

# IRREDUCIBLE UNITARY REPRESENTATIONS WITH NON-ZERO RELATIVE LIE ALGEBRA COHOMOLOGY OF A LIE GROUP OF TYPE $f_{4(4)}$

PAMPA PAUL

ABSTRACT. In this article, we have determined the irreducible unitary representations with non-zero relative Lie algebra cohomology and Poincaré polynomials of cohomologies of these representations for a connected Lie group  $G$  with Lie algebra  $f_{4(4)}$ . We have also determined a necessary and sufficient condition for these representations to be discrete series representations and identified the discrete series representations and Borel-de Siebenthal discrete series representations among the irreducible unitary representations of  $G$  with non-zero relative Lie algebra cohomology.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $G$  be a connected semisimple Lie group with finite centre, and  $K$  be a maximal compact subgroup of  $G$  with Cartan involution  $\theta$ . The differential of  $\theta$  at identity is denoted by the same notation  $\theta$ . Let  $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \text{Lie}(G)$ ,  $\mathfrak{k}_0 = \text{Lie}(K)$ , and  $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{k}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_0$  be the Cartan decomposition corresponding to  $\theta$ . Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0^{\mathbb{C}}$ ,  $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{k}_0^{\mathbb{C}}$ ,  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_0^{\mathbb{C}}$ . Assume that  $\mathfrak{h}_0$  be a  $\theta$ -stable fundamental Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ , and  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}_0^{\mathbb{C}}$ . Corresponding to a  $\theta$ -stable parabolic subalgebra  $\mathfrak{q}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  containing  $\mathfrak{h}_0$ , and a linear function  $\lambda$  on  $\mathfrak{h}$  in a certain good range, there is a cohomologically induced module whose completion  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)$  is an irreducible unitary representation of  $G$  with infinitesimal character  $\chi_{\lambda}$ . If  $\text{rank}(G) = \text{rank}(K)$ , any discrete series representation of  $G$  is an  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)$ . Among these, the  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}(0)$ s are of particular interest because these are irreducible unitary representations with non-zero  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -cohomology, and any irreducible unitary representation with non-zero  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -cohomology has this form. We denote  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}(0)$  by  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$ .

A  $\theta$ -stable parabolic subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  is a parabolic subalgebra  $\mathfrak{q}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that (a)  $\theta(\mathfrak{q}) = \mathfrak{q}$ , and (b)  $\bar{\mathfrak{q}} \cap \mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{l}$  is a Levi subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{q}$ ; where  $\bar{\phantom{x}}$  denotes the conjugation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ . If  $\mathfrak{q}$  is a  $\theta$ -stable parabolic subalgebra, then by (b),  $\mathfrak{l}$  is the complexification of a real subalgebra  $\mathfrak{l}_0$  of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  and  $\mathfrak{l}_0$  is  $\theta$ -stable. Let  $\mathfrak{u}$  be the nilradical of  $\mathfrak{q}$  so that  $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{u}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{u}$  is  $\theta$ -stable and so  $\mathfrak{u} = (\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{k}) \oplus (\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{p})$ . Now associated with a  $\theta$ -stable parabolic subalgebra  $\mathfrak{q}$ , we have an irreducible unitary representation  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$  whose  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -module  $A_{\mathfrak{q}, K}$  is given by  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathfrak{q}}^S(\mathbb{C})$ , where  $S = \dim(\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{k})$ . The irreducible unitary representation  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$  has trivial infinitesimal character. The Levi subgroup  $L = \{g \in G : \text{Ad}(g)(\mathfrak{q}) = \mathfrak{q}\}$  is a connected reductive Lie subgroup of  $G$  with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{l}_0$ . As  $\theta(\mathfrak{l}_0) = \mathfrak{l}_0$ ,  $L \cap K$  is a

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 22E46, 17B10, 17B20, 17B22, 17B25, 17B56.

Keywords and phrases: Lie group, Lie algebra, Dynkin diagram,  $\theta$ -stable parabolic subalgebra, cohomological induction.

maximal compact subgroup of  $L$ . We have

$$H^r(\mathfrak{g}, K; A_{\mathfrak{q}, K}) \cong H^{r-R(\mathfrak{q})}(\mathfrak{t}, L \cap K; \mathbb{C}),$$

where  $R(\mathfrak{q}) := \dim(\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{p})$ . Let  $Y_{\mathfrak{q}}$  denote the compact dual of the Riemannian globally symmetric space  $L/L \cap K$ . Then  $H^r(\mathfrak{t}, L \cap K; \mathbb{C}) \cong H^r(Y_{\mathfrak{q}}; \mathbb{C})$ . And hence

$$H^r(\mathfrak{g}, K; A_{\mathfrak{q}, K}) \cong H^{r-R(\mathfrak{q})}(Y_{\mathfrak{q}}; \mathbb{C}).$$

If  $P_{\mathfrak{q}}(t)$  denotes the Poincaré polynomial of  $H^*(\mathfrak{g}, K; A_{\mathfrak{q}, K})$ , then

$$P_{\mathfrak{q}}(t) = t^{R(\mathfrak{q})}P(Y_{\mathfrak{q}}, t).$$

Conversely, if  $\pi$  is an irreducible unitary representation of  $G$  with non-zero  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -cohomology, then  $\pi$  is unitarily equivalent to  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$  for some  $\theta$ -stable parabolic subalgebra  $\mathfrak{q}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  [17, Th. 4.1].

If  $\text{rank}(G) = \text{rank}(K)$  and  $\mathfrak{q}$  is a  $\theta$ -stable Borel subalgebra, then  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$  is a discrete series representation of  $G$  with trivial infinitesimal character. In this case,  $R(\mathfrak{q}) = \frac{1}{2} \dim(G/K)$ ,  $\mathfrak{t}_0 = \mathfrak{t}_0$  and hence

$$H^r(\mathfrak{g}, K; A_{\mathfrak{q}, K}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } r \neq R(\mathfrak{q}), \\ \mathbb{C} & \text{if } r = R(\mathfrak{q}). \end{cases}$$

If we take  $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{g}$ , then  $L = G$  and  $A_{\mathfrak{q}} = \mathbb{C}$ , the trivial representation of  $G$ .

If  $\mathfrak{q}$  is a  $\theta$ -stable parabolic subalgebra, then so is  $Ad(k)(\mathfrak{q})$  and  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$  is unitarily equivalent to  $A_{Ad(k)(\mathfrak{q})}$  for all  $k \in K$ . Now the  $\theta$ -stable real form  $\mathfrak{t}_0$  of the Levi subalgebra  $\mathfrak{t}$  contains a maximal abelian subalgebra  $\mathfrak{t}_0$  of  $\mathfrak{t}_0$ . Then  $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(\mathfrak{t}_0)$  is a  $\theta$ -stable fundamental Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ . Since any two fundamental Cartan subalgebras of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  are  $Ad(k)$ -conjugate for some  $k \in K$ , we may assume that  $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(\mathfrak{t}_0) = \mathfrak{h}_0$ . Let  $\mathfrak{t} = \mathfrak{t}_0^{\mathbb{C}}$ . Note that  $\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{h}$  are Cartan subalgebras of  $\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{g}$  respectively and  $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{q}$ . Let  $\Delta = \Delta(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$  be the set of all non-zero roots of  $\mathfrak{g}$  relative to the Cartan subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h}$ , and  $\Delta_{\mathfrak{k}} = \Delta(\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{t})$ . Choose a system of positive roots  $\Delta_{\mathfrak{k}}^+$  in  $\Delta_{\mathfrak{k}}$ . If  $x \in i\mathfrak{t}_0$  be such that  $\alpha(x) \geq 0$  for all  $\alpha \in \Delta_{\mathfrak{k}}^+$ , then  $\mathfrak{q}_x = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta, \alpha(x) \geq 0} \mathfrak{g}^{\alpha}$  is a  $\theta$ -stable parabolic subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ ,  $\mathfrak{t}_x = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta, \alpha(x) = 0} \mathfrak{g}^{\alpha}$  is the Levi subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{q}_x$ , and  $\mathfrak{u}_x = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta, \alpha(x) > 0} \mathfrak{g}^{\alpha}$  is the nilradical of  $\mathfrak{q}_x$ , where  $\mathfrak{g}^{\alpha}$  is the root subspace of  $\mathfrak{g}$  corresponding to the non-zero root  $\alpha \in \Delta$ . If  $\mathfrak{q}$  is a  $\theta$ -stable parabolic subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ , there exists  $k \in K$  such that  $Ad(k)(\mathfrak{q}) = \mathfrak{q}_x$ . Let  $\mathcal{Q}$  denote the set of all  $\theta$ -stable parabolic subalgebras of the form  $\mathfrak{q}_x$ , where  $x \in i\mathfrak{t}_0$  is such that  $\alpha(x) \geq 0$  for all  $\alpha \in \Delta_{\mathfrak{k}}^+$ . If  $\mathfrak{q}$  is a  $\theta$ -stable parabolic subalgebra, then  $\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{p}$  is a  $\mathfrak{t}$ -module. Let  $\Delta(\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{p})$  denote the set of all non-zero weights of  $\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{p}$ , and  $\delta(\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{p})$  denote  $1/2$  of the sum of elements in  $\Delta(\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{p})$ . For  $\mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{q}' \in \mathcal{Q}$ ,  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$  is unitarily equivalent to  $A_{\mathfrak{q}'}$  if and only if  $\Delta(\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{p}) = \Delta(\mathfrak{u}' \cap \mathfrak{p})$  [15].

The associated  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -module  $A_{\mathfrak{q}, K}$  of  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$  contains an irreducible  $K$ -submodule of highest weight (with respect to  $\Delta_{\mathfrak{k}}^+$ )  $2\delta(\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{p}) = \sum_{\beta \in \Delta(\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{p})} \beta$  and it occurs with multiplicity one in  $A_{\mathfrak{q}, K}$ . Any other irreducible  $K$ -module that occurs in  $A_{\mathfrak{q}, K}$  has highest weight of the form  $2\delta(\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{p}) + \sum_{\gamma \in \Delta(\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{p})} n_{\gamma} \gamma$ , with  $n_{\gamma}$  a non-negative integer [17, Th. 2.5]. The  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -modules  $A_{\mathfrak{q}, K}$  were first constructed, in general, by Parthasarathy [11]. Vogan and Zuckerman [17] gave a construction of the  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -modules  $A_{\mathfrak{q}, K}$  via cohomological induction and Vogan [16] proved that these are unitarizable. In this article, we have determined

a necessary and sufficient condition for an  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$  to be a discrete series representation with trivial infinitesimal character, in terms of  $\Delta(\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{p})$ . The result is given below and the proof is given in §2.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Assume that  $\text{rank}(G) = \text{rank}(K)$ . Then a representation  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$  is a discrete series representation with trivial infinitesimal character if and only if  $\Delta_{\mathfrak{k}}^+ \cup \Delta(\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{p})$  is a positive root system of  $\Delta$ . If  $G/K$  is a Hermitian symmetric space, then  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$  is a holomorphic discrete series representation with trivial infinitesimal character if and only if  $\Delta_{\mathfrak{k}}^+ \cup \Delta(\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{p})$  is a Borel-de Siebenthal positive root system of  $\Delta$ . If  $G/K$  is not Hermitian symmetric, then  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$  is a Borel-de Siebenthal discrete series representation with trivial infinitesimal character if and only if  $\Delta_{\mathfrak{k}}^+ \cup \Delta(\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{p})$  is a Borel-de Siebenthal positive root system of  $\Delta$ .*

Millson and Raghunathan [7] have determined a technique to identify certain  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$ s as automorphic representations by constructing geometric cycles and using Matsushima's isomorphism [6]. Later this technique was used by various authors. Collingwood [1] has determined the cohomologies of the representations  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$  of  $Sp(n, 1)$ , and the real rank one real form of  $F_4$ . Li and Schwermer [5] have computed the cohomologies of these representations for the connected non-compact real Lie group of type  $G_2$ . Mondal and Sankaran [8] have determined the representations  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$  of Hodge type  $(p, p)$  for small values of  $p$ , when  $G/K$  is an irreducible Hermitian symmetric space. If  $G$  is a complex simple Lie group, or  $G = SO_0(2, m)$  ( $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ), the number of unitary equivalence classes of the representations  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$ , and Poincaré polynomials of cohomologies of these representations have been determined in [13], [10]. In this article, we have determined the number of unitary equivalence classes of the representations  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$ , the number of unitary equivalence classes of discrete series representations with trivial infinitesimal character, the number of unitary equivalence classes of Borel-de Siebenthal discrete series representations with trivial infinitesimal character, and Poincaré polynomials of cohomologies of these representations, when  $G$  is a connected Lie group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{f}_{4(4)}$ . The process of determining the inequivalent  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$ s in this article is different from [13] and [10], due to the complexity of relation between the non-compact roots. Here the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{f}_{4(4)}$  is the non-compact real form of the exceptional complex simple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{f}_4$  such that the maximal compactly imbedded subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{f}_{4(4)}$  is  $\mathfrak{sp}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$ . Also  $\text{rank}(\mathfrak{f}_{4(4)}) = \text{rank}(\mathfrak{sp}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2))$ ,  $\mathfrak{sp}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$  is semisimple, and  $\mathfrak{f}_{4(4)}$  has real rank 4.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $G$  be a connected Lie group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{f}_{4(4)}$ . Then the number of unitary equivalence classes of irreducible unitary representations of  $G$  with non-zero  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -cohomology is 46. Among these, the unitary equivalence classes of discrete series representations with trivial infinitesimal character are 12 in number and exactly one of them is the unitary equivalence class of Borel-de Siebenthal discrete series representations with trivial infinitesimal character. The Poincaré polynomials of cohomologies of these representations are listed in the Table 2.*

The proof is given in §3.

## 2. DISCRETE SERIES REPRESENTATIONS WITH TRIVIAL INFINITESIMAL CHARACTER

We follow the notations from the previous section. In addition, assume that  $\text{rank}(G) = \text{rank}(K)$ . Let  $W_{\mathfrak{g}}$  (respectively  $W_{\mathfrak{k}}$ ) denote the Weyl group of  $\mathfrak{g}$  (respectively,  $\mathfrak{k}$ ) relative to the Cartan subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h}$ . A non-singular linear function  $\lambda$  on  $\mathfrak{h}$  relative to  $\Delta$  defines uniquely a positive root system  $\Delta_{\lambda}^{+}$  of  $\Delta$ . Define  $\delta_{\mathfrak{g}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_{\lambda}^{+}} \alpha$ ,  $\delta_{\mathfrak{k}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_{\lambda}^{+} \cap \Delta_{\mathfrak{k}}}$ . If  $\lambda + \delta_{\mathfrak{g}}$  is analytically integral (that is,  $\lambda + \delta_{\mathfrak{g}}$  is the differential of a Lie group homomorphism on the Cartan subgroup of  $G$  corresponding to  $\mathfrak{h}$ ), then there exists a discrete series representation  $\pi_{\lambda}$  with infinitesimal character  $\chi_{\lambda}$ ; the associated  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -module  $\pi_{\lambda, K}$  contains an irreducible  $K$ -submodule with highest weight  $\Lambda = \lambda + \delta_{\mathfrak{g}} - 2\delta_{\mathfrak{k}}$ ; it occurs with multiplicity one in  $\pi_{\lambda, K}$ . Any other irreducible  $K$ -module that occurs in  $\pi_{\lambda, K}$  has highest weight of the form  $\lambda + \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_{\lambda}^{+}} n_{\alpha} \alpha$ , with  $n_{\alpha}$  a non-negative integer; and two such representations  $\pi_{\lambda}$ , and  $\pi_{\lambda'}$  are unitarily equivalent *iff*  $\lambda = w\lambda'$  for some  $w \in W_{\mathfrak{k}}$  [4, Th. 9.20, Ch. IX]. This  $\lambda$  is called the *Harish-Chandra parameter*,  $\Lambda$  is called the *Blattner parameter* of the discrete series representation  $\pi_{\lambda}$ , and the positive root system  $\Delta_{\lambda}^{+}$  is called the *Harish-Chandra root order* corresponding to  $\lambda$ . Upto unitary equivalence, these are all discrete series representations of  $G$  [4, Th. 12.21, Ch. XII]. To get non-equivalent discrete series representations, we assume that the Harish-Chandra root order  $\Delta_{\lambda}^{+}$  corresponding to  $\lambda$  contains  $\Delta_{\mathfrak{k}}^{+}$  so that  $\Delta_{\lambda}^{+} \cap \Delta_{\mathfrak{k}} = \Delta_{\mathfrak{k}}^{+}$ .

Since the Cartan subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{k}$  and each root subspace  $\mathfrak{g}^{\alpha}$  ( $\alpha \in \Delta(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ ) is one-dimensional, either  $\mathfrak{g}^{\alpha} \subset \mathfrak{k}$  or  $\mathfrak{g}^{\alpha} \subset \mathfrak{p}$ . A root  $\alpha$  is compact if  $\mathfrak{g}^{\alpha} \subset \mathfrak{k}$ , and is non-compact if  $\mathfrak{g}^{\alpha} \subset \mathfrak{p}$ . If  $G$  is simple, a *Borel-de Siebenthal positive root system* is a positive root system of  $\Delta(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$  such that it contains exactly one non-compact simple root  $\nu$  and the coefficient of  $\nu$  in the highest root when expressed as the sum of simple roots, is 1 if  $G/K$  is Hermitian symmetric, and is 2 if  $G/K$  is not Hermitian symmetric. If  $G$  is semisimple, then a Borel-de Siebenthal positive root system is a positive root system of  $\Delta(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$  such that it is a union of Borel-de Siebenthal positive root systems of each simple ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . If  $G/K$  is Hermitian symmetric, then  $\pi_{\lambda}$  is a holomorphic discrete series representation *iff* the Harish-Chandra root order corresponding to  $\lambda$  is a Borel-de Siebenthal positive root system [3]. If  $G/K$  is not Hermitian symmetric, then  $\pi_{\lambda}$  is a Borel-de Siebenthal discrete series representation (defined in [9] analogous to holomorphic discrete series representations) *iff* the Harish-Chandra root order  $\Delta_{\lambda}^{+}$  is a Borel-de Siebenthal positive root system [9]. Note that the infinitesimal character  $\chi_{\lambda}$  is the character of the Verma module of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with highest weight  $\lambda - \delta_{\mathfrak{g}}$ . Thus  $\chi_{\lambda}$  is trivial *iff*  $\lambda = \delta_{\mathfrak{g}}$  *iff*  $\Lambda = \sum_{\beta \in (\Delta_{\lambda}^{+} \setminus \Delta_{\mathfrak{k}}^{+})} \beta$ . Now the proof of Th. 1.1 is given below.

**Proof of Theorem 1.1:** The representation  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$  is a discrete series representation with trivial infinitesimal character *if and only if*  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$  is unitarily equivalent to  $A_{\mathfrak{b}}$  for some Borel subalgebra  $\mathfrak{b}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  containing the Borel subalgebra  $\mathfrak{b}_{\mathfrak{k}} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_{\mathfrak{k}}^{+}} \mathfrak{g}^{\alpha}$  of  $\mathfrak{k}$ . And in this case, the Blattner parameter  $\Lambda$  of the discrete series representation  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$  is  $\sum_{\beta \in \Delta(\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{p})} \beta$ , and so the Harish-Chandra root order is  $\Delta_{\mathfrak{k}}^{+} \cup \Delta(\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{p})$ . Thus  $\Delta_{\mathfrak{k}}^{+} \cup \Delta(\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{p})$  is a positive root system of  $\Delta$ . To prove the converse part, let  $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \sum_{\beta \in \Delta_{\mathfrak{k}}^{+} \cup \Delta(\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{p})} \mathfrak{g}^{\beta}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{b}$  is a Borel subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  containing  $\mathfrak{b}_{\mathfrak{k}}$ , and  $\Delta(\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{b}} \cap \mathfrak{p}) = \Delta(\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{p})$ ,  $\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{b}}$  is the nilradical of  $\mathfrak{b}$ . Thus

$A_{\mathfrak{q}}$  is unitarily equivalent to  $A_{\mathfrak{b}}$ , which is a discrete series representation. This proves the first part. The second and the third part follow from the first part.

### 3. IRREDUCIBLE UNITARY REPRESENTATIONS WITH NON-ZERO $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -COHOMOLOGY OF A LIE GROUP OF TYPE $\mathfrak{f}_{4(4)}$

Let  $G$  be a connected Lie group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{f}_{4(4)}$ , and  $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{k}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_0$  be a Cartan decomposition of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  with the corresponding Cartan involution  $\theta$ . Then  $\mathfrak{k}_0 \cong \mathfrak{sp}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$ . Let  $K$  be the connected Lie subgroup of  $G$  with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{k}_0$ . Since the centre of  $G$  is finite,  $K$  is a maximal compact subgroup of  $G$ . The Lie group automorphism of  $G$  whose differential at the identity is  $\theta$ , is denoted by the same notation  $\theta$ . Note that  $K$  is semisimple,  $\text{rank}(G) = \text{rank}(K)$ , and  $G/K$  is an irreducible Riemannian globally symmetric space of non-compact type.

Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0^{\mathbb{C}}$ ;  $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{k}_0^{\mathbb{C}}$ ,  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_0^{\mathbb{C}} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{g}$  is the simple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{f}_4$ . Let  $\mathfrak{t}_0$  be a maximal abelian subspace of  $\mathfrak{k}_0$ . Then  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{t}_0^{\mathbb{C}} \subset \mathfrak{k}$  is a Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{k}$  as well as of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $\Delta = \Delta(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$  be the set of non-zero roots of  $\mathfrak{g}$  relative to the Cartan subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h}$ , and similarly  $\Delta_{\mathfrak{k}} = \Delta(\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{h})$ . Note that  $\Delta_{\mathfrak{k}} \subset \Delta$ , and  $\Delta_{\mathfrak{k}}$  is the set of all compact roots in  $\Delta$ . Let  $\Delta_n = \Delta \setminus \Delta_{\mathfrak{k}}$ , and it is the set of all non-compact roots in  $\Delta$ . Let  $\Delta^+$  is a Borel-de Siebenthal positive root system of  $\Delta$  with a unique non-compact simple root, say  $\nu$ . Then  $n_{\nu}(\alpha) = 0$  or  $\pm 2$ , if  $\alpha \in \Delta_{\mathfrak{k}}$ , and  $n_{\nu}(\alpha) = \pm 1$ , if  $\alpha \in \Delta_n$ ; where  $n_{\nu}(\alpha)$  is the coefficient of  $\nu$  in the decomposition of  $\alpha$  in terms of the simple roots of  $\Delta^+$ . If  $\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3, \phi_4$  are the simple roots of  $\Delta^+$  with  $\nu = \phi_1$ , and  $\delta$  is the highest root, then  $\delta = 2\phi_1 + 3\phi_2 + 4\phi_3 + 2\phi_4$ . The extended Dynkin diagram of  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{f}_4$  is given by


$$\mathfrak{f}_4^{(1)} : \quad \begin{array}{cccccc} & -\delta & \phi_1 & \phi_2 & \phi_3 & \phi_4 \\ & \circ & \bullet & \circ & \circ & \circ \\ & \text{---} & \text{---} & \text{---} & \text{---} & \text{---} \\ & & & \text{---} & \text{---} & \text{---} \end{array}$$

In the diagrams of this article the non-compact roots are represented by black vertices. Note that the Dynkin diagram of  $\mathfrak{k}$  is the subdiagram of the extended Dynkin diagram of  $\mathfrak{f}_4$  with the vertex  $\phi_1$  deleted.

Let  $\Delta_{\mathfrak{k}}^+ = \Delta^+ \cap \Delta_{\mathfrak{k}}$ ,  $\Delta_n^+ = \Delta^+ \cap \Delta_n$ . Then  $\Delta_{\mathfrak{k}}^+ = \Delta_0^+ \cup \Delta_2^+$ ,  $\Delta_n^+ = \Delta_1^+$ ; where  $\Delta_i = \{\alpha \in \Delta : n_{\nu}(\alpha) = i\}$  for  $i = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2$ , and  $\Delta_0^+ = \Delta^+ \cap \Delta_0$ . Note that  $\Delta_n^+ = \{\phi_1, \phi_1 + \phi_2, \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3, \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3, \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3 + \phi_4, \phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3, \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + \phi_4, \phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + \phi_4, \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + 2\phi_4, \phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 3\phi_3 + \phi_4, \phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + 2\phi_4, \phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 3\phi_3 + 2\phi_4, \phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 4\phi_3 + 2\phi_4, \phi_1 + 3\phi_2 + 4\phi_3 + 2\phi_4\}$ , and  $\Delta_2 = \{\delta = 2\phi_1 + 3\phi_2 + 4\phi_3 + 2\phi_4\}$ . So the set of all simple roots in  $\Delta_{\mathfrak{k}}^+$  is given by  $\{\phi_2, \phi_3, \phi_4, \delta\}$ .

In the Figure 1, the vertices represent the roots in  $\Delta_n^+$ . Two roots  $\beta, \gamma \in \Delta_n^+$  are joined by an edge with an arrow in the direction of  $\gamma$  if  $\gamma = \beta + \phi$  for some simple root  $\phi \in \Delta_{\mathfrak{k}}^+$ . In this case, the simple root  $\phi$  is given on one side of the edge.

Let  $\mathfrak{b}_{\mathfrak{k}}$  be the Borel subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h} \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_{\mathfrak{k}}^+} \mathfrak{g}^{\alpha}$  of  $\mathfrak{k}$ ,  $\mathcal{B}$  be the set of all Borel subalgebras of  $\mathfrak{g}$  which contain  $\mathfrak{b}_{\mathfrak{k}}$ , and  $\mathcal{Q}$  be the set of all parabolic subalgebras of  $\mathfrak{g}$  containing a Borel subalgebra of  $\mathcal{B}$ . Now a  $\theta$ -stable parabolic subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  is a parabolic subalgebra of

FIGURE 1. Diagram of  $\Delta_n^+$ 

$\mathfrak{g}$ , and a parabolic subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is  $\theta$ -stable *if and only if* it contains a maximal abelian subspace of  $\mathfrak{k}_0$ . If  $\mathfrak{q}$  is a  $\theta$ -stable parabolic subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ , so is  $Ad(k)(\mathfrak{q})$ , and  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$  is unitarily equivalent to  $A_{Ad(k)(\mathfrak{q})}$  for all  $k \in K$ . Thus it is sufficient to consider parabolic subalgebras of  $\mathfrak{g}$  which are in  $\mathcal{Q}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{q}$  be a parabolic subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{Q}$  *if and only if* there exists a positive root system  $\Delta_{\mathfrak{q}}^+$  of  $\Delta$  containing  $\Delta_{\mathfrak{k}}^+$  and a subset  $\Gamma$  of  $\Phi_{\mathfrak{q}}$ , the set of all simple roots in  $\Delta_{\mathfrak{q}}^+$ , such that  $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta, n_{\phi}(\alpha) \geq 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Gamma} \mathfrak{g}^{\alpha}$ , where  $\alpha = \sum_{\phi \in \Phi_{\mathfrak{q}}} n_{\phi}(\alpha) \phi \in \Delta$ . So we will determine all positive root systems of  $\Delta$  containing  $\Delta_{\mathfrak{k}}^+$ . The number of such positive root systems is  $|W_{\mathfrak{f}_4}|/|W_{\mathfrak{sp}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)}| = 1152/(2^3 \times 3! \times 2!) = 12$ .

Let  $\Phi$  be a basis of the root system  $\Delta$  such that the corresponding positive root system  $P(\Phi)$  contains  $\Delta_{\mathfrak{k}}^+$ . We will get all such  $\Phi$  and their corresponding  $P(\Phi)$  from the Figure 1, and these are listed in the Table 1.

TABLE 1. Positive root systems and corresponding simple systems :

	$P(\Phi)$	$\Phi$
1.	$\Delta_{\mathfrak{e}}^+ \cup \{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1\}$	$\{\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3, \phi_4\}$
2.	$\Delta_{\mathfrak{e}}^+ \cup \{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + \phi_2\}$ $\cup (-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1\})$	$\{\phi_1 + \phi_2, -\phi_1, \phi_3, \phi_4\}$
3.	$\Delta_{\mathfrak{e}}^+ \cup \{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3\}$ $\cup (-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + \phi_2\})$	$\{\phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3, -(\phi_1 + \phi_2), \phi_2, \phi_4\}$
4.	$\Delta_{\mathfrak{e}}^+ \cup \{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3$ or $\phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3 + \phi_4\}$ $\cup (-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3\})$	$\{\phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3, \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3 + \phi_4,$ $-(\phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3), \phi_2\}$
5.	$\Delta_{\mathfrak{e}}^+ \cup \{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3\}$ $\cup (-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3 + \phi_4\})$	$\{\phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3, -(\phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3 + \phi_4), \phi_2, \phi_4\}$
6.	$\Delta_{\mathfrak{e}}^+ \cup \{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3$ or $\phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3 + \phi_4\}$ $\cup (-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3\})$	$\{\phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3, \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3 + \phi_4,$ $-(\phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3), \phi_3\}$
7.	$\Delta_{\mathfrak{e}}^+ \cup \{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3 + \phi_4\}$ $\cup (-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3\})$	$\{\phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3 + \phi_4, -(\phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3), \phi_2, \phi_3\}$
8.	$\Delta_{\mathfrak{e}}^+ \cup \{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3$ or $\phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + \phi_4\} \cup (-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ :$ $\beta \leq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3$ or $\phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3 + \phi_4\})$	$\{\phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3, \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + \phi_4,$ $-(\phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3), -(\phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3 + \phi_4)\}$
9.	$\Delta_{\mathfrak{e}}^+ \cup \{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3$ or $\phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + 2\phi_4\}$ $\cup (-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + \phi_4\})$	$\{\phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3, \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + 2\phi_4,$ $-(\phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + \phi_4), \phi_3\}$
10.	$\Delta_{\mathfrak{e}}^+ \cup \{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + \phi_4\}$ $\cup (-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3$ or $\phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3 + \phi_4\})$	$\{\phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + \phi_4, -(\phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3),$ $-(\phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3 + \phi_4), \phi_2\}$
11.	$\Delta_{\mathfrak{e}}^+ \cup \{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3\}$ $\cup (-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + 2\phi_4\})$	$\{\delta, -(\phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + 2\phi_4), \phi_3, \phi_4\}$
12.	$\Delta_{\mathfrak{e}}^+ \cup \{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + \phi_4$ or $\phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + 2\phi_4\} \cup (-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ :$ $\beta \leq \phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3$ or $\phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + \phi_4\})$	$\{\delta, -(\phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3),$ $-(\phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + \phi_4), \phi_3\}$

**Remark 3.1.** *Since  $(\phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + \phi_4) + (\phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + \phi_4) = \delta = (\phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3) + (\phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + 2\phi_4)$ , so if  $-(\phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + \phi_4)$  and  $-(\phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + \phi_4)$ , or  $-(\phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3)$  and  $-(\phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + 2\phi_4)$  lie in some  $P(\Phi)$ , then  $-\delta \in P(\Phi)$ , and hence  $P(\Phi)$  cannot contain  $\Delta_{\mathfrak{e}}^+$ .*

Let  $\Phi$  be a basis of the root system  $\Delta$  such that the corresponding positive root system  $P(\Phi)$  contains  $\Delta_{\mathfrak{e}}^+$ , and  $\mathfrak{b}_{\Phi} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in P(\Phi)} \mathfrak{g}^{\alpha}$  be the associated Borel subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . If  $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{Q}$  is a parabolic subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  which contains the Borel subalgebra  $\mathfrak{b}_{\Phi}$ , then there exists a subset  $\Gamma$  of  $\Phi$  such that  $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{u}$ , where  $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \sum_{n_{\phi}(\alpha)=0 \text{ for all } \phi \in \Gamma} \mathfrak{g}^{\alpha}$  is the Levi subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{q}$ , and  $\mathfrak{u} = \sum_{n_{\phi}(\alpha)>0 \text{ for some } \phi \in \Gamma} \mathfrak{g}^{\alpha}$  is the nilradical of  $\mathfrak{q}$ . The Levi subalgebra  $\mathfrak{l}$  is the direct sum of an  $|\Gamma|$ -dimensional centre and a semisimple Lie algebra whose Dynkin diagram is the subdiagram of the dynkin diagram of  $\mathfrak{g}$  consisting of the vertices  $\Phi \setminus \Gamma$ .

Write  $\Delta(\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{p}) = \{\beta \in \Delta_n : \mathfrak{g}^\beta \subset \mathfrak{u}\}$ . For  $\mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{q}' \in \mathcal{Q}$ ,  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$  is unitarily equivalent to  $A_{\mathfrak{q}'}$  if and only if  $\Delta(\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{p}) = \Delta(\mathfrak{u}' \cap \mathfrak{p})$  [15]. So we will define an equivalence relation on  $\mathcal{Q}$  by  $\mathfrak{q} \sim \mathfrak{q}'$  if  $\Delta(\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{p}) = \Delta(\mathfrak{u}' \cap \mathfrak{p})$ . For each basis  $\Phi$  of the root system  $\Delta$  such that the corresponding positive root system  $P(\Phi)$  contains  $\Delta_{\mathfrak{t}}^+$ , we will determine the parabolic subalgebras  $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{Q}$  which contain the Borel subalgebra  $\mathfrak{b}_\Phi$ , and their corresponding  $\Delta(\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{p})$ . For an equivalence class of parabolic subalgebras of  $\mathcal{Q}$ , we will describe only one representative of the equivalence class.

1.  $\Phi = \{\nu, \phi_2, \phi_3, \phi_4\}$ , **where**  $\nu = \phi_1$ :

	$\Gamma$	$\Delta(\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{p})$
(a)	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$
(b)	$\{\nu\}$	$\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1\}$
(c)	$\{\phi_2\}$	$\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + \phi_2\}$
(d)	$\{\phi_3\}$	$\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3\}$
(e)	$\{\phi_4\}$	$\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3 + \phi_4\}$

2.  $\Phi = \{\nu_1, \nu_2, \phi_3, \phi_4\}$ , **where**  $\nu_1 = \phi_1 + \phi_2, \nu_2 = -\phi_1$ : Then  $\phi_2 = \nu_1 + \nu_2$ .


	$\Gamma$	$\Delta(\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{p})$
(a)	$\{\nu_2\}$	$\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3\} \cup (-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1\})$
(b)	$\{\nu_1, \nu_2\}$	$\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + \phi_2\} \cup (-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1\})$
(c)	$\{\nu_2, \phi_3\}$	$\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3\} \cup (-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1\})$
(d)	$\{\nu_2, \phi_4\}$	$\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3 + \phi_4 \text{ or } \phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3\} \cup (-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1\})$

3.  $\Phi = \{\nu_1, \nu_2, \phi_2, \phi_4\}$ , **where**  $\nu_1 = \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3, \nu_2 = -(\phi_1 + \phi_2)$ : Then  $\phi_3 = \nu_1 + \nu_2$ .

	$\Gamma$	$\Delta(\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{p})$
(a)	$\{\nu_2\}$	$\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3\} \cup (-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + \phi_2\})$
(b)	$\{\nu_1, \nu_2\}$	$\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3\} \cup (-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + \phi_2\})$
(c)	$\{\nu_2, \phi_4\}$	$\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3 + \phi_4 \text{ or } \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3\} \cup (-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + \phi_2\})$


4.  $\Phi = \{\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3, \phi_2\}$ , **where**  $\nu_1 = \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3, \nu_2 = \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3 + \phi_4, \nu_3 = -(\phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3)$ :  
Then  $\phi_3 = \nu_1 + \nu_3, \phi_4 = \nu_2 + \nu_3$ .






	$\Gamma$	$\Delta(\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{p})$
(a)	$\{\nu_3\}$	$\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + \phi_4\} \cup (-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3\})$
(b)	$\{\nu_1, \nu_3\}$	$\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3\} \cup (-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3\})$
(c)	$\{\nu_2, \nu_3\}$	$\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3 + \phi_4\} \cup (-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3\})$
(d)	$\{\nu_3, \phi_2\}$	$\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + \phi_4 \text{ or } \phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3\} \cup (-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3\})$
(e)	$\{\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3\}$	$\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3 + \phi_4 \text{ or } \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3\} \cup (-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3\})$
(f)	$\{\nu_2, \nu_3, \phi_2\}$	$\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3 + \phi_4 \text{ or } \phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3\} \cup (-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3\})$

5.  $\Phi = \{\nu_1, \nu_2, \phi_2, \phi_4\}$ , where  $\nu_1 = \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3, \nu_2 = -(\phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3 + \phi_4)$ : Then  $\phi_3 = \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \phi_4$ .




	$\Gamma$	$\Delta(u \cap p)$
(a)	$\{\nu_2\}$	$\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 3\phi_3 + \phi_4\} \cup (-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3 + \phi_4\})$
(b)	$\{\nu_1, \nu_2\}$	$\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3\} \cup (-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3 + \phi_4\})$
(c)	$\{\nu_2, \phi_4\}$	$\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + \phi_4\} \cup (-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3 + \phi_4\})$
(d)	$\{\nu_2, \phi_2\}$	$\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3\} \cup (-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3 + \phi_4\})$
(e)	$\{\nu_2, \phi_2, \phi_4\}$	$\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + \phi_4 \text{ or } \phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3\} \cup (-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3 + \phi_4\})$

6.  $\Phi = \{\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3, \phi_3\}$ , **where**  $\nu_1 = \phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3$ ,  $\nu_2 = \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3 + \phi_4$ ,  $\nu_3 = -(\phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3)$ : Then  $\phi_2 = \nu_1 + \nu_3$ ,  $\phi_4 = \nu_2 + \nu_3 + \phi_3$ .




	$\Gamma$	$\Delta(u \cap p)$
(a)	$\{\nu_3\}$	$\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + \phi_4 \text{ or } \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + 2\phi_4\} \cup (-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3\})$
(b)	$\{\nu_2, \nu_3\}$	$\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3 + \phi_4\} \cup (-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3\})$
(c)	$\{\nu_1, \nu_3\}$	$\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3 \text{ or } \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + 2\phi_4\} \cup (-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3\})$
(d)	$\{\nu_3, \phi_3\}$	$\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + \phi_4\} \cup (-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3\})$
(e)	$\{\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3\}$	$\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3 + \phi_4 \text{ or } \phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3\} \cup (-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3\})$
(f)	$\{\nu_1, \nu_3, \phi_3\}$	$\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + \phi_4 \text{ or } \phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3\} \cup (-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3\})$

7.  $\Phi = \{\nu_1, \nu_2, \phi_2, \phi_3\}$ , **where**  $\nu_1 = \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3 + \phi_4$ ,  $\nu_2 = -(\phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3)$ : Then  $\phi_4 = \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \phi_2 + \phi_3$ .




$\Gamma$	$\Delta(\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{p})$
(a) $\{\nu_2\}$	$\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + 2\phi_4\} \cup (-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3\})$
(b) $\{\nu_1, \nu_2\}$	$\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3 + \phi_4\} \cup (-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3\})$
(c) $\{\nu_2, \nu_3\}$	$\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + \phi_4\} \cup (-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3\})$
(d) $\{\nu_2, \phi_2\}$	$\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + \phi_4 \text{ or } \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + 2\phi_4\} \cup (-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3\})$

8.  $\Phi = \{\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3, \nu_4\}$ , where  $\nu_1 = \phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3$ ,  $\nu_2 = \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + \phi_4$ ,  $\nu_3 = -(\phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3)$ ,  $\nu_4 = -(\phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3 + \phi_4)$ : Then  $\phi_2 = \nu_1 + \nu_3$ ,  $\phi_3 = \nu_2 + \nu_4$ ,  $\phi_4 = \nu_2 + \nu_3$ .




	$\Gamma$	$\Delta(u \cap p)$
(a)	$\{\nu_3, \nu_4\}$	$\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + \phi_4 \text{ or } \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + 2\phi_4\}$ $\cup (-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 \text{ or } \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3 + \phi_4\})$
(b)	$\{\nu_1, \nu_3, \nu_4\}$	$\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3 \text{ or } \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + 2\phi_4\}$ $\cup (-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 \text{ or } \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3 + \phi_4\})$
(c)	$\{\nu_2, \nu_3, \nu_4\}$	$\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + \phi_4\}$ $\cup (-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 \text{ or } \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3 + \phi_4\})$
(d)	$\{\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3, \nu_4\}$	$\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3 \text{ or } \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + \phi_4\}$ $\cup (-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 \text{ or } \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3 + \phi_4\})$

9.  $\Phi = \{\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3, \phi_3\}$ , **where**  $\nu_1 = \phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3, \nu_2 = \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + 2\phi_4, \nu_3 = -(\phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + \phi_4)$ : Then  $\phi_2 = \nu_1 + \nu_2 + 2\nu_3, \phi_4 = \nu_2 + \nu_3$ .




	$\Gamma$	$\Delta(u \cap p)$
(a)	$\{\nu_3\}$	$\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + \phi_4\} \cup (-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + \phi_4\})$
(b)	$\{\nu_1, \nu_3\}$	$\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3\} \cup (-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + \phi_4\})$
(c)	$\{\nu_2, \nu_3\}$	$\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + \phi_4 \text{ or } \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + 2\phi_4\}$ $\cup (-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + \phi_4\})$
(d)	$\{\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3\}$	$\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3 \text{ or } \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + 2\phi_4\}$ $\cup (-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + \phi_4\})$

10.  $\Phi = \{\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3, \phi_2\}$ , **where**  $\nu_1 = \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + \phi_4, \nu_2 = -(\phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3), \nu_3 = -(\phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3 + \phi_4)$ : Then  $\phi_3 = \nu_1 + \nu_3, \phi_4 = \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \phi_2$ .




	$\Gamma$	$\Delta(\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{p})$
(a)	$\{\nu_2, \nu_3\}$	$\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + 2\phi_4 \text{ or } \phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 3\phi_3 + \phi_4\}$ $\cup (-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3 \text{ or } \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3 + \phi_4\})$
(b)	$\{\nu_2, \nu_3, \phi_2\}$	$\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + \phi_4 \text{ or } \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + 2\phi_4\}$ $\cup (-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3 \text{ or } \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3 + \phi_4\})$
(c)	$\{\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3\}$	$\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + \phi_4\}$ $\cup (-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3 \text{ or } \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3 + \phi_4\})$

11.  $\Phi = \{\nu, \delta, \phi_3, \phi_4\}$ , **where**  $\nu = -(\phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + 2\phi_4)$ : Then  $\phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3 = \delta + \nu$ ,  $\phi_2 = \delta + 2\nu + 2\phi_4$ .



	$\Gamma$	$\Delta(\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{p})$
(a)	$\{\nu\}$	$\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3\} \cup (-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + 2\phi_4\})$

12.  $\Phi = \{\nu_1, \nu_2, \delta, \phi_3\}$ , **where**  $\nu_1 = -(\phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3)$ ,  $\nu_2 = -(\phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + \phi_4)$ : Then  $\phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + \phi_4 = \delta + \nu_2$ ,  $\phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + 2\phi_4 = \delta + \nu_1$ ,  $\phi_2 = \delta + 2\nu_2$ ,  $\phi_4 = \delta + \nu_1 + \nu_2$ .



	$\Gamma$	$\Delta(\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{p})$
(a)	$\{\nu_1, \nu_2\}$	$\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + \phi_4 \text{ or } \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + 2\phi_4\}$ $\cup \{-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3 \text{ or } \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + \phi_4\}\}$

For  $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{Q}$ , let  $R(\mathfrak{q}) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{p})$ , and  $Y_{\mathfrak{q}}$  be the compact Riemannian globally symmetric space of type  $(\mathfrak{l}_0^*, \mathfrak{l}_0 \cap \mathfrak{k}_0)$ , where  $\mathfrak{u}$  is the nilradical of  $\mathfrak{q}$ ,  $\mathfrak{l}$  is the Levi subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{q}$ ,  $\mathfrak{l}_0 = \mathfrak{l} \cap \mathfrak{g}_0$  is a real form of  $\mathfrak{l}$ , and  $\mathfrak{l}_0^*$  is the compact real form of  $\mathfrak{l}$  dual to the pair  $(\mathfrak{l}_0, \mathfrak{l}_0 \cap \mathfrak{k}_0)$ . Since  $\delta \in \Delta_{\mathfrak{t}}^+ \subset P(\Phi)$ ,  $\delta = \sum_{\phi \in \Phi} d_{\phi} \phi$ ,  $d_{\phi} \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , for all  $\phi \in \Phi$ . So  $\mathfrak{g}^{\delta} \subset \mathfrak{l}$  iff  $\Gamma \subset \{\phi \in \Phi : d_{\phi} = 0\}$ . If  $\mathfrak{g}^{\delta} \subset \mathfrak{l}$ , then  $\delta \in \Delta([\mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{l}], [\mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{l}] \cap \mathfrak{h})$  is a compact root, and  $\delta$  is the highest root of a simple ideal of  $[\mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{l}]$  relative to the positive root system  $P(\Phi) \cap \Delta([\mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{l}], [\mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{l}] \cap \mathfrak{h})$ . See Table 2. If  $P_{\mathfrak{q}}(t)$  denotes the Poincaré polynomial of the cohomologies  $H^*(\mathfrak{g}, K; A_{\mathfrak{q}, K})$ , then we have

$$P_{\mathfrak{q}}(t) = t^{R(\mathfrak{q})} P(Y_{\mathfrak{q}}, t).$$

In the Table 2, we have listed  $\Phi, \Gamma, \Delta(\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{p})$  for each  $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{Q}$ , and we have determined  $Y_{\mathfrak{q}}$  for each such  $\mathfrak{q}$ . We can see that  $Y_{\mathfrak{q}}$  is either singleton, or  $\frac{SU(k)}{S(U(1) \times U(k-1))}$  ( $k = 2, 3$ ), or  $\frac{SO(2k+1)}{SO(2) \times SO(2k-1)}$  ( $k = 2, 3$ ), or  $\frac{SO(7)}{SO(4) \times SO(3)}$ , or  $\frac{Sp(k)}{Sp(1) \times Sp(k-1)}$  ( $k = 2, 3$ ), or  $\frac{Sp(3)}{U(3)}$ , or  $\frac{F_4}{Sp(3) \times SU(2)}$  ( $F_4$  is a compact connected Lie group with Lie algebra the compact real form of  $\mathfrak{f}_4$ ), or  $\frac{SU(2)}{SO(2)} \times \frac{SU(3)}{S(U(1) \times U(2))}$ , or  $\frac{SU(2)}{SO(2)} \times \frac{SU(2)}{SO(2)}$ .

We have  $\frac{SU(2)}{SO(2)} \cong \frac{SU(2)}{S(U(1) \times U(1))}$ , and  $P(\frac{SU(k)}{S(U(1) \times U(k-1))}, t) = 1 + t^2 + t^4 + \dots + t^{2k-2}$  for  $k \geq 2$  [2, Table I, Ch. XI]. So  $P(\frac{SU(2)}{SO(2)} \times \frac{SU(3)}{S(U(1) \times U(2))}, t) = (1 + t^2)(1 + t^2 + t^4) = 1 + 2t^2 + 2t^4 + t^6$ , and  $P(\frac{SU(2)}{SO(2)} \times \frac{SU(2)}{SO(2)}, t) = (1 + t^2)(1 + t^2) = 1 + 2t^2 + t^4$ . Also  $P(\frac{SO(2k+1)}{SO(2) \times SO(2k-1)}, t) = 1 + t^2 + t^4 + \dots + t^{4k-2}$  for all  $k \geq 1$ , and  $P(\frac{SO(7)}{SO(4) \times SO(3)}, t) = 1 + 2t^4 + 2t^8 + t^{12}$  [2, Table II, Ch. XI]. Again  $P(\frac{Sp(k)}{Sp(1) \times Sp(k-1)}, t) = 1 + t^4 + \dots + t^{4k-4}$  for all  $k \geq 2$ , and  $P(\frac{Sp(3)}{U(3)}, t) = 1 + t^2 + t^4 + 2t^6 + t^8 + t^{10} + t^{12}$  [2, Table IV, Ch. XI],  $P(\frac{F_4}{Sp(3) \times SU(2)}, t) = 1 + t^4 + 2t^8 + 2t^{12} + 2t^{16} + 2t^{20} + t^{24} + t^{28}$  [14], and  $P(\text{singleton}, t) = 1$ .

TABLE 2. Poincaré Polynomial of The Irreducible Unitary Representations  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$  of a connected Lie group of type  $\mathfrak{f}_{4(4)}$  :

$\Phi$	$\Gamma$	$\frac{\Delta(\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{p})}{R(\mathfrak{q})}$	$Y_{\mathfrak{q}}$	$P_{\mathfrak{q}}(t)$
1. $\{\nu, \phi_2, \phi_3, \phi_4\};$ $\nu = \phi_1.$ See (i). $\delta = 2\nu + 3\phi_2$ $+4\phi_3 + 2\phi_4.$	(a) $\emptyset$ (b) $\{\nu\}$ (c) $\{\phi_2\}$ (d) $\{\phi_3\}$ (e) $\{\phi_4\}$	$\emptyset, 0$ $\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1\}, 14$ $\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + \phi_2\}, 13$ $\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3\}, 12$ $\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3 + \phi_4\}, 9$	$\frac{F_4}{Sp(3) \times SU(2)}$ singleton $\frac{SU(2)}{SO(2)}$ $\frac{SU(3)}{S(U(1) \times U(2))}$ $\frac{SO(7)}{SO(2) \times SO(5)}$	$1 + t^4 + 2(t^8 + t^{12}) + \dots$ $t^{14}$ $t^{13}(1 + t^2)$ $t^{12}(1 + t^2 + t^4)$ $t^9(1 + t^2 + t^4 + \dots)$
2. $\{\nu_1, \nu_2, \phi_3, \phi_4\};$ $\nu_1 = \phi_1 + \phi_2,$ $\nu_2 = -\phi_1.$ See (ii). $\delta = 3\nu_1 + \nu_2$ $+4\phi_3 + 2\phi_4.$	(a) $\{\nu_2\}$ (b) $\{\nu_1, \nu_2\}$ (c) $\{\nu_2, \phi_3\}$ (d) $\{\nu_2, \phi_4\}$	$\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3\}$ $\cup (-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1\}), 8$ $\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + \phi_2\} \cup$ $(-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1\}), 14$ $\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3\}$ $\cup (-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1\}), 13$ $\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3 + \phi_4$ or $\phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3\} \cup$ $(-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1\}), 11$	$\frac{Sp(3)}{U(3)}$ singleton $\frac{SU(2)}{SO(2)}$ $\frac{SO(5)}{SO(2) \times SO(3)}$	$t^8(1 + t^2 + t^4 + 2t^6 + \dots)$ $t^{14}$ $t^{13}(1 + t^2)$ $t^{11}(1 + t^2 + \dots)$
3. $\{\nu_1, \nu_2, \phi_2, \phi_4\};$ $\nu_1 = \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3,$ $\nu_2 = -(\phi_1 + \phi_2).$ See (iii). $\delta = 4\nu_1 + 2\nu_2$ $+ \phi_2 + 2\phi_4.$	(a) $\{\nu_2\}$ (b) $\{\nu_1, \nu_2\}$ (c) $\{\nu_2, \phi_4\}$	$\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3\}$ $\cup (-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + \phi_2\}), 12$ $\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3\}$ $\cup (-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + \phi_2\}), 14$ $\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3 + \phi_4$ or $\phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3\} \cup$ $(-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + \phi_2\}), 13$	$\frac{SU(3)}{S(U(1) \times U(2))}$ singleton $\frac{SU(2)}{SO(2)}$	$t^{12}(1 + t^2 + t^4)$ $t^{14}$ $t^{13}(1 + t^2)$


$\Phi$	$\Gamma$	$\frac{\Delta(\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{p})}{R(\mathfrak{q})}$	$Y_{\mathfrak{q}}$	$P_{\mathfrak{q}}(t)$
<p>4. <math>\{\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3, \phi_2\}</math>;  <math>\nu_1 = \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3</math>,  <math>\nu_2 = \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3 + \phi_4</math>,  <math>\nu_3 = -(\phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3)</math>.            See (iv).  <math>\delta = 2\nu_1 + 2\nu_2</math>  <math>+ 2\nu_3 + \phi_2</math>.</p>	<p>(a) <math>\{\nu_3\}</math>            (b) <math>\{\nu_1, \nu_3\}</math>            (c) <math>\{\nu_2, \nu_3\}</math>            (d) <math>\{\nu_3, \phi_2\}</math>            (e) <math>\{\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3\}</math>            (f) <math>\{\nu_2, \nu_3, \phi_2\}</math></p>	<p><math>\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + \phi_4\}</math>  <math>\cup (-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3\})</math>, 11  <math>\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3\} \cup</math>  <math>(-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3\})</math>, 13  <math>\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3 + \phi_4\}</math>  <math>\cup (-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3\})</math>, 12  <math>\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + \phi_4</math>            or <math>\phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3\} \cup</math>  <math>(-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3\})</math>, 12  <math>\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3 + \phi_4</math>            or <math>\phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3\} \cup</math>  <math>(-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3\})</math>, 14  <math>\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3 + \phi_4</math>            or <math>\phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3\} \cup</math>  <math>(-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3\})</math>, 13  <math>\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 3\phi_3 + \phi_4\} \cup</math>  <math>(-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3 + \phi_4\})</math>, 8</p>	<p><math>\frac{SU(2) \times SU(3)}{S(U(1) \times U(2))}</math>  <math>\frac{SU(2)}{SO(2)}</math>  <math>\frac{SU(3)}{S(U(1) \times U(2))}</math>  <math>\frac{SU(2) \times SU(2)}{SO(2)}</math>            singleton  <math>\frac{SU(2)}{SO(2)}</math></p>	<p><math>t^{11}(1 + 2t^2 + \dots)</math>  <math>t^{13}(1 + t^2)</math>  <math>t^{12}(1 + t^2 + t^4)</math>  <math>t^{12}(1 + 2t^2 + t^4)</math>  <math>t^{14}</math>  <math>t^{13}(1 + t^2)</math></p>
<p>5. <math>\{\nu_1, \nu_2, \phi_2, \phi_4\}</math>;  <math>\nu_1 = \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3</math>,  <math>\nu_2 = -(\phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3 + \phi_4)</math>.            See (v).  <math>\delta = 2\nu_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_4</math>.</p>	<p>(a) <math>\{\nu_2\}</math>            (b) <math>\{\nu_1, \nu_2\}</math>            (c) <math>\{\nu_2, \phi_4\}</math>            (d) <math>\{\nu_2, \phi_2\}</math>            (e) <math>\{\nu_2, \phi_2, \phi_4\}</math></p>	<p><math>\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3\} \cup</math>  <math>(-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3 + \phi_4\})</math>, 14  <math>\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + \phi_4\} \cup</math>  <math>(-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3 + \phi_4\})</math>, 12  <math>\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3\} \cup</math>  <math>(-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3 + \phi_4\})</math>, 11  <math>\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + \phi_4</math>            or <math>\phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3\} \cup</math>  <math>(-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3 + \phi_4\})</math>, 13</p>	<p><math>\frac{SO(7)}{SO(3) \times SO(4)}</math>            singleton  <math>\frac{SU(3)}{S(U(1) \times U(2))}</math>  <math>\frac{SO(5)}{SO(2) \times SO(3)}</math>  <math>\frac{SU(2)}{SO(2)}</math></p>	<p><math>t^8(1 + 2t^4 + \dots)</math>  <math>t^{14}</math>  <math>t^{12}(1 + t^2 + t^4)</math>  <math>t^{11}(1 + t^2 + \dots)</math>  <math>t^{13}(1 + t^2)</math></p>



$\Phi$	$\Gamma$	$\Delta(\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{p}),$ $R(\mathfrak{q})$	$Y_{\mathfrak{q}}$	$P_{\mathfrak{q}}(t)$
6. $\{\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3, \phi_3\};$ $\nu_1 = \phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3,$ $\nu_2 = \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3 + \phi_4,$ $\nu_3 = -(\phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3).$ See (vi). $\delta = \nu_1 + 2\nu_2 + \nu_3 + 2\phi_3.$	(a) $\{\nu_3\}$ (b) $\{\nu_2, \nu_3\}$ (c) $\{\nu_1, \nu_3\}$ (d) $\{\nu_3, \phi_3\}$ (e) $\{\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3\}$ (f) $\{\nu_1, \nu_3, \phi_3\}$	$\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + \phi_4$ or $\phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + 2\phi_4\}$ $\cup (-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3\}), 11$ $\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3 + \phi_4\} \cup$ $(-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3\}), 13$ $\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3$ or $\phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + 2\phi_4\} \cup$ $(-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3\}), 12$ $\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + \phi_4\} \cup$ $(-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3\}), 12$ $\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3 + \phi_4$ or $\phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3\} \cup$ $(-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3\}), 14$ $\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + \phi_4$ or $\phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3\} \cup$ $(-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3\}), 13$ $\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + 2\phi_4\} \cup$ $(-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3\}), 10$ $\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3 + \phi_4\} \cup$ $(-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3\}), 14$ $\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + \phi_4\} \cup$ $(-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3\}), 13$ $\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + \phi_4$ or $\phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + 2\phi_4\} \cup$ $(-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3\}), 12$	$\frac{SU(2) \times SU(3)}{S(U(1) \times U(2))}$ $\frac{SU(2)}{SO(2)}$ $\frac{SU(3)}{S(U(1) \times U(2))}$ $\frac{SU(2)}{SO(2)} \times \frac{SU(2)}{SO(2)}$ singleton $\frac{SU(2)}{SO(2)}$	$t^{11}(1 + 2t^2 + \dots)$ $t^{13}(1 + t^2)$ $t^{12}(1 + t^2 + t^4)$ $t^{12}(1 + 2t^2 + t^4)$ $t^{14}$ $t^{13}(1 + t^2)$
7. $\{\nu_1, \nu_2, \phi_2, \phi_3\};$ $\nu_1 = \phi_1 + \phi_2$ $+ \phi_3 + \phi_4, \nu_2 =$ $-(\phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3).$ See (vii). $\delta = 2\nu_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3.$	(a) $\{\nu_2\}$ (b) $\{\nu_1, \nu_2\}$ (c) $\{\nu_2, \phi_3\}$ (d) $\{\nu_2, \phi_2\}$	$\frac{Sp(3)}{Sp(1) \times Sp(2)}$ singleton $\frac{SU(2)}{SO(2)}$ $\frac{SU(3)}{S(U(1) \times U(2))}$	$t^{10}(1 + t^4 + t^8)$ $t^{14}$ $t^{13}(1 + t^2)$ $t^{12}(1 + t^2 + t^4)$	

$\Phi$	$\Gamma$	$\Delta(\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{p}), R(\mathfrak{q})$	$Y_{\mathfrak{q}}$	$P_{\mathfrak{q}}(t)$
<p>8. <math>\{\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3, \nu_4\};</math>  <math>\nu_1 = \phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3,</math>  <math>\nu_2 = \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + \phi_4,</math>  <math>\nu_3 = -(\phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3),</math>  <math>\nu_4 = -(\phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3 + \phi_4).</math>            See (viii).  <math>\delta = \nu_1 + 2\nu_2 + \nu_3.</math></p>	<p>(a) <math>\{\nu_3, \nu_4\}</math>            (b) <math>\{\nu_1, \nu_3, \nu_4\}</math>            (c) <math>\{\nu_2, \nu_3, \nu_4\}</math>            (d) <math>\{\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3, \nu_4\}</math></p>	<p><math>\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + \phi_4</math>            or <math>\phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + 2\phi_4\} \cup</math>  <math>(-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3</math>            or <math>\phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3 + \phi_4\}), 12</math></p> <p><math>\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3</math>            or <math>\phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + 2\phi_4\} \cup</math>  <math>(-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3</math>            or <math>\phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3 + \phi_4\}), 13</math></p> <p><math>\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + \phi_4\} \cup</math>  <math>(-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3</math>            or <math>\phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3 + \phi_4\}), 13</math></p> <p><math>\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3</math>            or <math>\phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + \phi_4\} \cup</math>  <math>(-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3</math>            or <math>\phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3 + \phi_4\}), 14</math></p>	<p><math>\frac{SU(2) \times SU(2)}{SO(2)}</math>  <math>\frac{SU(2)}{SO(2)}</math>  <math>\frac{SU(2)}{SO(2)}</math>            singleton</p>	<p><math>t^{12}(1 + 2t^2 + t^4)</math>  <math>t^{13}(1 + t^2)</math>  <math>t^{13}(1 + t^2)</math>  <math>t^{14}</math></p>
<p>9. <math>\{\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3, \phi_3\};</math>  <math>\nu_1 = \phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3,</math>  <math>\nu_2 = \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + 2\phi_4, \nu_3 =</math>  <math>-(\phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + \phi_4).</math>            See (ix).  <math>\delta = \nu_1 + \nu_2.</math></p>	<p>(a) <math>\{\nu_3\}</math>            (b) <math>\{\nu_1, \nu_3\}</math>            (c) <math>\{\nu_2, \nu_3\}</math>            (d) <math>\{\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3\}</math></p>	<p><math>\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + \phi_4\} \cup</math>  <math>(-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + \phi_4\}), 12</math></p> <p><math>\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3\} \cup</math>  <math>(-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + \phi_4\}), 13</math></p> <p><math>\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + \phi_4</math>            or <math>\phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + 2\phi_4\} \cup</math>  <math>(-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + \phi_4\}), 13</math></p> <p><math>\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3</math>            or <math>\phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + 2\phi_4\} \cup</math>  <math>(-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + \phi_4\}), 14</math></p>	<p><math>\frac{SU(3)}{S(U(1) \times U(2))}</math>  <math>\frac{SU(2)}{SO(2)}</math>  <math>\frac{SU(2)}{SO(2)}</math>            singleton</p>	<p><math>t^{12}(1 + t^2 + t^4)</math>  <math>t^{13}(1 + t^2)</math>  <math>t^{13}(1 + t^2)</math>  <math>t^{14}</math></p>

$\Phi$	$\Gamma$	$\Delta(\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{p}),$ $R(\mathfrak{q})$	$Y_{\mathfrak{q}}$	$P_{\mathfrak{q}}(t)$
10. $\{\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3, \phi_2\};$ $\nu_1 = \phi_1 + \phi_2$ $+2\phi_3 + \phi_4, \nu_2 =$ $-(\phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3),$ $\nu_3 = -(\phi_1 + \phi_2 +$ $\phi_3 + \phi_4).$ See (x). $\delta = 2\nu_1 + \phi_2.$	(a) $\{\nu_2, \nu_3\}$ (b) $\{\nu_2, \nu_3, \phi_2\}$ (c) $\{\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3\}$	$\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + 2\phi_4$ or $\phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 3\phi_3 + \phi_4\} \cup$ $(-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3$ or $\phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3 + \phi_4\}), 12$ $\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + \phi_4$ or $\phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + 2\phi_4\} \cup$ $(-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3$ or $\phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3 + \phi_4\}), 13$ $\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + \phi_4\} \cup$ $(-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3$ or $\phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3 + \phi_4\}), 14$	$\frac{Sp(2)}{Sp(1) \times Sp(1)}$ $\frac{SU(2)}{SO(2)}$ singleton	$t^{12}(1 + t^4)$ $t^{13}(1 + t^2)$ $t^{14}$
11. $\{\nu, \delta, \phi_3, \phi_4\};$ $\nu = -(\phi_1 + \phi_2 +$ $2\phi_3 + 2\phi_4).$ See (xi).	(a) $\{\nu\}$	$\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3\} \cup$ $(-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + 2\phi_4\}), 14$	singleton	$t^{14}$
12. $\{\nu_1, \nu_2, \delta, \phi_3\};$ $\nu_1 = -(\phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3),$ $\nu_2 = -(\phi_1 + \phi_2 +$ $2\phi_3 + \phi_4).$ See (xii).	(a) $\{\nu_1, \nu_2\}$	$\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \geq \phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + \phi_4$ or $\phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + 2\phi_4\} \cup$ $(-\{\beta \in \Delta_n^+ : \beta \leq \phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3$ or $\phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + \phi_4\}), 14$	singleton	$t^{14}$



So the number of unitary equivalence classes of irreducible unitary representations with non-zero  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -cohomology of a connected Lie group  $G$  with Lie algebra  $f_{4(4)}$  is 46. From the Theorem 1.1, the discrete series representations of  $G$  with trivial infinitesimal character are given in the Table 2 as 1.(b), 2.(b), 3.(b), 4.(e), 5.(b), 6.(e), 7.(b), 8.(d), 9.(d), 10.(c), 11.(a), and 12.(a). Among these, the representation 1.(b) is the only Borel-de Siebenthal discrete series representation with trivial infinitesimal character, which is expected from [12, Theorem 1.2].

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author acknowledges the financial support from the Department of Science and Technology (DST), Govt. of India under the Scheme “Fund for Improvement of S&T Infrastructure (FIST)” [File No. SR/FST/MS-I/2019/41].

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Collingwood, D.H. A note on continuous cohomology for semisimple Lie groups. *Math. Z.* **189** (1985) 65–70.
- [2] Greub, W.; Halperin, S.; Vanstone, R. *Connections, curvature, and cohomology Volume III: Cohomology of principal bundles and homogeneous spaces*. Pure and Applied Mathematics. Academic Press, New York, 1976.
- [3] Harish-Chandra Representations of semisimple Lie groups. VI. Integrable and square-integrable representations. *Amer. J. Math.* **78** (1956) 564–628.
- [4] Knapp, A. W. *Representation theory of semisimple groups. An overview based on examples*. Princeton Landmarks in Mathematics. Princeton University Press, 2001.
- [5] Li, J.-S.; Schwermer J. Constructions of automorphic forms and related cohomology classes for arithmetic subgroups of  $G_2$ . *Comp. Math.* **87** (1993) 45–78.
- [6] Matsushima, Y. A formula for the Betti numbers of compact locally symmetric Riemannian manifolds. *J. Diff. Geom.* **1** (1967) 99–109.
- [7] Millson, John J.; Raghunathan, M. S. Geometric construction of cohomology for arithmetic groups. I. *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci.)*, **90** (1981) 103–123.
- [8] Mondal, Arghya; Sankaran, Parameswaran Geometric cycles in compact locally Hermitian symmetric spaces and automorphic representations. *Transformation Groups* **24** (2019) 913–948.
- [9] Ørsted, Bent; Wolf, Joseph A. Geometry of the Borel-de Siebenthal discrete series. *J. Lie Theory* **20** (2010) 175–212.

- [10] Pal, A.; Paul, P. Irreducible unitary representations with non-zero relative Lie algebra cohomology of the Lie group  $SO_0(2, m)$ . ArXiv:2309.12703.
- [11] Parthasarathy, R. A generalization of Enright-Varadarajan modules. *Compositio Math.* **36** (1978) 53–73.
- [12] Paul, P. Borel-de Siebenthal Positive Root Systems. ArXiv:2309.11099.
- [13] Paul, P. Geometric cycles in compact Riemannian locally symmetric spaces of type IV and automorphic representations of complex simple Lie groups. *Journal of Lie Theory* **30** (2020) 851–908.
- [14] Piccinni, P. On the cohomology of some exceptional symmetric spaces. *Special metrics and group actions in geometry. Springer INdAM Series* **23** (2017) 291–305.
- [15] Salamanca-Riba, S. On the unitary dual of some classical Lie groups. *Comp. Math.* **68** (1988) 251–303.
- [16] Vogan, David Unitarizability of certain series of representations. *Ann. Math.* **120** (1984) 141–187.
- [17] Vogan, David A., Jr.; Zuckerman, Gregg J. Unitary representations with nonzero cohomology. *Compositio Math.* **53** (1984) no. 1, 51–90.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PRESIDENCY UNIVERSITY, 86/1 COLLEGE STREET, KOLKATA 700073, INDIA

*Email address:* `pampa.maths@presiuniv.ac.in`