

Modular Time Evolution and the QNEC

STEFAN HOLLANDS

Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Leipzig
 Brüderstrasse 16, 04103 Leipzig, Germany
 Max Planck Institute for Mathematics in Sciences (MiS)
 Inselstraße 22, 04103 Leipzig, Germany

Abstract

We establish an inequality restricting the evolution of states in quantum field theory with respect to the modular flow of a wedge, Δ^{is} , for large $|s|$. Our bound is related to the quantum null energy condition, QNEC. In one interpretation, it can be seen as providing a “chaos-bound” $\leq 2\pi$ on the Lyapunov exponent with respect to Rindler time, s . Mathematically, our inequality is a statement about half-sided modular inclusions of von Neumann algebras.

1 Introduction

A mathematical manifestation of the Unruh effect [34] is that the vacuum correlation functions of a relativistic quantum field theory (QFT), when restricted to a wedge region of Minkowski space (Rindler space), look thermal in terms of the boost parameter (Rindler time) s ,

$$x^0(s) = \cosh(s)x^0 + \sinh(s)x^1, \quad x^1(s) = \sinh(s)x^0 + \cosh(s)x^1. \quad (1)$$

The inverse temperature is given by¹ $\beta = 2\pi$ in this parameterization. A similar phenomenon occurs, e.g., for correlation functions of the Hartle-Hawking state when considered in the exterior of the Schwarzschild spacetime, or for the Bunch-Davies state, when considered in a wedge-like patch of deSitter spacetime, see e.g., [20].

This fact can be recognized by noting that the correlation functions of the Minkowski vacuum state are periodic in s with period 2π after a Wick rotation, $s \rightarrow is$, or more precisely, that they satisfy the so-called KMS condition, see e.g., [18]. As is well-known, the KMS condition is closely related to Tomita-Takesaki theory of von Neumann algebras, and in this language, the Unruh effect is the statement that the modular flow (see section 2), Δ_Ω^{is} , of the vacuum state Ω with respect to the observable algebra of a wedge is equal to $\Delta_\Omega^{is} = e^{-2\pi isK}$, where K is the generator of boosts in the (x^0, x^1) plane. In this formulation, the Unruh effect is a consequence of the Bisognano-Wichmann theorem, see e.g., [18]. In this note, we study more closely the behavior of a time evolved state,

$$\Phi_s := \Delta_\Omega^{is} \Phi \quad (2)$$

¹Our units are such that $k_B = c = \hbar = 1$.

for asymptotically large Rindler time $s \rightarrow \infty$ or $s \rightarrow -\infty$, with respect to observables in the right wedge.

Although not necessary for the technical arguments in this note, we now restrict attention to the toy model of chiral conformal QFTs (CFTs). These describe either the left- or right-moving chiral quantum fields in a two-dimensional CFT and already capture the essence of the Unruh/Bisognano-Wichmann setting. In the algebraic approach to QFT [18] that we adopt, a chiral CFT is a net $\{\mathcal{A}(I)\}$ of von Neumann algebras, acting on a common Hilbert space, \mathcal{H} , labeled by the proper, possibly half-infinite, intervals $I \subset \mathbb{R}$. The real line hosting the intervals is thought of as parameterized by the null coordinate $x^+ = x^0 + x^1$ or $x^- = x^0 - x^1$, in terms of which a boost corresponds to a dilation.

A minimal² set of axioms for a chiral net is:

- Isotony: $I \subset J \implies \mathcal{A}(I) \subset \mathcal{A}(J)$.
- Locality: $I \cap J = \emptyset \implies [\mathcal{A}(I), \mathcal{A}(J)] = \{0\}$.
- Covariance: There exists a strongly continuous, projective, unitary representation $U(g), g \in M$ of the Möbius group $M = \widetilde{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})/\{\pm 1\}$ on \mathcal{H} , acting by fractional linear transformations on \mathbb{R} , such that $U(g)\mathcal{A}(I)U(g)^* = \mathcal{A}(gI)$ whenever g is continuously connected to the identity by a path $t \mapsto g_t$ such that $g_t I$ does not contain the point at infinity. The generator of translations is positive.
- Vacuum: There is a unique vector $\Omega \in \mathcal{H}$ (vacuum) such that $U(g)\Omega = \Omega$ for all $g \in M$.
- Additivity: $I = \cup_j I_j \implies \mathcal{A}(I) = \vee_j \mathcal{A}(I_j)$, with \vee the von Neumann closure of the union operation.

For a chiral CFT, the Bisognano-Wichmann theorem is the statement that the modular group Δ_Ω^{is} with respect to $\mathcal{A}([0, \infty))$ corresponds to the representer, under U , of a dilation by $e^{2\pi s}$, or said differently $\Delta_\Omega^{-is}\mathcal{A}(I)\Delta_\Omega^{is} = \mathcal{A}(e^{2\pi s}I)$ for all intervals I .

Let us consider the state functionals φ_s (“reduced density matrices”) of the pure states Φ_s (2), for the algebra $\mathcal{A}([0, b])$, where $b > 0$ is arbitrary but fixed. The ergodic nature of the modular group implies that [31]

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} \varphi_s(m) = \omega(m) \|\Phi\|^2 \quad \forall m \in \mathcal{A}([0, b]), \quad (3)$$

where ω is the state functional of the vacuum Ω . Thus in this sense, at small distances any state looks like the vacuum. On the other hand, for $s \rightarrow +\infty$, the state functionals φ_s may be expected to exhibit some sort of chaotic behavior.

One purpose of this note is to provide mathematical bounds qualifying this statement in the sense of providing a sort of bound on chaos. Our bound, described in more detail in theorem 3.1, is phrased in terms of $S_{\text{meas}}(\varphi\|\psi)_{\mathcal{M}}$, the so-called *measured relative entropy*. It is an information theoretic distance measure between two state functionals φ, ψ on a von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M} (“reduced density matrices”) similar to the relative entropy, see section 2. We will show that

$$S_{\text{meas}}(\varphi_s\|\varphi_s \circ T \circ R)_{\mathcal{A}([0, b])} \leq -bS' \cdot e^{2\pi s} + o(be^{2\pi s}). \quad (4)$$

²We do not require a stress tensor which enhances the symmetry to the Virasoro algebra.

Here, $o(x)$ is a function going to zero faster than x as $x \rightarrow 0$.³ S' is the derivative of the ordinary relative entropy

$$S' = \frac{d}{da} S(\Phi \| \Omega)_{\mathcal{A}([a, \infty))} \Big|_{a=0}, \quad (5)$$

with respect to the observables localized in a half-ray. By monotonicity [33], $S' \leq 0$. R is a reflection about the midpoint of $[0, b]$ and T is a smoothed out version of a translation by a fixed amount of order one in Rindler time, s .

As we will discuss in section 4, a possible interpretation of these inequalities is the following. For $s \rightarrow -\infty$, the inequality says that, on each interval $[0, b]$ of fixed but possibly large length $b = \ell \gg 1$, the state Φ_s has an invariance under dilations and reflections up to an error of the order $\ell S' e^{2\pi s}$. This error obviously goes to zero as $s \rightarrow -\infty$ at an exponential rate. Since translations and reflections are symmetries fixing the vacuum, the bound can be thought of as a counterpart of ergodicity, (43).

The other limit $s \rightarrow \infty$ implies a kind of chaos bound. Here, we think of an interval $[0, b]$ as having very small length $b = \epsilon \ll 1$. We will see that our bound implies in particular that the expectation value of a sharply observable, m in $[0, b]$, minus the same observable displaced by $\sim \epsilon$, i.e., $U(\epsilon)mU(\epsilon)^*$, does not grow faster than $\epsilon S' e^{2\pi s}$ under dynamical evolution with respect to dilations (parameter s), so long as s is still small enough in order that $\epsilon e^{2\pi s} \lesssim 1$.

It would be interesting to study relations between our chaos-type bound and a well-known one by [26]. Their bound on the Lyapunov exponent $\lambda_L \leq 2\pi T$ [26] is similar to ours, $\lambda_L \leq 2\pi$, in the Rindler space setting, but is phrased in terms of a rather different-looking observable, namely out-of-time-ordered thermal correlation functions. We leave a deeper study to a future investigation and only remark that the authors [26] have noted that their bound applies to the Rindler setting, though not directly to two-dimensional CFTs, indicating a difference to our approach.

Instead we will describe in more detail in this note how our bound is connected, at least mathematically, to the so-called quantum null energy condition (QNEC) [10, 11, 35], in a formulation involving relative entropies by Ceyhan and Faulkner [14]. Actually, as [14], we will prove our bounds in a framework that only uses certain number of abstract features of chiral CFTs called *half-sided modular inclusions* [8, 9, 36, 6, 17]. Our framework is thus more general and includes, e.g., situations in which the modular flow is not necessarily ergodic.

Given that important aspects of our proof rely on the proofs of the QNEC [14, 22], it would be interesting to understand better the physical relation between chaos bounds and the QNEC. At the mathematical level, our proof also uses an interpolation theorem [21] of non-commutative L_p -spaces [4], and various variational characterizations of entropy besides the QNEC.

2 Relative modular operators and entropy

Consider a von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M} acting on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Suppose we have two cyclic and separating vectors $\Omega, \Phi \in \mathcal{H}$ for \mathcal{M} . Following [2, 3], one can then define the

³I.e., $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} x^{-1} o(x) = 0$.

relative Tomita's operators [2, 3] on \mathcal{H} as the closures of

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\Omega, \Phi} &\equiv S_{\Omega, \Phi; \mathcal{M}} : x\Phi \mapsto x^*\Omega, \quad x \in \mathcal{M}, \\ S'_{\Omega, \Phi} &\equiv S_{\Omega, \Phi; \mathcal{M}'} : x'\Phi \mapsto x'^*\Omega, \quad x' \in \mathcal{M}'. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

The polar decompositions of these operators yield the *relative modular operators and conjugations*:

$$S_{\Omega, \Phi} = J_{\Omega, \Phi} \Delta_{\Omega, \Phi}^{1/2}, \quad S'_{\Omega, \Phi} = J'_{\Omega, \Phi} \Delta_{\Omega, \Phi}^{1/2}.$$

Among the well-known formulas that we use are

$$J'_{\Omega, \Phi} = J_{\Phi, \Omega} = J_{\Omega, \Phi}^*, \quad \Delta'_{\Omega, \Phi} = \Delta_{\Phi, \Omega}^{-1} = J_{\Omega, \Phi} \Delta_{\Omega, \Phi} J_{\Omega, \Phi}^*, \quad (7)$$

as well as the covariance properties:

$$\Delta'_{v\Omega, u\Phi} = u \Delta'_{\Omega, \Phi} u^*, \quad \Delta_{v'\Omega, u'\Phi} = u' \Delta_{\Omega, \Phi} u'^*, \quad (8)$$

for any isometries $u, v \in \mathcal{M}, u', v' \in \mathcal{M}'$. The *modular flow* is the the 1-parameter group of automorphisms of \mathcal{M} respectively \mathcal{M}' given by, respectively

$$\sigma_{\Phi}^t(m) = \Delta_{\Phi}^{it} m \Delta_{\Phi}^{-it}, \quad \sigma_{\Phi}^t(m') = \Delta_{\Phi}^{it} m' \Delta_{\Phi}^{-it}, \quad (9)$$

where here and in the following we write

$$\Delta_{\Phi} := \Delta_{\Phi, \Phi}. \quad (10)$$

One may also express the modular flows using the relative modular operators:

$$\sigma_{\Phi}^t(m) = \Delta_{\Phi, \Omega}^{it} m \Delta_{\Phi, \Omega}^{-it}, \quad \sigma_{\Phi}^t(m') = \Delta_{\Phi, \Omega}^{it} m' \Delta_{\Phi, \Omega}^{-it}. \quad (11)$$

Let $\varphi = (\Phi, \cdot\Phi)$, $\omega = (\Omega, \cdot\Omega)$ be the states on \mathcal{M} associated with Φ, Ω . Then we have the the following formulas for the *Connes-cocycle* [15]:

$$u_s = (D\omega : D\varphi)_s = \Delta_{\Omega, \Phi}^{is} \Delta_{\Phi}^{-is} = \Delta_{\Omega, \Phi}^{is} \Delta_{\Phi, \Omega}^{-is}. \quad (12)$$

One may see that u_s respectively u'_s are unitary operators from \mathcal{M} respectively \mathcal{M}' for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$. It is also possible to construct unitary cocycles from the modular conjugations [4, App. C], [14, App. A]:

$$v_{\Omega, \Phi} = J'_{\Omega, \Phi} J'_{\Phi} = J'_{\Omega} J'_{\Omega, \Phi} \in \mathcal{M}. \quad (13)$$

With a certain amount of analysis, see [3], [4, App. C], [14, App. A], these constructions and equalities can be generalized to situations where Φ is not cyclic and/or not separating. The corresponding modifications involve the so-called support projections $s(\Phi) \in \mathcal{M}, s'(\Phi) \in \mathcal{M}'$. Here, for example, $s(\Phi)$ is the orthogonal projection onto the closure of the subspace $\mathcal{M}'\Phi$. We will avoid such considerations in this paper by generally assuming that Φ is cyclic and separating except in cases where this is explicitly mentioned. Ω is always assumed to be cyclic and separating.

Araki's *relative entropy* is defined by [2, 3]

$$S(\Phi\|\Omega)_{\mathcal{M}} = -(\Phi, \log \Delta_{\Omega, \Phi} \Phi). \quad (14)$$

In view of the first covariance property (8), one has

$$S(u'\Phi\|v'\Omega)_{\mathcal{M}} = S(\Phi\|\Omega)_{\mathcal{M}}, \quad S(u\Phi\|v\Omega)_{\mathcal{M}'} = S(\Phi\|\Omega)_{\mathcal{M}'}, \quad (15)$$

for any isometries $u, v \in \mathcal{M}, u', v' \in \mathcal{M}'$. Therefore, $S(\Phi\|\Omega)_{\mathcal{M}} \equiv S(\varphi\|\omega)_{\mathcal{M}}$, meaning that the relative entropy only depends on the functionals $\varphi = (\Phi, \cdot\Phi)$, $\omega = (\Omega, \cdot\Omega)$, the states on \mathcal{M} associated with Φ, Ω . A similar statement applies to the relative entropy with respect to the commutant, see the second formula in (15).

In the case of a finite-dimensional type I von Neumann factor \mathcal{M} , φ, ω can be identified with self-adjoint operators having strictly positive eigenvalues, such that $\varphi(m) = \text{Tr}(\varphi m)$ for all $m \in \mathcal{M}$, and similarly for ω . Under this identification, we have

$$S(\varphi\|\omega)_{\mathcal{M}} = \text{Tr}(\varphi \log \varphi - \varphi \log \omega). \quad (16)$$

There exist other equivalent characterizations of the relative entropy. One such characterization [30, Thm. 9] that we will use is

$$S(\Phi\|\Psi)_{\mathcal{M}} = \sup\{(\Phi, x\Phi) - \log \|\Psi^x\|^2\}, \quad (17)$$

with supremum over all self-adjoint elements x of \mathcal{M} . Here, $\Psi^x \in \mathcal{H}$ is defined for any self-adjoint element $x \in \mathcal{M}$, and cyclic and separating vector $\Psi \in \mathcal{H}$ by the strongly convergent Araki perturbation series [1],

$$\Psi^x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_0^{1/2} ds_1 \int_0^{s_1} ds_2 \cdots \int_0^{s_{n-1}} ds_n \Delta_{\Psi}^{s_n} x \Delta_{\Psi}^{s_{n-1}-s_n} x \cdots \Delta_{\Psi}^{s_1-s_2} x \Psi. \quad (18)$$

The *measured relative entropy*, see e.g., [28], is a commutative cousin of the Araki relative entropy. It may be defined as

$$S_{\text{meas}}(\Phi\|\Psi)_{\mathcal{M}} = \sup\{S(\Phi\|\Psi)_{\mathcal{C}} \mid \mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{M}\}, \quad (19)$$

where \mathcal{C} ranges over all *commutative* von Neumann subalgebras of \mathcal{M} . Like ordinary relative entropy, S_{meas} depends only on the expectation functionals $\varphi = (\Phi, \cdot\Phi), \psi = (\Psi, \cdot\Psi)$ on \mathcal{M} induced by the vectors Φ, Ψ . The terminology for S_{meas} is more apparent from the following equivalent [28] characterization

$$S_{\text{meas}}(\Phi\|\Psi)_{\mathcal{M}} = \sup \left\{ \sum_i p_i \log \frac{p_i}{q_i} \mid p_i = \varphi(e_i), q_i = \psi(e_i) \right\}, \quad (20)$$

where the supremum is over all finite sets $\{e_i\}$ of orthogonal projections $e_i \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $e_i e_j = \delta_{ij} e_j, \sum_i e_i = 1$. We can think of these as eigenprojections of some hermitian observable from \mathcal{M} whose corresponding eigenvalue is measured with probability $p_i = \varphi(e_i)$ respectively $q_i = \psi(e_i)$ in the states φ respectively ψ .

As an application of the variational principle (19), consider the commutative von Neumann subalgebra of \mathcal{M} generated by the projection e onto the positive support of the functional $\varphi - \psi$ and 1. This yields [2]

$$S_{\text{meas}}(\Phi\|\Psi)_{\mathcal{M}} \geq \frac{1}{2} \|\varphi - \psi\|^2. \quad (21)$$

The norm on the right side is that of a linear functional, $\rho : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, defined as

$$\|\rho\| = \sup\{|\rho(m)| \mid m \in \mathcal{M}, \|m\| = 1\}. \quad (22)$$

In the case of a type I von Neumann factor \mathcal{M} , a bounded linear functional $\rho : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ can be identified with a trace-class operator such that $\rho(m) = \text{Tr}(\rho m)$ for all $m \in \mathcal{M}$. Under this identification, $\|\rho\|$ is the trace norm $\text{Tr}\sqrt{\rho\rho^*}$.

The measured entropy may also be characterized by [28, Prop. 7.13]

$$S_{\text{meas}}(\Phi\|\Psi)_{\mathcal{M}} = \sup\{(\Phi, x\Phi) - \log \|e^{x/2}\Psi\|^2\}, \quad (23)$$

where the supremum is again over all self-adjoint elements x of \mathcal{M} , compare (17).

3 Half-sided modular inclusions

Consider a proper inclusion $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}$ of von Neumann algebras acting on the same Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , and assume that the following two conditions are satisfied.

1. There exists a unit vector $\Omega \in \mathcal{H}$ which is cyclic and separating for both \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} .
2. For any $t \geq 0$ it holds that $\Delta_{\Omega}^{-it}\mathcal{N}\Delta_{\Omega}^{it} \subset \mathcal{N}$, where Δ_{Ω} is the modular operator for \mathcal{M} .

Then one calls the structure $(\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}, \Omega)$ a *half-sided modular inclusion*, with respect to Ω .

The point is that, for a half-sided modular inclusion, one has *two* modular operators associated with the same state Ω but different algebras, \mathcal{N} and \mathcal{M} , satisfying the compatibility condition 2. It turns out that this has interesting consequences for the family of unitary operators

$$U(1 - e^{-2\pi t}) = \Delta_{\Omega; \mathcal{N}}^{it} \Delta_{\Omega; \mathcal{M}}^{-it}. \quad (24)$$

The following structural result [36, 6, 17] is called *Wiesbrock's theorem* for half-sided modular inclusions $(\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}, \Omega)$:

Theorem 3.1. *There is a family of unitary operators $U(a)$, $a \in \mathbb{R}$ which is given by (24) for $a \leq 1$, and which are realizing the situation described by Borchers' theorem [8, 9]:*

1. $\mathbb{R} \ni a \mapsto U(a) = e^{iaP}$ is a strongly continuous 1-parameter group of unitary operators on \mathcal{H} with positive generator P , meaning $\text{spec}P \subset [0, \infty)$.
2. We have $U(a)\Omega = \Omega$ for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$.
3. We have $\mathcal{M}(a) := U(a)\mathcal{M}U(a)^* \subset \mathcal{M}$ when $a \geq 0$.
4. We have $\mathcal{M}(1) = \mathcal{N}$.
5. Ω is cyclic and separating for each $\mathcal{M}(a)$ and therefore for each $\mathcal{M}(a)'$. If $a > 0$, then $(\mathcal{M}(a) \subset \mathcal{M}, \Omega)$ is a half-sided modular inclusion. More generally, $(\mathcal{M}(b) \subset \mathcal{M}(a), \Omega)$ for $b > a$ are half-sided modular inclusions.
6. We have $\Delta_{\Omega}^{-it}U(a)\Delta_{\Omega}^{it} = U(e^{2\pi t}a)$ for all $t, a \in \mathbb{R}$, implying that $\Delta_{\Omega}^{-it}P\Delta_{\Omega}^{it} = e^{2\pi t}P$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, on the domain $\mathcal{D}(P)$ of P given by Stone's theorem. In particular, $\mathcal{D}(P)$ is invariant under Δ_{Ω}^{it} . These relations imply $\Delta_{\Omega}^{-it}\mathcal{M}(a)\Delta_{\Omega}^{it} = \mathcal{M}(e^{2\pi t}a)$.

7. We have $\mathcal{M}(-a)' = U(-a)\mathcal{M}'U(-a)^* \subset \mathcal{M}'$ for $a \geq 0$ and $(\mathcal{M}(-a)' \subset \mathcal{M}', \Omega)$ is a half-sided modular inclusion when $a > 0$. $J_\Omega U(a)J_\Omega = U(-a)$ for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$.

Chiral CFTs, see section 1, give examples of half-sided modular inclusions, taking $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{A}([0, \infty))$, $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{A}([1, \infty))$ and $\Omega =$ the vacuum. Indeed, by the Reeh-Schlieder theorem (see e.g., [18]), Ω is cyclic for $\mathcal{A}(I)$ if I has non-empty interior, so condition 1 for half-sided modular inclusions holds. By the Bisognano-Wichmann theorem, Δ_Ω^{it} corresponds to a dilation by the factor $e^{2\pi t}$, and this factor is ≥ 1 for $t \geq 0$. Thus, condition 2 holds, too.⁴ By Borchers' and Wiesbrock's theorems, $U(a) = e^{iaP}$ corresponds to a translation by $-a$. In formulas, we have

$$U(a)\mathcal{A}(I)U(a)^* = \mathcal{A}(I+a), \quad \Delta_\Omega^{-it}\mathcal{A}(I)\Delta_\Omega^{it} = \mathcal{A}(e^{2\pi t}I) \quad (25)$$

for any interval I . In particular, we have $\mathcal{M}(a) = \mathcal{A}([a, \infty))$.

Given a half-sided modular inclusion, arising from a chiral CFT or not, and a state Φ , one may consider the relative entropies with respect to $\mathcal{M}(a)$ respectively $\mathcal{M}(a)'$ as functions of a :

$$S(a) := S(\Phi \| \Omega)_{\mathcal{M}(a)}, \quad \bar{S}(a) := S(\Phi \| \Omega)_{\mathcal{M}(a)'}. \quad (26)$$

These entropies have a number of non-trivial properties. First of all, by monotonicity of the relative entropy [33], we have

$$S(b) \leq S(a), \quad \bar{S}(b) \geq \bar{S}(a) \quad \text{if } a \leq b. \quad (27)$$

Furthermore, by [14, Lem. 1] or [22, Prop. 3.2], if $S(a), \bar{S}(b) < \infty$ and $b \geq a$, we have

$$S(a) - S(b) = \bar{S}(a) - \bar{S}(b) + 2\pi(b-a)(\Phi, P\Phi), \quad (28)$$

for every vector state $\Phi \in \mathcal{D}(P)$, the domain of P , which is called a *sum rule*.

Combining the sum rule and monotonicity of the relative entropy, it follows that S, \bar{S} are absolutely continuous on any interval on which both S, \bar{S} are finite, and the derivatives $\partial S, \partial \bar{S}$ exist almost everywhere on any such interval [23].

Next, suppose that the derivative $\partial S(a)$ exists for some a and that $\Phi \in \mathcal{D}(P) \cap \mathcal{D}(\log \Delta'_a) \cap \mathcal{D}(\log \Delta_a)$, so, in particular, $S(a), \bar{S}(a), (\Phi, P\Phi) < \infty$. Then [23, Prop. 4.5]

$$\partial S(a) = i(\Phi, [P, \log \Delta'_a]\Phi), \quad (29)$$

and the analogous formula also holds for $\partial \bar{S}(a)$,

$$\partial \bar{S}(a) = i(\Phi, [P, \log \Delta_a]\Phi). \quad (30)$$

Here we set

$$\Delta_a := \Delta_{\Phi, \Omega; \mathcal{M}(a)}, \quad \Delta'_a := \Delta_{\Phi, \Omega; \mathcal{M}(a)'}. \quad (31)$$

Another nontrivial property of $S(a), \bar{S}(a)$, implying the QNEC, is the *ant formula* [35], in the formulation by [14] using half-sided modular inclusions: Suppose that for some $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $\partial S(a)$ exists, $\Phi \in \mathcal{D}(P) \cap \mathcal{D}(\log \Delta'_a)$, and $u'_s \Phi \in \mathcal{D}(P)$ for $s > s_0$ and some s_0 , where $u'_s = (D\omega' : D\varphi')_s$ is the Connes-cocycle associated with $\mathcal{M}(a)'$ and Φ, Ω . Then

$$-\partial S(a) = 2\pi \inf_{u' \in \mathcal{M}(a)'} (u' \Phi, P u' \Phi) = 2\pi \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} (u'_s \Phi, P u'_s \Phi) \quad (32)$$

⁴Note that we also have Haag duality $\mathcal{A}([0, \infty))' = \mathcal{A}((-\infty, 0])$.

Likewise, suppose that for some $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $\partial\bar{S}(a)$ exists, $\Phi \in \mathcal{D}(P) \cap \mathcal{D}(\log \Delta_a)$, and $u_s \Phi \in \mathcal{D}(P)$ for $s < -s_0$ and some s_0 , where $u_s = (D\omega : D\varphi)_s$ is the Connes-cocycle associated with $\mathcal{M}(a)$ and Φ, Ω . Then we have

$$\partial\bar{S}(a) = 2\pi \inf_{u \in \mathcal{M}(a)} (u\Phi, Pu\Phi) = 2\pi \lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} (u_s \Phi, Pu_s \Phi). \quad (33)$$

The infima in (32), (33) are over isometries u, u' in the respective algebras. See [23, Thm. 5.1, Cor. 5.5] and [14] for different proofs of the ant formulas (33), (32).

4 A bound related to the QNEC

The ant formula (33) is saturated by the sequence of *flowed states* $u_s \Phi, s \rightarrow -\infty$, where $u_s = (D\omega : D\varphi)_s$ is the Connes-cocycle for the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}(a)$. Consider the corresponding flowed state functional on $\mathcal{M}(a)$, given by

$$\varphi_s(m) := (u_s \Phi, m u_s \Phi) = (\Delta_{\Omega, a}^{is} \Phi, m \Delta_{\Omega, a}^{is} \Phi), \quad m \in \mathcal{M}(a), \quad (34)$$

where the second equality uses (12) and (11). Recall that $\Delta_{\Omega, a}$ is the modular operator for the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}(a)$ with respect to the vector Ω appearing in the definition of half-sided modular inclusion. We similarly define $\varphi'_s = (u'_s \Phi, \cdot u'_s \Phi)$, considered as a state functional on $\mathcal{M}(a)'$.

Our aim is to investigate φ_s , and φ'_s . To state our result, we introduce the linear operator $T : \mathcal{M}(a) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(a)$

$$T(m) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Delta_{\Omega, a}^{-it} m \Delta_{\Omega, a}^{it} \beta_0(t) dt, \quad m \in \mathcal{M}(a), \quad (35)$$

which defines a unital, completely positive map, i.e., a *quantum channel*, see e.g., [28] for further discussion of this notion. Here,

$$\beta_0(t) = \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{1}{\cosh(2\pi t) + 1}, \quad (36)$$

which is a probability density on \mathbb{R} . In a chiral CFT, T implements a weighted average, by the probability density $\beta_0(t)$, over dilations by the factor $e^{2\pi t}$ around the point a .

We also consider

$$\mathcal{M}(a, b) = \mathcal{M}(a) \cap \mathcal{M}(b)' \quad b > a. \quad (37)$$

In a chiral CFT, this is a local algebra, i.e., we have $\mathcal{M}(a, b) = \mathcal{A}([a, b])$, though for a general half-sided modular inclusion, these algebras may be trivial, or they may be non-trivial only for a sufficiently large $|a - b|$. The linear operator $R : \mathcal{M}(a, b) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(a, b)$

$$R(m) := J_{\Omega, \frac{a+b}{2}} m^* J_{\Omega, \frac{a+b}{2}}, \quad m \in \mathcal{M}(a, b), \quad (38)$$

defines a quantum channel. In a chiral CFT, it corresponds to a combined charge conjugation and reflection about the midpoint, $(a + b)/2$, of the interval (a, b) by the Bisognano-Wichmann theorem. Here, $J_{\Omega, c}$ is the modular conjugation corresponding to Ω with respect to $\mathcal{M}(c)$ for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose that for some $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $\partial S(a)$ exists, $\Phi \in \mathcal{D}(P) \cap \mathcal{D}(\log \Delta_a)$, that $u_s \Phi \in \mathcal{D}(P)$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$, and that $c\varphi \leq \omega \leq c^{-1}\varphi$ for some $c > 0$. Then the flowed state φ_s (34) satisfies*

$$S_{\text{meas}}(\varphi_s \| \varphi_s \circ T \circ R)_{\mathcal{M}(a,b)} \leq (a-b)\partial S(a) \cdot e^{2\pi s} + o[(b-a)e^{2\pi s}] \quad (39)$$

for $a, b, s \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $(b-a)e^{2\pi s} \rightarrow 0+$. Likewise, if $\partial \bar{S}(a)$ exists, $\Phi \in \mathcal{D}(P) \cap \mathcal{D}(\log \Delta'_a)$, $u'_s \Phi \in \mathcal{D}(P)$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$, and $c\varphi' \leq \omega' \leq c^{-1}\varphi'$ for some $c > 0$, then

$$S_{\text{meas}}(\varphi'_s \| \varphi'_s \circ T \circ R)_{\mathcal{M}(b,a)} \leq (a-b)\partial \bar{S}(a) \cdot e^{-2\pi s} + o[(a-b)e^{-2\pi s}] \quad (40)$$

for $a, b, s \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $(a-b)e^{-2\pi s} \rightarrow 0+$. In (39), (40), $o(x)$ denotes a function such that $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} o(x)/x = 0$.

Remark 4.2. We refer to the appendix of [22] for the construction of a wide class of states satisfying the assumptions of theorem 4.1.

Discussion of theorem 4.1. First we combine (40) with the lower bound (21) on the measured relative entropy, considering a, b such that $a-b = \ell$, where $0 < \ell$ is arbitrarily large but fixed. We consider $s > 0$, chosen so large that

$$\ell e^{-2\pi s} \lesssim 1, \quad (41)$$

in order that the $o(\ell e^{-2\pi s})$ term in

$$\left| \varphi'_s(m') - \varphi'_s(TR(m')) \right|^2 \leq -2\ell \partial S(a) e^{-2\pi s} + o(\ell e^{-2\pi s}), \quad (42)$$

is negligible. Here, $m' \in \mathcal{M}(b) \cap \mathcal{M}(a)' = \mathcal{M}(b, a)$ with norm $\|m'\| = 1$. In particular, let us consider the limit as $s \rightarrow \infty$. Then we learn that the norm of the functional $\varphi'_s - \varphi'_s \circ T \circ R$ on $\mathcal{M}(b, a)$ tends to zero when $s \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, for large s , φ'_s is approximately invariant under the combined action of R , a reflection about the midpoint of (a, b) (38), and T , the weighted averaging against the modular flow (35). The exact invariances under R, T for any fixed a, b characterize the vacuum state functional $\omega = (\Omega, \cdot \Omega)$ in a chiral CFT.

To interpret this, we notice that $\Phi'_s = \Delta_{\Omega, a}^{is} \Phi$ implementing φ'_s is the evolved state with respect to the modular dynamics. In a chiral CFT, this corresponds to a dilation by $e^{2\pi s}$ around a in view of the Bisognano-Wichmann theorem. Thus, for $s \rightarrow \infty$, the excitations in the state Φ relative to the vacuum get dilated away to infinity from the viewpoint of observables $m' \in \mathcal{M}(b, a)$.

As is well-known [25, Thm. 3], [27, Thms. A, B], there is a strong connection between the existence of ergodic group actions on von Neumann algebras and the type III_1 property in the Connes classification. This applies in particular to the setting of chiral CFT, where the modular flow $m' \mapsto \Delta_{\Omega, a}^{-is} m' \Delta_{\Omega, a}^{is} \equiv \sigma_{\Omega, a}^{it}(m')$ is ergodic, and the local algebras for finite intervals (a, b) are of type III_1 , giving that [31, Cor. 2.5]

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \varphi'_s(m') = \omega'(m') \|\Phi\|^2 \quad \forall m' \in \mathcal{M}(b, a). \quad (43)$$

Here, $\Phi \in \mathcal{H}$ is a pure state with associated state functional $\varphi' = (\Phi, \cdot \Phi)$, and Ω is the vacuum with associated state functional $\omega' = (\Omega, \cdot \Omega)$ on $\mathcal{M}(a)'$. Relation (43) is a more

direct way of stating and showing that excitations in the state Φ relative to the vacuum get dilated away from the viewpoint of $\mathcal{M}(b, a)$. It implies in view of $\omega' = \omega' \circ T \circ R$ that

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \left(\varphi'_s(m') - \varphi'_s(TR(m')) \right) = 0. \quad (44)$$

This is of course consistent with (42), but unlike (42) does not show exponential decay as $s \rightarrow \infty$.

Note at any rate, that theorem 4.1 and hence (42) holds for general half-sided modular inclusions, including ones for which the modular flow is not ergodic.

Next, we consider consequences of (40) for $s \rightarrow \infty$. Let a, b such that $b - a = \epsilon$, where $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$. Again, we consider $s > 0$ and large, but, due to the different sign of s in the exponentials compared to (39), now still small enough that

$$\epsilon e^{2\pi s} \lesssim 1, \quad (45)$$

in order that the $o(\epsilon e^{2\pi s})$ term in

$$\left| \varphi_s(m) - \varphi_s(TR(m)) \right|^2 \leq 2\epsilon \partial \bar{S}(a) e^{2\pi s} + o(\epsilon e^{2\pi s}) \quad (46)$$

be negligible. Here, $m \in \mathcal{M}(a, b)$ with $\|m\| = 1$.

In particular, let us choose $m \in \mathcal{M}(a, a + \epsilon/2)$, such that $R(m) \in \mathcal{M}(b - \epsilon/2, b)$, is a translate of m by the amount $\epsilon/2$, i.e., $R(m) = U(\epsilon/2)mU(\epsilon/2)^*$. For any $\xi, s_0 > 0$, we can find a smoothed out version ψ of the state φ , such that $\|\psi_s \circ T - \psi_s\| < \xi$ for all $s < s_0$, see lemma 4.3. Define

$$\delta_\epsilon m := m - U(\epsilon/2)mU(\epsilon/2)^*, \quad (47)$$

which is the difference between m and a small displacement by $O(\epsilon)$ of m . It follows that

$$|\psi_s(\delta_\epsilon m)| \leq 2\epsilon \partial \bar{S}(a) e^{2\pi s} + o(\epsilon e^{2\pi s}) + \xi. \quad (48)$$

This expresses a chaos bound, i.e. the expectation value of $\delta_\epsilon m$ in the evolved state ψ_s , grows exponentially at most at rate $e^{2\pi s}$ up to a small correction ξ specifying the smoothness of the initial state, ψ . In this sense, we can say that the Lyapunov exponent is $\leq 2\pi$.

Lemma 4.3. *Let φ be a state on $\mathcal{M}(a)$, and $\xi, s_0 > 0$. Then we can find n such that $\|\psi_s \circ T - \psi_s\| < \xi$ for all $s < s_0$, where $\psi = \varphi \circ T^n$.*

Proof. Using the Fourier transform $\widehat{\beta}_0(k)$ of $\beta_0(t)$ (36),

$$\widehat{\beta}_0(k) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{ikt} \beta_0(t) dt = \frac{k/2}{\sinh(k/2)}, \quad (49)$$

we can write T^n (35) as

$$T^n(m) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \Delta_{\Omega, a}^{-it} m \Delta_{\Omega, a}^{it} e^{-ikt} \left(\frac{k/2}{\sinh(k/2)} \right)^n dt dk, \quad m \in \mathcal{M}(a). \quad (50)$$

Thereby, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & |\psi_s \circ T(m) - \psi_s(m)| \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \varphi(\sigma_{\Omega,a}^t(m)) e^{-ik(t-s)} \left(\frac{k/2}{\sinh(k/2)} - 1 \right) \left(\frac{k/2}{\sinh(k/2)} \right)^n dt dk \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

The double integral can be estimated in an elementary way by $\leq C\|m\|\|\varphi\|(1+n^{-1}s^2)n^{-1/2}$, which yields the statement of the lemma by choosing n sufficiently large. \square

5 Proof of theorem 4.1

For simplicity of notation, we put $a = 0$ in this proof. This is not a loss of generality, since $(\mathcal{M}(a-1) \subset \mathcal{M}(a), \Omega)$ is isomorphic as a half-sided modular inclusion to $(\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{M}(-1) \subset \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}(0), \Omega)$. Consequently, we simply write Δ_Ω for $\Delta_{\Omega,a}$, etc.

The proof is partly based on an interpolation theory for non-commutative L_p -spaces and is similar in this respect to arguments presented in [21, Sec. 5.3], see also [24, 32] for related arguments. The weighted L_p -spaces relative to a fixed vector $\Phi \in \mathcal{H}$ that we use were introduced by Araki-Masuda [4]. For the case $p \geq 2$ needed in this proof, the space $L_p(\mathcal{M}, \Phi)$ for a von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M} is the linear subspace of \mathcal{H} defined by

$$L_p(\mathcal{M}, \Phi) = \left\{ \xi \in \bigcap_{\eta \in \mathcal{H}} \mathcal{D}(\Delta_{\eta, \Phi}^{(1/2)-(1/p)}) \mid \|\xi\|_{p, \Phi} < \infty \right\}. \quad (52)$$

The norm is

$$\|\xi\|_{p, \Phi} = \sup_{\|\eta\|=1} \left\| \Delta_{\eta, \Phi}^{(1/2)-(1/p)} \xi \right\|. \quad (53)$$

See also e.g., [7, 19] for further discussions of non-commutative L_p -spaces.

It is known [4] that the L_2 -norm is the ordinary norm on \mathcal{H} induced by the scalar product, $\|\xi\|_{2, \Phi} = \|\xi\| = \sqrt{(\xi, \xi)}$, while the L_∞ -norm is characterized by $\|m\Phi\|_{\infty, \Phi} = \|m\|$, for any $m \in \mathcal{M}$, where $\|m\| = \sup_{\|\xi\|=1} \|m\xi\|$ is the operator norm of m .

In the following we use the notation \mathbb{S}_I for a strip, where $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ is an interval:

$$\mathbb{S}_I = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \Im z \in I\}. \quad (54)$$

We require the following interpolation theorem [21]:

Lemma 5.1. *Let $0 < \theta < 1/2$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $G(z)$ is an \mathcal{H} -valued function holomorphic on the strip $\mathbb{S}_{(0,1/2)}$ that is bounded and weakly continuous on the closure $\mathbb{S}_{[0,1/2]}$ and such that*

$$\|G(i/(2n))\|_{n, \Phi}, \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|G(t)\|_{\infty, \Phi}, \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|G(t+i/2)\|_{2, \Phi} < \infty, \quad (55)$$

then we have

$$\ln \|G(i/(2n))\|_{n, \Phi} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\beta_{1-1/n}(t) \log \|G(t)\|_{\infty, \Phi}^{1-1/n} + \beta_{1/n}(t) \log \|G(t+i/2)\|_{2, \Phi}^{1/n} \right) dt,$$

where

$$\beta_\theta(t) = \frac{\sin(\pi\theta)}{2\theta[\cosh(2\pi t) + \cos(\pi\theta)]}. \quad (56)$$

We will exploit the consequences of this lemma for the \mathcal{H} -valued function

$$G(t) = e^{-ith}U(b)\Delta_{\Phi,\Omega}^{-it}U(-be^{-2\pi t})\Delta_{\Phi,\Omega}^{it}\Phi, \quad (57)$$

defined for a $h = h^* \in \mathcal{M}$ that we will chose later, $b \geq 0$, and at first for $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Lemma 5.2. *$G(z)$ can be extended to an \mathcal{H} -valued function holomorphic on the strip $\mathbb{S}_{(0,1/2)}$ that is bounded and weakly continuous on the closure $\mathbb{S}_{[0,1/2]}$.*

Proof. The statement can be proved by a repeated application of the following lemma to the factors in (57).

Lemma 5.3. *Suppose $F(z)$ is an \mathcal{H} -valued function which is analytic on $\mathbb{S}_{(0,1/2)}$ and bounded and weakly continuous on the closure $\mathbb{S}_{[0,1/2]}$. Let $A(z), z \in \mathbb{S}_{(0,1/2)}$ be a family of operators with common dense domain \mathcal{D} such that $A(z)\eta$ is holomorphic on $\mathbb{S}_{(0,1/2)}$, and strongly continuous on $\mathbb{S}_{[0,1/2]}$ for $\eta \in \mathcal{D}$, and such that $C_0 := \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|A(t)F(t)\|, C_1 := \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|A(t+i/2)F(t+i/2)\|$ are finite. Then $A(z)F(z)$ is an analytic function of $\mathbb{S}_{(0,1/2)}$, which is bounded and weakly continuous on the closure $\mathbb{S}_{[0,1/2]}$.*

As stated, the lemma is a modest generalization of [21, Lem. 3], which in turn is a slight generalization of [13, Lem. 2.1]. Since the differences in the proof are so minor, it is omitted.

To check the assumptions of lemma 5.3 in each factor of (57), we can use the well-known analyticity and continuity properties of relative modular operator $A(z) := \Delta_{\Phi,\Omega}^{-iz}$ when applied to vectors in the domain $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}(\Delta_{\Phi,\Omega}^{1/2})$ and of $A(z) := \Delta_{\Phi,\Omega}^{iz} = \Delta_{\Omega,\Phi}^{-iz}$ when applied to vectors in the domain $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}(\Delta_{\Omega,\Phi}^{1/2})$ for $z \in \mathbb{S}_{(0,1/2)}$. We also use the fact that $\Im(-be^{-2\pi z}) \geq 0$ for $z \in \mathbb{S}_{[0,1/2]}$, so that the operator $P \geq 0$ in $A(z) := U(-be^{-2\pi z}) = \exp[i(-be^{-2\pi z})P]$ provides an exponential damping that causes $A(z)$ to satisfy the analyticity and continuity assumptions of Lemma 5.3. \square

Having extended $G(z)$ so that it satisfies the assumptions of lemma 5.1, we first evaluate:

$$\|G(t)\|_{\infty,\Phi} = \|e^{-ith}g(t,b)\Phi\|_{\infty,\Phi} = \|e^{-ith}g(t,b)\| \leq 1, \quad (58)$$

where

$$g(t,b) = U(b)\Delta_{\Phi,\Omega}^{-it}U(-be^{-2\pi t})\Delta_{\Phi,\Omega}^{it}\Phi. \quad (59)$$

In the second equality in (58), we used that $g(t,b)$ is from \mathcal{M} , as one can see [23] by commuting this operator with $m' \in \mathcal{M}'$ and using the relations of half-sided modular inclusions.

In order to find an appropriate expression for $\|G(i/(2n))\|_{n,\Phi}$ valid for large n , we first make use of the relations for half-sided modular inclusions to obtain the alternative expressions

$$\begin{aligned} G(t) &= e^{-ith}U(b)\Delta_{\Phi,\Omega}^{-it}\Delta_{\Omega}^{it}U(-b)\Delta_{\Omega}^{-it}\Delta_{\Phi,\Omega}^{it}\Phi \\ &= e^{-ith}U(b)u_{-t}^{-1}U(-b)u_{-t}\Phi \\ &= u'e^{-ith}U(b)\Delta_{\Phi,\Omega}^{-it}\Delta_{\Omega}^{-it}U(-b)\Delta_{\Omega}^{-it}\Delta_{\Omega,\Phi}^{-it}\widehat{\Phi}. \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

Here $\widehat{\Phi} \in \mathcal{P}_\Omega^\sharp$ is the representer of Φ in the natural cone with respect to \mathcal{M}, Ω , and $u' \in \mathcal{M}'$ is an isometry such that $u'\widehat{\Phi} = \Phi$ [4]. In the second line in (60), $u_t = (D\omega : D\varphi)_t$ is the Connes-cocycle (12). By well-known results, see e.g., [16, Lem. 5], the family u_z can be extended to a holomorphic function of $z \in \mathbb{S}_{(-1/2, 1/2)}$, if (and only if) $c\varphi \leq \omega \leq c^{-1}\varphi$ for some $c > 0$, as we are assuming. We therefore have a Taylor series

$$u_z = 1 + ikz + O(z^2) \in \mathcal{M} \quad (61)$$

for sufficiently small $|z|$, where $\|O(z^2)\| \leq C|z|^2$ and where $k = \Delta_\Omega - \Delta_{\Phi, \Omega}$ is a self-adjoint element of \mathcal{M} . Using the penultimate representation in (60), we may thereby write

$$G(i/(2n)) = e^{h/(2n)} \left[1 + \frac{U(b)kU(-b) - k}{2n} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right) \right] \Phi =: a_n \Phi, \quad (62)$$

where the last equality defines $a_n \in \mathcal{M}$. When taking the L_n -norm of this expression, we first use a lemma, which has exactly the same proof as [21, Lem. 6].

Lemma 5.4. *Let $n \in 4\mathbb{N}$, $m \in \mathcal{M}$. Then $\|m\Phi\|_{n, \Phi}^n = \|(m\Delta_\Phi^{2/n} m^*)^{n/4} \Phi\|^2$.*

Applying this lemma, we have

$$\|G(i/(2n))\|_{n, \Phi}^n = \|a_n \Phi\|_{n, \Phi}^n = \left\| (a_n \Delta_\Phi^{2/n} a_n^*)^{n/4} \Phi \right\|^2. \quad (63)$$

Furthermore, by the same proof as for [21, Lem. 7], we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|G(i/(2n))\|_{n, \Phi}^n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| (a_n \Delta_\Phi^{2/n} a_n^*)^{n/4} \Phi \right\|^2 = \|\Phi^{h/2 - k_b/2}\|^2, \quad (64)$$

with $k_b := U(b)kU(-b) - k$, see (61), (62). Here, $\Phi^x \in \mathcal{H}$ is defined for any self-adjoint element $x \in \mathcal{M}$ by (18). Combining (64), (58) with lemma 5.1 therefore gives

$$\log \|\Phi^{h/2 - k_b/2}\|^2 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \beta_0(t) \log \|G(t + i/2)\| dt. \quad (65)$$

We still require an expression for $\|G(t + i/2)\|$. This can be obtained from the last expression in (60), using the standard relations and analyticity properties of modular operators, as well

as $J_{\widehat{\Phi},\Omega} = J_{\Omega,\widehat{\Phi}} = J_{\Omega}$ for vectors $\widehat{\Phi}$ in the natural cone [4]. We find

$$\begin{aligned}
\|G(t + i/2)\| &= \left\| e^{h/2} U(b) \Delta_{\widehat{\Phi},\Omega}^{-it+1/2} \Delta_{\Omega}^{\prime-it+1/2} U(-b) \Delta_{\Omega}^{-it+1/2} \Delta_{\Omega,\widehat{\Phi}}^{\prime-it+1/2} \widehat{\Phi} \right\| \\
&= \left\| e^{h/2} U(b) \Delta_{\widehat{\Phi},\Omega}^{-it+1/2} \Delta_{\Omega}^{\prime-it+1/2} U(-b) J_{\Omega} S_{\Omega} \Delta_{\Omega}^{-it} \Delta_{\widehat{\Phi},\Omega}^{it} \Omega \right\| \\
&= \left\| e^{h/2} U(b) \Delta_{\widehat{\Phi},\Omega}^{-it+1/2} \Delta_{\Omega}^{\prime-it+1/2} U(-b) J_{\Omega} S_{\Omega} u_{-t} \Omega \right\| \\
&= \left\| e^{h/2} U(b) \Delta_{\widehat{\Phi},\Omega}^{-it+1/2} \Delta_{\Omega}^{\prime-it+1/2} U(-b) J_{\Omega} u_{-t}^* \Omega \right\| \\
&= \left\| J_{\Omega} u_{-t}^* J_{\Omega} e^{h/2} U(b) \Delta_{\widehat{\Phi},\Omega}^{-it+1/2} \Delta_{\Omega}^{\prime-it+1/2} U(-b) \Omega \right\| \\
&= \left\| e^{h/2} U(b) \Delta_{\widehat{\Phi},\Omega}^{-it+1/2} \Omega \right\| \\
&= \left\| e^{h/2} U(b) \Delta_{\Phi,\Omega}^{-it} J_{\Phi,\Omega}^* S_{\Phi,\Omega} \Omega \right\| \\
&= \left\| e^{h/2} U(b) J_{\Phi,\Omega}^* \Delta_{\Omega,\Phi}^{-it} \Phi \right\| \\
&= \left\| e^{h/2} U(b) J_{\Omega,\Phi} \Delta_{\Omega,\Phi}^{-it} \Delta_{\Phi}^{it} \Phi \right\| \\
&= \left\| e^{h/2} U(b) J_{\Omega,\Phi} u_{-t} \Phi \right\|
\end{aligned} \tag{66}$$

Equation (65) thereby gives us

$$\begin{aligned}
2 \log \|\Phi^{h/2-k_b/2}\|^2 &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \beta_0(t) \log \left\| e^{h/2} U(b) J_{\Omega,\Phi} u_{-t} \Phi \right\|^2 dt \\
&\leq \log \int_{\mathbb{R}} \beta_0(t) \left\| e^{h/2} U(b) J_{\Omega,\Phi} u_t \Phi \right\|^2 dt
\end{aligned} \tag{67}$$

using Jensen's inequality and $\beta_0(t) = \beta_0(-t)$ in the second step. This relation is the basis for the next proposition:

Proposition 5.5. *Assume $b \geq 0$, and $c\varphi \leq \omega \leq c^{-1}\varphi$ for some $c > 0$. Then*

$$\left(\Phi, \left\{ -U(b) \log \Delta_{\Phi,\Omega} U(-b) + \log \Delta_{\Phi,\Omega} + 2\pi b P \right\} \Phi \right) \geq S_{\text{meas}}(\varphi \|\varphi_b)_{\mathcal{M}}, \tag{68}$$

which uses, setting $\alpha_b(m) = U(-b)mU(b)$,

$$\varphi_b(m) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \beta_0(t) (J_{\Omega,\Phi} u_t \Phi, \alpha_b(m) J_{\Omega,\Phi} u_t \Phi) dt, \quad m \in \mathcal{M}. \tag{69}$$

Proof. We take minus of inequality (67) and then add $\varphi(h)$ to both sides. Using also the chain rule $(\Phi^x)^y = \Phi^{x+y}$ [28, Thm. 12.10], we obtain

$$2 \left[\varphi(h/2) - \log \|(\Phi^{-k_b/2})^{h/2}\|^2 \right] \geq \varphi(h) - \log \varphi_b(e^h). \tag{70}$$

We next take the supremum over all self-adjoint elements $h \in \mathcal{M}$, and use the variational formula (23), as well as the variational formula (17). This shows

$$2S(\Phi \|\Phi^{-k_b/2})_{\mathcal{M}} \geq S_{\text{meas}}(\varphi \|\varphi_b)_{\mathcal{M}}. \tag{71}$$

Finally, we use $S(\Phi\|\Phi^x) = -(\Phi, x\Phi)$ [3, Thm. 3.10], so

$$(\Phi, k_b\Phi) \geq S_{\text{meas}}(\varphi\|\varphi_b)_{\mathcal{M}}. \quad (72)$$

Recall our formulas for k_b , that is $k = \Delta_\Omega - \Delta_{\Phi, \Omega}$ and $k_b := U(b)kU(-b) - k$. These formulas, our domain assumptions, and the relations for half-sided modular inclusions which imply that $U(b)\log\Delta_\Omega U(-b) = 2\pi bP$, give

$$\begin{aligned} (\Phi, k_b\Phi) &= (\Phi, \{U(b)kU(-b) - k\}\Phi) \\ &= (\Phi, \{U(b)(\log\Delta_\Omega - \log\Delta_{\Phi, \Omega})U(-b) - (\log\Delta_\Omega - \log\Delta_{\Phi, \Omega})\}\Phi) \\ &= (\Phi, \{-U(b)\log\Delta_{\Phi, \Omega}U(-b) + \log\Delta_{\Phi, \Omega} + 2\pi bP\}\Phi), \end{aligned} \quad (73)$$

proving the proposition. \square

When $m \in \mathcal{M}(0, b)$, the formula (69) for $\varphi_b(m)$ simplifies, since

$$\begin{aligned} &(J_{\Omega, \Phi}u_t\Phi, \alpha_b(m)J_{\Omega, \Phi}u_t\Phi) \\ &= (J_\Omega v'_{\Omega, \Phi}\Delta_{\Omega, \Phi}^{it}\Phi, \alpha_b(m)J_{\Omega, \Phi}v'_{\Omega, \Phi}\Delta_{\Omega, \Phi}^{it}\Phi) \\ &= (v'_{\Omega, \Phi}\Delta_{\Omega, \Phi}^{it}\Phi, J_\Omega\alpha_b(m^*)J_\Omega v'_{\Omega, \Phi}\Delta_{\Omega, \Phi}^{it}\Phi) \\ &= (v'_{\Omega, \Phi}\Delta_{\Omega, \Phi}^{it}\Phi, J_\Omega U(b)^*m^*U(b)J_\Omega v'_{\Omega, \Phi}\Delta_{\Omega, \Phi}^{it}\Phi) \\ &= (v'_{\Omega, \Phi}\Delta_{\Omega, \Phi}^{it}\Phi, J_{\Omega, b/2}m^*J_{\Omega, b/2}v'_{\Omega, \Phi}\Delta_{\Omega, \Phi}^{it}\Phi) \\ &= (\Delta_{\Omega, \Phi}^{it}\Phi, J_{\Omega, b/2}m^*J_{\Omega, b/2}\Delta_{\Omega, \Phi}^{it}\Phi) \\ &= (\Phi, \Delta_\Omega^{-it}J_{\Omega, b/2}m^*J_{\Omega, b/2}\Delta_\Omega^{it}\Phi). \end{aligned} \quad (74)$$

Here we used that, by the relations for half-sided modular inclusions, $U(b)J_\Omega = J_{\Omega, b/2}$ is the reflection about the midpoint. Since this reflection leaves $\mathcal{M}(0, b)$ invariant, we get $x := J_{\Omega, b/2}m^*J_{\Omega, b/2} \in \mathcal{M}(0, b)$, which commutes with the isometry $v'_{\Omega, \Phi} := J_\Omega J_{\Omega, \Phi} \in \mathcal{M}'$, and satisfies $\Delta_{\Omega, \Phi}^{-it}x\Delta_{\Omega, \Phi}^{it} = \Delta_\Omega^{-it}x\Delta_\Omega^{it}$ by relative modular theory.

Using the definitions of T, R in the statement of the theorem and (74) we get $\varphi_b(m) = \varphi(T \circ R(m))$ for all $m \in \mathcal{M}(0, b)$. The monotonicity of the measured relative entropy and proposition 5.5 therefore yield

$$(\Phi, \{-U(b)\log\Delta_{\Phi, \Omega}U(-b) + \log\Delta_{\Phi, \Omega} + 2\pi bP\}\Phi) \geq S_{\text{meas}}(\varphi\|\varphi \circ T \circ R)_{\mathcal{M}(0, b)}. \quad (75)$$

This inequality also holds for Φ replaced by $u_s\Phi$, because $c^{-1}\varphi_s \leq \omega \leq c\varphi_s$ by the invariance of ω under the modular flow, using the notation $\varphi_s = (u_s\Phi, \cdot u_s\Phi)$ as in the statement of the theorem (for $a = 0$). The other assumptions of the theorem also still hold for $u_s\Phi$, see [23, Lem. 5.3]. Having made the replacement, we evaluate the left side using the identity obtained by applying $\partial_t \cdot |_{t=0}$ to the following equation [23, Proof of lem. 5.4]:

$$(u_s\Phi, U(b)\Delta_{u_s\Phi, \Omega}^{-it}U(-be^{-2\pi t})\Delta_{u_s\Phi, \Omega}^{it}u_s\Phi) = (\Phi, U(be^{2\pi s})\Delta_{\Phi, \Omega}^{-it}U(-be^{-2\pi(t-s)})\Delta_{\Phi, \Omega}^{it}\Phi). \quad (76)$$

The equation (75) thereby yields

$$\begin{aligned} &(\Phi, \{-U(be^{2\pi s})\log\Delta_{\Phi, \Omega}U(-be^{2\pi s}) + \log\Delta_{\Phi, \Omega} + 2\pi be^{2\pi s}P\}\Phi) \\ &\geq S_{\text{meas}}(\varphi_s\|\varphi_s \circ T \circ R)_{\mathcal{M}(0, b)}. \end{aligned} \quad (77)$$

The domain assumptions of the theorem 4.1 imply that derivative with respect to $x := be^{2\pi s}$ of the expression on the left side of (77) exists, meaning that

$$\begin{aligned} x\partial_x\left(\Phi, \left\{-U(x)\log\Delta_{\Phi,\Omega}U(-x) + \log\Delta_{\Phi,\Omega} + 2\pi xP\right\}\Phi\right)_{x=0} + o(x) \\ \geq S_{\text{meas}}(\varphi_s\|\varphi_s \circ T \circ R)_{\mathcal{M}(0,b)}. \end{aligned} \quad (78)$$

(Recall that $o(x)$ denotes a function such that $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} o(x)/x = 0$.) By [22, prop. 4.5], the derivative term on the left side of (78) is equal to $2\pi(\Phi, P\Phi) - \partial\bar{S}(0)$. This proves

$$S_{\text{meas}}(\varphi_s\|\varphi_s \circ T \circ R)_{\mathcal{M}(0,b)} \leq \left[2\pi(\Phi, P\Phi) - \partial\bar{S}(0)\right]be^{2\pi s} + o(be^{2\pi s}) \quad (79)$$

for $be^{2\pi s} \rightarrow 0+$. Finally, we use the sum rule (28) for the term in square brackets. This gives the statement of the theorem 4.1.

6 Outlook

We end this note speculating about the possible significance of the states $\Phi_s = u'_s\Phi$ saturating the ant bound (32) as $s \rightarrow \infty$. Since the ant bound implies the QNEC in the formulation by [14], $\partial^2 S(a) \geq 0$, these states would also give an explicit error term in the QNEC when written more explicitly in terms of the expectation value of the stress tensor and the entanglement entropy [10, 35].

In [12], an interesting interpretation of these states was proposed in the context of holography. We think it would also be interesting to understand them better directly in the context of semi-classical gravity. E.g., one could have in mind a state Φ solving the semi-classical Einstein equations, describing a geometry representing a spherically symmetric collapsing null shell made up of a null-fluid, whose interior is a (perturbation of) Minkowski spacetime and whose exterior is a (perturbation of) Schwarzschild spacetime. One might then expect that Φ_s approaches a state equal to the Minkowski vacuum inside the shell and a (perturbation of) Schwarzschild spacetime outside. Expectation values of stress tensors spacetimes representing a null shell with Minkowskian interior and Schwarzschild exterior have recently been computed in [29].

Acknowledgements. I warmly thank Roberto Longo for discussions.

Data availability. Data sharing not applicable to this article as no data sets were generated or analysed during the current study.

Declarations

Conflict of interest. The author has no relevant financial or non-financial interests to disclose.

References

- [1] H. ARAKI, *Expansionals in Banach algebras*, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. 6 , 67 (1973)
- [2] H. ARAKI, *Relative entropy of states of von Neumann algebras*, Publ. RIMS Kyoto Univ. 11, 809–833 (1976)

- [3] H. ARAKI, *Relative entropy of states of von Neumann algebras. II*, Publ. RIMS Kyoto Univ. 13, 173–192 (1977)
- [4] H. ARAKI, T. MASUDA, *Positive Cones and L_p -Spaces for von Neumann Algebras*, Publ. RIMS Kyoto Univ. 18, 339-411 (1982)
- [5] H. ARAKI, *Golden-Thompson and Peierls-Bogliubov inequalities for a general von Neumann algebra*, Commun. Math. Phys. 34, 167-178 (1973)
- [6] H. ARAKI AND L. ZSIDO, *Extension of the structure theorem of Borchers and its application to half-sided modular inclusions*, Rev. Math. Phys. 17, 491–543 (2005)
- [7] M. BERTA, V. B. SCHOLZ AND M. TOMAMICHEL, *Renyi Divergences as Weighted Non-commutative Vector-Valued L_p -Spaces*, Ann. H. Poincare 19, no. 6, 1843 (2018)
- [8] H. J. BORCHERS, *The CPT-theorem in two-dimensional theories of local observables*, Commun. Math. Phys. 143, 315–332 (1992)
- [9] H. J. BORCHERS, *Half-sided modular inclusions and the construction of the Poincaré group*, Commun. Math. Phys. 179 , 703–723 (1996)
- [10] R. BOUSSO, Z. FISHER, S. LEICHENAUER AND A. C. WALL, *Quantum focusing conjecture*, Phys. Rev. D 93, 064044 (2016)
- [11] R. BOUSSO, Z. FISHER, J. KOELLER, S. LEICHENAUER AND A. C. WALL, *Proof of the Quantum Null Energy Condition*, Phys. Rev. D 93, 024017 (2016)
- [12] R. BOUSSO, V. CHANDRASEKARAN, P. RATH AND A. SHAHBAZI-MOGHADDAM, *Gravity dual of Connes cocycle flow*, Phys. Rev. D 102, 066008 (2020)
- [13] C. CECCHINI AND D. PETZ, *State extensions and a Radon-Nikodym theorem for conditional expectations on von Neumann algebras*, Pac. J. Math 138 (1989)
- [14] F. CEYHAN AND T. FAULKNER, *Recovering the QNEC from the ANEC*, Commun. Math. Phys. 377, no.2, 999-1045 (2020)
- [15] A. CONNES, *Une classification des facteurs de type III*, Ann. Sci. de l'École Normale Supérieure, 6. No. 2. (1973)
- [16] T. FAULKNER, S. HOLLANDS, *Approximate recoverability and relative entropy II: 2-positive channels of general von Neumann algebras*, Lett. Math. Phys. 112, no.2, 26 (2022)
- [17] M. FLORIG, *On Borchers' Theorem*, Lett. Math. Phys. 46, 289–293 (1998)
- [18] R. HAAG, *Local quantum physics: Fields, particles, algebras*, Springer (1992)
- [19] F. HIAI, *Quantum f -divergences in von Neumann algebras. Reversibility of quantum operations*, Springer (2021)
- [20] S. HOLLANDS AND R. M. WALD, *Quantum fields in curved spacetime*, Phys. Rept. 574, 1-35 (2015)

- [21] S. HOLLANDS, *Trace- and improved data-processing inequalities for von Neumann algebras*, Publ. RIMS Kyoto Univ. 59, no.4, 687-729 (2023)
- [22] S. HOLLANDS, R. LONGO, *Bekenstein Bound for Approximately Local Charged States*, arXiv:2501.03849
- [23] S. HOLLANDS, R. LONGO, *A New Proof of the QNEC*, arXiv:2503.05132
- [24] M. JUNGE AND N. LARACUENTE, *Multivariate Trace Inequalities, p -Fidelity, and Universal Recovery Beyond Tracial Settings*, J. Math. Phys. 63, no. 12 (2022)
- [25] R. LONGO, *Notes on Algebraic Invariants for Non-commutative Dynamical Systems*, Commun. Math. Phys. 69, 195207 (1979)
- [26] J. MALDACENA, S. H. SHENKER AND D. STANFORD, *A bound on chaos*, JHEP **08**, 106 (2016)
- [27] A. MARRAKCHI AND S. VAES, *Ergodic states on type III_1 factors and ergodic actions*, Crelles Journal 2024.809, 247-260 (2024)
- [28] M. OHYA, D. PETZ, *Quantum entropy and its use*, Texts and Monographs in Physics, Springer (1993)
- [29] A. ORI AND N. ZILBERMAN, *Computation of the semiclassical outflux emerging from a collapsing spherical null shell*, arXiv:2503.00622
- [30] D. PETZ, *A variational expression for the relative entropy*, Commun. Math. Phys. 114, 345-349 (1988)
- [31] J. E. ROBERTS, *Some applications of dilatation invariance to structural questions in the theory of local observables*, Commun. Math. Phys. 37, 273 (1974)
- [32] D. SUTTER, M. BERTA, M. TOMAMICHEL, *Multivariate trace inequalities*, Commun. Math. Phys. 352, 37-58 (2017)
- [33] A. UHLMANN, *Relative entropy and the Wigner-Yanase-Dyson-Lieb concavity in an interpolation theory*, Commun. Math. Phys. 54, 21-32 (1977)
- [34] W. G. UNRUH, *Notes on black-hole evaporation*, Phys. Rev. D 14, 870–892 (1976)
- [35] A. C. WALL, *Lower Bound on the Energy Density in Classical and Quantum Field Theories*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 118, 151601 (2017)
- [36] H.-W. WIESBROCK, *Half-sided modular inclusions of von Neumann algebras*, Commun. Math. Phys. 157, 83–92 (1993) [erratum: Commun. Math. Phys. 184, 683–685 (1997)]