322*, 2024.
- [Chung et al., 2024] Hyung Won Chung, Le Hou, Shayne Longpre, Barret Zoph, Yi Tay, William Fedus, Yunxuan Li, Xuezhi Wang, Mostafa Dehghani, Siddhartha Brahma, et al. Scaling instruction-finetuned language models. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 25(70):1–53, 2024.
- [Défossez et al., 2022] Alexandre Défossez, Jade Copet, Gabriel Synnaeve, and Yossi Adi. High fidelity neural audio compression. arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.13438, 2022.
- [Gemmeke *et al.*, 2017] Jort F Gemmeke, Daniel PW Ellis, Dylan Freedman, Aren Jansen, Wade Lawrence, R Channing Moore, Manoj Plakal, and Marvin Ritter. Audio set: An ontology and human-labeled dataset for audio events. In 2017 IEEE international conference on acoustics, speech and signal processing (ICASSP), pages 776– 780. IEEE, 2017.
- [Ghosal *et al.*, 2023] Deepanway Ghosal, Navonil Majumder, Ambuj Mehrish, and Soujanya Poria. Text-toaudio generation using instruction tuned llm and latent diffusion model. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.13731*, 2023.
- [Goodfellow *et al.*, 2014] Ian Goodfellow, Jean Pouget-Abadie, Mehdi Mirza, Bing Xu, David Warde-Farley, Sherjil Ozair, Aaron Courville, and Y. Bengio. Generative adversarial nets. *MIT Press*, 2014.
- [Haji-Ali et al., 2024] Moayed Haji-Ali, Willi Menapace, Aliaksandr Siarohin, Guha Balakrishnan, Sergey Tulyakov, and Vicente Ordonez. Taming data and

transformers for audio generation. *arXiv preprint* arXiv:2406.19388, 2024.

- [He *et al.*, 2015] Kaiming He, Xiangyu Zhang, Shaoqing Ren, and Jian Sun. Deep residual learning for image recognition, 2015.
- [Heusel *et al.*, 2017] Martin Heusel, Hubert Ramsauer, Thomas Unterthiner, Bernhard Nessler, and Sepp Hochreiter. Gans trained by a two time-scale update rule converge to a local nash equilibrium. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 30, 2017.
- [Huang et al., 2023a] Jiawei Huang, Yi Ren, Rongjie Huang, Dongchao Yang, Zhenhui Ye, Chen Zhang, Jinglin Liu, Xiang Yin, Zejun Ma, and Zhou Zhao. Make-an-audio 2: Temporal-enhanced text-to-audio generation. arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.18474, 2023.
- [Huang et al., 2023b] Rongjie Huang, Jiawei Huang, Dongchao Yang, Yi Ren, Luping Liu, Mingze Li, Zhenhui Ye, Jinglin Liu, Xiang Yin, and Zhou Zhao. Make-anaudio: Text-to-audio generation with prompt-enhanced diffusion models. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 13916–13932. PMLR, 2023.
- [Iashin and Rahtu, 2021] Vladimir Iashin and Esa Rahtu. Taming visually guided sound generation. In *British Machine Vision Conference (BMVC)*, 2021.
- [Khosla et al., 2020] Prannay Khosla, Piotr Teterwak, Chen Wang, Aaron Sarna, Yonglong Tian, Phillip Isola, Aaron Maschinot, Ce Liu, and Dilip Krishnan. Supervised contrastive learning. Advances in neural information processing systems, 33:18661–18673, 2020.
- [Kim et al., 2019] Chris Dongjoo Kim, Byeongchang Kim, Hyunmin Lee, and Gunhee Kim. Audiocaps: Generating captions for audios in the wild. In Proceedings of the 2019 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long and Short Papers), pages 119–132, 2019.
- [Koepke et al., 2020] A. Sophia Koepke, Olivia Wiles, Yael Moses, and Andrew Zisserman. Sight to sound: An endto-end approach for visual piano transcription. ICASSP 2020 - 2020 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP), pages 1838– 1842, 2020.
- [Kong et al., 2024] Zhifeng Kong, Sang-gil Lee, Deepanway Ghosal, Navonil Majumder, Ambuj Mehrish, Rafael Valle, Soujanya Poria, and Bryan Catanzaro. Improving textto-audio models with synthetic captions. arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.15487, 2024.
- [Lee *et al.*, 2019] Jangwon Lee, Bardia Doosti, Yupeng Gu, David Cartledge, David J. Crandall, and Christopher Raphael. Observing pianist accuracy and form with computer vision. 2019 IEEE Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision (WACV), pages 1505–1513, 2019.
- [Li et al., 2024] Bingliang Li, Fengyu Yang, Yuxin Mao, Qingwen Ye, Hongkai Chen, and Yiran Zhong. Tri-

ergon: Fine-grained video-to-audio generation with multimodal conditions and lufs control. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.20378*, 2024.

- [Liang et al., 2024] Paul Pu Liang, Zihao Deng, Martin Q Ma, James Y Zou, Louis-Philippe Morency, and Ruslan Salakhutdinov. Factorized contrastive learning: Going beyond multi-view redundancy. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 36, 2024.
- [Liu *et al.*, 2022] Xingchao Liu, Chengyue Gong, and Qiang Liu. Flow straight and fast: Learning to generate and transfer data with rectified flow. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.03003*, 2022.
- [Liu et al., 2024] Haohe Liu, Yi Yuan, Xubo Liu, Xinhao Mei, Qiuqiang Kong, Qiao Tian, Yuping Wang, Wenwu Wang, Yuxuan Wang, and Mark D. Plumbley. Audioldm 2: Learning holistic audio generation with self-supervised pretraining. *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio, Speech,* and Language Processing, 32:2871–2883, 2024.
- [Luo *et al.*, 2023] Simian Luo, Chuanhao Yan, Chenxu Hu, and Hang Zhao. Diff-foley: Synchronized video-to-audio synthesis with latent diffusion models, 2023.
- [Majumder *et al.*, 2024] Navonil Majumder, Chia-Yu Hung, Deepanway Ghosal, Wei-Ning Hsu, Rada Mihalcea, and Soujanya Poria. Tango 2: Aligning diffusion-based textto-audio generations through direct preference optimization, 2024.
- [Mei *et al.*, 2024a] Xinhao Mei, Chutong Meng, Haohe Liu, Qiuqiang Kong, Tom Ko, Chengqi Zhao, Mark D Plumbley, Yuexian Zou, and Wenwu Wang. Wavcaps: A chatgpt-assisted weakly-labelled audio captioning dataset for audio-language multimodal research. *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing*, 2024.
- [Mei et al., 2024b] Xinhao Mei, Varun Nagaraja, Gael Le Lan, Zhaoheng Ni, Ernie Chang, Yangyang Shi, and Vikas Chandra. Foleygen: Visually-guided audio generation. In 2024 IEEE 34th International Workshop on Machine Learning for Signal Processing (MLSP), pages 1–6. IEEE, 2024.
- [Peebles and Xie, 2023] William Peebles and Saining Xie. Scalable diffusion models with transformers. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision, pages 4195–4205, 2023.
- [Radford et al., 2021] Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Chris Hallacy, Aditya Ramesh, Gabriel Goh, Sandhini Agarwal, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Pamela Mishkin, Jack Clark, et al. Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision. In *International conference on machine learning*, pages 8748–8763. PMLR, 2021.
- [Rafailov et al., 2024a] Rafael Rafailov, Archit Sharma, Eric Mitchell, Stefano Ermon, Christopher D. Manning, and Chelsea Finn. Direct preference optimization: Your language model is secretly a reward model, 2024.
- [Rafailov et al., 2024b] Rafael Rafailov, Archit Sharma, Eric Mitchell, Christopher D Manning, Stefano Ermon, and

Chelsea Finn. Direct preference optimization: Your language model is secretly a reward model. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36, 2024.

- [Salimans et al., 2016] Tim Salimans, Ian Goodfellow, Wojciech Zaremba, Vicki Cheung, Alec Radford, and Xi Chen. Improved techniques for training gans. Advances in neural information processing systems, 29, 2016.
- [Su *et al.*, 2020] Kun Su, Xiulong Liu, and Eli Shlizerman. Audeo: Audio generation for a silent performance video. *ArXiv*, abs/2006.14348, 2020.
- [Viertola et al., 2024] Ilpo Viertola, Vladimir Iashin, and Esa Rahtu. Temporally aligned audio for video with autoregression. arXiv preprint arXiv:2409.13689, 2024.
- [Wang et al., 2023] Heng Wang, Jianbo Ma, Santiago Pascual, Richard Cartwright, and Weidong Cai. V2a-mapper: A lightweight solution for vision-to-audio generation by connecting foundation models, 2023.
- [Wang et al., 2024a] Heng Wang, Jianbo Ma, Santiago Pascual, Richard Cartwright, and Weidong Cai. V2a-mapper: A lightweight solution for vision-to-audio generation by connecting foundation models. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 38, pages 15492–15501, 2024.
- [Wang *et al.*, 2024b] Yongqi Wang, Wenxiang Guo, Rongjie Huang, Jiawei Huang, Zehan Wang, Fuming You, Ruiqi Li, and Zhou Zhao. Frieren: Efficient video-to-audio generation with rectified flow matching. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.00320*, 2024.
- [Wei *et al.*, 2022] Jason Wei, Xuezhi Wang, Dale Schuurmans, Maarten Bosma, Fei Xia, Ed Chi, Quoc V Le, Denny Zhou, et al. Chain-of-thought prompting elicits reasoning in large language models. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 35:24824–24837, 2022.
- [Wu et al., 2023] Yusong Wu, Ke Chen, Tianyu Zhang, Yuchen Hui, Taylor Berg-Kirkpatrick, and Shlomo Dubnov. Large-scale contrastive language-audio pretraining with feature fusion and keyword-to-caption augmentation. In *ICASSP 2023-2023 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, pages 1–5. IEEE, 2023.
- [Xu *et al.*, 2024] Guowei Xu, Peng Jin, Li Hao, Yibing Song, Lichao Sun, and Li Yuan. Llava-o1: Let vision language models reason step-by-step. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2411.10440*, 2024.
- [Yariv *et al.*, 2024] Guy Yariv, Itai Gat, Sagie Benaim, Lior Wolf, Idan Schwartz, and Yossi Adi. Diverse and aligned audio-to-video generation via text-to-video model adaptation. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 38, pages 6639–6647, 2024.
- [Zhang *et al.*, 2024a] Ruohong Zhang, Bowen Zhang, Yanghao Li, Haotian Zhang, Zhiqing Sun, Zhe Gan, Yinfei Yang, Ruoming Pang, and Yiming Yang. Improve vision language model chain-of-thought reasoning. *arXiv* preprint arXiv:2410.16198, 2024.

[Zhang *et al.*, 2024b] Yiming Zhang, Yicheng Gu, Yanhong Zeng, Zhening Xing, Yuancheng Wang, Zhizheng Wu, and Kai Chen. Foleycrafter: Bring silent videos to life with lifelike and synchronized sounds. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.01494*, 2024.