

# On the relativistic effect in the Dirac–Fock theory

Long Meng\*

## Abstract

In this paper, we study the error bound of the Dirac–Fock ground-state energy and the Hartree–Fock ground-state energy. This error bound is called the relativistic effect in quantum mechanics. We confirm that the relativistic effect in the Dirac–Fock ground-state energy is of the order  $\mathcal{O}(c^{-2})$  with  $c$  being the speed of light. Furthermore, if the potential between electrons and nuclei is regular, we get the leading order relativistic correction, which comprises the sum of the mass-velocity term, the Darwin term, and the spin-orbit term. The proof is based on a delicate study of projections onto the positive eigenspace of some Dirac operators.

To our knowledge, it is the first mathematical derivation of the leading order relativistic correction for nonlinear Dirac ground-state energies. Our method paves the way to study the relativistic effects in general nonlinear Dirac problems.

## 1 Introduction

This work is part of a series of papers by the author on Dirac–Fock (DF) theory [8, 9, 20, 21]. Here, we focus on the relativistic effect in the DF ground-state energy, that is the error bound between the DF ground-state energy and the Hartree–Fock (HF) ground-state energy.

The emergence of relativistic quantum mechanics has been one of the most remarkable developments in quantum physics over the past century. Since Dirac’s pioneering work, relativity has been a part of the quantum physical picture. While non-relativistic theories successfully describe quantum systems with particle velocities much smaller than the speed of light  $c$ , relativistic effects play a crucial role in high-precision calculations. Now it became clear that relativistic effects had an essential influence on a number of physical and chemical properties.

Understanding how relativistic effects influence non-relativistic theories is crucial for bridging the gap between non-relativistic quantum mechanics and the more comprehensive relativistic framework. This is of particular importance for Fermions with spin  $\pm\frac{1}{2}$  due to the complexity of the Dirac operator.

### 1.1 Linear Dirac eigenvalue problem

As a small quantity – especially for light atoms and molecules built from them – the relativistic effect is a perturbation of the non-relativistic energies. Consequently, perturbation methods are developed in quantum mechanics, and the direct perturbation

---

\*LONG MENG, MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, LUDWIG-MAXIMILIANS-UNIVERSITÄT MÜNCHEN, 80333 MÜNCHEN, GERMANY *E-mail address*: [meng@math.lmu.de](mailto:meng@math.lmu.de)

theory (see e.g., [13, 17] for a review) is frequently used and has shown significant success in quantum chemistry.

From a rigorous mathematical point of view, the perturbation theory used in quantum mechanics only works for linear Dirac eigenvalue problems. Its mathematical justification is based on the holomorphy of the resolvent of the Dirac operators with respect to  $c^{-2}$  [7]. We refer to [28, Chp. 6] as a mathematical review of this perturbation argument.

Using perturbation theory, the eigenvalues of the Dirac operators can be expressed as a Taylor expansion of  $c^{-2}$ . Among these terms, the leading relativistic term (i.e., term of the order  $\mathcal{O}(c^{-2})$ ) plays an important role in quantum physics. When the nuclei are not very heavy, the leading order correction term is the main contribution of the relativistic effect. It is shown in quantum chemistry that for rare gases (i.e., the number of electrons  $q = 2, 10, 18, \dots, 86$ ), the leading order correction term accounts for at least 82% of the total relativistic effects [23].

Furthermore, within the leading order correction term, the *spin-orbital term* is of particular importance in *spintronics*. By manipulating this term through an external magnetic potential, one can control the spin state of electrons. This idea has been used to design spin-based quantum logic for quantum computing (see e.g., [29]).

## 1.2 Nonlinear Dirac ground-state problem

Concerning the nonlinear Dirac bound-state energies, the study of the relativistic effect is more delicate. To our knowledge, no existing mathematical method can rigorously derive the leading order relativistic correction for such nonlinear systems.

A fundamental challenge arises because the Dirac operator is unbounded from below. Thus it is impossible to define the ground-state energy as a direct minimum problem. According to Dirac’s interpretation, the negative spectrum of Dirac operators is occupied by the Dirac sea, leaving only the positive spectrum available for electronic states. Consequently, states of electrons should satisfy

$$\gamma = P^+ \gamma P^+ \tag{1.1}$$

in the sense of density matrix (see Section 2.2 for more details) where  $P^+$  is the projection onto the positive eigenspace of some Dirac operators. For example, we can choose the so-called free picture  $\Lambda_c^+$  (see (2.3) for the definition of  $\Lambda_c^\pm$ ) as the projection, i.e.,

$$P^+ = \Lambda_c^+.$$

The optimal choice of  $P^+$  is relevant to Mittleman’s variational principle [22], and we refer to [20, 21] for its mathematical studies.

With  $P^+$  in hand, the “well-defined” nonlinear Dirac functional becomes

$$\gamma \mapsto \mathcal{E}_c|_{P^+}(\gamma) := \mathcal{E}_c(P^+ \gamma P^+) \tag{1.2}$$

with  $\mathcal{E}_c$  being a nonlinear Dirac functional (In this paper, it is the DF functional defined by (3.1)). Now the functional  $\mathcal{E}_c|_{P^+}$  is bounded from below and the ground-state energy associated with the projection can be easily defined. However, the projection  $P^+$  complicates the study of  $\mathcal{E}_c|_{P^+}$ . Concerning the relativistic effect in the projected problem, we need a careful study of  $P^+$ .

The use of projections is common in relativistic many-particle problems. However, concerning the one-particle problem such as the DF problem, the ground-state energy is typically obtained through the min-max principle rather than by introducing a fixed projection.

To make our argument rigorous, from now on we restrict ourselves to the DF problem, but we shall point out that the basic idea also works for general non-linear Dirac problems. The DF model, first introduced in [27], is widely used in computational chemistry. It is a variant of the HF model in which the kinetic energy operator  $-\frac{1}{2}\Delta$  is replaced by the free Dirac operator  $\mathcal{D}^c$ . Even though in principle it is not physically meaningful, this approach gives remarkably accurate results that are in excellent agreement with experience data (see, e.g., [11, 16]).

Mathematically the DF ground-state energy can be defined through min-max theory [5, 15]:

$$e_{c,q} = \min_{\substack{\Phi \in G_q(H^{1/2}) \\ \Phi \text{ solution of DF equations}}} \mathcal{E}_c(\gamma_\Phi) = \inf_{\substack{V \subset (\Lambda_c^+ H^{1/2})^q \\ \dim(V)=q}} \sup_{\substack{\Phi \in (\Lambda_c^- H^{1/2} \oplus V)^N \\ 0 \leq \text{Gram}_{L^2} \Phi \leq \mathbf{1}_q}} \mathcal{E}_c(\gamma_\Phi). \quad (1.3)$$

Solutions of DF equation have been studied in [14, 24]. Here  $\gamma_\Phi$  is the density matrix associated with  $\Phi := (u_1, \dots, u_q) \in G_q$  defined by its kernel

$$\gamma_\Phi(x, y) = \sum_{j=1}^q u_j(x) \otimes u_j^*(y),$$

The space  $G_q$  is the functional space presenting the wavefunctions of  $q$  electrons and is a Grassmannian manifold defined by

$$G_q(H^{1/2}) := \{G \text{ subspace of } H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^4); \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(G) = q\}$$

where  $q$  is the number of electrons, and the  $q \times q$  matrix  $\text{Gram}_{L^2} \Phi$  is defined by

$$(\text{Gram}_{L^2} \Phi)_{j,k} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_k^*(x) u_j(x) dx.$$

Eq. (1.3) means that minimizers are critical points of nonlinear Dirac functional. As a critical point, DF minimizers also satisfies some ‘‘projected’’ conditions similar to (1.1):

$$\gamma = P_{c,\gamma}^+ \gamma P_{c,\gamma}^+ \quad (1.4)$$

where  $P_{c,\gamma}^+$ , defined by (3.11), is the projector of the positive subspace of the DF operator. This  $P_{c,\gamma}^+$  is expected to be the optimal choice of the projection in Mittleman’s variational principle [4, 20, 21] for nonlinear DF problems. Using (1.4), according to [25] the DF ground-state energy can also be defined by

$$E_{c,q} := \min_{\substack{\gamma \in \Gamma_q \\ \gamma = P_{c,\gamma}^+ \gamma P_{c,\gamma}^+}} \mathcal{E}_c(\gamma). \quad (1.5)$$

This corresponds to (3.12) and  $\Gamma_q$  is the state of electrons defined by (3.10). These two definitions are equivalent (see [20] by the author and also Remark 3.10 in this paper). Roughly speaking, for  $c$  large enough, we have

$$E_{c,q} = e_{c,q}. \quad (1.6)$$

In this paper, we study the relativistic effect in the DF ground-state energy by using (1.5). Nevertheless, Eq. (1.6) implies that the result is equivalent to the study of the relativistic effect in the DF ground-state energy defined by the min-max theory (1.3).

### 1.3 Relativistic effect in nonlinear Dirac ground-state energy

The relationship between nonlinear Dirac problems and their non-relativistic counterparts has been an active area of mathematical research [6, 10, 15]. However, they mainly focus on the non-relativistic limit of the bound-state solutions, that is the solutions of Dirac equations will converge to the solutions of some non-relativistic Schrödinger/Pauli equations. Concerning the bound-state energy, this implies that

$$\boxed{\text{Dirac bound-state energy}} = \boxed{\text{Schrödinger/Pauli bound-state energy}} + o_{c \rightarrow \infty}(1).$$

Such results only provide asymptotic convergence of energies without quantifying the relativistic corrections.

In this paper, we focus on the relativistic effect in the DF ground-state energy, confirming predictions from relativistic quantum chemistry (i.e., Theorem 3.8), that is

$$E_{c,q} = E_q^{\text{HF}} + \mathcal{O}(c^{-2})$$

with  $E_q^{\text{HF}}$  being the HF ground-state energy defined by (3.14). Furthermore, if the potential between electrons and nuclei is regular (that is the potential satisfies Assumption 3.11), then we obtain the leading order relativistic correction term (see Theorem 3.13): there exists  $E^{(2)}$  of the order  $\mathcal{O}(c^{-2})$  such that

$$E_{c,q} = E_q^{\text{HF}} + E^{(2)} + o(c^{-2}). \quad (1.7)$$

Furthermore, the leading order correction term  $E^{(2)}$  can be decomposed into three terms: the mass-velocity term, the Darwin term and the spin-orbital term (see Proposition 3.15).

To get the leading order relativistic correction, we focus on the study of the projection  $P^+$ , and the proof relies heavily on the author's previous work [20]. The key technical insights involve:

- We link any HF ground-state  $u^{\text{HF}} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^2)$  with DF states by

$$|u^{\text{HF}}\rangle \langle u^{\text{HF}}| \xrightarrow{\text{RN}} |\tilde{u}^{\text{HF}}\rangle \langle \tilde{u}^{\text{HF}}| \approx |\Lambda_c^+ \tilde{u}^{\text{HF}}\rangle \langle \Lambda_c^+ \tilde{u}^{\text{HF}}| \approx \theta_c(|\Lambda_c^+ \tilde{u}^{\text{HF}}\rangle \langle \Lambda_c^+ \tilde{u}^{\text{HF}}|) \quad (1.8)$$

where

$$\tilde{u}^{\text{HF}} := \left( 1 + \frac{1}{4c^2} \|(-\Delta)^{1/2} u^{\text{HF}}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^2)}^2 \right)^{-1/2} \begin{pmatrix} u^{\text{HF}} \\ \frac{1}{2c} \mathcal{L} u^{\text{HF}} \end{pmatrix},$$

“RN” means that  $\tilde{u}^{\text{HF}}$  is a relativistic renormalization of  $u^{\text{HF}}$ ,  $\mathcal{L}$  is defined by (2.5), and  $\theta_c$  is a retraction of projections defined by (5.3) such that  $\theta_c(\cdot)$  satisfies (1.4). These are the main arguments in Sections 4-5.

- We also link any DF ground-state  $u_c = \begin{pmatrix} u_c^{\text{L}} \\ u_c^{\text{S}} \end{pmatrix}$  (where  $u_c^{\text{L}}, u_c^{\text{S}} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^2)$ ) with HF states by

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_c^{\text{L}} \\ u_c^{\text{S}} \end{pmatrix} \approx \begin{pmatrix} u_c^{\text{L}} \\ \frac{1}{2c} \mathcal{L} u_c^{\text{L}} \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\text{RN}} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{u}_c \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (1.9)$$

where

$$\tilde{u} = \left( 1 - \frac{1}{4c^2} \|(-\Delta)^{1/2} u^{\text{L}}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^2)}^2 + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}) \right)^{-1/2} u^{\text{L}}$$

is a non-relativistic renormalization of  $u$ . This is the main argument in Section 6.

To our knowledge, this is the first time in mathematics that the leading order relativistic correction is obtained from nonlinear Dirac ground-state energy. Moreover, one can also define the general nonlinear Dirac ground-state energy by using (1.5). Once this definition is justified mathematically, the method of this paper can also be used to study the relativistic effects of general nonlinear Dirac ground-state energies.

*Organization of this paper:* In Section 2, we introduce the Dirac/Schrödinger operators, the functional spaces and also some notations used in the paper. In Section 3, we recall the mathematical definition of DF/HF ground-state energy and we state the main results of this paper. In Sections 4 and 5, we study the process (1.8), while in Section 6, we study the process (1.9). Finally, in Section 7, we prove the main results (i.e., Theorem 3.8 and Theorem 3.13) of this paper. We also collect some useful estimates in Appendix A.

## 2 Dirac and Schrödinger operator, functional space and some notations

### 2.1 Free Dirac and Schrödinger operator

In this paper, for simplicity we assume the mass of particle  $m = 1$ .

In non-relativistic quantum mechanics with spin  $\pm\frac{1}{2}$ , the free Schrödinger operator is defined by

$$H_0 := -\frac{1}{2}\Delta. \quad (2.1)$$

The operator  $H_0$  acts on 2-spinors; that is, on functions from  $\mathbb{R}^3$  to  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . It is self-adjoint in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^2)$  (which is equivalent to  $\mathcal{H}_L$  defined in (2.9) below), with domain  $H^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^2)$  and form domain  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^2)$ . Its spectrum is  $\sigma(H_0) = [0, +\infty)$ .

In the framework of relativistic quantum mechanics, the Schrödinger operator should be replaced by the free Dirac operator which is defined by

$$\mathcal{D}^c = -ic \sum_{k=1}^3 \alpha_k \partial_k + c^2 \beta$$

with the speed of light  $c$  and  $4 \times 4$  complex matrices  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$  and  $\beta$ , whose standard forms are:

$$\beta = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1}_2 & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbb{1}_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha_k = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_k \\ \sigma_k & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\mathbb{1}_2$  is the  $2 \times 2$  identity matrix and the  $\sigma_k$ 's, for  $k \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ , are the well-known  $2 \times 2$  Pauli matrices

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

These algebraic conditions are here to ensure that  $\mathcal{D}^c$  is a symmetric operator, such that

$$(\mathcal{D}^c)^2 = c^4 - c^2 \Delta. \quad (2.2)$$

The operator  $\mathcal{D}^c$  acts on 4-spinors; that is, on functions from  $\mathbb{R}^3$  to  $\mathbb{C}^4$ . It is self-adjoint in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^4)$ , with domain  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^4)$  and form domain  $H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^4)$ . Its spectrum is  $\sigma(\mathcal{D}^c) = (-\infty, -c^2] \cup [+c^2, +\infty)$ . Following the notation in [14, 24], we denote by  $\Lambda_c^+$  and  $\Lambda_c^- = \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{H}} - \Lambda_c^+$  respectively the two orthogonal projectors on  $\mathcal{H}$  corresponding to the positive and negative eigenspaces of  $\mathcal{D}^c$ ; that is

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{D}^c \Lambda_c^+ = \Lambda_c^+ \mathcal{D}^c = \Lambda_c^+ \sqrt{c^4 - c^2 \Delta} = \sqrt{c^4 - c^2 \Delta} \Lambda_c^+; \\ \mathcal{D}^c \Lambda_c^- = \Lambda_c^- \mathcal{D}^c = -\Lambda_c^- \sqrt{c^4 - c^2 \Delta} = -\sqrt{c^4 - c^2 \Delta} \Lambda_c^-. \end{cases}$$

More precisely,  $\Lambda_c^\pm$  can be defined by

$$\Lambda_c^\pm := \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}^\pm}(\mathcal{D}^c) = \frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{\mathcal{D}^c}{2|\mathcal{D}^c|}. \quad (2.3)$$

For any relativistic one-body wavefunction  $u$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^4)$ , we set<sup>1</sup>

$$u(x) := \begin{pmatrix} u^L(x) \\ u^S(x) \end{pmatrix}, \quad u^L, u^S : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2. \quad (2.4)$$

Let

$$\mathcal{L} = -i\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \nabla = -i \sum_{k=1}^3 \sigma_k \partial_k, \quad \boldsymbol{\sigma} = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3); \quad (2.5)$$

let

$$\mathcal{S}_c u := \begin{pmatrix} u^L(x) \\ \frac{1}{2c} \mathcal{L} u^L(x) \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.6)$$

and

$$\mathcal{K}_L u := \begin{pmatrix} u^L(x) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{K}_S u := \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ u^S(x) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.7)$$

Then the Dirac operator and Schrödinger operator have the following relationship:

$$(\mathcal{D}^c - c^2) \mathcal{S}_c u = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} \Delta u^L \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = H_0 \mathcal{K}_L u \quad (2.8)$$

since  $\mathcal{L}^2 = -\Delta$  on  $H^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^2)$ .

## 2.2 Functional spaces and density matrices

Throughout the paper, we restrict ourselves to 4-spinors, and we denote  $\mathcal{H} := L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^4)$  and  $H^s := H^s(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^4)$  with any  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ . In non-relativistic quantum mechanics, the state of electrons is described by  $u^L$ , and we have  $u^S = 0$ . Thus for the one-body wavefunctions in non-relativistic quantum mechanics, we replace the functional space  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^2)$  by the following subspace of  $\mathcal{H}$ :

$$\mathcal{H}_L := \mathcal{K}_L \mathcal{H} = \{u \in \mathcal{H}; u^S = 0\} \cong L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^2). \quad (2.9)$$

---

<sup>1</sup>In relativistic quantum chemistry,  $u^L$  is the large component and  $u^S$  is the small component.

Let  $\mathcal{B}(W_1, W_2)$  be the space of bounded linear maps from a Banach space  $W_1$  to a Banach space  $W_2$ , equipped with the norm

$$\|A\|_{\mathcal{B}(W_1, W_2)} := \sup_{u \in W_1, \|u\|_{W_1}=1} \|Au\|_{W_2}.$$

We denote  $\mathcal{B}(W) := \mathcal{B}(W, W)$ . The functional space  $\mathfrak{S}_p := \mathfrak{S}_p(\mathcal{H})$  for  $p \in [1, \infty)$  is defined by

$$\mathfrak{S}_p := \{\gamma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}); \text{Tr}[|\gamma|^p] < +\infty\},$$

endowed with the norm

$$\|\gamma\|_{\mathfrak{S}_p}^p := \text{Tr}[|\gamma|^p].$$

We also define

$$X^s := \{\gamma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}); \gamma = \gamma^*, (1 - \Delta)^{s/4} \gamma (1 - \Delta)^{s/4} \in \mathfrak{S}_1\},$$

endowed with the norm

$$\|\gamma\|_{X^s} := \|(1 - \Delta)^{s/4} \gamma (1 - \Delta)^{s/4}\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1}.$$

In particular, we denote  $X := X^1$ . For any  $\gamma \in X$ , we also introduce the following  $c$ -dependent norm:

$$\|\gamma\|_{X_c} := \|\mathcal{D}^c |^{1/2} \gamma | \mathcal{D}^c |^{1/2}\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1} = \|(c^4 - c^2 \Delta)^{1/4} \gamma (c^4 - c^2 \Delta)^{1/4}\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1}.$$

For every density matrix  $\gamma \in X$ , there exists a complete set of eigenfunctions  $(u_n)_{n \geq 1}$  of  $\gamma$  in  $\mathcal{H}$ , corresponding to the non-increasing sequence of eigenvalues  $(\lambda_n)_{n \geq 1}$  (counted with their multiplicity) such that  $\gamma$  can be rewritten as

$$\gamma = \sum_{n \geq 1} \lambda_n |u_n\rangle \langle u_n| \quad (2.10)$$

where  $|u\rangle \langle u|$  denotes an operator onto the vector space spanned by the function  $u$  and can be defined by

$$|u\rangle \langle u| \psi = \langle u, \psi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} u, \quad \forall \psi \in \mathcal{H}.$$

The kernel  $\gamma(x, y)$  of  $\gamma$  reads as

$$\gamma(x, y) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \lambda_n u_n(x) \otimes u_n^*(y)$$

The one-particle density associated with  $\gamma$  is

$$\rho_\gamma(x) := \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{C}^4}[\gamma(x, x)] = \sum_{n \geq 1} \lambda_n |u_n(x)|^2,$$

where the notation  $\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{C}^4}$  stands for the trace of a  $4 \times 4$  matrix.

In the non-relativistic setting, the density matrix  $\gamma = \gamma^*$  is situated in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_L) \cap X^2$  and we rather write

$$\gamma = \sum_{n \geq 1} \lambda_n |u_n\rangle \langle u_n|$$

with  $(u_n)_{n \geq 1}$  in  $\mathcal{H}_L$ , since for any operator  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_L) \cap X^2$ , by  $A^* = A$ , its kernel  $A(x, y)$  satisfies

$$A(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} A^{L,L}(x, y) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A^{L,L} = (A^{L,L})^* : \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \text{Mat}_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{C}).$$

## 2.3 Some notations

The following notations will also be used in the paper. Let  $A = (a_{m,n})_{1 \leq m, n \leq q} \in \text{Mat}_{q \times q}(\mathbb{C})$  be any  $q \times q$  matrix, and  $\{u_1, \dots, u_q\}$  be some functions in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then we define

$$\left( |u_1\rangle, \dots, |u_q\rangle \right) A \begin{pmatrix} \langle u_1| \\ \vdots \\ \langle u_q| \end{pmatrix} := \sum_{1 \leq m, n \leq q} a_{m,n} |u_m\rangle \langle u_n|$$

and

$$\left( \langle u_1|, \dots, \langle u_q| \right) A \begin{pmatrix} |u_1\rangle \\ \vdots \\ |u_q\rangle \end{pmatrix} := \sum_{1 \leq m, n \leq q} a_{m,n} \langle u_m, u_n \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

In addition, we will abuse the notation  $\mathcal{L}u$  for any  $u \in \mathcal{H}$ :

$$\mathcal{L}u := \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{L}u^L \\ \mathcal{L}u^S \end{pmatrix}.$$

In practice, the notation  $\mathcal{L}u$  is only used for 2-spinors (i.e.,  $u = \mathcal{K}_L u$  or  $u = \mathcal{K}_S u$ ). Analogously, we define  $\mathcal{L}\gamma$  in the same manner for any density matrix  $\gamma \in X^2$ : using (2.10), we can write

$$\mathcal{L}\gamma\mathcal{L} = \sum_{n \geq 1} \lambda_n |\mathcal{L}u_n\rangle \langle \mathcal{L}u_n|$$

with  $u_n \in H^1$ .

## 3 Models and main results

### 3.1 The DF and HF models for atoms and molecules

We now recall the DF theory and the HF theory.

#### 3.1.1 The DF and HF operators and functionals

For any  $\gamma \in X$ , the DF functional is defined by

$$\mathcal{E}_c(\gamma) := \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[(\mathcal{D}^c - c^2)\gamma] - \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[V\gamma] + \frac{1}{2}\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_\gamma\gamma], \quad (3.1)$$

while for any  $\gamma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_L) \cap X^2$ , the HF functional is defined by

$$\mathcal{E}^{\text{HF}}(\gamma) := \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[H_0\gamma] - \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[V\gamma] + \frac{1}{2}\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_\gamma\gamma] \quad (3.2)$$

where for any  $\psi \in H^{1/2}$ ,

$$W_\gamma\psi(x) = W_{1,\gamma}\psi(x) - W_{2,\gamma}\psi(x) \quad (3.3)$$



with

$$W_{1,\gamma}\psi(x) := (\rho_\gamma * W)\psi(x), \quad W_{2,\gamma}\psi(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} W(x-y)\gamma(x,y)\psi(y)dy. \quad (3.4)$$

Here  $V$  is the attractive potential between nuclei and electrons, and  $W$  is the repulsive potential between electrons. We consider the electrostatic case  $W = \frac{1}{|x|}$  and  $V = \mu * \frac{1}{|x|}$  with a nonnegative nuclear charge distribution  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_+(\mathbb{R}^3)$  satisfying  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d\mu = z$ .

Based on DF and HF functionals, the corresponding DF operator is defined by

$$\mathcal{D}_\gamma^c := \mathcal{D}^c - V + W_\gamma \quad (3.5)$$

while the corresponding HF operator is defined by

$$H_{0,\gamma} := \mathcal{K}_L \left( H_0 - V + W_\gamma \right) \mathcal{K}_L. \quad (3.6)$$

Before going further, we study the operator  $W_{2,\bullet}$ . Let  $u, v \in H^1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle W_{2,|v}\langle v|u, u \rangle \rangle &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{[u^*(x)v(x)][v^*(y)u(y)]}{|x-y|} dx dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \sum_{j,j' \in \{S,L\}} \frac{[(\mathcal{K}_j u)^*(x)(\mathcal{K}_j v)(x)][(\mathcal{K}_{j'} v)^*(y)(\mathcal{K}_{j'} u)(y)]}{|x-y|} dx dy \\ &= \sum_{j,j' \in \{S,L\}} \left\langle W_{2,\mathcal{K}_j|v}\langle v|\mathcal{K}_{j'}\mathcal{K}_{j'}u, \mathcal{K}_j u \right\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

In particular, for  $j, j' \in \{S, L\}$ ,

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{2,\mathcal{K}_j\gamma_1\mathcal{K}_{j'}\gamma}] = \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{2,\mathcal{K}_j\gamma_1\mathcal{K}_{j'}\mathcal{K}_{j'}\gamma\mathcal{K}_j}]. \quad (3.8)$$

Indeed, we also have

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[V\gamma] = \sum_{j \in \{S,L\}} \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[V\mathcal{K}_j\gamma\mathcal{K}_j], \quad \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{1,\gamma'}\gamma] = \sum_{j \in \{S,L\}} \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{1,\gamma'}\mathcal{K}_j\gamma\mathcal{K}_j]. \quad (3.9)$$

### 3.1.2 Dirac–Fock ground state energy

Let  $q$  be the number of electrons. Let

$$\Gamma := \{\gamma \in X; 0 \leq \gamma \leq \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}}\}, \quad \Gamma_q := \{\gamma \in \Gamma; \mathrm{Tr}[\gamma] \leq q\}, \quad (3.10)$$

and let

$$P_{c,\gamma}^+ = \mathbf{1}_{(0,+\infty)}(\mathcal{D}_\gamma^c), \quad P_{c,\gamma}^- = \mathbf{1}_{(-\infty,0)}(\mathcal{D}_\gamma^c). \quad (3.11)$$

In the DF theory, the relevant set of electronic states is defined by

$$\Gamma_q^+ := \{\gamma \in \Gamma_q; P_{c,\gamma}^+ \gamma P_{c,\gamma}^+ = \gamma\}.$$

According to [25], the ground state energy of DF model can be redefined by

$$E_{c,q} := \min_{\gamma \in \Gamma_q^+} \mathcal{E}_c(\gamma). \quad (3.12)$$

Before going further, we need the following assumption.

**Assumption 3.1.** [25, Theorem 1.2 and Remark 1.3] Let  $\kappa_c := 2c^{-1}(q+z)$  and  $R_c^{\text{DF}} := (1 - \kappa_c - \frac{\pi}{4}c^{-1}q)^{-1/2}q + 1$ . Assume that

$$\kappa_c < 1 - \frac{\pi}{4}c^{-1}q, \quad R_c^{\text{DF}} < \frac{1}{2a_c}$$

with  $a_c := \frac{\pi}{4c\sqrt{(1-\kappa_c)\lambda_{0,c}}}$  and  $\lambda_{0,c} := (1 - c^{-1}\max(q, Z))$ .

The existence of a ground state is guaranteed by the following.

**Theorem 3.2** (Existence of minimizers in the DF theory [25]). *Let  $q \in \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $z \in \mathbb{R}^+$  be fixed such that  $q \leq z$ . Then under Assumption 3.1 on  $c$ , the minimum problem (3.12) admits a minimizer  $\gamma_*^c \in \Gamma_q^+$ . In addition,  $\text{Tr}[\gamma_*^c] = q$ , and any such minimizer can be written as*

$$\gamma_*^c = \mathbb{1}_{(0, \nu_c)}(\mathcal{D}_{\gamma_*^c}^c) + \delta_c \quad (3.13)$$

with  $0 < \delta_c \leq \mathbb{1}_{\{\nu_c\}}(\mathcal{D}_{\gamma_*^c}^c)$  for some  $\nu_c \in (0, c^2]$ . When  $q < z$ ,  $\nu_c \in (0, c^2)$ .

**Remark 3.3.** In [25] the second condition in Assumption 3.1 is expressed by

$$(1 - \kappa_c - \frac{\pi}{4}c^{-1}q)^{-1/2}q < R_c^{\text{DF}} < \frac{1}{2a_c}.$$

The condition  $(1 - \kappa_c - \frac{\pi}{4}c^{-1}q)^{-1/2}q < R_c^{\text{DF}}$  is obtained from [25, Corollary 2.12] due to the fact that any DF minimizer  $\gamma_*^c$  of (3.12) satisfies

$$\|\gamma_*^c | \mathcal{D}^c \|_{\mathfrak{S}_1} < cR_c^{\text{DF}}.$$

### 3.1.3 Hartree–Fock ground state energy

Compared with the definition of the DF ground-state energy, the definition of the HF ground-state energy is much simpler:

$$E_q^{\text{HF}} := \min_{\gamma \in \Gamma_q^{\text{HF}}} \mathcal{E}^{\text{HF}}(\gamma) \quad (3.14)$$

where the set of states of electrons in the HF theory is defined by  $\Gamma_q^{\text{HF}} := \Gamma_q \cap \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_L) \cap X^2$ .

**Theorem 3.4** (Existence of minimizers in the HF theory [2, 3, 18]). *Let  $q \in \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $z \in \mathbb{R}^+$  be fixed such that  $q \leq z - 1$ . Then the minimum problem (3.14) admits a minimizer  $\gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \in \Gamma_q^{\text{HF}}$ . In addition,  $\text{Tr}(\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}) = q$ , and any such minimizer can be written as*

$$\gamma_*^{\text{HF}} = \mathbb{1}_{(-\infty, \nu]}(H_{0, \gamma_*^{\text{HF}}}) \quad (3.15)$$

for some  $\nu \in (-\infty, 0)$ .

**Remark 3.5.** Here we use the right-closed interval  $(-\infty, \nu]$  to express the non-unfilled shell property in the HF theory [2]:  $\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}$  can be rewritten as

$$\gamma_*^{\text{HF}} := \sum_{n=1}^q |u_n^{\text{HF}}\rangle\langle u_n^{\text{HF}}|, \quad (3.16)$$

where  $u_1^{\text{HF}}, \dots, u_q^{\text{HF}}$  are the orthonormal eigenfunctions of  $H_{0,\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}}$  in  $\mathcal{H}_L$  satisfying

$$H_{0,\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}} u_n^{\text{HF}} = \lambda_n^{\text{HF}} u_n^{\text{HF}}$$

and  $\lambda_1^{\text{HF}} \leq \dots \leq \lambda_q^{\text{HF}} < 0$  are the first  $q$  eigenvalues of  $H_{0,\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}}$  on  $\mathcal{H}_L$ ; for any other eigenfunction  $u \in \mathcal{H}_L$  of  $H_{0,\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}}$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda$  and  $\langle u, u_n^{\text{HF}} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_L} = 0$  for  $n = 1, \dots, q$ , we have  $\lambda > \lambda_q^{\text{HF}}$ .

From (3.15), it is easy to see that  $\gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \in X^4$ . This will be used in the paper.

## 3.2 Main result, Part I

Recall that  $\kappa_c$ ,  $\lambda_{0,c}$ ,  $a_c$  and  $R_c^{\text{DF}}$  are given in Assumption 3.1. In this paper, we mainly focus on the non-relativistic regime, that is  $c \gg 1$  and  $q, z \in \mathbb{R}^+$  fixed such that  $q \leq z$ . Under this regime, it is easy to see that for  $c$  large enough,

$$\kappa_c \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Then

$$c^{-1}q \leq \frac{1}{4}\kappa_c \leq \frac{1}{8}, \quad \lambda_{0,c} \geq 1 - \kappa_c \geq \frac{1}{2}$$

and

$$a_c := \frac{\pi}{4c\sqrt{(1-\kappa_c)\lambda_{0,c}}} \leq \frac{\pi}{2}c^{-1}, \quad R_c^{\text{DF}} = 1 + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\pi}{4}c^{-1}q\right)q \leq 1 + 4q. \quad (3.17)$$

This implies that for  $c$  large enough,

$$2a_c R_0 \leq \frac{\pi}{c} R_0 \leq \frac{1}{2}. \quad (3.18)$$

Here  $R_0$  is a constant independent of  $c$  and is defined by (3.19) below.

Thus, for future convenience, we restrict ourselves to the condition  $\kappa_c \leq \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\frac{\pi}{c}R_0 \leq \frac{1}{2}$ ; that is,

**Assumption 3.6.** Let  $\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}$  be an HF minimizer of (3.14). Let

$$R_0 := \max\{2 + 4q, 1 + \|\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\|_{X^2} + 4(\pi + 2\sqrt{2}z)(1 + \|\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\|_{X^2})^2\}. \quad (3.19)$$

We assume that the speed of light  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  satisfies

$$c \geq \max\{1, 4q + 4z, 4\pi R_0\}.$$

**Remark 3.7.** *Indeed,  $R_0$  is given in Lemma 5.8 and is chosen independently of  $c$  such that for any DF minimizer  $\gamma_*^c$ ,*

$$\gamma_*^c \in \mathcal{U}_{c,R_0}, \quad \Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+ \in \mathcal{U}_{c,R_0}.$$

**Theorem 3.8** (Relativistic effect in the DF theory). *Let  $q, z \in \mathbb{R}^+$  be fixed such that  $q \leq z$ . For any  $c$  satisfying Assumption 3.6, we have*

$$|E_{c,q} - E_q^{\text{HF}}| = \mathcal{O}(c^{-2}). \quad (3.20)$$

The proof is postponed until Section 7.1, and we will give the precise estimates of  $\mathcal{O}(c^{-2})$  in the paper.

As a consequence of Theorem 3.8, we can improve [20, Theorem 2.9] to the case  $q = z$ :

**Theorem 3.9** (No unfilled-shell property). *For  $c$  large enough and  $q, Z \in \mathbb{R}^+$  satisfy  $q \leq Z$ , we know  $\delta_c = 0$  with  $\delta_c$  being given by (3.13).*

*Then as  $c \rightarrow \infty$ , we have*

$$\gamma_*^c \rightarrow \gamma_*^{\text{HF}}$$

*for some HF minimizers  $\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}$  of (3.6). If we write  $\gamma_*^c = \sum_{n=1}^q |u_n^c\rangle \langle u_n^c|$  (for  $c$  large enough) with  $\{u_{c,n}\}_{1 \leq n \leq q}$  an orthonormal eigenfunctions of  $\mathcal{D}_{\gamma_*^c}^c$  associated with eigenvalue  $\lambda_n^c$ , then as  $c \rightarrow \infty$ ,*

$$\lambda_n^c \rightarrow \lambda_n, \quad u_n^c \rightarrow u_n^{\text{HF}}$$

*in  $H^1$  and  $\gamma_*^{\text{HF}} = \sum_{n=1}^q |u_n^{\text{HF}}\rangle \langle u_n^{\text{HF}}|$  with  $\{u_n\}_{1 \leq n \leq q}$  an orthonormal eigenfunctions of  $H_{0,\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}}$  associated with eigenvalue  $\lambda_n$ .*

The proof is postponed until Section 7.2.

**Remark 3.10.** *As a result of Theorem 3.9, we can also show that [20, Corollary 2.10 and Theorem 2.11] hold for the case  $q = z$ . Thus by [5, 15], we also have for  $c$  large enough and  $q \leq z$ ,*

$$E_{c,q} = e_{c,q}$$

*where  $e_{c,q}$  is the DF ground-state energy defined by the min-max theory (1.3).*

### 3.3 Main result, Part II

From Theorem 3.8, we know the relativistic effect is of the order  $\mathcal{O}(c^{-2})$ . In this part, we show that  $\mathcal{O}(c^{-2})$  is sharp, and we further give the explicit formula of the leading order relativistic correction term under the following additional assumption on  $V$ :

**Assumption 3.11.** *We assume further that the potential  $V$  satisfies*

$$\|(\nabla V)u\|_{\mathcal{H}} \lesssim \|(1 - \Delta)u\|_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

This type of assumption is also unavoidable in the perturbation of linear Dirac eigenvalue problems.

**Remark 3.12.** *In relativistic quantum chemistry, the nuclear charge density distribution can not be ignored [1, Section 4], which means the nuclear charge distribution  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_+(\mathbb{R}^3)$  (we recall that  $V$  is defined by  $V = \mu * \frac{1}{|\cdot|}$ ) is not a Dirac delta distribution. Assumption 3.11 is satisfied for commonly used nuclear charge distribution models such as Gauss-type charge density distribution and Fermi-type charge distribution.*

Let  $\mathcal{G}_{\text{HF}} := \{\gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \in \Gamma_q \cap \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_L) \cap X^2; \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \text{ is a HF minimizer of } E_q^{\text{HF}}\}$  be the set of HF minimizers. Then

**Theorem 3.13.** *Let  $q \leq z$ . Under Assumption 3.11, for  $c$  large enough, we have*

$$E_{c,q} = E_q^{\text{HF}} + \inf_{\gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \in \mathcal{G}_{\text{HF}}} E^{(2)}(\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}) + o(c^{-2})$$

where using (3.16),  $E^{(2)}(\gamma_*^{\text{HF}})$  is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} E^{(2)}(\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}) &:= -\frac{1}{4c^2} \sum_{n=1}^q \lambda_n^{\text{HF}} \langle \mathcal{L}u_n^{\text{HF}}, \mathcal{L}u_n^{\text{HF}} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_L} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4c^2} \left( \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[(-V + W_{1,\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}})\mathcal{L}\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\mathcal{L}] - \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{2,\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}}\mathcal{L}\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}] \right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{4c^2} \sum_{n=1}^q \lambda_n^{\text{HF}} \langle \mathcal{L}u_n^{\text{HF}}, \mathcal{L}u_n^{\text{HF}} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_L} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4c^2} \sum_{n=1}^q \left\langle \mathcal{L}u_n^{\text{HF}}, (-V + W_{1,\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}})\mathcal{L}u_n^{\text{HF}} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_L} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4c^2} \sum_{m,n=1}^q \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u_n^{\text{HF}})^*(x) u_m^{\text{HF}}(x) \langle \mathcal{L}u_m^{\text{HF}}, |x - \cdot|^{-1} \mathcal{L}u_n^{\text{HF}} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_L} dx. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is postponed until Section 7.3, and we will not give the precise estimates of  $\mathcal{O}(c^{-4})$  as for Theorem 3.8.

**Remark 3.14** (Higher order relativistic correction). *In linear Dirac eigenvalue problems, higher order relativistic correction can be obtained. However, for a ground-state energy of nonlinear problems, this might not be possible, as the continuity of the nonlinear term w.r.t.  $c^{-1}$  is not clear. The error bound in Theorem 3.13 is  $o(c^{-2})$  rather than  $\mathcal{O}(c^{-4})$  is due to this continuity problem (see estimate (7.4)).*

*In quantum chemistry, instead of using Theorem 3.13 directly, the following type of quasi-relativistic minimum problem is considered*

$$E_{c,q}^{\text{qR}} = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma_q^{\text{HF}}} \left( \mathcal{E}^{\text{HF}}(\gamma) + E^{(2)}(\gamma) \right). \quad (3.21)$$

Repeating the proof of Theorem 3.13, it will be easy to see that

$$E_{c,q} = E_{c,q}^{\text{qR}} + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}).$$

*This type of approximation is called the two-component method in relativistic quantum chemistry. In particular this is the second order Douglas-Kroll-Hess method if the spin-orbit term in  $E^{(2)}$  is neglected.*

Finally, we claim that  $E^{(2)}(\gamma_*^{\text{HF}})$  is the well-known leading order relativistic correction which can be decomposed into the following 3 terms.

**Proposition 3.15.** *We have*

$$4c^2 E^{(2)}(\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}) := E_{\text{mv}} + E_{\text{D}} + E_{\text{so}}$$

where

- $\frac{1}{4c^2} E_{\text{mv}}$  is the mass-velocity term with

$$E_{\text{mv}} := -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^q \langle u_n^{\text{HF}}, (-\Delta)^2 u_n^{\text{HF}} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{\text{L}}};$$

- $\frac{1}{4c^2} E_{\text{D}}$  is the Darwin term with

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\text{D}} := & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^q \langle u_n^{\text{HF}}, [\Delta(-V + W_{1, \gamma_*^{\text{HF}}})] u_n^{\text{HF}} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{\text{L}}} \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,n=1}^q \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u_n^{\text{HF}})^*(x) u_m^{\text{HF}}(x) \langle u_m^{\text{HF}}, [\Delta_y W(x - \cdot)] u_n^{\text{HF}} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{\text{L}}} dx; \end{aligned}$$

- $\frac{1}{4c^2} E_{\text{so}}$  is the spin-orbital term with

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\text{so}} := & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^q \langle u_n^{\text{HF}}, \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot [(-\nabla V + \nabla W_{1, \gamma_*^{\text{HF}}}) \times (-i\nabla)] u_n^{\text{HF}} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{\text{L}}} \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,n=1}^q \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u_n^{\text{HF}})^*(x) u_m^{\text{HF}}(x) \langle u_m^{\text{HF}}, \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot [(\nabla_y W(x - \cdot)) \times (-i\nabla)] u_n^{\text{HF}} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{\text{L}}} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Here we use  $W(x - y) = |z - y|^{-1}$ .

The proof is postponed until Section 7.4.

## 4 From HF problem to “projected” DF problem

In this section, we are trying to understand the relationship between the HF ground-state energy and some DF energies associated with free picture (i.e., (1.2) with  $P^+ = \Lambda_c^+$ ). More precisely, we are trying to prove the following.

**Theorem 4.1** (From HF problem to “projected” DF problem). *Let  $q \leq z$  and let  $\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}$  be any HF minimizer of  $E_q^{\text{HF}}$ . Then under Assumption 3.6, we have*

$$\mathcal{E}_c(\Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+) \leq E_q^{\text{HF}} + \mathcal{O}(c^{-2}). \quad (4.1)$$

In addition, under Assumption 3.11, for  $c$  large enough, we also have

$$\mathcal{E}_c(\Lambda_c^+ \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+) \leq E_q^{\text{HF}} + E^{(2)}(\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}) + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}) \quad (4.2)$$

where  $\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}}$ , which is defined by (4.8) below, is a relativistic renormalization of the HF minimizer  $\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}$ .

This result means that we can pass from the HF ground-state energy to some DF energies associated with the free picture  $\Lambda_c^+$  with an error term of the order  $\mathcal{O}(c^{-2})$  (or  $\mathcal{O}(c^{-4})$  under Assumption 3.11).

According to Remark 3.5,

$$\gamma_*^{\text{HF}} := \sum_{n=1}^q |u_n^{\text{HF}}\rangle\langle u_n^{\text{HF}}|$$

with  $\langle u_m^{\text{HF}}, u_n^{\text{HF}} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_L} = \delta_{m,n}$  and  $u_n \in \mathcal{H}_L$ . Thus  $\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}$  is the projection on the space spanned by  $\{u_n^{\text{HF}}\}_{1 \leq n \leq q}$ . To get the term  $E^{(2)}(\gamma_*^{\text{HF}})$  in (4.2), we need to renormalize the density matrix  $\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}$ . Inspired by (2.8), we consider the projection on the space spanned by  $\{\mathcal{S}_c u_n^{\text{HF}}\}_{1 \leq n \leq q}$ . Note that

$$\langle \mathcal{S}_c u_m^{\text{HF}}, \mathcal{S}_c u_n^{\text{HF}} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \delta_{m,n} + \frac{1}{4c^2} \langle \mathcal{L} u_m^{\text{HF}}, \mathcal{L} u_n^{\text{HF}} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_L}.$$

Thus  $\mathcal{S}_c u_n^{\text{HF}}$ ,  $1 \leq n \leq q$  are no longer orthogonal orbitals. We now introduce the following overlap matrix:

$$S_{\text{HF}} := \left( \langle \mathcal{S}_c u_m^{\text{HF}}, \mathcal{S}_c u_n^{\text{HF}} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \right)_{1 \leq m, n \leq q} = \mathbf{1}_{q \times q} + \frac{1}{4c^2} \tilde{S}_{\text{HF}}, \quad (4.3)$$

$$\tilde{S}_{\text{HF}} := \left( \langle \mathcal{L} u_m^{\text{HF}}, \mathcal{L} u_n^{\text{HF}} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_L} \right)_{1 \leq m, n \leq q}. \quad (4.4)$$

Note that for  $c$  large enough,

$$\sup_{1 \leq m, n \leq q} \frac{1}{4c^2} \left| \langle \mathcal{L} u_m^{\text{HF}}, \mathcal{L} u_n^{\text{HF}} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_L} \right| = \left| \langle \sqrt{-\Delta} u_m^{\text{HF}}, \sqrt{-\Delta} u_n^{\text{HF}} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_L} \right| = \mathcal{O}(c^{-2}). \quad (4.5)$$

This implies for  $c$  large enough,  $S_{\text{HF}}$  is a strictly diagonally dominated matrix. Thus  $S_{\text{HF}}$  is invertible,

$$\left\| S_{\text{HF}}^{-1} - \left( \mathbf{1}_{q \times q} - \frac{1}{4c^2} \tilde{S}_{\text{HF}} \right) \right\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1(\mathbb{C}^q)} = \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}) \quad (4.6)$$

and there exists some constants  $0 < C_0 < 1 < C_1$  such that for any  $c$  large enough,

$$C_0 \mathbf{1}_{q \times q} \leq S_{\text{HF}}^{-1} \leq C_1 \mathbf{1}_{q \times q} \quad (4.7)$$

as an operator. The renormalized HF density matrix  $\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}}$  on the space spanned by  $\{\mathcal{S}_c u_n^{\text{HF}}\}_{1 \leq n \leq q}$  is defined by

$$\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} := \left( |\mathcal{S}_c u_1^{\text{HF}}\rangle, \dots, |\mathcal{S}_c u_q^{\text{HF}}\rangle \right) S_{\text{HF}}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \langle \mathcal{S}_c u_1^{\text{HF}} | \\ \vdots \\ \langle \mathcal{S}_c u_q^{\text{HF}} | \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.8)$$

This is the construction of the renormalized HF density matrix  $\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}}$ .

Now we begin the proof of Theorem 4.1. First, note that

$$\mathcal{E}_c(\Lambda^+ \gamma \Lambda^+) - \mathcal{E}^{\text{HF}}(\gamma) = \underbrace{\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[(\mathcal{D}^c - c^2) \Lambda_c^+ \gamma \Lambda_c^+]}_{\text{kinetic term}} - \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[H_0 \gamma]$$

$$- \left( \underbrace{\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[V\Lambda_c^+\gamma\Lambda_c^+] - \text{Tr}[V\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}]}_{\text{potential between electrons and nuclei}} \right) + \left( \underbrace{\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{\Lambda_c^+\gamma\Lambda_c^+}\Lambda_c^+\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\Lambda_c^+] - \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W\gamma\gamma]}_{\text{potential between electrons and electrons}} \right). \quad (4.9)$$

To prove Theorem 4.1, we will study each term separately on the right-hand side of (4.9). Before going further, we need some technical tools.

## 4.1 Some technical tools

We now introduce some technical results used for Theorem 4.1. First, the Hölder inequality for Schatten norm (see e.g., [26, Theorem 2.8]) will also be used frequently:

$$\|AB\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1} \leq \|A\|_{\mathfrak{S}_2} \|B\|_{\mathfrak{S}_2} = \|AA^*\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1}^{1/2} \|B^*B\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1}^{1/2}. \quad (4.10)$$

The next lemma and corollary are used for (4.1).

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $u \in \mathcal{H}_L \cap H^2$ , then for any  $0 \leq s \leq 1$ ,*

$$\|\mathcal{K}_L \Lambda_c^- u\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \frac{1}{4c^2} \|u\|_{H^2}, \quad \|\mathcal{K}_L \Lambda_c^+ u\|_{H^s} \leq \|u\|_{H^s}, \quad \|\mathcal{K}_S \Lambda_c^\pm u\|_{H^s} \leq \frac{1}{2c} \|u\|_{H^{s+1}}. \quad (4.11)$$

*Proof.* Observe that for  $u \in \mathcal{H}_L$

$$\mathcal{D}^c u = \begin{pmatrix} c^2 & c\mathcal{L} \\ c\mathcal{L} & -c^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u^L \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c^2 u^L \\ c\mathcal{L} u^L \end{pmatrix}.$$

where we recall that  $\mathcal{L}$  is defined in (2.5). Thus

$$\Lambda_c^\pm u = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 \pm \frac{\mathcal{D}^c}{|\mathcal{D}^c|} \right) u = \frac{1}{2|\mathcal{D}^c|} \begin{pmatrix} [|\mathcal{D}^c| \pm c^2] u^L \\ \pm c\mathcal{L} u^L \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, as  $c^2 \leq |\mathcal{D}^c| \leq c^2 - \frac{1}{2}\Delta$ , we have

$$\|\mathcal{K}_L \Lambda_c^- u\|_{\mathcal{H}} = \frac{1}{2} \left\| \frac{|\mathcal{D}^c| - c^2}{|\mathcal{D}^c|} u^L \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^2)} \leq \frac{1}{2c^2} \|(|\mathcal{D}^c| - c^2) u^L\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^2)} \leq \frac{1}{4c^2} \|u\|_{H^2}$$

and for any  $s \geq 0$ ,

$$\|\mathcal{K}_L \Lambda_c^+ u\|_{H^s} = \frac{1}{2} \left\| \frac{|\mathcal{D}^c| + c^2}{|\mathcal{D}^c|} u^L \right\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^2)} \leq \|u^L\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^2)} \leq \|u\|_{H^s}.$$

Analogously, it is easy to see that

$$\|\mathcal{K}_S \Lambda_c^\pm u\|_{H^s} \leq \frac{1}{2c} \|\mathcal{L} u^L\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^2)} \leq \frac{1}{2c} \|u\|_{H^{s+1}}.$$

This ends the proof.  $\square$

Then,



**Corollary 4.3.** For any non-negative density matrix  $\gamma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_L) \cap X^4$  and for any  $0 \leq s \leq 2$ , we have

$$\|\mathcal{K}_L \Lambda_c^- \gamma \Lambda_c^- \mathcal{K}_L\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1} \leq \frac{1}{16c^4} \|\gamma\|_{X^4}, \quad \|\mathcal{K}_L \Lambda_c^+ \gamma \Lambda_c^+ \mathcal{K}_L\|_{X^s} \leq \|\gamma\|_{X^s}$$

and

$$\|\mathcal{K}_S \Lambda_c^\pm \gamma \Lambda_c^\pm \mathcal{K}_S\|_{X^s} \leq \frac{1}{4c^2} \|\gamma\|_{X^{2+s}}.$$

*Proof.* As  $\gamma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_L) \cap X^4$  is non-negative, according to (2.10), it can be written as

$$\gamma = \sum_{n \geq 1} \lambda_n |u_n\rangle \langle u_n| \quad (4.12)$$

with  $0 \leq \lambda_n \leq 1$  and  $\{u_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  being an orthonormal basis in  $\mathcal{H}_L \cap H^2$ .

Then

$$\mathcal{K}_L \Lambda_c^- \gamma \Lambda_c^- \mathcal{K}_L = \sum_{n \geq 1} \lambda_n |\mathcal{K}_L \Lambda_c^- u_n\rangle \langle \mathcal{K}_L \Lambda_c^- u_n|.$$

As a result, from Lemma 4.2, we infer

$$\|\mathcal{K}_L \Lambda_c^- \gamma \Lambda_c^- \mathcal{K}_L\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1} = \sum_{n \geq 1} \lambda_n \|\mathcal{K}_L \Lambda_c^- u_n\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \leq \frac{1}{16c^4} \sum_{n \geq 1} \lambda_n \|u_n\|_{H^2}^2 = \frac{1}{16c^4} \|\gamma\|_{X^4}.$$

Here the first equation and the last equation hold since  $\mathcal{K}_L \Lambda_c^- \gamma \Lambda_c^- \mathcal{K}_L$  and  $(1-\Delta)\gamma(1-\Delta)$  are non-negative density matrices.

Analogously, we can deduce other estimates. This ends the proof.  $\square$

Concerning the proof of (4.2), we need the followings.

**Lemma 4.4.** Let  $u \in H^3 \cap \mathcal{H}_L$ , then for any  $0 \leq s \leq 2$ ,

$$\|(-\Delta)^{-1/2} \mathcal{K}_L \Lambda_c^- \mathcal{S}_c u\|_{\mathcal{H}} \lesssim c^{-4} \|u\|_{H^3}, \quad \|\mathcal{K}_L \Lambda_c^+ \mathcal{S}_c u\|_{H^s} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s+1}}, \quad (4.13)$$

$$\|\mathcal{K}_S \Lambda_c^- \mathcal{S}_c u\|_{\mathcal{H}} \lesssim c^{-3} \|u\|_{H^3}, \quad \|\mathcal{K}_S \Lambda_c^+ \mathcal{S}_c u\|_{H^s} \lesssim c^{-1} \|u\|_{H^{s+1}}. \quad (4.14)$$

*Proof.* We have

$$\Lambda^\pm \mathcal{S}_c u = \frac{1}{2|\mathcal{D}^c|} \begin{pmatrix} (|\mathcal{D}^c| \pm (c^2 - \frac{1}{2}\Delta)) u^L \\ \frac{1}{2c} (|\mathcal{D}^c| \pm c^2) \mathcal{L} u^L \end{pmatrix}.$$

According to Taylor's expansion, for any  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3$ ,

$$\sqrt{c^4 + c^2|\xi|^2} = c^2 + \frac{1}{2}|\xi|^2 - \frac{c^2}{8} \int_0^{c^{-2}|\xi|^2} (1+t)^{-3/2} (c^{-2}|\xi|^2 - t)^2 dt.$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sqrt{c^4 + c^2|\xi|^2} - \left( c^2 + \frac{1}{2}|\xi|^2 \right) \right| \\ &= \frac{c^2}{8} \int_0^{c^{-2}|\xi|^2} (1+t)^{-3/2} (c^{-2}|\xi|^2 - t)^2 dt \end{aligned}$$

$$\lesssim c^{-2}|\xi|^4 \int_0^{c^{-2}|\xi|^2} (1+t)^{-3/2} dt \lesssim c^{-2}|\xi|^4.$$

Analogously, we also have

$$\left| \sqrt{c^4 + c^2|\xi|^2} - c^2 \right| \leq \frac{1}{2}|\xi|^2.$$

Thus for any  $u \in \mathcal{H}$ , as  $\| |\mathcal{D}^c|^{-1} \|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})} = c^{-2}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{1}{2|\mathcal{D}^c|} \left( |\mathcal{D}^c| - \left( c^2 - \frac{1}{2}\Delta \right) \right) (-\Delta)^{-1/2} u^L \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^2)} &\lesssim c^{-4} \| (-\Delta)^{3/2} u^L \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^2)}, \\ \frac{1}{2c} \left\| \frac{1}{2|\mathcal{D}^c|} (|\mathcal{D}^c| - c^2) \mathcal{L}u^L \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^2)} &\lesssim c^{-3} \| (-\Delta)^{3/2} u^L \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

This gives the first inequalities in (4.13) and (4.14). Analogously, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{1}{2|\mathcal{D}^c|} \left( |\mathcal{D}^c| + \left( c^2 - \frac{1}{2}\Delta \right) \right) u^L \right\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^2)} &\lesssim \| u^L \|_{H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^2)}, \\ \frac{1}{2c} \left\| \frac{1}{2|\mathcal{D}^c|} (|\mathcal{D}^c| + c^2) \mathcal{L}u^L \right\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^2)} &\lesssim c^{-1} \| u^L \|_{H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

This gives the second inequalities in (4.13) and (4.14). This ends the proof.  $\square$

Analogous to the proof of Corollary 4.3, we also have the following.

**Corollary 4.5.** *For any non-negative density matrix  $\gamma = \mathcal{S}_c \gamma \mathcal{S}_c \in X$ , such that  $\mathcal{K}_L \gamma \mathcal{K}_L \in X^6$ , and for any  $0 \leq s \leq 4$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \| (-\Delta)^{-1/2} \mathcal{K}_L \Lambda_c^- \gamma \Lambda_c^- \mathcal{K}_L (-\Delta)^{-1/2} \|_{\mathfrak{S}_1} &\lesssim c^{-8} \| \mathcal{K}_L \gamma \mathcal{K}_L \|_{X^6}, \\ \| \mathcal{K}_S \Lambda_c^- \gamma \Lambda_c^- \mathcal{K}_S \|_{\mathfrak{S}_1} &\lesssim c^{-6} \| \mathcal{K}_L \gamma \mathcal{K}_L \|_{X^6} \\ \| \mathcal{K}_L \Lambda_c^+ \gamma \Lambda_c^+ \mathcal{K}_L \|_{X^s} &\lesssim \| \mathcal{K}_L \gamma \mathcal{K}_L \|_{X^{s+2}} \\ \| \mathcal{K}_S \Lambda_c^+ \gamma \Lambda_c^+ \mathcal{K}_S \|_{X^s} &\lesssim c^{-2} \| \mathcal{K}_L \gamma \mathcal{K}_L \|_{X^{s+2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we recall some Hardy-type inequality:

$$\| |\cdot|^{-1} (-\Delta)^{-1/2} \|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})} = \| (-\Delta)^{-1/2} |\cdot|^{-1} \|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})} = 2; \quad (4.15)$$

which also implies

$$|\nabla W_{1,\gamma}(x)| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\rho_\gamma(y)|}{|x-y|^2} dy \lesssim \|\gamma\|_{X^2}. \quad (4.16)$$

Concerning  $W_{2,\bullet}$ , we have

**Lemma 4.6.** *Let  $\gamma \in \mathfrak{S}_1$  and  $\|\gamma\|_{X^1} < \infty$ . Then for any  $f \in H^1$ ,*

$$\| W_{2,\gamma} f \|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq 2 \|\gamma\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1} \| \nabla f \|_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad (4.17)$$

$$\| W_{2,\gamma} f \|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq 2 \|\gamma\|_X \| f \|_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad (4.18)$$

$$\| (-\Delta)^{1/2} W_{2,\gamma} f \|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq 6 \|\gamma\|_{X^2} \| \nabla f \|_{\mathcal{H}}. \quad (4.19)$$

Using (4.10), we have in particular, for  $j, j' \in \{\mathbb{L}, \mathbb{S}\}$

$$\| W_{2,\mathcal{K}_j \gamma \mathcal{K}_{j'}} f \|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq 2 \| \mathcal{K}_j \gamma \mathcal{K}_j \|_{\mathfrak{S}_1}^{1/2} \| \mathcal{K}_{j'} \gamma \mathcal{K}_{j'} \|_{\mathfrak{S}_1}^{1/2} \| \nabla f \|_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad (4.20)$$

$$\| (-\Delta)^{1/2} W_{2,\mathcal{K}_j \gamma \mathcal{K}_{j'}} f \|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq 6 \| \mathcal{K}_j \gamma \mathcal{K}_j \|_{X^2}^{1/2} \| \mathcal{K}_{j'} \gamma \mathcal{K}_{j'} \|_{X^2}^{1/2} \| \nabla f \|_{\mathcal{H}}. \quad (4.21)$$

*Proof.* Note that

$$W_{2,\gamma}f = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\gamma(x,y)f(y)}{|x-y|} dy.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \|W_{2,\gamma}f\|_{\mathcal{H}} &= \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\gamma(x,y)f(y)}{|x-y|} dy \right\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &\leq \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\gamma(x,y)|^2 dx dy \right)^{1/2} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3} \| |x-\cdot|^{-1} f \|_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &\leq 2\|\gamma\|_{\mathfrak{S}_2} \|\nabla f\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq 2\|\gamma\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1} \|\nabla f\|_{\mathcal{H}}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (4.17). Concerning (4.18), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|W_{2,\gamma}f\|_{\mathcal{H}} &\leq \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\gamma(x,y)|^2}{|x-y|^2} dx dy \right)^{1/2} \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &\leq 2 \left( \left| \left( (-\Delta)^{1/4} \gamma (-\Delta)^{1/4} \right) (x,y) \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &= 2 \| (-\Delta)^{1/4} \gamma (-\Delta)^{1/4} \|_{\mathfrak{S}_2} \|\nabla f\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &\leq 2\|\gamma\|_{X^1} \|\nabla f\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq 2\|\gamma\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1} \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}. \end{aligned}$$

where  $\left( (-\Delta)^{1/4} \gamma (-\Delta)^{1/4} \right) (x,y) = (-\Delta_x)^{1/4} (-\Delta_y)^{1/4} \gamma(x,y)$  and we used the following inequality (see also [19]): by Hardy's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} &\| (-\Delta_x)^{-1/4} (-\Delta_y)^{-1/4} |x-y|^{-1} \|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3))} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \| (-\Delta_x)^{-1/2} |x-y|^{-1} \|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3))} + \frac{1}{2} \| (-\Delta_y)^{-1/2} |x-y|^{-1} \|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3))} \leq 2. \end{aligned}$$

Analogous to (4.17), by (4.10),

$$\begin{aligned} \|W_{2,\mathcal{K}_j \gamma \mathcal{K}_{j'}} f\|_{\mathcal{H}} &= \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{(\mathcal{K}_j \gamma \mathcal{K}_{j'}) (x,y) f(y)}{|x-y|} dy \right\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &\leq 2\|\mathcal{K}_j \gamma \mathcal{K}_{j'}\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1} \|\nabla f\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq 2\|\mathcal{K}_j \gamma \mathcal{K}_j\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1}^{1/2} \|\mathcal{K}_{j'} \gamma \mathcal{K}_{j'}\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1}^{1/2} \|\nabla f\|_{\mathcal{H}}. \end{aligned}$$

We get (4.20). Concerning the last inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(-\Delta)^{1/2} W_{2,\gamma} f\|_{\mathcal{H}} &= \|\nabla W_{2,\gamma} f\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &\leq \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\nabla \gamma(x,y)| |f(y)|}{|x-y|} dy \right\|_{\mathcal{H}} + \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\gamma(x,y)| |f(y)|}{|x-y|^2} dy \right\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &\leq \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \gamma(x,y)|^2 dx dy \right)^{1/2} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3} \| |x-\cdot|^{-1} f \|_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &\quad + \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\gamma(x,y)|^2}{|x-y|^2} dy dx \right)^{1/2} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|f(y)|^2}{|x-y|^2} dy \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq 6\|\nabla \gamma\|_{\mathfrak{S}_2} \|\nabla f\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq 6\|\gamma\|_{X^2} \|\nabla f\|_{\mathcal{H}} \end{aligned} \tag{4.22}$$

where  $(\nabla \gamma)(x,y) = \nabla_x \gamma(x,y)$ .

Finally, (4.20) and (4.21) follows from (4.10). This ends the proof.  $\square$

Then Hardy's inequality, (4.16) and Lemma 4.6 show that

**Corollary 4.7.** *Let  $u_1^{\text{HF}}, \dots, u_n^{\text{HF}}$  be the eigenfunctions of  $\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}$  given as in Remark 3.5. Then*

$$\max_{1 \leq n \leq q} \|u_n^{\text{HF}}\|_{H^2} = \mathcal{O}(1), \quad \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \in X^4.$$

Under Assumption 3.11, we have in addition,

$$\max_{1 \leq n \leq q} \|u_n^{\text{HF}}\|_{H^3} = \mathcal{O}(1), \quad \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \in X^6.$$

*Proof.* According to Remark 3.5, we know for  $s = 0$ , or  $s = 1$  under Assumption 3.11,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_n^{\text{HF}}\|_{H^{s+2}} &\leq \|u_n^{\text{HF}}\|_{H^s} + \|H_0 u_n^{\text{HF}}\|_{H^s} \\ &\leq \|V u_n^{\text{HF}}\|_{H^s} + \|W_{\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}} u_n^{\text{HF}}\|_{H^s} + (1 + |\lambda_1|) \|u_n^{\text{HF}}\|_{H^s} \lesssim \|u_n^{\text{HF}}\|_{H^{s+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

## 4.2 Proof of (4.1)

Now we prove (4.1) by splitting (4.9) into three parts with  $\gamma = \gamma_*^{\text{HF}}$ .

### 4.2.1 Kinetic term

Note that  $|\mathcal{D}^c| = \sqrt{c^4 - c^2 \Delta} \leq c^2 - \frac{1}{2} \Delta$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[(\mathcal{D}^c - c^2) \Lambda_c^+ \gamma \Lambda_c^+] - \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[H_0 \gamma] \\ &= \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[(|\mathcal{D}^c| - c^2) \Lambda_c^+ \gamma \Lambda_c^+] - \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[H_0 \gamma] \\ &\leq \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[H_0 \Lambda_c^+ \gamma \Lambda_c^+] - \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[H_0 \gamma] = -\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[H_0 \Lambda_c^- \gamma \Lambda_c^-] \leq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.23)$$

### 4.2.2 Potential between electrons and nuclei

Concerning the potential between electrons and nuclei, we have the following.

**Lemma 4.8.** *We have*

$$|\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[V \gamma_*^{\text{HF}}] - \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[V \Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+]| \leq \frac{3z}{2c^2} \|\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\|_{X^4}.$$

*Proof.* We have

$$\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[V \gamma_*^{\text{HF}}] - \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[V \Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+] = \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[V \Lambda_c^- \gamma_*^{\text{HF}}] + \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[V \Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^-]. \quad (4.24)$$

We study the first term on the right-hand side of (4.24). From (4.15) and the fact that  $\mathcal{K}_L \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{K}_L = \gamma_*^{\text{HF}}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[V \Lambda_c^- \gamma_*^{\text{HF}}]| &= |\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[\mathcal{K}_L \Lambda_c^- \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{K}_L (-\Delta)^{1/2} (-\Delta)^{-1/2} V]| \\ &\leq 2z \|\mathcal{K}_L \Lambda_c^- \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{K}_L \nabla\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Then from (4.10) and Corollary 4.3, we infer

$$\|\mathcal{K}_L \Lambda_c^- \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{K}_L \nabla\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1} \leq \|\mathcal{K}_L \Lambda_c^- \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^- \mathcal{K}_L\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1}^{1/2} \|\mathcal{K}_L \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{K}_L\|_{X^2}^{1/2} \leq \frac{1}{4c^2} \|\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\|_{X^4}. \quad (4.25)$$

As a result,

$$|\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[V \Lambda_c^- \gamma_*^{\text{HF}}]| \leq \frac{z}{2c^2} \|\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\|_{X^4}. \quad (4.26)$$

Concerning the second term on the right-hand side of (4.24), we have

$$\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[V \Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^-] = \sum_{j \in \{\text{L}, \text{S}\}} \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[V \mathcal{K}_j \Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^- \mathcal{K}_j].$$

Proceeding as for (4.26), by (4.10), (4.15) and Corollary 4.3, we have

$$|\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[V \Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^-]| \leq 2z \sum_{j \in \{\text{L}, \text{S}\}} \|\mathcal{K}_j \Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+ \mathcal{K}_j\|_{X^2}^{1/2} \|\mathcal{K}_j \Lambda_c^- \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^- \mathcal{K}_j\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1}^{1/2} \leq \frac{z}{c^2} \|\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\|_{X^4}.$$

As a result,

$$|\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[V \gamma_*^{\text{HF}}] - \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[V \Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+]| \leq \frac{3z}{2c^2} \|\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\|_{X^4}. \quad (4.27)$$

This ends the proof.  $\square$

### 4.2.3 Potential between electrons and electrons

First of all, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}} \gamma_*^{\text{HF}}] - \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{\Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+} \Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+] \\ &= \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}} (\gamma_*^{\text{HF}} - \Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+)] + \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{\gamma_*^{\text{HF}} - \Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+} \Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.28)$$

Now we are going to study each term on the right-hand side separately.

**Lemma 4.9.** *We have*

$$\left| \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}} (\gamma_*^{\text{HF}} - \Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+)] \right| \leq \frac{5q}{2c^2} \|\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\|_{X^4}.$$

*Proof.* According to (3.3)  $W_{\bullet} = W_{1,\bullet} - W_{2,\bullet}$ . We can split  $W_{\bullet}$  into terms  $W_{1,\bullet}$  and  $W_{2,\bullet}$ . By (4.15),

$$\|W_{1,\gamma} u\| \leq 2\|\gamma\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1} \|\nabla u\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq 2q \|\nabla u\|_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

Then replacing  $V$  by  $W_{1,\bullet}$  in (4.27), we infer

$$\left| \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{1,\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}} (\gamma_*^{\text{HF}} - \Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+)] \right| \leq \frac{3}{2c^2} \|\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1} \|\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\|_{X^4} \leq \frac{3q}{2c^2} \|\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\|_{X^4}. \quad (4.29)$$

We now consider the estimate for  $W_{2,\bullet}$ . First of all, we have

$$\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{2,\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}} \gamma_*^{\text{HF}}] - \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{2,\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}} \Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+] = \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{2,\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}} \Lambda_c^- \gamma_*^{\text{HF}}] + \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{2,\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}} \Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^-].$$

Then by Lemma 4.6,

$$\|W_{2,\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}}f\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq 2q\|\nabla f\|_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

Hence, as  $\gamma_*^{\text{HF}} = \mathcal{K}_L\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\mathcal{K}_L$ , from (3.7), (3.8) and (4.25), we infer

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{2,\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}}\Lambda_c^-\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}] \right| &= \left| \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[\mathcal{K}_L\Lambda_c^-\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\mathcal{K}_L W_{2,\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}}] \right| \\ &\leq 2q\|\mathcal{K}_L\Lambda_c^-\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\mathcal{K}_L\nabla\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1} \leq \frac{q}{2c^2}\|\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\|_{X^4}. \end{aligned}$$

Analogously, by Corollary 4.3,

$$\left| \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{2,\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}}\Lambda_c^+\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\Lambda_c^-] \right| \leq 2q\|\mathcal{K}_L\Lambda_c^-\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\Lambda_c^-\mathcal{K}_L\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1}^{1/2}\|\mathcal{K}_L\Lambda_c^+\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\Lambda_c^+\mathcal{K}_L\|_{X^2}^{1/2} \leq \frac{q}{2c^2}\|\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\|_{X^4}.$$

Now we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}}(\gamma_*^{\text{HF}} - \Lambda_c^+\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\Lambda_c^+)] \right| \\ &\leq \left| \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{1,\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}}(\gamma_*^{\text{HF}} - \Lambda_c^+\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\Lambda_c^+)] \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{2,\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}}(\gamma_*^{\text{HF}} - \Lambda_c^+\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\Lambda_c^+)] \right| \leq \frac{5q}{2c^2}\|\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\|_{X^4}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the lemma.  $\square$

and

**Lemma 4.10.**

$$\left| \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}-\Lambda_c^+\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\Lambda_c^+}\Lambda_c^+\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\Lambda_c^+] \right| \leq \frac{1}{2c^2}(5q + 4\|\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\|_{X^4})\|\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\|_{X^4}.$$

*Proof.* First of all, note that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}-\Lambda_c^+\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\Lambda_c^+}\Lambda_c^+\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\Lambda_c^+] \right| \\ &= \left| \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{\Lambda_c^+\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\Lambda_c^+}(\gamma_*^{\text{HF}} - \Lambda_c^+\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\Lambda_c^+)] \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{m=1,2} \left| \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{m,\Lambda_c^+\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\Lambda_c^+}(\gamma_*^{\text{HF}} - \Lambda_c^+\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\Lambda_c^+)] \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (4.30)$$

Then it suffice to study  $\text{Tr}[W_{m,\Lambda_c^+\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\Lambda_c^+}(\gamma_*^{\text{HF}} - \Lambda_c^+\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\Lambda_c^+)]$  for  $m = 1, 2$ .

Analogous to (4.29), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{1,\Lambda_c^+\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\Lambda_c^+}(\gamma_*^{\text{HF}} - \Lambda_c^+\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\Lambda_c^+)] \right| \\ &\leq \frac{3}{2c^2}\|\Lambda_c^+\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\Lambda_c^+\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1}\|\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\|_{X^4} \leq \frac{3q}{2c^2}\|\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\|_{X^4}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.31)$$

Thus it remains to study the term associated with  $W_{2,\bullet}$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} \left[ W_{2,\Lambda_c^+\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\Lambda_c^+}(\gamma_*^{\text{HF}} - \Lambda_c^+\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\Lambda_c^+) \right] \\ &= \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} \left[ W_{2,\Lambda_c^+\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\Lambda_c^+}\Lambda_c^-\gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \right] + \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} \left[ W_{2,\Lambda_c^+\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\Lambda_c^+}\Lambda_c^+\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\Lambda_c^- \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.32)$$

As  $\gamma_*^{\text{HF}} = \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{K}_L$ , using again (3.7) and (3.8), we infer

$$\left| \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} [W_{2, \Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+ \Lambda_c^- \gamma_*^{\text{HF}}}] \right| \leq \sum_{j \in \{L, S\}} \left| \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} [W_{2, \mathcal{K}_L \Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+ \mathcal{K}_j \Lambda_c^- \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{K}_L}] \right|. \quad (4.33)$$

For  $j = L$ , by Lemma 4.6,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} [W_{2, \mathcal{K}_L \Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+ \mathcal{K}_L \Lambda_c^- \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{K}_L}] \right| \\ &= \left| \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} [\mathcal{K}_L \Lambda_c^- \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{K}_L W_{2, \mathcal{K}_L \Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+ \mathcal{K}_L}] \right| \\ &\leq 2 \|\mathcal{K}_L \Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+ \mathcal{K}_L\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1} \|\mathcal{K}_L \Lambda_c^- \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{K}_L \nabla\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, from (4.25) and Corollary 4.3,

$$\left| \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} [W_{2, \mathcal{K}_L \Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+ \mathcal{K}_L \Lambda_c^- \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{K}_L}] \right| \leq 2q \|\mathcal{K}_L \Lambda_c^- \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{K}_L \nabla\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1} \leq \frac{q}{2c^2} \|\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\|_{X^4}.$$

For  $j = S$ , from Lemma 4.6,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} [W_{2, \mathcal{K}_L \Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+ \mathcal{K}_S \Lambda_c^- \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{K}_L}] \right| \\ &\leq 2 \|\mathcal{K}_L \Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+ \mathcal{K}_L\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1}^{1/2} \|\mathcal{K}_S \Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+ \mathcal{K}_S\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1}^{1/2} \|\nabla \mathcal{K}_S \Lambda_c^- \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{K}_L\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1} \\ &\leq \frac{q^{1/2}}{c} \|\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\|_{X^2}^{1/2} \|\nabla \mathcal{K}_S \Lambda_c^- \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{K}_L\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1}, \end{aligned}$$

and proceeding as for (4.25) and by (4.10),

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} [W_{2, \mathcal{K}_L \Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+ \mathcal{K}_S \Lambda_c^- \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{K}_L}] \right| \\ &\leq \frac{q^{1/2}}{c} \|\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\|_{X^2}^{1/2} \|\mathcal{K}_L \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{K}_L\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1}^{1/2} \|\mathcal{K}_S \Lambda_c^- \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^- \mathcal{K}_S\|_{X^2}^{1/2} \\ &\leq \frac{q}{2c^2} \|\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\|_{X^2}^{1/2} \|\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\|_{X^4}^{1/2} \leq \frac{q}{2c^2} \|\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\|_{X^4}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for the first term on the right-hand side of (4.32), from (4.33) we get

$$\left| \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} [W_{2, \Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+ \Lambda_c^- \gamma_*^{\text{HF}}}] \right| \leq \frac{q}{c^2} \|\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\|_{X^4}. \quad (4.34)$$

Concerning the second term on the right-hand side of (4.32), we proceed as for (4.34). By (3.7), (4.10) and Lemma 4.6,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} [W_{2, \Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+ \Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^-}] \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{j, j' \in \{L, S\}} \left| \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} [W_{2, \mathcal{K}_j \Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+ \mathcal{K}_{j'} \Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^- \mathcal{K}_j}] \right| \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{j, j' \in \{L, S\}} \|\mathcal{K}_j \Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+ \mathcal{K}_j\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1}^{1/2} \|\mathcal{K}_{j'} \Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+ \mathcal{K}_{j'}\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1}^{1/2} \|\nabla \mathcal{K}_{j'} \Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^- \mathcal{K}_j\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1} \\ &\leq 2 \left( \sum_{j \in \{L, S\}} \|\mathcal{K}_j \Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+ \mathcal{K}_j\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1}^{1/2} \|\mathcal{K}_j \Lambda_c^- \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^- \mathcal{K}_j\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1}^{1/2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\times \left( \sum_{j' \in \{L, S\}} \|\mathcal{K}_{j'} \Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+ \mathcal{K}_{j'}\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1}^{1/2} \|\mathcal{K}_{j'} \Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+ \mathcal{K}_{j'}\|_{X^2}^{1/2} \right).$$

By Corollary 4.3,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j \in \{L, S\}} \|\mathcal{K}_j \Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+ \mathcal{K}_j\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1}^{1/2} \|\mathcal{K}_j \Lambda_c^- \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^- \mathcal{K}_j\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1}^{1/2} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4c^2} \left( \|\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1}^{1/2} \|\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\|_{X^4}^{1/2} + \|\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\|_{X^2} \right) \leq \frac{1}{2c^2} \|\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\|_{X^4} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sum_{j' \in \{L, S\}} \|\mathcal{K}_{j'} \Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+ \mathcal{K}_{j'}\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1}^{1/2} \|\mathcal{K}_{j'} \Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+ \mathcal{K}_{j'}\|_{X^2}^{1/2} \leq 2 \|\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\|_{X^4}.$$

Hence,

$$\left| \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} [W_{2, \Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+ \Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^-}] \right| \leq \frac{2}{c^2} \|\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\|_{X^4}^2.$$

Then from (4.32),

$$\left| \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} [W_{2, \Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+} (\gamma_*^{\text{HF}} - \Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+)] \right| \leq \frac{1}{c^2} (q + \|\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\|_{X^4}) \|\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\|_{X^4}. \quad (4.35)$$

Finally, we can conclude from (4.30), (4.31) and (4.32) that

$$\left| \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} [W_{\gamma_*^{\text{HF}} - \Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+ \Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+}] \right| \leq \frac{1}{2c^2} (5q + 4 \|\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\|_{X^4}) \|\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\|_{X^4}.$$

This ends the proof.  $\square$

#### 4.2.4 End of the proof of (4.1)

From (4.28), Lemma 4.9 and Lemma 4.10 we know that

$$\left| \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} [W_{\gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \gamma_*^{\text{HF}}}] - \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} [W_{\Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+ \Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+}] \right| \leq \frac{1}{2c^2} (10q + 4 \|\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\|_{X^4}) \|\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\|_{X^4}.$$

Then estimate (4.1) follows from above estimate, (4.9), (4.23) and Lemma 4.8.

### 4.3 Proof of (4.2)

Now we turn to prove (4.2). Before considering (4.9), we first study the property of  $\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}}$ .

#### 4.3.1 Property of $\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}}$

By (4.3) and direct calculation, we know that

$$(\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}})^2 = \left( |\mathcal{S}_c u_1^{\text{HF}}\rangle, \dots, |\mathcal{S}_c u_n^{\text{HF}}\rangle \right) S_{\text{HF}}^{-1} \left( \langle \mathcal{S}_c u_j^{\text{HF}}, \mathcal{S}_c u_k^{\text{HF}} \rangle \right)_{1 \leq j, k \leq n} S_{\text{HF}}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \langle \mathcal{S}_c u_1^{\text{HF}} | \\ \vdots \\ \langle \mathcal{S}_c u_n^{\text{HF}} | \end{pmatrix}$$



$$= \left( |\mathcal{S}_c u_1^{\text{HF}}\rangle, \dots, |\mathcal{S}_c u_n^{\text{HF}}\rangle \right) \mathcal{S}_{\text{HF}}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \langle \mathcal{S}_c u_1^{\text{HF}} | \\ \vdots \\ \langle \mathcal{S}_c u_n^{\text{HF}} | \end{pmatrix} = \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}}.$$

This and (4.5) implies

**Lemma 4.11.** *For  $c$  large enough,  $\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}}$  is a projector with  $\text{Rank}(\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}}) = q$ .*

Then we have the following estimates on  $\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}}$ .

**Lemma 4.12.** *Under Assumption 3.11, for  $c$  large enough,*

$$\|\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}}\|_{X^4} = \mathcal{O}(1), \quad \|\mathcal{K}_L \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{K}_L\|_{X^6} = \mathcal{O}(1), \quad \|\mathcal{K}_S \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{K}_S\|_{X^4} = \mathcal{O}(c^{-2}).$$

*Proof.* By (4.7), we know

$$0 \leq C_0 \mathcal{S}_c \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{S}_c^* \leq \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \leq C_1 \mathcal{S}_c \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{S}_c^*.$$

This and  $\mathcal{L}^2 = -\Delta$  give

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}}\|_{X^4} &= \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} [(1 - \Delta) \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} (1 - \Delta)] \\ &\leq C_1 \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} [(1 - \Delta) \mathcal{S}_c \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{S}_c^* (1 - \Delta)] \\ &= C_1 \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} [(1 - \Delta) \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} (1 - \Delta)] + \frac{C_1}{4c^2} \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} [(1 - \Delta) \mathcal{L} \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{L} (1 - \Delta)] \\ &\lesssim \|\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\|_{X^6} = \mathcal{O}(1). \end{aligned}$$

where we used

$$\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} [(1 - \Delta) \mathcal{K}_S \mathcal{S}_c \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{S}_c^* \mathcal{K}_S (1 - \Delta)] = \frac{1}{4c^2} \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} [(1 - \Delta) \mathcal{L} \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{L} (1 - \Delta)]$$

since

$$\mathcal{K}_S \mathcal{S}_c \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{S}_c^* \mathcal{K}_S = \begin{pmatrix} 0_{2 \times 2} & 0_{2 \times 2} \\ 0_{2 \times 2} & A^{\text{HF}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \frac{1}{4c^2} \mathcal{L} \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{L} = \begin{pmatrix} A^{\text{HF}} & 0_{2 \times 2} \\ 0_{2 \times 2} & 0_{2 \times 2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

for some  $A^{\text{HF}} \in \mathfrak{S}_1(L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^2))$ .

Next for  $j \in \{L, S\}$ ,

$$0 \leq C_0 \mathcal{K}_j \mathcal{S}_c \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{S}_c^* \mathcal{K}_j \leq \mathcal{K}_j \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{K}_j \leq C_1 \mathcal{K}_j \mathcal{S}_c \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{S}_c^* \mathcal{K}_j.$$

As  $\mathcal{K}_L \mathcal{S}_c \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{S}_c^* \mathcal{K}_L = \gamma_*^{\text{HF}}$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{K}_L \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{K}_L\|_{X^6} &= \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} [(1 - \Delta)^{3/2} \mathcal{K}_L \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{K}_L (1 - \Delta)^{3/2}] \\ &\leq C_1 \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} [(1 - \Delta)^{3/2} \mathcal{K}_L \mathcal{S}_c \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{S}_c^* \mathcal{K}_L (1 - \Delta)^{3/2}] \\ &= C_1 \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} [(1 - \Delta)^{3/2} \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} (1 - \Delta)^{3/2}] = C_1 \|\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\|_{X^6} = \mathcal{O}(1). \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{K}_S \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{K}_S\|_{X^4} &= \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} [(1 - \Delta) \mathcal{K}_S \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{K}_S (1 - \Delta)] \\ &\leq C_1 \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} [(1 - \Delta) \mathcal{K}_S \mathcal{S}_c \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{S}_c^* \mathcal{K}_S (1 - \Delta)] \\ &= \frac{C_1}{4c^2} \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} [(1 - \Delta) \mathcal{L} \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{L} (1 - \Delta)] = \frac{C_1}{4c^2} \|\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\|_{X^6} = \mathcal{O}(c^{-2}). \end{aligned}$$

This ends the proof.  $\square$

By (4.6), the density matrix  $\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}}$  can be further approximated by  $\tilde{\tilde{\gamma}}_c^{\text{HF}}$  which is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\tilde{\gamma}}_c^{\text{HF}} &:= \left( |\mathcal{S}_c u_1^{\text{HF}}\rangle, \dots, |\mathcal{S}_c u_n^{\text{HF}}\rangle \right) \left( \mathbb{1}_{q \times q} - \frac{1}{4c^2} \tilde{S}_{\text{HF}} \right) \begin{pmatrix} \langle \mathcal{S}_c u_1^{\text{HF}} | \\ \vdots \\ \langle \mathcal{S}_c u_n^{\text{HF}} | \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \mathcal{S}_c \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{S}_c^* - \frac{1}{4c^2} \sum_{1 \leq m, n \leq q} \langle \mathcal{L} u_m^{\text{HF}}, \mathcal{L} u_n^{\text{HF}} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_L} |\mathcal{S}_c u_m^{\text{HF}}\rangle \langle \mathcal{S}_c u_n^{\text{HF}}|. \end{aligned} \quad (4.36)$$

We have

**Lemma 4.13.** *For  $c$  large enough, we have*

$$\|\tilde{\tilde{\gamma}}_c^{\text{HF}} - \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}}\|_X = \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}), \quad \|\mathcal{K}_L(\tilde{\tilde{\gamma}}_c^{\text{HF}} - \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}})\mathcal{K}_L\|_{X^2} = \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}).$$

*Proof.* Let  $A = S_{\text{HF}}^{-1} - \left( \mathbb{1}_{q \times q} - \frac{1}{4c^2} \tilde{S}_{\text{HF}} \right)$ . As a symmetric matrix, there exists a unitary matrix  $U$  such that

$$A = U^* \text{Diag}(\lambda_1(A), \dots, \lambda_q(A)) U \quad (4.37)$$

with  $\lambda_1(A), \dots, \lambda_q(A)$  being the eigenvalues of  $A$ . In particular, from (4.6), we infer

$$\|A\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1(\mathbb{C}^q)} = \sum_{1 \leq n \leq q} |\lambda_n(A)| = \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}).$$

Let

$$\begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_q \end{pmatrix} = U \begin{pmatrix} u_1^{\text{HF}} \\ \vdots \\ u_q^{\text{HF}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\tilde{\tilde{\gamma}}_c^{\text{HF}} - \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} = \sum_{n=1}^q \lambda_n(A) |\mathcal{S}_c v_n\rangle \langle \mathcal{S}_c v_n|.$$

By (4.37) and Lemma 4.7,

$$\|\mathcal{K}_L(\tilde{\tilde{\gamma}}_c^{\text{HF}} - \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}})\mathcal{K}_L\|_{X^2} \leq \sum_{n=1}^q |\lambda_n(A)| \|v_n\|_{H^1}^2 \lesssim \|A\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1(\mathbb{C}^q)} = \mathcal{O}(c^{-4})$$

and

$$\|\tilde{\tilde{\gamma}}_c^{\text{HF}} - \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}}\|_X \leq \sum_{n=1}^q |\lambda_n(A)| \|\mathcal{S}_c v_n\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 = \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}).$$

This ends the proof. □

Thus,

**Lemma 4.14.** *For  $c$  large enough, we have*

$$\mathcal{E}_c(\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}}) = \mathcal{E}_c(\tilde{\tilde{\gamma}}_c^{\text{HF}}) + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}) = E_q^{\text{HF}} + E^{(2)}(\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}) + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}).$$

*Proof.* We have

$$\mathcal{E}_c(\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}}) - \mathcal{E}_c(\tilde{\tilde{\gamma}}_c^{\text{HF}}) = \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[(\mathcal{D}^c - c^2)(\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} - \tilde{\tilde{\gamma}}_c^{\text{HF}})] + \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[(-V + W_{\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} + \tilde{\tilde{\gamma}}_c^{\text{HF}}})(\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} - \tilde{\tilde{\gamma}}_c^{\text{HF}})].$$

By (2.8) and (4.13),

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[(\mathcal{D}^c - c^2)(\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} - \tilde{\tilde{\gamma}}_c^{\text{HF}})] \right| &= \left| \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[H_0 \mathcal{K}_L(\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} - \tilde{\tilde{\gamma}}_c^{\text{HF}}) \mathcal{K}_L] \right| \\ &\leq \| \mathcal{K}_L(\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} - \tilde{\tilde{\gamma}}_c^{\text{HF}}) \mathcal{K}_L \|_{X^2} = \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}) \end{aligned}$$

and by Kato's inequality and (A.1),

$$\left| \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} \left[ \left( -V + \frac{1}{2} W_{\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} + \tilde{\tilde{\gamma}}_c^{\text{HF}}} \right) (\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} - \tilde{\tilde{\gamma}}_c^{\text{HF}}) \right] \right| \lesssim \| \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} - \tilde{\tilde{\gamma}}_c^{\text{HF}} \|_X = \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}).$$

Thus,

$$\mathcal{E}_c(\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}}) = \mathcal{E}_c(\tilde{\tilde{\gamma}}_c^{\text{HF}}) + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}).$$

Now we prove

$$\mathcal{E}_c(\tilde{\tilde{\gamma}}_c^{\text{HF}}) = \mathcal{E}^{\text{HF}}(\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}) + E^{(2)}(\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}) + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}).$$

By (2.8) and (4.36), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}(H_0 \gamma_*^{\text{HF}}) &= \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[(\mathcal{D}^c - c^2) \mathcal{S}_c \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{S}_c^*] \\ &= \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[(\mathcal{D}^c - c^2) \tilde{\tilde{\gamma}}_c^{\text{HF}}] + \frac{1}{2c^2} \sum_{1 \leq m, n \leq q} \left| \langle u_m^{\text{HF}}, H_0 u_n^{\text{HF}} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_L} \right|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Next by (4.36) again,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}(V \gamma_*^{\text{HF}}) &= \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}(V \mathcal{S}_c \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{S}_c^*) - \frac{1}{4c^2} \sum_{n=1}^q \langle \mathcal{L} u_n^{\text{HF}}, V \mathcal{L} u_n^{\text{HF}} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_L} \\ &= \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}(V \tilde{\tilde{\gamma}}_c^{\text{HF}}) - \frac{1}{4c^2} \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[V \mathcal{L} \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{L}] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2c^2} \sum_{1 \leq m, n \leq q} \langle u_m^{\text{HF}}, H_0 u_n^{\text{HF}} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_L} \langle u_n^{\text{HF}}, V u_m^{\text{HF}} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_L} + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}). \end{aligned}$$

Analogously, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{1, \gamma_*^{\text{HF}}} \gamma_*^{\text{HF}}] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{1, \mathcal{S}_c \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{S}_c^*} \mathcal{S}_c \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{S}_c^*] - \frac{1}{4c^2} \sum_{n=1}^q \langle \mathcal{L} u_n^{\text{HF}}, W_{1, \gamma_*^{\text{HF}}} \mathcal{L} u_n^{\text{HF}} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_L} + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{1, \tilde{\tilde{\gamma}}_c^{\text{HF}}} \tilde{\tilde{\gamma}}_c^{\text{HF}}] - \frac{1}{4c^2} \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{1, \gamma_*^{\text{HF}}} \mathcal{L} \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{L}] \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2c^2} \sum_{1 \leq m, n \leq q} \langle u_m^{\text{HF}}, H_0 u_n^{\text{HF}} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_L} \langle u_n^{\text{HF}}, W_{1, \gamma_*^{\text{HF}}} u_m^{\text{HF}} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_L} + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}),$$

since  $u_n^{\text{HF}} \in H^2$ . Finally, concerning the term  $W_{2, \cdot}$ , by (3.8), Lemma 4.6 and 4.12 we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} [W_{2, \gamma_*^{\text{HF}}} \gamma_*^{\text{HF}}] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} [W_{2, \mathcal{S}_c \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{S}_c^*} \mathcal{S}_c \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{S}_c^*] - \frac{1}{4c^2} \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} [W_{2, \gamma_*^{\text{HF}}} \mathcal{L} \gamma_*^{\text{HF}}] \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{32c^4} \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} [W_{2, \mathcal{L} \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{L}} \mathcal{L} \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{L}] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} [W_{2, \mathcal{S}_c \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{S}_c^*} \mathcal{S}_c \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{S}_c^*] - \frac{1}{4c^2} \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} [W_{2, \gamma_*^{\text{HF}}} \mathcal{L} \gamma_*^{\text{HF}}] + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} [W_{2, \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}}} \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}}] - \frac{1}{4c^2} \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} [W_{2, \gamma_*^{\text{HF}}} \mathcal{L} \gamma_*^{\text{HF}}] \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2c^2} \sum_{1 \leq m, n \leq q} \langle u_m^{\text{HF}}, H_0 u_n^{\text{HF}} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_L} \langle u_n^{\text{HF}}, W_{2, \gamma_*^{\text{HF}}} u_m^{\text{HF}} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_L} + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}). \end{aligned}$$

Gathering these estimates together, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_c(\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}}) &= \mathcal{E}^{\text{HF}}(\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}) - \frac{1}{2c^2} \sum_{1 \leq m, n \leq q} \langle u_m^{\text{HF}}, H_0 u_n^{\text{HF}} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_L} \langle u_n, H_{0, \gamma_*^{\text{HF}}} u_m \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_L} \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{4c^2} \left( \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} [(-V + W_{1, \gamma_*^{\text{HF}}}) \mathcal{L} \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{L}] - \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} [W_{2, \gamma_*^{\text{HF}}} \mathcal{L} \gamma_*^{\text{HF}}] \right) + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}) \\ &= \mathcal{E}^{\text{HF}}(\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}) - \frac{1}{4c^2} \sum_{n=1}^q \lambda_n^{\text{HF}} \langle \mathcal{L} u_n^{\text{HF}}, \mathcal{L} u_n^{\text{HF}} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_L} \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{4c^2} \left( \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} [(-V + W_{1, \gamma_*^{\text{HF}}}) \mathcal{L} \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{L}] - \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} [W_{2, \gamma_*^{\text{HF}}} \mathcal{L} \gamma_*^{\text{HF}}] \right) + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}) \\ &= \mathcal{E}^{\text{HF}}(\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}) + E^{(2)}(\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}) + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}). \end{aligned}$$

Here according to Remark 3.5, we used that  $u_1^{\text{HF}}, \dots, u_q^{\text{HF}}$  are orthonormal eigenfunctions of  $H_{0, \gamma_*^{\text{HF}}}$ .  $\square$

Now we consider (4.9) with  $\gamma = \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}}$ .

### 4.3.2 Kinetic term

Concerning the kinetic term, by (2.8), (4.8), (4.10), Corollary 4.5 and as  $[\mathcal{D}^c, \Lambda_c^\pm] = 0$ , we infer

$$\begin{aligned} & |\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} [(\mathcal{D}^c - c^2) \Lambda_c^+ \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+] - \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} [(\mathcal{D}^c - c^2) \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}}]| \\ &= |\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} [(\mathcal{D}^c - c^2) \Lambda_c^- \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}}]| = |\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} [\Lambda_c^- \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} (\mathcal{D}^c - c^2)]| \\ &= |\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} [\mathcal{K}_L \Lambda_c^- \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{K}_L H_0]| = \frac{1}{2} |\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} [(-\Delta)^{-1/2} \mathcal{K}_L \Lambda_c^- \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{K}_L (\Delta)^{3/2}]| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|(-\Delta)^{-1/2} \mathcal{K}_L \Lambda_c^- \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^- \mathcal{K}_L (-\Delta)^{-1/2}\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1}^{1/2} \|\mathcal{K}_L \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{K}_L\|_{X^6}^{1/2} = \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.38)$$

### 4.3.3 Potential between electrons and nuclei

Concerning the potential between electrons and nuclei, we have the following.

**Lemma 4.15.** *Under Assumption 3.11, for  $c$  large enough we have*

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[V\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\mathrm{HF}}] - \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[V\Lambda_c^+\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\mathrm{HF}}\Lambda_c^+] = \mathcal{O}(c^{-4})$$

*Proof.* We have

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[V\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\mathrm{HF}}] - \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[V\Lambda_c^+\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\mathrm{HF}}\Lambda_c^+] = \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[V\Lambda_c^-\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\mathrm{HF}}] + \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[V\Lambda_c^+\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\mathrm{HF}}\Lambda_c^-]. \quad (4.39)$$

We first consider  $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[V\Lambda_c^-\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\mathrm{HF}}]$ . By (3.9),

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[V\Lambda_c^-\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\mathrm{HF}}] = \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[(-\Delta)^{-1/2}\mathcal{K}_L\Lambda_c^-\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\mathrm{HF}}\mathcal{K}_LV(-\Delta)^{1/2}] + \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[\mathcal{K}_S\Lambda_c^-\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\mathrm{HF}}\mathcal{K}_SV].$$

By Assumption 3.11 and Hardy's inequality,

$$\|(-\Delta)^{1/2}Vu\|_{\mathcal{H}} = \|\nabla(Vu)\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \|(\nabla V)u\|_{\mathcal{H}} + \|V\nabla u\|_{\mathcal{H}} \lesssim \|(1-\Delta)u\|_{\mathcal{H}}. \quad (4.40)$$

Thus by (4.10), Corollary 4.5 and Lemma 4.12,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[V\Lambda_c^-\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\mathrm{HF}}]| &\lesssim \|(-\Delta)^{-1/2}\mathcal{K}_L\Lambda_c^-\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\mathrm{HF}}\Lambda_c^-\mathcal{K}_L(-\Delta)^{-1/2}\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1}^{1/2}\|\mathcal{K}_L\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\mathrm{HF}}\mathcal{K}_L\|_{X^4}^{1/2} \\ &\quad + \|\mathcal{K}_S\Lambda_c^-\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\mathrm{HF}}\Lambda_c^-\mathcal{K}_S\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1}^{1/2}\|\mathcal{K}_S\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\mathrm{HF}}\mathcal{K}_S\|_{X^2}^{1/2} = \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}). \end{aligned}$$

Next, for the term  $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[V\Lambda_c^+\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\mathrm{HF}}\Lambda_c^-]$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[V\Lambda_c^+\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\mathrm{HF}}\Lambda_c^-] &\lesssim \|(-\Delta)^{-1/2}\mathcal{K}_L\Lambda_c^-\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\mathrm{HF}}\Lambda_c^-\mathcal{K}_L(-\Delta)^{-1/2}\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1}^{1/2}\|\mathcal{K}_L\Lambda_c^+\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\mathrm{HF}}\Lambda_c^+\mathcal{K}_L\|_{X^4}^{1/2} \\ &\quad + \|\mathcal{K}_S\Lambda_c^-\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\mathrm{HF}}\Lambda_c^-\mathcal{K}_S\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1}^{1/2}\|\mathcal{K}_S\Lambda_c^+\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\mathrm{HF}}\Lambda_c^+\mathcal{K}_S\|_{X^2}^{1/2} = \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, using (4.39), we get

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[V\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\mathrm{HF}}] - \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[V\Lambda_c^+\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\mathrm{HF}}\Lambda_c^+] = \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}).$$

This ends the proof.  $\square$

### 4.3.4 Potential between electrons and electrons

We now consider the estimates associated with  $W_{\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\mathrm{HF}}}$ . Analogous to Sec. 4.2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\mathrm{HF}}}\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\mathrm{HF}}] - \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{\Lambda_c^+\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\mathrm{HF}}\Lambda_c^+}\Lambda_c^+\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\mathrm{HF}}\Lambda_c^+] \\ = \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\mathrm{HF}}}(\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\mathrm{HF}} - \Lambda_c^+\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\mathrm{HF}}\Lambda_c^+)] + \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\mathrm{HF}}-\Lambda_c^+\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\mathrm{HF}}\Lambda_c^+}\Lambda_c^+\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\mathrm{HF}}\Lambda_c^+]. \end{aligned}$$

We will study these two terms separately.

Concerning the first term on the right-hand side, we have

**Lemma 4.16.** *Under Assumption 3.11, for  $c$  large enough,*

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\mathrm{HF}}}(\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\mathrm{HF}} - \Lambda_c^+\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\mathrm{HF}}\Lambda_c^+)] = \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}).$$

*Proof.* Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}}}(\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} - \Lambda_c^+ \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+)] \\ &= \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{1, \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}}}(\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} - \Lambda_c^+ \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+)] - \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{2, \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}}}(\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} - \Lambda_c^+ \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+)]. \end{aligned}$$

We first consider the term associated with  $W_{1, \bullet}$ .

By (4.16) and Lemma 4.12,

$$\|\nabla(W_{1, \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}}} u)\|_{\mathcal{H}} \lesssim \|\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}}\|_{X^2} \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}} \lesssim \|(1 - \Delta)u\|_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

Taking  $V = W_{1, \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}}}$ , from Lemma 4.15 we can conclude that

$$\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{1, \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}}}(\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} - \Lambda_c^+ \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+)] = \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}).$$

Concerning the term associated with  $W_{2, \bullet}$ , by (3.7),

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{2, \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}}}(\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} - \Lambda_c^+ \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+)] \\ &= \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{2, \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}}} \Lambda_c^- \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}}] + \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{2, \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}}} \Lambda_c^+ \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^-] \\ &= \sum_{j, j' \in \{L, S\}} \left( \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{2, \mathcal{K}_j \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{K}_{j'} \Lambda_c^- \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{K}_j] + \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{2, \mathcal{K}_j \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{K}_{j'} \Lambda_c^+ \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^- \mathcal{K}_j] \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for  $j \in \{S, L\}$ , by (4.10), Corollary 4.5, Lemma 4.6 and Lemma 4.12,

$$\begin{aligned} & |\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{2, \mathcal{K}_j \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{K}_L \Lambda_c^- \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{K}_j]| \\ &= |\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[(-\Delta)^{-1/2} \mathcal{K}_L \Lambda_c^- \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{K}_j W_{2, \mathcal{K}_j \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{K}_L} (-\Delta)^{1/2}]| \\ &\lesssim \|\mathcal{K}_j \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{K}_j\|_{X^2}^{1/2} \|\mathcal{K}_L \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{K}_L\|_{X^2}^{1/2} \|(-\Delta)^{-1/2} \mathcal{K}_L \Lambda_c^- \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{K}_j \nabla\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1} \\ &\leq \|\mathcal{K}_j \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{K}_j\|_{X^2}^{1/2} \|\nabla \mathcal{K}_j \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{K}_j \nabla\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1}^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times \|\mathcal{K}_L \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{K}_L\|_{X^2}^{1/2} \|(-\Delta)^{-1/2} \mathcal{K}_L \Lambda_c^- \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^- \mathcal{K}_L (-\Delta)^{-1/2}\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1}^{1/2} = \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}). \end{aligned}$$

Analogously,

$$\begin{aligned} & |\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{2, \mathcal{K}_j \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{K}_S \Lambda_c^- \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{K}_j]| \\ &\leq \|\mathcal{K}_S \Lambda_c^- \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{K}_j W_{2, \mathcal{K}_j \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{K}_S}\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1} \\ &\lesssim \|\mathcal{K}_j \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{K}_j\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1}^{1/2} \|\mathcal{K}_S \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{K}_S\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1}^{1/2} \|\mathcal{K}_S \Lambda_c^- \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{K}_j \nabla\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1} \\ &\leq \|\mathcal{K}_j \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{K}_j\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1}^{1/2} \|\nabla \mathcal{K}_j \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{K}_j \nabla\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1}^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times \|\mathcal{K}_S \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{K}_S\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1}^{1/2} \|\mathcal{K}_S \Lambda_c^- \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^- \mathcal{K}_S\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1}^{1/2} = \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\sum_{j, j' \in \{L, S\}} \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{2, \mathcal{K}_j \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{K}_{j'} \Lambda_c^- \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{K}_j] = \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}). \quad (4.41)$$

Next, we study the term

$$\sum_{j, j' \in \{L, S\}} \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{2, \mathcal{K}_j \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{K}_{j'} \Lambda_c^+ \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^- \mathcal{K}_j].$$

whose proof is essentially the same as for (4.41). For  $j' \in \{S, L\}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} [W_{2, \mathcal{K}_L \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{K}_{j'}} \mathcal{K}_{j'} \Lambda_c^+ \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^- \mathcal{K}_L] \right| \\ & \lesssim \|\mathcal{K}_{j'} \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{K}_{j'}\|_{X^2}^{1/2} \|\mathcal{K}_L \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{K}_L\|_{X^2}^{1/2} \|(-\Delta)^{-1/2} \mathcal{K}_L \Lambda_c^- \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+ \mathcal{K}_{j'} \nabla\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1} \\ & \leq \|\mathcal{K}_{j'} \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{K}_{j'}\|_{X^2}^{1/2} \|\nabla \mathcal{K}_{j'} \Lambda_c^+ \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+ \mathcal{K}_{j'} \nabla\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1}^{1/2} \\ & \quad \times \|\mathcal{K}_L \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{K}_L\|_{X^2}^{1/2} \|(-\Delta)^{-1/2} \mathcal{K}_L \Lambda_c^- \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^- \mathcal{K}_L (-\Delta)^{-1/2}\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1}^{1/2} = \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} [W_{2, \mathcal{K}_S \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{K}_{j'}} \mathcal{K}_{j'} \Lambda_c^+ \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^- \mathcal{K}_S] \right| \\ & \lesssim \|\mathcal{K}_{j'} \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{K}_{j'}\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1}^{1/2} \|\nabla \mathcal{K}_{j'} \Lambda_c^+ \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+ \mathcal{K}_{j'} \nabla\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1}^{1/2} \\ & \quad \times \|\mathcal{K}_S \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{K}_S\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1}^{1/2} \|\mathcal{K}_S \Lambda_c^- \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^- \mathcal{K}_S\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1}^{1/2} = \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\sum_{j, j' \in \{L, S\}} \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} [W_{2, \mathcal{K}_j \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{K}_{j'}} \mathcal{K}_{j'} \Lambda_c^+ \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^- \mathcal{K}_j] = \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}).$$

This and (4.41) show

$$\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} [W_{2, \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}}} (\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} - \Lambda_c^+ \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+)] = \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}).$$

Finally, we get

$$\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} [W_{\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}}} (\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} - \Lambda_c^+ \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+)] = \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}).$$

The proof is completed.  $\square$

Concerning the second term on the right-hand side, we have

**Lemma 4.17.** *Under Assumption 3.11, for  $c$  large enough,*

$$\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} [W_{\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} - \Lambda_c^+ \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+} \Lambda_c^+ \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+] = \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}).$$

*Proof.* The proof is essentially the same as for Lemma 4.16. Note that

$$\left| \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} [W_{\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} - \Lambda_c^+ \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+} \Lambda_c^+ \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+] \right| = \left| \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} [W_{\Lambda_c^+ \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+} (\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} - \Lambda_c^+ \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+)] \right|.$$

We just need to replace  $\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}}$  by  $\Lambda_c^+ \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+$  in  $W_{1, \bullet}$  and  $W_{2, \bullet}$  in the proof of Lemma 4.16. More precisely, we just need to modify estimates (4.16) and Lemma 4.6 by using Corollary 4.5 and Lemma 4.12:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla (W_{1, \Lambda_c^+ \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+} u)\|_{\mathcal{H}} & \lesssim \|\Lambda_c^+ \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+\|_{X^2} \|(1 - \Delta)u\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\ & \lesssim \|\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}}\|_{X^2} \|(1 - \Delta)u\|_{\mathcal{H}} \lesssim \|(1 - \Delta)u\|_{\mathcal{H}} \end{aligned}$$

and for  $j, j' \in \{\mathcal{K}_L, \mathcal{K}_S\}$

$$\begin{aligned} \|W_{2, \mathcal{K}_j \Lambda_c^+ \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+ \mathcal{K}_{j'}} f\|_{\mathcal{H}} & \leq \|\mathcal{K}_j \Lambda_c^+ \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+ \mathcal{K}_j\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1}^{1/2} \|\mathcal{K}_{j'} \Lambda_c^+ \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+ \mathcal{K}_{j'}\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1}^{1/2} \|\nabla f\|_{\mathcal{H}}, \\ \|(-\Delta)^{1/2} W_{2, \mathcal{K}_j \Lambda_c^+ \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+ \mathcal{K}_{j'}} f\|_{\mathcal{H}} & \leq \|\mathcal{K}_j \Lambda_c^+ \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+ \mathcal{K}_j\|_{X^2}^{1/2} \|\mathcal{K}_{j'} \Lambda_c^+ \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+ \mathcal{K}_{j'}\|_{X^2}^{1/2} \|\nabla f\|_{\mathcal{H}}. \end{aligned}$$

Repeating the proof of Lemma 4.16, we get

$$\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} [W_{\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} - \Lambda_c^+ \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+} \Lambda_c^+ \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+] = \mathcal{O}(c^{-4})$$

This ends the proof.  $\square$

### 4.3.5 End of the proof of (4.2)

From (4.28), Lemma 4.9 and Lemma 4.10 we know that

$$\left| \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{\tilde{\gamma}_*^{\mathrm{HF}}} \tilde{\gamma}_*^{\mathrm{HF}}] - \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{\Lambda_c^+ \tilde{\gamma}_*^{\mathrm{HF}} \Lambda_c^+} \Lambda_c^+ \tilde{\gamma}_*^{\mathrm{HF}} \Lambda_c^+] \right| = \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}).$$

Then estimate (4.2) follows from this inequality, (4.9), (4.38), Lemmata 4.14 and 4.15.

## 5 From free picture to the DF ground-state energy

In this section, we pass from fixed free picture  $\Lambda_c^+$  to DF energy in the set  $\Gamma_q^+$ . More precisely, we are trying to prove the following.

**Theorem 5.1** (From free picture to the DF ground-state energy). *Let  $\gamma_*^{\mathrm{HF}}, \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\mathrm{HF}}$  be given as in Theorem 4.1. Then under Assumption 3.6, we have*

$$E_{c,q} \leq \mathcal{E}_c(\Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\mathrm{HF}} \Lambda_c^+) + \mathcal{O}(c^{-2}). \quad (5.1)$$

In addition, under Assumption 3.11, for  $c$  large enough, we also have

$$E_{c,q} \leq \mathcal{E}_c(\Lambda_c^+ \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\mathrm{HF}} \Lambda_c^+) + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}). \quad (5.2)$$

### 5.1 New DF functional

To study Theorem 5.1, we heavily rely on the [20, 25]. In particular, the author's previous work [20] gives us the chances to get (5.1) and (5.2).

To prove Theorem 3.2 and also Theorem 5.1, a retraction  $\theta(\gamma)$  is introduced

$$\theta_c(\gamma) := \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} T_c^n(\gamma) \quad (5.3)$$

with

$$T_c^n(\gamma) = T_c(T_c^{n-1}(\gamma)), \quad T_c(\gamma) = P_{c,\gamma}^+ \gamma P_{c,\gamma}^+.$$

The existence of the retraction  $\theta$  is based on the following.

**Lemma 5.2** (Existence of the retraction [20, 25]). *Assume that  $\kappa_c := 2c^{-1}(q+z) < 1$  and  $a_c := \frac{\pi}{4c\sqrt{(1-\kappa_c)\lambda_{0,c}}}$ . Given  $R < \frac{1}{2a_c}$ , let  $A_c := \max(\frac{1}{1-2a_c R}, \frac{2+a_c q}{2})$ , and let*

$$\mathcal{U}_{c,R} := \left\{ \gamma \in \Gamma_q; \frac{1}{c} \|\gamma\|_{\mathcal{D}^c}^{1/2} \|_{\mathfrak{S}_1} + \frac{A_c}{c^2} \|T_c(\gamma) - \gamma\|_{X_c} < R \right\}.$$

Then,  $T_c$  maps  $\mathcal{U}_{c,R}$  into  $\mathcal{U}_{c,R}$ , and for any  $\gamma \in \mathcal{U}_{c,R}$  the sequence  $(T_c^n(\gamma))_{n \geq 0}$  converges to a limit  $\theta_c(\gamma) \in \Gamma_q^+$ . Moreover for any  $\gamma \in \mathcal{U}_{c,R}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_c^{n+1}(\gamma) - T_c^n(\gamma)\|_{X_c} &\leq L_c \|T_c^n(\gamma) - T_c^{n-1}(\gamma)\|_{X_c}, \\ \|\theta_c(\gamma) - T_c^n(\gamma)\|_{X_c} &\leq \frac{L_c^n}{1-L_c} \|T_c(\gamma) - \gamma\|_{X_c} \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

with  $L_c := 2a_c R$ .



Therefore, one can define a new DF functional:

**Definition 5.3** (New DF functional). *Let  $\kappa_c$ ,  $a_c$ ,  $R$  and  $\mathcal{U}_{c,R}$  be given as in Lemma 5.2. For any  $\gamma \in \mathcal{U}_{c,R}$ , a new DF functional of  $\gamma$  is defined by*

$$E_c(\gamma) = \mathcal{E}_c(\theta_c(\gamma)). \quad (5.5)$$

According to Remark 3.3 or [25, Corollary 2.12], any minimizer  $\gamma_*^c$  of (3.12) is located in  $\mathcal{U}_{c,R_c^{\text{DF}}}$  whenever  $c$  is large enough with  $R_c^{\text{DF}}$  being given as in Assumption 3.1. As a result, for any  $R \geq R_c^{\text{DF}}$ , we have  $\gamma_*^c \in \mathcal{U}_{c,R}$ . Then if in addition  $2a_c R < 1$ ,

$$E_{c,q} = \min_{\gamma \in \mathcal{U}_{c,R}} E_c(\gamma). \quad (5.6)$$

According to (5.6), it is easy to see that,

$$E_{c,q} \leq E_c(\Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+), \quad E_{c,q} \leq E_c(\Lambda_c^+ \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+) \quad (5.7)$$

if  $\gamma_*^c$ ,  $\Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+$ ,  $\Lambda_c^+ \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+ \in \mathcal{U}_{c,R}$  for some  $R \geq R_c^{\text{DF}}$  and  $R < \frac{1}{2a_c}$ . Then it remains to show that for some  $R_c^{\text{DF}} \leq R < \frac{1}{2a_c}$ , we have

$$\gamma_*^c, \Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+, \Lambda_c^+ \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+ \in \mathcal{U}_{c,R} \quad (5.8)$$

and that

$$|E_c(\Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+) - \mathcal{E}_c(\Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+)| = \mathcal{O}(c^{-2}) \quad (5.9)$$

or under Assumption 3.11,

$$|E_c(\Lambda_c^+ \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+) - \mathcal{E}_c(\Lambda_c^+ \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+)| = \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}). \quad (5.10)$$

Estimates (5.9) and (5.10) will be obtained by using the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.4.** [20, Lemma 5.1] *Let  $R, z \in \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $q \in \mathbb{N}^+$  be fixed. Assume that  $\kappa_c < 1$  and  $L_c < 1$  as in Lemma 5.2. Let  $C_{\kappa_c, L_c} := \frac{5\pi^2}{4(1-\kappa_c)^2 \lambda_{0,c}^{3/2} (1-L_c)^2}$ . Then for any  $\gamma \in \mathcal{U}_{c,R}$ ,*

$$|E_c(\gamma) - \mathcal{E}_c(\gamma)| \leq C_{\kappa_c, L_c} (3c^{-1}R + 3c^{-1}q + 1) \frac{1}{c^3} \|T_c(\gamma) - \gamma\|_{X_c}^2 + 3 \|P_{c,\gamma}^- \gamma P_{c,\gamma}^-\|_{X_c}. \quad (5.11)$$

## 5.2 Estimates on the projections

To prove (5.8)-(5.10), from Lemma 5.4, we need to study  $P_{c,0}^+ - \Lambda_c^+$  and  $P_{c,\gamma}^+ - P_{c,0}^+$ .

**Lemma 5.5.** *For any  $\gamma \in \Gamma_q$  and  $\kappa_c < 1$ , we have*

$$\| |\mathcal{D}^c|^{1/2} (P_{c,0}^+ - \Lambda_c^+) (-\Delta)^{-1/2} \|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})} \leq \frac{z}{(1-\kappa_c)^{1/2} c} \quad (5.12)$$

and

$$\| |\mathcal{D}^c|^{1/2} (P_{c,\gamma}^+ - P_{c,0}^+) \|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})} \leq \frac{1}{4(1-\kappa_c)^{1/2} \lambda_{0,c}^{1/2} c} \|\gamma\|_{X_c}. \quad (5.13)$$

*Proof.* Using the resolvent formula, we have

$$P_{c,0}^+ - \Lambda_c^+ = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}^c - V - iz} V \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}^c - iz} dz \quad (5.14)$$

and

$$P_{c,\gamma}^+ - P_{c,0}^+ = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}_\gamma^c - iz} W_\gamma \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}_0^c - iz} dz. \quad (5.15)$$

Note that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|A|}{|A|^2 + z^2} dz = \pi, \quad \text{for } A \neq 0,$$

Then we infer from Hardy inequality and (A.3),

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \langle v, |\mathcal{D}^c|^{1/2} (P_{c,0}^+ - \Lambda_c^+) u \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \right| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \|(\mathcal{D}^c + iz)^{-1} |\mathcal{D}^c|^{1/2} v\|_{\mathcal{H}} \|V(\mathcal{D}^c - iz)^{-1} u\|_{\mathcal{H}} dz \\ & \leq \frac{z}{\pi} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \|(\mathcal{D}^c - V + iz)^{-1} |\mathcal{D}^c|^{1/2} v\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 dz \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \|(\mathcal{D}^c - iz)^{-1} \nabla u\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 dz \right)^{1/2} \\ & \leq z \| |\mathcal{D}_0^c|^{-1/2} \mathcal{D}^c |^{1/2} v \|_{\mathcal{H}} \| |\mathcal{D}^c|^{-1/2} \nabla u \|_{\mathcal{H}} \\ & \leq z c^{-1} (1 - \kappa_c)^{-1/2} \|v\|_{\mathcal{H}} \|(-\Delta)^{1/2} u\|_{\mathcal{H}}. \end{aligned}$$

Here  $\mathcal{D}_0^c = \mathcal{D}^c - V$  is the DF operator  $\mathcal{D}_\gamma^c$  with  $\gamma = 0$ . This gives (5.12).

The estimate (5.13) can be found in [25]. For the reader's convenience, we give the proof. As for (5.12), from (A.1), (A.3) and (A.6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \langle v, |\mathcal{D}^c|^{1/2} (P_{c,\gamma}^+ - P_{c,0}^+) u \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \right| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \|W_\gamma\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \|(\mathcal{D}_0^c + iz)^{-1} |\mathcal{D}^c|^{1/2} v\|_{\mathcal{H}} \|(\mathcal{D}_\gamma^c - iz)^{-1} u\|_{\mathcal{H}} dz \\ & \leq \frac{\pi}{4} \|\gamma\|_X \| |\mathcal{D}_0^c|^{-1/2} \mathcal{D}^c |^{1/2} v \|_{\mathcal{H}} \| |\mathcal{D}_\gamma^c|^{-1/2} u \|_{\mathcal{H}} \\ & \leq \frac{\pi}{4} c^{-1} (1 - \kappa_c)^{-1/2} \lambda_{0,c}^{-1/2} \|\gamma\|_X \|v\|_{\mathcal{H}} \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}}. \end{aligned}$$

This ends the proof.  $\square$

With Assumption 3.11 in hand, we have in addition

**Lemma 5.6.** *Under Assumption 3.11, for  $c$  large enough, we have*

$$\| |\mathcal{D}^c|^{1/2} (P_{c,0}^+ - \Lambda_c^+) (1 - \Delta)^{-1} \|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})} \lesssim c^{-2} \quad (5.16)$$

and

$$\| |\mathcal{D}^c|^{1/2} (P_{c,\gamma}^+ - P_{c,0}^+) \|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})} \lesssim c^{-2} (1 + \|\gamma\|_{X^2}^2 + c \| [W_\gamma, \beta] \|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})}). \quad (5.17)$$

*Proof.* This proof is mainly based on [20]. We need a more delicate study of (5.14) and (5.15). Note that for  $A \neq 0$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{(A + iz)^2} dz = 0.$$

Then by (5.14),

$$\begin{aligned} P_{c,0}^+ - \Lambda_c^+ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}^c - iz} V \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}^c - iz} dz + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}^c - V - iz} V \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}^c - iz} V \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}^c - iz} dz \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}^c - iz} \left[ V, \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}^c - iz} \right] dz + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}^c - V - iz} V \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}^c - iz} V \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}^c - iz} dz \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{(\mathcal{D}^c - iz)^2} [\mathcal{D}^c, V] \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}^c - iz} dz + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}^c - V - iz} V \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}^c - iz} V \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}^c - iz} dz \end{aligned}$$

and by (5.15),

$$\begin{aligned} P_{c,\gamma}^+ - P_{c,0}^+ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}_0^c - iz} W_\gamma \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}_0^c - iz} dz + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}_\gamma^c - iz} W_\gamma \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}_0^c - iz} V \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}_0^c - iz} dz \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}_0^c - iz} \left[ W_\gamma, \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}_0^c - iz} \right] dz + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}_\gamma^c - iz} W_\gamma \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}_0^c - iz} W_\gamma \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}_0^c - iz} dz \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{(\mathcal{D}_0^c - iz)^2} [\mathcal{D}_0^c, W_\gamma] \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}_0^c - iz} dz + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}_\gamma^c - iz} W_\gamma \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}_0^c - iz} W_\gamma \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}_0^c - iz} dz. \end{aligned}$$

Analogous to the proof of Lemma 5.5, we know

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \langle v, |\mathcal{D}^c|^{1/2} (P_{c,0}^+ - \Lambda_c^+) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \| |\mathcal{D}^c|^{-1} \|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \| (\mathcal{D}^c + iz)^{-1} |\mathcal{D}^c|^{1/2} v \|_{\mathcal{H}} \| [\mathcal{D}^c, V] (\mathcal{D}^c - iz)^{-1} u \|_{\mathcal{H}} dz \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \| (\mathcal{D}^c - V + iz)^{-1} |\mathcal{D}^c|^{1/2} v \|_{\mathcal{H}} \| V (\mathcal{D}^c - iz)^{-1} V (\mathcal{D}^c - iz)^{-1} u \|_{\mathcal{H}} dz \\ &\lesssim c^{-2} \| v \|_{\mathcal{H}} \| (1 - \Delta) u \|_{\mathcal{H}} + c^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \| (\mathcal{D}^c - V + iz)^{-1} |\mathcal{D}^c|^{1/2} v \|_{\mathcal{H}} \| \nabla V (\mathcal{D}^c - iz)^{-1} u \|_{\mathcal{H}} dz \\ &\lesssim c^{-3} \| v \|_{\mathcal{H}} \| (1 - \Delta) u \|_{\mathcal{H}} \end{aligned}$$

where we used Assumption 3.11,  $\| [\mathcal{D}^c, V] \| \leq c |\nabla V|$  and by (4.40),

$$\begin{aligned} \| V (\mathcal{D}^c - iz)^{-1} V (\mathcal{D}^c - iz)^{-1} u \|_{\mathcal{H}} &\lesssim \| (\mathcal{D}^c - iz)^{-1} \nabla [V (\mathcal{D}^c - iz)^{-1} u] \|_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &\lesssim c^{-2} \| (\mathcal{D}^c - iz)^{-1} (1 - \Delta) u \|_{\mathcal{H}}. \end{aligned}$$

Next by (A.1), (A.3) and (A.7),

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \langle v, (P_{c,\gamma}^+ - P_{c,0}^+) u \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \| |\mathcal{D}^c|^{-1} \|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})} \| [\mathcal{D}_0^c, W_\gamma] \|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \| (\mathcal{D}_0^c - iz)^{-1} |\mathcal{D}^c|^{1/2} v \|_{\mathcal{H}} \| (\mathcal{D}_\gamma^c - iz)^{-1} u \|_{\mathcal{H}} dz \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{1}{2\pi} \|W_\gamma\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})}^2 \|\mathcal{D}_0^c\|^{-1} \|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \|(\mathcal{D}_0^c - iz)^{-1} |\mathcal{D}^c|^{1/2} v\|_{\mathcal{H}} \|(\mathcal{D}_\gamma^c - iz)^{-1} u\|_{\mathcal{H}} dz \\
& \lesssim c^{-3} \left( \|\gamma\|_X^2 + c \|\gamma\|_{X^2} + c^2 \|[W_\gamma, \beta]\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})} \right) \|v\|_{\mathcal{H}} \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\
& \lesssim c^{-2} \left( 1 + \|\gamma\|_{X^2}^2 + c \|[W_\gamma, \beta]\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})} \right) \|v\|_{\mathcal{H}} \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}}.
\end{aligned}$$

This ends the proof.  $\square$

Next, to use Lemma 5.4, we now study the term  $T_c(\gamma) - \gamma$  and  $P_{c,\gamma}^- \gamma P_{c,\gamma}^-$ .

**Lemma 5.7.** *Let  $\gamma \in \mathcal{U}_R \cap X^2$  and  $\kappa_c < 1$ . If  $\Lambda_c^+ \gamma \Lambda_c^+ = \gamma$ , under Assumption 3.6 we have*

$$\|T_c(\gamma) - \gamma\|_{X_c} \leq (2\pi + 4\sqrt{2}z)(1 + \|\gamma\|_{X^2})^2 \quad (5.18)$$

and

$$\|P_{c,\gamma}^- \gamma P_{c,\gamma}^-\|_{X_c} \leq c^{-2}(q + 8z^2)(1 + \|\gamma\|_{X^2})^2. \quad (5.19)$$

In addition, under Assumption 3.11, for  $c$  large enough, we have

$$\|T_c(\gamma) - \gamma\|_{X_c} \lesssim c^{-1}(1 + \|\gamma\|_{X^2}^2 + c \|[W_\gamma, \beta]\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})}) \|\gamma\|_{X^4} \quad (5.20)$$

and

$$\|P_{c,\gamma}^- \gamma P_{c,\gamma}^-\|_{X_c} \lesssim c^{-4}(1 + \|\gamma\|_{X^2}^2 + c \|[W_\gamma, \beta]\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})})^2 \|\gamma\|_{X^4}. \quad (5.21)$$

*Proof.* Under Assumption 3.6, we have

$$\kappa_c \leq \frac{1}{2}, \quad \lambda_{0,c} \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Then we have

$$T_c(\gamma) - \gamma = (P_{c,\gamma}^+ - \Lambda_c^+) \gamma P_{c,\gamma}^+ + \gamma (P_{c,\gamma}^+ - \Lambda_c^+).$$

Using Lemma 5.5, (A.5) and the fact that  $\|\mathcal{D}^c|^{1/2}(1 - \Delta)^{-1/4}\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})} \leq c$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|T_c(\gamma) - \gamma\|_{X_c} & \leq \frac{2(1 + \kappa_c)^{1/2}}{(1 - \kappa_c)^{1/2}} \|\mathcal{D}^c|^{1/2}(P_{c,\gamma}^+ - P_{c,0}^+)\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})} \|\gamma\|_{\mathcal{D}^c|^{1/2}} \|_{\mathfrak{S}_1} \\
& + \frac{2(1 + \kappa_c)^{1/2}}{(1 - \kappa_c)^{1/2}} \|\mathcal{D}^c|^{1/2}(P_{c,0}^+ - \Lambda_c^+)(-\Delta)^{-1/2}\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})} \|(-\Delta)^{1/2} \gamma\|_{\mathcal{D}^c|^{1/2}} \|_{\mathfrak{S}_1} \\
& \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}\pi}{2(1 - \kappa_c)\lambda_{0,c}^{1/2}} \|\gamma\|_X^2 + \frac{2\sqrt{2}z}{(1 - \kappa_c)} \|\gamma\|_{X^2} \leq (2\pi + 4\sqrt{2}z)(1 + \|\gamma\|_{X^2})^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we use the fact that  $0 \leq \kappa_c < 1$  and  $\lambda_{0,c} \leq 1$ .

Concerning the second one, we have

$$P_{c,\gamma}^- \gamma P_{c,\gamma}^- = P_{c,\gamma}^- (\Lambda_c^+ - P_{c,\gamma}^+) \gamma (\Lambda_c^+ - P_{c,\gamma}^+) P_{c,\gamma}^-.$$

Using  $\kappa_c < 1$ ,  $\lambda_{0,c} \leq 1$  and the identity  $\Lambda_c^+ - P_{c,\gamma}^+ = (\Lambda_c^+ - P_{c,0}^+) + (P_{c,0}^+ - P_{c,\gamma}^+)$ , then by Hölder's inequality (4.10),

$$\|P_{c,\gamma}^- (T_c(\gamma) - \gamma) P_{c,\gamma}^-\|_{X_c}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{1 + \kappa_c}{1 - \kappa_c} \left( \|\mathcal{D}^c|^{1/2}(P_{c,0}^+ - P_{c,\gamma}^+)\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})} \|\gamma\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1}^{1/2} + \|\mathcal{D}^c|^{1/2}(P_{c,0}^+ - \Lambda_c^+)(-\Delta)^{-1/2}\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})} \|\gamma\|_{X^2}^{1/2} \right)^2 \\ &\leq c^{-2}(q + 8z^2)(1 + \|\gamma\|_{X^2})^2. \end{aligned}$$

where we used  $\gamma \in \mathcal{U}_{c,R} \subset \Gamma_q$ .

Now we consider the case under Assumption 3.11. For  $c$  large enough, arguing as above and by Lemma 5.6 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_c(\gamma) - \gamma\|_{X_c} &\lesssim \|\mathcal{D}^c|^{1/2}(P_{c,\gamma}^+ - P_{c,0}^+)\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})} \|\gamma\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1}^{1/2} \\ &\quad + \|\mathcal{D}^c|^{1/2}(P_{c,0}^+ - \Lambda_c^+)(1 - \Delta)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})} \|(1 - \Delta)\gamma\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1}^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim c^{-2} \|(1 - \Delta)\gamma\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1}^{1/2} + c^{-2} \left( 1 + \|\gamma\|_{X^2}^2 + c\|[W_\gamma, \beta]\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})} \right) \|\gamma\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1}^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim c^{-1} \left( 1 + \|\gamma\|_{X^2}^2 + c\|[W_\gamma, \beta]\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})} \right) \|(1 - \Delta)\gamma\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1}^{1/4} \\ &\lesssim c^{-1} (1 + \|\gamma\|_{X^2}^2 + c\|[W_\gamma, \beta]\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})}) \|\gamma\|_{X^4}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\|P_{c,\gamma}^-(T_c(\gamma) - \gamma)P_{c,\gamma}^-\|_{X_c} \\ &\lesssim \|\mathcal{D}^c|^{1/2}(P_{c,0}^+ - P_{c,\gamma}^+)\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})}^2 \|\gamma\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1} \\ &\quad + \|\mathcal{D}^c|^{1/2}(P_{c,0}^+ - \Lambda_c^+)(1 - \Delta)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})}^2 \|\gamma\|_{X^4} \\ &\quad + \|\mathcal{D}^c|^{1/2}(P_{c,0}^+ - P_{c,\gamma}^+)\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})} \|\mathcal{D}^c|^{1/2}(P_{c,0}^+ - \Lambda_c^+)(1 - \Delta)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})} \|(1 - \Delta)\gamma\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1} \\ &\lesssim c^{-4} (1 + \|\gamma\|_{X^2}^2 + c\|[W_\gamma, \beta]\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})})^2 \|\gamma\|_{X^4}. \end{aligned}$$

This ends the proof.  $\square$

### 5.3 Proof of Theorem 5.1

We prove (5.1) and (5.2) separately.

#### 5.3.1 Proof of (5.1)

We first choose  $R$  such that  $\Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+ \in \mathcal{U}_{c,R}$ .

**Lemma 5.8.** *Let  $q \in \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $z \in \mathbb{R}^+$  such that  $q \leq z$ . Then for any  $c$  satisfying Assumption 3.6, we have*

$$\gamma_*^c \in \mathcal{U}_{c,R_0}, \quad \Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+ \in \mathcal{U}_{c,R_0}$$

with  $R_0$  given by (3.19) independently of  $c$  and  $L_c = 2a_c R_0 \leq \frac{1}{2}$ .

*Proof.* First of all,  $\gamma_*^c \in \Gamma_q^+$ . According to Remark 3.3 and (3.17),

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{c} \|\gamma_*^c\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1} \|\mathcal{D}^c|^{1/2}\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1} + \frac{A_c}{c^2} \|T(\gamma_*^c) - \gamma_*^c\|_{X_c} \\ &= \frac{1}{c} \|\gamma_*^c\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1} \|\mathcal{D}^c|^{1/2}\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1} < R_c^{\text{DF}} \leq 1 + 4q < R_0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\gamma_*^c \in \mathcal{U}_{c,R_0}$ .

We turn to prove  $\Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+ \in \mathcal{U}_{c,R_0}$ . We have  $\Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+ \in \Gamma_q$  and

$$\frac{1}{c} \|\Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+ |D^c|^{1/2}\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1} \leq \|\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\|_{X^2}.$$

From (5.18) and as  $0 \leq \Lambda^+ \leq 1$ , we also have

$$\|T_c(\Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+) - \Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+\|_{X_c} \leq (2\pi + 4\sqrt{2}z)(1 + \|\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\|_{X^2})^2. \quad (5.22)$$

Then from (3.17) and by Assumption 3.6,

$$2a_c R_0 \leq \frac{\pi}{c} R_0 \leq \frac{1}{2}. \quad (5.23)$$

As  $\frac{2q}{c} \leq \kappa_c \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , we have

$$A_c = \max \left\{ \frac{1}{1 - 2a_c R_0}, \frac{2 + a_c q}{2} \right\} \leq \max \left\{ 2, \frac{2 + \pi c^{-1} q}{2} \right\} \leq \max \left\{ 2, \frac{2 + \pi/4}{2} \right\} \leq 2.$$

Thus,  $\Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{c} \|\Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+ |D^c|^{1/2}\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1} + \frac{A_c}{c^2} \|T_c(\Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+) - \Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+\|_{X_c} \\ & \leq \|\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\|_{X^2} + 4(\pi + 2\sqrt{2}z)(1 + \|\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\|_{X^2})^2 < R_0. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $\Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+ \in \mathcal{U}_{c,R_0}$ . From (5.23), we also know  $L_c = 2a_c R_0 \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . This ends the proof.  $\square$

Now thanks to Lemma 5.8, we can use Lemma 5.4. Gathering together Lemma 5.4, Lemma 5.7 with  $R = R_0$  and Lemma 5.8, we conclude that

**Lemma 5.9.** *Let  $q \in \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $z \in \mathbb{R}^+$  such that  $q \leq z$ . Then for any  $c$  satisfying Assumption 3.6, we have*

$$|E_c(\Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+) - \mathcal{E}_c(\Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+)| \leq C(\|\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\|_{X^2})c^{-2}.$$

Here  $C(\|\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\|_{X^2})$  is a positive constant that only depends  $\|\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\|_{X^4}$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 5.8, we can choose  $R = R_0$ , then  $L_c \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . In addition, by Assumption 3.6,

$$c^{-1}R_0 \leq \frac{1}{4\pi}, \quad c^{-1}q \leq \frac{1}{4}.$$

Then by Lemma 5.4, (5.19) and (5.22)

$$\begin{aligned} & |E_c(\Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+) - \mathcal{E}_c(\Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+)| \\ & \leq 80\sqrt{2}\pi^2 c^{-3} \|T_c(\Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+) - \Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+\|_{X_c}^2 + 3\|P_{\Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+}^- \Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+ P_{\Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+}^-\|_{X_x} \\ & \leq 80\sqrt{2}\pi^2 (2\pi + 4\sqrt{2}z)^2 (1 + \|\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\|_{X^2})^4 c^{-2} + 3(q + 8z^2)(1 + \|\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\|_{X^2})^2 c^{-2} \\ & = C(\|\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\|_{X^2})c^{-2} \end{aligned}$$

with

$$C(\|\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\|_{X^2}) := 80\sqrt{2}\pi^2 (2\pi + 4\sqrt{2}z)^2 (1 + \|\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\|_{X^2})^4 + 3(q + 8z^2)(1 + \|\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\|_{X^2})^2.$$

This ends the proof.  $\square$

Lemma 5.9 and (5.7) shows that

$$E_{c,q} \leq \mathcal{E}_c(\Lambda_c^+ \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+) + C(\|\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}\|_{X^2})c^{-2} \quad (5.24)$$

which proves (5.1).

### 5.3.2 Proof of (5.2)

We are now in the position to prove (5.2). Note that by Lemma 4.11, Lemma 4.13 and (4.36), we know  $\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \in \Gamma_q$  and  $\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \rightarrow \gamma_*^{\text{HF}}$  in  $X$  as  $c \rightarrow \infty$ . In addition, according to (5.13), the mapping  $\gamma \mapsto T_c(\gamma)$  is continuous in  $X$ . This continuity implies that

**Lemma 5.10.** *Under Assumption 3.11, for  $c$  large enough, we have*

$$\Lambda_c^+ \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+ \in \mathcal{U}_{c, R_0}$$

with the same  $R_0 < \frac{1}{2ac}$  as in Lemma 5.8.

Thus by Lemma 5.4 and Lemma 5.7, under Assumption 3.11, for  $c$  large enough, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |E_c(\Lambda_c^+ \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+) - \mathcal{E}_c((\Lambda_c^+ \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+))| \\ & \lesssim c^{-4} \left( 1 + \|\Lambda_c^+ \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+\|_{X^4}^2 + c \| [W_{\Lambda_c^+ \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+}, \beta] \|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})} \right)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (5.25)$$

By Lemma 4.12,

$$\|\Lambda_c^+ \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+\|_{X^4} \leq \|\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}}\|_{X^4} = \mathcal{O}(1). \quad (5.26)$$

It remains to study  $[W_{\Lambda_c^+ \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+}, \beta]$ . We decompose  $\Lambda_c^+ \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+$  into four blocks:

$$\Lambda_c^+ \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+ = \sum_{j, j' \in \{L, S\}} \mathcal{K}_j \Lambda_c^+ \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+ \mathcal{K}_{j'}.$$

Note that for any  $4 \times 4$  matrix

$$A := \begin{pmatrix} A_{1,1} & A_{1,2} \\ A_{2,1} & A_{2,2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_{m,n} \in \text{Mat}_{2 \times 2},$$

we have

$$[A, \beta] = \begin{pmatrix} A_{1,1} & -A_{1,2} \\ A_{2,1} & -A_{2,2} \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} A_{1,1} & A_{1,2} \\ -A_{2,1} & -A_{2,2} \end{pmatrix} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -A_{1,2} \\ A_{2,1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus,

$$[W_{\Lambda_c^+ \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+}, \beta] = W_{2, [\Lambda_c^+ \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+], \beta} = -2W_{2, \mathcal{K}_L \Lambda_c^+ \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+ \mathcal{K}_S} + 2W_{2, \mathcal{K}_S \Lambda_c^+ \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+ \mathcal{K}_L}.$$

Then by (4.18), (4.10), Corollary 4.5 and Lemma 4.12,

$$\begin{aligned} \|[W_{\Lambda_c^+ \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+}, \beta]\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})} & \lesssim \|\mathcal{K}_S \Lambda_c^+ \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+ \mathcal{K}_L\|_X \\ & \lesssim \|\mathcal{K}_S \Lambda_c^+ \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+ \mathcal{K}_S\|_X^{1/2} \|\mathcal{K}_L \Lambda_c^+ \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+ \mathcal{K}_L\|_X^{1/2} \\ & \lesssim c^{-1} \|\mathcal{K}_L \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{K}_L\|_{X^3} \leq c^{-1} \|\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}}\|_{X^3} = \mathcal{O}(c^{-1}). \end{aligned} \quad (5.27)$$

From (5.25)-(5.27), we conclude that

$$|E_c(\Lambda_c^+ \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+) - \mathcal{E}_c((\Lambda_c^+ \tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}} \Lambda_c^+))| = \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}).$$

This proves (5.2). Now the proof of Theorem 5.1 is completed.

## 6 From DF problem to HF problem

In this section, we are trying to understand the relationship between the DF ground-state energy and some HF energies. The main result of this section is the following.

**Theorem 6.1** (From DF problem to HF problem). *Let  $\gamma_*^c \in \Gamma_q^+$  be any DF minimizer of  $E_{c,q}$ . Then under Assumption 3.6, we have*

$$\mathcal{E}^{\text{HF}}(\mathcal{K}_L \gamma_*^c \mathcal{L}_L) \leq E_{c,q} + \mathcal{O}(c^{-2}). \quad (6.1)$$

In addition, under Assumption 3.11 and assume that  $\delta_c = 0$  with  $\delta_c$  being defined by (3.13), then for  $c$  large enough,

$$\mathcal{E}^{\text{HF}}(\tilde{\gamma}_*^c) \leq E_{c,q} - \tilde{E}_c^{(2)}(\gamma_*^c) + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}) \quad (6.2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{E}_c^{(2)}(\gamma_*^c) &= -\frac{1}{4c^2} \sum_{1 \leq n \leq q} (\lambda_n^c - c^2) \langle \mathcal{L} \mathcal{K}_L u_{c,n}, \mathcal{L} \mathcal{K}_L u_{c,n} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4c^2} (\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[(-V + W_{1, \mathcal{K}_L \gamma_*^c \mathcal{K}_L}) \mathcal{L} \mathcal{K}_L \gamma_*^c \mathcal{K}_L \mathcal{L}] - \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{2, \mathcal{K}_L \gamma_*^c \mathcal{K}_L} \mathcal{L} \mathcal{K}_L \gamma_*^c \mathcal{K}_L]) \end{aligned}$$

with  $u_{c,n}, \lambda_n^c$  being defined by (6.4) below, and  $\tilde{\gamma}_*^c \in \Gamma_q^{\text{HF}}$ , which is defined by (6.21) below, is a non-relativistic renormalization of  $\gamma_*^c$ .

As (4.9), we study the kinetic term, the potential between nuclei and electrons, the potential between electrons separately:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_c(\gamma_*^c) - \mathcal{E}^{\text{HF}}(\gamma) &= \underbrace{\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[(\mathcal{D}^c - c^2)\gamma_*^c]}_{\text{kinetic term}} - \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[H_0 \gamma] \\ &\quad - \underbrace{\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[V \gamma_*^c] - \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[V \gamma]}_{\text{potential between electrons and nuclei}} + \underbrace{\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{\gamma_*^c} \gamma_*^c] - \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{\gamma} \gamma]}_{\text{potential between electrons and electrons}}. \quad (6.3) \end{aligned}$$

Before going further, we study the structure of  $\gamma_*^c$  for the construction of  $\tilde{\gamma}_*^c$  and also for the proof of (6.1) and (6.2).

### 6.1 Structure of $\gamma_*^c$

According to (2.10), we can rewrite  $\gamma_*^c$  as

$$\gamma_*^c = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n^c |u_{c,n}\rangle \langle u_{c,n}|, \quad \mathcal{D}_{\gamma_*^c}^c u_{c,n} = \lambda_n^c u_{c,n} \quad (6.4)$$

where  $\mu_n^c \geq 0$ ,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n^c = q$ , and, for any  $n \geq 1$ ,  $u_{c,n}$  is a normalized eigenfunction of  $\mathcal{D}_{\gamma_*^c}^c$ . In particular, if Theorem 3.9 holds, then we can write

$$\gamma_*^c = \sum_{n=1}^q |u_{c,n}\rangle \langle u_{c,n}|. \quad (6.5)$$

We have



**Lemma 6.2.** *Under Assumption 3.6, for any  $n \geq 1$ ,*

$$\|u_{c,n}\|_{H^1} \leq K_1, \quad \|u_{c,n}^S\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^2)} \leq \frac{1}{c} K_2, \quad \left\| u_{c,n}^S - \frac{1}{2c} \mathcal{L} u_{c,n}^L \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^2)} \leq \frac{1}{c^3} K_3$$

and

$$\|\gamma_*^c\|_{X^2} \leq K_1^2 q, \quad \|\mathcal{K}_S \gamma_*^c \mathcal{K}_S\|_{X^2} \leq \frac{1}{c^2} K_2^2 q.$$

where  $K_1, K_2, K_3$  are constants independent of  $c$  and  $n$ , and are defined by (6.9), (6.13) and (6.14) below respectively.

*Proof.* Similar proof can be found in [15, Lemma 7 and Theorem 3] or [20, Lemma B.1]. For the reader's convenience and for the proof of Lemma 6.3 below, we provide the proof. Before going further, we need some estimates on the eigenvalues  $\lambda_n^c$ .

Note that the potential  $W_\gamma$  is non-negative. Applying the abstract min-max theorem (see e.g., [12]) to the self-adjoint operator  $\mathcal{D}_{\gamma_*^c}^c$  and the splitting of  $\mathcal{H}$  associated with the free projectors  $\Lambda_c^\pm$ , we infer that for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ ,

$$c^2 \geq \sigma_n^+(\mathcal{D}_{\gamma_*^c}^c) \geq \sigma_n^+(\mathcal{D}^c - V), \quad (6.6)$$

where  $\sigma_n^+(A)$  is the  $n$ -th positive eigenvalue (counted with multiplicity) of the operator  $A$ .

According to the spectral analysis of Dirac operator (see e.g., [25, 28]), it is easy to see that there exists a constant  $e > 0$  independent of  $c$  such that

$$0 \leq c^2 - \sigma_n^+(\mathcal{D}^c - V) \leq e. \quad (6.7)$$

This implies that for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ ,

$$c^2 - e \leq \inf \sigma^+(\mathcal{D}^c - V) \leq \lambda_n^c \leq c^2. \quad (6.8)$$

Next, we prove  $\|u_{c,n}\|_{H^1} \leq K_1$ . As  $\mathcal{D}_{\gamma_*^c}^c u_{c,n} = \lambda_n^c u_{c,n}$ , we know

$$\|\mathcal{D}^c u_{c,n}\|_{\mathcal{H}} = \|(\lambda_n^c + V - W_\gamma) u_{c,n}\|_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

By Hardy's inequality and  $|\lambda| \leq c^2$ , we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} c^4 \|u_{c,n}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + c^2 \|\nabla u_{c,n}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \\ \leq c^4 \|u_{c,n}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + 4(z+q)c^2 \|\nabla u_{c,n}\|_{\mathcal{H}} \|u_{c,n}\|_{\mathcal{H}} + 4(z+q)^2 \|\nabla u_{c,n}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \end{aligned}$$

which implies that for any  $n \geq 1$

$$\|\nabla u_{c,n}\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \left( \frac{4z+4q^2}{1-\kappa_c} \right)^{1/2}, \quad \|u_{c,n}\|_{H^1} \leq \left( \frac{1+4z+4q}{(1-\kappa_c)^2} \right)^{1/2}.$$

Note that under Assumption 3.6,  $\kappa_c \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . Then we can define

$$K_1 := 2(1+4z+4q)^{1/2}. \quad (6.9)$$

Thus

$$\|\gamma_*^c\|_{X^2} = \sum_{n \geq 1} \mu_n^c \|u_{c,n}\|_{H^1}^2 \leq K_1^2 q.$$

Note that the equation  $\mathcal{D}_{\gamma_*^c}^c u_{c,n} = \lambda_n^c u_{c,n}$  can be rewritten as

$$\begin{pmatrix} c\mathcal{L}u_{c,n}^S \\ c\mathcal{L}u_{c,n}^L \end{pmatrix} + (-V + W_{\gamma_*^c})u_{c,n} = \begin{pmatrix} (\lambda_n^c - c^2)u_{c,n}^L \\ (\lambda_n^c + c^2)u_{c,n}^S \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6.10)$$

Dividing by  $c$  the first equation of (6.10) and by Hardy's inequality, (6.8) and (A.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla u_{c,n}^S\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^2)} &\leq \frac{1}{c} \|\mathcal{K}_L(-V + W_{\gamma_*^c})u_{c,n}\|_{\mathcal{H}} + \frac{|\lambda_n^c - c^2|}{c^2} \|\mathcal{K}_L u_{c,n}\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &\leq \frac{2(z+q)+e}{c} \|u_{c,n}\|_{H^1}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.11)$$

Dividing by  $2c^2$  the second equation of (6.10) and using (4.20) and (6.8), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| u_{c,n}^S - \frac{1}{2c} \mathcal{L}u_{c,n}^L \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^2)} &\leq \frac{1}{2c^2} \|\mathcal{K}_S(-V + W_{\gamma_*^c})u_{c,n}\|_{\mathcal{H}} + \frac{|\lambda_n^c - c^2|}{2c^2} \|\mathcal{K}_S u_{c,n}\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &\leq \frac{2(q+z)+e}{2c^2} \|\mathcal{K}_S u_{c,n}\|_{H^1} + \frac{q^{1/2}}{c^2} \|\mathcal{K}_S \gamma_*^c \mathcal{K}_S\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1}^{1/2} \|u_{c,n}\|_{H^1} \end{aligned} \quad (6.12)$$

since  $\mathcal{K}_S W_{2, \gamma_*^c} = W_{2, \mathcal{K}_S \gamma_*^c}$ . Then for any  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$\left\| u_{c,n}^S - \frac{1}{2c} \mathcal{L}u_{c,n}^L \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^2)} \leq \frac{2(q+z)+e}{2c^2} \|u_{c,n}\|_{H^1} + \frac{q}{c^2} \|u_{c,n}\|_{H^1} \leq \frac{2(z+2q)+e}{2c^2} K_1$$

and as  $\|\mathcal{L}u_{c,n}^L\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^2)} = \|\nabla u_{c,n}^L\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^2)} \leq \|u_{c,n}\|_{H^1}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{c,n}^S\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^2)} &\leq \frac{1}{2c} \|\mathcal{L}u_{c,n}^L\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^2)} + \left\| u_{c,n}^S - \frac{1}{2c} \mathcal{L}u_{c,n}^L \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^2)} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2c} \left( 1 + \frac{2(z+2q)+e}{c} \right) K_1. \end{aligned}$$

This and (6.11) show that

$$\|u_{c,n}^S\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^2)} \leq \frac{1}{c} K_2$$

with

$$K_2 := (1 + 4(z+2q) + 2e) K_1. \quad (6.13)$$

Then

$$\|\mathcal{K}_S \gamma_*^c \mathcal{K}_S\|_{X^2} \leq \frac{1}{c^2} K_2^2 q.$$

Inserting this into (6.12),

$$\left\| u_{c,n}^S - \frac{1}{2c} \mathcal{L} u_{c,n}^L \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^2)} \leq \frac{1}{c^3} \left( \frac{2(q+z)+e}{2} K_2 + K_1 K_2 q \right).$$

Thus

$$K_3 := \frac{2(q+z)+e}{2} K_2 + K_1 K_2 q. \quad (6.14)$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

In addition, we can get higher regularity under Assumption 3.11. More precisely,

**Lemma 6.3.** *Under Assumption 3.11, for  $c$  large enough*

$$\|u_{c,n}\|_{H^2} = \mathcal{O}(1), \quad \|u_{c,n}^S\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^2)} = \mathcal{O}(c^{-1}), \quad \left\| u_{c,n}^S - \frac{1}{2c} \mathcal{L} u_{c,n}^L \right\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^2)} = \mathcal{O}(c^{-3})$$

and

$$\|\gamma_*^c\|_{X^4} = \mathcal{O}(1), \quad \|\mathcal{K}_S \gamma_*^c \mathcal{K}_S\|_{X^4} = \mathcal{O}(c^{-2}).$$

*Proof.* Proceeding as for Lemma 6.2, we begin from  $\mathcal{D}_{\gamma_*^c}^c u_{c,n} = \lambda_n^c u_{c,n}$ . Taking  $(-\Delta)^{1/2}$  to both side of this eigenvalue equation, we know

$$\|(-\Delta)^{1/2} \mathcal{D}^c u_{c,n}\|_{\mathcal{H}} = \|(-\Delta)^{1/2} (\lambda_n^c + V - W_\gamma) u_{c,n}\|_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

Then arguing as for (6.9), the estimate  $\|u_{c,n}\|_{H^2} = \mathcal{O}(1)$  follows immediately from Assumption 3.11, (4.16) and Lemma 4.6.

Next, multiplying (6.10) by  $(-\Delta)^{1/2}$  again, we get

$$\begin{pmatrix} c\mathcal{L}(-\Delta)^{1/2} u_{c,n}^S \\ c\mathcal{L}(-\Delta)^{1/2} u_{c,n}^L \end{pmatrix} + (-\Delta)^{1/2} (-V + W_{\gamma_*^c}) u_{c,n} = \begin{pmatrix} (\lambda_n^c - c^2)(-\Delta)^{1/2} u_{c,n}^L \\ (\lambda_n^c + c^2)(-\Delta)^{1/2} u_{c,n}^S \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6.15)$$

Then arguing as for (6.13), (6.14), and by Assumption 3.11, (4.16) and Lemma 4.6, we get the remaining estimates in this lemma.  $\square$

## 6.2 Construction of $\tilde{\gamma}_*^c$

In this subsection, we introduce  $\tilde{\gamma}_*^c$  and study its properties under Assumption 3.11 and  $\delta_c = 0$ . In this case, we can write

$$\gamma_*^c := \sum_{n=1}^q |u_{c,n}\rangle \langle u_{c,n}|$$

with  $\langle u_{c,m}, u_{c,n}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \delta_{m,n}$ . To get the term  $E^{(2)}(\mathcal{K}_L \gamma_*^c \mathcal{K}_L)$  in (6.2), we need modify the density matrix  $\gamma_*^c$ . We will use the projection on the space spanned by  $\{\mathcal{K}_L u_{c,n}\}_{1 \leq n \leq q}$ . Note that

$$\langle \mathcal{K}_L u_{c,m}, \mathcal{K}_L u_{c,n}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \delta_{m,n} - \langle \mathcal{K}_S u_{c,m}, \mathcal{K}_S u_{c,n}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

We introduce now the overlap matrix on  $\{\mathcal{K}_L u_{c,n}\}_{1 \leq n \leq q}$ :

$$S_{\text{DF}} := \left( \langle \mathcal{K}_L u_{c,m}, \mathcal{K}_L u_{c,n} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \right)_{1 \leq m,n \leq q} = \mathbf{1}_{q \times q} - \frac{1}{4c^2} \tilde{S}_{\text{DF}}, \quad (6.16)$$

$$\tilde{S}_{\text{DF}} := \left( 4c^2 \langle \mathcal{K}_S u_{c,m}, \mathcal{K}_S u_{c,n} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \right)_{1 \leq m,n \leq q}. \quad (6.17)$$

For  $c$  large enough, by Lemma 6.3,

$$\sup_{1 \leq m,n \leq q} |\langle \mathcal{K}_S u_{c,m}, \mathcal{K}_S u_{c,n} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}| = \mathcal{O}(c^{-2}). \quad (6.18)$$

This implies  $S_{\text{DF}}$  is a strictly diagonally dominated matrix, thus  $S_{\text{DF}}$  is invertible,

$$\left\| S_{\text{DF}}^{-1} - \left( \mathbf{1}_{q \times q} + \frac{1}{4c^2} \tilde{S}_{\text{DF}} \right) \right\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1(\mathbb{C}^q)} = \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}) \quad (6.19)$$

and there exists some constants  $0 < C'_0 < 1 < C'_1$  such that for any  $c$  large enough,

$$C'_0 \mathbf{1}_{q \times q} \leq S_{\text{DF}}^{-1} \leq C'_1 \mathbf{1}_{q \times q} \quad (6.20)$$

in the sense of operator. The density matrix  $\tilde{\gamma}_c^{\text{HF}}$  on the space spanned by  $\{\mathcal{S}_c \mathcal{K}_L u_{c,n}\}_{1 \leq n \leq q}$  is defined by

$$\tilde{\gamma}_*^c := \left( |\mathcal{K}_L u_{c,1}\rangle, \dots, |\mathcal{K}_L u_{c,q}\rangle \right) S_{\text{DF}}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \langle \mathcal{K}_L u_{c,1} | \\ \vdots \\ \langle \mathcal{K}_L u_{c,q} | \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6.21)$$

### 6.3 Proof of (6.1)

We now turn to the proof of (6.1). We use (6.3) with  $\gamma = \mathcal{K}_L \gamma_*^c \mathcal{K}_L$ .

#### 6.3.1 Kinetic term

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} u_{c,m}^L \\ u_{c,m}^S \end{pmatrix}, (\mathcal{D}^c - c^2) \begin{pmatrix} u_{c,n}^L \\ u_{c,n}^S \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &= \langle u_{c,m}^L, c\mathcal{L}u_{c,n}^S \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^2)} + \langle u_{c,m}^S, c\mathcal{L}u_{c,n}^L - 2c^2 u_{c,n}^S \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Concerning the terms on the right-hand side, as  $\mathcal{L}^2 = -\Delta$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle u_{c,m}^L, c\mathcal{L}u_{c,n}^S \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^2)} \\ &= \left\langle u_{c,m}^L, -\frac{1}{2} \Delta u_{c,n}^L \right\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^2)} + \left\langle u_{c,m}^L, c\mathcal{L} \left( u_{c,n}^S - \frac{1}{2c} \mathcal{L} u_{c,n}^L \right) \right\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^2)}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\langle u_{c,m}^S, c\mathcal{L}u_{c,n}^L - 2c^2 u_{c,n}^S \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^2)}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left\langle c\mathcal{L}u_{c,m}^L, \left( \frac{1}{2c}\mathcal{L}u_{c,n}^L - u_{c,n}^S \right) \right\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^2)} \\
&\quad + 2c^2 \left\langle \left( u_{c,m}^S - \frac{1}{2c}\mathcal{L}u_{c,m}^L \right), \left( \frac{1}{2c}\mathcal{L}u_{c,n}^L - u_{c,n}^S \right) \right\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^2)} \\
&= - \left\langle u_{c,m}^L, c\mathcal{L} \left( u_{c,n}^S - \frac{1}{2c}\mathcal{L}u_{c,n}^L \right) \right\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^2)} \\
&\quad + 2c^2 \left\langle \left( u_{c,m}^S - \frac{1}{2c}\mathcal{L}u_{c,m}^L \right), \left( \frac{1}{2c}\mathcal{L}u_{c,n}^L - u_{c,n}^S \right) \right\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^2)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} u_{c,m}^L \\ u_{c,m}^S \end{pmatrix}, (\mathcal{D}^c - c^2) \begin{pmatrix} u_{c,n}^L \\ u_{c,n}^S \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} &= \langle u_{c,m}^L, H_0 u_{c,n}^L \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^2)} \\
&\quad + 2c^2 \left\langle \left( u_{c,m}^S - \frac{1}{2c}\mathcal{L}u_{c,m}^L \right), \left( \frac{1}{2c}\mathcal{L}u_{c,n}^L - u_{c,n}^S \right) \right\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^2)}. \tag{6.22}
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 6.2,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[(\mathcal{D}^c - c^2)\gamma_*^c] - \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[H_0\mathcal{K}_L\gamma_*^c\mathcal{K}_L] \right| \\
&= \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n \langle u_{c,n}, (\mathcal{D}^c - c^2)u_{c,n} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n \left\langle u_{c,n}^L, -\frac{1}{2}\Delta u_{c,n}^L \right\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^2)} \right| \\
&\leq 2c^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n \left\| u_{c,n}^S - \frac{1}{2c}\mathcal{L}u_{c,n}^L \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^2)}^2 \leq 2K_3^2 qc^{-4}. \tag{6.23}
\end{aligned}$$

### 6.3.2 Potential term

By Lemma 6.2 and Kato's inequality,

$$\left| \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[V\gamma_*^c] - \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[V\mathcal{K}_L\gamma_*^c\mathcal{K}_L] \right| = \left| \text{Tr}[V\mathcal{K}_S\gamma_*^c\mathcal{K}_S] \right| \leq \frac{\pi}{2} z \|\mathcal{K}_S\gamma_*^c\mathcal{K}_S\|_X \leq \frac{\pi}{2c^2} K_2^2 qz. \tag{6.24}$$

Analogously,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{1,\gamma_*^c}\gamma_*^c] - \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{1,\mathcal{K}_L\gamma_*^c\mathcal{K}_L}\mathcal{K}_L\gamma_*^c\mathcal{K}_L] \right| \\
&= \left| \text{Tr}[W_{\mathcal{K}_S\gamma_*^c\mathcal{K}_S}\mathcal{K}_S\gamma_*^c\mathcal{K}_S] \right| \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \|\mathcal{K}_S\gamma_*^c\mathcal{K}_S\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1} \|\mathcal{K}_S\gamma_*^c\mathcal{K}_S\|_X \leq \frac{\pi}{2c^2} K_2^2 q^2. \tag{6.25}
\end{aligned}$$

Concerning the term associated with  $W_{2,\bullet}$ , by (3.7), (4.10), (4.18) and Lemma 6.2,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{2,\gamma_*^c}\gamma_*^c] - \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{2,\mathcal{K}_L\gamma_*^c\mathcal{K}_L}\mathcal{K}_L\gamma_*^c\mathcal{K}_L] \right| \\
&\leq \sum_{j \in \{L, S\}} \left| \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{2,\mathcal{K}_j\gamma_*^c\mathcal{K}_j}\mathcal{K}_j\gamma_*^c\mathcal{K}_j] \right| + \left| \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{2,\mathcal{K}_S\gamma_*^c\mathcal{K}_L}\mathcal{K}_L\gamma_*^c\mathcal{K}_S] \right| \\
&\leq 2\|\mathcal{K}_S\gamma_*^c\mathcal{K}_L\|_X^2 + \|\mathcal{K}_S\gamma_*^c\mathcal{K}_S\|_X^2 \leq \frac{1}{c^2} (1 + 2K_1^2) K_1^2 q^2. \tag{6.26}
\end{aligned}$$

### 6.3.3 Conclusion

From above estimates, we know

$$\mathcal{E}_c(\gamma_*^c) = \mathcal{E}^{\text{HF}}(\mathcal{K}_L \gamma_*^c \mathcal{K}_L) + \mathcal{O}(c^{-2}).$$

As  $\gamma_*^c$  is a DF minimizer, we get

$$\mathcal{E}^{\text{HF}}(\mathcal{K}_L \gamma_*^c \mathcal{K}_L) \leq \mathcal{E}_c(\gamma_*^c) + \mathcal{O}(c^{-2}) = E_{c,q} + \mathcal{O}(c^{-2}).$$

This ends the proof of (6.1).

## 6.4 Proof of (6.2)

We are now in the position to prove (6.2). To do so, we first study the properties of  $\tilde{\gamma}_*^c$ , and then we use (6.3) to split the calculation into three parts. For further convenience, we assume  $\delta_c = 0$  throughout this subsection.

### 6.4.1 Property of $\tilde{\gamma}_*^c$

Analogous to Lemma 4.11 and Lemma 4.12, we have

**Lemma 6.4.** *For  $c$  large enough,  $\tilde{\gamma}_*^c$  is a projector with  $\text{Rank}(\tilde{\gamma}_*^c) = q$ .*

and

**Lemma 6.5.** *Under Assumption 3.11, for  $c$  large enough,  $\|\tilde{\gamma}_*^c\|_{X^4} = \mathcal{O}(1)$ .*

Next, by Lemma 6.3,

$$\langle \mathcal{K}_S u_{c,m}, \mathcal{K}_S u_{c,n} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \frac{1}{4c^2} \langle \mathcal{L} \mathcal{K}_L u_{c,m}, \mathcal{L} \mathcal{K}_L u_{c,n} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}).$$

Thus

$$\tilde{S}_{\text{DF}} = \tilde{\tilde{S}}_{\text{DF}} + \mathcal{O}(c^{-2}), \quad \tilde{\tilde{S}}_{\text{DF}} := \left( \langle \mathcal{L} \mathcal{K}_L u_{c,m}, \mathcal{L} \mathcal{K}_L u_{c,n} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \right)_{1 \leq m, n \leq q}.$$

This implies

$$S_{\text{DF}}^{-1} = \mathbf{1}_{q \times q} + \frac{1}{4c^2} \tilde{\tilde{S}}_{\text{DF}} + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}) = \mathbf{1}_{q \times q} + \frac{1}{4c^2} \tilde{\tilde{S}}_{\text{DF}} + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}). \quad (6.27)$$

Now the density matrix  $\tilde{\gamma}_*^c$  can be further approximated by  $\tilde{\tilde{\gamma}}_*^c$  which is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\tilde{\gamma}}_*^c &:= \left( |\mathcal{K}_L u_{c,1}\rangle, \dots, |\mathcal{K}_L u_{c,q}\rangle \right) \left( \mathbf{1}_{q \times q} + \frac{1}{4c^2} \tilde{\tilde{S}}_{\text{DF}} \right) \begin{pmatrix} \langle \mathcal{K}_L u_{c,1}| \\ \vdots \\ \langle \mathcal{K}_L u_{c,q}| \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \mathcal{K}_L \gamma_*^c \mathcal{K}_L + \frac{1}{4c^2} \sum_{1 \leq m, n \leq q} \langle \mathcal{L} \mathcal{K}_L u_{c,m}, \mathcal{L} \mathcal{K}_L u_{c,n} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_L} |\mathcal{K}_L u_{c,m}\rangle \langle \mathcal{K}_L u_{c,n}|. \end{aligned} \quad (6.28)$$

Analogous to the proof of Lemma 4.13, by Lemma 6.3 we get

**Lemma 6.6.** *For  $c$  large enough, we have*

$$\|\tilde{\tilde{\gamma}}_*^c - \tilde{\gamma}_*^c\|_{X^4} = \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}).$$

### 6.4.2 End of the proof

Estimate (6.2) follows from the following lemma.

**Lemma 6.7.** *For  $c$  large enough,*

$$\mathcal{E}^{\text{HF}}(\tilde{\gamma}_*^c) = \mathcal{E}^{\text{HF}}(\tilde{\tilde{\gamma}}_*^c) + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}) = E_{c,q} - \tilde{E}_c^{(2)}(\gamma_*^c) + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}).$$

*Proof.* We have

$$\mathcal{E}^{\text{HF}}(\tilde{\gamma}_*^c) - \mathcal{E}^{\text{HF}}(\tilde{\tilde{\gamma}}_*^c) = \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} \left[ H_0(\tilde{\gamma}_*^c - \tilde{\tilde{\gamma}}_*^c) \right] + \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} \left[ \left( -V + \frac{1}{2} W_{\tilde{\gamma}_*^c + \tilde{\tilde{\gamma}}_*^c} \right) (\tilde{\gamma}_*^c - \tilde{\tilde{\gamma}}_*^c) \right].$$

Then analogous to the proof of Lemma 4.14, by Lemma 6.6, Kato's inequality and (A.1), we infer that

$$\left| \mathcal{E}^{\text{HF}}(\tilde{\gamma}_*^c) - \mathcal{E}^{\text{HF}}(\tilde{\tilde{\gamma}}_*^c) \right| \lesssim \|\tilde{\gamma}_*^c - \tilde{\tilde{\gamma}}_*^c\|_{X^2} = \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}).$$

Now we consider  $\mathcal{E}^{\text{HF}}(\tilde{\tilde{\gamma}}_*^c) = E_{c,q} + \tilde{E}_c^{(2)}(\mathcal{K}_L \gamma_*^c \mathcal{K}_L) + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4})$ . Note that

$$\mathcal{E}^{\text{HF}}(\gamma + h) = \mathcal{E}^{\text{HF}}(\gamma) + \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_L} [H_{0,\gamma} h] + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_L} [W_h h].$$

Then according to the definition of  $\tilde{\tilde{\gamma}}_*^c$  (see (6.28)), by (A.1) and Lemma 6.2,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}^{\text{HF}}(\tilde{\tilde{\gamma}}_*^c) &= \mathcal{E}^{\text{HF}}(\mathcal{K}_L \gamma_*^c \mathcal{K}_L) + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{4c^2} \sum_{1 \leq m, n \leq q} \langle \mathcal{L} \mathcal{K}_L u_{c,m}, \mathcal{L} \mathcal{K}_L u_{c,n} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \langle \mathcal{K}_L u_{c,n}, H_{0, \mathcal{K}_L \gamma_*^c \mathcal{K}_L} \mathcal{K}_L u_{c,m} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.29)$$

To end the proof, we need to study

$$\begin{aligned} I &:= \langle \mathcal{K}_L u_{c,n}, H_{0, \mathcal{K}_L \gamma_*^c \mathcal{K}_L} \mathcal{K}_L u_{c,m} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}, \\ II &:= \mathcal{E}^{\text{HF}}(\mathcal{K}_L \gamma_*^c \mathcal{K}_L). \end{aligned}$$

We study them separately.

**Estimate on  $I$ .** We now consider the term  $\langle \mathcal{K}_L u_{c,n}, H_{0, \mathcal{K}_L \gamma_*^c \mathcal{K}_L} \mathcal{K}_L u_{c,m} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$  on the right-hand side of (6.29). According to (6.22) and Lemma 6.2, we have

$$\langle \mathcal{K}_L u_{c,n}, H_{0, \mathcal{K}_L \gamma_*^c \mathcal{K}_L} \mathcal{K}_L u_{c,m} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \langle u_{c,n}, (\mathcal{D}^c - c^2) u_{c,m} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} + \mathcal{O}(c^{-2}).$$

Concerning the potential terms, analogous to (6.24)-(6.26) we have

$$\langle \mathcal{K}_L u_{c,n}, (-V + W_{\mathcal{K}_L \gamma_*^c \mathcal{K}_L}) \mathcal{K}_L u_{c,m} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} - \langle u_{c,n}, (-V + W_{\gamma_*^c}) u_{c,m} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{O}(c^{-2}).$$

Thus,

$$\langle \mathcal{K}_L u_{c,n}, H_{0, \mathcal{K}_L \gamma_*^c \mathcal{K}_L} \mathcal{K}_L u_{c,m} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \langle u_{c,n}, (\mathcal{D}_{\gamma_*^c}^c - c^2) u_{c,m} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} + \mathcal{O}(c^{-2}).$$

Then as  $\langle u_{c,n}, \mathcal{D}_{\gamma_*^c}^c u_{c,m} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \lambda_n \delta_{n,m}$ , we get

$$\mathcal{E}^{\text{HF}}(\tilde{\tilde{\gamma}}_*^c) = \mathcal{E}^{\text{HF}}(\mathcal{K}_L \gamma_*^c \mathcal{K}_L)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{1}{4c^2} \sum_{1 \leq m, n \leq q} \langle \mathcal{L}\mathcal{K}_L u_{c,m}, \mathcal{L}\mathcal{K}_L u_{c,n} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \left\langle u_{c,n}, (\mathcal{D}_{\gamma_*^c}^c - c^2) u_{c,m} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}) \\
& = \mathcal{E}^{\text{HF}}(\mathcal{K}_L \gamma_*^c \mathcal{K}_L) + \frac{1}{4c^2} \sum_{1 \leq n \leq q} (\lambda_n^c - c^2) \langle \mathcal{L}\mathcal{K}_L u_{c,n}, \mathcal{L}\mathcal{K}_L u_{c,n} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}). \quad (6.30)
\end{aligned}$$

**Estimate on II.** We now consider the term  $\mathcal{E}^{\text{HF}}(\mathcal{K}_L \gamma_*^c \mathcal{K}_L)$ . By (6.23), for the kinetic term, we have

$$\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[H_0 \mathcal{K}_L \gamma_*^c \mathcal{K}_L] = \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[(\mathcal{D}^c - c^2) \gamma_*^c] + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}). \quad (6.31)$$

Concerning the potential between electrons and nuclei, by Lemma 6.2,

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[-V \mathcal{K}_L \gamma_*^c \mathcal{K}_L] &= \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[-V \gamma_*^c] - \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[-V \mathcal{K}_S \gamma_*^c \mathcal{K}_S] \\
&= \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[-V \gamma_*^c] - \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[-V \mathcal{K}_S \mathcal{S}_c \gamma_*^c \mathcal{S}_c^* \mathcal{K}_S] + \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[-V \mathcal{K}_S (\mathcal{S}_c \gamma_*^c \mathcal{S}_c^* - \gamma_*^c) \mathcal{K}_S].
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 6.3 and Hardy's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \text{Tr}[V \mathcal{K}_S (\mathcal{S}_c \gamma_*^c \mathcal{S}_c^* - \gamma_*^c) \mathcal{K}_S] \right| \\
&= \left| \sum_{n=1}^q \left\langle (u_{c,n}^S + \frac{1}{2c} \mathcal{L} u_{c,n}^L), V (u_{c,n}^S - \frac{1}{2c} \mathcal{L} u_{c,n}^L) \right\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^2)} \right| \\
&\lesssim \sum_{n=1}^q \left( \|u_{c,n}^S\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^2)} + \frac{1}{2c} \|u_{c,n}^L\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^2)} \right) \left\| u_{c,n}^S - \frac{1}{2c} \mathcal{L} u_{c,n}^L \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^2)} = \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[-V \mathcal{K}_L \gamma_*^c \mathcal{K}_L] &= \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[-V \gamma_*^c] - \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[-V \mathcal{K}_S \mathcal{S}_c \gamma_*^c \mathcal{S}_c^* \mathcal{K}_S] + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}) \\
&= \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[-V \gamma_*^c] - \frac{1}{4c^2} \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[-V \mathcal{L} \mathcal{K}_L \gamma_*^c \mathcal{K}_L \mathcal{L}] + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}). \quad (6.32)
\end{aligned}$$

Concerning the potential between electrons and electrons, we write  $W_{\bullet} = W_{1,\bullet} - W_{2,\bullet}$ . For the term associated with  $W_{1,\bullet}$ , analogous to above estimate, by Lemma 6.2,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{1, \mathcal{K}_L \gamma_*^c \mathcal{K}_L} \mathcal{K}_L \gamma_*^c \mathcal{K}_L] \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{1, \gamma_*^c} \gamma_*^c] - \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{1, \mathcal{K}_L \gamma_*^c \mathcal{K}_L} \mathcal{K}_S \gamma_*^c \mathcal{K}_S] - \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{1, \mathcal{K}_S \gamma_*^c \mathcal{K}_S} \mathcal{K}_S \gamma_*^c \mathcal{K}_S] \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{1, \gamma_*^c} \gamma_*^c] - \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{1, \mathcal{K}_L \gamma_*^c \mathcal{K}_L} \mathcal{K}_S \mathcal{S}_c \gamma_*^c \mathcal{S}_c^* \mathcal{K}_S] + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{1, \gamma_*^c} \gamma_*^c] - \frac{1}{4c^2} \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{1, \mathcal{K}_L \gamma_*^c \mathcal{K}_L} \mathcal{L} \mathcal{K}_L \gamma_*^c \mathcal{K}_L \mathcal{L}] + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}). \quad (6.33)
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we consider the term associated with  $W_{2,\bullet}$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{2, \mathcal{K}_L \gamma_*^c \mathcal{K}_L} \mathcal{K}_L \gamma_*^c \mathcal{K}_L] \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{2, \gamma_*^c} \gamma_*^c] - \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{2, \mathcal{K}_L \gamma_*^c \mathcal{K}_L} \mathcal{K}_S \gamma_*^c \mathcal{K}_L] - \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{2, \mathcal{K}_S \gamma_*^c \mathcal{K}_S} \mathcal{K}_S \gamma_*^c \mathcal{K}_S] \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{2, \gamma_*^c} \gamma_*^c] - \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{2, \mathcal{K}_L \gamma_*^c \mathcal{K}_L} \mathcal{K}_S \gamma_*^c \mathcal{K}_L] + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4})
\end{aligned}$$



where in the last estimate we used Lemma 4.6 and Lemma 6.2. Then by (4.10) in addition,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{2,\mathcal{K}_L\gamma_*^c\mathcal{K}_S}\mathcal{K}_S\gamma_*^c\mathcal{K}_L] - \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{2,\mathcal{K}_L\gamma_*^c\mathcal{S}_c^*\mathcal{K}_S}\mathcal{K}_S\mathcal{S}_c\gamma_*^c\mathcal{K}_L] \right| \\
& \leq \left| \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{2,\mathcal{K}_L\gamma_*^c(1-\mathcal{S}_c^*)\mathcal{K}_S}\mathcal{K}_S\gamma_*^c\mathcal{K}_L] \right| + \left| \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{2,\mathcal{K}_L\gamma_*^c\mathcal{S}_c^*\mathcal{K}_S}\mathcal{K}_S(1-\mathcal{S}_c)\gamma_*^c\mathcal{K}_L] \right| \\
& \lesssim (\|\mathcal{K}_S\gamma_*^c\mathcal{K}_L\|_{X^2} + \|\mathcal{K}_L\gamma_*^c\mathcal{S}_c^*\mathcal{K}_S\|_{X^2}) \|\mathcal{K}_L\gamma_*^c(1-\mathcal{S}_c^*)\mathcal{K}_S\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1} \\
& \lesssim \left( \|\mathcal{K}_S\gamma_*^c\mathcal{K}_S\|_{X^2}^{1/2} + c^{-1}\|\mathcal{L}\mathcal{K}_L\gamma_*^c\mathcal{K}_L\mathcal{L}\|_{X^2}^{1/2} \right) \|\mathcal{K}_L\gamma_*^c\mathcal{K}_L\|_{X^2} \|\mathcal{K}_S(1-\mathcal{S}_c)\gamma_*^c(1-\mathcal{S}_c^*)\mathcal{K}_S\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1}^{1/2} \\
& \lesssim c^{-1}\|\mathcal{K}_S(1-\mathcal{S}_c)\gamma_*^c(1-\mathcal{S}_c^*)\mathcal{K}_S\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1}^{1/2} \\
& \lesssim c^{-1} \left( \sum_{n=1}^q \left\| u_{c,n}^S - \frac{1}{2c}\mathcal{L}u_{c,n}^L \right\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3;\mathbb{C}^4)}^2 \right)^{1/2} = \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2}\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{2,\mathcal{K}_L\gamma_*^c\mathcal{K}_L}\mathcal{K}_L\gamma_*^c\mathcal{K}_L] \\
& = \frac{1}{2}\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{2,\gamma_*^c}\gamma_*^c] - \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{2,\mathcal{K}_L\gamma_*^c\mathcal{S}_c^*\mathcal{K}_S}\mathcal{K}_S\mathcal{S}_c\gamma_*^c\mathcal{K}_L] + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}) \\
& = \frac{1}{2}\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{2,\gamma_*^c}\gamma_*^c] - \frac{1}{4c^2}\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{2,\mathcal{K}_L\gamma_*^c\mathcal{K}_L}\mathcal{L}\mathcal{K}_L\gamma_*^c\mathcal{K}_L] + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}). \tag{6.34}
\end{aligned}$$

By (6.31)-(6.34), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E}^{\text{HF}}(\mathcal{K}_L\gamma_*^c\mathcal{K}_L) & = \mathcal{E}_c(\gamma_*^c) - \frac{1}{4c^2}\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[(-V + W_{1,\mathcal{K}_L\gamma_*^c\mathcal{K}_L})\mathcal{L}\mathcal{K}_L\gamma_*^c\mathcal{K}_L\mathcal{L}] \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{4c^2}\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{2,\mathcal{K}_L\gamma_*^c\mathcal{K}_L}\mathcal{L}\mathcal{K}_L\gamma_*^c\mathcal{K}_L] + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}). \tag{6.35}
\end{aligned}$$

**Conclusion.** Thus, from (6.30) and (6.35), we conclude that

$$\mathcal{E}^{\text{HF}}(\tilde{\gamma}_*^c) = \mathcal{E}_c(\gamma_*^c) - \tilde{E}_c^{(2)}(\gamma_*^c) + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}) = E_{c,q} - \tilde{E}_c^{(2)}(\gamma_*^c) + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4})$$

where we recall that

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{E}_c^{(2)}(\gamma_*^c) & = -\frac{1}{4c^2} \sum_{1 \leq n \leq q} (\lambda_n^c - c^2) \langle \mathcal{L}\mathcal{K}_L u_{c,n}, \mathcal{L}\mathcal{K}_L u_{c,n} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{4c^2} \left( \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[(-V + W_{1,\mathcal{K}_L\gamma_*^c\mathcal{K}_L})\mathcal{L}\mathcal{K}_L\gamma_*^c\mathcal{K}_L\mathcal{L}] - \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{2,\mathcal{K}_L\gamma_*^c\mathcal{K}_L}\mathcal{L}\mathcal{K}_L\gamma_*^c\mathcal{K}_L] \right).
\end{aligned}$$

This ends the proof.  $\square$

## 7 Proofs

We are now in the position to prove Theorems 3.8, 3.9, 3.13 and Proposition 3.15.

## 7.1 Proof of Theorem 3.8

From Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 5.1, we infer that

$$E_{c,q} \leq E_q^{\text{HF}} + \mathcal{O}(c^{-2}).$$

To prove the inverse estimate, we study first  $\mathcal{K}_L \gamma_*^c \mathcal{K}_L$ . As  $0 \leq \mathcal{K}_L \gamma_*^c \mathcal{K}_L \leq \gamma_*^c$ , we know  $\mathcal{K}_L \gamma_*^c \mathcal{K}_L \in \Gamma_q$ . By the definition of  $\mathcal{K}_L$ , we know now that  $\mathcal{K}_L \gamma_*^c \mathcal{K}_L \in \Gamma_q \cap \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_L) \cap X^2$ . From Theorem 6.1, we know that

$$E_q^{\text{HF}} \leq \mathcal{E}^{\text{HF}}(\mathcal{K}_L \gamma_*^c \mathcal{K}_L) \leq E_{c,q} + \mathcal{O}(c^{-2}) \leq E_q^{\text{HF}} + \mathcal{O}(c^{-2}). \quad (7.1)$$

This proves Theorem 3.8.

## 7.2 Proof of Theorem 3.9

As  $q \leq z$ , from Theorem 3.2, we can find a set of DF minimizers  $(\gamma_*^c)_c$  of  $E_{c,q}$  satisfying (3.13) and  $\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[\gamma_*^c] = q$ . We argue by contradiction: there exists a subsequence of  $(\gamma_*^{c_j})_{c_j}$  such that  $0 < \delta_{c_j} < \mathbf{1}_{\nu_{c_j}}(\mathcal{D}_{\gamma_*^{c_j}}^{c_j})$  for any  $j \geq 1$  with  $c_j \rightarrow \infty$  when  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . From Theorem 3.8 and (7.1),  $(\mathcal{K}_L \gamma_*^{c_j} \mathcal{K}_L)_j$  is a minimizing sequence of the HF minimum problem 3.14. Thus, from the existence of HF minimizers (see e.g., [18]), up to subsequences,

$$\mathcal{K}_L \gamma_*^{c_j} \mathcal{K}_L \rightarrow \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \quad \text{in } X^2.$$

From Lemma 6.2, we infer that for  $j \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\gamma_*^{c_j} \rightarrow \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \quad \text{in } X^2. \quad (7.2)$$

In addition, from (6.8), we know  $-e \leq \nu_{c_j} - c^2 \leq 0$ . Thus up to subsequences, there exists  $\nu_* \leq e$  such that  $\nu_{c_j} - c^2 \rightarrow \nu_*$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . Now we prove  $\nu_* = \nu$  with  $\nu$  being given in (3.15). Note that  $\nu_{c_j}$  is the  $q$ -th eigenvalue of  $\mathcal{D}_{\gamma_*^{c_j}}^{c_j}$ . According to min-max principle (see e.g. [12]) and (7.2),

$$\nu_{c_j} - c^2 = \sigma_q^+(\mathcal{D}_{\gamma_*^{c_j}}^{c_j}) - c^2 = \sigma_q^+(\mathcal{D}_{\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}}^{c_j}) - c^2 + o_{j \rightarrow \infty}(1).$$

Here assumptions in [12] are verified under Assumption 3.6 (see e.g., [25, Lemma 3.6]). Then according to [28, Theorem 6.6 and Theorem 6.7] or [15, Theorem 3 and Eq. (8)],

$$\nu_{c_j} - c^2 = \sigma_q^+(\mathcal{D}_{\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}}^{c_j}) - c^2 = \sigma_q(H_{0, \gamma_*^{\text{HF}}}) + o_{j \rightarrow \infty}(1) = \nu + o_{j \rightarrow \infty}(1).$$

As  $\nu_{c_j} - c^2 \rightarrow \nu_*$ , we know  $\nu_* = \nu$ .

Let

$$d := \frac{1}{2} \text{dist}\left(\sigma(H_{0, \gamma_*^{\text{HF}}}) \setminus \{\nu\}, \nu\right)$$

Then for  $j$  large enough, by the non-relativistic limit of eigenvalues of Dirac operators (see e.g., [28, Chp. 6 and Theorem 6.7]) we know that for  $c$  large enough, there are at most

$$q = \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_L} \left[ \mathbf{1}_{(-\infty, \nu+d]}(H_{0, \gamma_*^{\text{HF}}}) \right]$$

eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{D}_{\gamma_*^c}^c$  in the interval  $(0, \nu + \frac{3}{4}d]$ . Thus, for  $c$  large enough

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} \left[ \mathbb{1}_{(0, \nu + \frac{1}{2}d]}(\mathcal{D}_{\gamma_*^{c_j}}^{c_j}) \right] \leq \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} \left[ \mathbb{1}_{(0, \nu + \frac{3}{4}d]}(\mathcal{D}_{\gamma_*^c}^{c_j}) \right] \leq q.$$

However as  $0 < \delta_{c_j} < \mathbb{1}_{\nu_{c_j}}(\mathcal{D}_{\gamma_*^{c_j}}^{c_j})$ ,

$$q = \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[\gamma_*^{c_j}] < \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} \left[ \mathbb{1}_{(0, \nu_{c_j}]}(\mathcal{D}_{\gamma_*^{c_j}}^{c_j}) \right] \leq \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} \left[ \mathbb{1}_{(0, \nu + \frac{1}{2}d]}(\mathcal{D}_{\gamma_*^c}^{c_j}) \right] \leq q.$$

which is impossible. Thus  $\delta_c = 0$ .

Now we can write

$$\gamma_*^c = \sum_{n=1}^q |u_n^c\rangle \langle u_n^c|$$

with  $\mathcal{D}_{\gamma_*^c}^c u_{c,n} = \lambda_n^c u_{c,n}$ , and we can use the orthonormal set  $\{u_{c,1}, \dots, u_{c,q}\}$  to represent  $\gamma_*^c$ . In this sense, the orthonormal set  $\{u_{c,1}, \dots, u_{c,q}\}$  is a minimizing sequence of  $E_q^{\mathrm{HF}}$  in  $(H^1)^q$ . From [18], we infer that as  $c \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$u_{c,n} \rightarrow u_n \quad \text{in } H^1.$$

Then by (6.2), as  $c \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\mathcal{K}_L u_{c,n} \rightarrow u_n \quad \text{in } H^1.$$

Next, from [28, Chp. 6.1.3-6.1.4] we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\lambda_n^c - c^2 + i} &= \left\langle u_{c,n}, \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}_{\gamma_*^c}^c - c^2 + i} u_{c,n} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \left\langle u_{c,n}, \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}_{\gamma_*^c}^{\mathrm{HF}} - c^2 + i} u_{c,n} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} + o_{c \rightarrow \infty}(1) \\ &= \left\langle \mathcal{K}_L u_{c,n}, \frac{1}{H_{0, \gamma_*^c}^{\mathrm{HF}} + i} \mathcal{K}_L u_{c,n} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_L} + o_{c \rightarrow \infty}(1) \\ &= \left\langle u_n, \frac{1}{H_{0, \gamma_*^c}^{\mathrm{HF}} + i} u_n \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_L} + o_{c \rightarrow \infty}(1) = \frac{1}{\lambda_n + i} + o_{c \rightarrow \infty}(1). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $\lambda_n^c - c^2 \rightarrow \lambda_n$  as  $c \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus this ends the proof.

### 7.3 Proof of Theorem 3.13

Finally, we prove Theorem 3.13. According to Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 5.1, we know that under Assumption 3.11 and for  $c$  large enough,

$$E_{c,q} \leq E_q^{\mathrm{HF}} + E^{(2)}(\gamma_*^{\mathrm{HF}}) + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4})$$

where  $\gamma_*^{\mathrm{HF}}$  is any HF minimizer of  $E_q^{\mathrm{HF}}$ . Thus

$$E_{c,q} \leq E_q^{\mathrm{HF}} + \min_{\gamma_*^{\mathrm{HF}} \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{HF}}} E^{(2)}(\gamma_*^{\mathrm{HF}}) + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4})$$

where we recall that  $\mathcal{G}_{\text{HF}} := \{\gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \in \Gamma_q \cap \mathcal{B}(cH, \mathcal{H}_L) \cap X^2; \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \text{ is a HF minimizer of } E_q^{\text{HF}}\}$ .

Now we turn to prove

$$E_q^{\text{HF}} \leq E_{c,q} - \min_{\gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \in \mathcal{G}_{\text{HF}}} E^{(2)}(\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}) + o(c^{-2}). \quad (7.3)$$

From Theorem 3.9, we know  $\delta_c = 0$ . Thus under Assumption 3.11, estimate (6.2) holds. Before going further, we need to study some properties of  $\tilde{\gamma}_*^c$ . From the definition of  $\tilde{\gamma}_*^c$  (e.g., (6.21)), Lemma 6.21 and Lemma 6.5, we know  $\tilde{\gamma}_*^c \in \Gamma_q \cap \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_L) \cap X^2$ . Thus, from Theorem 6.1, under Assumption 3.11 and for  $c$  large enough,

$$E_q^{\text{HF}} \leq \mathcal{E}^{\text{HF}}(\tilde{\gamma}_*^c) = E_{c,q} - \tilde{E}_c^{(2)}(\gamma_*^c) + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}).$$

To end the proof, it remains to show that

$$\min_{\gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \in \mathcal{G}_{\text{HF}}} E^{(2)}(\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}) \leq \tilde{E}_c^{(2)}(\gamma_*^c) + o(c^{-2}). \quad (7.4)$$

Once (7.4) is proven,

$$E_q^{\text{HF}} + \min_{\gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \in \mathcal{G}_{\text{HF}}} E^{(2)}(\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}) \leq E_q^{\text{HF}} + \tilde{E}_c^{(2)}(\gamma_*^c) + o(c^{-2}) \leq E_{c,q} + o(c^{-2}).$$

This and (7.3) show

$$E_q^{\text{HF}} = E_{c,q} - \min_{\gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \in \mathcal{G}_{\text{HF}}} E^{(2)}(\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}) + o(c^{-2}).$$

Thus the proof is completed.

Now we prove (7.4). From Theorem 3.9, we write

$$\gamma_*^c = \sum_{n=1}^q |u_n^c\rangle \langle u_n^c|$$

Then proceeding as for Theorem 3.9, there exists  $\gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \in \mathcal{G}_{\text{HF}}$  such that as  $c \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\gamma_*^c \rightarrow \gamma_*^{\text{HF}}, \quad \mathcal{K}_L \gamma_*^c \mathcal{K}_L \rightarrow \gamma_*^{\text{HF}}, \quad \text{in } X^2$$

and

$$\mathcal{K}_L u_{c,n} \rightarrow u_n \quad \text{in } H^1, \quad \lambda_n^c \rightarrow \lambda_n. \quad (7.5)$$

Now we claim that as  $c \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$4c^2 \tilde{E}_c^{(2)}(\gamma_*^c) \rightarrow 4c^2 E^{(2)}(\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}).$$

Recall that

$$\begin{aligned} 4c^2 \tilde{E}_c^{(2)}(\gamma_*^c) &= - \sum_{1 \leq n \leq q} \lambda_n^c \langle \mathcal{L} \mathcal{K}_L u_{c,n}, \mathcal{L} \mathcal{K}_L u_{c,n} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &\quad + \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[(-V + W_{1, \mathcal{K}_L \gamma_*^c \mathcal{K}_L}) \mathcal{L} \mathcal{K}_L \gamma_*^c \mathcal{K}_L \mathcal{L}] - \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{2, \mathcal{K}_L \gamma_*^c \mathcal{K}_L} \mathcal{L} \mathcal{K}_L \gamma_*^c \mathcal{K}_L]. \end{aligned}$$

We study it term by term. By Lemma 6.3 and (7.5),

$$|\langle \mathcal{L}\mathcal{K}_L u_{c,n}, \mathcal{L}\mathcal{K}_L u_{c,n} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} - \langle \mathcal{L}u_n, \mathcal{L}\mathcal{K}_L u_n \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}| \leq \| \mathcal{K}_L u_{c,n} + u_n \|_{H^1} \| \mathcal{K}_L u_{c,n} - u_n \|_{H^1} \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus,

$$\sum_{1 \leq n \leq q} \lambda_n^c \langle \mathcal{L}\mathcal{K}_L u_{c,n}, \mathcal{L}\mathcal{K}_L u_{c,n} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow \sum_{1 \leq n \leq q} \lambda_n \langle \mathcal{L}u_n, \mathcal{L}u_n \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

Next, by Hardy's inequality and Lemma 6.3,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[V \mathcal{L}\mathcal{K}_L \gamma_*^c \mathcal{K}_L \mathcal{L}] - \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[V \mathcal{L}\gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{L}] \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{n=1}^q \langle V(\mathcal{L}u_{c,n}^L + \mathcal{L}u_n^L), \mathcal{L}u_{c,n}^L - \mathcal{L}u_n^L \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^2)} \right| \\ &\lesssim \sum_{n=1}^q \| u_{c,n}^L + u_n^L \|_{H^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^2)} \| u_{c,n}^L - u_n^L \|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^2)} \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Concerning  $W_{1,\bullet}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{1, \mathcal{K}_L \gamma_*^c \mathcal{K}_L} \mathcal{L}\mathcal{K}_L \gamma_*^c \mathcal{K}_L \mathcal{L}] - \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{1, \gamma_*^{\text{HF}}} \mathcal{L}\gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{L}] \right| \\ &\leq \left| \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{1, \mathcal{K}_L \gamma_*^c \mathcal{K}_L - \gamma_*^{\text{HF}}} \mathcal{L}\mathcal{K}_L \gamma_*^c \mathcal{K}_L \mathcal{L}] \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{1, \gamma_*^{\text{HF}}} (\mathcal{L}\mathcal{K}_L \gamma_*^c \mathcal{K}_L \mathcal{L} - \mathcal{L}\gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \mathcal{L})] \right| \\ &\lesssim \| \mathcal{K}_L \gamma_*^c \mathcal{K}_L - \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \|_X \| \gamma_*^c \|_{X^2} + \| \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \|_X \| \mathcal{K}_L \gamma_*^c \mathcal{K}_L - \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \|_{X^2} \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Concerning  $W_{2,\bullet}$ , by Lemma 4.6

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{2, \mathcal{K}_L \gamma_*^c \mathcal{K}_L} \mathcal{L}\mathcal{K}_L \gamma_*^c \mathcal{K}_L] - \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[W_{2, \gamma_*^{\text{HF}}} \mathcal{L}\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}] \right| \\ &\leq \left| \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[\mathcal{L}\mathcal{K}_L \gamma_*^c \mathcal{K}_L W_{2, \mathcal{K}_L \gamma_*^c \mathcal{K}_L - \gamma_*^{\text{HF}}} \mathcal{L}] \right| + \left| \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[(\mathcal{L}\mathcal{K}_L \gamma_*^c \mathcal{K}_L - \mathcal{L}\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}) W_{2, \gamma_*^{\text{HF}}} \mathcal{L}] \right| \\ &\lesssim (\| \mathcal{K}_L \gamma_*^c \mathcal{K}_L \|_{X^2} + \| \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \|_{X^2}) \| \mathcal{K}_L \gamma_*^c \mathcal{K}_L - \gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \|_{X^2} \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we can conclude that

$$4c^2 \tilde{E}_c^{(2)}(\gamma_*^c) = 4c^2 E^{(2)}(\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}) + o_{c \rightarrow \infty}(1)$$

for some  $\gamma_*^{\text{HF}} \in \mathcal{G}_{\text{HF}}$ . As a result,

$$\min_{\gamma \in \mathcal{G}_{\text{HF}}} E^{(2)}(\gamma) \leq E^{(2)}(\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}) = \tilde{E}_c^{(2)}(\gamma_*^c) + o(c^{-2}).$$

This proves (7.4). Now the proof is completed.

## 7.4 Proof of Proposition 3.15

We mainly focus on the term  $-\sum_{n=1}^q \lambda_n^{\text{HF}} \langle \mathcal{L}u_n^{\text{HF}}, \mathcal{L}u_n^{\text{HF}} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_L}$ . The others can be counter-balanced by reformulating this term. We have

$$-\sum_{n=1}^q \lambda_n^{\text{HF}} \langle \mathcal{L}u_n^{\text{HF}}, \mathcal{L}u_n^{\text{HF}} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_L} = -\sum_{n=1}^q \Re \left\langle H_{0, \gamma_*^{\text{HF}}} u_n^{\text{HF}}, \mathcal{L}^2 u_n^{\text{HF}} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_L}$$

$$= - \sum_{n=1}^q \Re \left\langle (H_0 - V + W_{1,\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}} - W_{2,\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}})u_n^{\text{HF}}, \mathcal{L}^2 u_n^{\text{HF}} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_L}.$$

We study terms associated with  $H_0$ ,  $(-V + W_{1,\bullet})$  and  $W_{2,\bullet}$  separately.

**Term with  $H_0$ .** Concerning  $H_0$ ,

$$- \sum_{n=1}^q \Re \langle H_0 u_n^{\text{HF}}, \mathcal{L}^2 u_n^{\text{HF}} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_L} = -2 \sum_{n=1}^q \langle u_n^{\text{HF}}, H_0^2 u_n^{\text{HF}} \rangle = E_{\text{mv}}. \quad (7.6)$$

**Term with  $-V + W_{1,\bullet}$ .** Next, we study the term associated with  $-V + W_{1,\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & - \sum_{n=1}^q \Re \left\langle (-V + W_{1,\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}})u_n^{\text{HF}}, \mathcal{L}^2 u_n^{\text{HF}} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_L} \\ &= - \sum_{n=1}^q \Re \left\langle \left[ \mathcal{L}, (-V + W_{1,\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}}) \right] u_n^{\text{HF}}, \mathcal{L} u_n^{\text{HF}} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_L} \\ & \quad - \sum_{n=1}^q \left\langle \mathcal{L} u_n^{\text{HF}}, (-V + W_{1,\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}}) \mathcal{L} u_n^{\text{HF}} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_L} \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\Re \left\langle \left[ \mathcal{L}, (-V + W_{1,\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}}) \right] u_n^{\text{HF}}, \mathcal{L} u_n^{\text{HF}} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_L} = \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \left[ \mathcal{L}, \left[ \mathcal{L}, (-V + W_{1,\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}}) \right] \right] u_n^{\text{HF}}, u_n^{\text{HF}} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_L},$$

since

$$\begin{aligned} & \Re \left\langle \left[ \mathcal{L}, (-V + W_{1,\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}}) \right] u_n^{\text{HF}}, \mathcal{L} u_n^{\text{HF}} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_L} \\ &= \Re \left\langle \left[ \mathcal{L}, \left[ \mathcal{L}, (-V + W_{1,\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}}) \right] \right] u_n^{\text{HF}}, u_n^{\text{HF}} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_L} + \Re \left\langle \left[ \mathcal{L}, (-V + W_{1,\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}}) \right] \mathcal{L} u_n^{\text{HF}}, u_n^{\text{HF}} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_L} \\ &= \left\langle \left[ \mathcal{L}, \left[ \mathcal{L}, (-V + W_{1,\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}}) \right] \right] u_n^{\text{HF}}, u_n^{\text{HF}} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_L} - \Re \left\langle \left[ \mathcal{L}, (-V + W_{1,\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}}) \right] u_n^{\text{HF}}, \mathcal{L} u_n^{\text{HF}} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_L}. \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & - \sum_{n=1}^q \Re \left\langle (-V + W_{1,\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}})u_n^{\text{HF}}, \mathcal{L}^2 u_n^{\text{HF}} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_L} \\ &= - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^q \left\langle \left[ \mathcal{L}, \left[ \mathcal{L}, (-V + W_{1,\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}}) \right] \right] u_n^{\text{HF}}, u_n^{\text{HF}} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_L} \\ & \quad - \sum_{n=1}^q \left\langle \mathcal{L} u_n^{\text{HF}}, (-V + W_{1,\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}}) \mathcal{L} u_n^{\text{HF}} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_L}. \end{aligned}$$

In addition, for any potential  $\tilde{V}$ , we have formally

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}, [\mathcal{L}, \tilde{V}] u &= -\Delta(\tilde{V}u) - 2\mathcal{L}(\tilde{V}\mathcal{L}u) + \tilde{V}(-\Delta u) \\ &= (-\Delta\tilde{V})u - 2\nabla\tilde{V} \cdot \nabla u - 2(\mathcal{L}V)\mathcal{L}u \\ &= (-\Delta\tilde{V})u + 2i\sigma \cdot ((\nabla\tilde{V}) \times \nabla)u \end{aligned} \quad (7.7)$$

where we recall  $\mathcal{L} = -i\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \nabla$ , the notation “ $\times$ ” is the cross product and in the last equation we used the fact that for any vector  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ ,

$$(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{a})(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{b}) = \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b} + i\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot (\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b}).$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & - \sum_{n=1}^q \Re \left\langle (-V + W_{1,\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}})u_n^{\text{HF}}, \mathcal{L}^2 u_n^{\text{HF}} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_L} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^q \left\langle u_n^{\text{HF}}, \left[ \Delta(-V + W_{1,\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}}) \right] u_n^{\text{HF}} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_L} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^q \left\langle u_n^{\text{HF}}, \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \left[ (-\nabla V + \nabla W_{1,\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}}) \times (-i\nabla) \right] u_n^{\text{HF}} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_L} \\ &- \sum_{n=1}^q \left\langle \mathcal{L} u_n^{\text{HF}}, (-V + W_{1,\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}}) \mathcal{L} u_n^{\text{HF}} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_L}. \end{aligned} \tag{7.8}$$

**Term with  $W_{2,\bullet}$ .** Finally, we study terms associated with  $W_{2,\bullet}$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^q \Re \left\langle W_{2,\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}} u_n^{\text{HF}}, \mathcal{L}^2 u_n^{\text{HF}} \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{m,n=1}^q \Re \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u_n^{\text{HF}})^*(x) u_m^{\text{HF}}(x) \left\langle W(x - \cdot) u_m^{\text{HF}}, \mathcal{L}^2 u_n^{\text{HF}} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_L} dx \\ &= \sum_{m,n=1}^q \Re \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u_n^{\text{HF}})^*(x) u_m^{\text{HF}}(x) \left\langle W(x - \cdot) \mathcal{L} u_m^{\text{HF}}, \mathcal{L} u_n^{\text{HF}} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_L} dx \\ &+ \sum_{m,n=1}^q \Re \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u_n^{\text{HF}})^*(x) u_m^{\text{HF}}(x) \left\langle \left[ \mathcal{L}, W(x - \cdot) \right] u_m^{\text{HF}}, \mathcal{L} u_n^{\text{HF}} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_L} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Analogous to (7.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m,n=1}^q \Re \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u_n^{\text{HF}})^*(x) u_m^{\text{HF}}(x) \left\langle \left[ \mathcal{L}, W(x - \cdot) \right] u_m^{\text{HF}}, \mathcal{L} u_n^{\text{HF}} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_L} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,n=1}^q \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u_n^{\text{HF}})^*(x) u_m^{\text{HF}}(x) \left\langle \left[ \mathcal{L}, \left[ \mathcal{L}, W(x - \cdot) \right] \right] u_m^{\text{HF}}, u_n^{\text{HF}} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_L} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Thus by (7.7),

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^q \Re \left\langle W_{2,\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}} u_n^{\text{HF}}, \mathcal{L}^2 u_n^{\text{HF}} \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{m,n=1}^q \Re \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u_n^{\text{HF}})^*(x) u_m^{\text{HF}}(x) \left\langle W(x - \cdot) \mathcal{L} u_m^{\text{HF}}, \mathcal{L} u_n^{\text{HF}} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_L} dx \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,n=1}^q \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u_n^{\text{HF}})^*(x) u_m^{\text{HF}}(x) \left\langle \left[ \mathcal{L}, \left[ \mathcal{L}, W(x - \cdot) \right] \right] u_m^{\text{HF}}, u_n^{\text{HF}} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_L} dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{m,n=1}^q \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u_n^{\text{HF}})^*(x) u_m^{\text{HF}}(x) \langle W(x - \cdot) \mathcal{L}u_m^{\text{HF}}, \mathcal{L}u_n^{\text{HF}} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_L} dx \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,n=1}^q \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u_n^{\text{HF}})^*(x) u_m^{\text{HF}}(x) \left\langle u_m^{\text{HF}}, \left[ \Delta_y W(x - \cdot) \right] u_n^{\text{HF}} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_L} dx \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,n=1}^q \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u_n^{\text{HF}})^*(x) u_m^{\text{HF}}(x) \left\langle u_m^{\text{HF}}, \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \left[ (\nabla_y W(x - \cdot)) \times (-i\nabla) \right] u_n^{\text{HF}} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_L} dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{7.9}$$

**Conclusion.** From (7.6)-(7.9), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
&- \sum_{n=1}^q \lambda_n^{\text{HF}} \langle \mathcal{L}u_n^{\text{HF}}, \mathcal{L}u_n^{\text{HF}} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_L} \\
&= E_{\text{mv}} + E_{\text{D}} + E_{\text{so}} - \sum_{n=1}^q \left\langle \mathcal{L}u_n^{\text{HF}}, (-V + W_{1,\gamma_*^{\text{HF}}}) \mathcal{L}u_n^{\text{HF}} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_L} \\
&\quad + \sum_{m,n=1}^q \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u_n^{\text{HF}})^*(x) u_m^{\text{HF}}(x) \langle \mathcal{L}u_m^{\text{HF}}, |x - \cdot|^{-1} \mathcal{L}u_n^{\text{HF}} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_L} dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Inserting this into the formula of  $E^{(2)}$ , we get

$$4c^2 E^{(2)} = E_{\text{mv}} + E_{\text{D}} + E_{\text{so}}.$$

This ends the proof.

## A Some technical estimates

In this section, we list some basic estimates used in this paper taken from [20, 25]. The difference is only because of the change of units for  $Z$ ,  $\alpha$  and  $c$ .

**Lemma A.1.** *Let  $\gamma \in X$ .*

1.

$$\|W_\gamma\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})} \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \|\gamma\|_X \leq \frac{\pi}{2c} \|\gamma\|_{X_c} \tag{A.1}$$

2.

$$\|W_\gamma u\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq 2\|\gamma\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1} \|\nabla u\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \frac{2\|\gamma\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1}}{c} \|\mathcal{D}^c|^{1/2} u\|_{\mathcal{H}}. \tag{A.2}$$

3. *Let  $\gamma \in \Gamma_q$  and  $\kappa_c < 1$ . Then*

$$(1 - \kappa_c)^2 |\mathcal{D}^c|^2 \leq |\mathcal{D}_\gamma^c|^2 \leq (1 + \kappa_c)^2 |\mathcal{D}^c|^2. \tag{A.3}$$

*As a result,*

$$(1 - \kappa_c) |\mathcal{D}^c| \leq |\mathcal{D}_\gamma^c| \leq (1 + \kappa_c) |\mathcal{D}^c|. \tag{A.4}$$



4. Let  $\gamma \in \Gamma_q$ , we have

$$\| |\mathcal{D}^c|^{1/2} P_\gamma^\pm u \|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \frac{(1 + \kappa_c)^{1/2}}{(1 - \kappa_c)^{1/2}} \| |\mathcal{D}^c|^{1/2} u \|_{\mathcal{H}}. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

5. Let  $\gamma \in \Gamma_q$  and  $\max(q, Z) < \frac{2}{\pi/2 + 2/\pi}$ , then

$$\inf |\sigma(\mathcal{D}_\gamma^c)| \geq c^2 \lambda_{0,c}(\alpha, c) = c^2 (1 - \max(\alpha_c q, Z_c)). \quad (\text{A.6})$$

6. Let  $h \in X^2$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma_q$ , then

$$\| [W_h, \mathcal{D}_\gamma^c] \|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})} \leq 16c(1 + \kappa_c) \|h\|_{X^2} + c^2 \| [W_h, \beta] \|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})}. \quad (\text{A.7})$$

*Proof.* Estimates (A.1)-(A.6) can be found in [25, Lemma 2.6]. Here they are a direct copy of [20, Lemma A.1]. The last estimate (A.7) is a modification of [20, Lemma 5.5].  $\square$

**Acknowledgments.** Supports by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) through TRR 352 – Project 470903074 and by ERC CoG RAMBAS – Project-Nr. 10104424 are acknowledged.

## Bibliography

- [1] Dirk Andrae. “Nuclear charge density distributions in quantum chemistry”. In: *Theoretical and Computational Chemistry*. Vol. 11. Elsevier, 2002, pp. 203–258.
- [2] V. Bach, E.H. Lieb, M. Loss, and J.P. Solovej. “There are no unfilled shells in unrestricted Hartree-Fock theory”. In: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 72 (19 1994), pp. 2981–2983.
- [3] Volker Bach. “Error bound for the Hartree-Fock energy of atoms and molecules”. In: *Comm. Math. Phys.* 147.3 (1992), pp. 527–548.
- [4] J.-M. Barbaroux, W. Farkas, B. Helffer, and H. Siedentop. “On the Hartree-Fock equations of the electron-positron field”. In: *Comm. Math. Phys.* 255.1 (2005), pp. 131–159.
- [5] Jean-Marie Barbaroux, Maria J. Esteban, and Eric Séré. “Some connections between Dirac-Fock and electron-positron Hartree-Fock”. In: *Ann. Henri Poincaré* 6.1 (2005), pp. 85–102.
- [6] William Borrelli, Raffaele Carlone, and Lorenzo Tentarelli. “Nonlinear Dirac equation on graphs with localized nonlinearities: bound states and nonrelativistic limit”. In: *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis* 51.2 (2019), pp. 1046–1081.
- [7] W. Bulla, F. Gesztesy, and K. Unterkofler. “Holomorphy of the scattering matrix with respect to  $c^{-2}$  for Dirac operators and an explicit treatment of relativistic corrections”. In: *Communications in mathematical physics* 144.2 (1992), pp. 391–416.
- [8] Isabelle Catto and Long Meng. “Properties of periodic Dirac–Fock functional and minimizers”. In: *arXiv:2307.09088* (2023).

- [9] Isabelle Catto, Long Meng, Eric Paturel, and Eric Séré. “Existence of minimizers for the Dirac–Fock model of crystals”. In: *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis* 248.4 (2024), p. 63.
- [10] Pan Chen, Yanheng Ding, Qi Guo, and Hua-Yang Wang. “Nonrelativistic limit of normalized solutions to a class of nonlinear Dirac equations”. In: *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 63.4 (2024), Paper No. 90, 29.
- [11] Jean-Paul Desclaux. “Relativistic Dirac-Fock expectation values for atoms with  $Z = 1$  to  $Z = 120$ ”. In: *Atomic Data and Nuclear Data Tables* 12.4 (1973), pp. 311–406.
- [12] Jean Dolbeault, Maria J. Esteban, and Eric Séré. “On the eigenvalues of operators with gaps. Application to Dirac operators”. In: *Journal of Functional Analysis* 174.1 (2000), pp. 208–226.
- [13] Kenneth G. Dyall and Knut Faegri. *Introduction to Relativistic Quantum Chemistry*. Oxford University Press, July 2007. ISBN: 9780195140866.
- [14] M.J. Esteban and É. Séré. “Solutions of the Dirac-Fock equations for atoms and molecules”. In: *Comm. Math. Phys.* 203.3 (1999), pp. 499–530.
- [15] M.J. Esteban and É. Séré. “Nonrelativistic limit of the Dirac-Fock equations”. In: *Ann. Henri Poincaré* 2.5 (2001), pp. 941–961.
- [16] Olivier Gorceix, Paul Indelicato, and Jean-Paul Desclaux. “Multiconfiguration Dirac-Fock studies of two-electron ions. I. Electron-electron interaction”. In: *Journal of Physics B: Atomic and Molecular Physics* 20.4 (1987), pp. 639–649.
- [17] Werner Kutzelnigg. “Perturbation theory of relativistic effects”. In: *Theoretical and Computational Chemistry*. Vol. 11. Elsevier, 2002, pp. 664–757.
- [18] Elliott H Lieb and Barry Simon. “The Hartree-Fock theory for coulomb systems”. In: *Communications in Mathematical Physics* 53.3 (1977), pp. 185–194.
- [19] Long Meng. “On the mixed regularity of N-body Coulombic wavefunctions”. In: *ESAIM: Mathematical Modelling and Numerical Analysis* 57.4 (2023), pp. 2257–2282.
- [20] Long Meng. “A rigorous justification of the Mittleman’s approach to the Dirac–Fock model”. In: *Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations* 63.2 (2024), p. 39.
- [21] Long Meng and Heinz Siedentop. “The Ground State Energy of Heavy Atoms: Leading and Subleading Asymptotics”. In: *arXiv:2411.07046* (2024).
- [22] Marvin H. Mittleman. “Theory of relativistic effects on atoms: Configuration-space Hamiltonian”. In: *Phys. Rev. A* 24 (3 1981), pp. 1167–1175.
- [23] Edgar Ottschowski and Werner Kutzelnigg. “Relativistic Hartree–Fock by means of stationary direct perturbation theory. II. Ground states of rare gas atoms”. In: *The Journal of chemical physics* 102.4 (1995), pp. 1752–1757.
- [24] Eric Paturel. “Solutions of the Dirac-Fock equations without projector”. In: *Ann. Henri Poincaré* 1.6 (2000), pp. 1123–1157.
- [25] Eric Séré. “A new definition of the Dirac–Fock ground state”. In: *Communications in Mathematical Physics* 404.3 (2023), pp. 1275–1307.

- [26] B. Simon. *Trace ideals and their applications*. Second. Vol. 120. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005, pp. viii+150. ISBN: 0-8218-3581-5.
- [27] Bertha Swirles. “The relativistic self-consistent field”. In: *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A - Mathematical and Physical Sciences* 152.877 (1935), pp. 625–649.
- [28] Bernd Thaller. *The Dirac equation*. Texts and Monographs in Physics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992, pp. xviii+357. ISBN: 3-540-54883-1.
- [29] Xiao Xue, Maximilian Russ, Nodar Samkharadze, Brennan Undseth, Amir Sammak, Giordano Scappucci, and Lieven MK Vandersypen. “Quantum logic with spin qubits crossing the surface code threshold”. In: *Nature* 601.7893 (2022), pp. 343–347.