REMAC: Self-Reflective and Self-Evolving Multi-Agent Collaboration for Long-Horizon Robot Manipulation

Puzhen Yuan^{1*}, Angyuan Ma^{1*}, Yunchao Yao², Huaxiu Yao³, Masayoshi Tomizuka⁴, and Mingyu Ding^{3,4}

Abstract-Vision-language models (VLMs) have demonstrated remarkable capabilities in robotic planning, particularly for long-horizon tasks that require a holistic understanding of the environment for task decomposition. Existing methods typically rely on prior environmental knowledge or carefully designed task-specific prompts, making them struggle with dynamic scene changes or unexpected task conditions, e.g., a robot attempting to put a carrot in the microwave but finds the door was closed. Such challenges underscore two critical issues: adaptability and efficiency. To address them, in this work, we propose an adaptive multi-agent planning framework, termed REMAC, that enables efficient, scene-agnostic multirobot long-horizon task planning and execution through continuous reflection and self-evolution. REMAC incorporates two key modules: a self-reflection module performing pre-condition and post-condition checks in the loop to evaluate progress and refine plans, and a self-evolvement module dynamically adapting plans based on scene-specific reasoning. It offers several appealing benefits: 1) Robots can initially explore and reason about the environment without complex prompt design. 2) Robots can keep reflecting on potential planning errors and adapting the plan based on task-specific insights. 3) After iterations, a robot can call another one to coordinate tasks in parallel, maximizing the task execution efficiency. To validate REMAC's effectiveness, we build a multi-agent environment for long-horizon robot manipulation and navigation based on RoboCasa, featuring 4 task categories with 27 task styles and 50+ different objects. Based on it, we further benchmark stateof-the-art reasoning models, including DeepSeek-R1, o3-mini, QwQ, and Grok3, demonstrating REMAC's superiority by boosting average success rates by 40% and execution efficiency by 52.7% over the single robot baseline without any taskspecific prompting or finetuning.

I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, Vision-Language Models (VLMs) have seen significant application in robot control tasks [1, 2]. VLMs combine visual information with language descriptions, enabling robots to understand and execute complex tasks, thereby demonstrating strong planning capabilities. In household environments, robots often face a series of complex, long-horizon tasks, such as preparing food or organizing cabinets [3]. These tasks typically involve multiple stages and require the robot to have a comprehensive understanding of the environment. Additionally, tasks such as



Fig. 1: REMAC resolves planning errors in long-horizon, multistage tasks by employing condition checks and reflective evolution, thereby enhancing planning efficiency. 1) Task fails because the robot attempts to open the microwave door while holding a carrot. 2) Task succeeds after the robot, through a condition check process, recognizes the necessity of putting down the carrot. 3) Efficiency is enhanced when the robot correctly sequences its actions by opening the microwave door and then picking the carrot up. 4) Efficiency is further improved when the robot delegates the task of opening the door to another robot.

cooking may require collaboration between multiple robots to ensure efficiency and quality. In such situations, how to guide multiple robots to correctly plan and execute tasks using VLMs remains a key challenge.

Existing long-horizon task planning methods often take robot observation images and complex prompts containing scene information as input and output multi-stage task plans.[4, 5] However, these models require comprehensive knowledge of both the environment and the task, typically provided through complex, scene-specific prompts. Without such detailed input, VLMs may struggle to infer critical spatial and logical constraints, leading to infeasible or inefficient task plans [6]. Furthermore, relying on VLMs to generate a single, fixed plan for an entire task is often inefficient. Any change in the environment or failure in subtask execution can lead to complete re-planning, making the approach fragile and inflexible for dynamic scenarios. On the other hand, for tasks requiring bimanual coordination, current approaches usually involve splitting complex tasks and assigning them to multiple robots for synchronous execution [7, 8]. These methods can only tackle short-horizon tasks and lack mechanisms to dynamically refine initial plans, limiting their effectiveness in long-horizon tasks. [9] Therefore, guiding multiple robots to complete long-horizon, multi-stage tasks accurately remains a significant challenge. In this paper, we propose a novel framework for long-

^{*}Puzhen Yuan and Angyuan Ma contributed equally. All correspondences should be sent to Mingyu Ding.

¹Puzhen Yuan and Angyuan Ma are with Xingjian College, Tsinghua University. {ypz21, maay21}@mails.tsinghua.edu.cn

²Yunchao is with the Robotics Institute at Carnegie Mellon University. yunchaoy@andrew.cmu.edu

³Huaxiu Yao and Mingyu Ding are with the Department of Computer Science at UNC-Chapel Hill. md@cs.unc.edu

⁴Masayoshi Tomizuka is with the Department of Mechanical Engineering at UC Berkeley.tomizuka@berkeley.edu

horizon, multi-robot task planning called REMAC, which enables the agent to continuously interact with the environment and improve planning through self-reflection and evolution, as shown in Figure 1. REMAC is a zero-shot framework that generates efficient and feasible multi-robot collaborative task plans based on concise task descriptions provided by humans across diverse scenarios. The final task plans are generated through iterative progress in exploration and reflection. Specifically, multiple robots first explore the environment, build an understanding of the scene, and generate the initial task plan. When executing each subtask, the VLM checks pre-conditions and post-conditions to reflect on any potential planning errors based on the scene. This reflection mechanism effectively captures key scene information, enabling the VLM to generate more reasonable plans and preventing it from overlooking key constraints when processing large amounts of information.

Figure 1 illustrates the proposed REMAC, which allows the VLM to correct task planning errors based on scene information, guiding multiple robots to collaboratively complete task plans that align with the scene's constraints. To validate REMAC's effectiveness, we build a multi-agent benchmark for long-horizon robot manipulation and navigation based on RoboCasa, featuring a comprehensive evaluation of reasoning models including DeepSeek-R1, o3-mini, QwQ, and Grok3 on 4 task categories with 27 task styles and 50+ different objects.

In summary, our contributions are three-fold.

- We propose a multi-robot collaborative planning framework with self-reflection and self-evolvement capabilities for long-horizon and multi-stage tasks.
- We introduce a self-evolution mechanism leveraging preand post-condition checking modules for both in-the-loop task re-planning and future task optimization.
- We build a multi-robot physical simulation environment based on RoboCasa, together with a comprehensive longhorizon benchmark evaluating state-of-the-art reasoning models, demonstrating the effectiveness of our framework, *e.g.*, improve the average success rate by 40.0% and efficiency by 52.7% compared to a single robot baseline.

II. RELATED WORK

A. VLM for Task Planning

Vision-Language Models (VLMs) transform inputs from natural language and images into formal languages that model-based planners can interpret [10]. This functionality is particularly critical in the domain of robot control, where few-shot or zero-shot learning techniques are used, a utility that has been widely recognized and adopted in recent research [11–13]. However, a significant challenge emerges when VLMs fail to account for contextual details provided by scene or image information, resulting in outputs that are impractical or unfeasible in real-world settings. To address this issue, several studies [14–16] have proposed methodologies that facilitate reflection on the causes of failure and allow replanning after unsuccessful execution of an initial plan. These approaches have yielded notable improvements in success rates for tasks with shorter temporal scopes, such as securing objects.

However, these methods face substantial difficulties when applied to tasks that span extended durations and comprise numerous subtasks, such as preparing a breakfast that includes both food and coffee. The high-level instruction "prepare breakfast for me" encapsulates a variety of subtasks, including, but not limited to, washing food, heating food, and pouring coffee. In contrast, the reasoning process supported by VLMs typically presupposes the availability of predefined action templates (e.g., "pick and place" or "open cabinet door"), which often fail to address the intricacies of long-term, complex tasks adequately. Meanwhile, [17-19] have explored the capabilities of Large language models (LLMs) or VLMs for complex long-horizon robotic task planning, enhancing robot performance in multi-stage tasks. In response to the limitation above, our proposed method, denoted as REMAC overcomes the inability of VLMs to reflect on and replan for high-level language instructions. By doing so, it effectively extends the reasoning capabilities of VLMs to accommodate complex tasks characterized by prolonged temporal horizons.

B. Multi-Robot Task Planning

Task planning in multi-robot systems is crucial for improving task execution efficiency [20]. Typically, task planning for multi-robot systems involves two stages: task decomposition and task allocation. In the task decomposition stage, a convenient and cognitively aligned method is to describe the overall task using high-level natural language. LLMs equipped with prior knowledge from various environments are then employed to divide the overall task into subtasks and predict their execution order [21]. The division of subtasks depends on the union of the skill sets possessed by the multirobot system [22, 23]. Task allocation, on the other hand, is based on the skills mastered by each robot within the multirobot system [24], with tasks executed in parallel by robots required to have the same temporal priority in the overall plan.

However, the effectiveness of existing approaches relies on a key assumption: the decomposed subtasks can be correctly executed in the environment [25]. This assumption often does not hold in real-world scenarios. Compared to singlerobot systems, multi-robot systems involve a larger number of subtasks, making them more susceptible to failures caused by planning errors [26]. Our work addresses this limitation by introducing a reflection process, originally developed for single-robot systems, into multi-robot systems. This approach enhances the efficiency of the entire robot system using a zero-shot methodology.

III. PROBLEM STATEMENT

Given a high-level language instruction I and an environment E, our objective is to understand the layout of E and the objects it contains and to decompose I into multiple subtasks that can be assigned to multiple robots



Fig. 2: Left: Self-Reflection. Before the execution of subtask i, the VLM verifies the pre-conditions to determine whether the plan for subtask i is executable given the observation after completing subtask i - 1. If not, this indicates an error in the initial planning, and the system engages in a reflection process to identify the cause of this error, which is subsequently stored in the reflection database. Following the execution of subtask i, the VLM verifies the post-conditions to assess whether the subtask was successfully executed, given the observation after executing the current task. If not, the system initiates a retry of the subtask. **Right: Self-Evolvement.** Upon sequential completion of all subtasks, the reflection database—containing accumulated pre-condition-check analysis and last-iteration plan serves as the foundation for generating initial plans for subsequent iterations. This knowledge-augmented process iteratively refines planning logic, yielding an optimized initial plan with feasibility and efficiency for future iterations.

for efficient execution. Notably, to ensure generality, the language instruction I is designed to be independent of any specific scene, which poses a significant challenge for robot movement and operation tasks. For example, consider the instruction "heat the vegetables." Directly querying LLM for task decomposition might lead to arbitrary and irrelevant task plans, such as "open microwave" being a subtask in an environment with only a pan and a stove.

In this work, we consider a set of robots $R = \{R_1, R_2, \ldots, R_n\}$ operating within the scene E, with the goal of decomposing a high-level language instruction I into K subtasks $T = \{T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_K\}$ that can be completed using the items available in E. Our approach focuses on improving the understanding of the scene E to provide sufficient information for accurate task decomposition and to prevent failures due to planning errors, while also addressing efficient allocation of subtasks with the same temporal priorities to multiple robots for execution in parallel, particularly since some subtasks can be performed concurrently.

IV. METHOD

In this section, we elaborate on the specifics of our proposed framework, which comprises three interconnected stages. Initially, robots explore the scene guided by the task description to enhance their understanding of the environment. In the second stage, a large language model decomposes the high-level task description into subtasks that can be executed by low-level policies using information gathered in the previous exploration stage. For each subtask, assessments are also conducted to evaluate their feasibility and the overall progress toward completion, with pertinent reflections being systematically recorded in a buffer. Finally, leveraging the accumulated reflections, the initial plan is iteratively refined and advanced through multiple cycles of optimization.

A. Scene Exploration and Information Gathering

For high-level language instruction I, we assume that the robots have no prior knowledge of the environment. Based on this assumption, immediate task decomposition is less useful due to the lack of sufficient environmental information. The decomposition of premature tasks often results in subtasks T_i that cannot be executed successfully within the environment E. Instead, we initially employ a large language model with reasoning capabilities to propose potential tools and objects that could be utilized for the given task. Subsequently, multiple robots begin the search for relevant items. Each robot is equipped with a camera that sends image observations to a VLM for identification and recording of items present in the scene during exploration. If the robots successfully locate the items initially proposed by the LLM, the LLM directly proceeds to generate subtask plans for the robots to execute. Otherwise, the robots systematically traverse the scene Euntil all available tools and objects are discovered. The LLM then uses this complete list of items to update the concept of the scene to generate plans that are relevant to the scene. In particular, this mechanism enables exploration to stop once sufficient information is gathered for task execution, thus avoiding the unnecessary time and resource use of fully exploring the environment E.

B. Task Planning and Check Mechanism

In this stage, the items listed in Scene E serve as a reference for a large language model with reasoning capabilities to decompose tasks based on language instructions. For example, for the task of "heating carrots", since the items listed in Scene E include a microwave, the task is naturally broken down into subtasks such as placing the carrots in the microwave and starting it. To further improve efficiency, the LLM also analyzes the temporal dependencies of subtasks and assigns them to multiple robots whenever parallel execution is possible. For instance, with the task instruction of "preparing a breakfast with coffee and vegetables", one robot would handle the coffee while another heats the vegetables.

To tackle the issue of VLM exhibiting insufficient attention to logical and spatial constraints in task planning, inspired by [27], we introduced a more effective mechanism: 1) preconditions check to assess the feasibility of the plan and 2) post-condition checks to evaluate the successful execution of subtasks, as shown in Figure 2 left.

Pre-conditions Check. Long-horizon movement and operation tasks are extremely challenging and may result in the failure of the entire task due to a planning error at any single step. To address this issue, we introduce a mechanism to verify the feasibility of subtask planning, namely the preconditions check. For each subtask, we provide a VLM with images capturing the scene observed by the robot before executing the task, along with a description of the subtask. The VLM then assesses whether the current scene conditions meet the requirements for subtask planning. If the conditions are met, the robot proceeds to execute the subtask; if not, the VLM identifies the reasons why the planning fails to satisfy the scene constraints. These reasons are subsequently used as reflection to replan the upcoming sequence of subtasks and re-evaluate the pre-conditions. Additionally, this reflection is stored in a buffer to support iterative improvements in the next stage.

Post-conditions Check. Due to the fact that the execution of subtasks is influenced by the success rate of the low-level policy, we introduce a mechanism to check the execution of each subtask through post-conditions checks. For every subtask, we provide a VLM with images of the scene observed by the robot after the subtask is executed, along with a description of the subtask. The VLM then evaluates whether the current scene conditions satisfy the success criteria for the subtask. If the conditions are satisfied, the robots proceed to execute the next subtask; otherwise, the robots retry the subtask. It is important to note that even if the policy execution is unsuccessful, it may still affect the scene conditions, potentially requiring the pre-conditions check conducted before the policy execution to be invalid after the policy is executed. Therefore, rechecking the preconditions is necessary. Additionally, we do not attribute the failure of post-conditions checks to planning issues or use them for reflection, as such failures stem from errors in the policy execution itself rather than from the high-level planning process.

C. Iterative Self-Evolving Framework

Long-horizon tasks may achieve success after undergoing pre-conditions checks, reflection, post-conditions checks, and retries, but there may still be redundant steps in the planning; alternatively, the planning may remain a failure. Nevertheless, the reflections generated throughout the process are stored in a long-term memory module to guide the planning in the subsequent iteration. Similar to the initial plan, we input the items information from Scene E, the language instruction I, and the reflection R together into a large language model with reasoning capabilities. The



Fig. 3: We constructed four distinct tasks—OpenCabinetPnP, OpenMicrowavePnP, DefrostInBowl, and HeatOnStove—within the RoboCasa large-scale simulation framework to rigorously evaluate long-horizon multi-robot collaborative planning. Each task incorporates 6–8 spatial layouts, 5–12 dynamically configurable environmental styles, and over 50 graspable objects to simulate real-world complexity. At the beginning of each trial, tasks are initialized using randomized combinations of layouts, styles, and object placements.

insights gained from reflecting on the reasons for failures in the previous iteration enable the large language model to generate more reasonable planning, thereby ensuring higher success rates and efficiency. We employ multiple iterations to allow the task planning to evolve through reflection, as shown in Figure 2 right.

V. EXPERIMENT

We have designed a series of experiments to address the following two questions:

- To what extent do checking mechanisms grounded in preconditions and post-conditions, coupled with an iterative evolutionary mechanism informed by reflection, enhance the success rate over extended temporal horizons?
- Relative to single-robot task planning, to what degree does reflection-guided multi-robot task replanning augment execution efficiency? mechanism.

A. Baselines and Ablations

We have established the following 4 experimental settings for comparative analysis:

- 1) **single-robot planning (BASE):** A single-robot system without pre- and post-condition checking or the reflection-based iterative evolution mechanism.
- 2) + condition checking (CC): A single-robot system incorporating pre- and post-condition checking.
- Freflective evolution (RE): A single-robot system with both pre- and post-condition checking and the reflectionbased iterative evolution mechanism.
- 4) + multi-agent collaboration (REMAC): A multi-robot system integrating both pre- and post-condition checking and the reflection-based iterative evolution mechanism.



Fig. 4: Our experimental results indicate that: (1) condition checking and reflective evolution effectively enhance both the Task Success Rate and the Subtask Completion Rate; (2) compared to single-robot systems, multi-robot systems demonstrate a reduced Length of Initial Plan and greater efficiency. All tasks were subjected to rigorous validation through ten randomized initializations across four distinct experimental settings.

B. Task Suite

We construct additional test environments based on the RoboCasa framework [28] and performed experiments on our benchmark. RoboCasa, developed based on the RoboSuite platform [29], provides a comprehensive simulation environment that includes 120 distinct kitchen scenes and an extensive collection of thousands of high-quality 3D object models in 153 categories. Environment textures were generated using tools such as Midjourney, while 3D object models were created with Luma.AI, among others, significantly enhancing the diversity and richness of the simulation environment.

We designed four tasks to evaluate the effectiveness of our method in kitchen scenarios, validated using the RoboCasa large-scale simulation framework, as in Figure 3.

- **OpenCabinetPnP:** The task involves arranging the items into the cabinet. The robot should notice that the cabinet doors are originally closed and should be opened first before manipulating the items.
- **OpenMicrowavePnP:** The task involves heating the vegetables using a microwave. The robot should notice that the microwave door is originally closed, and should be opened first before manipulating the vegetable.
- DefrostInBowl: The task involves identifying all frozen

fish or meat items on the counter and defrosting them in the sink. The robot should notice that a bowl is necessary to contain the meat and water in the sink.

• **HeatOnStove:** The task requires heating the vegetables on a stove. The robot should notice that a pan is necessary for containing the vegetables on the stove.

To ensure the generalizability of the framework, we designed the high-level task instructions to exclude any specific details about the scene, thereby requiring the robot to locate the necessary items for the LLM to decompose the task into relevant subtasks and complete each subtask autonomously. To prepare for highly challenging manipulation scenarios in kitchen environments, we pre-trained a set of languageconditioned low-level policies for common kitchen subtasks., enabling the robot to perform pick-and-place tasks and effectively operate cabinets, drawers, stoves, microwaves, and faucets.

C. Performance Metrics

For each task, we conducted tests under four distinct conditions, each involving 10 random initializations. We use GPT-40 [30] as the VLM to perform pre- and post-conditions checks, and DeepSeek-R1 [31] as the reasoning-

TABLE I: Quantitative comparisons of REMAC on four different tasks with three base settings: BASE - single robot planning, CC - condition checking, RE - reflective evolution, REMAC - multi-agent collaboration.

Task	Setting	Task Success Rate	Subtask Completion Rate	Time (s)	Length of Initial Plan
OpenCabinetPnP	BASE	0.00%	51.67%	NaN	NaN
	CC	20.00%	63.64%	17.0	11
	RE	30.00%	71.67%	9.5	6
	REMAC	30.00%	67.50%	7.3	4
OpenMicrowavePnP	BASE	10.00%	43.33%	9.0	6
	CC	40.00%	68.79%	14.0	8.5
	RE	50.00%	76.67%	8.3	6
	REMAC	50.00%	80.00%	6.7	4
DefrostInBowl	BASE	0.00%	50.95%	NaN	NaN
	CC	20.00%	72.86%	19.5	14
	RE	50.00%	87.78%	11.5	9
	REMAC	40.00%	85.71%	9.7	7
HeatOnStove	BASE	10.00%	61.78%	15.7	9
	CC	50.00%	74.29%	27.5	13
	RE	50.00%	87.78%	15.5	9
	REMAC	60.00%	91.43%	12.0	7

TABLE II: Reflect success rate for different reasoning models.

	DeepSeek-R1	o3-mini	QwQ	Grok3
OpenCabinetPnP	40%	60%	20%	100%
OpenMicrowavePnP	20%	60%	20%	80%
DefrostInBowl	40%	60%	40%	100%
HeatOnStove	40%	80%	20%	80%
Average	35%	65%	25%	90%

capable LLM to reflect and generate the initial plan. The following three performance metrics were measured:

- Task Success Rate: The ratio of the number of trials in which all subtasks were successfully executed and the objective specified in the high-level language instruction was achieved to the total number of trials conducted.
- Subtask Completion Rate: The ratio of the number of subtasks successfully executed by the robot to the total number of subtasks in the initial plan.
- Length of Initial Plan: The total number of subtasks in the initial plan. Note that if two subtasks are executed in parallel by two robots, they are counted as a single subtask length. Only the successfully executed initial plan is calculated.

D. Results

For challenging long-horizon tasks, REMAC was able to iteratively identify the causes of infeasible subtasks in the initial plans and reduce the errors through self-evolution. After the last evolution iteration, the final plan increases task success rates from the baseline's near-zero to 20-60%, as shown in Figure 4 and Table I. When evaluating Partial Success Rates, the self-reflection and self-evolution mechanisms significantly increased the completion ratio of subtasks within initial plans, achieving higher overall task completion. Moreover, the self-evolution mechanism improved planning efficiency by reducing the length of the initial plan (i.e., the number of subtasks) by 35–62% by pruning redundant subtasks.



Fig. 5: BASE setting: The robot failed because it neglected the logical and spatial constraints of the environment, resulting in the carrot being dropped on the ground. CC setting: The robot underwent a series of redundant steps before realizing it needed to put down the carrot first. RE setting: The robot completed the task with high efficiency. REMAC setting: The robot collaboratively completed the task with higher efficiency.

Aligned with our framework's original design principles, transitioning from single-robot (RE) systems to multi-robot (REMAC) coordination reduced average subtask duration by approximately 20% through optimized task decomposition. While multi-robot systems demonstrated reduced robustness



Fig. 6: Trajectory visualization (key frames) from the perspectives of two robots for each of four different tasks (zoom in for a better view). **OpenCabinetPnP:** a robot calls another to open the cabinet door. **OpenMicrowavePnP:** a robot requests another to open the microwave door. **DefrostInBowl:** a robot directs another to place a bowl. **HeatOnStove:** a robot instructs another to place a pan.

in certain scenarios - particularly due to failure propagation mechanisms where individual robot malfunctions could propagate through the system, leading to task failure - the coordinated approach maintained operational effectiveness with only marginal success rate reductions ($\leq 5.8\%$ absolute decrease). This performance profile confirms that multi-robot coordination successfully balances efficiency gains with acceptable robustness tradeoffs for executing extended-duration complex missions.

As shown in Figure 5, in the BASE setting, the robot failed due to neglecting logical and spatial constraints, causing the carrot to drop. In the CC setting, the robot executed redundant steps before realizing it needed to put down the carrot first. In the RE setting, the robot completed the task efficiently, while in the REMAC setting, multiple robots collaborated to achieve even higher efficiency and success rates.

E. Comparisons Among Reasoning Models

Moreover, we compare the reflection ability for different reason models, including DeepSeek-R1 [31], o3-mini [32], QWQ [33, 34], and Grok3 [35]. Given the previous iteration's example and reflections, the reason models are required to reflect on the previous initial plan and output the refined initial plan. The reflection success rate is defined as the possibility for a specific reason model to output the optimal initial plan directly, which is shown in Table II. All tasks were subjected to validation through five randomized initializations across different models. In the table, Grok3 outperforms o3-mini, DeepSeek-R1, and QwQ, demonstrating remarkable reflection ability. It is noteworthy that large language models lacking reasoning capabilities are highly sensitive to the task description goal; even minor changes in word order can lead to different planning outcomes. Additionally, these models exhibit poor attention to previous reflections, which hinders their ability to support iterative evolution effectively.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

We propose REMAC, a task planning framework established within the RoboCasa large-scale simulation environment, integrating self-reflection and self-evolvement methodologies, as shown in Figure 6. This framework addresses the challenging setting of long-horizon multi-robot task planning by leveraging information from pre-condition checks to iteratively refine the initial plan and employing post-condition checks to guide retry attempts. As a result, it substantially enhances both success rates and operational efficiency. Our work resolves two critical limitations in existing approaches: 1) The tendency of VLM to neglect logical and spatial constraints within the environment during task planning and 2) The inability of prevailing multi-robot task planning methods to handle tasks beyond short-duration horizons effectively.

REFERENCES

- [1] X. Li, M. Liu, H. Zhang, C. Yu, J. Xu, H. Wu, C. Cheang, Y. Jing, W. Zhang, H. Liu *et al.*, "Vision-language foundation models as effective robot imitators," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.01378*, 2023.
- [2] W. Huang, P. Abbeel, D. Pathak, and I. Mordatch, "Language models as zero-shot planners: Extracting actionable knowledge for embodied agents," in *International conference on machine learning*. PMLR, 2022, pp. 9118–9147.

- [3] E. Triantafyllidis, F. Acero, Z. Liu, and Z. Li, "Hybrid hierarchical learning for solving complex sequential tasks using the robotic manipulation network roman," *Nature Machine Intelligence*, vol. 5, no. 9, pp. 991–1005, 2023.
- [4] N. Wake, A. Kanehira, K. Sasabuchi, J. Takamatsu, and K. Ikeuchi, "Gpt-4v (ision) for robotics: Multimodal task planning from human demonstration," *IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters*, 2024.
- [5] Y. Wu, J. Zhang, N. Hu, L. Tang, G. Qi, J. Shao, J. Ren, and W. Song, "Mldt: Multi-level decomposition for complex longhorizon robotic task planning with open-source large language model," in *International Conference on Database Systems for Advanced Applications*. Springer, 2024, pp. 251–267.
- [6] T. Y. Zhuo, Y. Liao, Y. Lei, L. Qu, G. De Melo, X. Chang, Y. Ren, and Z. Xu, "Vilpact: A benchmark for compositional generalization on multimodal human activities," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.05556*, 2022.
- [7] Y. Liu, L. Palmieri, S. Koch, I. Georgievski, and M. Aiello, "Towards human awareness in robot task planning with large language models," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.11267*, 2024.
- [8] Y. Talebirad and A. Nadiri, "Multi-agent collaboration: Harnessing the power of intelligent llm agents," arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.03314, 2023.
- [9] X. Zhang, H. Qin, F. Wang, Y. Dong, and J. Li, "Lammap: Generalizable multi-agent long-horizon task allocation and planning with lm-driven pddl planner," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2409.20560*, 2024.
- [10] Z. Yang, C. Garrett, D. Fox, T. Lozano-Pérez, and L. P. Kaelbling, "Guiding long-horizon task and motion planning with vision language models," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.02193*, 2024.
- [11] W. Huang, C. Wang, R. Zhang, Y. Li, J. Wu, and L. Fei-Fei, "Voxposer: Composable 3d value maps for robotic manipulation with language models," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.05973*, 2023.
- [12] W. Huang, F. Xia, D. Shah, D. Driess, A. Zeng, Y. Lu, P. Florence, I. Mordatch, S. Levine, K. Hausman *et al.*, "Grounded decoding: Guiding text generation with grounded models for embodied agents," *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, vol. 36, pp. 59636–59661, 2023.
- [13] M. Ahn, A. Brohan, N. Brown, Y. Chebotar, O. Cortes, B. David, C. Finn, C. Fu, K. Gopalakrishnan, K. Hausman *et al.*, "Do as i can, not as i say: Grounding language in robotic affordances," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2204.01691*, 2022.
- [14] M. Skreta, Z. Zhou, J. L. Yuan, K. Darvish, A. Aspuru-Guzik, and A. Garg, "Replan: Robotic replanning with perception and language models," arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.04157, 2024.
- [15] Z. Liu, A. Bahety, and S. Song, "Reflect: Summarizing robot experiences for failure explanation and correction," *arXiv* preprint arXiv:2306.15724, 2023.
- [16] S. Wang, M. Han, Z. Jiao, Z. Zhang, Y. N. Wu, S.-C. Zhu, and H. Liu, "Llm³: Large language model-based task and motion planning with motion failure reasoning," in 2024 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS). IEEE, 2024, pp. 12086–12092.
- [17] W. Huang, F. Xia, T. Xiao, H. Chan, J. Liang, P. Florence, A. Zeng, J. Tompson, I. Mordatch, Y. Chebotar *et al.*, "Inner monologue: Embodied reasoning through planning with language models," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2207.05608*, 2022.
- [18] Z. Zhou, J. Song, K. Yao, Z. Shu, and L. Ma, "Isr-Ilm: Iterative self-refined large language model for long-horizon sequential task planning," in 2024 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA). IEEE, 2024, pp. 2081– 2088.
- [19] B. Li, P. Wu, P. Abbeel, and J. Malik, "Interactive task planning with language models," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.10645*, 2023.
- [20] H. Chakraa, F. Guérin, E. Leclercq, and D. Lefebvre, "Opti-

mization techniques for multi-robot task allocation problems: Review on the state-of-the-art," *Robotics and Autonomous Systems*, vol. 168, p. 104492, 2023.

- [21] S. S. Kannan, V. L. Venkatesh, and B.-C. Min, "Smart-Ilm: Smart multi-agent robot task planning using large language models," in 2024 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS). IEEE, 2024, pp. 12140– 12147.
- [22] J. Motes, R. Sandström, H. Lee, S. Thomas, and N. M. Amato, "Multi-robot task and motion planning with subtask dependencies," *IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 3338–3345, 2020.
- [23] K. Shiarlis, M. Wulfmeier, S. Salter, S. Whiteson, and I. Posner, "Taco: Learning task decomposition via temporal alignment for control," in *International Conference on Machine Learning*. PMLR, 2018, pp. 4654–4663.
- [24] W. Mao, W. Zhong, Z. Jiang, D. Fang, Z. Zhang, Z. Lan, F. Jia, T. Wang, H. Fan, and O. Yoshie, "Robomatrix: A skill-centric hierarchical framework for scalable robot task planning and execution in open-world," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.00171*, 2024.
- [25] K. Lin, C. Agia, T. Migimatsu, M. Pavone, and J. Bohg, "Text2motion: From natural language instructions to feasible plans," *Autonomous Robots*, vol. 47, no. 8, pp. 1345–1365, 2023.
- [26] Y. Rizk, M. Awad, and E. W. Tunstel, "Cooperative heterogeneous multi-robot systems: A survey," ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR), vol. 52, no. 2, pp. 1–31, 2019.
- [27] H. Zhou, M. Ding, W. Peng, M. Tomizuka, L. Shao, and C. Gan, "Generalizable long-horizon manipulations with large language models," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.02264*, 2023.
- [28] S. Nasiriany, A. Maddukuri, L. Zhang, A. Parikh, A. Lo, A. Joshi, A. Mandlekar, and Y. Zhu, "Robocasa: Large-scale simulation of everyday tasks for generalist robots," *arXiv* preprint arXiv:2406.02523, 2024.
- [29] Y. Zhu, J. Wong, A. Mandlekar, R. Martín-Martín, A. Joshi, S. Nasiriany, and Y. Zhu, "robosuite: A modular simulation framework and benchmark for robot learning," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2009.12293*, 2020.
- [30] A. Hurst, A. Lerer, A. P. Goucher, A. Perelman, A. Ramesh, A. Clark, A. Ostrow, A. Welihinda, A. Hayes, A. Radford *et al.*, "Gpt-40 system card," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.21276*, 2024.
- [31] D. Guo, D. Yang, H. Zhang, J. Song, R. Zhang, R. Xu, Q. Zhu, S. Ma, P. Wang, X. Bi *et al.*, "Deepseek-r1: Incentivizing reasoning capability in llms via reinforcement learning," *arXiv* preprint arXiv:2501.12948, 2025.
- [32] "OpenAI o3-mini," https://openai.com/index/openai-o3-mini/, 2025.
- [33] A. Yang, B. Yang, B. Hui, B. Zheng, B. Yu, C. Zhou, C. Li et al., "Qwen2 technical report," arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.10671, 2024.
- [34] A. Yang, B. Yang, B. Zhang, B. Hui, B. Zheng, B. Yu, C. Li *et al.*, "Qwen2.5 technical report," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.15115*, 2024.
- [35] "Grok 3 beta the age of reasoning agents," https://x.ai/blog/ grok-3, 2025.