THE LAPLACE-BELTRAMI SPECTRUM ON NATURALLY **REDUCTIVE HOMOGENEOUS SPACES**

ILKA AGRICOLA AND JONAS HENKEL

March 28, 2025

ABSTRACT. We prove a formula for the spectrum of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on functions for compact naturally reductive homogeneous spaces in terms of eigenvalues of a generalized Casimir operator and spherical representations. We apply this result to a large family of canonical variations of normal homogeneous metrics, thus allowing for the first time to study how the spectrum depends on the deformation parameters of the metric. As an application, we provide a formula for the full spectrum of compact positive homogeneous 3- (α, δ) -Sasaki manifolds (a family of metrics which includes, in particular, all homogeneous 3-Sasaki manifolds).

The second part of the paper is devoted to the detailed computation and investigation of the spectrum of this family of metrics on the Aloff-Wallach manifold $W^{1,1} = SU(3)/S^1$; in particular, we provide a documented Python script that allows the explicit computation in any desired range. We recover Urakawa's eigenvalue computation for the SU(3)normal homogeneous metric on $W^{1,1}$ as a limiting case and cover all the positively curved $SU(3) \times SO(3)$ -normal homogeneous realizations discovered by Wilking. By doing so, we complete Urakawa's list of the first eigenvalue on compact, simply connected, normal homogeneous spaces with positive sectional curvature.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. The spectrum of a naturally reductive homogeneous space	4
2.1. <i>G</i> -invariant differential operators and the generalized Casimir operator	4
2.2. The Freudenthal formula for the generalized Casimir operator	6
2.3. Digression: The Laplacian for Riemannian submersions	9
2.4. Naturally reductive canonical variations of normal homogeneous metrics and	
their spectrum	10
2.5. The spectrum of homogeneous $3-(\alpha, \delta)$ -Sasaki manifolds	15
3. The spectrum of the Aloff-Wallach manifold $W^{1,1} = SU(3)/S^1$	18
3.1. Representation theory of $SU(3) \times SO(3)$	20
3.2. Formulas for the spectrum of $(W^{1,1}, g_{t_0,t_1})$	21
3.3. Computation of spherical representations	22
3.4. Comments on the other homogenous 3- (α, δ) -Sasaki manifolds	29
3.5. Geometric interpretation of the spectrum and electronic supplement	31
3.6. Interpretation as a canonical variation of metrics over \mathbb{CP}^2	32
3.7. Spectrum with constant volume	35
Appendix A. Table of eigenvalues of $(W^{1,1}, g_{t_0,t_1})$ for small z_1, z_2, z_3	36

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 53C30, 43A85, 58J50; Secondary: 53C21, 53C25; 43A90.

Key words and phrases. Laplace-Beltrami operator; Laplace spectrum; naturally reductive homogeneous space; normal homogeneous space; canonical variation; Riemannian submersion; positive sectional curvature; 3-Sasaki manifold; 3- (α, δ) -Sasaki manifold; spherical representation; horizontal and vertical Laplacian.

References

1. INTRODUCTION

Let (M, g) be a closed Riemannian manifold. We consider the Laplace operator Δ acting on functions; being an elliptic self-adjoint operator, its spectrum consists of discrete eigenvalues of finite multiplicity. The explicit computation of the spectrum is a challenging task that only succeeds under strong symmetry assumptions. After the classical examples of spheres, projective spaces, and flat tori (see for example [BGM71]), Riemannian symmetric spaces M = G/K were the first large class of manifolds for which the spectrum could be computed explicitly via representation theoretic tools. In this case, the connection induced from the canonical principal fibre bundle projection $G \to G/K$ is just the Levi-Civita connection, and the Laplacian can be identified with the Casimir operator C, viewed as an element of the center of the universal enveloping algebra U(\mathfrak{g}). Hence, a combination of the Peter-Weyl theorem for describing $L^2(G/K)$ and the Freudenthal formula for the eigenvalue of C on irreducible representations yields the result in a very satisfying way [CW76, IT78], and basically the same approach works for compact semisimple Lie groups endowed with the negative of the Killing form just as well [BM77] (see also [Bou09] for a concise account of both cases).

Let us recall the definition of two main classes of invariant metrics g on non-symmetric homogeneous reductive spaces G/K in order to formulate our results in more detail. We fix a reductive decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$, and use the same letter g to denote the $\mathrm{Ad}(K)$ invariant inner product on \mathfrak{m} which induces the Riemannian metric g. The metric g is called *naturally reductive* if it satisfies

$$g(x, [y, z]_{\mathfrak{m}}) + g([y, x]_{\mathfrak{m}}, z) = 0$$
 for all $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{m} \cong T_o G/K$.

This is in particular the case if the metric g on \mathfrak{m} is the restriction of an $\operatorname{Ad}(G)$ -invariant positive definite inner product on \mathfrak{g} and $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{k}^{\perp}$ with respect to that inner product. The homogeneous space is then called *normal*, and if in addition G is semisimple and the inner product in question is just the negative of the Killing form, one often speaks of a *standard* normal homogeneous space. Although the definitions seem similar, the (standard) normal homogeneous case is much easier to treat than the naturally reductive one. An adaptation of the argument given above allowed, in principle, the computation of the Laplace spectrum on standard normal homogeneous spaces, a good source for which is the seminal book [Wal73] (see also the book [Hal15] and [2BLP22] for a recent generalisation to metrics induced from Riemannian metrics on G that are left G- and right K-invariant). However, only Riemannian naturally reductive metrics give the freedom needed to cover interesting *families* of metrics depending on parameters. Thus, our class of manifolds is taylor-made for investigating the dependence of the spectrum on certain deformation parameters of the metric.

The present paper is organized in two parts. The first part deals with the general theory, while the second part is devoted to the 7-dimensional Aloff-Wallach manifold $W^{1,1} = \mathrm{SU}(3)/S^1$, which was in fact the starting point of this work (the reasons are given below), one of the most interesting manifolds admitting metrics of strictly positive sectional curvature. Let us summarise the most important results of the first part. We begin with two key observations: Let (G/K, g) be a reductive Riemannian homogeneous space. If $H \subset G$ is a subgroup which commutes with K and whose adjoint action preserves g, the Riemannian submersion $G/K \to G/(K \times H)$ has totally geodesic fibres. The deformation of the metric g of the quotient space G/K along the fibres H/K gives rise to the notion

of *canonical variation* of the metric. It is known that the Laplace-Beltrami operator may then be split into a horizontal and vertical part, and hence its spectrum behaves particularly well for metrics that are canonical variations (Subsection 2.3). Secondly, many known interesting families of homogeneous metrics occur as canonical variations of normal homogeneous metrics. However, the realization as an abstract canonical variation is not enough to fully *compute* the spectrum, and non-trivial canonical variations of normal homogeneous spaces are rarely again normal (and it is usually difficult to decide whether they are or not), so the classical results on spectra of normal homogeneous metrics do not apply. Thus, we prove in a first step a generalization of the Freudenthal formula (Theorem 2.10) for any naturally reductive homogeneous metric (G/K, q), which relies on a suitable generalization of the classical Casimir operator (Definition 2.4). In a second step, we define a large class of canonical variations of normal homogeneous metrics that are naturally reductive for another presentation G'/K', thus closing the gap between the two approaches (Subsection 2.4). We prove that the corresponding families of metrics on G/K and G'/K' are isometric under a careful identification of parameters (Theorem 2.21). Theorem 2.23 then states an explicit formula for the spectrum in terms of K'-spherical representations that makes the dependency on the deformation parameters of the metric totally explicit and reduces the computation to an intricate, but theoretically solvable problem of representation theory. As an application, we conclude a formula for the full Laplace-Beltrami spectrum of a homogeneous 3- (α, δ) -Sasaki manifold in Corollary 2.26, and we obtain a new lower bound on its first basic eigenvalue that we compare to the recent results of [NaSe23].

The second part is devoted to the Aloff-Wallach manifold $W^{1,1} = SU(3)/S^1$ solely. Aloff and Wallach proved that this manifold admits a one-parameter family of metrics of strictly positive sectional curvature [AlWa75]. Almost 25 years passed before Wilking realized that these metrics are actually $SU(3) \times SO(3)$ -normal homogeneous, thus closing a gap in a classification of these metrics by Berger [Ber61]. Based on the construction given in the first part, we extend this normal homogeneous realization to a naturally reductive one which gives access to the spectrum of all 3- (α, δ) -Sasaki metrics on $W^{1,1}$ (Theorem 3.7). As a limiting case, we reproduce the classical computation of Urakawa for the SU(3)-normal homogeneous metric [Ura84], which corresponds to one point in our family of metrics. Moreover, we complete Urakawa's list [Ura86] of the first eigenvalue of a compact simply connected normal homogeneous space with positive curvature in Corollary 3.13. As an electronic supplement, we provide a Jupyter Notebook with a Python script that allows the computation of the spectrum in any desired range, and Table 3 gives a comprehensive list of eigenvalues with the highest weights of the respective spherical representations and the multiplicities. We relate the spectrum to the geometry and existing geometric structures in Subsection 3.5 and we use the results to check eigenvalue estimates for canonical variations of 3-Sasaki manifolds in Subsection 3.6. In particular, we provide graphs of the eigenvalues that make the underlying Riemannian submersion clearly 'visible'. Finally, we study the spectrum for fixed volume in Subsection 3.7. We will show that an analogous inequality to Hersch [Her70] does not exist on 3- (α, δ) -Sasaki manifolds by constructing a 1-parameter family of metrics for which the product of the first eigenvalue with the volume is neither lower nor upper bounded.

Acknowledgements and declarations. It is our pleasure to thank Leandro Cagliero, Louis Ioos, Emilio Lauret, Henrik Naujoks, Paul Schwahn, Uwe Semmelmann, and Leander Stecker for very valuable discussions on different aspects of this article and for useful references to the literature. This material is partially based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. DMS-1928930, while the authors were in residence at the Simons Laufer Mathematical Sciences Institute (formerly MSRI) in Berkeley, California, during the Fall/2024 semester. The second author was supported by a fellowship of the German Academic Exchange Service (DAAD). Both authors contributed equally to this work and approved the final manuscript. The Python code and data are made freely available in a Jupyter notebook [AgHe24] prepared by the second author. Both authors declare to have no competing interests.

2. The spectrum of a naturally reductive homogeneous space

In this article, G will always denote a compact, connected Lie group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of dimension n and $K \subset G$ a closed subgroup with Lie algebra \mathfrak{k} of dimension k. We choose a reductive decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{k}$ and assume that G is equipped with an $\operatorname{Ad}(G)$ -invariant *semi-Riemannian* metric g wich induces a positive definite scalar product on \mathfrak{m} that makes G/K a naturally reductive homogeneous space with respect to \mathfrak{m} .

Remark 2.1. Every naturally reductive homogeneous space can be obtained that way: Suppose that $(\tilde{G}/\tilde{K}, \tilde{g})$ is a naturally reductive Riemannian homogeneous space with respect to a reductive complement $\tilde{\mathfrak{m}} \subset \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$. By a theorem of Kostant [Kos56, Thm. 4], the scalar product $\tilde{g}|_{\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}}$ equals $g|_{\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}}$, where g is an Ad(G)-invariant semi-Riemannian metric of a subgroup $G \subset \tilde{G}$ with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} := [\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{m}}] + \tilde{\mathfrak{m}}$. The subalgebra $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{g} \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{k}}$ is orthogonal to $\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}$ with respect to \tilde{g} and G acts transitively on \tilde{G}/\tilde{K} with isotropy K. The spaces (G/K, g) and $(\tilde{G}/\tilde{K}, \tilde{g})$ are isometric.

Let us now decompose $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{z} \oplus_{j=1}^{l} \mathfrak{g}_{j}$ into its center \mathfrak{z} and into simple Lie algebras \mathfrak{g}_{j} . Then it is well-known that these are mutually orthogonal with respect to g and that on each simple factor \mathfrak{g}_{j} , the scalar product g restricts to a non-zero multiple of the Killing form B:

$$g|_{\mathfrak{g}_i} = -r_j B|_{\mathfrak{g}_i} \quad \text{for } r_j \in \mathbb{R}^*.$$

Thus, the non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form g restricts to a positive definite $(\operatorname{sgn}(r_j) > 0)$ or a negative definite $(\operatorname{sgn}(r_j) < 0)$ bilinear form on \mathfrak{g}_j .

Using the theory of G-invariant differential operators, we introduce the generalized Casimir element which encodes the signature of g and identify the Laplacian with it.

2.1. *G*-invariant differential operators and the generalized Casimir operator. The isometric and transitive action of *G* on itself from the left induces the left regular representation $l_a(f)(b) := f(a^{-1}b)$. It is a unitary representation which restricts to the quotient G/K and induces a unitary representation on $L^2(G/K)$ by $(af)(bK) := f(a^{-1}bK)$.

Definition 2.2. A differential operator A on $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(G)$ resp. $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(G/K)$ with analytic coefficients is called *G*-invariant if it commutes with the left regular representation of $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(G)$, resp. its induced representation on $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(G/K)$. The set of analytic *G*-invariant differential operators is denoted by $\mathcal{D}(G)$ or $\mathcal{D}(G/K)$, respectively. The subset $\mathcal{D}_K(G) \subset \mathcal{D}(G)$ consists of operators that are invariant under the pullback of the right action of K.

As G acts transitively, the action of a G-invariant operator is fully determined once its action on functions at the neutral element e is known: For $f \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(G)$ and $a \in G$, we have $A(f)(a) = A(l_{a^{-1}}(f))(e)$. The left-invariant vector fields in \mathfrak{g} act on functions $f \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(G)$ by the Lie group exponential:

$$X(f)(a) := X_a(f) = \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} f(a\exp(tX))$$

and thus define G-invariant differential operators, $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathcal{D}(G)$. Lichnerowicz [Lic64] considers more generally G-invariant connections on G and defines the derivation of f along

5

X using the corresponding exponential map. This corresponds here to the choice of the Ambrose-Singer connection, as in this setting the Lie group exponential map coincides with the geodesic exponential map. By the universal property, the action extends to the universal algebra and generates all G-invariant differential operators on $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(G)$:

Lemma 2.3 ([Hel59, p. 266]). The homomorphism $\mathfrak{g} \to \mathcal{D}(G)$ extends uniquely to an isomorphism $U(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathcal{D}(G)$.

Functions on G/K can of course be identified with K-right-invariant functions on G,

$$\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(G/K) \cong \mathcal{C}^{\infty}_{K}(G) := \{ f \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(G) \mid f(ak) = f(a) \; \forall k \in K, \; \forall a \in G \},\$$

hence the algebra $\mathcal{D}(G/K)$ of *G*-invariant differential operators on G/K is isomorphic to the algebra $\mathcal{D}_K(G)$ restricted to $\mathcal{C}_K^{\infty}(G)$. Note that indeed, $A \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \cong \mathcal{D}(G)$ restricts to $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(G/K)$ if and only if $A \in \mathcal{D}_K(G) \cong \mathcal{D}(G/K)$ which is precisely the case if $\operatorname{Ad}_k A = A$ for all $k \in K$.

As the Laplacian commutes with isometries, it defines a G-invariant differential operator on G/K. The signature of $g|_{\mathfrak{k}}$ enters as soon as it is identified with an element in $U(\mathfrak{g})$.

Definition 2.4 (Generalized Casimir operator). Let x_j , j = 1, ..., n be an orthonormal basis of \mathfrak{g} such that x_j , j = 1, ..., n-k is an orthonormal basis of \mathfrak{m} and x_j , j = n-k, ..., n is an orthonormal basis of \mathfrak{k} . Their length is given by $\varepsilon_j := g(x_j, x_j) \in \{\pm 1\}$, in particular $\varepsilon_j = 1$ for j = 1, ..., n-k. The generalized Casimir operator is defined by

$$\mathcal{C}_g := \sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon_j x_j^2 \in U(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Remark 2.5. The classical Casimir element is usually defined for simple Lie algebras equipped with the negative of the Killing form; for standard normal homogeneous metrics, no signs ε_j occur. A similar definition of the generalized Casimir element can be found in [Yam79].

As in the Riemannian case, the generalized Casimir element is $\operatorname{Ad}_G(K)$ -invariant and hence restricts to the quotient $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(G/K)$. Despite the appearance of the signs ε_j , C_g may still be identified with the Laplacian:

Lemma 2.6. The generalized Casimir element C_g is independent of the choice of orthonormal basis of \mathfrak{g} . If $a \in G$ then $\operatorname{Ad}_a(C_g) = C_g$ and hence $C_g \in \mathcal{D}(G/K)$. As a differential operator, it yields the Laplace-Beltrami operator: $-C_g = \Delta \in \mathcal{D}(G/K)$.

Proof. If $\{y_i \mid i = 1, ..., n\}$ is a different orthonormal basis of \mathfrak{g} with length $\varepsilon_i \in \{\pm 1\}$, then $y_i = \sum a_{ji}x_j = Ax_i$ with $(a_{ij}) = A \in O(g)$. As A preserves the inner product, it satisfies $(\sum_{l=1}^n a_{ml}a_{sl}\varepsilon_l)_{ms} = (\varepsilon_m\delta_{ms})_{ms}$. Hence we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_i y_i^2 = \sum_{i,j,s=1}^{n} \varepsilon_i a_{ji} a_{si} x_j x_s = \sum_{j,s=1}^{n} \varepsilon_j \delta_{js} x_j x_s = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_i x_i^2 = C_g.$$

This proves that C_g does not depend on the chosen orthonormal basis. For $a \in G$ set $y_i = \operatorname{Ad}_a x_i$ and obtain $C_g = \operatorname{Ad}_a(C_g)$. This holds in particular for any $a \in K$ and which proves that $C_g \in \mathcal{D}(G/K)$. As Δ and $-C_g$ are *G*-invariant differential operators, we simply prove that any $f \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(G/K)$ satisfies $\Delta(f)(eK) = -C_g(f)(eK)$ and conclude that $-C_g = \Delta$. As semi-Riemannian submersions map horizontal geodesics to geodesics [O'Ne83, Cor. 46], the map $(t_1, ..., t_{n-k}) \mapsto \exp(\sum_{i=1}^{n-k} t_i x_i) eK$ defines local geodesic coordinates, i.e. $g_{eK} = \operatorname{Id}$ and $\Gamma_{ij}^s(eK) = 0$ for all i, j, s. Thus, the Laplacian simplifies in

eK to

$$\Delta(f)(eK) = -\sum_{j=1}^{n-k} \left. \frac{d^2}{dt^2} f(\exp(tx_j)eK) \right|_{t=0} - 0$$

= $-\sum_{j=1}^{n-k} \left. \frac{d^2}{dt^2} f(\exp(tx_j)eK) \right|_{t=0} - \sum_{j=n-k+1}^n \varepsilon_j \left. \frac{d^2}{dt^2} f(\exp(tx_j)eK) \right|_{t=0}$
= $-C_g(f)(eK).$

If g is only $\operatorname{Ad}_G(K)$ -invariant, the element C_g is still $\operatorname{Ad}_G(K)$ -invariant and it can still be identified with the Laplacian Δ on G/K. However, it turns out that C_g is not in the center of $\operatorname{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ and therefore its spectrum is more difficult to compute, see Remark 2.8. The left-regular representation of G on $L^2(G/K)$ decomposes into irreducible representations which are preserved by the Laplacian. Each of those representations contribute to the spectrum.

2.2. The Freudenthal formula for the generalized Casimir operator. Our main goal in this subsection is to prove an analogue of the classical Freudenthal formula in the more general setting of naturally reductive homogeneous spaces. We recall some general facts and introduce some notation.

- Denote by \widehat{G} the set of irreducible, unitary representations of the Lie group G. It is known that these are finite dimensional and are in 1:1-correspondence to dominant integral weights, whose set is denoted by D(G).
- Let $(\varrho_1, V_{\varrho_1}), (\varrho_2, V_{\varrho_2})$ be unitary representations of G. Then $[\varrho_1 : \varrho_2]$ denotes the multiplicity of ϱ_2 in ϱ_1 , i.e. the dimension of G-equivariant homomorphisms from V_{ϱ_1} to V_{ϱ_2} .
- The restriction of a representation to a subgroup $K \subset G$ is denoted by $\rho \upharpoonright K$ and the trivial representation is denoted by 1_K .
- A representation $(\varrho, V_{\varrho}) \in \widehat{G}$ is called *K*-spherical if $[\varrho \upharpoonright K : 1_K] > 0$. The subspace $V_{\varrho}^K \subset V_{\varrho}$ is the set of fixed vectors when ϱ is restricted to *K*. The set of *K*-spherical representations is denoted by \widehat{G}_K .
- For $(\varrho, V_{\varrho}) \in \widehat{G}_K$ the number $\operatorname{mult}(\varrho) := [\varrho \upharpoonright K : 1_K] \cdot \dim(V_{\varrho}) = \dim(V_{\varrho}^K) \cdot \dim(V_{\varrho})$ describes the multiplicity of eigenfunctions being generated by ϱ , see Theorem 2.10.
- By $T \subset G$, we denote a maximal torus of G with Lie algebra \mathfrak{t} and the dual space \mathfrak{t}^* is equipped with the dual of $g(\cdot, \cdot)|_{\mathfrak{t}}$ which is extended to a non-degenerate Hermitian bilinear form on its complexification $\mathfrak{t}^*_{\mathbb{C}}$ and denoted by g as well. We choose a set of positive roots R^+ of G and denote their half sum by ρ .

As (ϱ, V_{ϱ}) is unitary, we can use the scalar product to identify $(V_{\varrho}^{K})^{*} \cong (V_{\varrho}^{*})^{K}$ as \mathbb{R} -vector spaces. Any K-spherical representation defines matrix coefficients which are invariant under K and which hence descend to functions on G/K:

$$V_{\varrho} \otimes (V_{\varrho}^*)^K \to L^2(G/K), \quad v \otimes \varphi \mapsto f_{v \otimes \varphi}, \quad f_{v \otimes \varphi}(aK) := \varphi(\varrho(a^{-1})v).$$

This assignment is a G-homomorphism if G acts on the first entry of the tensor product $a(v, \varphi) := (\varrho(a)v, \varphi)$ and G acts on $L^2(G/K)$ by pullback. The Peter-Weyl theorem states that the direct sum of matrix coefficients is dense in $L^2(G/K)$:

Theorem 2.7 ([Tak94, Thm. 1.3]). $L^2(G/K)$ decomposes as a G-module as follows:

$$L^2(G/K) \cong \overline{\bigoplus_{\varrho \in \widehat{G}_K} V_\varrho \otimes (V_\varrho^*)^K}.$$

It is crucial to understand how the Laplacian acts on the matrix coefficients. As the Laplacian commutes with isometries, it restricts to a finite dimensional, self-adjoint endomorphism on $V_{\varrho} \otimes (V_{\rho}^*)^K$. We describe its action in eK:

$$(\Delta f_{v\otimes\varphi})(eK) = -\sum_{j=1}^{n-k} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \varphi(\varrho(\exp(tx_j)eK)^{-1}v) = -\sum_{j=1}^{n-k} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \varrho(\exp(tx_j))(\varphi)(v)$$
$$= -\sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon_j \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \varrho(\exp(tx_j))(\varphi)(v) = -d\varrho(\mathcal{C}_g)(\varphi)(v).$$

Observe that $d\varrho(\mathbf{C}_g)$ acts on $(V_{\varrho}^*)^K$ and each eigenvector φ of $-d\varrho(\mathbf{C}_g)$ with eigenvalue η yields the eigenspace $V_{\varrho} \otimes \{\varphi\}$ of the Laplacian corresponding to the same eigenvalue η .

Remark 2.8. If we relax the condition of g from being $\operatorname{Ad}(G)$ -invariant to being $\operatorname{Ad}_G(K)$ invariant, the eigenvalues of the Laplacian are given by the eigenvalues of $-d\varrho(C_g)$ on $(V_{\varrho}^*)^K$. Lauret [Lau19] was able to explicitly compute the first eigenvalue of $\operatorname{SU}(2)$ for all
left-invariant Riemannian metrics by explicitly realizing and diagonalizing $-d\varrho(C_g)$ for the
first $\operatorname{SU}(2)$ -representations. This method was later used to compute the first eigenvalue
of any homogeneous metric of the CROSSes [1BLP22, 2BLP22]. In Example 2.18 we
describe which of the homogeneous CROSS metrics can be realized as naturally reductive
spaces.

In our situation of considering a biinvariant semi-Riemannian metric, the generalized Casimir acts as a scalar which is analogous to the normal homogeneous setting:

Lemma 2.9. For any $(\varrho, V_{\varrho}) \in \widehat{G}$ the operator $-d\varrho(C_g)$ commutes with $\varrho(a)$ for all $a \in G$ and hence acts a multiple $c_q(\varrho)$ of the identity.

Proof. The key ingredient is that we consider a biinvariant semi-Riemannian metric, i.e. $\operatorname{Ad}_a \in O(g)$ which was used in Lemma 2.6 to prove $\operatorname{Ad}_a(\operatorname{C}_g) = \operatorname{C}_g$ for all $a \in G$. Using the relation $d\rho \circ \operatorname{Ad}_a = d(\rho \circ c_a) = d(c_{\rho(a)} \circ \rho) = \operatorname{Ad}_{\rho(a)} \circ d\rho$ we have that

$$d\varrho(\mathcal{C}_g) = d\varrho \circ \operatorname{Ad}_a(\mathcal{C}_g) = \operatorname{Ad}_{\varrho(a)} d\varrho(\mathcal{C}_g).$$

Applying Schur's Lemma implies that $-d\varrho(\mathbf{C}_q)$ is a multiple of the identity.

We state the main theorem of the first section which computes the constant $c_g(\varrho)$. This generalises [Wal73, Lem. 5.6.4] where g has no signature and coincides on \mathfrak{g}_0 with the Killing form.

Theorem 2.10 (Generalized Freudenthal formula). Let $(\varrho, V_{\varrho}) \in \widehat{G}$ with corresponding highest weight λ . The operator $-d\varrho(C_g)$ acts as a multiple $c_g(\varrho) := g(\lambda, 2\rho + \lambda)$ of the identity on $(V_{\varrho}^*)^K \subset L^2(G)$. The spectrum of the Laplace-Beltrami operator is given by

$$\Sigma(G/K,g) = \{ c_g(\varrho) \mid \varrho \in G_K \}.$$

The multiplicity associated to $(\varrho, V_{\varrho}) \in \widehat{G}_K$ is given by $\operatorname{mult}(\varrho) = [\varrho \upharpoonright K : 1_K] \cdot \dim(V_{\varrho}).$

Proof. We mainly follow the strategy of the proof from [Wal73, Lem. 5.6.4]. We decompose $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{z}$, where \mathfrak{g}_0 is semisimple and \mathfrak{z} is the center of \mathfrak{g} . Note that g is definite on \mathfrak{g}_i , non-degenerate on $\mathfrak{z} = \mathfrak{z} \cap \mathfrak{t}$ and hence non-degenerate on $\mathfrak{t} = \mathfrak{z} \oplus_{i=1}^l \mathfrak{g}_i \cap \mathfrak{t}$ and therefore defines a scalar product with signature on \mathfrak{t} . We want to compute the constant c_g from Lemma 2.9.

The main idea is to choose an orthonormal basis of \mathfrak{g} which is obtained using the root decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ and then evaluate $-d\varrho(\mathbb{C}_g)$ on the weight vector of the highest weight. Consider the decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \bigoplus_{j=1}^l \mathfrak{g}_j$ into simple subalgebras \mathfrak{g}_j . Let $y_{(1,0)}, ..., y_{(k_0,0)}$ be a orthonormal basis of \mathfrak{z} and let $y_{(1,j)}, \ldots, y_{(k_j,j)}$ be an orthonormal basis of \mathfrak{g}_j . Set $\mathcal{C}_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{k_0} \varepsilon_{(i,0)} y_{(i,0)}^2$ and set $\mathcal{C}_j = \operatorname{sgn}(r_j) \sum_{i=1}^{k_j} y_{(i,j)}^2$. Then $\mathcal{C}_g = \sum_{j=0}^l \mathcal{C}_j$. Because each subspace is $\operatorname{Ad}(G)$ -invariant, we have by the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 2.6 that $\operatorname{Ad}(a) \mathcal{C}_j = \mathcal{C}_j$ for all $a \in G$ and $j = 1, \ldots, l$. Let λ' be the linear form on \mathfrak{z} so that $d\varrho(z)v = \lambda'(z)v$ for all $z \in \mathfrak{z}, v \in V$, i.e. $d\varrho(\mathcal{C}_0) = \sum_{j=1}^{k_0} \varepsilon_{(j,0)} \lambda'(y_{(j,0)})^2 \operatorname{Id}$. Let R be the root system of G relative to \mathfrak{t} . Let $\mathfrak{t}_j = \mathfrak{t} \cap \mathfrak{g}_j$ and $\mathfrak{g}_j^{\mathbb{C}}$ as well as $\mathfrak{t}_j^{\mathbb{C}}$ denote

Let R be the root system of G relative to \mathfrak{t} . Let $\mathfrak{t}_j = \mathfrak{t} \cap \mathfrak{g}_j$ and $\mathfrak{g}_j^{\mathbb{C}}$ as well as $\mathfrak{t}_j^{\mathbb{C}}$ denote the complexifications. The root system R decomposes into the root systems $R = \bigcup_{j=0}^l R_j$ of the respective Lie algebras \mathfrak{g}_j with respect to \mathfrak{t}_j [Hal15, Thm. 7.35]. Consider the root decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}_j^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{t}_j^{\mathbb{C}} + \sum_{\alpha \in R_j} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$ and the \mathbb{C} -bilinear extension of the Killing form $B_j^{\mathbb{C}}$ of $\mathfrak{g}_j^{\mathbb{C}}$. Then $B_j^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}, \mathfrak{g}_{\beta}) = 0$ if and only if $\alpha \neq -\beta$ [Wal73, Lem. 3.5.6]. Moreover, if $w_{\alpha} = u_{\alpha} + iv_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$ then $w_{\alpha}^* := iv_{\alpha} - u_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$ [Hal15, Prop. 7.18] and thus $B_j^{\mathbb{C}}(w_{\alpha}, w_{\alpha}) = B_j^{\mathbb{C}}(w_{\alpha}^*, w_{\alpha}^*) = 0$. Since $B_j^{\mathbb{C}}$ is non degenerate on $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$, we can choose w_{α}, w_{α}^* such that $B_j^{\mathbb{C}}(w_{\alpha}, w_{\alpha}^*) = -B(u_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha}) - B(v_{\alpha}, v_{\alpha}) = \frac{2}{|r_j|}$. This is up to scaling the same choice as Wallach made. By expressing u_{α} and v_{α} in terms of w_{α}, w_{α}^* , one concludes

$$B_j(u_\alpha, u_\alpha) = B_j(v_\alpha, v_\alpha) = -\frac{1}{|r_j|}, \quad B_j(u_\alpha, v_\alpha) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow g(u_\alpha, u_\alpha) = g(v_\alpha, v_\alpha) = \operatorname{sgn}(r_j), \quad g(u_\alpha, v_\alpha) = 0.$$

Moreover, we have

$$w_{\alpha} \otimes w_{\alpha}^{*} + w_{\alpha}^{*} \otimes w_{\alpha} = -u_{\alpha} \otimes u_{\alpha} - v_{\alpha} \otimes v_{\alpha} = -(u_{\alpha})^{2} - (v_{\alpha})^{2}.$$

Taking an orthogonal basis $h_{(1,j)}, ..., h_{(s(j),j)} \in \sqrt{-1} \mathfrak{t}_j$ of length $\operatorname{sgn}(r_j)$, we write each summand of the generalized Casimir element C_g as follows:

$$-\operatorname{C}_{j} = -\sum_{i=1}^{s(j)} \operatorname{sgn}(r_{j}) h_{(i,j)}^{2} - \sum_{\alpha \in R_{j}^{+}} \operatorname{sgn}(r_{j}) w_{\alpha} w_{\alpha}^{*} + \operatorname{sgn}(r_{j}) w_{\alpha}^{*} w_{\alpha}.$$

Using $w_{\alpha}w_{\alpha}^* = [w_{\alpha}, w_{\alpha}^*] + w_{\alpha}^*w_{\alpha} = \frac{H_{\alpha}}{|r_j|} + w_{\alpha}^*w_{\alpha}$ [Wal73, Lem. 3.5.8] results in

$$-C_{j} = -\sum_{i=1}^{s(j)} \operatorname{sgn}(r_{j}) h_{(i,j)}^{2} - \sum_{\alpha \in R_{j}^{+}} \operatorname{sgn}(r_{j}) \frac{H_{\alpha}}{|r_{j}|} + 2\operatorname{sgn}(r_{j}) w_{\alpha}^{*} w_{\alpha}.$$

The weight vector $0 \neq v \in V_{\varrho}$ of the highest weight $\lambda = \lambda_0 + \lambda'$ satisfies $d\varrho(\mathfrak{n}^+)v = 0$, where $\mathfrak{n}^+ := \sum_{\alpha \in R^+} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$ [Wal73, Lem. 4.3.7]. In order to compute the eigenvalue $c_g(\varrho)$ of the Casimir element, we evaluate $d\varrho(\mathcal{C}_g)(v)$ and use that $d\varrho(w_{\alpha})v = 0$ for every $\alpha \in R^+$:

$$\begin{aligned} -d\varrho(\mathcal{C}_g)(v) &= -d\varrho(\mathcal{C}_0)(v) - \sum_{j=1}^l d\varrho(\mathcal{C}_l)v \\ &= -\sum_{j=1}^{k_0} \varepsilon_{(j,0)} \lambda'(y_{(j,0)})^2 v - \sum_{\substack{1 \le j \le l \\ 1 \le i \le s(j)}} \operatorname{sgn}(r_j) \lambda_0(h_{(i,j)})^2 v - \sum_{\substack{1 \le j \le l \\ \alpha \in R_j^+}} \frac{\lambda_0(H_\alpha)}{r_j} v \\ &= g(\lambda',\lambda')v + g(\lambda_0,\lambda_0)v + \sum_{\alpha \in R^+} g(\lambda_0,\alpha)v = g(\lambda, 2\rho + \lambda)v. \end{aligned}$$

The whole spectrum is obtained by the virtue of Theorem 2.21.

2.3. Digression: The Laplacian for Riemannian submersions. For the family of metrics we shall consider in the next subsection, the broader view as canonical variations of a Riemannian submersion with totally geodesic fibres will turn out to be crucial. We quickly summarize all relevant results.

Consider a Riemannian submersion $\pi : M \to N$ with respect to two Riemannian manifolds (M, g), (N, h). It yields a vertical $\mathcal{V} = \ker d\pi$ and horizontal $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{V}^{\perp}$ destribution of the tangent bundle $TM = \mathcal{V} \oplus_{\perp} \mathcal{H}$. The fibre of $p \in M$ over $q = f(p) \in N$ is denoted by $\mathcal{V}_p = f^{-1}(q)$. The Laplacian yields two differential operators on M: The vertical Laplacian Δ^v which derives functions along the fibres and the horizontal Laplacian Δ^h given by its difference to Δ_M :

$$\Delta^{v} f(p) := \Delta_{\mathcal{V}_{p}}(f \upharpoonright \mathcal{V}_{p}), \quad \Delta^{h} := \Delta_{M} - \Delta^{v}.$$

It is known that all three operators $\Delta_M, \Delta^v, \Delta^h$ commute and hence yield a Hilbert basis of $L^2(M)$ consisting of simultaneous eigenfunctions of Δ_M and Δ^v [BeBo82, Thm. 3.6]. In case of a Riemannian submersion with minimal fibres, any eigenfunction f of N lifts to an eigenfunction $f \circ \pi$ on M if the fibres are minimal:

Theorem 2.11 ([GiPa95, Thm. 3]). The Laplacian commutes with the projection: $\Delta_M \circ \pi^* = \pi^* \Delta_N$ if and only if the fibres of π are minimal.

If the Riemannian submersion has totally geodesic fibres, the fibres are isometric. Each of those Riemannian submersions give rise to a canonical variation of the fibre given by the deformation of g along the fibres \mathcal{V}_p , $p \in M$:

Definition 2.12. The *canonical variation* of a Riemannian submersion $\pi : M \to N$ with totally geodesic fibres is the family of metrics $g_{t_0,t_1}, t_0, t_1 > 0$ on M such that

$$g_{t_0,t_1}|_{\mathcal{V}} = t_1g|_{\mathcal{V}}, \quad g_{t_0,t_1}|_{\mathcal{H}} = t_0g|_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad \mathcal{V}\perp\mathcal{H}.$$

The Laplacian of (M, g_{t_0, t_1}) is denoted by $\Delta_M^{t_0, t_1}$.

The rescaling of the fibre in the canonical variation rescales the vertical Laplacian Δ^{v} while it preserves the horizontal Laplacian Δ^{h} :

Proposition 2.13 ([BeBo82, Prop. 5.3]). The Laplacian $\Delta_M^{t_0,t_1}$ of the canonical variation is given by

$$\Delta_M^{t_0, t_1} = \frac{\Delta^v}{t_1} + \frac{\Delta^h}{t_0} = \Delta_M^{t_0, t_1} + \left(\frac{1}{t_1} - \frac{1}{t_0}\right) \Delta^v.$$

As the fibres are isometric we conclude:

Corollary 2.14. The spectrum of the canonical variation (M, g_{t_0,t_1}) satisfies:

$$\Sigma(M, g_{t_0, t_1}) \subset \{ t_0^{-1} \eta_0 + (t_1^{-1} - t_0^{-1}) \eta_1 \mid \eta_0 \in \Sigma(M, g), \quad \eta_1 \in \Sigma(\mathcal{V}_p, g|_{\mathcal{V}_p}) \}, \quad p \in M$$

The mismatching of both sets measures the topology of the fibre bundle. If the bundle is trivial, the two sets are equal. The key problem is to determine which eigenfunctions of the fibre \mathcal{V}_p are obtained when simultaneous eigenfunctions of Δ_M and Δ^v are restricted to it; this cannot be decided for a general canonical variation, but we shall be able to do it in the context of certain canonical variations of normal homogeneous metrics that we shall discuss in the next subsection.

For the moment being, let us state that indeed, the following homogeneous spaces are Riemannian submersions with totally geodesic fibres: **Proposition 2.15** ([FIP04, Thm. 5.2]). Let G be a compact Lie group, $K_1 \subset K_2 \subset G$ be subgroups and equip G with a left G- and right K_2 -invariant Riemannian metric g. Choose the unique metrics on G/K_1 and on G/K_2 such that

$$\pi_1: G \to G/K_1, \quad \pi_2: G/K_1 \to G/K_2$$

are Riemannian submersions. Then π_1 and π_2 have totally geodesic fibres.

2.4. Naturally reductive canonical variations of normal homogeneous metrics and their spectrum. Many interesting families of homogeneous metrics occur as canonical variations of normal homogeneous spaces; to cite a few, let us mention certain metrics of positive curvature constructed by Aloff and Wallach [AlWa75, Thm. 2.4], homogeneous metrics on compact rank one symmetric spaces [Zill82, 2BLP22], including in particular odd-dimensional Berger spheres (see also Example 2.18), many homogeneous spaces carrying Killing spinors [BFGK91], and more recently positive homogeneous 3- (α, δ) -Sasaki manifolds [ADS21, GRS23].

It is desirable to realize them as naturally reducible spaces in order to be able to compute their spectrum by means of Theorem 2.23. It can be tricky to decide whether a homogeneous space (G/K, g) can be realized as a naturally reductive space: From Berger's classification of compact, simply connected normal homogeneous spaces [Ber61], it took almost 40 years until Wilking [Wil99] realized that the positively curved Aloff-Wallach manifold $W^{1,1}$ admits a realization as a normal homogeneous space, and thus was missing from the list. Hence, our goal in this subsection is to provide a method to realize canonical variations of normal homogeneous spaces as naturally reductive spaces and compute their spectrum.

Standing Assumption 2.16. In this section, we assume that the Lie group G is equipped with a biinvariant metric g. By $K, H_i \subset G$, we denote pairwise commuting subgroups of G and we assume H_i connected for all i = 1, ..., s. Let $\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{h}_i \subset \mathfrak{g}, i = 1, ..., s$ denote their Lie algebras, which shall be pairwise g-orthogonal. Choose the reductive complement $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{k}^{\perp} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ and define the direct sum $\mathfrak{h} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s} \mathfrak{h}_i$. Its orthogonal complement in \mathfrak{m} is denoted by $\mathfrak{h}_0 = \mathfrak{h}^{\perp} \subset \mathfrak{m}$. Note that \mathfrak{h}_0 plays a special role as it does not define a Lie algebra. We obtain the reductive decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{h}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{h}$.

As an intermediate step, we deform the metric g on G along the subspaces \mathfrak{k} , $\mathfrak{h}_i \subset \mathfrak{g}$ and denote the new metric by $g_{\mathfrak{t}}$, $\mathfrak{t} = (t_0, t_1, \ldots, t_s) \in \mathbb{R}^{s+1}_{>0}$:

$$(G, g_{\mathbf{t}}), \quad g_{\mathbf{t}} := g_{t_0, \dots, t_s} = t_0 g|_{\mathfrak{h}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{k}} + t_1 g|_{\mathfrak{h}_1} \dots + t_s g|_{\mathfrak{h}_s}, \quad \mathfrak{k} \perp \mathfrak{h}_j, \quad \mathfrak{h}_j \perp \mathfrak{h}_i \text{ for all } i \neq j.$$

As $\operatorname{Ad}_G(K)$ preserves \mathfrak{h}_i , the metric g_t restricts to a well-defined homogeneous metric of the quotient G/K:

(1)
$$(G/K, g_{\mathbf{t}}), \quad g_{\mathbf{t}} := g_{t_0, \dots, t_s} = t_0 g|_{\mathfrak{h}_0} + \dots + t_s g|_{\mathfrak{h}_s}, \quad \mathfrak{h}_i \perp \mathfrak{h}_j \text{ for all } i \neq j.$$

Remark 2.17. The condition that the groups H_i , K commute for each i = 1, ..., s garantees that $H_i \times K$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of G. By Proposition 2.15,

$$\pi_i: G/K \to G/(H_i \times K)$$

is a Riemannian submersion with totally geodesic fibres. Its vertical and horizontal spaces are, for $i = 1, \ldots, s$:

$$\mathcal{V} = \mathfrak{h}_i, \quad \mathcal{H} = \mathfrak{h}_0 \bigoplus_{j=1, j \neq i}^{s} \mathfrak{h}_j.$$

The canonical variation of π_i is then exactly the metric g_t , where t_i (for fixed *i*) is to be understood as the scaling of the fibration and all other parameters as describing the metric on the horizontal space.

Such deformations occur in a natural way. Consider a homogeneous space G/K with reductive complement \mathfrak{m} . Assume that the isotropy representation $\operatorname{Ad}_G(K) \upharpoonright \mathfrak{m}$ acts trivially on at least one vector, $[\operatorname{Ad}_G(K) \upharpoonright \mathfrak{m} : 1_K] \ge 1$, and thus defines a global vector field on G/K. Using the Jacobi identity, one verifies that the subspace $\mathfrak{m}^K = \{X \in \mathfrak{m} \mid \forall k \in$ $K : \operatorname{Ad}_G(k)(X) = X\}$ defines a Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{m}^K =: \mathfrak{h}$. Decomposing \mathfrak{h} orthogonally into commuting subalgebras $\mathfrak{h}_1, ..., \mathfrak{h}_s \subset \mathfrak{h}$ and deforming g along them, yields a deformation of the mentioned kind. In case of a compact rank one symmetric space, it follows by definition that such deformations can at most be performed along one subalgebra, i.e. s = 1 and hence $\mathfrak{h}_1 = \mathfrak{h}$.

Example 2.18. We consider a negative multiple of the Killing form g of the simple Lie group G = SU(n+1) together with its subgroups

$$K = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix} \mid A \in \mathrm{SU}(n) \right\}, \quad H = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} e^{it} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\frac{-it}{n}} \operatorname{Id}_n \end{pmatrix} \mid e^{it} \in S^1 \right\},$$

i.e. $G/K \cong S^{2n+1}$ and $G/(H \times K) \cong \mathbb{CP}^{n-1}$. The deformation g_{t_0,t_1} yields the canonical variation of the Hopf fibration

$$S^1 \to S^{2n+1} \to \mathbb{CP}^n.$$

Another example is given by the group G = Sp(n+1) equipped with a negative multiple of the Killing form g and the subgroups

$$K = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix} \mid A \in \operatorname{Sp}(n) \right\}, \quad H = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} B & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid B \in \operatorname{Sp}(1) \right\}.$$

The deformation g_{t_0,t_1} is the canonical variation of the quaternionic Hopf fibration

$$S^3 \to S^{4n+3} \to \mathbb{HP}^n$$

which yields at the same time the positive 3- (α, δ) -Sasaki metrics on S^{4n+3} , see Subsection 2.5. Note that this canonical variation descends to a one-parameter family of metrics on $\mathbb{CP}^{2n+1} = S^{4n+3}/U(1)$, as $U(1) \subset Sp(1)$ commutes with Sp(n). Moreover, both canonical variations descend to the real projective spaces \mathbb{RP}^{2n+1} and \mathbb{RP}^{4n+3} as both fibre groups S^1 and Sp(1) commute with \mathbb{Z}_2 . Observe that the canonical variation of the octonionic Hopf fibration

$$S^7 \to S^{8+7} \to \operatorname{Ca} \mathbb{P}^2$$

and its quotent \mathbb{RP}^{15} are not of this type, as the isotropy representation of Spin(7) on $S^{15} = \text{Spin}(9)/\text{Spin}(7)$ does not contain the trivial representation [Zill82]. Furthermore, one checks that a different rescaling of the Killing vector fields (if more than one) can not be obtained by our construction for similar reasons. All in all, the metrics we just described are the only homogeneous cross metrics: They have been classified by Ziller [Zill82] and their spectra are studied in [1BLP22, 2BLP22].

Our next goal is to give an explicit naturally reductive realization $(G'/K', h_{\mathbf{r}})$ of the deformed normal homogeneous space $(G/K, g_{\mathbf{t}})$. We introduce the group $H := H_1 \times ... \times H_s$ and the multiplication $\tilde{\iota} : H \to G$, $\tilde{\iota}(h_1, ..., h_s) = h_1 ... h_s$, which is an injective group homomorphism as the groups H_i commute pairwise. Using additionally that H_i and K commute, we construct the following groups:

$$G' := G \times H, \quad \Delta H := \{ (\tilde{\iota}(h), h) \mid h \in H \}, \ K' := \{ (k\tilde{\iota}(h), h) \mid k \in K, \ h \in H \} \subset G'.$$

To make the final construction a bit more transparent, we make an intermediate step. Define an $\operatorname{Ad}(G')$ -invariant metric $h_{\mathbf{r}}$ on $G'/\Delta H$ depending on s + 1 real parameters

 $\mathbf{r} := (r_0, ..., r_s) \in (\mathbb{R}^*)^s$ by

$$(G'/\Delta H, h_{\mathbf{r}}), \quad h_{\mathbf{r}} := h_{r_0, \dots, r_s} = r_0 g|_{\mathfrak{g}} + r_1 g|_{\mathfrak{h}_1} \dots + r_s g|_{\mathfrak{h}_s}, \quad \mathfrak{g} \perp \mathfrak{h}_i \text{ and } \mathfrak{h}_i \perp \mathfrak{h}_j \ \forall i \neq j.$$

This makes $G'/\Delta H$ a semi-Riemannian homogeneous space; it is essentially a copy of G, of course.

In order to define an orthonormal basis of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ of G', we need to define indices. We set $m_i = \dim \mathfrak{h}_i$, $\sum_{i=1}^s m_i = m$ and introduce sets of indices corresponding to \mathfrak{h}_0 : $I_0 = \{m + 1, ..., n\}$, to \mathfrak{h}_1 : $I_1 = \{1, ..., m_1\}$ and for j > 1 to \mathfrak{h}_j : $I_j = \{\sum_{i=1}^{j-1} m_i, ..., \sum_{i=1}^j m_i\}$, their union is denoted by $I = \bigcup_{j=1}^s I_j$. We define with respect to g orthonormal bases of \mathfrak{h}_j , $j \ge 0$ and \mathfrak{g} :

$$\{e_i \mid i \in I_j\} \subset \mathfrak{h}_j, \quad \{E_i \mid i = 1, ..., n\} \subset \mathfrak{g}, \quad d\iota e_i = E_i.$$

Their lengths in $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}, h_{\mathbf{r}})$ and in $(\mathfrak{g}, g_{\mathbf{t}})$ are given by $(i \in I_j, j \ge 0)$:

$$g_{\mathbf{t}}(E_i, E_i) = t_j, \quad h_{\mathbf{r}}(E_i, E_i) = r_0, \quad \text{for } j \ge 1 : h_{\mathbf{r}}(e_i, e_i) = r_j$$

and all vectors are pairwise orthogonal. A basis for the isotropy algebra is given by

$$\Delta \mathfrak{h} = (d\iota, \mathrm{Id})(\mathfrak{h}) = \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{R}} \{ E_i + e_i \mid i \in I \}$$

The following example of the Aloff-Wallach space inspired our constructions and will be discussed in detail in Section 3. In fact, we shall explain in Subsection 2.5 that it can even be generalised to all positive homogeneous $3-(\alpha, \delta)$ -Sasaki manifolds.

Example 2.19. Consider the Lie group G = SU(3) and its commuting subgroups $K = S^1, H = SU(2) \subset SU(3)$, where S^1 is embedded diagonally and SU(2) embedded in the upper left 2×2 block. They yield the subgroup $(S^1)' = \{(SA, A) \mid S \in S^1, A \in U(2)\} \subset SU(3) \times SU(2)$. The metric $g(X, Y) = -1/2 \operatorname{tr}(XY)$ is the rescaled Killing form of SU(3). The resulting Riemannian homogeneous space is the Aloff-Wallach space $W^{1,1}$ equipped with the canonical variation of a 3-Sasaki manifold:

$$(\mathrm{SU}(3)/S^1, g_{t_0, t_1}), \quad g_{t_0, t_1} = t_0 g|_{\mathfrak{su}(2)^{\perp}} + t_1 g|_{\mathfrak{su}(2)}, \quad t_0, t_1 > 0.$$

The semi-Riemannian homogeneous space associated to the deformation g_t is

$$\left(\mathrm{SU}(3) \times \mathrm{SU}(2) / \Delta \,\mathrm{SU}(2), h_{r_0, r_1}\right), \quad h_{r_0, r_1} = r_0 g|_{\mathfrak{g}} + r_1 g|_{\mathfrak{su}(2)}, \quad r_0, r_1 \ge 0 \text{ or } r_1 < -r_0 < 0.$$

The Reeb vector fields of a homogeneous Sasaki manifold are per definition invariant under the isotropy representation. Hence, the unique connected subgroup which realizes those commutes with the isotropy group. By extension of the transitively acting group we are able to give naturally reductive realizations of the canonical variation g_t , see Theorem 2.21. In the next step, we need to ensure that h_r defines a Riemannian naturally reductive metric on $G'/\Delta H$ and hence also on the quotient G'/K':

Lemma 2.20. The bilinear form h_r is non-degenerate on $\Delta \mathfrak{h}$ if and only if $r_j \neq -r_0$ for all j = 1, ..., s and

$$\Delta \mathfrak{h}^{\perp} = \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{R}} \{ h_r(e_j, e_j) E_j - r_0 e_j, E_i \mid j \in I, \ i \in I_0 \}$$

is a reductive complement. h_r is positive definite on $\Delta \mathfrak{h}^{\perp}$ if and only if $r_0 > 0$ and $r_j \in]-\infty, -r_0[\cup]0, \infty[$ for j = 1, ..., s.

Proof. The basis vectors $\{E_i + e_i \mid i \in I\}$ of $\Delta \mathfrak{h}$ are pairwise orthogonal and have for arbitrary j = 1, ..., s and $i \in I_j$ the squared length $h_{\mathbf{r}}(E_i + e_i, E_i + e_i) = r_0 + r_j$. This proves that $h_{\mathbf{r}}$ is non-degenerate on $\Delta \mathfrak{h}$ if and only if $r_j \neq -r_0$ for all j = 1, ..., s. Let us compute the orthogonal complement $\Delta \mathfrak{h}^{\perp}$ and check for the conditions when $h_{\mathbf{r}}$ is positive definite on it: The vectors E_i with $i \in I_0$ are orthogonal to $\Delta \mathfrak{h}$, so it is enough to compute when two vectors $\sum_{i=1}^m a_i E_i + b_i e_i \in \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^m c_i (E_i + e_i) \in \Delta \mathfrak{h}$ are orthogonal:

$$h_{\mathbf{r}}(\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}E_{i} + b_{i}e_{i}, \sum_{i=1}^{m} c_{i}(E_{i} + e_{i})) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} c_{i}(a_{i}h_{\mathbf{r}}(E_{i}, E_{i}) + b_{i}h_{\mathbf{r}}(e_{i}, e_{i}))$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{m} c_{i}(a_{i}r_{0} + b_{i}h_{\mathbf{r}}(e_{i}, e_{i})) \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \quad \forall c_{i} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad a_{i}r_{0} = -b_{i}h_{\mathbf{r}}(e_{i}, e_{i}).$$

Additionally to E_i with $i \in I_0$ we find the basis vectors $\{h_{\mathbf{r}}(e_i, e_i)E_i - r_0e_i \mid i \in I\}$ of $\Delta \mathfrak{h}^{\perp}$ which are pairwise orthogonal. Since the squared length of each E_i with $i \in I_0$ is r_0 , it is necessary that $r_0 > 0$ for $h_{\mathbf{r}}$ to be positive definite. For $i \in I_j$ and j = 1, ..., s we compute the squared lengths

$$h_{\mathbf{r}}(r_j E_j - r_0 e_i, r_j E_j - r_0 e_i) = r_j^2 r_0 + r_0^2 r_j = r_j r_0 (r_j + r_0)$$

which are positive if and only if $r_0 > 0$ and $r_j \in]-\infty, -r_0[\cup]0, \infty[$ for j = 1, ..., s. \Box

The enlargement of the group G to $G \times H$ allows to realize the deformed normal homogeneous space as a naturally reductive one. This is a key tool to compute the spectrum of the deformed normal homogeneous space $(G/K, g_t)$ defined in (1):

Theorem 2.21. The isometric action of $G' = G \times H$ on G defined by $(a, h)b = ab\iota(h^{-1})$ induces a G-equivariant isometry

$$\Psi: \quad (G, g_t) \to (G'/\Delta H, h_r), \quad a \mapsto (a, e)\Delta H.$$

With respect to j = 1, ..., s, the matching of the coefficients is given by $t_0 = r_0$ and $\frac{1}{r_j} = \frac{1}{t_j} - \frac{1}{t_0}$. All coefficients $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $t_j \in \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \{t_0\}$ and $r_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $r_j \in]-\infty, -r_0[\cup]0, \infty[$ are covered. It restricts to a *G*-equivariant isometry of the quotients

$$\Psi: \quad (G/K, g_t) \to (G'/K', h_r)$$

and yields a family of naturally reductive realizations $(G'/K', h_r)$.

Proof. As $H_1, \ldots, H_s \subset G$ commute, the action of $G' = G \times H$ on G defined by $(g_1, h)g_2 = g_1g_2\tilde{\iota}(h)^{-1}$ is well-defined and it's transitive. The isotropy group G_e is given by $\{(\tilde{\iota}(h), h) \mid h \in H\} = \Delta H$. Hence, Ψ is a diffeomorphism which is G-equivariant considering the obvious action of G on $G'/\Delta H$ by the left on the first factor. The tangent space of $G'/\Delta H$ in the origin $\Psi(e) = (e, e)\Delta H$ is given by

$$\Delta \mathfrak{h}^{\perp} = \{ h_{\mathbf{r}}(e_i, e_i) E_i - r_0 e_i, E_k \mid i \in I, \ k \in I_0 \}.$$

The differential $d\Psi_e$ is the projection $\mathfrak{g} \to \Delta \mathfrak{h}^{\perp} \subset \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ which is an orthogonal map. For all possible indices $j \geq 1$, $i \in I_j$, $k \in I_0$, the vectors $E_i/\sqrt{t_i}$, $E_k/\sqrt{t_0}$ yield an orthonormal basis of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{t}})$ and $(r_i E_i - r_0 e_i)/(\sqrt{r_i^2 r_0 + r_i r_0^2})$, $E_k/\sqrt{r_0}$ yield an orthonormal basis of $(\Delta \mathfrak{h}^{\perp}, h_{r_1, r_2})$. We see that $d\Psi : \mathfrak{g} \to \Delta \mathfrak{h}^{\perp}$ is an isometry for the wished relations of $(t_0, ..., t_s)$ and $(r_0, ..., r_s)$:

$$1 = h_{\mathbf{r}} \left(\frac{E_i}{\sqrt{t_i}}, \frac{r_i E_i}{\sqrt{r_i^2 r_0 + r_i r_0^2}} \right)^2 = \frac{r_i^2 r_0^2}{t_i (r_i^2 r_0 + r_i r_0^2)} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad t_i = \frac{r_i r_0}{r_i + r_0},$$

$$1 = h_{\mathbf{r}} \left(\frac{E_k}{\sqrt{t_0}}, \frac{E_k}{\sqrt{r_0}} \right)^2 = \frac{r_0^2}{t_0 r_0}, \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad t_0 = r_0.$$

In case of Lie groups, such realizations were constructed by D'Atri and Ziller [DAZi79, Thm. 1]. They additionally proved that if G is simple, every left-invariant, naturally reductive metric on G is given by deformations of the biinvariant metric along commuting subalgebras [DAZi79, Thm. 3].

Being able to realize the deformed homogeneous space as a naturally reductive homogeneous space, Theorem 2.10 allows to compute its spectrum.

Remark 2.22. The extension of the transitively acting group G to $G \times H$ leads to the following: As H commutes with K, it defines for any $(\varrho_0, V_{\varrho_0}) \in \widehat{G}_K$ a representation on $(V_{\varrho_0}^*)^K$ denoted by $\varrho_0^{H,K} := (\varrho_0 \upharpoonright H) \upharpoonright (V_{\varrho_0}^*)^K$. The decomposition of $(V_{\varrho_0}^*)^K$ into irreducible H-modules correspond precisely to K'-spherical representations of $G \times H$:

Theorem 2.23. An irreducible representation $\bigotimes_{j=0}^{s} \varrho_j \in \widehat{G'} = \widehat{G \times H}$ is K'-spherical, i.e. $[\bigotimes_{j=0}^{s} \varrho_j \upharpoonright K': 1_{K'}] > 0$ if and only if $\varrho_0 \in \widehat{G}$ satisfies $[\varrho_0^{H,K}: \bigotimes_{i=1}^{s} \varrho_i] > 0$ and ϱ_0 is K-spherical, i.e. $[\varrho_0 \upharpoonright K: 1_K] > 0$. The spectrum of $(G/K, g_t)$ is given by

$$\Sigma(G/K, g_{\mathbf{t}}) = \left\{ \frac{c_g(\varrho_0) - \sum_{i=1}^s c_{g \upharpoonright \mathfrak{h}_i}(\varrho_i)}{t_0} + \sum_{i=1}^s \frac{c_{g \upharpoonright \mathfrak{h}_i}(\varrho_i)}{t_i} \middle| \otimes_{i=0}^s \varrho_i \in \widehat{G'}_{K'} \right\}.$$

The scalar $c_{g \upharpoonright \mathfrak{h}_i}(\varrho_i) = g_{h_i}(\lambda_i, 2\rho_i + \lambda_i)$ of the H_i -representation $(\varrho_i, V_{\varrho_i})$ is the eigenvalue of $-d\varrho_i C_{g \upharpoonright \mathfrak{h}_i}$, where $C_{g \upharpoonright \mathfrak{h}_i}$ is the generalized Casimir element of \mathfrak{h}_i , see Theorem 2.10. The multiplicity associated to $\otimes_{i=0}^{s} \varrho_i$ is given by

$$\operatorname{mult}(\otimes_{i=0}^{s} \varrho_i) := \dim(V_{\otimes_{i=0}^{s} \varrho_0}) \cdot [\otimes_{i=1}^{s} \varrho_i : 1_H] = \dim(V_{\varrho_0}) \cdot [\varrho^{H,K} : \otimes_{i=1}^{s} \varrho_i].$$

Proof. Applying the Peter-Weyl theorem on the different realizations leads to the irreducible decompositions of $L^2(G/K) \cong L^2(G'/K')$ as a G-module. By Theorem 2.21, the diffeomorphism

$$\Psi: L^2(G/K) \to L^2(G'/K')$$

is *G*-equivariant. The only irreducible *G*-representations occurring in $L^2(G/K)$ are *K*-spherical and therefore, the restriction of the *G'*-module $L^2(G'/K')$ to *G* yields also precisely *K*-spherical representations. For any such representation $\varrho_0 \in \widehat{G}_K$ we denote by $\Phi_{\varrho_0} = \{ \bigotimes_{i=1}^s \varrho_i \in \widehat{H} \mid \bigotimes_{i=0}^s \varrho_i \in \widehat{G'}_{K'} \}$ the set of irreducible *H*-representations which extend ϱ_0 to a *K'*-spherical representation. Then the following *G*-modules are equivalent:

$$V_{\varrho_0} \otimes (V_{\varrho_0}^*)^K \xrightarrow{\Psi} \bigoplus_{\otimes_{j=1}^s \varrho_j \in \Phi_{\varrho_0}} V_{\otimes_{j=0}^s \varrho_j} \otimes (V_{\otimes_{j=0}^s \varrho_j}^*)^{K'}.$$

On the right side, the action of G on each factor $V_{\otimes_{j=0}^{s} \varrho_{j}}$ extends to an $G \times H$ -action. By the diagonal embedding of H, the action of $(e, h) \in G \times H$ corresponds to the action of $(h^{-1}, e) \in G \times H$. Applying Ψ^{-1} and identifying $v \otimes \varphi = f_{v \otimes \varphi}$, leads to an action of Hon $(V_{\varrho_{0}}^{*})^{K}$. As H commutes with K, its action on $(V_{\varrho_{0}}^{*})^{K}$ is indeed well-defined. Hence we obtain that the given equivalence of G-modules extends to an equivalence as $G \times H$ modules, where $G \times H$ acts on $V_{\varrho_{0}} \otimes (V_{\varrho_{0}}^{*})^{K}$ by $(a, h)v \otimes \varphi \mapsto \varrho_{0}(a)v \otimes \varrho_{0}(h)\varphi$. We conclude that $\otimes_{i=0}^{s} \varrho_{i}$ is K'-spherical if and only if ϱ_{0} is K-spherical and $[\varrho^{H,K} : \otimes_{i=1}^{s} \varrho_{i}] > 0$. Each representation $\otimes_{i=0}^{s} \varrho_{i} \in \widehat{G'}_{K'}$ occurs with multiplicity

$$\operatorname{mult}(\otimes_{i=0}^{s} \varrho_i) = \dim(V_{\otimes_{i=0}^{s} \varrho_0}) \cdot [\otimes_{i=1}^{s} \varrho_i : 1_H] = \dim(V_{\varrho_0}) \cdot [\varrho^{H,K} : \otimes_{i=1}^{s} \varrho_i]$$

We can apply Theorem 2.21 and use that the spaces $(G/K, g_t)$ and $(G'/K', h_r)$ are isometric for the matching coefficients $t_0 = r_0$ and $t_i = \frac{r_i r_0}{r_i + r_0}$. As the latter one is naturally

reductive, we can use Theorem 2.10 to compute its spectrum.

$$\Sigma(G'/K',h_{\mathbf{r}}) = \left\{ \frac{c_{g \upharpoonright \mathfrak{g}}(\varrho_0)}{r_0} + \sum_{i=1}^s \frac{c_{g \upharpoonright \mathfrak{h}_i}(\varrho_i)}{r_i} \right| \otimes_{i=0}^s \varrho_i \in \widehat{G'}_{K'} \right\}$$

Setting $t_0 = r_0$ and $\frac{1}{r_i} = \frac{t_0 - t_i}{t_0 t_i} = \frac{1}{t_i} - \frac{1}{t_0}$ yields the wished result.

Remark 2.24.

- (1) The deformation of the metric g along the subspaces \mathfrak{h}_i leads to subtracting the eigenvalues of the subgroups H_i from the spectrum and then adding them rescaled. The spectrum satisfies the inclusion stated in Corollary 2.14 with respect to the canonical variations of $\pi_i : G/K \to G/(H_i \times K)$. The main problem which representations contribute to the spectrum is solved by computing the K'-spherical representations. This can be done using branching rules.
- (2) The spectrum of $(G/(H_i \times K), g_t)$ is obtained by the same formula as above, but only those K'-spherical representations $\bigotimes_{i=0}^{s} \varrho_i$ contribute which act trivially when restricted to H_i , i.e. $\varrho_i = 1_{H_i}$.
- (3) For any representation $(\varrho, V_{\varrho}) \in \widehat{G}_K$ the operator $-d\varrho(\mathcal{C}_g) \in \operatorname{End}((V_{\varrho}^*)^K)$ may have several eigenvalues. The trick of enlarging the group G to $G \times H$ allows to compute the eigenvalues on each irreducible H-submodule of $(V_{\varrho}^*)^K$ which correspond precisely to those H-representations, which extend ϱ to an K'-spherical representation. This is explained in more detail in the example of the Aloff-Wallach manifold in Subsection 3.5.
- (4) As the spectrum counted with multiplicities depends continuously on the parameters $t_0, ..., t_s$ [BaUr83], the above formula also holds if some parameters t_i coincide with t_0 and the multiplicities add up.
- (5) As already mentioned before, in the case of a compact simple Lie group, all naturally reductive metrics are obtained by our construction, see [DAZi79]. In this case Theorem 2.23 can be applied, where H is a semisimple subgroup of G and $K = \{e\}$. We recover the formula stated in [GoSu10, p. 994]: As $K = \{e\}$, $K' = \Delta H$ and $\widehat{G}_K = \widehat{G}$, any representation $\varrho_1 \in \widehat{H}$ which occurs in a given representation $\varrho_0 \in \widehat{G}$ yields a ΔH -spherical representation $\varrho_0 \otimes \varrho_1 \in \widehat{G} \times H_{\Delta H}$ which contributes to the spectrum. To illustrate this, let us quickly sketch the deformation (SU(2), g_{t_0,t_1}) of the Killing form g of SU(2) along the subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} = \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{R}} \{e_1 := \operatorname{diag}[i, -i]\}$. Choose \mathfrak{h} to be the maximal torus of $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ and denote by ν_1 the dual of e_1 . It is a fundamental weight of $\mathfrak{su}(2)$, i.e. $n_1\nu_1$ parametrizes for $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ the highest weight of $\varrho_0(n_1) \in \widehat{SU(2)}$. Given $\varrho_0(n_1)$, the occuring S^1 -weights are $\nu_1(n_1 2n_2)$ for $0 \leq n_2 \leq n_1$, all with multiplicity one. Theorem 2.23 immediately yields

$$\Sigma(\mathrm{SU}(2), g_{t_0, t_1}) = \left\{ \frac{n_1(2n_1+1) - (n_1 - 2n_2)^2}{t_0} + \frac{(n_1 - 2n_2)^2}{t_1} \left| n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}_0 : n_1 \ge n_2 \right\}.$$

As irreducible SO(3)-representations are precisely given by $\rho(n_1)$ for even n_1 , the same formula holds for $\Sigma((SO(3), g_{t_0,t_1}))$ if n_1 is restricted to even numbers. This reproduces [Lau19, Prop. 3.9].

In the next subsection we will use this theorem to compute the spectrum of a family of 3-contact manifolds that admit a lot of geometric structures, namely to 3- (α, δ) -Sasaki manifolds.

2.5. The spectrum of homogeneous 3- (α, δ) -Sasaki manifolds. In 2020, Agricola and Dileo [AgDi20] defined new classes of almost 3-contact metric manifolds which admit metric connections with skew torsion. Generalizing the class of 3- α -Sasaki manifolds and

the Heisenberg groups, they discovered the class of 3- (α, δ) -Sasaki manifolds which are almost 3-contact manifolds $(M, \varphi_i, \xi_i, \eta_i, g)$ of dimension 4n + 3 defined by the equation:

$$d\eta_i = 2\alpha \Phi_i + 2(\alpha - \delta)\eta_i \wedge \eta_k$$

Being parameterized by two real parameters $\alpha, \delta, \alpha \neq 0$ the class contains two classes of Einstein manifolds: The first class is given by $\alpha = \delta$, corresponding to the whole class of homogeneous 3- α -Sasaki manifolds and the second class is given by $\delta = (2n + 1)^{1/2}$ 3) α , which is for n = 1 a class of nearly parallel G_2 -manifolds. Boyer et al. [BGM94, Thm. C] proved that every 3-Sasaki manifold of dimension 4n + 3 is the total space of a locally defined Riemannian submersion over a quaternionic Kähler orbifold with scalar curvature 16n(n+2) [BGM94, Thm. A]. From this, they classified homogeneous 3-Sasaki manifolds which are either real projective spaces or simply connected and in 1:1correspondence to complex, simple Lie algebras. Using the theory of their root systems, Goertsches et al. gave a new self-contained proof of this classification [GRS23]. Similar to the work of Boyer et al., Agricola et al. [ADS21] proved that every 3- (α, δ) -Sasaki manifold admits a locally defined Riemannian submersion over a quaternionic Kähler manifold of scalar curvature $16n(n+2)\alpha\delta$. Using the work of [DOP20], the authors gave a uniform description of homogeneous 3- (α, δ) -Sasaki manifolds introducing the concept of generalized 3-Sasaki data. From this they classified the positive ones, i.e. $\alpha \delta > 0$: These are canonical variations of homogeneous 3-Sasaki manifolds associated to the mentioned Riemannian submersion discovered by Boyer et al.. Additionally, the authors provide a general construction of negative, i.e. $\alpha\delta < 0$ homogeneous 3- (α, δ) -Sasaki manifolds over non-symmetric Alekseevsky spaces. Negative 3- (α, δ) -Sasaki manifolds are typically noncompact and therefore not of our interest. We describe positive 3- (α, δ) -Sasaki manifolds using the canonical variation over Wolf spaces and compute the spectrum on those. They necessarily have fibres isometric to either SU(2) or SO(3).

Let G be compact and simple, $K \subset G$ such that G/K is a homogeneous 3-Sasaki manifold of dimension 4n + 3. Then it is known that the 3-Sasaki metric is not standard normal homogeneous, but rather given by the following rescaling of the Killing form $g = -\frac{1}{4(n+2)}B$ along the subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{su}(2) \subset \mathfrak{g}$:

$$g_{1/2,1} = \frac{1}{2}g|_{\mathfrak{su}(2)^{\perp}} + g|_{\mathfrak{su}(2)}.$$

In [ADS21, Thm. 3.1.1.], it is proved that every positive (i. e. $\alpha \delta > 0$) homogeneous 3- (α, δ) -Sasaki metric is given by

$$g_{t_0,t_1} = \frac{1}{2\alpha\delta}g|_{\mathfrak{su}(2)^{\perp}} + \frac{1}{\delta^2}g|_{\mathfrak{su}(2)},$$

where the correspondence of parameters is established by setting $t_0 = \frac{1}{2\alpha\delta}$ and $t_1 = \frac{1}{\delta^2}$. Clearly, $\alpha = \delta = 1$ yields the 3-Sasaki metric. One of the key results of [AgDi20] is the existence of a canonical connection with parallel skew torsion on 3- (α, δ) -Sasaki manifolds (actually, existence is established even on a much larger class of 3-contact manifolds, but the torsion is the not necessarily parallel) and the case $\delta = 2\alpha$ singles out *parallel* 3- (α, δ) -Sasaki manifolds, i. e. those for which all structure tensors are parallel under the canonical connection. In the homogeneous case, this coincides with the standard normal homogeneous metric. The subgroup H with Lie algebra \mathfrak{h} is either SU(2) or SO(3). The three Reeb vector fields are Killing, generate $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ and correspond to trivial summands in the isotropy representation. Thus, we can apply Theorem 2.21: **Corollary 2.25.** The 3- (α, δ) -Sasaki manifold $(G/K, g_{t_0,t_1})$ is

- (1) $G \times H$ -normal homogeneous for $t_1 < t_0$, i.e. $2\alpha < \delta$; this includes the nearly parallel G_2 -metrics $\delta = 5\alpha$ in case n = 1.
- (2) $G \times H$ -naturally reductive for $t_0 < t_1$, i.e. $\delta < 2\alpha$ (but not normal homogeneous); this includes the 3- α -Sasaki metrics $\alpha = \delta$.
- (3) G-normal homogeneous if it is parallel, i. e. $t_0 = t_1 \iff 2\alpha = \delta$.

We can apply Theorem 2.23 to derive a formula for its spectrum:

Corollary 2.26. The spectrum of the 3- (α, δ) -Sasaki manifold $(G/K, g_{t_0,t_1})$ with $t_0 = (2\alpha\delta)^{-1}$, $t_1 = \delta^{-2}$ is given by

$$\Sigma(G/K, g_{t_0, t_1}) = \{ 2\alpha \delta(c_g(\varrho_0) - c_{g \upharpoonright \mathfrak{su}(2)}(\varrho_1)) + \delta^2 c_{g \upharpoonright \mathfrak{su}(2)}(\varrho_1) \, \Big| \, \varrho_0 \otimes \varrho_1 \in \widetilde{G \times H_{K'}} \}$$

Recently, Nagy and Semmelmann gave an estimate of the first basic eigenvalue $\eta_1^B \ge 8(n+1)$ on 3-Sasaki manifolds [NaSe23, Cor. 3.10] which yields the estimate $\eta_1^B \ge 2\alpha\delta \cdot 4(n+1)$ on homogeneous positive 3- (α, δ) -Sasaki manifolds. Using the explicit computations of the Casimir eigenvalues $c_g(\varrho)$ for each simple Lie group by Yamaguchi [Yam79], we present improvements of this estimate for the homogeneous case. We do not attain improvements if G is SO(2m + 1), Sp(m), G_2 or F_4 .

Corollary 2.27. Let $(G/K, g_{t_0,t_1})$ be a positive 3- (α, δ) -Sasaki manifold of dimension 4n + 3, where G is a compact simple Lie group. Depending on the type of the simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$, the first basic eigenvalue η_1^B has the lower bounds given in Table 1.

G	Lower bound on η_1^B
$\mathrm{SU}(m),\mathrm{SO}(2m)$	$\eta_1^B \ge 2\alpha\delta\cdot 4(n+2)$
SO(2m+1), Sp(m)	$\eta_1^B \geq 2\alpha\delta\cdot 4(n+1)$
E_6	$\eta_1^B \ge 2\alpha\delta \cdot 48$
E_7	$\eta_1^B \ge 2\alpha\delta\cdot72$
E_8	$\eta_1^B \ge 2\alpha\delta \cdot 120$
F_4	$\eta_1^B \ge 2\alpha\delta \cdot 32$
G_2	$\eta_1^B \ge 2\alpha\delta \cdot 12$

TABLE 1. Lower bounds for the first basic eigenvalue η_1^B on positive 3- (α, δ) -Sasaki manifolds.

Proof. If G/K is a 3- (α, δ) -Sasaki manifold, the maximal torus $\mathfrak{t} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ splits orthogonally into $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathfrak{k}} \oplus \mathfrak{t}_{\mathfrak{su}(2)}$, where $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathfrak{k}}$ and $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathfrak{su}(2)}$ denote the maximal torus of \mathfrak{k} and $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ respectively. We can thus think about any weight of \mathfrak{t} as the sum of a weight of $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathfrak{k}}$ and a weight of $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathfrak{su}(2)}$. Let us fix simple roots such that the positive root of $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathfrak{su}(2)}$, which is the maximal root, is contained in the fundamental Weyl chamber, see [GRS23]. Now, assume that a K-spherical representation $\varrho \in \widehat{G}_K$ produces a basic eigenvalue, i.e. $\varrho \otimes 1_{\mathfrak{su}(2)}$ is K'spherical. This means that the restriction of ϱ to K acts on at least one vector $v \in V_{\varrho}$ trivially and that the dual representation of $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ is self-dual, this implies that the weight corresponding to v is trivial. This means that either ϱ is the trivial representation, or that its highest weight is higher or equal to a root which is contained in the fundamental Weyl chamber. In the cases of SU(m), SO(2m), E_6 , E_7 , E_8 the root system is simply laced, i.e. any two roots have the same length and the Weyl group acts transitively on the set of roots. As there is only one Weyl group orbit, we can apply [MoPa82, Prop. 3] and conclude that only one root is contained in a fundamental Weyl chamber which can be identified with the selected root of $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathfrak{su}(2)}$. With respect to the Killing form, this (maximal) root has Casimir eigenvalue 1, see [Yam79]. This translates to the 3- (α, δ) -Sasaki metric as $2\alpha\delta \cdot 4(n+2)$. As the Casimir eigenvalue increases if a positive root is added, we obtain the estimate $c_{\mathfrak{g}}(\varrho) \geq 2\alpha\delta \cdot 4(n+2)$. In the missing cases, there is besides the maximal root an other positive root in the fundamental Weyl chamber. The minimum of their Casimir eigenvalues is less than the lower estimate $2\alpha\delta \cdot 4(n+1)$ provided by [NaSe23].

Remark 2.28.

- The estimate $2\alpha\delta \cdot 4(n+1)$ for the first basic eigenvalue is not achieved if M is not a sphere, see [NaSe23, Cor. 3.10]. If G = SU(m) and n = 1, i.e. G/K is the Aloff-Wallach manifold $W^{1,1}$, our improved estimate $2\alpha\delta \cdot 4(n+2) = 2\alpha\delta \cdot 12$ is achieved, see Theorem 3.7.
- Let μ_1 denote the maximal root in the fundamental Weyl chamber which corresponds to the $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ root in \mathfrak{g} and let $z \in \mathbb{N}_0$. If one fixes an SU(2)-representation $\varrho_1(z)$ with highest weight $\frac{z}{2}\mu_1$ (for even z this can be identified with an SO(3)-representation) and investigates the horizontal Laplacian on the function space on which this representation is realized, one can proceed analogously to the last proof to obtain eigenvalue estimates. The Casimir eigenvalue of $\frac{z}{2}\mu_1$ is in all cases given by $2\alpha\delta \cdot 4(z^2/4 + z(n+1)/2)$. Subtracting the fibre eigenvalue $2\alpha\delta \cdot z(z/2+2)/2$ yields the lower bound $2\alpha\delta \cdot zn$ in all cases for the horizontal Laplacian. This estimate equals the estimate stated in [NaSe23, Prop. 3.4].

The main problem for deducing from Corollary 2.26 the full spectrum is to compute the spherical representations explicitly, which can be quite challenging. The second part of this paper is solely devoted to the 7-dimensional Aloff-Wallach manifold $W^{1,1}$.

3. The spectrum of the Aloff-Wallach manifold $W^{1,1} = \mathrm{SU}(3)/S^1$

The Aloff-Wallach manifolds $W^{k,l} = \mathrm{SU}(3)/S^1_{k,l}$ depend on the coprime embedding parameters $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ of $S^1_{k,l}$ into $\mathrm{SU}(3)$:

$$S_{k,l}^1 \to \mathrm{SU}(3), \quad z \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} z^k & 0 & 0\\ 0 & z^l & 0\\ 0 & 0 & z^{-k-l} \end{pmatrix}.$$

They are a well-known class of manifolds and realize a 1-parameter family of metrics with strictly positive sectional curvature [AlWa75]. Since their discovery by Aloff and Wallach in 1975, they attracted a lot of attention [BFGK91, Exa. 4.5], [BGM94, Prop. 9.17]. Each Aloff-Wallach manifold $W^{k,l}$ has precisely two homogeneous Einstein metrics [Ni04, PaPo84, Wa82] and admits proper nearly parallel G_2 -structures [FKMS97]. Those make them very interesting for superstring theory [Agr06] and theoretical physics: The corresponding G_2 -instantons have been classified in [BaOl19]. In the special case k = l = 1, they additionally admit a 3- (α, δ) -Sasaki structure [ADS21, Exa. 3.3.1]. The fact that they are also 7-dimensional is crucial for their role in *M*-theory and the AdS/CFT correspondence [BFFM01].

Urakawa [Ura84] computed the spectrum on any of those spaces with respect to the Killing form of SU(3) which is not contained in the 1-parameter family of positive metrics. Moreover, basing on the classification of Berger [Ber61], Urakawa [Ura86] gave a list of

the first eigenvalue of any simply connected, normal homogeneous space with positive curvature. This list is incomplete as Wilking [Wil99] found a gap in Berger's classification: He was able to realize the positively curved metrics of the Aloff-Wallach manifold $W^{1,1}$ as a 1-parameter family of $SU(3) \times SO(3)$ -normal homogeneous metrics and he named this new realization V_3 . Using the methods developed in Subsections 2.4, we extend this normal homogeneous realization to a naturally reductive one which gives access to the spectrum of all 3- (α, δ) -Sasaki metrics on $W^{1,1}$, see Theorem 3.7. By doing so, we complete the list of the first eigenvalue of a compact simply connected normal homogeneous space with positive curvature in Corollary 3.13. Moreover, Urakawa's SU(3)-normal homogeneous computation of the spectrum (this metric is not of positive curvature) is recovered as a limiting case. We relate the spectrum to the geometry and existing geometric structures in Subsection 3.5, use the results to check eigenvalue estimates for canonical variations of 3-Sasaki manifolds in Subsection 3.6 and study the spectrum for fixed volume in Subsection 3.7. We will prove that an analogous inequality to Hersch [Her70] does not exist on 3- (α, δ) -Sasaki manifolds, by constructing a 1-parameter family of metrics for which the product of the first eigenvalue with the volume is neither lower nor upper bounded:

$$\lim_{t_1 \to \infty} \eta_1(g_{t_1^{-3/4}, t_1}) \cdot \operatorname{vol}(W^{1, 1}, g_{t_1^{-3/4}, t_1})^{2/7} = \infty, \quad \lim_{t_1 \to 0} \eta_1(g_{t_1^{-3/4}, t_1}) \cdot \operatorname{vol}(W^{1, 1}, g_{t_1^{-3/4}, t_1})^{2/7} = 0.$$

In order to describe the Aloff-Wallach manifold $W^{1,1}$ more closely, we denote by

 $\iota: \mathrm{U}(2) \to \mathrm{SU}(3)$

the upper left embedding. In this case $S_{1,1}^1$ corresponds to the embedded center of U(2) into SU(3) and we use the short notation S^1 . Considering the rescaled Killing form $g(XY) = -\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr}(XY)$ the 3- (α, δ) -Sasaki metric g_{t_0,t_1} of $W^{1,1} = \operatorname{SU}(3)/S^1$ is given by

$$g_{t_0,t_1} = t_0 g|_{\mathfrak{su}(2)^{\perp}} + t_1 g|_{\mathfrak{su}(2)} = \frac{1}{2\alpha\delta} g|_{\mathfrak{su}(2)^{\perp}} + \frac{1}{\delta^2} g|_{\mathfrak{su}(2)}$$

Continuing Example 2.19, we introduce the subgroup $S^{1'}$ of $SU(3) \times SU(2)$

$$S^{1'} = \{ (S\iota(A), A) \mid S \in S^1, A \in SU(2) \}$$

and the following subgroup of $SU(3) \times SO(3)$:

$$U^{\bullet}(2) = (\iota, \pi)(U(2)), \quad \pi \colon U(2) \to U(2)/S^1 \cong SO(3).$$

We obtain the space

$$V_3 := (\operatorname{SU}(3) \times \operatorname{SU}(2)) / S^{1'} \cong (\operatorname{SU}(3) \times \operatorname{SO}(3)) / \operatorname{U}^{\bullet}(2),$$

which is equipped with the restricted semi-Riemannian metrics of $SU(3) \times SO(3)$

$$h_{r_0,r_1} = r_0 g|_{\mathfrak{su}(3)} + r_1 g|_{\mathfrak{su}(2)}, \quad r_0 > 0, \quad r_1 \in \left] - \infty, -r_0 \right[\cup \left] 0, \infty \right[$$

By Lemma 2.20, h_{r_0,r_1} restricts to a positive definite scalar product on $\mathfrak{u}^{\bullet}(2)^{\perp} \subset \mathfrak{su}(3) \times \mathfrak{so}(3)$ which extends to a Riemannian metric on V_3 . The space (V_3, h_{r_0,r_1}) was introduced by Wilking for positive r_0, r_1 . It denotes the missing third exceptional space in Berger's classification:

Theorem 3.1. [AlWa75, Thm. 2.4, Lem 3.1][Wil99] The SU(3) × SO(3)-normal homogeneous space (V_3, h_{r_0,r_1}) , $r_0, r_1 > 0$ is isometric to the non SU(3)-normal homogeneous space $(W^{1,1}, g_{t_0,t_1})$ with $0 < t_1 < t_0$. In particular, it has positive sectional curvature. All metrics are covered.

For the explicit parameter matching for the metrics, we refer to the formulas stated in Corollary 3.2. In Theorem 2.21 we proved abstractly that this $SU(3) \times SO(3)$ -normal homogeneous realization can be extended to a naturally reductive one:

Corollary 3.2. The naturally reductive space (V_3, h_{r_0,r_1}) is isometric to $(W^{1,1}, g_{t_0,t_1})$ for $t_0 = r_0$ and $t_1 = \frac{r_0r_1}{r_0+r_1} > 0$, i.e. $r_1 = \frac{t_1t_0}{t_0-t_1}$. All metrics on $(W^{1,1}, g_{t_0,t_1})$ with $t_0 > 0$, $t_1 \in \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \{t_0\}$ and all metrics on (V_3, h_{r_1,r_2}) , i.e. $r_0 > 0$ and $r_1 \in]-\infty, -r_0[\cup]0, \infty[$ are covered.

The naturally reductive realizations (V_3, h_{r_0,r_1}) include the nearly parallel G_2 metric:

Remark 3.3. The Killing form of SU(3) × SU(2) corresponds to $r_0 = 12$, $r_1 = 8$ which translates to $t_0 = 12 = \frac{1}{2\alpha\delta}$ and $t_1 = 4.8 = \frac{1}{\delta^2}$. As this implies $\delta = 5\alpha$, this yields the nearly parallel G_2 Einstein metric on $W^{1,1}$ (see [AgDi20] for details).

The fundamentals of representation theory of $SU(3) \times SO(3)$ are required to describe the spectrum.

3.1. Representation theory of SU(3) × SO(3). We introduce an orthogonal basis for $\mathfrak{su}(3) \times \mathfrak{u}(2)$ which is except for $||E_0||^2 = 3$ normalized with respect to $g_{1,1}$:

$$e_{0} = \begin{bmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{bmatrix}, \quad e_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{bmatrix}, \quad e_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad e_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$E_{j} = d\iota e_{j}, \ j = 0, \dots, 3, \quad \text{where} \ d\iota(A) = \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & -\operatorname{tr}(A) \end{bmatrix},$$
$$E_{4} = A_{13}, \quad E_{5} = \tilde{A}_{13}, \quad E_{6} = A_{23}, \quad E_{7} = \tilde{A}_{23},$$
$$\text{where} \quad A_{ij} = (\delta_{ij} - \delta_{ji})_{i,j}, \quad \tilde{A}_{ij} = (i\delta_{ij} + i\delta_{ji})_{i,j}.$$

The element E_0 is not normalized as it yields a basis vector of the maximal torus and needs to be compatible with the natural projections λ_i :

Standing Assumption 3.4. With respect to the chosen basis we define

- (1) Maximal tori of $\mathfrak{su}(3)$, $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ and $\mathfrak{su}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$:
- $\mathfrak{t}_1 = \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{R}} \{ E_1, E_0 \} \subset \mathfrak{su}(3), \quad \mathfrak{t}_2 = \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{R}} \{ e_1 \} \subset \mathfrak{su}(2), \quad \mathfrak{t} = \mathfrak{t}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{t}_2 \subset \mathfrak{su}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$

and the maximal torus of the subalgebra $\mathfrak{u}^{\bullet}(2)$:

 $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathfrak{u}^{\bullet}(2)} = \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{R}} \left\{ E_0, E_1 + e_1 \right\} \subset \mathfrak{u}^{\bullet}(2).$

(2) With respect to $A = a_0 E_0 + a_1 E_1 + b_1 e_1 \in \mathfrak{t}$ and for i = 1, 2, 3, j = 1, 2 the projections onto the diagonal entries $\lambda_i, \nu_j, \mu_j \in i\mathfrak{t}^*$ by

$$\lambda_1(A) = i(a_1 + a_0), \quad \lambda_2(A) = i(a_0 - a_1), \quad \lambda_3(A) = -2ia_0, \quad \nu_j(A) = (-1)^{j+1}ib_1.$$

Note that $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \nu_1\}$ yields a basis for it^* and define $\mu_j = 2\nu_j.$

We will need the scalar products of the dual vectors in several situations.

Lemma 3.5. For $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$, $i \neq j$ the length of the dual vectors is given by

$$\begin{aligned} h_{1,1}(\lambda_j,\mu_1) &= 0, & h_{1,1}(\mu_1,\mu_1) &= 4, \\ h_{1,1}(\lambda_j,\lambda_j) &= \frac{4}{3}, & h_{1,1}(\lambda_i,\lambda_j) &= -\frac{2}{3}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The vectors X_{λ} satisfying $\lambda = ih_{1,1}(X_{\lambda}, \cdot)$ are given by:

$$X_{\lambda_j} = \frac{E_0}{3} + (-1)^{j+1} E_1, \quad X_{\mu_1} = 2e_1.$$

Taking the respective scalar products yields the result.

The root systems of these Lie algebras is a standard result in Lie theory:

Proposition 3.6 ([Ura84, p. 981],[Hal15]). The roots of $\mathfrak{su}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$ are

$$R = \{ \pm (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2), \pm (\lambda_1 - \lambda_3), \pm (\lambda_2 - \lambda_3), \mu_1, \mu_2 \}.$$

We choose the following basis and the corresponding positive roots:

$$\Delta = \{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2, \lambda_2 - \lambda_3, \mu_1\}, \quad R^+ = \{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2, \lambda_1 - \lambda_3, \lambda_2 - \lambda_3, \mu_1\}.$$

The half of the sum of positive roots is given by

$$\rho = \lambda_1 - \lambda_3 + \frac{\mu_1}{2} = 2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \nu_1$$

The dominant integral weights

$$D(SU(3) \times SO(3)) = \{ z_1 \lambda_1 + z_2 \lambda_2 + z_3 \mu_1 \mid z_i \in \mathbb{N}_0 : z_1 \ge z_2 \ge 0, \ z_3 \ge 0 \}$$

parametrize the unitary irreducible representations of $SU(3) \times SO(3)$ by

$$\varrho(z_1, z_2, z_3) = \varrho_0(z_1, z_2) \otimes \varrho_1(z_3), \text{ where } z_1 \ge z_2.$$

Their dimension can be computed by

$$\dim(V_{\varrho(z_1,z_2,z_3)}) = \dim(V_{\varrho_0(z_1,z_2)}) \cdot \dim(V_{\varrho_1(z_3)}) = \frac{(z_1 - z_2 + 1)(z_1 + 2)(z_2 + 1)}{2} \cdot (2z_3 + 1).$$

With this background, the results can be specified.

3.2. Formulas for the spectrum of $(W^{1,1}, g_{t_0,t_1})$. Since the highest weight of any unitary irreducible representation of $SU(3) \times SO(3)$ is parametrized by $z_1\lambda_1 + z_2\lambda_2 + z_3\mu_1$ with $z_1, z_2, z_3 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $z_1 \geq z_2$, we use the shorthand notation

$$n(z_1, z_2, z_3) := [\varrho(z_1, z_2, z_3) \upharpoonright \mathrm{U}^{\bullet}(2) : 1_{\mathrm{U}^{\bullet}(2)}].$$

Furthermore, we define the following purely combinatorial partition function

$$\wp(a_1, a_2) = \#\{(m_1, m_2, m_3) \in \mathbb{N}_0^3 \mid a_1 = 3(m_1 + m_2), \quad a_2 = m_3 - m_1 - 2m_2\} \\ = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \frac{a_1}{3} \notin \mathbb{N}_0 \text{ or } a_2 \notin \mathbb{Z} \\ \max(0, \frac{a_1}{3} + 1 + \min(0, a_2 + \frac{a_1}{3})), & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

We split the description of the spectrum $\Sigma(SU(3)/S_{1,1}^1, g_{t_0,t_1})$ in three parts, which will be proved separately in the following pages.

Theorem 3.7.

(1) The spectrum $\Sigma(SU(3)/S^1, g_{t_0,t_1})$ is given for $t_0 = (2\alpha\delta^{-1})$, $t_1 = \delta^{-2}$ by all real numbers

$$\eta(z_1, z_2, z_3) = 2\alpha\delta\left(\frac{4(z_1^2 + z_2^2 - z_1(z_2 - 3))}{3} - 4z_3(z_3 + 1)\right) + \delta^2 4z_3(z_3 + 1)$$

for which $m(z_1, z_2, z_3) > 0$. The multiplicity associated to $\varrho(z_1, z_2, z_3)$ is given by

$$\operatorname{mult}(z_1, z_2, z_3) = m(z_1, z_2, z_3) \cdot \frac{(z_1 - z_2 + 1)(z_1 + 2)(z_2 + 1)(2z_3 + 1)}{2}.$$

(2) $m(z_1, z_2, z_3)$ can be computed by

$$m(z_1, z_2, z_3) = \wp(z_1 + z_2, -z_3 - z_1 - 2) + \wp(z_1 + z_2, z_3 - z_2) - \wp(z_1 + z_2, -z_3 - z_2 - 1) - \wp(z_1 + z_2, z_3 - z_1 - 1) + \wp(z_1 - 2z_2 - 3, z_2 + 1 - z_3) + \wp(z_1 - 2z_2 - 3, z_2 - z_1 + z_3) - \wp(z_1 - 2z_2 - 3, z_2 + 2 + z_3).$$

The representation $\varrho(2,1,0)$ produces the first basic eigenvalue $\eta_1^B = 2\alpha\delta \cdot 12$ which is at the same time the first eigenvalue if g_{t_0,t_1} has positive sectional curvature. Otherwise $\varrho(2,1,1)$ yields the first eigenvalue:

$$\eta_1 = \begin{cases} 2\alpha\delta \cdot 4 + \delta^2 \cdot 8, & 2\alpha\delta \ge \delta^2, \\ 2\alpha\delta \cdot 12, & \delta > 2\alpha\delta. \end{cases}$$

In the class of 3- (α, δ) -metrics, isospectral implies isometric as $\eta(g_{0.5,t_1}) = 8(1+t_1^{-1})$ is always the first non-constant eigenvalue.

(3) $\varrho_0(z_1, z_2) \in SU(3)_{S_{1,1}^{1}}$ if and only if $z_1 + z_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, hence these are precisely the tuples (z_1, z_2) which occur in the spectrum.

For an evaluation of this Theorem, please refer to Subsections 3.5 - 3.7. For a table of U[•](2)-spherical representations, multiplicities and eigenvalues see the electronic supplement [AgHe24] or Table 3.

3.2.1. Proof of (1) in Theorem 3.7. We can apply Corollary 2.26 to compute the spectrum:

$$\Sigma(\mathrm{SU}(3)/S_{1,1}^1, g_{t_0,t_1})$$

$$= \left\{ 2\alpha\delta(c_g(\varrho_0) - c_{g|\mathfrak{su}(2)}(\varrho_1)) + \delta^2 c_{g|\mathfrak{su}(2)}(\varrho_1) \mid \varrho_0 \otimes \varrho_1 \in \mathrm{SU}(\widehat{3}) \times \mathrm{SO}(3)_{\mathbf{U}^{\bullet}(2)} \right\}.$$

Denote by $\lambda(z_1, z_2) = z_1\lambda_1 + z_2\lambda_2$ the highest weight of ρ_0 and $\mu(z_3) = z_3\mu_1$ the highest weight of ρ_1 . The half of sum of positive roots of $\mathfrak{su}(3)$ and $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ are given by $\rho_0 = 2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2$ and $\rho_1 = \nu_1$, respectively. By the Freudenthal formula, see Theorem 2.10 we get that

$$c_{g}(\varrho_{0}(z_{1}, z_{2})) = g_{1,1}(\lambda(z_{1}, z_{2}) + 2\rho_{0}, \lambda(z_{1}, z_{2})) = g_{1,1}(z_{1}\lambda_{1} + z_{2}\lambda_{2} + 4\lambda_{1} + 2\lambda_{2}, z_{1}\lambda_{1} + z_{2}\lambda_{2})$$

$$= g_{1,1}((z_{1} + 4)\lambda_{1} + (z_{2} + 2)\lambda_{2}, z_{1}\lambda_{1} + z_{2}\lambda_{2})$$

$$= \frac{4(z_{1}(z_{1} + 4) + (z_{2}(z_{2} + 2)))}{3} - \frac{2(z_{2}(z_{1} + 4) + z_{1}(z_{2} + 2))}{3}$$

$$= \frac{4(z_{1}^{2} + z_{2}^{2} - z_{1}(z_{2} - 3))}{3}$$

as well as

$$c_g(\varrho_1(z_3)) = g_{1,1}(\mu(z_3) + 2\rho_1, \mu(z_3)) = 4z_3(z_3 + 1)$$

We obtain the desired formula for the spectrum.

3.3. Computation of spherical representations. The computation of spherical representations is the heart of the spectrum computation. It is done using branching rules which are described in [GoWa09, Sec 8.2]. We denote the maximal torus of $\mathfrak{u}^{\bullet}(2)$ by $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathfrak{u}^{\bullet}(2)}$. The restriction of a torus element $\lambda \in i\mathfrak{t}^*$ to $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathfrak{u}^{\bullet}(2)}$ is most essential when it comes to branching. For this porpoise, we introduce the restriction notation

$$\lambda^{\bullet} := \lambda \upharpoonright \mathfrak{t}_{\mathfrak{u}^{\bullet}(2)}, \quad R_{\bullet}^{+} := \{\lambda^{\bullet} \mid \lambda \in R^{+}\}.$$

Be aware that the set of restricted positive roots R^+_{\bullet} does not coincide with the set of positive roots $R^+_{\mathfrak{u}^{\bullet}(2)}$ of $\mathfrak{u}^{\bullet}(2)$, but in order to apply the branching rules, the comparison between both is essential. First we compute the torus $i\mathfrak{t}^*_{\mathfrak{u}^{\bullet}(2)}$ and the corresponding system of positive roots $R^+_{\mathfrak{u}^{\bullet}(2)}$.

Lemma 3.8. The dual Lie algebra $i\mathfrak{t}^*_{\mathfrak{u}^{\bullet}(2)}$ of the maximal torus of $K = U^{\bullet}(2)$ is given by

$$i\mathfrak{t}^*_{\mathfrak{u}^{\bullet}(2)} = \{\lambda_1^{\bullet}a_1 + a_2\mu_1^{\bullet} | a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

and the corresponding set of positive roots is $R^+_{\mathfrak{u}^{\bullet}(2)} = \{\mu^{\bullet}_1\} \subset R^+_{\bullet}$.

Proof. The maximal torus $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathfrak{u}^{\bullet}(2)}$ of $\mathfrak{u}^{\bullet}(2)$ is given by:

$$\mathfrak{t}_{\mathfrak{u}^{\bullet}(2)} = \{ a_1 E_1 + a_0 E_0 + a_1 e_1 \, | \, a_1, a_0 \in \mathbb{R} \} \,.$$

For any $\lambda \in i\mathfrak{t}^*$ we denote by X_{λ} its dual with respect to $ih_{1,1}(\cdot, \cdot)$, i.e.

$$X_{\lambda_1} = E_1 + \frac{1}{3}E_0, \quad X_{\lambda_2} = \frac{1}{3}E_0 - E_1, \quad X_{\mu_1} = 2e_1.$$

Solving for E_1 , E_0 and e_1 and restricting to $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathfrak{u}^{\bullet}(2)}$ yields

$$E_1 = \frac{1}{2}(X_{\lambda_1} - X_{\lambda_2}), \quad E_0 = \frac{3}{2}(X_{\lambda_1} + X_{\lambda_2}), \quad e_1 = \frac{X_{\mu_1}}{2}.$$

Hence we get:

$$\mathfrak{t}_{\mathfrak{u}^{\bullet}(2)} = \{ X_{\lambda_{1}}(a_{1} + 3a_{0}) + X_{\lambda_{2}}(3a_{0} - a_{1}) + a_{1}X_{\mu_{1}} | a_{1}, a_{0} \in \mathbb{R} \} \\ i\mathfrak{t}_{\mathfrak{u}^{\bullet}(2)}^{*} = \{ \lambda_{1}^{\bullet}(a_{1} + 3a_{0}) + \lambda_{2}^{\bullet}(3a_{0} - a_{1}) + a_{1}\mu_{1}^{\bullet} | a_{1}, a_{0} \in \mathbb{R} \} .$$

For i = 1, 2, 3, j = 1, 2 and $A = a_1 E_1 + a_0 E_0 + a_1 e_1 \in \mathfrak{t}_{\mathfrak{u}^{\bullet}(2)}$ the restricted dual vectors $\lambda_i^{\bullet}, \mu_j^{\bullet} \in i\mathfrak{t}_{\mathfrak{u}^{\bullet}(2)}^*$ are given by

$$\lambda_1^{\bullet}(A) = i(a_1 + a_0), \quad \lambda_2^{\bullet}(A) = i(a_0 - a_1), \quad \lambda_3^{\bullet}(A) = -2ia_0$$
$$\mu_j^{\bullet}(A) = (-1)^{j+1}2ia_1.$$

We see that $\lambda_1^{\bullet} - \mu_1^{\bullet} = \lambda_2^{\bullet}$ as well as $\mu_1^{\bullet} - 2\lambda_1^{\bullet} = \lambda_3^{\bullet}$, so we can rewrite $i\mathfrak{t}_{\mathfrak{u}^{\bullet}(2)}^*$:

$$i\mathfrak{t}_{\mathfrak{u}^{\bullet}(2)}^{*} = \{\lambda_{1}^{\bullet}(a_{1}+3a_{0})+\lambda_{2}^{\bullet}(3a_{0}-a_{1})+a_{1}\mu_{1}^{\bullet}|a_{1},a_{0}\in\mathbb{R}\}$$

= $\{\lambda_{1}^{\bullet}(a_{1}+3a_{0})+(\lambda_{1}^{\bullet}-\mu_{1}^{\bullet})(3a_{0}-a_{1})+a_{1}\mu_{1}^{\bullet}|a_{1},a_{0}\in\mathbb{R}\}$
= $\{a_{1}\lambda_{1}^{\bullet}+a_{0}\mu_{1}^{\bullet}|a_{1},a_{0}\in\mathbb{R}\}.$

In order to compute the roots of $\mathfrak{u}^{\bullet}(2)$, the $\mathfrak{u}(2)$ commutator relations are relevant: $[e_i, e_j] = -2\varepsilon_{ijk}e_k, \ [E_i, E_j] = -2\varepsilon_{ijk}E_k, \ [e_i, e_0] = [E_i, E_0] = 0$ for all i, j, k = 1, 2, 3. For understanding the adjoint action of $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathfrak{u}^{\bullet}(2)}$ on $\mathfrak{u}^{\bullet}(2)_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{u}^{\bullet}(2) \oplus i\mathfrak{u}^{\bullet}(2)$, define

$$x + iy = \sum_{j=0}^{3} (x_j + iy_j) E_j + \sum_{j=1}^{3} (x_j + iy_j) e_j \in \mathfrak{u}^{\bullet}(2)_{\mathbb{C}}, \quad a = a_1 E_1 + a_0 E_0, a_1 e_1 \in \mathfrak{t}_{\mathfrak{u}^{\bullet}(2)}.$$

The condition $[a, x + iy] = \lambda(a)(x + iy)$ for a $\lambda \in it^*_{\mathfrak{u}^{\bullet}(2)}$ necessarily leads to

$$[a, x + iy] = 2a_1((x_3 + iy_3)(E_2 + e_2) - (x_2 + iy_2)(E_3 + e_3))$$

= $2ia_1((y_3 - ix_3)(E_2 + e_2) + (-y_2 + ix_2)(E_3 + e_3)) = \lambda(a)(x + iy).$

This is equivalent to $x_1 = y_1 = x_0 = y_0 = 0$, $x_2 = y_3$, $y_2 = -x_3$, $x_3 = -y_2$, $y_3 = x_2$ and $\lambda(a) = \mu_1^{\bullet}(a)$.

The partition function is most important to investigate the branching of a representation.

Definition 3.9. For $\beta \in R^+_{\bullet}$ we set $B_{\beta} = \{\alpha \in R^+ \mid \alpha^{\bullet} = \beta\}$ and define

$$\Gamma_0 = \{ \beta \mid \beta \in R^+_{\mathfrak{u}^{\bullet}(2)} \text{ and } |B_\beta| > 1 \}, \quad \Gamma_1 = R^+_{\bullet} \setminus R^+_{\mathfrak{u}^{\bullet}(2)}, \quad \Gamma = \Gamma_0 \cup \Gamma_1.$$

The multiplicity m_{β} for $\beta \in \Gamma$ is defined by

$$m_{\beta} = \begin{cases} |B_{\beta}|, & \beta \notin R^+_{\mathfrak{u}^{\bullet}(2)} \\ |B_{\beta}| - 1, & \beta \in R^+_{\mathfrak{u}^{\bullet}(2)} \end{cases}$$

With respect to Γ , the partition function $\wp : i\mathfrak{t}^*_{\mathfrak{u}^{\bullet}(2)} \to \mathbb{N}_0$ evaluates ξ to the number of ways of writing

$$\xi = \sum_{\beta \in \Gamma} c_{\beta}\beta, \quad c_{\beta} \in \mathbb{N}_0$$

where each β that occurs is counted with multiplicity m_{β} .

Hence, we need a precise description of the indexset Γ .

Lemma 3.10. The set Γ is given by $\Gamma = R_{\bullet}^+ = \{3\lambda_1^{\bullet} - \mu_1^{\bullet}, 3\lambda_1^{\bullet} - 2\mu_1^{\bullet}, \mu_1^{\bullet}\}$. Moreover we have $\rho^{\bullet} = \lambda_1^{\bullet} - \lambda_3^{\bullet} + \nu_1^{\bullet}$, $m_{\beta} = 1$ for all $\beta \in \Gamma$.

Proof. The positive roots are given by $R^+ = \{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2, \lambda_1 - \lambda_3, \lambda_2 - \lambda_3, \mu_1\}$. For i = 1, 2, 3, j = 1, 2 and $A = a_1(E_1 + e_1) + a_0E_0 \in \mathfrak{t}_{\mathfrak{u}^{\bullet}(2)}$ the restricted vectors are given by

$$\lambda_1^{\bullet}(A) = i(a_1 + a_0), \quad \lambda_2^{\bullet}(A) = i(a_0 - a_1), \quad \lambda_3^{\bullet}(A) = -2ia_0, \quad \mu_j^{\bullet}(A) = (-1)^{j+1}2ia_1.$$

Note that $\lambda_1^{\bullet} - \lambda_2^{\bullet} = \mu_1^{\bullet}, \ \lambda_1^{\bullet} - \lambda_3^{\bullet} = 3\lambda_1^{\bullet} - \mu_1^{\bullet} \text{ and } \lambda_2^{\bullet} - \lambda_3^{\bullet} = 3\lambda_1^{\bullet} - 2\mu_1^{\bullet}$. Hence we have $\Gamma_0 = \{\mu_1^{\bullet}\}$ and $\Gamma_1 = \{3\lambda_1^{\bullet} - \mu_1^{\bullet}, 3\lambda_1^{\bullet} - 2\mu_1^{\bullet}\}$.

From this point, the partition function can easily be computed:

Lemma 3.11. For
$$a_1\lambda_1^{\bullet} + a_2\mu_1^{\bullet} \in i\mathfrak{t}_{\mathfrak{u}^{\bullet}(2)}^*$$
 the partition function $\wp : i\mathfrak{t}_{\mathfrak{u}^{\bullet}(2)}^* \to \mathbb{N}_0$ is given by

$$\wp(a_1, a_2) = \#\{(m_1, m_2, m_3) \in \mathbb{N}_0^3 \mid a_1 = 3(m_1 + m_2), \quad a_2 = m_3 - m_1 - 2m_2\} \\ = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \frac{a_1}{3} \notin \mathbb{N}_0 \text{ or } a_2 \notin \mathbb{Z} \\ \max\{0, \frac{a_1}{3} + 1 + \min(0, a_2 + \frac{a_1}{3})\}, & else. \end{cases}$$

In particular, it vanishes if $3a_2 < -2a_1$.

Proof. Recall that $\lambda_1^{\bullet} - \lambda_2^{\bullet} = \mu_1^{\bullet}$ and assume that $a_1 \lambda_1^{\bullet} + a_2 \mu_1^{\bullet} \in it_{\mathfrak{u}^{\bullet}(2)}^{*}$ for $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. The number of writing this element as a natural number linear combination over Γ is precisely given by the number of $m_1, m_2, m_3 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ satisfying

$$a_1\lambda_1^{\bullet} + a_2\mu_1^{\bullet} = m_1(3\lambda_1^{\bullet} - \mu_1^{\bullet}) + m_2(3\lambda_1^{\bullet} - 2\mu_1^{\bullet}) + m_3\mu_1^{\bullet}$$
$$= \lambda_1^{\bullet}(3m_1 + 3m_2) + \mu_1^{\bullet}(m_3 - m_1 - 2m_2).$$

In particular, we obtain that $\wp(a_1, a_2) = 0$ if $3a_1 \notin \mathbb{N}_0$ or $a_2 \notin \mathbb{Z}$. We continue to compute

$$\wp(a_1, a_2) = \#\{(m_1, m_2, m_3) \in \mathbb{N}_0^3 \mid \frac{a_1}{3} = m_1 + m_2, a_2 = m_3 - m_1 - 2m_2\} \\ = \#\{m_3 \in \mathbb{N}_0, m_2 \in \{0, \dots, \frac{a_1}{3}\} \mid a_2 = m_3 - m_2 - \frac{a_1}{3}\} \\ = \#\{m_2 \in \{0, \dots, \frac{a_1}{3}\} \mid m_2 + \frac{a_1}{3} + a_2 \ge 0\} \\ = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \frac{a_1}{3} \notin \mathbb{N}_0 \text{ or } a_2 \notin \mathbb{Z} \\ \max(0, \frac{a_1}{3} + 1 + \min(0, a_2 + \frac{a_1}{3})), & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

With the computed partition function, we can start the branching and find the $U^{\bullet}(2)$ -spherical representations.

Proof of (2) in Theorem 3.7. Let $\rho(z_1, z_2, z_3) \in SU(3) \times SO(3)$ be an irreducible representation with corresponding highest weight λ . The multiplicity of the trivial representation when restricted to U[•](2) can be computed by the formula

$$m(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}) = \sum_{w \in W} \operatorname{sgn}(w) \wp(w(\lambda + \rho)^{\bullet} - \rho^{\bullet}), \quad [\text{GoWa09, Thm. 8.2.1}].$$

Recall that $\rho = 2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \nu_1$, $\lambda_1^{\bullet} - \lambda_2^{\bullet} = \mu_1^{\bullet}$ and introduce the variables

$$p_1 := z_1 + 2, \quad p_2 := z_2 + 1, \quad p_3 := -z_3, \quad p_4 := z_3 + 1$$

Introducing the Weyl group

$$W = \{ \sigma^{\pm} \mid \sigma \in S_3 \}, \quad S_3 = \{ (1,2), (2,3), (1,3), \text{Id}, (1,2,3), (1,3,2) \}$$

we start to compute

$$m(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}) = \sum_{w \in W} \operatorname{sgn}(w) \wp(w(\lambda_{1}p_{1} + \lambda_{2}p_{2} + (2z_{3} + 1)\nu_{1})^{\bullet} - \rho^{\bullet})$$

$$= \sum_{j=3}^{4} (-1)^{j} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{3}} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \wp(p_{1}\lambda_{\sigma(1)}^{\bullet} + p_{2}\lambda_{\sigma(2)}^{\bullet} - 3\lambda_{1}^{\bullet} + p_{j}\mu_{1}^{\bullet})$$

$$= \sum_{j=3}^{4} (-1)^{j} (-\wp((p_{2} - 3)\lambda_{1}^{\bullet} + p_{1}\lambda_{2}^{\bullet} + p_{j}\mu_{1}^{\bullet}) - \wp((p_{1} - 3)\lambda_{1}^{\bullet} + p_{2}\lambda_{3}^{\bullet} + p_{j}\mu_{1}^{\bullet})$$

$$-\wp(p_{1}\lambda_{3}^{\bullet} + p_{2}\lambda_{2}^{\bullet} - 3\lambda_{1}^{\bullet} + p_{j}\mu_{1}^{\bullet}) + \wp((p_{1} - 3)\lambda_{1}^{\bullet} + p_{2}\lambda_{2}^{\bullet} + p_{j}\mu_{1}^{\bullet})$$

$$+\wp(p_{1}\lambda_{2}^{\bullet} + p_{2}\lambda_{3}^{\bullet} - 3\lambda_{1}^{\bullet} + p_{j}\mu_{1}^{\bullet}) + \wp(p_{1}\lambda_{3}^{\bullet} + (p_{2} - 3)\lambda_{1}^{\bullet} + p_{j}\mu_{1}^{\bullet})).$$

Now we use the equations $\lambda_1^{\bullet} - \mu_1^{\bullet} = \lambda_2^{\bullet}, \ \mu_1^{\bullet} - 2\lambda_1^{\bullet} = \lambda_3^{\bullet}$:

$$\sum_{j=3}^{4} (-1)^{j} \left(-\wp((p_{1}+p_{2}-3)\lambda_{1}^{\bullet}+(p_{j}-p_{1})\mu_{1}^{\bullet}) -\wp((p_{1}-3-2p_{2})\lambda_{1}^{\bullet}+(p_{2}+p_{j})\mu_{1}^{\bullet}) \right) \\ -\wp((p_{2}-3-2p_{1})\lambda_{1}^{\bullet}+(2p_{1}+p_{j}-p_{2})\mu_{1}^{\bullet}) +\wp((p_{1}+p_{2}-3)\lambda_{1}^{\bullet}+(p_{j}-p_{2})\mu_{1}^{\bullet}) \\ +\wp((p_{1}-3-2p_{2})\lambda_{1}^{\bullet}+(p_{2}+p_{j}-p_{1})\mu_{1}^{\bullet}) +\wp((p_{2}-3-2p_{1})\lambda_{1}^{\bullet}+(p_{1}+p_{j})\mu_{1}^{\bullet})) .$$

Expanding the sum and plugging in $p_1 = z_1 + 2$, $p_2 = z_2 + 1$, $p_3 = -z_3$, $p_4 = z_3 + 1$ yields:

$$m(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}) = \wp((z_{1} + z_{2})\lambda_{1}^{\bullet} + (-z_{3} - z_{1} - 2)\mu_{1}^{\bullet}) + \wp((z_{1} - 2z_{2} - 3)\lambda_{1}^{\bullet} + (z_{2} + 1 - z_{3})\mu_{1}^{\bullet}) + \wp((z_{2} - 2z_{1} - 6)\lambda_{1}^{\bullet} + (-z_{3} - z_{2} + z_{1} + 1)\mu_{1}^{\bullet}) - \wp((z_{1} + z_{2})\lambda_{1}^{\bullet} + (-z_{3} - z_{2} - 1)\mu_{1}^{\bullet}) - \wp((z_{1} - 2z_{2} - 3)\lambda_{1}^{\bullet} + (z_{2} - 1 - z_{1} - z_{3})\mu_{1}^{\bullet}) - \wp((z_{2} - 2z_{1} - 6)\lambda_{1}^{\bullet} + (z_{1} + 2 - z_{3})\mu_{1}^{\bullet}) - \wp((z_{1} + z_{2})\lambda_{1}^{\bullet} + (z_{3} - z_{1} - 1)\mu_{1}^{\bullet}) - \wp((z_{2} - 2z_{1} - 6)\lambda_{1}^{\bullet} + (z_{1} - z_{2} + 2 + z_{3})\mu_{1}^{\bullet}) - \wp((z_{1} + z_{2})\lambda_{1}^{\bullet} + (z_{3} - z_{2})\mu_{1}^{\bullet}) + \wp((z_{1} + z_{2})\lambda_{1}^{\bullet} + (z_{3} - z_{2})\mu_{1}^{\bullet}) + \wp((z_{1} - 2z_{2} - 3)\lambda_{1}^{\bullet} + (z_{1} - z_{3} + 3)\mu_{1}^{\bullet}).$$

Lemma 3.11 implies that five of the mentioned summands vanish: For the summands 3, 6, 9, 12, the first entry in \wp is $z_2 - 2z_1 - 6$ which is always negative. For summand 5 note that the first entry is $a_1 := z_1 - 2z_2 - z_3$ and the second entry is $a_2 := z_2 - 1 - z_1 - z_3$.

One quickly verifies $a_2 < -2a_1/3$ which proves that summand 5 vanishes. This results in

$$m(z_1, z_2, z_3) = \wp((z_1 + z_2)\lambda_1^{\bullet} + (-z_3 - z_1 - 2)\mu_1^{\bullet}) + \wp((z_1 - 2z_2 - 3)\lambda_1^{\bullet} + (z_2 + 1 - z_3)\mu_1^{\bullet}) - \wp((z_1 + z_2)\lambda_1^{\bullet} + (-z_3 - z_2 - 1)\mu_1^{\bullet}) - \wp((z_1 + z_2)\lambda_1^{\bullet} + (z_3 - z_1 - 1)\mu_1^{\bullet}) - \wp((z_1 - 2z_2 - 3)\lambda_1^{\bullet} + (z_2 + 2 + z_3)\mu_1^{\bullet}) + \wp((z_1 + z_2)\lambda_1^{\bullet} + (z_3 - z_2)\mu_1^{\bullet}) + \wp((z_1 - 2z_2 - 3)\lambda_1^{\bullet} + (z_2 - z_1 + z_3)\mu_1^{\bullet}).$$

As the partition function is complicated to compute, the calculation to find which representations $\varrho(z_1, z_2, z_3)$ are U[•](2)-spherical, i.e. satisfy $m(z_1, z_2, z_3) \neq 0$, is performed using a suitable Python script [AgHe24].

Next we investigate the condition to obtain S^1 -spherical representations more closely.

Proof of (3) in Theorem 3.7. In this part of the proof we change the simple roots to $\lambda_1 - \lambda_2$ and $-(\lambda_1 - \lambda_3) = -(2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)$. By doing so, we introduce $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and switch the parametrization of the highest weights to $n_1\lambda_1 - n_2\lambda_2$. It translates to the old parametrization for the matching of coefficients $z_1 = n_1 + n_2$ and $z_2 = n_2$. We will prove that $\varrho(n_1, n_2)$ is S^1 -spherical if and only if $n_1 + 2n_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ which is equivalent to $z_1 + z_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. The weights of an irreducible representation are precisely contained in the convex hull of the Weyl group orbit of its highest weight. The weight vectors are given by adding integer linear combinations of roots to the highest weight [Hal15, Thm. 10.1]. As the maximal torus $\mathbf{t}_{\mathfrak{su}(3)} = \{E_0, E_1\}$ of SU(3) contains the Lie algebra of S^1 , S^1 -spherical representation are precisely those which contain a weight vector $\lambda = n_1\lambda_1 - n_2\lambda_2$ satisfying $\lambda(E_0) = 0$. This condition for the weight is equivalent to $n_1 = n_2$ which clearly satisfies $n_1 + 2n_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. The relation is preserved under adding or subtracting the positive simple roots $\lambda_1 - \lambda_2$, $-(\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2)$ and hence also applies to the highest weight vector.

We were able to identify certain representations $\rho(z_1, z_2, z_3)$ that are always U[•](2)-spherical representations. They are of the form $\rho(2z_2, z_2, z_3)$ for $0 \le z_3 \le z_2$. The case $z_2 = z_3$ is of particular interest. A further discussion of this result will be given in Section 3.6.1.

Corollary 3.12.

(1) Fix $z_2 \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then $\operatorname{mult}(2z_2, z_2, z_3) = 0$ for any $z_3 > z_2$ while the representations $\varrho(2z_2, z_2, z_3)$ are U[•](2)-spherical with multiplicity

$$\operatorname{mult}(2z_2, z_2, z_3) = \frac{(z_2 + 1)(2z_2 + 2)(z_2 + 1)(2z_3 + 1)}{2} \text{ for } z_3 = 0, \dots, z_2.$$

The corresponding eigenvalues are given by

$$\eta(2z_2, z_2, z_3) = \frac{4z_2}{t_0} + \frac{2z_3(2z_3 + 2)}{t_1}.$$

(2) Any U[•](2)-spherical representation $\varrho(z_1, z_2, z_3)$ satisfies the eigenvalue inequality

$$\eta(z_1, z_2, z_3) \ge \eta(2z_3, z_3, z_3).$$

In particular: For a fixed representation $\varrho(z_3) \in SO(3)$ of the fibre, the representation $\varrho(2z_3, z_3)$ yields the first eigenvalue $\eta_1^{\mathcal{H}} = 4z_3/t_0$ of the horizontal Laplacian $\Delta_{\mathcal{H}}$, restricted to the eigenspace of the corresponding vertical eigenvalue $\frac{2z_3(2z_3+2)}{t_1}$.

Proof. For representations being of the described type we obtain that the computation of $m(2z_2, z_2, z_3)$ becomes significantly more easy: The three summands having $z_1 - 2z_2 - 3$ as the first entries of the partition functions vanish as those entries are negative. The remaining four summands are of the type

$$\wp(3z_2, a_2) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \frac{a_1}{3} \notin \mathbb{N}_0 \text{ or } a_2 \notin \mathbb{Z} \\ \max(0, z_2 + 1 + \min(0, a_2 + z_2)), & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

A short computation yields the wished result:

$$\begin{split} m(2z_2, z_2, z_3) \\ &= \wp(3z_2, -z_3 - 2z_2 - 2) + \wp(3z_2, z_3 - z_2) - \wp(3z_2, -z_3 - z_2 - 1) - \wp(3z_2, z_3 - 2z_2 - 1) \\ &= \max(0, z_2 + 1 + \min(0, -z_3 - z_2 - 2)) + \max(0, z_2 + 1 + \min(0, z_3)) \\ &- \max(0, z_2 + 1 + \min(0, -z_3 - 1)) - \max(0, z_2 + 1 + \min(0, z_3 - z_2 - 1)) \\ &= 0 + z_2 + 1 - \max(0, z_2 - z_3) - \max(0, z_2 + 1 + \min(0, z_3 - z_2 - 1)) \\ &= \begin{cases} 1, \text{ if } z_3 \leq z_2 \\ 0, \text{ if } z_3 > z_2. \end{cases}$$

Next we compute the first eigenvalue of the horizontal Laplacian for fixed $\rho(z_3) \in SO(3)$. In order to do this, note that the scalar $c_g(\lambda) = g(\lambda, 2\rho + \lambda)$ increases whenever it is applied to a weight which is higher than λ : We consider an arbitrary positive root $\lambda^+ \in \mathbb{R}^+$, a dominant integral weight λ and conclude

$$c_g(\lambda + \lambda^+) = g(\lambda + \lambda^+, \lambda + \lambda^+ + 2\rho)$$

= $g(\lambda + 2\rho + \lambda) + \underbrace{g(\lambda, \lambda^+)}_{\geq 0} + \underbrace{g(\lambda^+, \lambda)}_{\geq 0} + \underbrace{g(\lambda^+, 2\rho)}_{\geq 0} + \underbrace{g(\lambda^+, \lambda^+)}_{\geq 0} \geq c_g(\lambda).$

In a similar way to the calculation above, one proves that $m(z_1, z_2, z_3) = 0$ if $2z_1 - z_2 < 3z_3$ or if $z_1 + z_2 < 3z_3$. Assume that $\varrho(z_1, z_2, z_3)$ is U[•](2)-spherical, i.e. $3a := 2z_1 - z_2 - 3z_3 \ge 0$ and $3b := z_1 + z_2 - 3z_3 \ge 0$. Adding $a(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) + b(\lambda_2 - \lambda_3)$ to $3z_3\lambda_1 + z_3\lambda_2 + z_3\mu$ yields $z_1\lambda_1 + z_2\lambda_3 + z_3\mu$. This proves that the highest weight of $\varrho(2z_3, z_3, z_3)$ is lower than or equal to the highest weight of $\varrho(z_1, z_2, z_3)$.

With the computation of the spectrum of the Aloff-Wallach manifold, we are now able to complete Urakawa's list of the first eigenvalue of a compact simply connected normal homogeneous space with positive curvature.

Corollary 3.13. The only compact simply connected normal homogeneous space which admits a positively curved family of metrics is the Aloff-Wallach manifold (V_3, h_{r_0,r_1}) with $r_0, r_1 > 0$. The completed list of the first eigenvalue of a compact simply connected normal homogeneous space with positive curvature which is not diffeomorphic to a sphere can be found in Table 2. Each space G/K which is not the Aloff-Wallach manifold is equipped with the restricted Killing form of G.

Proof. The classification of simply connected normal homogeneous spaces with positive sectional curvature summarized by Urakwa [Ura86] misses the normal homogeneous realization of the Aloff-Wallach manifold $W^{1,1}$ which was constructed by Wilking [Wil99] 13 years later. As we computed in Theorem 3.7 the first eigenvalue of $(W^{1,1}, g_{t_0,t_1})$ is given by $2\alpha\delta \cdot 12$ with $2\alpha\delta > \delta^2$ which translates to $\frac{12}{r_0}$ with respect to the normal homogeneous realization $(V_3, h_{r_0,r_1}), r_0, r_1 > 0$. The first eigenvalues of the other spaces can be found

G/K	η_1
$\mathrm{SU}(n+1)/S(\mathrm{U}(n)\times\mathrm{U}(1))$	1
$\operatorname{Sp}(n+1)/(\operatorname{Sp}(n) \times \operatorname{Sp}(1))$	$\frac{n+1}{n+2}$
$F_4/\operatorname{Spin}(9)$	$\frac{2}{3}$
$\operatorname{Sp}(2)/\operatorname{SU}(2)$	$\frac{8}{3}$
$\mathrm{SU}(5)/(\mathrm{Sp}(2) \times S^1)$	1
$\operatorname{Sp}(n)/(\operatorname{Sp}(n-1) \times S^1)$	$\frac{4n}{n+1}$
$SU(3) \times SO(3) / U^{\bullet}(2)$	$\frac{12}{r_0}, r_0, r_1 > 0.$

TABLE $\overline{2}$. The first eigenvalue of a compact simply connected normal homogeneous space with positive curvature

in Urakawa's list [Ura86] except for the cases $\operatorname{Sp}(2)/\operatorname{SU}(2)$ and $\operatorname{SU}(5)/(S^1 \times \operatorname{Sp}(2))$ for which Urakawa only gives eigenvalue estimates. Each space G/K is equipped with the restricted Killing form of G. Urakawa gave the first eigenvalue $\frac{5}{12}$ of $\operatorname{Sp}(2)$ as an lower estimate for the first eigenvalue of $\operatorname{Sp}(2)/\operatorname{SU}(2)$. The embedding of $\operatorname{SU}(2)$ into $\operatorname{SU}(3)$ is not standard: The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ is embedded as the maximal subalgebra of $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ in $\mathfrak{sp}(2)$. Using the fundamental weights ω_1, ω_2 of $\mathfrak{sp}(2)$ described in Proposition 3.14, the eigenvalue of the Casimir element of a representation with highest weight $n_1\omega_1 + n_2\omega_2$ is given by

$$\frac{n_1(n_1+2) + n_1(n_2+2) + n_2(n_1+2) + n_2(n_2+2) + 2n_2(n_2+2)}{12}$$

see [Yam79, p. 106]. The computation of the branching rules of a maximal subalgebra is well described in [MPS77] and can be executed using the software LiE by van Leeuwen. Using that the eigenvalue of the Casimir element is monotonously increasing whenever n_1 or n_2 increases, one finds that the spherical representation $\rho(4 \cdot \omega_1 + 0 \cdot \omega_2)$ produces the smallest eigenvalue $\frac{8}{3}$. Let us proceed with the second case $SU(5)/(S^1 \times Sp(2))$. If λ_i denotes for $i = 1, \ldots, 5$ the projection onto the *i*-th diagonal element of the diagonal embedded torus of $\mathfrak{su}(5)$, a system of simple roots is given by $\lambda_i - \lambda_j$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq 4$ and the corresponding fundamental weights are given by $\omega_i = \sum_{j=1}^i \lambda_j$, see [FuHa91, Chap. 15.1]. The Freudenthal formula evaluates for a representation of highest weight $\lambda = \sum_{j=1}^4 n_j \omega_j$ to

$$\frac{(4n_1+3n_2+2n_3+n_4)(2+n_1)+(3n_1+6n_2+4n_3+2n_4)(2+n_2)}{50} + \frac{(2n_1+4n_2+6n_3+3n_4)(2+n_3)+(n_1+2n_2+3n_3+4n_4)(2+n_4)}{50},$$

see Yamaguchi [Yam79, p. 106]. Next, we want to compute the branching $\operatorname{Sp}(2)S^1 \subset \operatorname{SU}(5)$ using the program LiE. We obtain the corresponding restriction matrix by multiplying the restriction matrix of $\operatorname{Sp}(2)S^1 \subset S(\operatorname{U}(4) \times S^1)$ with the one the complex Grassmannian $S(\operatorname{U}(4) \times S^1) \subset \operatorname{SU}(5)$. That can be done using that the embedding $\operatorname{Sp}(2) \subset \operatorname{U}(4)$ is maximal, $S^1 = S^1$ is the identity and that the branching of the complex Grassmannian can be done using LiE. One finds that the spherical representation $\varrho(\omega_1 + \omega_4)$ produces the first eigenvalue 1 which is the upper bound stated by Urakawa.

3.4. Comments on the other homogenous 3- (α, δ) -Sasaki manifolds. We shall now explain how the root system relates to spherical representations: Let G be compact and simple, $K \subset G$ such that G/K is a 3-Sasakian manifold with fibre being $H \in \{SU(2), SO(3)\}$. As H acts isometrically, freely and transitively on each fibre, each H-representation occurs. Choose the maximal torus \mathfrak{t} of \mathfrak{g} which contains the maximal torus $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathfrak{k}}$ of \mathfrak{k} and the maximal torus $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathfrak{su}(2)}$ of $\mathfrak{su}(2)$. Those yield the orthogonal decomposition $\mathfrak{t} = \mathfrak{t}_{\mathfrak{k}} \oplus \mathfrak{t}_{\mathfrak{su}(2)}$. The root system of $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ can after a right choice of simple roots be associated to the maximal positive root $\frac{\mu_1}{2}$ which is contained in the fundamental Weyl chamber [GRS23]. In the case of $W^{1,1}$, this root is given by $\lambda_1 - \lambda_2$. Any K'-spherical representation $\rho_0 \otimes \rho_1$ corresponds to a K-spherical representation ρ_0 , i.e. the subgroup K acts trivially on at least on vector. Hence, there must exist at least one weight of ρ_0 which vanishes on $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathfrak{k}}$ and the corresponding weight vector is fixed by K. As the weight can only act non-trivially on $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathfrak{su}(2)}$, it is an half-integer multiple of the highest root. At the same time, the highest root describes the action of $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathfrak{su}(2)}$ on the vector fixed by K. The weights which occur in this way are precisely the weights of the H-representation $\varrho_0^{H,K}$ on the space of fixed vectors $V_{\varrho_0}^K$, see Theorem 2.23. It decomposes into the desired H representations $\Phi_{\varrho_0} = \{ \varrho_1 \in \widehat{H} \mid \varrho_0 \otimes \varrho_1 \in \widehat{G \times H_{K'}} \}$, whose corresponding highest weights can be found as half-integer multiples of the highest root.



FIGURE 1. *H* weights of a *K'*-spherical representation $\rho_0 \otimes \rho_1(z)$.

We proved in Corollary 3.12 that in the case of the Aloff-Wallach space, the restriction $\rho(z_1, z_2)$ of any U[•](2)-spherical representation $\rho(z_1, z_2, z_3)$ to SU(3) has highest weight which is higher than or equal to $z_3(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)$, where $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2$ is the maximal root of $\mathfrak{su}(3)$ with respect to the simple roots $\lambda_1 - \lambda_2, \lambda_2 - \lambda_3$ corresponding to the parameterization z_1, z_2, z_3 . Hence, the representation $\rho(2z_3, z_3, z_3)$ yields the first eigenvalue of the horizontal Laplacian with respect to the fibre representation $\rho(z_3)$. This is completely in line with our general results described in Remark 2.28.

Except for the spheres $S^{4n+3} = \operatorname{Sp}(n+1)/\operatorname{Sp}(n)$ which have fibre SU(2), all positive homogeneous 3- (α, δ) -Sasaki manifolds have fibre SO(3), see [BGM94]. By identifying the corresponding $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ -representations with multiples of the maximal root, this can be seen in the root data: The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sp}(n)$ is the only real compact simple Lie algebra for which the half of the maximal root is a dominant integral element. In the other cases, SU(2)-representations can not be realized, as positive half integer multiples of the maximal root are not integral elements. However, SO(3)-representations can be realized, as positive integer multiples of the maximal root are for all simple Lie algebras integral elements. Let us investigate the relation between the maximal root and spherical representations in the example of $S^7 = \operatorname{Sp}(2)/\operatorname{Sp}(1)$:

The case of $\operatorname{Sp}(2)/\operatorname{Sp}(1)$. Consider $(\operatorname{Sp}(2)/\operatorname{Sp}(1), g_{t_0,t_1})$ equipped with its 3- (α, δ) -Sasaki metric. Here, the isotropy group K is the lower right embedded $\operatorname{Sp}(1)$ and H is the upper left embedded $\operatorname{Sp}(1)$. Its Lie algebra \mathfrak{h} is the Lie algebra of Reeb vector fields. The spectrum of $\operatorname{Sp}(2)/\operatorname{Sp}(1)$ can be found in [2BLP22], but in order to understand the relation of $\operatorname{Sp}(1)'$ -spherical representations to their root system, we compute the $\operatorname{Sp}(1)'$ -spherical representations explicitly. The naturally reductive realization of $\operatorname{Sp}(2)/\operatorname{Sp}(1)$ is given by

$$((\operatorname{Sp}(2) \times \operatorname{Sp}(1)) / \operatorname{Sp}(1)', h_{r_0, r_1}), \quad \operatorname{Sp}(1)' = \{(AB, B) \mid A \in K, B \in H\}.$$

Arbitrary maximal tori $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathfrak{k}} \subset \mathfrak{k}$ and $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathfrak{h}} \subset \mathfrak{h}$ give rise to the maximal torus of $\mathfrak{sp}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(1)$:

$$\mathfrak{t}_{\mathfrak{sp}(2)\oplus\mathfrak{h}} = \mathfrak{t}_{\mathfrak{k}} \oplus \mathfrak{t}_{\mathfrak{h}} \oplus \mathfrak{t}_{\mathfrak{h}} = \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{R}} \{ E_0, E_1, e_1 \}.$$

We denote by ν_0, ν_1, ν'_1 the same $\mathfrak{sp}(1)$ roots, but considered as roots of \mathfrak{k} , \mathfrak{h} and the second copy of \mathfrak{h} . Following the construction in [Yam79, p. 102], combined with [Hal15, Cha. 8.2], one easily concludes:

Proposition 3.14. With respect to the simple roots $\{\nu_0, \frac{1}{2}(\nu_1 - \nu_0), \nu'_1\}$ of $\mathfrak{sp}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(1)$, the fundamental weights are given by

$$\omega_1 = \nu_1/2, \quad \omega_2 = (\nu_1 + \nu_0)/2, \quad \omega_3 = \nu_1'/2.$$

They parameterize the highest weights of irreducible representations

$$\varrho(n_1, n_2, n_3) \in \widehat{\operatorname{Sp}(2) \times \operatorname{Sp}(1)}, \quad n_1, n_2, n_3 \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

The sum of positive roots is given by $\rho = \nu_0/2 + \nu_1 + \nu'_1/2$. The Weyl group of Sp(2) is the permutation of the indices $j \in \{0, 1\}$ and an arbitrary number of sign changes.

Note that the corresponding maximal root of $\mathfrak{sp}(2)$ with respect to those simple roots is given by $2\omega_1$. In the notation introduced in Subsection 3.3, one immediately computes the torus $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathfrak{k}'}$ and its dual $i\mathfrak{t}_{\mathfrak{k}'}^*$

$$\mathfrak{t}_{\mathfrak{k}'} = a_0 E_0 + a_1 (E_1 + e_1), \quad i \mathfrak{t}_{\mathfrak{k}'}^* = \{ a_0 \nu_0^{\bullet} + a_1 \nu_1^{\bullet} \},$$

the restricted positive roots of $\mathfrak{sp}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(1)$ and the positive roots of \mathfrak{k}'

$$R_{\bullet}^{+} = \{\nu_{0}^{\bullet}, \nu_{1}^{\bullet}, \frac{1}{2}(\nu_{0}^{\bullet} + \nu_{1}^{\bullet})\}, \quad R_{\mathfrak{k}'}^{+} = \{\nu_{0}^{\bullet}, \nu_{1}^{\bullet}\},$$

the set Γ and the partition function \wp

$$\Gamma = \{ (\nu_0^{\bullet} + \nu_1^{\bullet})/2, (\nu_1^{\bullet} - \nu_0^{\bullet})/2, \nu_1^{\bullet} \}, \quad m_{\beta} = 1, \quad \forall \beta \in \Gamma$$

$$\wp(a_0\nu_0^{\bullet} + a_1\nu_1^{\bullet}) = \#\{ (m_1, m_2, m_3) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid a_0 = \frac{m_1 - m_2}{2}, \quad a_1 = \frac{m_1 + m_2}{2} + m_3 \}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } a_1 \notin \mathbb{N}_0 \text{ or } 2a_0 \notin \mathbb{Z} \\ \max(0, a_1 - a_0 - \max(0, -2a_0) + 1), & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

For $n_1, n_2, n_3 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ the multiplicity $m(z_1, z_2, z_3) = [\varrho(n_1, n_2, n_3) : 1_{K'}]$ can be computed by

$$m(n_1, n_2, n_3) = \sum_{w \in W} \operatorname{sgn}(w) \wp(w(n_1\omega_1 + n_2\omega_2 + n_3\omega_3 + \rho)^{\bullet} - \rho^{\bullet}).$$

A tedious, but straight-forward evaluation of this expression leads to:

Proposition 3.15. For $n_1, n_2, n_3 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ the representation $\varrho(n_1, n_2, n_3)$ is $\operatorname{Sp}(1)'$ -spherical if and only if $n_1 = n_3$. In particular, a representation $\varrho(n_1, n_2) \in \widehat{\operatorname{Sp}(1)}$ extends to an $\operatorname{Sp}(1)'$ -spherical representation $\varrho(n_1, n_2, n_1)$ if and only if it has highest weight that is higher or equal to the multiple $n_3\omega_1$ of the maximal root. The restriction of $\varrho(n_1, n_2, n_1) \in \operatorname{Sp}(2) \times \operatorname{Sp}(1)_{\operatorname{Sp}(1)'}$ to $\operatorname{Sp}(2)$ produces the horizontal eigenvalue with respect to the fibre representation $\varrho(n_3)$.

Let us now start with the evaluation of the spectrum of the Aloff-Wallach manifold.

3.5. Geometric interpretation of the spectrum and electronic supplement. The formulas to compute the spectrum of $(W^{1,1}, g_{t_0,t_1})$ stated in Theorem 3.7 still need to be evaluated in order to access the spectrum. It is very cumbersome to manually calculate and analyze the spectrum from the arising combinatorial conditions. We show how to handle this problem using a suitable Python script on a computer.

Electronic supplement. The explicit computation of eigenvalues and multiplicities is quite challenging due to the complexity of the partition function which determines the U[•](2)-spherical representations. We implemented the partition function, the multiplicity which arises from it and the eigenvalue formula into Python. The results can be found in the electronic supplement [AgHe24] which contains an overview of the first U[•](2)-spherical representations which are parameterized by $z_1, z_2, z_3 \in \{0, ..., 20\}$, along with their corresponding spectral data. The associated Python code is well described and can be modified to obtain the spectrum for an arbitrary finite range for $z_1, z_2, z_3 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ when running it in a suitable environment, such as Jupyter Notebook. For convenience of the reader, we extracted a subset of these data points in Table 3. The electronic supplement additionally contains graphs of three different variations of the spectrum:

- a) t_0 is fixed and t_1 is varying, see Figure 2
- b) t_0 varies in dependence of t_1 such that the volume is constant, see Subsection 3.7
- c) t_0 is fixed and t_1 is varying, the spectrum is divided by the total curvature

The graphs can be modified by editing the Python code. Additionally, the electronic supplement contains the evaluation of a Python code which confirms that the basic eigenvalues correspond to those of \mathbb{CP}^2 , see Subsection 3.6. It also contains a verification that the spectrum computed by us and counted with multiplicities converges in the limiting case $t_1 \rightarrow t_0 = 2$ to the spectrum computed by Urakawa, see the explanation below.

Urakawa [Ura84] considered the Killing form $g_{2,2}$ of SU(3) to compute the spectrum on $W^{1,1}$. A glance at the formula for $\eta(z_1, z_2, z_3, t_0, t_1)$ stated in (1), Theorem 3.7 shows that the limiting case $t_1 \rightarrow t_0 = 2$ forces all eigenvalues generated by U[•](2)-spherical representation with the same numbers z_1, z_2 to converge to the same eigenvalue $\eta(z_1, z_2, 2, 2)$. The resulting formula for $\eta(z_1, z_2, 2, 2)$ is precisely the Freudenthal formula for standard normal homogeneous spaces which has been used by Urakawa. We need to dive into the computation of spherical representations in order to verify the compatibility of the results. By Urakawa's computation a necessary and sufficient condition that $\varrho(z_1, z_2) \in \widehat{SU(3)}$ is S^1 -spherical is $z_1 + z_2 \equiv 0 \mod (3)$, see (3), Theorem 3.7. Implementing Urakawa's multiplicity function for S^1 -spherical representations into Python yields

z_1	0	2	3	3	4	5	5	6
z_2	0	1	0	3	2	1	4	0
mult	1	32	30	30	243	280	280	140,

where the first $U^{\bullet}(2)$ -spherical representations we computed are given by

z_1	0	2	2	3	3	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	6
z_2	0	1	1	0	3	2	2	2	1	1	4	4	0
z_3	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	2	1	2	1	2	2.
mult	1	8	24	30	30	27	81	135	105	175	105	175	140

We indeed observe that each S^1 -spherical representation displayed in the table decomposes into $U^{\bullet}(2)$ -spherical representations and the multiplicity splits. We shall now explain what the additional information z_3 encodes. Recall that an S^1 -spherical representation $\varrho(z_1, z_2)$ induces an SO(3)-representation $\varrho(z_1, z_2)^{SO(3), S^1}$ which acts on the representation space $(V_{\varrho(z_1,z_2)}^{S^1})^*$. This representation might not be irreducible and by Remark 2.22 and Theorem 2.23, it decomposes into the desired irreducible SO(3)-representations $\Phi_{\varrho(z_1,z_2)} =$ $\{\varrho(z_3) \in \widetilde{\mathrm{SO}(3)} \mid \varrho(z_1, z_2) \otimes \varrho(z_3) \text{ is } \mathrm{U}^{\bullet}(2) \text{-spherical } \}.$ If we leave the domain of SU(3)normal homogeneous metrics, the Laplacian no longer acts as a scalar on $(V_{\ell(z_1,z_2)}^{S^1})^*$. However, it still operates scalarly on its SO(3)-irreducible subspaces with eigenvalue $\eta(z_1, z_2, z_3, t_0, t_1)$. Hence, the multiplicities of all U[•](2)-spherical representations which contain the same S¹-spherical representation $\rho(z_1, z_2)$ have to add up and yield the multiplicity mult (z_1, z_2) . The fact that all S¹-spherical representations extend to U[•](2)spherical representations and the corresponding multiplicities add up could be verified for $z_1, z_2 \in \{0, ..., 150\}$. Depending on the computer performance, the range can be enlarged by executing the Python code described in [AgHe24]. We confirm that the spectrum counted with multiplicities converges for $t_0 = 2$ and $t_1 \rightarrow 2$ to the spectrum computed by Urakawa.

This observation is reflected in Figure 2: We clearly see the spectrum of the SU(3)-normal homogeneous metric which is the vertical line $t_1 = t_0 = 0.5$. Each eigenvalue has highest multiplicity which reflects that this metric is highly symmetric; making it possible for Urakawa [Ura84] to access the spectrum. From this point, the spectrum splits and it becomes obvious how the enlargement of the group from SU(3) to SU(3) × SO(3) makes it possible to capture more geometry. Each splitting corresponds to an irreducible SO(3)representation. As Figure 3 clearly displays, the metric has positive sectional curvature for $t_1 < t_0$ and this is precisely the area on which Wilking gave the SU(3) × SO(3)normal homogeneous realization. The nearly parallel G_2 -metric, i.e. $t_1 = 0.2$, is not a special point in the spectrum. Basing on the positive curvature of the metric, this is also the area on which the Lichnerowicz-Obata estimate can be applied, see Proposition 3.16 and Figure 4 which yields an eigenvalue estimate from below. This estimate becomes more trivial, the further one enters the realm of naturally reductive metrics which are not normal homogeneous, i.e. $t_1 > t_0$. Here the 3- α -Sasaki metrics can be found. The 3-Sasaki metric, i.e. $t_1 = 1$, is not a special point in Figure 2.

We remark that the spectrum on $W^{1,1}$ has been computed for the 3- α -Sasaki metric with $\alpha = \delta = 2$ by the physicist Termonia [Ter00] and his formula to compute the eigenvalues coincides with ours. However, his computations are only made for a particular metric and are difficult for a mathematician to understand.

3.6. Interpretation as a canonical variation of metrics over \mathbb{CP}^2 . Consider the Riemannian submersion with totally geodesic fibres

$$(\mathrm{SO}(3), g_{t_1}) \to (\mathrm{SU}(3)/S^1, g_{t_0, t_1}) \to (\mathrm{SU}(3)/\mathrm{U}(2), g_{t_0}) = (\mathbb{CP}^2, g_{t_0}).$$



FIGURE 2. Spectrum with $t_0 = 0.5$, t_1 varying [AgHe24]

By Theorem 2.23, the spectrum of (\mathbb{CP}^2, g_{t_0}) is obtained as the subset of $\Sigma(\mathrm{SU}(3)/S^1, g_{t_0,t_1})$ in which only those U[•](2)-spherical representations $\varrho_1(z_1, z_2) \otimes \varrho_2(z_3)$ contribute on which SO(3) restricts trivially, i.e. $z_3 = 0$. This can be verified for finite many eigenvalues [AgHe24]. Moreover, this Riemannian submersion is the reason for the bundle-like structure of the eigenvalues under the deformation of t_1 . Two eigenvalues are in the same "bundle" if they come from the same eigenvalue of the fibre SO(3) which is uniquely determined by the value of z_3 .

3.6.1. Comparison to [NaSe23]. Nagy and Semmelmann [NaSe23] give eigenvalue estimates of the first eigenvalue of the canonical variation of a compact, 4n + 3-dimensional 3-Sasaki manifold with non-constant sectional curvature, i.e. $t_0 = 0.5$ and t_1 varies. They compute the first $(\eta_1^{\mathcal{H}} = 8)$, and in the case of a 7-dimensional manifold with SO(3)-symmetry the second $(\eta_2^{\mathcal{H}} = 16)$ eigenvalue of the horizontal Laplacian explicitly [NaSe23, Thm. 1.1, Thm. 1.3]. By Corollary 3.12 we can confirm both eigenvalues $\eta_1^{\mathcal{H}} = \eta^{\mathcal{H}}(2, 1, 1) = 8$, $\eta_2^{\mathcal{H}} = \eta^{\mathcal{H}}(4, 2, 2) = 16$. The first horizontal eigenvalue provides an estimate of the first basic eigenvalue $\eta_1^B \ge 8(n + 1)$ [NaSe23, Cor 3.10] which we improved in the homogeneous case, see Corollary 2.27. In the particular situation of $G \in \{SU(m), SO(2m), E_6, E_7, E_8\}$, the inequality $\eta_1^B \ge 8(n + 2)$ holds, with equality for n = 1 on $(W^{1,1}, g_{0.5,t_1})$. The estimate of the first basic eigenvalue [NaSe23, Thm. 3.17] contains a mistake, as they do not take the estimate of the first basic eigenvalue into account. This was confirmed in a discussion with the authors. Keeping this in mind, the corrected



FIGURE 3. $SU(3) \times SO(3)$ -naturally reductive metrics of $W^{1,1}$.

estimate yields $f_1(t, n) \leq \eta_1(g_{0.5, t_1})$ where

$$f_1(t_1, n) = \begin{cases} 8(n + t_1^{-1}), & t_1 \ge 1\\ 8(n+1), & t_1 \le 1. \end{cases}$$

Corollary 3.12 proves that $z_3 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ generates the first eigenvalue $4 \cdot 2z_3$ of the horizontal Laplacian of $(W^{1,1}, g_{0.5,t_1})$ restricted to the eigenspace of the vertical Laplacian with respect to the representation $\rho(z_3)$. Those values equal our estimates, see Remark 2.28 and are proven to be attained [NaSe23, Prop. 3.4, 3.15].

The SU(3) × SO(3)-normal homogeneous metrics of $(W^{1,1}, g_{t_0,t_1})$ have strictly positive sectional curvature. Moreover, it turns out that any homogeneous 3- (α, δ) -Sasaki manifold contains metrics of positive Ricci curvature. The Lichnerowicz-Obata theorem [Cha84, p. 82] relates this curvature property to the first eigenvalue of the manifold.

Proposition 3.16. Let (M, g_{t_0,t_1}) be a positive homogeneous 3- (α, δ) -Sasaki manifold of dimension 4n + 3 where $t_0 = \frac{1}{2}$ is fixed. The function

$$f_2(t_1, n) = \begin{cases} \frac{(4n+3)(2n-3t_1+4)}{2n+1}, & \frac{2n+4}{3} > t_1 > 1 \text{ or } \frac{1}{2n+3} > t_1 \\ \frac{2nt_1^2(4n+3)+4n+3}{t_1(2n+1)}, & 1 > t_1 > \frac{1}{2n+3} \end{cases}$$

gives a lower bound of the Ricci curvature $\operatorname{Ric} \geq (4n+2)\frac{f_2(t_1,n)}{4n+3} > 0$. By the Lichnerowicz-Obata theorem it yields a lower estimate of the first eigenvalue: $\eta_1(g_{0.5,t_1}) \geq f_2(t_1,n)$ with equality if and only if $M \cong S^{4n+3}$. The first basic eigenvalue is bounded from below by $\lim_{t_1\to 0} f_2(t_1,n) = \frac{(2(2+n)(4n+3))}{(2n+1)}$.

This results for the 7-dimensional case in

$$f_2(t_1, 1) = \begin{cases} 14 - 7t_1, & 2 > t_1 > 1 \text{ or } \frac{1}{5} > t_1 \\ \frac{7}{3t_1} + \frac{14t_1}{3}, & 1 > t_1 > \frac{1}{5} \end{cases}$$

with lower bound for the first basic eigenvalue $\lim_{t_1\to 0} f_2(t_1, n) = 14$.

Proof. The Lichnerowicz-Obata theorem [Cha84, p. 82] states that if $\operatorname{Ric} \geq C(4n+3-1)$ for a C > 0 then $\eta_1 \geq C(4n+3)$ with equality if and only if the manifold is isometric to a round sphere of radius $1/\sqrt{C}$. The function $f_2(t_1, n)$ relates to $C(t_1, n)$ by $f_2 = (4n+3)C$. The formula of the Ricci curvature of a 3- (α, δ) -Sasaki manifold can be found in [AgDi20, Prop. 2.3.3] and is given by

$$\operatorname{Ric}(X,Y) = 2\alpha(2\delta(n+2) - 3\alpha)g(X,Y) + 2(\alpha - \delta)((2n+3)\alpha - \delta)\sum_{i=1}^{3}\eta_i(X)\eta_i(Y).$$

We set ||X|| = 1 and get for $\eta_i(X_i) := a_i$:

$$\operatorname{Ric}(X, X) = 2\alpha(2\delta(n+2) - 3\alpha) + 2(\alpha - \delta)((2n+3)\alpha - \delta)\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i^2.$$

In our concrete situation, we have $2 = t_0^{-1} = 2\alpha\delta$, i.e. $\alpha = 1/\delta$. Plugging this into the Ricci curvature, yields

$$\operatorname{Ric}(X, X) = 4(n+2) - \frac{6}{\delta^2} + 2\left(\frac{1-\delta^2}{\delta}\right)\left(\frac{2n+3-\delta^2}{\delta}\right)\sum_{i=1}^3 a_i^2.$$

If the left hand side $4(n+2) - \frac{6}{\delta^2}$ is negative, i.e. $t_1 > \frac{2n+4}{3}$ and X is horizontal, the Ricci curvature becomes negative. So, assume that $t_1 < \frac{2n+4}{3}$. If the summand on the right hand side is greater than 0, i.e. $t_1^{-1} = \delta^2 < 1$ or if $t_1^{-1} = \delta^2 > 2n+3$, we get the following sharp lower bound which is realized by horizontal vector fields:

$$\operatorname{Ric}(X, X) \ge 4(n+2) - \frac{6}{\delta^2} = C(4n+2).$$

From this we compute that $f_2 = \frac{(4n+3)(2n-3t_1+4)}{2n+1}$. This covers the first case. For the second case $1 > t_1 > \frac{1}{2n+3}$, i.e. $2n+3 > \delta^2 > 1$, the summand to the right hand side becomes negative. Assuming that X is vertical, the Ricci curvature simplifies to:

$$\operatorname{Ric}(X, X) \ge 4(n+2) - \frac{6}{\delta^2} + 2\left(\frac{1-\delta^2}{\delta}\right)\left(\frac{(2n+3)-\delta^2}{\delta}\right) = C(4n+2)$$

his we compute that $f_2 = \frac{2nt_1^2(4n+3)+4n+3}{1+(2n+3)+4n+3}$.

From this we compute that $f_2 = \frac{2nt_1^2(4n+3)+4n+3}{t_1(2n+1)}$

The estimate of the first eigenvalue obtained in [NaSe23] is in all cases better than the Lichnerowicz-Obata estimate. Our improvement of the estimate of the first basic eigenvalue of [NaSe23], see Corollary 2.27, yields precisely the line of the first eigenvalue, see Figure 4.

3.7. Spectrum with constant volume. The spectrum naturally depends on conformal changes of the metric and therefore on the volume: If the metric is scaled by a constant c > 0, the spectrum is inversely proportional to c, where the volume is proportional to $c^{n/2}$. Berger [Ber73] considered constant conformal changes by posing the problem of determining for which compact manifolds M it is possible to find a constant C(M) such that for any Riemannian metric g of M the first eigenvalue $\eta_1(g)$ satisfies

(2)
$$\eta_1(g)\operatorname{vol}(M,g)^{2/n} \le C(M).$$

Berger was inspired by Hersch [Her70], who proved that $M = S^2$ satisfies this inequality for $C = 8\pi$. Urakawa [Ura79] proved that such an upper bound does not exist if M is a Lie group which is not a torus. A positive answer was given by El Soufi and Ilias [ElII86] who proved that (2) holds for any manifold if C = C(M, [g]) additionally depends on the conformal class [g] of g. We will see that there does not exist a constant $C = C(W^{1,1})$



FIGURE 4. First eigenvalue $\eta_1(g_{0.5,t_1})$ depending on t_1 and its estimates. Nagy, Semmelmann: $f_1(t_1, 1)$, Lichnerowicz, Obata: $f_2(t_1, 1)$

which does not depend on the conformal classes $[g_{t_0,t_1}]$ such that (2) holds and the same argument works for any other 3- (α, δ) -Sasaki manifold. Integrating the volume form of SU(3) along each fibre yields the formula

$$\operatorname{vol}(\operatorname{SU}(3), g_{t_0, t_1}) = \operatorname{vol}(W^{1, 1}, g_{t_0, t_1}) \cdot \operatorname{vol}(S^1, g_{t_0, t_0}).$$

The volume form of $(SU(3), g_{t_0,t_1})$ is left-invariant and hence uniquely determined by its value at the origin, where it is proportional to $\sqrt{t_0^5 t_1^3}$. Analogously, the volume of each fibre (S^1, g_{t_0,t_0}) is proportional to $\sqrt{t_0}$. We conclude that $\operatorname{vol}(W^{1,1}, g_{t_0,t_1})$ is proportional to $t_0^2 \sqrt{t_1^3}$ so it is constant if $t_0 = t_1^{-3/4}$. In this case, each eigenvalue is proportional to $t_1^{3/4}$ or is the sum of a horizontal eigenvalue which is proportional to $t_1^{3/4}$ and a vertical eigenvalue which is proportional to t_1^{-1} . We obtain that

$$\lim_{t_1 \to \infty} \eta_1(g_{t_1^{-3/4}, t_1}) \cdot \operatorname{vol}(W^{1,1}, g_{t_1^{-3/4}, t_1})^{2/7} = \infty, \quad \lim_{t_1 \to 0} \eta_1(g_{t_1^{-3/4}, t_1}) \cdot \operatorname{vol}(W^{1,1}, g_{t_1^{-3/4}, t_1})^{2/7} = 0,$$

giving another class of counterexamples to Berger's question. A plot of the spectrum

giving another class of counterexamples to Berger's question. A plot of the spectrum under this deformation can be found in Figure 5.

Appendix A. Table of eigenvalues of $(W^{1,1}, g_{t_0,t_1})$ for small z_1, z_2, z_3

As explained in the beginning of Subsection 3.5, the electronic supplement [AgHe24] displays the first eigenvalues and multiplicities generated by the first U[•](2)-spherical representations $\rho(z_1, z_2, z_3)$, where $z_1, z_2, z_3 \in \{0, \ldots, 20\}$ and provides a suitable Python code which can be adapted to extend the calculations to an arbitrary, finite range for $z_1, z_2, z_3 \in \mathbb{N}_0$. For the reader's convenience we extract the first 78 entries of this list in Table 3.



FIGURE 5. Spectrum with constant volume [AgHe24]

z_1	z_2	z_3	η	mult	;	z_1	z_2	z_3	η
0	0	0	0	1	_	9	6	2	$24/t_1 + 96/t_0$
2	1	0	$12/t_0$	8		9	6	3	$48/t_1 + 72/t_0$
2	1	1	$8/t_1 + 4/t_0$	24		9	6	4	$80/t_1 + 40/t_0$
3	0	1	$8/t_1 + 16/t_0$	30		9	9	3	$48/t_1 + 96/t_0$
3	3	1	$8/t_1 + 16/t_0$	30]	10	2	2	$24/t_1 + 128/t_1$
4	2	0	$32/t_0$	27	1	10	2	3	$48/t_1 + 104/t_1$
4	2	1	$8/t_1 + 24/t_0$	81	1	10	2	4	$80/t_1 + 72/t_0$
4	2	2	$24/t_1 + 8/t_0$	135	1	10	5	0	$140/t_0$
5	1	1	$8/t_1 + 40/t_0$	105	1	10	5	1	$8/t_1 + 132/t_0$
5	1	2	$24/t_1 + 24/t_0$	175	1	10	5	2	$24/t_1 + 116/t_1$
5	4	1	$8/t_1 + 40/t_0$	105	1	10	5	3	$48/t_1 + 92/t_0$
5	4	2	$24/t_1 + 24/t_0$	175	1	10	5	4	$80/t_1 + 60/t_0$
6	0	2	$24/t_1 + 48/t_0$	140	1	10	5	5	$120/t_1 + 20/t_1$
6	3	0	$60/t_0$	64	1	10	8	2	$24/t_1 + 128/t_1$
6	3	1	$8/t_1 + 52/t_0$	192	1	10	8	3	$48/t_1 + 104/t_1$
6	3	2	$24/t_1 + 36/t_0$	320	1	10	8	4	$80/t_1 + 72/t_0$
6	3	3	$48/t_1 + 12/t_0$	448	1	11	1	3	$48/t_1 + 144/t_1$
6	6	2	$24/t_1 + 48/t_0$	140	1	11	1	4	$80/t_1 + 112/t_1$
7	2	1	$8/t_1 + 72/t_0$	243	1	11	4	1	$8/t_1 + 160/t_0$
7	2	2	$24/t_1 + 56/t_0$	405	1	11	4	2	$24/t_1 + 144/t_1$
7	2	3	$48/t_1 + 32/t_0$	567	1	11	4	3	$48/t_1 + 120/t_1$
7	5	1	$8/t_1 + 72/t_0$	243	1	11	4	4	$80/t_1 + 88/t_0$
7	5	2	$24/t_1 + 56/t_0$	405	1	11	4	5	$120/t_1 + 48/t_1$
7	5	3	$48/t_1 + 32/t_0$	567	1	11	7	1	$8/t_1 + 160/t_0$
8	1	2	$24/t_1 + 84/t_0$	400	1	11	7	2	$24/t_1 + 144/t_1$
8	1	3	$48/t_1 + 60/t_0$	560	1	11	7	3	$48/t_1 + 120/t_1$
8	4	0	$96/t_0$	125	1	11	7	4	$80/t_1 + 88/t_0$
8	4	1	$8/t_1 + 88/t_0$	375]	11	7	5	$120/t_1 + 48/t_1$
8	4	2	$24/t_1 + 72/t_0$	625	1	11	10	3	$48/t_1 + 144/t_1$
8	4	3	$48/t_1 + 48/t_0$	875]	11	10	4	$80/t_1 + 112/t_1$
8	4	4	$80/t_1 + 16/t_0$	1125]	12	0	4	$80/t_1 + 160/t_1$
8	7	2	$24/t_1 + 84/t_0$	400]	12	3	2	$24/t_1 + 180/t_1$
8	7	3	$48/t_1 + 60/t_0$	560]	12	3	3	$48/t_1 + 156/t_1$
9	0	3	$48/t_1 + 96/t_0$	385]	12	3	4	$80/t_1 + 124/t_1$
9	3	1	$8/t_1 + 112/t_0$	462]	12	3	5	$120/t_1 + 84/t_1$
9	3	2	$24/t_1 + 96/t_0$	770]	12	6	0	$192/t_0$
9	3	3	$48/t_1 + 72/t_0$	1078]	12	6	1	$8/t_1 + 184/t_0$

mult η $/t_1 + 96/t_0$ 770 $t/t_1 + 72/t_0$ 1078 $t/t_1 + 40/t_0$ 1386 $5/t_1 + 96/t_0$ 385 $t_1 + 128/t_0$ 810 $/t_1 + 104/t_0$ 1134 $t_1 + 72/t_0$ 1458 $140/t_0$ 216 $t_1 + 132/t_0$ 648 $/t_1 + 116/t_0$ 1080 $5/t_1 + 92/t_0$ 1512 $t/t_1 + 60/t_0$ 1944 $0/t_1 + 20/t_0$ 2376 $/t_1 + 128/t_0$ 810 $t_1 + 104/t_0$ 1134 $t_1 + 72/t_0$ 1458 $/t_1 + 144/t_0$ 1001 $t_1 + 112 t_0$ 1287 $t_1 + 160/t_0$ 780 $t_1 + 144/t_0$ 1300 $/t_1 + 120/t_0$ 1820 $t_1 + 88/t_0$ 2340 $0/t_1 + 48/t_0$ 2860 $t_1 + 160/t_0$ 780 $/t_1 + 144/t_0$ 1300 $t_1 + 120/t_0$ 1820 $t/t_1 + 88/t_0$ 2340 $0/t_1 + 48/t_0$ 2860 $/t_1 + 144/t_0$ 1001 $t_1 + 112/t_0 = 1287$ $/t_1 + 160/t_0$ 819 $t_1 + 180/t_0$ 1400 $/t_1 + 156/t_0$ 1960 $t_1 + 124 t_0 = 2520$ $0/t_1 + 84/t_0$ 3080 $192/t_0$ 343

1029

2 $24/t_1 + 168/t_0$ 1715

3 $48/t_1 + 144/t_0$ 2401 TABLE 3. First eigenvalues of $(W^{1,1}, g_{t_0, t_1})$ and their multiplicities

12

12

6

6

1386

462

4 $80/t_1 + 40/t_0$

1 $8/t_1 + 112/t_0$

9

9 6

3

Bibliography

References

- [Agr06] Agricola, I. (2006). The Srni lectures on non-integrable geometries with torsion. Arch. Math. (Brno), 42, No. 5, 5–84.
- [AgDi20] Agricola, I., Dileo, G. (2020). Generalizations of 3-Sasakian manifolds and skew torsion. Adv. Geom. 20, No. 3, 331–374.
- [ADS21] Agricola, I., Dileo, G., Stecker, L. (2021). Homogeneous non-degenerate $3-(\alpha,\delta)$ -Sasaki manifolds and submersions over quaternionic Kähler spaces. Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom. 60, 111–141.
- [AgHe24] Agricola, I., Henkel, J. (2024). *Electronic supplements for explicit computations*. Available at: Jupyter notebook viewer and GitHub repository.
- [AlWa75] Aloff, S., Wallach, N.R. (1975). An infinite family of distinct 7-manifolds admitting positively curved Riemannian structures. Bull. Am. Math. Soc. 81, 93–97.
- [BaOl19] Ball, G., Oliveira, G. (2019). Gauge theory on Aloff-Wallach spaces. Geom. Topol., 23, No. 2, 685–743.
- [BaUr83] Bando, S., Urakawa, H. (1983). Generic properties of the eigenvalue of the Laplacian for compact Riemannian manifolds. Tohoku Math. J. (2) 35(2): 155–172.
- [BFGK91] Baum, H., Friedrich, T., Grunewald, R., Kath, I. (1991). Twistors and Killing Spinors on Riemannian Manifolds. Stuttgart etc.: B.G. Teubner Verlagsgesellschaft.
- [BM77] Beers, B. L., Millman, R. S. (1977). The spectra of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on compact, semisimple Lie groups. Am. J. Math. 99, 801–807.
- [BN20] Berestovskii, V. N., Nikonorov, Y. G. (2020). *Riemannian Manifolds and Homogeneous Geodesics*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Cham: Springer.
- [Ber61] Berger, M. (1961). Les variétés riemanniennes homogènes normales simplement connexes à courbure strictement positive. Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa, Sci. Fis. Mat., III. Ser. 15, 179–246.
- [Ber73] Berger, M. (1973), Sur les premieres valeurs propres des variétés Riemanniennes. Compos. Math. 26, 129–149.
- [BGM71] Berger, M., Gauduchon, P., Mazet, E. (1971). Le spectre d'une variété riemannienne. Lecture Notes in Mathematics. 194. Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer-Verlag.
- [BeBo82] Bergery, L. B., Bourguignon, J. (1982) Laplacians and Riemannian submersions with totally geodesic fibers. Ill. J. Math. 26, 181–200.
- [Bes87] Besse, A.L. (1987). *Einstein Manifolds*. Reprint of the 1987 edition. Berlin, Heidelberg, New York: Springer-Verlag.
- [1BLP22] Bettiol, R. G., Lauret, E. A., Piccione, P. (2022). Full Laplace Spectrum of Distance Spheres in Symmetric Spaces of Rank One. Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 54, No. 5, 1683–1704.
- [2BLP22] Bettiol, R.G., Lauret, E.A., Piccione, P. (2022). The First Eigenvalue of a Homogeneous CROSS. J. Geom. Anal. 32, No. 3, Paper No. 76, 63 p.
- [BFFM01] Billo, M., Fabbri, D., Fré, P., Merlatti, P., Zaffaroni, A. (2001). Rings of short N = 3 superfields in three dimensions and M-theory on $AdS_4 \times N^{0,1,0}$. Class. Quantum Grav., 18, No. 7, 1269–1290.
- [BöKe06] Böhm C., Kerr, M. (2006). Low-dimensional homogeneous Einstein manifolds. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 358, No. 4, 1455–1468 (Zbl 1087.53042)
- [Bou09] Boucetta, M. (2009). The Lichnerowicz Laplacian on compact symmetric spaces. C. R., Math., Acad. Sci. Paris 347, No. 17–18, 1061–1066.
- [BGM94] Boyer, C., Galicki, K., Mann, B. (1994). The geometry and topology of 3-Sasakian manifolds. J. Reine Angew. Math. 455, 183–220.
- [CW76] Cahn, R. S., Wolf, J. A. (1976). Zeta functions and their asymptotic expansions for compact symmetric spaces of rank one. Comment. Math. Helv. 51, 1–21.
- [Cha84] Chavel, I.,(1984). Eigenvalues in Riemannian Geometry. Pure and Applied Mathematics, 115. Orlando etc: Academic Press.
- [DAZi79] D'Atri, J.E., Ziller, W. (1979). Naturally reductive metrics and Einstein metrics on compact Lie groups. Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society. 215. 10.1090/memo/0215.
- [DOP20] Draper, C., Ortega, M., Palomo, F. (2020). Affine connections on 3-Sasakian homogeneous manifolds. Math. Z. 294, No. 1–2, 817–868.
- [EIII86] El Soufi, A., Ilias, S. (1986). Immersions minimales, première valeur propre du laplacien et volume conforme, Math. Ann. 275 (2) 257–267.
- [FIP04] Falcitelli, M., Ianus, S., Pastore, A. (2004) Riemannian submersions and related topics. River Edge, NJ: World Scientific.
- [FKMS97] Friedrich, Th., Kath, I., Moroianu, A., Semmelmann, U. (1997). On nearly parallel G₂structures. J. Geom. Phys., 23, No. 3–4, 259–286.

Bibl	iogra	phy
	- ()	· •/

- [FuHa91] Fulton, W., Harris, J. (1991). Representation Theory: A First Course. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 129. Berlin, Heidelberg, New York: Springer-Verlag.
- [GiPa95] Gilkey, P. B., Park, J. H. (1995) Eigenvalues of the Laplacian and Riemannian submersions. Yokohama Math. J. 43, No. 1, 7–11
- [GRS23] Goertsches, O., Roschig, L., Stecker, L. (2023). Revisiting the classification of homogeneous 3-Sasakian and quaternionic Kähler manifolds. Eur. J. Math. 9, No. 1, Paper No. 11, 28 p.
- [GoWa09] Goodman, R., Wallach, N. R. (2009). Symmetry, representations, and invariants. Based on the book "Representations and invariants of the classical groups" originally published by Cambridge University Press, 1998. New York, NY: Springer.
- [GoSu10] Gordon, C. S., Sutton, C. J. (2010). Spectral isolation of naturally reductive metrics on simple Lie groups. Math. Z. 266, No. 4, 979–995.
- [Hal15] Hall, B. (2015). Lie groups, Lie algebras, and representations. An elementary introduction. 2nd ed. Cham: Springer.
- [Hel59] Helgason, S. (1959). Differential operators on homogeneous spaces. Acta Math. 102, 239–299.
- [Her70] Hersch, J. (1970). Quatre propriétés isopérimétriques de membranes sphériques homogènes, C.R.A.S. 270 (1970) 1645–1648.
- [IT78] Ikeda, A., Taniguchi, Y. (1978). Spectra and eigenforms of the Laplacian on S^n and $P^n(C)$. Osaka J. Math. 15, 515–546
- [Kos56] Kostant B. (1956). On differential geometry and homogeneous spaces II., Proc. N.A.S. U.S.A. 42, 354–357.
- [Lau19] Lauret, E.A. (2019). The smallest Laplace eigenvalue of homogeneous 3-spheres, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 51, No. 1, 49–69
- [Lic64] Lichnerowicz, A. (1964). Opérateurs différentiels invariants sur un espace homogène. Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (3) 81, 341–385.
- [MPS77] McKay, W., Patera, J., Sankoff, D. (1977). The Computation of Branching Rules for Representations of Semisimple Lie Algebras. In R. E. Beck & B. Kolman (Eds.), Computers in Nonassociative Rings and Algebras (pp. 235-277). Academic Press. https://doi.org/10. 1016/B978-0-12-083850-9.50013-2.
- [NaSe23] Nagy, P.-A. and Semmelmann, U. (2023). Eigenvalue estimates for 3-Sasaki structures. J. Reine Angew. Math. 803, 35–60.
- [MoPa82] Moody, R. V., Patera, J. (1982). Characters of elements of finite order in Lie groups. Bull. Am. Math. Soc., New Ser. 7, 237–242.
- [Ni04] Nikonorov, Y. G. (2004). Compact Homogeneous Einstein 7-Manifolds. Geom. Dedicata 109, 7–30.
- [O'Ne83] O'Neill, B. (1983). Semi-Riemannian geometry. With applications to relativity. Pure and Applied Mathematics, 103. New York-London etc.: Academic Press.
- [Sch24] Schwahn, P. (2024). The Lichnerowicz Laplacian on normal homogeneous spaces. Preprint, arXiv:2304.10607.
- [Tak94] Takeuchi, M. (1994). *Modern spherical functions*. Transl. from the Japanese by Toshinobu Nagura. Providence, RI: AMS
- [Ter00] Termonina, P. (2000). The complete $\mathcal{N} = 3$ Kaluza-Klein spectrum of 11D supergravity on $AdS_4 \times N^{010}$, Nucl. Phys., B 577, No. 1–2, 341–389.
- [Ura79] Urakawa, H. (1979). On the least positive eigenvalue of the Laplacian for compact group manifolds. J. Math. Soc. Japan 31, 209–226.
- [Ura84] Urakawa, H. (1984). Numerical Computations of the Spectra of the Laplacian on 7-Dimensional Homogeneous Manifolds SU(3)/T(k,l). SIAM J. Math. Anal. 15, 979–987.
- [Ura86] Urakawa, H. (1986). The first eigenvalue of the Laplacian for a positively curved homogeneous riemannian manifold.. Compos. Math. 59, 57–71.
- [Vin89] Vinberg, E. (1989). *Linear representations of groups*. Transl. from the Russian by A. Iacob. Basel etc.: Birkhäuser Verlag.
- [Wal73] Wallach, N. R. (1973, 2018). Harmonic analysis on homogeneous spaces. 2nd edition, revised and updated republication of the 1973 original published by Marcel Dekker. Mineola, NY: Dover Publications.
- [Wa82] Wang, M. (1982). Some examples of homogeneous Einstein manifolds in dimension seven. Duke Math. J., 49, 23–28.

Bibliography

- [Wil99] Wilking, B. (1999). The Normal Homogeneous Space $(SU(3) \times SO(3))/U^{\bullet}(2)$ Has Positive Sectional Curvature. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 127, No. 4, 1191–1194.
- [Yam79] Yamaguchi, S. (1979). Spectra of flag manifolds. Mem. Fac. Sci., Kyushu Univ., Ser. A 33, 95 - 112.
- [Zill77] Ziller, W. (1977). The Jacobi Equation on Naturally Reductive Compact Riemannian Homogeneous Spaces, Comment. Math. Helv. 52, 573-590.
- [Zill82] Ziller, W. (1982). Homogeneous Einstein metrics on spheres and projective spaces. Math. Ann. 259, 351–358.
- ILKA AGRICOLA, JONAS HENKEL, FACHBEREICH MATHEMATIK UND INFORMATIK, PHILIPPS-UNIVERSITÄT MARBURG, CAMPUS LAHNBERGE, 35032 MARBURG, GERMANY

agricola@mathematik.uni-marburg.de, henkelj@mathematik.uni-marburg.de