

MINIMAL GENERATING SETS OF LARGE POWERS OF BIVARIATE MONOMIAL IDEALS

JUTTA RATH AND ROSWITHA RISSNER

ABSTRACT. For a monomial ideal I , it is known that for increasing n the number of minimal generators $\mu(I^n)$ eventually follows a polynomial pattern. In general, little is known about the power at which this pattern emerges. Even less is known about the exact form of the minimal generators after this power. We show that for large n the ideal I^n can be constructed from certain subideals of a specific power I^s . We determine s explicitly in terms of I and show that $s \leq \mu(I)(d^2 - 1) + 1$, where d is at most the maximal x - or y -degree appearing in the minimal generators $G(I)$. This provides an explicit description of $G(I^n)$ in terms of $G(I^s)$, significantly reducing computational complexity in determining high powers of bivariate monomial ideals. This further enables us to explicitly compute $\mu(I^n)$ for all $n \geq s$ in terms of a linear polynomial in n . We include runtime measurements for the attached implementation in SageMath.

1. INTRODUCTION

For a monomial ideal I , we denote by $G(I)$ the set of its minimal generators and write $\mu(I) := |G(I)|$. It is known that for sufficiently large n , the number of minimal generators of I^n follows a polynomial function (cf. [4, Theorem 6.1.3]), whereas for small n , various irregularities can occur. These behaviours for small n have been studied for the bivariate case in [1, 2] and for more than two variables in [3].

We ignore these initial irregularities and focus on when the number of minimal generators begins following a predictable pattern. Indeed, we show that not only the number of generators becomes predictable, but the generators themselves also eventually follow a structured pattern.

Specifically, we show that for any monomial ideal I in the polynomial ring $k[x, y]$ for any field k , this “pattern stabilization” occurs no later than power $\mu(I)(d^2 -$




FIG. 1. Visualization of the ideal link

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13C99, 13E15, 68W30, 13F20, 13A15, 13B22 .

Key words and phrases. Minimal generating sets, number of generators, bivariate monomial ideals, Hilbert polynomial, ideal reduction number, powers of monomial ideals.

This research was funded in part by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) [10.55776/DOC78]. For open access purposes, the authors have applied a CC BY public copyright license to any author-accepted manuscript version arising from this submission.

1) + 1, where d is a constant depending on the degrees of the minimal generators of I and is at most the maximal x - or y -degree appearing in $G(I)$.

To describe this pattern, we use staircase diagrams and show that there exists $s_0 \leq \mu(I)(d^2 - 1)$ such that for all $n \geq s \geq s_0$ every segment of the staircase diagram—and consequently, the set of minimal generators—of I^n is already determined by the staircase diagram of I^s . In other words, the staircase diagram of I^n can be built by aligning the staircase diagrams of certain subideals of I^s .

To formalize the process of aligning staircases of ideals, we introduce the concept of the *link of ideals*, denoted by \odot , (Definition 4.7). The staircase of the ideal $I \odot J$ is obtained by shifting I in y -direction and J in x -direction to align the staircases of I and J such that they meet in precisely one point, as illustrated in Figure 1.

In Theorem 5.3 we show that for all $s \geq s_0$ and $\ell \geq 0$,

$$I^{s+\ell} = \gcd(I)^{s+\ell} \cdot \left(C_0 \odot \bigodot_{i=1}^k (H_i^{\odot \ell} \odot C_i) \right),$$

where C_0 , C_i , and H_i for $1 \leq k \leq n$ are explicitly given subideals of I^s —the s -stable components of I (Definition 5.2). In Theorem 5.3 we determine s_0 , too.

It immediately follows that for $s \geq s_0$ and $\ell \geq 0$,

$$\mu(I^{s+\ell}) = \mu(I^s) + \ell \cdot (\mu(I^{s+1}) - \mu(I^s)),$$

see Corollary 5.10.

Moreover, the minimal generators of $I \odot J$ can be determined by $\mu(I) + \mu(J) - 1$ many additions of (monomial) exponents. Thus, $G(I^{s+\ell})$ can be computed from $G(I^s)$ in $\mathcal{O}(\ell)$ additions of non-negative integers (Corollary 5.5).

This paper is structured as follows: Section 2 summarizes the necessary background about the integral closure of bivariate monomial ideals. In Section 3, we establish that the corners of the Newton polyhedron of I play a special role among the minimal generators of powers of I . We call them persistent generators (Definition 3.1), as their powers remain minimal generators of all powers of I . The main result of this section is Theorem 3.11 which allows us to decompose powers of I into a sum of ideals:

$$I^{D+\ell} = \sum_{i=1}^k (g_i, g_{i+1})^\ell I^D,$$

where g_1, \dots, g_{k+1} are the persistent generators of I . We skip the details on how to calculate D here but note that $D \leq \mu(I) \cdot d$. We recover the fact [6, Proposition 2.1] that the ideal generated by the persistent generators is a reduction of I as a direct consequence of this theorem. In addition, it yields a bound for its reduction number, see Remark 3.9. Sums of that form are for increasing ℓ further studied in Section 4. We first describe the minimal generators of each summand $(g_i, g_{i+1})^\ell I^D$ separately (Theorem 4.4) and then add them up again (Theorem 4.14). Section 5 combines the results of Section 3 and Section 4 to the main results of this paper (Theorem 5.3 and Corollary 5.10). We provide an implementation to compute $I^{s+\ell}$ in SageMath¹. We conclude the paper with examples and runtime measurements in practice.

¹The program code associated with this paper is available as ancillary file from the arXiv page of this paper.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper we frequently identify a monomial $x^a y^b$ with the lattice point $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Note that in the bivariate case, the minimal generators of an ideal are always of the form $x^{a_i} y^{b_i}$ with

$$a_0 < a_1 < \cdots < a_n, \text{ and}$$

$$b_0 > b_1 > \cdots > b_n.$$

We always assume that I is not a principal ideal. Moreover, many properties of monomial ideals, such as the number of minimal generators, remain invariant under shifts. Therefore, when it simplifies notation, we divide I by the greatest common divisor of all its monomials, denoted by $\gcd(I)$.

Definition 2.1. For a monomial ideal I , we denote $I_{\mathfrak{z}} := I : \gcd(I)$. We call a monomial ideal I *anchored*, if $I_{\mathfrak{z}} = I$.

Definition 2.2. For a monomial ideal I , the *integral closure* \bar{I} is defined as the set of all monomials f for which there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $f^n \in I^n$.

Fact 2.3 (cf. [5, Proposition 1.4.6]). For $a, b \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and a monomial $g \in \mathbb{k}[x, y]$ the following are equivalent:

- (1) $x^u y^v \in \overline{(x^a, y^b)}$
- (2) $g \cdot x^u y^v \in \overline{(g \cdot x^a, g \cdot y^b)}$
- (3) $\frac{u}{a} + \frac{v}{b} \geq 1$

Moreover, $\frac{u}{a} + \frac{v}{b} = 1$ implies $x^u y^v \in \mathbb{G}(\overline{(x^a, y^b)})$.

Definition 2.4. Let $f, g, h \in \mathbb{k}[x, y]$ be monomials such that $f \notin (g, h)$. We say that f *lies between* g and h if

$$\begin{aligned} \min\{\deg_x g, \deg_x h\} &< \deg_x f, \text{ and} \\ \min\{\deg_y g, \deg_y h\} &< \deg_y f. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.5. Let f be a monomial in between two other monomials, g and h , in $\mathbb{k}[x, y]$. Geometrically, Fact 2.3 says that f is in the integral closure of (g, h) if and only if it lies above the line passing through g and h , see Figure 2.

Definition 2.6. Let $I \subseteq \mathbb{k}[x, y]$ be a monomial ideal. We define

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dist}_x I &:= \max\{\deg_x g \mid g \in \mathbb{G}(I_{\mathfrak{z}})\}, \text{ and} \\ \text{dist}_y I &:= \max\{\deg_y g \mid g \in \mathbb{G}(I_{\mathfrak{z}})\}. \end{aligned}$$

We use dist_{\bullet} as a placeholder for either dist_x or dist_y , with the choice remaining fixed within a given context. For a set of monomials $G \subseteq \mathbb{k}[x, y]$, we define $\text{dist}_{\bullet} G$ as dist_{\bullet} of the ideal generated by G .

Remark 2.7. (1) Let f, g , and h be monomials such that f lies between g and h . Then $\text{dist}_{\bullet}(h, f) + \text{dist}_{\bullet}(f, g) = \text{dist}_{\bullet}(h, g)$.




FIG. 2. Left: The integral closure of (x^a, y^b) adds all lattice points above the line connecting $(a, 0)$ and $(0, b)$ (red). Right: Visualization of Fact 2.3(2).

$$(2) \text{ dist}_\bullet I = \max\{\deg_\bullet g \mid g \in \mathbf{G}(I)\} - \min\{\deg_\bullet g \mid g \in \mathbf{G}(I)\}.$$

Definition 2.8. Let g and h be two monomials. We define the non-standard grading $\deg_{g,h}$ additively by setting

$$\begin{aligned} \deg_{g,h}(x) &:= \text{dist}_y(g, h), \text{ and} \\ \deg_{g,h}(y) &:= \text{dist}_x(g, h), \end{aligned}$$

and we set $\mathbf{d}_{g,h} := \deg_{g,h}(g) = \deg_{g,h}(h)$.

Remark 2.9. (1) $\mathbf{d}_{x^a, y^b} = ab$

(2) Let g and h be two monomials and $x^\alpha y^\beta := \gcd(g, h)$. Then

$$\deg_{g,h}(x^u y^v) \geq \mathbf{d}_{g,h} \iff \frac{u - \alpha}{\text{dist}_x(g, h)} + \frac{v - \beta}{\text{dist}_y(g, h)} \geq 1,$$

where equality holds simultaneously on both sides. Note that here, $x^u y^v$ does not necessarily lie between g and h .

(3) Geometrically, the equivalence in (2) states $\deg_{g,h}(x^u y^v) \geq \mathbf{d}_{g,h}$ if and only if $x^u y^v$ lies above the line through g and h . Equality holds if it is exactly on the line.

Lemma 2.10. Let $f, g, h \in \mathbf{k}[x, y]$ be monomials such that f lies between g and h . Then the following assertions are equivalent:

- (1) $f \in \overline{(g, h)}$
- (2) $\deg_{g,h}(f) \geq \mathbf{d}_{g,h}$
- (3) $\deg_{f,h}(g) \leq \mathbf{d}_{f,h}$
- (4) $\deg_{g,f}(h) \leq \mathbf{d}_{g,f}$

Moreover, $\deg_{g,h}(f) = \mathbf{d}_{g,h}$ implies $f \in \mathbf{G}(\overline{(g, h)})$.

Proof. (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) follows from Remark 2.9(1) and Fact 2.3. (2) \Leftrightarrow (3) and (2) \Leftrightarrow (4) follow from Remark 2.9(3). \square

3. THE ROLE OF PERSISTENT GENERATORS

Definition 3.1. Let I be a monomial ideal in $\mathbf{k}[x, y]$. We say $f \in \mathbf{G}(I)$ is a *persistent generator* of I if $f \notin \overline{(g, h)}$ for all monomials $g, h \in I \setminus \{f\}$. We denote the set of all persistent generators of I by $P(I)$, and define $N(I) := \mathbf{G}(I) \setminus P(I)$.

Remark 3.2. (1) The minimal generators of I with maximal x -degree and y -degree, respectively, are persistent.

(2) The persistent generators of I are the corners of the Newton polyhedron of I .

(3) If $f \in P(I)$, then $f^n \in \mathbf{G}(I^n)$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

By definition, $f \in \overline{(g, h)}$ is equivalent to $f^n \in (g, h)^n$ for some n . In the next proposition, we explicitly determine such an n . In addition, we show that if $f \notin \overline{(g, h)}$, an analogous relation holds among the three polynomials.

Proposition 3.3. Let $g, h, f \in \mathbf{k}[x, y]$ with f lying between g and h , and define

$$\alpha := \text{dist}_\bullet(f, h) \quad \text{and} \quad n := \text{dist}_\bullet(g, h).$$

Then the following assertions hold:

- (1) If $f \in \overline{(g, h)}$ then $g^\alpha h^{n-\alpha} \mid f^n$ and hence $f^n \in (g, h)^n$.

(2) If $f \notin \overline{(g, h)}$ then $f^n \mid g^\alpha h^{n-\alpha}$ and hence $g^\alpha h^{n-\alpha} \in (f)^n$.

Moreover, $\deg_{g, h}(f) = d_{g, h}$ if and only if there exist $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \leq k \leq n$ such that $g^k h^{n-k} = f^n$.

Proof. Recall that $n - \alpha = \text{dist}_\bullet(g, f)$ (Remark 2.7(1)). Since f lies between g and h it follows that all three monomials are divisible by $\gcd(g, h)$. Therefore, we can assume that $g = y^b$, $h = x^a$ and $f = x^u y^v$ with $0 < u < a$ and $0 < v < b$. Note that

$$\deg_x(x^{au} y^{b(a-u)}) = au = \deg_x(f^a).$$

By Fact 2.3, $f \in \bar{I}$ if and only if $\frac{u}{a} + \frac{v}{b} \geq 1$ which, in turn, is equivalent to

$$\deg_y(x^{au} y^{b(a-u)}) = b(a-u) \leq av = \deg_y(f^a).$$

This implies (1) and (2) in the case that $\text{dist}_\bullet = \text{dist}_x$. The respective assertions with $\text{dist}_\bullet = \text{dist}_y$ are proven analogously.

For the last assertion note that the right-hand side holds if and only if

$$ak = un \text{ and } b(n-k) = vn,$$

which is equivalent to $\frac{u}{a} + \frac{v}{b} = 1$. The latter is equivalent to the left-hand side, cf. Remark 2.9(2). \square

Remark 3.4. The bound $\min\{\text{dist}_x(g, h), \text{dist}_y(g, h)\}$ for n in Proposition 3.3 is sharp, see Example 3.5 below.

Example 3.5. Let $g = y^5$ and $h = x^6$. Then $\min\{\text{dist}_x(g, h), \text{dist}_y(g, h)\} = 5$.

- (1) The monomial $f = x^5 y$ is an element of $\overline{(g, h)}$ for which one can easily verify that $f^n \notin (x^6, y^5)^n$ for $n \leq 4$.
- (2) The monomial $f = xy^4$ lies between g and h such that $f \notin \overline{(g, h)}$. A straight-forward computation shows that there is no $n < 5$ such that there exist α, β with $\alpha + \beta = n$ and $g^\alpha h^\beta \in (f)^n$.

Notation 3.6. Let I be a monomial ideal and let g_1, \dots, g_{k+1} be its persistent generators, ordered in ascending x -degree. We set

$$\delta_I := \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} \left\{ \min\{\text{dist}_x(g_i, g_{i+1}), \text{dist}_y(g_i, g_{i+1})\} \right\} - 1.$$

Corollary 3.7. Let I be a monomial ideal in $\mathbb{k}[x, y]$ whose persistent generators g_1, \dots, g_{k+1} are ordered in ascending x -degree. For every $n \geq \delta_I$ and $f \in N(I)$ there exist $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $a \leq \delta_I$ such that

$$f^n \in (g_i, g_{i+1})^{n-a} \cdot f^a.$$

Proof. By definition, there exist $g, h \in I \setminus \{f\}$ with $f \in \overline{(g, h)}$. We can choose g and h to be in $P(I)$ such that no other persistent generator of I lies between them, meaning $g = g_i$ and $h = g_{i+1}$ for some $1 \leq i < k$. We write $d := \min\{\text{dist}_x(g_i, g_{i+1}), \text{dist}_y(g_i, g_{i+1})\}$. Then $n \geq \delta_I \geq d-1$, so we can write $n = qd+a$ with $q \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $a \leq d-1$. It follows from Proposition 3.3(1) that

$$f^n = f^{qd+a} \in (g_i, g_{i+1})^{qd} \cdot f^a.$$

\square

Corollary 3.7 immediately yields:

Corollary 3.8. *Let I be a monomial ideal in $k[x, y]$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Every minimal generator of I^n is of the form*

$$\prod_{g \in P(I)} g^{\ell_g} \cdot \prod_{f \in N(I)} f^{k_f}, \quad (3.1)$$

where $0 \leq k_f \leq \delta_I$ for all $f \in N(I)$ and $\sum_g \ell_g + \sum_f k_f = n$.

Remark 3.9. Pooja Singla [6, Proposition 2.1] established that the ideal P , generated by the persistent generators $P(I)$, is a reduction of I . That is, there exists $\delta \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$I^{\delta+n} = P^n I^\delta.$$

Singla's result applies to monomial ideals in any number of variables. Through Corollary 3.8 we recover Singla's result for the bivariate case and further show that the reduction number of I with respect to P is at most $|N(I)| \cdot \delta_I$.

With Proposition 3.3(2), we further refine the statement of Corollary 3.8 in Theorem 3.11 below.

Notation 3.10. For a monomial ideal I we set

$$d_I := \begin{cases} \min\{\text{dist}_x I, \text{dist}_y I\} - 2, & \text{if } |P(I)| > 2, \\ 0, & \text{if } |P(I)| = 2. \end{cases}$$

Note that $|P(I)| > 2$ implies that $\min\{\text{dist}_x I, \text{dist}_y I\} \geq 2$.

Theorem 3.11. *Let I be a monomial ideal in $k[x, y]$ whose persistent generators g_1, \dots, g_{k+1} are ordered in ascending x -degree, and $D := |N(I)| \cdot \delta_I + |P(I)| \cdot d_I$. Then for all $\ell \geq 0$,*

$$I^{D+\ell} = \sum_{i=1}^k (g_i, g_{i+1})^\ell I^D.$$

Proof. The inclusion " \supseteq " is trivial. We prove " \subseteq ". By Corollary 3.8 we can write $I^{D+\ell} = I^{\delta+n} = P^n I^\delta$ where $\delta := |N(I)| \cdot \delta_I$, and $n := (k+1)d_I + \ell$, and P is the ideal generated by $P(I)$. Thus, every minimal generator F of $I^{\delta+n}$ is of the form $F = g \cdot f$, where g is a product of n persistent generators and $f \in I^\delta$.

Claim. There exists $1 \leq i \leq k$ such that

$$g \in (g_i, g_{i+1})^\ell P^{(k+1)d_I}.$$

We write $g = g_1^{n_1} \cdots g_{k+1}^{n_k}$, where $n_i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $\sum_i n_i = n$. The assertion of the claim holds trivially in the following cases:

- (1) at most one $n_i > d_I$,
- (2) $n_j, n_{j+1} > d_I$ for some $1 \leq j \leq k$ and $n_i \leq d_I$ for all $i \notin \{j, j+1\}$.

Otherwise, we take $a := \min\{i \mid n_i > d_I\}$ and $b := \max\{i \mid n_i > d_I\}$. The upcoming argument will be repeated if necessary; in every step either a strictly increases or b strictly decreases. Hence, for readability, we can assume $a = 1$ and $b = k+1$ to begin with. By Proposition 3.3(2), we then have

$$g_2^{\text{dist}_\bullet(g_1, g_{k+1})} \mid g_1^{\text{dist}_\bullet(g_2, g_{k+1})} g_{k+1}^{\text{dist}_\bullet(g_1, g_2)}.$$

Since g is a minimal generator, equality must hold, so

$$g = g_1^{n_1 - \text{dist}_\bullet(g_2, g_{k+1})} g_2^{n_2 + \text{dist}_\bullet(g_1, g_{k+1})} g_3^{n_3} \cdots g_k^{n_k} g_{k+1}^{n_{k+1} - \text{dist}_\bullet(g_1, g_2)}.$$

We iteratively apply Proposition 3.3(2) until at least one of the exponents of g_1 and g_2 is less than or equal to d_I . At this stage, we redefine $a' := \min\{i \mid n_i > d_I\}$ and $b' := \max\{i \mid n_i > d_I\}$. Now $a' > a$ or $b' < b$ must hold. Hence, by repeating this argument from the top we eventually must reach one of the trivial cases (1) or (2), where the claim follows immediately. \square

4. IDEALS WITH REGULAR STAIRCASE FACTORS

In this section we study the minimal generators of sums of ideals of the form $(g, h)^n J$, where g and h are monomials, and J is a (fixed) anchored monomial ideal in $k[x, y]$. The main result of this section is Theorem 4.14.

We begin with the special case $(x^u, y^v)^n J$ for $u, v \in \mathbb{N}$. By drawing its exponents in the xy -plane, the ideal $(x^u, y^v)^n$ looks like a “regular staircase” in the sense that all n steps in its staircase are of the same size. The minimal generators of the product $(x^u, y^v)^n J$ are of the form $x^{u(n-i)}y^{vi}f$ with $f \in G(J)$. In general, not all elements of this form are minimal generators, as divisibility relations may occur among them. In Figure 3 we visualize the potential cancellations among the minimal generators in the product $(x^u, y^v)^n J$. Even though Figure 3 does not depict the actual generators of the ideal J , the figure suggests that the “overlaps” of the shifted copies of J result in a repeating pattern with increasing n . We formalize this “pattern repetition” in Theorem 4.4 below. Before that, we establish in Lemma 4.2 that partitioning the elements of $(x^u, y^v)^n J$ based on their y -degrees reveals divisibilities by certain powers of x^u or y^v . Figure 4 visualizes this partition.




FIG. 3

Remark 4.1. The choice of partitioning by y -degree is arbitrary; all results of this section remain valid if we instead partition by x -degree, simply by interchanging the variable names.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $u, v \in \mathbb{N}$ and $J \subseteq k[x, y]$ be an anchored monomial ideal. Moreover, let $r \geq \left\lceil \frac{\text{dist}_y J}{v} \right\rceil$ and $n \geq r$. For $r \leq j \leq n$, we set*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}_j &= \{F \in (x^u, y^v)^n J \mid \deg_y F \geq jv\}, \text{ and} \\ \mathcal{L}_j &= \{F \in (x^u, y^v)^n J \mid \deg_y F < jv\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, for $r \leq j \leq n$,

$$\mathcal{U}_j \subseteq y^{v(j-r)} \cdot (x^u, y^v)^{n-(j-r)} J, \text{ and } \mathcal{L}_j \subseteq x^{u(n-j)} \cdot (x^u, y^v)^j J.$$

In particular, for $r \leq j \leq n-1$,


$$\mathcal{U}_j \cap \mathcal{L}_{j+1} = x^{u(n-(j+1))} y^{v(j-r)} \cdot \{f \in (x^u, y^v)^{r+1} J \mid rv \leq \deg_y f < (r+1)v\}.$$

Proof. For the first two inclusions we write $F = x^{u(n-i)}y^{vi}\tilde{f}$ with $0 \leq i \leq n$ and $\tilde{f} \in J$ and separate into two cases.

\mathcal{U}_j : Note that $\deg_y \tilde{f} \leq \text{dist}_y J$. Hence, $\deg_y F \geq jv$ implies $i \geq j - \frac{\text{dist}_y J}{v} \geq j - r \geq 0$, that is, $F = y^{v(j-r)} \cdot f$ with $f = x^{u(n-i)}y^{v(i-(j-r))}\tilde{f} \in (x^u, y^v)^{n-(j-r)} J$.

\mathcal{L}_j : The condition $\deg_y F < jv$ implies $i \leq j$ and $n-i \geq n-j \geq 0$. Therefore, $F = x^{u(n-j)} \cdot f$ with $f = x^{u(j-i)}y^{vi}\tilde{f} \in (x^u, y^v)^j J$.

FIG. 4. We partition $(x^u, y^v)^n J$ into sections based on the y -degree (indicated by dashed lines). Additionally, note that the upper left corner of each rectangle is in $(x^u, y^v)^n J$ (since we assumed J to be anchored). This bounds the x -degree in each y -section; see Remark 4.3.



Finally, for the last equality, “ \subseteq ” follows from the above while “ \supseteq ” is obvious. \square

Remark 4.3. Let J be an anchored monomial ideal with $b := \text{dist}_y J$. With the notation of Lemma 4.2, if $j \geq r$, then $H = x^{u(n-j+r)}y^{v(j-r)}y^b$ is an element of $(x^u, y^v)^n J$ satisfying

$$\deg_y H = v(j-r) + b \leq jv, \quad \deg_x H = (n-j+r)u,$$

cf. Figure 4. Consequently, if f is a minimal generator of $(x^u, y^v)^n J$, then

$$f \in \mathcal{U}_j \implies \deg_x f \leq (n+r-j)u.$$

Theorem 4.4. Let $u, v \in \mathbb{N}$ and $J \subseteq \mathbb{k}[x, y]$ be an anchored monomial ideal.

Then, for all $r \geq \left\lceil \frac{\text{dist}_y J}{v} \right\rceil$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$\mathbf{G}((x^u, y^v)^{r+1+\ell} J) = y^{v\ell} L \uplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\ell} x^{uj} y^{v(\ell-j)} M \uplus x^{u\ell} R, \quad (4.1)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} L &= \{f \in \mathbf{G}((x^u, y^v)^{r+1} J) \mid \deg_y f \geq rv\}, \\ M &= \{f \in \mathbf{G}((x^u, y^v)^{r+1} J) \mid rv \leq \deg_y f < (r+1)v\}, \text{ and} \\ R &= \{f \in \mathbf{G}((x^u, y^v)^{r+1} J) \mid \deg_y f < rv\}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$\mu((x^u, y^v)^{r+1+\ell} J) = \mu((x^u, y^v)^{r+1} J) + \ell \cdot |M|.$$

Proof. Note that the count is an immediate consequence of the first assertion.

With the notation of Lemma 4.2, we have

$$(x^u, y^v)^{r+1+\ell} J = \mathcal{L}_r \uplus \bigoplus_{j=r}^{r+\ell-1} (\mathcal{U}_j \cap \mathcal{L}_{j+1}) \uplus \mathcal{U}_{r+\ell}. \quad (4.2)$$

We claim that the following three statements hold:

- (1) $\mathcal{U}_{r+\ell} \cap \mathbf{G}((x^u, y^v)^{r+1+\ell} J) = y^{v\ell} \cdot L$,
- (2) $\mathcal{L}_r \cap \mathbf{G}((x^u, y^v)^{r+1+\ell} J) = x^{u\ell} \cdot R$, and
- (3) for all $r \leq j \leq r+\ell-1$,

$$(\mathcal{U}_j \cap \mathcal{L}_{j+1}) \cap \mathbf{G}((x^u, y^v)^{r+1+\ell} J) = x^{u(r+\ell-j)} y^{v(j-r)} \cdot M.$$

If the claim holds, then the assertion follows from (4.2) since, arranging the sets in reverse order,

$$\mathbb{G}((x^u, y^v)^{r+1+\ell}J) \cap \bigoplus_{j=r}^{r+\ell-1} (\mathcal{U}_j \cap \mathcal{L}_{j+1}) = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\ell} x^{uj} y^{v(\ell-j)} \cdot M.$$

In all three cases, the inequalities that the y -degrees must satisfy, are the same on both sides of the equality. Moreover, the inclusions “ \subseteq ” all hold due to Lemma 4.2 and the fact that a generator $g \cdot f$ of $(x^u, y^v)^{r+1+\ell}J$ with $g \in (x^u, y^v)^{r+1+\ell}$ and $f \in J$ can only be minimal, provided that f is a minimal generator of J (the same holds for g but is not relevant here).

For the reverse inclusions, it is in all three cases left to show that every element of the set on the right is a minimal generator of $(x^u, y^v)^{r+1+\ell}J$. To do so, take

$$H = x^{u(r+1+\ell-i)} y^{vi} h \in (x^u, y^v)^{r+1+\ell}J$$

with $h \in J$ and $0 \leq i \leq \ell + r + 1$.

(1) Let $F = y^{v\ell} f$ with $f \in \mathbb{G}((x^u, y^v)^{r+1}J)$ and $\deg_y f \geq rv$. Then $\deg_x F = \deg_x f \leq (r+1)u$, cf. Remark 4.3. If H divides F , then $\deg_x H \leq \deg_x F$ implies $(r+1+\ell-i)u \leq (r+1)u$, that is, $i \geq \ell$. We cancel out $y^{v\ell}$ to conclude that

$$x^{u(r+1+\ell-i)} y^{v(i-\ell)} h \mid f,$$

which, since $f \in \mathbb{G}((x^u, y^v)^{r+1}J)$, implies that $H = F$.

(2) Let $F = x^{u\ell} f$ with $f \in \mathbb{G}((x^u, y^v)^{r+1}J)$ and $\deg_y f < rv$. Again, assume that H divides F . Then $\deg_y H = iv + \deg_y h < rv$ and hence $i < r$. This implies that $r + \ell + 1 - i > \ell + 1$, thus we can cancel out $x^{u\ell}$ on both sides and end up with the same conclusion as in (1).

(3) Let $r \leq j \leq r + \ell - 1$ and $F = x^{u(r+\ell-j)} y^{v(j-r)} f$ with $f \in M$. Then $f \in L$, thus by (1), $y^{v(j-r)} f$ is a minimal generator of $(x^u, y^v)^{j+1}J$. As above, if $H \mid F$, then the y -degree of H must be less or equal than the y -degree of F , and therefore $i \leq j + 1$. This implies $r + \ell + 1 - i \geq r + \ell - j$, so we can cancel out $x^{u(r+\ell-j)}$ which leaves us with

$$x^{u(j-i+1)} y^{vi} h \mid y^{v(j-r)} f.$$

As $y^{v(j-r)} f$ is minimal, we get equality. \square

Let us unravel Theorem 4.4 in an example.

Example 4.5. Let $u = 3$, $v = 4$, and


$$J = (y^{10}, x^2 y^7, x^3 y^5, x^5 y^4, x^7 y^2, x^9)$$

as depicted in the (gray) rectangle in Figure 5. We choose $r = 3$, which is the minimal possible choice. The lower two dashed lines in the figure mark the areas where the y -degree is in between rv and $(r+1)v$.

The left part of Figure 5 shows $(x^3, y^4)^{r+1}J$. The set L consists of generators above the line $j = r$, marked with (blue) circles. The set R consists of the (orange) squares below $j = r$. The middle set M contains the two encircled (in red) generators between the two lines $j = r$ and $j = r + 1$.

On the right side of Figure 5, we see $(x^3, y^4)^{r+3}J$. The minimal generators are a disjoint union of the sets

$$y^{4 \cdot 2} L, \quad x^3 y^4 M, \quad x^{3 \cdot 2} M, \quad \text{and} \quad x^{3 \cdot 2} R.$$

FIG. 5. Visualization of the sets L , M , and R .

Corollary 4.6. *Let $u, v \in \mathbb{N}$, J be an anchored monomial ideal, and*

$$M = \{f \in \mathbb{G}((x^u, y^v)^{r+1}J) \mid rv \leq \deg_y f < (r+1)v\}.$$

Then $M \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Let $b := \text{dist}_y J$ and choose any natural number $\ell > \frac{b}{v}$. Assume that $M = \emptyset$. Then, by (3) in the proof of Theorem 4.4, we have

$$(\mathcal{U}_j \cap \mathcal{L}_{j+1}) \cap \mathbb{G}((x^u, y^v)^{r+\ell+1}J) = \emptyset$$

for all $r \leq j \leq r + \ell + 1$. Consequently, there are no minimal generators of $(x^u, y^v)^{r+\ell+1}J$ satisfying

$$rv \leq \deg_y f < (r + \ell)v. \quad (4.3)$$

However, by the choice of ℓ , the element $f := y^{rv}x^{(\ell+1)u}y^b \in (x^u, y^v)^{r+\ell+1}J$ fulfills these inequalities. Hence there must be a minimal generator $g \in \mathbb{G}((x^u, y^v)^{r+\ell+1}J)$ dividing f . Then $\deg_x g \leq (\ell+1)u$ and by (4.3), $\deg_y g < rv$ must hold, and hence $g \notin (x^u, y^v)^{r+\ell+1}J$, a contradiction. \square

Theorem 4.4 describes how the minimal generators of $(x^u, y^v)^{r+1+\ell}J$ change in a predictable pattern as ℓ increases. In other words, the staircase of the ideal $(x^u, y^v)^{r+1+\ell}J$ is formed by aligning the staircases of certain repeatedly occurring subideals: from left to right, we begin with the staircase of (L) , then we repeat the staircase of (M) for ℓ consecutive steps, and finally add the staircase of (R) .

We want to formalize this idea of “connecting” staircases.

Definition 4.7. For $I, J \subseteq \mathbb{k}[x, y]$ monomial ideals, we define the *link* $I \odot J$ as

$$I \odot J := I_{\downarrow} \cdot y^{\text{dist}_y J} + J_{\downarrow} \cdot x^{\text{dist}_x I},$$

and we write $I^{\odot \ell} := \underbrace{I \odot I \odot \cdots \odot I}_{\ell}$. We call the monomial $x^{\text{dist}_x I} y^{\text{dist}_y J}$ the *link point* of $I \odot J$.

Remark 4.8. If I and J are monomial ideals with $\text{dist}_x I = a$ and $\text{dist}_y J = b$, then the minimal generators of $I_{\mathfrak{J}} \cdot y^b$ and $J_{\mathfrak{J}} \cdot x^a$ only intersect in one element, namely the link point $x^a y^b$, cf. Figure 1 (indicated by an arrow). Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{G}(I \odot J) &= \mathbf{G}(I_{\mathfrak{J}}) \cdot y^b \cup \mathbf{G}(J_{\mathfrak{J}}) \cdot x^a \\ &= \mathbf{G}(I_{\mathfrak{J}}) \cdot y^b \uplus (\mathbf{G}(J_{\mathfrak{J}}) \setminus \{y^b\}) \cdot x^a. \end{aligned}$$

This implies $\mu(I \odot J) = \mu(I) + \mu(J) - 1$.

Remark 4.9. Let I be an anchored monomial ideal that can be written as the link of ideals, i.e.,

$$I = J_0 \odot \cdots \odot J_k$$

for some anchored monomial ideals J_0, \dots, J_k . For $1 \leq i \leq k$ we denote the link point between $(J_0 \odot \cdots \odot J_i)$ and $(J_{i+1} \odot \cdots \odot J_k)$ with h_i , and we set $h_0 := y^{\deg_y h_1}$ and $h_{k+1} := x^{\deg_x h_k}$. Then it follows that

$$I = \sum_{j=0}^k \text{gcd}(h_i, h_{i+1}) J_i$$

and, in particular, $I : \text{gcd}(h_i, h_{i+1}) = J_i$ for all $0 \leq i \leq k$.

With the necessary tools in place, we now return to the goal of expressing $(x^u, y^v)^{r+1+\ell} J$ as the link of the ideals generated by L , M , and R . However, there are ‘‘gaps’’ between the staircases of these ideals, so we must first expand them by suitable link points.

Definition 4.10. Let $u, v \in \mathbb{N}$, $J \subseteq \mathbb{k}[x, y]$ be an anchored monomial ideal, and $r \geq \left\lceil \frac{\text{dist}_y J}{v} \right\rceil$. Further, we set $g := x^\alpha y^\beta$ to be the minimal generator of $(x^u, y^v)^{r+1} J$ with

$$\beta = \min\{\deg_y f \mid f \in \mathbf{G}((x^u, y^v)^{r+1} J), \deg_y f \geq rv\}.$$

We define the r -segments of $(x^u, y^v)J$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A} &:= (L) : y^\beta, \\ \mathbf{H} &:= (M \cup \{x^{\alpha-u} y^{\beta+v}\}) : x^{\alpha-u} y^\beta, \text{ and} \\ \mathbf{B} &:= (R \cup \{g\}) : x^\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

For monomials $g, h \in \mathbb{k}[x, y]$ we define the r -segments of $(g, h)J$ as the r -segments of $(g, h)_{\mathfrak{J}} J$.

Remark 4.11. With the notation of Definition 4.10, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A} &= ((x^u, y^v)^{r+1} J) : y^\beta, \\ \mathbf{H} &= ((x^u, y^v)^{r+1} J) : x^{\alpha-u} y^\beta, \text{ and} \\ \mathbf{B} &= ((x^u, y^v)^{r+1} J) : x^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, $g = x^\alpha y^\beta$ is the link point of $\mathbf{A} \odot \mathbf{B} = (x^u, y^v)^{r+1} J$, see Figure 6. Moreover,

$$L = \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{A}) y^\beta, \quad R = \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{B}) x^\alpha \setminus \{g\}, \quad \text{and} \quad M = \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{H}) x^{\alpha-u} y^\beta \setminus \{x^{\alpha-u} y^{\beta+v}\}.$$




FIG. 6. Left: Visualization of the point g from Definition 4.10, with $r = 3$. Right: Above y^β is the staircase of $y^\beta A$ (in blue), and to the right of x^α is the staircase of $x^\alpha B$ (in green). The striped area in the bottom-right corner of $y^\beta B$ is the staircase of $x^{\alpha-u}y^\beta H$.

Corollary 4.12. *Let $u, v \in \mathbb{N}$ and $J \subseteq k[x, y]$ be an anchored monomial ideal, $r \geq \left\lceil \frac{\text{dist}_y J}{v} \right\rceil$, and A, H, B the r -segments of $(x^u, y^v)J$. Then, for all $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$,*

$$(x^u, y^v)^{r+1+\ell} J = A \odot H^{\odot \ell} \odot B.$$

Proof. It follows from Theorem 4.4 in combination with the last equalities in Remark 4.11 that

$$\begin{aligned} G((x^u, y^v)^{r+1+\ell} J) &= y^{v\ell} L \uplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\ell} x^{ju} y^{(\ell-j)v} M \uplus x^{u\ell} R \\ &= y^{v\ell+\beta} G(A) \uplus \bigoplus_{j=0}^{\ell-1} (x^{\alpha+ju} y^{\beta+(\ell-j)v} G(H) \setminus \{x^{\alpha+ju} y^{\beta+(\ell-j)v}\}) \\ &\quad \uplus x^{\alpha+u\ell} G(B) \setminus \{x^{\alpha+u\ell} y^\beta\}. \end{aligned}$$

This is exactly the minimal generating set of

$$A \odot H^{\odot \ell} \odot B = Ay^{v\ell+\beta} + \sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1} Hx^{\alpha+ju} y^{\beta+(\ell-1-j)v} + Bx^{\alpha+u\ell},$$

cf. Remark 4.8. Note that the generators $x^{\alpha+ju} y^{\beta+(\ell-j)v}$ for $0 \leq j \leq \ell$ are the link points in $A \odot H^{\odot \ell} \odot B$. \square


Remark 4.13. With the notation of Definition 4.10,

$$\alpha \leq (r+1)u \quad \text{and} \quad \beta < (r+1)v$$

holds. The first inequality follows from Remark 4.3 and the second from Corollary 4.6

We are now set to prove the main result of this section.

FIG. 7. Corollary 4.12 confirms that r -segments behave as intended and rephrases Theorem 4.4 in the language of ideal links. Here you see that in $(x^u, y^v)^{r+3}J$, the staircase of \mathbf{H} is repeated $\ell = 2$ times in the middle, cf. Figures 5 and 6.



Theorem 4.14. *Let $I = (g_1, \dots, g_{k+1})$ and J be anchored monomial ideals in $\mathbb{k}[x, y]$, where the g_i are pairwise different monomials ordered in ascending x -degree, and $P(I) = \{g_1, \dots, g_{k+1}\}$. Moreover, for $1 \leq i \leq k$, let $v_i := \text{dist}_y(g_i, g_{i+1})$, $u_i := \text{dist}_x(g_i, g_{i+1})$, and*

$$r \geq \left\lceil \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} \left\{ \frac{\text{dist}_y J}{v_i} \right\} \right\rceil.$$

Then, for all $\ell \geq 0$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^k (g_i, g_{i+1})^{r+1+\ell} J = \mathbf{C}_0 \odot \left(\bigodot_{i=1}^k (\mathbf{H}_i^{\odot \ell} \odot \mathbf{C}_i) \right),$$

where, for $1 \leq i < k$, $\mathbf{A}_i, \mathbf{H}_i, \mathbf{B}_i$ are the r -segments of $(g_i, g_{i+1})J$,

$$\mathbf{C}_i := \mathbf{B}_i \cdot y^{(r+1)v_{i+1} - \text{dist}_y(\mathbf{B}_{i+1})} + \mathbf{A}_{i+1} \cdot x^{(r+1)u_i - \text{dist}_x(\mathbf{A}_i)},$$

$\mathbf{C}_0 := \mathbf{A}_1$, and $\mathbf{C}_k := \mathbf{B}_k$.

Proof. Throughout, we use the notation $\alpha_i := \text{dist}_x(\mathbf{A}_i)$ and $\beta_i := \text{dist}_y(\mathbf{B}_i)$. By Remark 4.13,

$$(r+1)u_i - \alpha_i \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (r+1)v_{i+1} - \beta_{i+1} > 0. \quad (4.4)$$

We argue that for $1 \leq i \leq k-1$

$$\text{dist}_x(\mathbf{C}_i) = \text{dist}_x(\mathbf{A}_{i+1} \cdot x^{(r+1)u_i - \alpha_i}) = \alpha_{i+1} + u_i(r+1) - \alpha_i \quad (4.5)$$

and

$$\text{dist}_y(\mathbf{C}_i) = \text{dist}_y(\mathbf{B}_i \cdot y^{(r+1)v_{i+1} - \beta_{i+1}}) = \beta_i + v_{i+1}(r+1) - \beta_{i+1}. \quad (4.6)$$

(4.5): Both \mathbf{A}_{i+1} and \mathbf{B}_i are anchored and hence $\text{dist}_x(\mathbf{A}_{i+1})$ is determined by a generator with y -degree 0. All elements in the other summand have positive y -degree (see (4.4)) which implies that $\text{dist}_x(\mathbf{C}_i)$ is determined by this (shifted) generator, too.

(4.6): If $(r+1)u_i - \alpha_i > 0$, we can argue analogously. If $(r+1)u_i - \alpha_i = 0$ then $rv_i = \text{dist}_y J$ and $x^{(r+1)u_i} y^{\text{dist}_y J}$ is a minimal generator of $(g_i, g_{i+1})^{r+1+\ell} J$. Indeed, it is the link point of \mathbf{A}_i and \mathbf{B}_i which implies that $\text{dist}_y(\mathbf{B}_i) = \text{dist}_y J$. Since all minimal generators of \mathbf{A}_{i+1} have y -degree at most $(r+1)v_{i+1} - \beta_{i+1} + \text{dist}_y J$, the assertion follows.

We turn our attention to the assertion of the theorem and proceed by induction on k . The basis $k = 1$ is Corollary 4.12.




FIG. 8. Left: The lower section of $(g_{i-1}, g_i)^{r+1+\ell} J$ and the upper section of $(g_i, g_{i+1})^{r+1+\ell} J$, where $g_i^{r+1+\ell}$ appears as the lowest and uppermost (red) dot, respectively. Right: B_{i-1} (dotted green) and A_i (shaded blue) overlap at $g_i^{r+1+\ell}$ resulting in a new staircase, namely that of the concatenation component C_i . Note the required shifts in the x - and y -directions to align B_{i-1} and A_i before summing them up.

Now let $k > 1$. By the assumption that (g_1, \dots, g_{k+1}) is anchored, it follows that $\gcd(g_1, \dots, g_k) = \deg_y g_k = v_k$.

We can apply the induction hypothesis to the anchored ideal $(g_1/y^{v_k}, \dots, g_k/y^{v_k})$ to conclude that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} ((g_i, g_{i+1})^{r+1+\ell} J) = (L \odot \mathbf{B}_{k-1}) y^{(r+1+\ell)v_k}$$

where

$$L := \mathbf{C}_0 \odot \bigodot_{i=1}^{k-2} (\mathbf{H}_i^{\odot \ell} \odot \mathbf{C}_i) \odot \mathbf{H}_{k-1}^{\odot \ell}.$$

Similarly, setting $u = \deg_x g_k$, we know that

$$(g_k, g_{k+1})^{r+1+\ell} J = (\mathbf{A}_k \odot R) \cdot x^{u(r+1+\ell)} \quad \text{with} \quad R := \mathbf{H}_k^{\odot \ell} \odot \mathbf{C}_k.$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{i=1}^k ((g_i, g_{i+1})^{r+1+\ell} J) = (L \odot \mathbf{B}_{k-1}) y^{v_k(r+1+\ell)} + (\mathbf{A}_k \odot R) \cdot x^{u(r+1+\ell)}, \quad (4.7)$$

which is equal to

$$(Ly^{\beta_{k-1}} + \mathbf{B}_{k-1} x^{\text{dist}_x L}) \cdot y^{v_k(r+1+\ell)} + (\mathbf{A}_k y^{\text{dist}_y R} + Rx^{\alpha_k}) \cdot x^{u(r+1+\ell)}. \quad (4.8)$$

Before we continue manipulating (4.8), we verify a few handy equations. Note that $\text{dist}_x(\mathbf{H}_i) = u_i$ and $\text{dist}_y(\mathbf{H}_i) = v_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$, and recall that $u = \deg_x g_k =$

$\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} u_i$. Thus, using (4.5),

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dist}_x L &= \alpha_1 + \ell \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} u_i + \sum_{i=1}^{k-2} \text{dist}_x(\mathbf{C}_i) = \alpha_{k-1} + \ell u + (r+1) \sum_{i=1}^{k-2} u_i \\ &= \alpha_{k-1} + (r+1+\ell)u - (r+1)u_{k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\text{dist}_x(L \odot \mathbf{C}_{k-1}) = \text{dist}_x L + \text{dist}_x(\mathbf{C}_{k-1}) = \alpha_k + (\ell + r + 1)u \quad (4.9)$$

and

$$u(r+1+\ell) - \text{dist}_x L = (r+1)u_{k-1} - \alpha_{k-1} \geq 0, \quad (4.10)$$

where the last inequality comes from (4.4). Moreover, since $\text{dist}_y R = \beta_k + \ell v_k$ we have (using (4.4) again)

$$v_k(r+1+\ell) - \text{dist}_y R = (r+1)v_k - \beta_k > 0 \quad (4.11)$$

and, using (4.6),

$$\text{dist}_y(\mathbf{C}_{k-1} \odot R) = \text{dist}_y(\mathbf{C}_{k-1}) + \text{dist}_y R = \beta_{k-1} + (\ell + r + 1)v_k. \quad (4.12)$$

Using (4.10) and (4.11), the middle two summands of (4.8) can be rearranged to

$$\left(\mathbf{B}_{k-1} y^{(r+1)v_k - \beta_k} + \mathbf{A}_k x^{(r+1)u_{k-1} - \alpha_{k-1}} \right) x^{\text{dist}_x L} y^{\text{dist}_y R}.$$

Thus, using (4.9) and (4.12), we conclude that (4.8) equals

$$L y^{\text{dist}_y(\mathbf{C}_{k-1} \odot R)} + \mathbf{C}_{k-1} x^{\text{dist}_x L} y^{\text{dist}_y R} + R x^{\text{dist}_x(L \odot \mathbf{C}_{k-1})} = L \odot \mathbf{C}_{k-1} \odot R$$

which completes the proof. \square

Remark 4.15. Let h_1, \dots, h_k be the link points of

$$S := \sum_{i=1}^k (g_i, g_{i+1})^{r+1} J = \bigodot_{i=0}^k \mathbf{C}_i.$$

Using the observation of Remark 4.9, $\mathbf{C}_i = S : \gcd(h_i, h_{i+1})$, where $h_0 := y^{\deg_y h_1}$ and $h_{k+1} := x^{\deg_x h_k}$. The link points can be determined by their y -degree, that is,

$$\deg_y h_i = \min\{\deg_y f \mid f \in \mathbf{G}(S), \deg_y f \geq (r+1)v_i + r \deg_y g_{i+1}\}.$$

In particular, this means that we can determine the minimal generators of \mathbf{C}_i directly from the minimal generators of S . This implies

$$\mathbf{C}_i = (g \in \mathbf{G}(S) \mid \deg_y h_{i+1} \leq \deg_y g \leq \deg_y h_i)_{\mathfrak{z}}.$$

Corollary 4.16. *With the assumptions and notation from Theorem 4.14, we have*

$$\mu \left(\sum_{i=1}^k (g_i, g_{i+1})^{r+\ell+1} J \right) = 1 + \sum_{i=0}^k (\mu(\mathbf{C}_i) - 1) + \ell \sum_{i=1}^k (\mu(\mathbf{H}_i) - 1).$$

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Theorem 4.14 in combination with Remark 4.8. \square

5. MINIMAL GENERATING SETS OF POWERS

We now apply the preceding results to describe the minimal generators of large powers of an ideal I .

Notation 5.1. For a monomial ideal I whose persistent generators g_1, \dots, g_{k+1} are ordered in ascending x -degree and $D = |N(I)| \cdot \delta_I + |P(I)| \cdot d_I$ (see Notation 3.6 and 3.10), we denote

$$r_x := \left\lceil D \cdot \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} \frac{\text{dist}_x I}{\text{dist}_x(g_i, g_{i+1})} \right\rceil \quad \text{and} \quad r_y := \left\lceil D \cdot \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} \frac{\text{dist}_y I}{\text{dist}_y(g_i, g_{i+1})} \right\rceil.$$

At the beginning of Section 4 we chose to partition the elements of ideals with regular staircase factors based on their y -degrees (Lemma 4.2). Consequently, most definitions and results are phrased with assertions about the y -degree of elements. However, by interchanging the variable names, the analogous results hold for the x -degrees, too. We formalize this process in the proof of Theorem 5.3 to be able to choose the minimum of r_x and r_y . The next definition already takes this plan into account.

Definition 5.2. Let I be an anchored monomial ideal with persistent generators g_1, \dots, g_{k+1} ordered in ascending x -degree. Let $s \geq D + \min\{r_x, r_y\} + 1$ and write $r := s - D - 1$. For $1 \leq i \leq k$, we set h_i to be the minimal generator of I^s such that

$$\deg_{\bullet} h_i = \min\{\deg_{\bullet} f \mid f \in \mathbb{G}(I^s), \deg_{\bullet} f \geq t_i\},$$

where

$$(\bullet, t_i) = \begin{cases} (y, r \text{dist}_y(g_i, g_{i+1}) + (r+1) \deg_y g_{i+1}), & \text{if } r_y \leq r_x, \\ (x, r \text{dist}_x(g_i, g_{i+1}) + (r+1) \deg_x g_i), & \text{if } r_y > r_x, \end{cases}$$

and in both cases, $h_0 := y^{\deg_y h_1}$, and $h_{k+1} := x^{\deg_x h_k}$. We define the s -stable components of I to be

$$\begin{aligned} C_i &:= I^s : \gcd(h_i, h_{i+1}), \text{ for } 0 \leq i \leq k, \text{ and} \\ H_i &:= I^s : \frac{h_i}{f_i}, \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq k \end{aligned}$$

where

$$f_i = \begin{cases} x^{\text{dist}_x(g_i, g_{i+1})}, & \text{if } r_y \leq r_x, \\ y^{\text{dist}_y(g_i, g_{i+1})}, & \text{if } r_y > r_x. \end{cases}$$

If I is not anchored, then we define its s -stable components to be the s -stable components of $I_{\mathfrak{z}}$.

Theorem 5.3. Let $I \subseteq \mathbb{k}[x, y]$ be a monomial ideal, $s \geq D + \min\{r_x, r_y\} + 1$ and C_0, C_i, H_i for $1 \leq i \leq k$ the s -stable components of I .

Then for all $\ell \geq 0$,

$$I^{s+\ell} = \gcd(I)^{s+\ell} \cdot \left(C_0 \odot \bigodot_{i=1}^k (H_i^{\odot \ell} \odot C_i) \right).$$

Proof. Since $I = \gcd(I) \cdot I_{\mathfrak{z}}$, we can carry out the proof under the assumption that I is anchored and multiply by $\gcd(I)^{s+\ell}$ in the end. We apply Theorem 3.11 and

obtain that for all $\ell \geq 0$

$$I^{D+\ell} = \sum_{i=1}^k (g_i, g_{i+1})^\ell I^D.$$

We first assume that $r_y \leq r_x$ and order the persistent generators g_1, \dots, g_{k+1} of I in ascending x -degree. Note that $\text{dist}_y(I^D) = D \text{dist}_y I$ and hence

$$s - D - 1 \geq r_y = \left\lceil \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} \left\{ \frac{\text{dist}_y(I^D)}{\text{dist}_y(g_i, g_{i+1})} \right\} \right\rceil$$

so we can apply Theorem 4.14 and obtain that for all $\ell \geq 0$

$$I^{s+\ell} = C'_0 \odot \bigodot_{i=1}^k (H'_i \odot^\ell C'_i),$$

where H'_i and C'_i are as in Theorem 4.14 with $r = s - D - 1$.

Observe that the link points of $I^s = C'_0 \odot \dots \odot C'_k$ are precisely h_1, \dots, h_k as in Definition 5.2 (cf. Remark 4.15). With Remarks 4.9 and 4.11 this implies that C'_i and H'_i are the s -stable components of I .

Otherwise, let $r_y > r_x$. For any ideal J , we write \tilde{J} for the ideal obtained from J by interchanging x and y . We apply the above to \tilde{I} . Note that by switching the variables, r_y of the new ideal \tilde{I} is equal to r_x of the old ideal I and the persistent generators $\tilde{g}_1, \dots, \tilde{g}_{k+1}$ of \tilde{I} are ordered in *descending* x -degree.

We denote the components that we obtain from Theorem 4.14 by \tilde{C}'_i and \tilde{H}'_i . Let $\tilde{h}'_1, \dots, \tilde{h}'_k$ be the link points of $\tilde{I}^s = \tilde{C}'_0 \odot \dots \odot \tilde{C}'_k$. Note that switching the variables back again reverses the order in the link, i.e., $\widetilde{\tilde{J}_1 \odot \tilde{J}_2} = \tilde{J}_2 \odot \tilde{J}_1$ for ideals J_1, J_2 . Thus, we obtain for all $\ell \geq 0$

$$I^{s+\ell} = \bigodot_{i=0}^{k-1} (C'_{k-i} \odot H'_{k-i} \odot^\ell) \odot C'_0.$$

A straight-forward computation shows that $h_{i+1} = h'_{k-i}$ with $0 \leq i \leq k-1$ satisfy the conditions from Definition 5.2. Hence, $H_{i+1} = H'_{k-i}$, $C_i = C'_{k-1}$ for $0 \leq i \leq k-1$, and $C_k = C'_0$ are the s -stable components of I . \square

Remark 5.4. The theorem shows that all the information about larger powers of I is encoded in I^s for any $s \geq D + \min\{r_x, r_y\} + 1$. This leads to significantly faster computations of large powers of I , as we will demonstrate with a runtime comparison in Section 5.1. Recall that the minimal generators of the link of ideals can be easily determined from the minimal generators of its components, see Remark 4.8.

Corollary 5.5. *The computation of $\mathsf{G}(I^{s+\ell})$ from $\mathsf{G}(I^s)$ takes $\mathcal{O}(\ell)$ additions of (monomial) exponents.*

Remark 5.6. With $d := \min\{\text{dist}_x I, \text{dist}_y I\}$, we have for $|P(I)| > 2$

$$D + \min\{r_x, r_y\} + 1 \leq \mu(I)(d^2 - 1) + 1.$$

If $|P(I)| = 2$, then $\min\{r_x, r_y\} = D$ and hence

$$D + \min\{r_x, r_y\} + 1 = 2(\mu(I) - 2)(d - 1) + 1.$$

Corollary 5.7. *Let $I \subseteq \mathbb{k}[x, y]$ be a monomial ideal with $P(I) = \{x^a, y^b\}$, $d := \min\{a, b\}$, and $s \geq 2(\mu(I) - 2)(d - 1) + 1$.*

Then for all $\ell \geq 0$

$$I^{s+\ell} = C_0 \odot H_1^{\odot \ell} \odot C_1,$$

where C_0, H_1, C_1 are the s -stable components of I .

Proof. This is the special case of Theorem 5.3 where $k = 1$. \square

Remark 5.8. Note that in the case $|P(I)| = 2$, the s -segments and s -stable components of I coincide.

Corollary 5.9. *Let $I \subseteq \mathbb{k}[x, y]$ be a monomial ideal, $s \geq D + \min\{r_x, r_y\} + 1$. Further, let g_1, \dots, g_{k+1} be the persistent generators of I , ordered in ascending x -degree, and $q_i := \gcd(h_i, h_{i+1})$ (h_i as in Definition 5.2).*

Then for all $1 \leq i \leq k + 1$,

$$g_i^\ell q_i \mathbb{G}(C_{i-1}) \subseteq \mathbb{G}(I^{s+\ell}).$$

In particular, for every minimal generator $f \in \mathbb{G}(I^s)$ there exists a monomial w such that for all $\ell \geq 1$

$$w^\ell f \in \mathbb{G}(I^{s+\ell}).$$

Proof. We assume for the proof that I is anchored. By Theorem 5.3,

$$I^s = C_0 \odot \dots \odot C_k = q_0 C_0 + \dots + q_k C_k.$$

Note that $\text{dist}_x(g_i, g_{i+1}) = \text{dist}_x H_i =: \alpha_i$ and $\text{dist}_y(g_i, g_{i+1}) = \text{dist}_y H_i =: \beta_i$. With this notation,

$$g_i = \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} x^{\alpha_j} \prod_{j=i}^k y^{\beta_j}.$$

From the representation of $I^{s+\ell}$ as a link of the ideals C_i and H_i , we obtain that there exist monomials w_i and m_i such that

$$I^{s+\ell} = w_0(q_0 C_0) + m_1 H_1^{\odot \ell} + w_1(q_1 C_1) + \dots + m_k H_k^{\odot \ell} + w_k(q_k C_k).$$

As the degrees of the monomials w_i fulfill

$$\begin{aligned} \deg_x w_i &= \ell(\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_i), \\ \deg_y w_i &= \ell(\beta_{i+1} + \dots + \beta_k), \end{aligned}$$

we obtain that $w_i = g_{i+1}^\ell$. With Remark 4.9, the first assertion follows, which immediately implies the second assertion since all minimal generators of I^s are covered by the C_i . \square

Before we continue with examples, we first derive a consequence regarding the number of minimal generators of powers of a monomial ideal. It is well known, that the Hilbert function of the fibre ring of a monomial ideal, which counts the number of generators of its powers, eventually becomes a polynomial function (cf. [4, Theorem 6.1.3]). With Theorem 5.3 we explicitly determine this polynomial function.

Corollary 5.10. *Let $I \subseteq \mathbb{k}[x, y]$ be a monomial ideal and $s := D + \min\{r_x, r_y\} + 1$.*

Then

$$\mu(I^{s+\ell}) = 1 + \sum_{i=0}^k (\mu(C_i) - 1) + \ell \sum_{i=1}^k (\mu(H_i) - 1),$$

where C_i, H_i are the s -stable components of I . In particular,

$$\mu(I^{s+\ell}) = \mu(I^s) + \ell (\mu(I^{s+1}) - \mu(I^s)).$$

Example 5.11. Let $I = (y^2, x^2y, x^3)$. Then $P(I) = \{y^2, x^3\}$. We apply Corollary 5.7 to give a complete description of the generators of large powers of I .

- (1) We start by computing $D = 1$, $r = r_x = r_y = 1$, and $s = 3$.
- (2) Next, we compute that $h_1 = x^6y^2$ is the minimal generator of I^3 with y -degree at least $r \cdot 2 + 0 = 2$. Recall $h_0 = y^2$ and $h_2 = x^6$.
- (3) We compute the 3-stable components

$$C_0 = I^3 : y^2 = (y^4, x^2y^3, x^3y^2, x^5y, x^6),$$

$$H_1 = I^3 : x^3y^2 = (y^2, x^2y, x^3), \text{ and}$$

$$C_1 = I^3 : x^6 = (y^2, x^2y, x^3).$$

From this we obtain that for all $\ell \geq 0$,

$$I^{3+\ell} = (y^4, x^2y^3, x^3y^2, x^5y, x^6) \odot (y^2, x^2y, x^3)^{\odot \ell} \odot (y^2, x^2y, x^3),$$

and hence

$$\mathbf{G}(I^{3+\ell}) = y^{2+2\ell} \mathbf{G}^*(C_0) \uplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\ell} x^{3+3j} y^{2+2(\ell-j)} \mathbf{G}^*(H_1) \uplus x^{6+3\ell} \mathbf{G}(C_1),$$

where $\mathbf{G}^*(J)$ denotes the set of minimal generators of an ideal J , without the minimal generator with largest x -degree. In particular, we have $\mu(I^{3+\ell}) = 7 + 2\ell$.

Example 5.12. Let $I = (y^{10}, xy^9, x^2y^5, x^4y^4, x^5y^3, x^6y^2, x^{12}y, x^{15})$. The computations for this example are done in SageMath². We obtain that the persistent generators of I are $P(I) = \{y^{10}, x^2y^5, x^6y^2, x^{15}\}$, $D = 40$, $\min\{r_x, r_y\} = r_y = 200$, and $s = 241$. We use Theorem 3.11 to compute

$$I^{241} = ((y^{10}, x^2y^5)^{201} + (x^2y^5, x^6y^2)^{201} + (x^6y^2, x^{15})^{201}) I^{40}.$$

Now, with the notation of Definition 5.2,

$$h_0 = y^{2005}, \quad h_1 = x^{162}y^{2005}, \quad h_2 = x^{753}y^{1002}, \quad h_3 = x^{1815}y^{400}, \quad h_4 = x^{1815},$$

and hence the 241-stable components of I are

$$\begin{aligned} C_0 &= I^{241} : y^{2005}, & H_1 &= I^{241} : x^{160}y^{2005}, \\ C_1 &= I^{241} : x^{162}y^{1002}, & H_2 &= I^{241} : x^{749}y^{1002}, \\ C_2 &= I^{241} : x^{753}y^{400}, & H_3 &= I^{241} : x^{1806}y^{400}, \\ C_3 &= I^{241} : x^{1815}. \end{aligned}$$

Analogously to the example above, these ideals can be used to write down the minimal generators of $I^{241+\ell}$ explicitly. Further, note that $\mu(I^{241+\ell}) = 1688 + 7\ell$.

5.1. Runtime in practice. We compare the runtime of our method, implemented in SageMath (Version 9.5), against computations performed in Macaulay2 (Version 1.21; ideals are of type `MonomialIdeal`) All computations were done on a machine equipped with an AMD EPYC 9474F 48-Core Processor @ 4.10GHz (192 cores) and 1536GB RAM. We tested the method on four different ideals, which are provided in an additional file². The results of the computational comparison are summarized in Table 2. The ideal from Example 5.12 is I_2 in the table.

²`section_5_1.ipynb` as ancillary file on the arXiv page of this paper.

	$\mu(I)$	$ P(I) $	$\text{dist } I$
I_1	5	3	7
I_2	8	4	15
I_3	10	7	12
I_4	15	4	24

TABLE 1. An overview of the parameters $\mu(I)$, $|P(I)|$, and $\text{dist } I = \max\{\text{dist}_x I, \text{dist}_y I\}$ of the four test ideals.

Our implementation in SageMath first computes I^D and then uses Theorem 3.11 for a faster computation of $I^{D+\min\{r_x, r_y\}+1}$. Already for the computation of I^D we exploit the fact that all ideals are bivariate. For all larger powers we then apply Theorem 5.3 which is significantly faster than the build-in exponentiation of I in Macaulay2. For additional comparison, we listed the runtimes of Macaulay2 using Theorem 3.11 to compute $I^{D+\ell}$ from I^D . This already yields notable runtime improvements.

		preprocessing		$s + 10^2$	$s + 10^3$	$s + 10^4$	$s + 10^5$	$s + 10^6$
		I^D	I^s			$I^{s+\ell}$		
		$D = 13$	$s = 45$					
I_1	this method	0.005	0.01	0.04	0.35	4.30	51.35	584.89
	M2 with 3.11	0.0006	*	0.05	1.69	1503.34	–	–
	M2 (built-in)	*	*	0.08	22.25	34898.4	–	–
		$D = 40$	$s = 241$					
I_2	this method	0.12	0.30	0.13	0.69	7.44	87.12	980.50
	M2 with 3.11	0.02	*	0.36	8.53	3152.86	–	–
	M2 (built-in)	*	*	8.02	411.03	–	–	–
		$D = 76$	$s = 989$					
I_3	this method	0.73	6.29	0.52	1.49	12.12	139.68	1551.92
	M2 with 3.11	0.18	*	15.39	69.82	18724.5	–	–
	M2 (built-in)	*	*	607.32	5050.71	–	–	–
		$D = 238$	$s = 2064$					
I_4	this method	28.45	47.13	2.28	4.15	20.53	209.50	2305.38
	M2 with 3.11	84.81	*	71.77	176.13	13546.8	–	–
	M2 (built-in)	*	*	> 12h	–	–	–	–

TABLE 2. The two columns under “preprocessing” show the times required to compute I^D and I^s , where “*” indicates that the corresponding method does not use that preprocessing step. The remaining columns present the additional times needed to compute I^{s+10^i} after preprocessing. Cells containing “–” indicate that the estimated computation time would be prohibitively large and is therefore omitted.

REFERENCES

- [1] Reza Abdolmaleki and Shinya Kumashiro. Certain monomial ideals whose numbers of generators of powers descend. *Archiv der Mathematik*, 116(6):637–645, 2021.

- [2] Shalom Eliahou, Jürgen Herzog, and Maryam Mohammadi Saem. Monomial ideals with tiny squares. *Journal of Algebra*, 514:99–112, 2018.
- [3] Oleksandra Gasanova. Monomial ideals with arbitrarily high tiny powers in any number of variables. *Communications in Algebra*, 48(11):4824–4831, 2020.
- [4] Jürgen Herzog and Takayuki Hibi. *Monomial ideals*, volume 260 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag London, Ltd., London, 2011.
- [5] Craig Huneke and Irena Swanson. *Integral closure of ideals, rings, and modules*, volume 336 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.
- [6] Pooja Singla. Minimal monomial reductions and the reduced fiber ring of an extremal ideal. *Illinois Journal of Mathematics*, 51, 01 2006.

INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, ALPEN-ADRIA-UNIVERSITÄT KLAGENFURT, UNIVERSITÄTSSTRASSE
65-67, 9020 KLAGENFURT AM WÖRTHERSEE, AUSTRIA

Email address: jutta.rath@aau.at

INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, ALPEN-ADRIA-UNIVERSITÄT KLAGENFURT, UNIVERSITÄTSSTRASSE
65-67, 9020 KLAGENFURT AM WÖRTHERSEE, AUSTRIA

Email address: roswitha.rissner@aau.at