

conexpressionR: an R package for consensus differential gene expression analysis.

Juliana Costa-Silva¹ David Menotti¹ Fabricio M. Lopes²

¹Department of Informatics, Federal University of Paraná,
Rua Coronel Francisco Heráclito dos Santos, 100, 81531-990, Paraná, Brazil

²Department of Computer Science, Bioinformatics Graduate Program,
Federal University of Technology - Paraná,
Av. Alberto Carazzai, 1640 - Cornélio Procópio, Postal code: 86300-000, Paraná, Brazil.

March 28, 2025

Abstract

Motivation: Bulk RNA-Seq is a widely used method for studying gene expression across a variety of contexts. The significance of RNA-Seq studies has grown with the advent of high-throughput sequencing technologies. Computational methods have been developed for each stage of the identification of differentially expressed genes. Nevertheless, there are few studies exploring the association between different types of methods. In this study, we evaluated the impact of the association of methodologies in the results of differential expression analysis. By adopting two data sets with qPCR data (to gold-standard reference), seven methods were implemented and assessed in R packages (EBSeq, edgeR, DESeq2, limma, SAMseq, NOISeq, and Knowseq), which was performed and assessed separately and in association. The results were evaluated considering the adopted qPCR data.

Results: Here, we introduce conexpressionR, an R package that automates differential expression analysis using consensus of at least seven methodologies, producing more assertive results with a significant reduction in false positives.

Availability: conexpressionR is an R package available via Source code and support are available at GitHub (<https://github.com/costasilvati/conexpressionR>).

Contact: julianacostasilvati@gmail.com

Supplementary information: Supplementary data are available at github.

Keywords: ConexpressionR, gene expression, RNA-Seq

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Implementation	2
3	Materials and Methods	2
4	Results	5
5	Conclusion	6
6	Funding	7

1 Introduction

Bulk RNA sequencing (RNA-Seq) is a popular way to study gene expression mechanisms in a wide range of contexts [28]. The importance of RNA-Seq studies has increased significantly due to the advent of

high-throughput sequencing technologies [25], which enable the generation of large amounts of data at a lower cost and in a shorter time than previous methods. Computational methods for processing and analyzing this data have also developed rapidly [13, 19].

Modern high-throughput sequencing platforms, such as the Illumina HiSeq, generate millions of paired-end reads per biological sample, these reads can have between 150 and 300 base pairs in length. RNA-seq data analysis typically involves several key steps [10]. Popular steps are: I) Trimming: Removal of low-quality bases and sequencing adapters from reads; II) Filtering: Exclusion of reads with low quality or insufficient length; III) Alignment: Mapping of RNA-seq reads to a reference genome or transcriptome [20, 31, 36]; IV) Count: Quantification of gene expression by counting reads mapped to each gene or region of interest [1, 23]; VI) Normalization: Adjustment of count data to correct for technical factors and ensure comparability between different samples, standard normalization methods include FPKM, TPM, RPKM, and others; VI) Differential expression analysis: Identification of genes with a significantly different expression between different conditions or groups of samples [24, 34]; VII) Visualization: Presentation of results in graphical and tabular formats to facilitate comprehension [5, 18, 27].

Several methods have been developed for each stage of this analysis [10], and have been widely evaluated by many authors [2, 8, 11, 23, 30]. The most common objective of RNA-Seq data is to find differentially expressed genes (DEGs) across different conditions or groups [32]. Among computational methods for identifying DEGs, some strategies consider parametric statistical distributions for expression data analysis, i.e., parametric methods. Other strategies do not take prior knowledge about the expression data into account and are called non-parametric methods. [13].

We had previously developed the method `consexpression` [11] available in Python language. The main characteristic of `consexpression` is the identification of DEGs based on the wisdom of crowds theory [26]. In addition, `consexpression` is designed to perform four of the seven standard RNA-Seq data analysis steps mentioned above: Alignment, Count, Normalization, and Differential Expression (DE). The user only needs a sequence reads file (.fastq), a reference genome (.fasta), and annotation files (.gff) to receive a list of genes identified as differentially expressed by a mixture of five or more methods. However, due to its limitations for use with non-Python users, an alternative to DE analysis by `consexpression` is needed.

Here, we introduce an R package version of `consexpression`, named `consexpressionR`. Developed in the R language [17], this package isolates the DE analysis and enables R users to easily adjust parameters.

2 Implementation

The `consexpressionR` package depends on R version 4.4.0 or higher and the dependencies of seven packages that perform the differential expression analysis.

This version will only run locally from R environments where is necessary that dependencies are installed. We have provided the source code, and the latest version can be downloaded through our GitHub page <https://github.com/costasilvati/consexpressionR>. The latest version can be launched using user guide instructions, which are available at <https://costasilvati/github.io/consexpressionR>.

One contribution of `consexpressionR` is offering a comprehensive five-stage differential expression analysis workflow. This includes preparing the experiment, reading table count files, grouping them, and replicating the assignment. The `consexpressionR` package makes it possible to perform 7 differential expression methods by considering their default parameters: DESeq2[24], EBSeq[21], edgeR[34], KnowSeq [6], limma [33], NOISeq[35], SAMSeq[22]. Consensus computation: Combine the results from different methods to obtain more robust and reliable results of gene expression changes. Visualization: Generate informative graphical summaries of your results for straightforward interpretation.

Report generation: Get a report summarizing all the tools used. For a streamlined workflow (Figure: 1), a "simple DE procedure" is available. This removes the step of visualization. Other steps are considered mandatory because they provide the essential information needed for the DE consensus analysis.

3 Materials and Methods

Among the methodologies identified in the study [13], the `consexpression` [11] stands out. This is a hybrid methodology that utilizes both parametric and non-parametric methods for the definition of DEGs, proving to be pioneering. Therefore, this study implemented the updated version of `consexpression`, named `consexpressionR` [14], which presents source code, documentation for use, and all the files available for the complete replication of this study in an open way.




Figure 1: consexpressionR analysis workflow and main functionalities. The workflow comprises four steps, of which only visualization is optional.

Implementation

For the implementation of the R package `consexpressionR`, the characteristics of consensus use in the definition of DEGs, and the main contribution of the initial version (implemented in the Python programming language [15]), were taken into account. However, some updates and needs presented by users in the repository of the initial version [12] were considered in this new version. These are:

1. Updates: new versions of R packages and other extensions used can cause execution problems by changing the form of commands and parameters, for example;
2. Need for partial analysis: the initial version of `consexpression` performs all the steps of expression analysis (mapping, counting, and identifying DEGs). In the new version, these steps have been implemented independently, and only the identification of DEGs has been made available;
3. Analyses for organisms without a reference genome: `consexpression` requires the FASTA file with the reference genome and the GFF file with genome annotation for its execution, which prevents users with *de novo* data from performing their analysis. The new version provides differential expression analysis without the need for a reference genome;
4. Usability: `consexpression` does not have a graphical user interface (GUI), which can be a limiting factor for the use of the tool, which was made available in the new version of the package.

In this context, the implementation of `consexpressionR` follows the R package standard, as presented in the book 37. For this purpose, the package supporting the development of R packages, `devtools` [38], was used to assist in creating the structure. The documentation was developed using the `roxygen2` and `devtools` package [39]. The graphical interface was developed using the R Shiny package [7].

Table 1: DEGs methods adopted in R package `consexpressionR`, order by year of publication. It adopted the methodology proposed in review [13].

Method	Reference	Classification
edger	[34]	Parametric
NOISeq	[35]	Non parametric
EBSeq	[21]	Parametric
SAMSeq	[22]	Non parametric
DESeq2	[24]	Parametric
limma	[33]	Parametric
KnowSeq	[6]	Parametric

To address the gaps identified in the `consexpression`, the analysis of `consexpressionR` starts from the integer values of the count table, as presented in Figure 1. The analysis of DEGs is performed with seven methods implemented in R package format, namely: `edgeR`, `DESeq2`, `baySeq`, `EBSeq`, `NOISeq`, `limma`, and `knowSeq`, as presented in Table 1. For the `SAMSeq` method, only execution with count data is allowed; this method is not executed for quantification data. The `KnowSeq` method is executed only for data with a reference genome and valid gene names in public annotation data, such as ENSEMBL [29].

Datasets

Two datasets were adopted in order to evaluate the differential expression in this study. Dataset (A) is available in the Short Read Archive (SRA) by the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) and can be accessed using the code SRA010153. This dataset includes two conditions: cerebral tissue (Brain) and a mix of human cells (UHR), with each condition having seven replicates [4]. The reads of this dataset were mapped to the human genome, version 19 (GRCh37.p13) using the TopHat tool [36]. The genome and annotation file used are available in GENCODE page project [16]. To performance metrics were used as *gold standard* experiment, the qPCR constructed with the same samples described. This is the same dataset used in the version of `consexpression` [11].

Dataset (B) is available in the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) repository of NCBI [3] and can be accessed using the code GSE95077. This dataset provides the count table from an experiment conducted using the Illumina HiSeq 2500 sequencer. The experiment involved two conditions: multiple myeloma

cells with two treatments (BM and JJ), representing the application of amiloride at different dosages, and a control (DMSO). Each condition contains six samples, resulting in a count table with 18 columns and 107 rows. Performance metrics were obtained using qPCR data from the same study [10]. 31 genes were considered eligible for expression analysis with qPCR. These genes, $\frac{1}{3}$, were randomly chosen, and $\frac{2}{3}$ were selected because they showed significant expression variation, such as being up or downregulated. For the study initially applied, dataset B was ranked. However, in this study, we consider that genes classified by 10 as upregulated and downregulated by qPCR are differentially expressed, regardless of their ranking position.

4 Results

In order to evaluate the proposed method to identify DEGs, an experiment was performed using data sets (A) and (B), detailed information about data sets is presented in Section 3. Various assertiveness metrics were considered, as shown in Table 2.

The evaluation of individual methodologies for identifying DEGs clearly indicates that the results are strongly influenced by the adopted statistical model. Some methods perform better with more samples, while others show variations in the results influenced by different study characteristics [11].

Table 2: Performance evaluation of consensus with dataset (A).

Consensus #	TP	FP	Recall	Specificity	Precision
1	371	354	0,93	0,36	0,51
2	359	226	0,90	0,59	0,61
3	342	80	0,86	0,85	0,81
4	333	38	0,83	0,93	0,89
5	310	20	0,78	0,96	0,94
6	263	8	0,66	0,98	0,97
7	87	6	0,22	0,99	0,93

We applied seven differential gene expression analysis techniques (presented in Table 1) to the data used in the initial consexpression tests [11], here referred to as dataset (A) and to data from the study by 10, here referred to as dataset (B). We evaluated the consensus of these results to determine whether the updated theory maintains the previously recorded performance.

Table 3: Performance evaluation of consensus with dataset (B).

Consensus #	TP	FP	Recall	Specificity	Precision
1	13	7	0,62	0,30	0,65
2	11	4	0,52	0,60	0,73
3	7	1	0,33	0,90	0,87
4	4	0	0,19	1,00	1,00
5	4	0	0,19	1,00	1,00
6	3	0	0,14	1,00	1,00
7	0	0	0,00	1,00	NA

Tables 2 and 3 present the performance measures of DEG analysis using the consensus on the range of one to seven methodologies. Dataset (A) follows a normal distribution for RNA-Seq expression experiments, where most data do not show significant expression variations and a smaller group shows evident variation.

Consensus analysis of dataset (A) reveals that consensus among four or five methods yields results comparable to the best individual method performance while improving precision. However, using a consensus of more than five methods can lead to high precision but low recall. This approach may be helpful for identifying specific variations but at the cost of potentially missing relevant discoveries.

The precision of the consensus methods improves with each added methodology, as shown in figures 2 and 3. However, when the specificity is increased, the result becomes highly restrictive, so that in a data set with few genes, such as dataset (B), the FP ratio reaches 0 when we select only the genes indicated as DE by at least four methods.




Figure 2: Precision X Recall curve by dataset (A) by considering the consensus of methods.

Dataset (B) analysis shows that while EBSeq and NOISeq achieve high recall, they also have the three lowest precision rates, indicating a high rate of false positives despite identifying numerous genes. These results are consistent with those observed in dataset (A). Among the seven methods evaluated, edgeR, limma, DESeq2, and SAMSeq achieve the highest accuracy, consistent with previous studies [9, 11]. This suggests that nearly all genes identified by these methods are true positives, given the default parameterization used by consexpressionR. However, the limited number of samples leads to lower recall.




Figure 3: Precision X Recall curve by dataset (B) by considering the consensus of methods.

The results indicate that consensus analysis improves the identification of differentially expressed genes, yielding more robust and reliable results. This approach facilitates the rapid generation of gene lists based on the agreement of N user-specified methods (ranging from one to seven), irrespective of the specific methods employed. Notably, the final gene list may comprise genes identified by N methods, even if none of those methods exhibits optimal individual performance. Thus, the parameter N can be adjusted in order to produce results with higher precision (avoiding false positives), more permissive results with greater recovery (recall), or even a balance between precision and recall.

5 Conclusion

In this work, we present the R package, consexpressionR, which leverages the consensus of multiple differential expression analysis methods to identify DEGs. We also analyzed the individual results of the main methods across two RNA-Seq benchmark datasets. The experiments indicate that combining multiple methods can enhance the specificity of DEG identification; Thus, consexpressionR allows parametrization to enable more sensitive analyses as well.

As noted previously [11], we detected a decrease in Recall as the number of methods in consensus;

in datasets (A) and (B), it is possible to see the same behavior. This range follows an increase in precision rate. Thus, as a result, using more consensus methods can indicate DEGs more precisely and restrictively. On the other hand, discovering new DEGs requires being more permissive. In individual methods analysis, it is possible to identify that non-parametric methods are more affected by the amount of data. NOISeq and KnowSeq have a low Recall rate.

A graphical user interface (GUI) was developed for the package, enabling local execution of analysis through a web browser. The package is currently available on GitHub. We anticipate its inclusion in the Bioconductor repository following the completion of the submission process.

Based on the results of the experiments, we conclude that RNA-Seq-based differential expression methodologies have achieved a high level of maturity. Therefore, current contributions focus on improving ease of use and enhancing the robustness of existing methods. Future research directions include exploring consensus-based analysis of single-cell RNA-Seq (scRNA-Seq) data.

In summary, conexpressionR provides a reliable R package for Bulk RNA-Seq expression analysis, delivering highly specific results. Additionally, it offers the option to identify new potential expression profiles when using a lower consensus threshold, such as the indication of one or two methods.

6 Funding

This study was financed by the Fundação Araucária (Grant number 035/2019, 138/2021 and NAPI - Bioinformática) and CNPq (Grant number 440412/2022-6 and 408312/2023-8).

References

- [1] Simon Anders, Davis J McCarthy, Yunshun Chen, Michal Okoniewski, Gordon K Smyth, Wolfgang Huber, and Mark D Robinson. Count-based differential expression analysis of rna sequencing data using r and bioconductor. *Nature protocols*, 8:1765–1786, 2013.
- [2] Simon Anders, Paul Theodor Pyl, and Wolfgang Huber. Htseq-a python framework to work with high-throughput sequencing data. *Bioinformatics*, 31:166–169, 1 2015. ISSN 14602059. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btu638.
- [3] Tanya Barrett, Dennis B. Troup, Stephen E. Wilhite, Pierre Ledoux, Carlos Evangelista, Irene F. Kim, Maxim Tomashevsky, Kimberly A. Marshall, Katherine H. Phillippy, Patti M. Sherman, Rolf N. Muertter, Michelle Holko, Oluwabukunmi Ayanbule, Andrey Yefanov, and Alexandra Soboleva. Ncbi geo: archive for functional genomics data sets—10 years on. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 39(1):D1005–D1010, 1 2011. ISSN 0305-1048. doi: 10.1093/NAR/GKQ1184. URL <https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkq1184>.
- [4] James H Bullard, Elizabeth Purdom, Kasper D Hansen, and Sandrine Dudoit. Evaluation of statistical methods for normalization and differential expression in mrna-seq experiments. *BMC Bioinformatics*, 11(1):94, 12 2010. ISSN 1471-2105. doi: 10.1186/1471-2105-11-94. URL <https://bmcbioinformatics.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1471-2105-11-94>.
- [5] Daniel G Bunis, Jared Andrews, Gabriela K Fragiadakis, Trevor D Burt, and Marina Sirota. dittoseq: universal user-friendly single-cell and bulk rna sequencing visualization toolkit. *Bioinformatics*, 36:5535–5536, 4 2021. ISSN 1367-4803. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btaa1011. URL <https://academic.oup.com/bioinformatics/article/36/22-23/5535/6031909>.
- [6] Daniel Castillo-Secilla, Juan Manuel Gálvez, Francisco Carrillo-Perez, Marta Verona-Almeida, Daniel Redondo-Sánchez, Francisco Manuel Ortuno, Luis Javier Herrera, and Ignacio Rojas. Knowseq r-bioc package: The automatic smart gene expression tool for retrieving relevant biological knowledge. *Computers in Biology and Medicine*, 133:104387, 6 2021. ISSN 0010-4825. doi: 10.1016/J.COMPBIOMED.2021.104387.
- [7] Winston Chang, Joe Cheng, JJ Allaire, Carson Sievert, Barret Schloerke, Yihui Xie, Jeff Allen, Jonathan McPherson, Alan Dipert, and Barbara Borges. *shiny: Web Application Framework for R*, 2024. URL <https://shiny.posit.co/>. R package version 1.9.0.9000, <https://github.com/rstudio/shiny>.

- [8] Hussain Ahmed Chowdhury, Dhruva Kumar Bhattacharyya, and Jugal Kumar Kalita. Differential expression analysis of rna-seq reads: Overview, taxonomy, and tools. *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Computational Biology and Bioinformatics*, 17(2):566–586, 3 2020. ISSN 15579964. doi: 10.1109/TCBB.2018.2873010.
- [9] Luis A. Corchete, Elizabetha A. Rojas, Diego Alonso-López, Javier De Las Rivas, Norma C. Gutiérrez, and Francisco J. Burguillo. Systematic comparison and assessment of rna-seq procedures for gene expression quantitative analysis. *Scientific Reports*, 10(1), 12 2020. ISSN 20452322. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-76881-x.
- [10] Luis A. Corchete, Elizabetha A. Rojas, Diego Alonso-López, Javier De Las Rivas, Norma C. Gutiérrez, and Francisco J. Burguillo. Systematic comparison and assessment of rna-seq procedures for gene expression quantitative analysis. *Nature Scientific Reports*, 10:19737, 11 2020. ISSN 2045-2322. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-76881-x. URL <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-020-76881-x>.
- [11] Juliana Costa-Silva, Douglas Domingues, and Fabricio Martins Lopes. Rna-seq differential expression analysis: An extended review and a software tool. *PLOS ONE*, 12(12):e0190152, 12 2017. ISSN 1932-6203. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0190152. URL <https://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0190152>.
- [12] Juliana Costa-Silva, Douglas S. Menotti, Domingues, and Fabrício M. Lopes. `costasilvati/consexpression:differential gene expression software with consesnus`, 2017. URL <https://github.com/costasilvati/consexpression>.
- [13] Juliana Costa-Silva, Douglas S. Domingues, David Menotti, Mariangela Hungria, and Fabrício Martins Lopes. Temporal progress of gene expression analysis with rna-seq data: A review on the relationship between computational methods. *Computational and Structural Biotechnology Journal*, 21:86–98, 1 2023. ISSN 2001-0370. doi: 10.1016/J.CSBJ.2022.11.051.
- [14] Juliana Costa-Silva, David Gomes Menotti, and Fabricio M. Lopes. `costasilvati/consexpressionr: Version 2.0 of differential gene expression software`, 2024. URL <https://github.com/costasilvati/consexpressionR>.
- [15] Python Software Foundation. *Python.org*, 2024. URL <https://www.python.org/>.
- [16] Jennifer Harrow, Adam Frankish, Jose M Gonzalez, Electra Tapanari, Mark Diekhans, Stephen Searle, and others. Gencode: the reference human genome annotation for the encode project. *Genome research*, 22(9):1760–1774, 2012.
- [17] Kurt Hornik. R: The r project for statistical computing, 2014. URL <http://www.r-project.org>.
- [18] Alper Kucukural, Onur Yukselen, Deniz M. Ozata, Melissa J. Moore, and Manuel Garber. Debrowser: Interactive differential expression analysis and visualization tool for count data 06 biological sciences 0604 genetics 08 information and computing sciences 0806 information systems. *BMC Genomics*, 20(1):6, 1 2019. ISSN 14712164. doi: 10.1186/s12864-018-5362-x. URL <https://bmcbgenomics.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12864-018-5362-x>.
- [19] Malte Kuehl, Milagros N. Wong, Nicola Wanner, Stefan Bonn, and Victor G. Puelles. Gene count estimation with pytximport enables reproducible analysis of bulk rna sequencing data in python. *Bioinformatics*, 40, 11 2024. ISSN 13674811. doi: 10.1093/BIOINFORMATICS/BTAE700. URL <https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btae700>.
- [20] Ben Langmead, Kasper D. Hansen, and Jeffrey T. Leek. Cloud-scale rna-sequencing differential expression analysis with myrna. *Genome biology*, 11(8):1–11, 8 2010. ISSN 14656914. doi: 10.1186/gb-2010-11-8-r83. URL <https://link.springer.com/articles/10.1186/gb-2010-11-8-r83><https://link.springer.com/article/10.1186/gb-2010-11-8-r83>.
- [21] Ning Leng, John A. Dawson, James A. Thomson, Victor Ruotti, Anna I. Rissman, Bart M. G. Smits, Jill D. Haag, Michael N. Gould, Ron M. Stewart, and Christina Kendziorski. Ebseq: an empirical bayes hierarchical model for inference in rna-seq experiments. *Bioinformatics*, 29(8):1035–1043, 4 2013. ISSN 1460-2059. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btt087. URL <https://academic.oup.com/bioinformatics/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/bioinformatics/btt087>.

- [22] Jun Li and Robert Tibshirani. Finding consistent patterns: A nonparametric approach for identifying differential expression in rna-seq data. *Statistical Methods in Medical Research*, 22(5):519–536, 10 2013. ISSN 0962-2802. doi: 10.1177/0962280211428386. URL <http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/0962280211428386>.
- [23] Yang Liao, Gordon K Smyth, and Wei Shi. The r package rsubread is easier, faster, cheaper and better for alignment and quantification of rna sequencing reads. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 47(8):e47–e47, 5 2019. ISSN 0305-1048. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkz114. URL <https://academic.oup.com/nar/article/47/8/e47/5345150>.
- [24] Michael I Love, Wolfgang Huber, and Simon Anders. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for rna-seq data with deseq2. *Genome Biology*, 15(12):550, 12 2014. ISSN 1474-760X. doi: 10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8. URL <http://genomebiology.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8>.
- [25] Stian Lågstad, Sen Zhao, Andreas M. Hoff, Bjarne Johannessen, Ole Christian Lingjærde, and Rolf I. Skotheim. chimeraviz: a tool for visualizing chimeric rna. *Bioinformatics*, 33:2954–2956, 9 2017. ISSN 1367-4803. doi: 10.1093/BIOINFORMATICS/BTX329. URL <https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btx329>.
- [26] Daniel Marbach, James C Costello, Robert Küffner, Nicole M Vega, Robert J Prill, Diogo M Camacho, Kyle R Allison, DREAM5 Consortium, Manolis Kellis, James J Collins, and Gustavo Stolovitzky. Wisdom of crowds for robust gene network inference. *Nature methods*, 9(8):796–804, 7 2012. ISSN 1548-7105. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.2016. URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22796662>.
- [27] Brandon Monier, Adam McDermaid, Cankun Wang, Jing Zhao, Allison Miller, Anne Fennell, and Qin Ma. Iris-eda: An integrated rna-seq interpretation system for gene expression data analysis. *PLoS Computational Biology*, 15, 2 2019. ISSN 15537358. doi: 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1006792.
- [28] Ali Mortazavi, Brian A. Williams, Kenneth McCue, Lorian Schaeffer, and Barbara Wold. Mapping and quantifying mammalian transcriptomes by rna-seq. *Nature Methods*, 5(7):621–628, 7 2008. ISSN 15487091. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.1226.
- [29] Uma Mudunuri, Anney Che, Ming Yi, and Robert M. Stephens. bioDBnet: the biological database network. *Bioinformatics*, 25(4):555–556, 01 2009. ISSN 1367-4803. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btn654. URL <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btn654>.
- [30] Eliah G. Overbey, Amanda M. Saravia-Butler, Zhe Zhang, Komal S. Rathi, Homer Fogle, William A. da Silveira, Richard J. Barker, Joseph J. Bass, Afshin Beheshti, Daniel C. Berrios, Elizabeth A. Blaber, Egle Cekanaviciute, Helio A. Costa, Laurence B. Davin, Kathleen M. Fisch, Samrawit G. Gebre, Matthew Geniza, Rachel Gilbert, Simon Gilroy, Gary Hardiman, Raúl Herranz, Yared H. Kidane, Colin P.S. Kruse, Michael D. Lee, Ted Liefeld, Norman G. Lewis, J. Tyson McDonald, Robert Meller, Tejaswini Mishra, Imara Y. Perera, Shayoni Ray, Sigrid S. Reinsch, Sara Brin Rosenthal, Michael Strong, Nathaniel J. Szewczyk, Candice G.T. Tahimic, Deanne M. Taylor, Joshua P. Vandenbrink, Alicia Villacampa, Silvio Weging, Chris Wolverton, Sarah E. Wyatt, Luis Zea, Sylvain V. Costes, and Jonathan M. Galazka. Nasa genelab rna-seq consensus pipeline: standardized processing of short-read rna-seq data. *iScience*, 24(4):102361, 4 2021. ISSN 25890042. doi: 10.1016/J.ISCI.2021.102361/ATTACHMENT/A6434F11-57A4-4B99-A3B6-718D5BDB0F99/MMC8.ZIP. URL <http://www.cell.com/article/S2589004221003291/fulltext>.
- [31] Rob Patro, Geet Duggal, Michael I Love, Rafael A Irizarry, and Carl Kingsford. Salmon provides fast and bias-aware quantification of transcript expression. *Nature Methods*, 14(4):417–419, 4 2017. ISSN 1548-7091. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.4197. URL <http://www.nature.com/articles/nmeth.4197>.
- [32] Shancheng Ren, Zhiyu Peng, Jian-Hua Mao, Yongwei Yu, Changjun Yin, Xin Gao, Zilian Cui, Jibin Zhang, Kang Yi, Weidong Xu, Chao Chen, Fubo Wang, Xinwu Guo, Ji Lu, Jun Yang, Min Wei, Zhijian Tian, Yinghui Guan, Liang Tang, Chuanliang Xu, Linhui Wang, Xu Gao, Wei Tian, Jian Wang, Huanming Yang, Jun Wang, and Yinghao Sun. Rna-seq analysis of prostate cancer in the chinese population identifies recurrent gene fusions, cancer-associated long noncoding rnas and aberrant alternative splicings. *Cell Research*, 22(5):806–821, 5 2012. ISSN 1001-0602. doi: 10.1038/cr.2012.30. URL <http://www.nature.com/articles/cr201230>.

- [33] Matthew E. Ritchie, Belinda Phipson, Di Wu, Yifang Hu, Charity W. Law, Wei Shi, and Gordon K. Smyth. Limma powers differential expression analyses for rna-sequencing and microarray studies. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 43(7):e47, 1 2015. ISSN 13624962. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkv007. URL <https://academic.oup.com/nar/article/43/7/e47/2414268>.
- [34] M. D. Robinson, D. J. McCarthy, and G. K. Smyth. edgeR: a bioconductor package for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. *Bioinformatics*, 26(1):139–140, 1 2010. ISSN 1367-4803. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btp616. URL <https://academic.oup.com/bioinformatics/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp616>.
- [35] Sonia Tarazona, Fernando García-Alcalde, Joaquín Dopazo, Alberto Ferrer, and Ana Conesa. Differential expression in rna-seq: A matter of depth. *Genome Research*, 21(12):2213–2223, 12 2011. ISSN 1088-9051. doi: 10.1101/gr.124321.111. URL <http://genome.cshlp.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/gr.124321.111>.
- [36] Cole Trapnell, Lior Pachter, and Steven L. Salzberg. Tophat: discovering splice junctions with rna-seq. *Bioinformatics*, 25(9):1105–1111, 5 2009. ISSN 1460-2059. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btp120. URL <https://academic.oup.com/bioinformatics/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp120>.
- [37] Hadley Wickham. *R Packages: Organize, Test, Document, and Share Your Code*. O’Reilly Media, 1 edition, 4 2015. ISBN 9781491910566. URL <https://books.google.com.br/books?hl=pt-BR&lr=&id=DqSxBwAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PR3&dq=r+packages+test&ots=ao-8DOUMdh&sig=ieGPZ53bCXGEwIMvQjvxHHgq6JY#v=onepage&q=r%20packages%20test&f=false>.
- [38] Hadley Wickham, Jim Hester, Winston Chang, and Jennifer Bryan. *devtools: Tools to Make Developing R Packages Easier*, 2022. <https://devtools.r-lib.org/>, <https://github.com/r-lib/devtools>.
- [39] Hadley Wickham, Peter Danenberg, Gábor Csárdi, and Manuel Eugster. *roxygen2: In-Line Documentation for R*, 2024. URL <https://roxygen2.r-lib.org/>. R package version 7.3.2, <https://github.com/r-lib/roxygen2>.