
 →  **Vision-to-Music Generation: A Survey**

Zhaokai Wang¹, Chenxi Bao², Le Zhuo³, Jingrui Han⁴,
Yang Yue⁵, Yihong Tang⁶, Victor Shea-Jay Huang², Yue Liao^{7†}

¹Shanghai Jiao Tong University ²Music Tech Lab, DynamiX
³Shanghai AI Laboratory ⁴Beijing Film Academy ⁵Tsinghua University
⁶McGill University ⁷The Chinese University of Hong Kong

wangzhaokai@sjtu.edu.cn {clou dingcxb17, zhuole1025, liaoyue.ai}@gmail.com

Abstract

Vision-to-music Generation, including video-to-music and image-to-music tasks, is a significant branch of multimodal artificial intelligence demonstrating vast application prospects in fields such as film scoring, short video creation, and dance music synthesis. However, compared to the rapid development of modalities like text and images, research in vision-to-music is still in its preliminary stage due to its complex internal structure and the difficulty of modeling dynamic relationships with video. Existing surveys focus on general music generation without comprehensive discussion on vision-to-music. In this paper, we systematically review the research progress in the field of vision-to-music generation. We first analyze the technical characteristics and core challenges for three input types: general videos, human movement videos, and images, as well as two output types of symbolic music and audio music. We then summarize the existing methodologies on vision-to-music generation from the architecture perspective. A detailed review of common datasets and evaluation metrics is provided. Finally, we discuss current challenges and promising directions for future research. We hope our survey can inspire further innovation in vision-to-music generation and the broader field of multimodal generation in academic research and industrial applications. To follow latest works and foster further innovation in this field, we are continuously maintaining a GitHub repository at <https://github.com/wzk1015/Awesome-Vision-to-Music-Generation>.

1 Introduction

Recent advances in multimodal artificial intelligence have witnessed substantial progress in generating and understanding content for modalities like text, images, video, and speech [2, 122, 65, 105, 40]. Music generation, as an important part of this multimodal ecosystem, has also seen remarkable development. Among the various music generation tasks (*e.g.* unconditional music generation [8, 34] and text-to-music generation [5, 16]), **vision-to-music**, including **video-to-music** and **image-to-music generation**, has garnered particular interest due to its practical applications in film scoring, short video platforms, and music accompaniment. For general users, automatically generated background music can alleviate copyright concerns and reduce the time spent searching for suitable music. For professional composers, AI-assisted music composition can streamline the iterative process of matching a score to visual content, expediting the communication cycle with directors and producers.

Despite this demand, the development of vision-to-music remains relatively preliminary. For academic research, the inherent challenges ranging from aligning rich visual cues with musical structure to handling the multifaceted nature of music generation contribute to the task’s high complexity, making it more difficult than

[†]Corresponding author.




Figure 1: Timeline of representative works in vision-to-music generation.

the common text-to-music task [16, 5, 22]. For instance, Videos and music may not have a one-to-one relationship. In fact, a single video can even correspond to various emotions and different styles of music, which depends on the creator’s intentions and the subjective feelings of the audience. This correspondence is more complex compared to traditional multimodal generation tasks such as image captioning and text-to-image generation. Although a growing number of works have emerged in recent years [17, 84, 133, 62, 96, 112], they are still far from meeting the diverse requirements of real-world scenarios.

For industrial integration, while other AI-generated content (AIGC) fields, such as text-to-image [20, 132, 11] and text-to-video [74, 43], have experienced rapid adoption in both professional and consumer contexts, vision-to-music systems have yet to see broad industrial deployment, with only pilot products like Tianpuyue AI¹. The unique demands of film scoring, which often require precise emotional and temporal synchronization with visual storytelling, heighten the difficulty of achieving robust and artistically consistent results through AI methods.

Although some existing works provide reviews on general music generation [66, 38, 37, 29, 131], but there lack surveys focusing on the vision-to-music generation task. Given the above gaps, we aim to provide a comprehensive survey on vision-to-music generation. We provide a timeline of representative works in Fig. 1, and an overview of vision-to-music generation in Fig. 2.

The subsequent sections of this paper are organized as follows: Sec. 2 introduces the fundamentals of vision-to-music generation, analyzing the technical characteristics and core challenges of three major scenarios: general videos, human movement videos, and images. Sec. 3 reviews current vision-to-music methods, comparing innovations and limitations in vision encoding, vision-music projection, and music generation module design. Sec. 4 discusses recent vision-to-music datasets. Sec. 5 introduces evaluation metrics, categorized their purposes (music-only and vision-music correspondence) and approaches (objective and subjective). Sec. 6 discusses the current research status and existing challenges.

Through this work, we aspire to inspire further innovation in vision-to-music generation and the broader field of AI music generation and multimodal learning communities, driving progress in both academic research and industrial applications of vision-to-music generation. In addition to the survey, we have established a GitHub repository with latest papers in this field at <https://github.com/wzk1015/Awesome-Vision-to-Music-Generation>. We will actively maintain it and incorporate new research as it emerges.

¹<https://www.tianpuyue.cn/video2music>




Figure 2: Overview of vision-to-music generation.

2 Fundamentals

In the broad multimodal research community [2, 12, 90, 121, 107, 35, 43], music is often treated as a subset of audio [8, 60, 74, 64, 119, 92, 109]. However, unlike general audio which may include background noise, speech, or sound effects, music embodies intricate internal structures and richness of information, including harmony, counterpoint, and instrumentation. These complexities make it essential to consider music as an independent modality, which sets the stage for exploring vision-to-music generation.

When delving into this specific area, we first need to recognize the unique relationship between visual input and musical output. We analyze the characteristics of three input types in vision-to-music generation: *general videos*, *human movement videos*, and *images*, and two output types: *symbolic music* and *audio music*. This categorization helps us better understand the current state and challenges of the field.

2.1 Input Types

Vision-to-music can be divided into three input types, which affect the nature of the approaches employed.

General Videos. This category includes methods that take general video inputs and generate symbolic or audio music outputs. This includes a wide range of video contents, such as natural landscapes, films, sports, animations, etc. Techniques in this category typically focus on extracting features like motion, color, or visual semantics to create music that aligns with the visual narrative. However, most general video-to-music approaches do not clearly distinguish between various application scenarios, and they overlook the differences in compositional logic across different contexts. For instance, soundtracks for short videos require a very stable structure, whereas film scoring tends to have a looser and more free-form structure. Low quality video-to-music data is abundant and easy to collect, but the sources are very diverse with uneven quality, lacking effective categorization and alignment.

Images. Approaches in this domain focus on transforming static images into music. Since images lack temporal semantics and rhythm, these methods usually only need to focus on the overall style, and there is no strict requirement for the duration of the generated music. The application scenarios for image-to-music are not as extensive as video-to-music, but they include functionalities like creating musical memories for photo albums. This type of pair data is easy to collect, but its inherent correlation may not be very strong.

Human Movement Videos. These videos typically include dance, sports, instrument performances, and other human movements. For instrument performance videos, where humans play music instruments but the audio is removed, the music is determined by the input video to some extent, and the generation process is similar to reconstructing music from the silent videos. For dance, sports, and other human movements, they emphasize rhythmic alignment (especially local rhythm) more than general videos, while semantic constraints are generally weaker, requiring only overall style matching. Therefore, extracted 2D/3D keypoints representing human motion are often directly used as inputs instead of raw videos.

The differences among these tasks have not been fully explored by the current machine learning community. For instance, most works do not differentiate between film scoring and other types of music composing (both falling under general video-to-music), even though they have essential distinctions. From the perspective of the music community, film scoring has the following characteristics: Film scoring goes beyond merely matching a visual’s style or mood. It is deeply intertwined with narrative structure and character development. Unlike tasks such as recovering music from instrumental performance videos or generating music for dance videos, which primarily focus on rhythm, synchronization, or aesthetic alignment, film scoring demands that the music not only mirror the emotional and visual cues of a scene but also advance the storytelling. This involves a highly collaborative, iterative process with directors and editors to ensure that musical motifs (*leitmotifs*), dynamic transitions, and thematic progressions accurately reflect the unfolding narrative and subtext.

Besides, a major difference among the three input types lies in the rhythmic relationships: the rhythm of general videos is jointly determined by the semantic content of the images (such as whether the scene is intense and exciting), the amplitude of movements, scene transitions, etc. For human movement, the music rhythm generally has a direct correspondence with human body movements. As for images, they usually do not include a rhythm relationship or only provide a very implicit global rhythm based on the semantic content of the images. In addition, the length of a video determines the length of the corresponding music, while an image places no restrictions on the length of the music.

In the remaining sections, we will mainly focus on general videos and images, while paying relatively less attention to human movement videos. This is because their semantic association with music is not strong, and they often directly use 2D body keypoints as video features, which is discussed in Sec. 3. Their application scenarios are also relatively limited.

2.2 Output Types

From the perspective of music modality, there are two primary types of music to consider:

Symbolic Music. Symbolic music is represented as discrete elements like notes, chords, or sequences of musical symbols [38]. Most early vision-to-music methods are symbolic [17, 133, 41, 56]. Symbolic music can incorporate music theory, such as chords, and generate longer pieces with good controllability. However, the limited data availability restricts its scalability to large models, and the expressive and emotional depth is constrained by soundfonts. This is similar to why end-to-end speech synthesis is preferred over generating text and then converting it to audio.

Audio Music. Such methods aim to generate music in its audio form [84, 62, 96, 53, 55, 103, 134, 112], often employing generative models such as transformers [101], VAEs, GANs [27], or diffusion models [31] to synthesize realistic sound from the visual input. Audio music benefits from large-scale datasets for training, enabling end-to-end generation with rich expressiveness and performance. However, audio music lacks controllability, and the generated music is typically shorter (usually under 20 seconds) due to sampling rate limitations.

We provide further discussion on the trade-off between symbolic and audio music in Sec. 6.

3 Methods

In this section, we discuss the existing works on vision-to-music generation. We begin by categorizing the methods based on the task classifications as outlined in Sec. 2. Subsequently, we analyze these methods from perspectives such as architecture and vision-music relationships. We summarize vision-to-music generation methods in Tab. 1.

Table 1: Methods for vision-to-music generation. Sem: Semantics. Rhy: Rhythm. AR: Auto-regressive. Diff.: Diffusion.

Method	Date	Input Type	Modality	Music Length	Vision-Music Relationships	Vision Encoding	Vision-Music Projection	Music Generation
▼ General Videos and Images:								
CMT [17]	2021/11	General Video	Symbolic	3min	Rhy	-	Elements	AR (CP [34])
V-MusProd [133]	2022/11	General Video	Symbolic	6min	Sem, Rhy	CLIP2Video [23], Histogram [3]	Feature	AR (CP [34])
V2Meow [84]	2023/05	General Video	Audio	10sec	Sem, Rhy	CLIP [76], I3D Flow [9], ViT-VQGAN [118]	Feature	AR
MuMu-LLaMA [61] (M ² UGen [62])	2023/11	General Video, Image	Audio	30sec	Sem	ViT [18], ViViT [7]	Adapter	AR (LLaMA2 [98])
Video2Music [41]	2023/11	General Video	Symbolic	5min	Sem, Rhy	CLIP [76]	Feature	AR
EIMG [106]	2023/12	Image	Symbolic	15sec	Sem	ALAE [73], β -VAE [30], VQ-VAE [100]	Adapter	VAE (FNT [88], LSR [70])
Diff-BGM [56]	2024/05	General Video	Symbolic	5min	Sem	VideoCLIP [115]	Feature	Diff. (Polyfusion [68])
Mozart’s Touch [48]	2024/05	General Video, Image	Audio	10sec	Sem	BLIP [49]	Text	AR (MusicGen [16])
MeLFusion [14]	2024/06	Image	Audio	10sec	Sem	DDIM [83] + T2I LDM [78]	Feature	Diff.
VidMuse [96]	2024/06	General Video	Audio	20sec	Sem	CLIP [76]	Adapter	AR (MusicGen [16])
S2L2-V2M [39]	2024/08	General Video	Audio	10sec	Sem	Enhanced Video Mamba	Adapter	AR (LLaMA2 [98])
VMAS [59]	2024/09	General Video	Audio	10sec	Sem, Rhy	Hiera [80]	Feature	AR
MuVi [53]	2024/10	General Video	Audio	20sec	Sem, Rhy	VideoMAE V2 [104]	Adapter	Diff. (DiT [71])
SONIQUE [124]	2024/10	General Video	Audio	20sec	Sem, Rhy	Video-LLaMA [123], CLAP [19]	Text	Diff. (Stable Audio [21])
VEH [97]	2024/10	General Video	Symbolic	30sec	Sem	VideoChat [50]	Text	AR (T5 [77])
M2M-Gen [82]	2024/10	Image (Manga)	Audio	1min	Sem	CLIP [76], GPT-4 [2]	Text	AR (MusicLM [6])
HPM [75]	2024/11	General Video	Audio	10sec	Sem	CLIP [76], TAVAR [51], WECL [126]	Feature	Diff. (AudioLDM [60])
VidMusician [55]	2024/12	General Video	Audio	30sec	Sem, Rhy	CLIP [76], T5 [77]	Adapter	AR (MusicGen [16])
MTM [103]	2024/12	General Video, Image	Audio	30sec	Sem	InternVL2 [12]	Text	Diff. (Stable Audio Open [22])
XMUSIC [94]	2025/01	General Video, Image	Symbolic	20sec	Sem, Rhy	ResNet [28], CLIP [76]	Elements	AR (CP [34])
GVMGen [134]	2025/01	General Video	Audio	15sec	Sem	CLIP [76]	Adapter	AR (MusicGen [16])
AudioX [95]	2025/03	General Video	Audio	10sec	Sem	CLIP [76]	Feature	Diff. (Stable Audio Open [22])
FilmComposer [112]	2025/03	General Video	Audio	15sec	Sem, Rhy	Controllable Rhythm Transformer, GPT-4v [2], Motion Detector	Text	AR (MusicGen [16])
▼ Human Movement Videos:								
Audeo [85]	2020/06	Performance Video	Symbolic	30sec	Rhy	ResNet [28]	Feature	GAN
Foley Music [24]	2020/07	Performance Video	Symbolic	10sec	Rhy	2D Body Keypoints	Feature	AR
Multi-Instrument Net [86]	2020/12	Performance Video	Audio	10sec	Rhy	2D Body Keypoints	Feature	VAE
RhythmicNet [87]	2021/06	Dance Video	Symbolic	10sec	Rhy	2D Body Keypoints	Feature	AR (REMI [36])
Dance2Music [4]	2021/07	Dance Video	Symbolic	12sec	Rhy	2D Body Keypoints	Feature	AR
D2M-GAN [129]	2022/04	Dance Video	Audio	2sec	Rhy	2D Body Keypoints, I3D [10]	Feature	GAN
CDCD [130]	2022/06	Dance Video	Audio	2sec	Rhy	2D Body Keypoints, I3D [10]	Feature	Diff.
LORIS [119]	2023/05	Movement Video	Audio	50sec	Rhy	2D Body Keypoints, I3D [10]	Feature	Diff.
VisBeatNet [63]	2024/01	Dance Video	Symbolic	Realtime	Rhy	2D Body Keypoints	Feature	AR
UniMuMo [116]	2024/10	Dance Video	Audio	10sec	Rhy	2D Body Keypoints	Feature	Diff.

3.1 Tasks

We begin by categorizing the methods based on the input types outlined in Sec. 2.

General Video-to-Music. Early video-to-music works typically focused on generating music from instrumental performances [85, 86, 24, 42] or dance videos [87, 129, 130]. For general videos, the earliest works were primarily based on recommendation/retrieval [33, 46] rather than generation. Early general video-to-music methods were usually symbolic. CMT [17] is a pioneering work in general video-to-music. Due to the lack of paired data for training, they proposed a paradigm where pure music data is used during training, and video features are mapped to music features during inference, with the correspondence primarily based on rhythm. V-MusProd [133] and Video2music [41] collected video-music paired symbolic datasets, thereby incorporating semantic relationships. Diff-BGM [56] was the first to introduce diffusion models for video-to-symbolic music generation. V2Meow [84] also uses both rhythmic and semantic correspondences and was the first to generate background music in audio form. With the development of multimodal large models and audio-form music generation [22, 16], a large number of general video-to-music works have emerged in the past few years [62, 48, 96, 59, 53, 75, 55, 103, 134], most of which focus on audio modality.

Image-to-Music. Early image-to-music works were also primarily symbolic [89, 81, 125, 113], where models analyze color, texture, and semantic content to generate music. Notably, these methods usually produce symbolic music outputs, where the connection between the image and music is more abstract compared to video-based methods. The methodologies often leverage pretrained vision models to extract key image features, which are then mapped to musical elements. More recently, MuMu-LLaMA [62, 61] introduced large language models for image-to-music, video-to-music, and text-to-music generation, representing early audio-form image-to-music works. Methods like MeLFusion [14], M2M-Gen [82], and MTM [103] propose generating audio music from multiple modalities (video, image, and text).

Human Movement Video-to-music. For dance or sports videos, existing methods focus on extracting rhythmic patterns from dance videos and mapping them to musical rhythm generation [87, 129, 130, 119, 63, 4, 54, 58]. For music performance videos, current methods learn to reconstruct the original music from the silent videos [86, 85, 24, 42].

3.2 Architecture

The architecture of vision-to-music systems can be broken down into three major components: vision encoding, vision-music projection, and music generation. Each part plays a crucial role in transforming visual data into musical content.

Vision Encoding. This stage is focused on extracting features from the input video or image. The vision encoder typically uses pretrained convolutional neural networks (CNNs) [28] or transformers [101] to capture visual semantic information and other relevant features. A commonly used vision encoder is CLIP [76], which is pretrained on massive image-text pairs to achieve open-domain visual understanding capabilities. Video understanding backbones [7, 23, 115, 50, 104, 123, 12] are also used to extract spatiotemporal features. Some also use additional encoders for motion information (e.g. I3D Flow [9] in V2Meow [84]), color information (e.g. Histogram [3] in V-MusProd [133], TAVAR [51] in HPM [75]), emotion information (e.g. WECL [126] in HPM [75]), or intermediate text features (e.g. T5 [77] in VidMusician [55]). For human movement videos, it is important to extract motion features for rhythmic alignment, e.g., directly calculating first-order difference from human keypoints as motion velocity [87, 119], or using pre-trained motion encoder [84, 129].

Vision-Music Projection. This component involves mapping the visual features into the music space. Most methods directly use the visual features as the input of the music generation model, or through simple cross-attention mechanisms [133, 56, 55, 14]. Some methods design specialized adapters [96, 53, 62] for better feature alignment, e.g. to capture temporal-related or local features. Besides using feature-based mapping, some studies suggest using text as an intermediate representation of the visual features [103, 48, 124, 112] and subsequently utilizing text-to-music models for music generation. Some symbolic music generation methods use symbolic elements as the vision-music mapping [17, 94].

Music Generation. Once the visual and music features have been aligned, the next step is to generate the musical output. This stage can be tackled using auto-regressive or diffusion-based generative models. Auto-regressive models can be used for both symbolic (e.g. CMT [17], V-MusProd [133], XMusic [94] are based on compound word transformers [34]) and audio music generation (e.g. MusicGen [16] in [48, 96, 59, 55, 134]). Diffusion models [31, 71] can be used for symbolic (e.g. Polyffusion [68] in Diff-BGM [56]) music to directly generate piano rolls, but mostly for audio music (e.g. AudioLDM [60] in HPM [75], Stable Audio Open [22] in MTM [103]).

3.3 Vision-Music Relationships

Vision-music relationships establish the correspondence between videos and music. Unlike the vision-music projection discussed in the previous section (which focuses on the architecture), the relationships discussed here focus on the overall correspondence between input and output. These relationships can be broadly classified into two categories: semantic relationships and rhythmic relationships.

Semantic Relationships. This type of relationship focuses on how visual elements (such as color, objects, or scenes) relate to musical components (such as mood, melody, or chords). For music performance videos, the music is determined by the video instead of a general and implicit semantic relationship [24, 85]. For dance and movement videos, the semantics in the video is not utilized. Symbolic methods like V-MusProd [133], Video2music [41], and XMusic [94] explicitly define semantic, color, and emotion relationships and use different pretrained models for extraction. This multi-attribute extraction has unique advantages for symbolic music, as it allows explicit definition of mapping rules between video features and musical attributes for control. Recent audio-based methods generally use a single vision encoder to extract semantic features. These semantic features are usually global and insensitive to semantic changes within the video. Some methods [96, 134, 53] also design special modules to enhance local semantic correspondence. Methods like VidMuse [96], GVMGen [134], and MuVi [53] design special modules to enhance local semantic correspondence. However, for most audio methods generating 10-second music, the concept of “local” may not have a significant impact.

Rhythmic Relationships. Rhythmic relationships mainly refer to the correspondence between the rhythm of the video (e.g. local movements, scene transitions, global video rhythm) and the rhythm of the music (e.g. local beats, global tempo). For human movement videos, such as dance or instrument playing, rhythmic relationships become significant, especially the correspondence between local rhythm and human movements. For general videos, early work CMT [17] proposed a rule-based rhythmic correspondence based on optical flow. While it can generate rhythmically strong music for many videos, for videos with large motion amplitudes, the music generated by CMT lacks overall rhythmic consistency and may sound slightly chaotic. Considering the high computational cost of optical flow, V-MusProd [133] adopted RGB-Diff and focused on global rhythm (i.e. tempo and global timing encoding). For audio music, explicit rhythm control is more

Table 2: Datasets for vision-to-music generation.

Dataset	Access	Date	Source	Modality	Size	Total Length (hour)	Avg. Length (second)	Annotations
▼ General Videos:								
HIMV-200K [32]	Link	2017/04	Music Video (Youtube-8M [1])	Audio	200K	-	-	-
MVED [69]	Link	2020/09	Music Video	Audio	1.9K	16.5	30	Emotion
SymMV [133]	Link	2022/11	Music Video	MIDI, Audio	1.1K	76.5	241	Lyrics, Genre, Chord, Melody, Tonality, Beat
MV100K [84]	-	2023/05	Music Video (Youtube-8M [1])	Audio	110K	5000	163	Genre
MusicCaps [6]	Link	2023/01	Diverse Videos (AudioSet [25])	Audio	5.5K	15.3	10	Genre, Caption, Emotion, Tempo, Instrument, Rhythm, ...
EmoMV [93]	Link	2023/03	Music Video (MVED [69], AudioSet [25])	Audio	6K	44.3	27	Emotion
MUVideo [62]	Link	2023/11	Diverse Videos (Balanced-AudioSet [25])	Audio	14.5K	40.3	10	Instructions
MuVi-Sync [41]	Link	2023/11	Music Video	MIDI, Audio	784	-	-	Scene Offset, Emotion, Motion, Semantic, Chord, Key, Loudness, Density, ...
BGM909 [56]	Link	2024/05	Music Video	MIDI	909	-	-	Caption, Style, Chord, Melody, Beat, Shot
V2M [96]	-	2024/06	Diverse Videos	Audio	360K	18000	180	Genre
DISCO-MV [59]	-	2024/09	Music Video (DISCO-10M [45])	Audio	2200K	47000	77	Genre
FilmScoreDB [75]	-	2024/11	Film Video	Audio	32K	90.3	10	Movie Title
DVMSet [55]	-	2024/12	Diverse Videos	Audio	3.8K	-	-	-
HarmonySet [128]	Link	2025/03	Diverse Videos	Audio	48K	458.8	32	Description
MusicPro-7k [112]	Link	2025/03	Film Video	Audio	7K	-	-	Description, Melody, Rhythm Spots
▼ Human Movement Videos								
URMP [47]	Link	2016/12	Performance Video	MIDI, Audio	44	1.3	106	Instruments
MUSIC [127]	Link	2018/04	Performance Video	Audio	685	45.7	239	Instruments
AIST++ [52]	Link	2021/01	Dance Video (AIST [99])	Audio	1.4K	5.2	13	3D Motion
TikTok Dance-Music [129]	Link	2022/04	Dance Video	Audio	445	1.5	12	-
LORIS [119]	Link	2023/05	Dance Video, Sports Video (AIST [99], FisV [114], FS1000 [111])	Audio	16K	86.43	19	2D Pose
▼ Images								
Music-Image [110]	Link	2016/07	Image (Music Video)	Audio	22.6K	377	60	Lyrics
Shuttersong [57]	Link	2017/08	Image (Shuttersong App)	Audio	586	-	-	Lyrics
IMAC [102]	Link	2019/04	Image (FI [117])	Audio	3.8K	63.3	60	Emotion
MUImage [62]	Link	2023/11	Image (Balanced-AudioSet [25])	Audio	14.5k	40.3	10	Instructions
EIMG [106]	Link	2023/12	Image (IAPS [44], NAPS [67])	MIDI	3K	12.5	15	VA Value
MeL.Bench [14]	Link	2024/06	Image (Diverse Videos)	Audio	11.2K	31.2	10	Genre, Caption

challenging. VMAS [59] extracts video and music beats using optical flow and onset detection and uses an autoregressive loss for explicit rhythm alignment. V2Meow [84] uses optical flow to extract per-frame rhythm features to establish implicit rhythmic correspondence. Recent works mostly do not consider rhythm information or use frame-by-frame semantic features to implicitly provide local rhythm correspondence [96, 55], which is not prominent in the generated music. Similar to semantic relationships, for most 10-second audio methods, "local" is not a significant concept. In methods that use text for vision-music projection [103, 124], the video content is used to generate requirements for musical rhythm, such as the rhythm of each scene or the overall tempo.

4 Datasets

In this section, we introduce common datasets for vision-to-music. Plenty of datasets have been proposed in the literature of the vision-to-music field, and different methods often use different datasets for training and testing. Therefore, it is necessary to organize and analyze these datasets. Common datasets for vision-to-music generation are listed in Tab. 2.

4.1 Input Categories

Based on the types of videos/images in vision-music datasets, we categorize the datasets as follows:

General Videos. Videos in these datasets are usually sourced from platforms like YouTube. Most datasets focus on Music Videos [32, 69, 133, 41, 56, 59], as they have satisfactory video-music alignment and are easier to collect, *e.g.* by searching for official music videos using song titles. However, the diversity of content and styles in these videos may be limited. Other datasets include a variety of video types, such as trailers, advertisements, animations, and documentaries [55, 96], or subsets from larger datasets like AudioSet [25]. These videos offer better diversity, but the video-music alignment may be weaker, requiring strict filtering [6, 62]. FilmScoreDB and MusicPro-7k [75, 112] focus on film scores, where the music has a deeper semantic correspondence with the video and serves as an accompaniment rather than being the primary focus, as in music videos. This provides a unique perspective for the video-to-music generation task, as discussed in Sec. 2. Recently, some datasets also provide textual descriptions of videos and music [13, 103, 128] to assist text-bridged video-to-music generation methods. 3. **Human Movement Videos.** These videos can be divided into instrument performances and dance/sport categories. Representative examples include URMP [47] and MUSIC [127], which aim to reconstruct music from instrumental performance videos. AIST++ [52], Tiktok

Table 3: Objective metrics of vision-to-music generation. M: MIDI. A: Audio. V: Video. I: Image. T:Text. Pit: Pit. Rhy: Rhythm. Fid: Fidelity. Sem: Semantic.

Metric	Used in Paper	Input	Type
▼ Music-only:			
Scale Consistency	[133, 39]	M	Pit
Pit Entropy	[133, 39, 106]	M	Pit
Pit Class Histogram Entropy	[133, 94, 39, 17, 56]	M	Pit
Empty Beat Rate	[133, 94, 39]	M	Rhy
Average Inter-Onset Interval	[133, 39]	M	Rhy
Grooving Pattern Similarity	[94, 17, 56]	M	Rhy
Structure Indicator	[17, 56]	M	Rhy
Frechet Audio Distance (FAD)	[96, 62, 14, 84, 53, 134, 112] [48, 39, 75, 97, 95, 59]	A	Fid
Frechet Distance (FD)	[103, 55, 124, 53, 96, 14, 84, 95]	A	Fid
Kullback-Leibler Divergence (KL)	[59, 96, 48, 62, 134, 103, 55, 84, 53, 112, 75] [124, 14, 97, 95, 39]	A	Fid
Beats Coverage Score (BCS)	[75, 53]	A	Rhy
Beats Hit Score (BHS)	[75, 53]	A	Rhy
Inception Score (IS)	[75, 53, 95]	A	Fid
▼ Vision-music Correspondence:			
ImageBind Score/Rank	[103, 55, 39, 96, 48, 62, 112, 95]	A,V/I	Sem
CLAP Score	[55, 124, 97]	A,A/T	Sem
Video-Music CLIP Precision	[133, 39]	A,V	Sem
Video-Music Correspondence	[56]	A,V	Sem
Cross-modal Relevance	[134]	A,V	Sem
Temporal Alignment	[134]	A,V	Rhy
Rhythm Alignment	[55]	A,V	Rhy

Dance-Music [129], and LORIS [119] focus on generating music from dance or sport videos, emphasizing local rhythmic alignment while downplaying semantic relationships.

Images. Existing image-to-music datasets are relatively scarce. Sources of the images are usually frames from music videos [110, 14] or existing image datasets [102, 106, 62]. Music-Image [110] and MeLFusion [14] use frames extracted from online music videos as images. IMAC [102] and EIMG [106] select existing image datasets and collect music data, matching images and music based on emotion classification or valence-arousal space. Thus, the image-music pairs are not necessarily one-to-one correspondences. Shattersong [57] collects user-selected image-music pairs from the Shattersong application. MUImage [62] uses images and music from AudioSet, annotated with captions generated by captioning models to provide instructions.

4.2 Music Domains

Vision-music datasets can be divided into MIDI and audio based on the music modality. MIDI datasets [133, 56, 41] are created by transcribing audio into the MIDI format or sourced from existing music-only datasets [108]. Audio datasets contain only raw audio files.

Compared to audio datasets, MIDI datasets have the following advantages: (1) Include more annotations, such as Chord, Melody, Beat, Tonality, etc; (2) The average duration of each song is longer, enabling the generation of longer music pieces; (3) Suitable for training both symbolic music generation and audio music generation models. However, a significant limitation of MIDI datasets is their smaller scale (*e.g.* 1K songs, 100 hours vs. 100K-2M songs, 5K-50K hours) and relatively limited diversity. For more discussion on the comparison between audio and MIDI (symbolic) data, please refer to Sec. 6.

Table 4: Subjective metrics of vision-to-music generation.

Metric	Used in Paper
▼ <i>Music-only</i> :	
Music Melody	[133, 56]
Music Rhythm	[133, 56]
Music Richness	[134, 94]
Audio Quality	[96, 53]
Overall Music Quality	[134, 82, 59, 41, 48, 14, 84, 97, 103, 96, 17, 112]
▼ <i>Vision-music Correspondence</i> :	
Semantic Consistency	[133, 103, 55, 53, 56, 112]
Rhythm Consistency	[133, 94, 103, 97, 56, 41, 112]
Emotion Consistency	[94, 103, 97]
Overall Correspondence	[133, 124, 82, 59, 39, 96, 17, 41, 48, 62, 14, 84, 134, 112]

5 Evaluation

Common metrics for vision-to-music are categorized in Tab. 3 and 4. The evaluation of vision-to-music generation can be divided into two categories: **objective** and **subjective**. Objective evaluation uses fixed rule-based algorithms or existing models to extract features and calculate musical metrics. It is relatively objective and convenient for fair comparison, but has certain biases and cannot cover all aspects of music generation, often differing significantly from human subjective perception. Similar to other generation tasks [15, 72, 91, 79], subjective evaluation is typically used in vision-to-music generation for a more comprehensive assessment, *i.e.* conducting user studies where participants rate/compare music generated by different models.

From another perspective, metrics can be divided into **music-only** and **vision-music correspondence** based on assessment purposes. The former only evaluates whether the music itself is pleasant/realistic/structurally complete, etc., while the latter focuses on the correspondence between the music and the visual input.

For **music-only objective metrics**, symbolic music generation methods [17, 133, 94, 39, 56, 106] use some statistics-based methods to calculate certain pitch or rhythm-related statistical metrics of MIDI, such as Scale Consistency, Pitch Entropy, Pitch Class Histogram Entropy, Empty Beat Rate, Average Inter-Onset Interval, Grooving Pattern Similarity, and Structure Indicators. These metrics are usually compared with ground truth music, and the closer they are, the more realistic the music is considered. Audio music generation methods widely adopt metrics such as Frechet Audio Distance (FAD), Frechet Distance (FD), and Kullback Leibler Divergence (KL) to calculate the similarity between generated music and ground truth music or the distance of predicted class probabilities. Some methods [53, 75] also introduce metrics like BCS and BHS to measure rhythmic similarity based on music beats.

Objective metrics for vision-music correspondence usually focus on the audio modality. The most commonly used are ImageBind Score/Rank and CLAP score, which leverage pretrained multimodal models like ImageBind [26] and CLAP [19] for similarity evaluation. Some methods [133, 39, 56, 134] have also designed specific vision-music retrieval evaluation metrics, with slight differences in model selection and retrieval methods. Additionally, GVMGen [134] and VidMusician [55] have designed objective metrics to evaluate the rhythmic correspondence between visions and music. However, since the pretrained models are usually trained with general audio data instead of specified music data, these objective metrics commonly do not perfectly align with human judgments.

Subjective metrics mainly include MOS (generally using a 5-point Likert scale), pair preference (*i.e.* win rate), and ranking different music. Common subjective metrics in vision-to-music generation are given in Tab. 4. Among these, music-only metrics mainly include overall music quality, music richness, music melody, and music rhythm, while vision-music metrics include overall correspondence, semantic consistency, rhythm consistency, and emotion consistency. The selection of specific subjective metrics depends on the vision-music relationship emphasized by the method.

6 Discussion and Challenges

6.1 Popular Research Topics

Early work in vision-to-music generation focused on rule-based relationships and symbolic music paradigms. In the past couple of years, however, rapid advances in multimodal large models, audio generation, and related data developments have spurred significant progress in the field. Several emerging research directions aiming to improve quality, alignment, and real-world usability. Specifically, current popular research topics include:

1. **Multimodal Learning Architectures:** Researchers are designing new architectures that better connect visual and musical modalities. This includes the use of transformers, cross-attention mechanisms, and shared embedding spaces to capture complex visual cues and translate them into music features.
2. **Emotion and Rhythm Alignment:** Mapping visual emotion (e.g., joy, tension) and movement patterns to corresponding music is a key challenge. Ongoing work explores how to extract affective and temporal signals from videos and align them with musical attributes such as tempo, tonality, and dynamics.
3. **Dataset Development and Evaluation:** Creating high-quality, diverse vision-music datasets remains a bottleneck. Researchers are also working on better evaluation metrics that align with human judgment, as existing ones often fail to capture subjective musical quality and visual-musical correspondence.

These topics reflect a broader shift from purely technical generation to more expressive, controllable, and user-aligned music synthesis, opening doors to creative and industrial applications.

6.2 Challenges

Despite these advances, we identify several key challenges for the academic community:

Lack of Standardized Objective Datasets and Benchmarks: The training and evaluation datasets differ across models, sometimes leading to comparisons between models fine-tuned on proprietary datasets and those evaluated via zero-shot inference on other datasets. This disparity significantly undermines the fairness of model comparisons and makes it challenging to identify the true state-of-the-art (SoTA). Besides, current evaluation metrics often do not align with actual human perception, e.g. FAD and KL are trained on general audio data rather than on music-specific data, and symbolic metrics are statistically based and exhibit low correlation with human preferences. Though most papers provide demos for qualitative comparisons, they are prone to issues such as cherry-picked examples, insufficient sample size, and subjectivity in evaluating the outputs.

Limited Customization and Controllability: Most existing models function as black boxes, making it challenging to personalize or control attributes of the generated music, such as style, instrumentation, and rhythm. This significantly affects the models' practical applicability. Future research needs to focus on aligning generated music more closely with human preferences.

Trade-off Between Symbolic and Audio Forms: As discussed in Sec. 2, audio-based methods benefit from large-scale data but generally offer limited controllability and are constrained by the computational cost of high-fidelity generation, often resulting in shorter musical pieces. In contrast, symbolic approaches, while limited by available data, offer better controllability and can produce longer compositions. A promising direction is to combine symbolic and audio methods to achieve a better trade-off. Integrating certain musical priors can enhance data efficiency; however, relying solely on rule-based approaches may limit the model's capabilities, which is a trade-off that merits deeper exploration.

Cross-Domain Generalization: While current vision-to-music systems often perform well within specific datasets or contexts, their ability to generalize across different domains (e.g., film, video games, YouTube videos) remains limited. Research is focusing on developing robust models that can generalize across a wide range of visual and musical genres, ensuring that the generated music is coherent, contextually appropriate, and stylistically diverse. This involves training models on diverse, large-scale datasets and developing transfer learning techniques that allow models to adapt to new contexts without extensive retraining. Ensuring generalization will be essential for the scalability and real-world applicability of vision-to-music systems, particularly as they move toward industrial applications.

Limited Human-in-the-Loop Feedback for Iterative Improvement: Currently, most vision-to-music systems rely solely on offline datasets and predefined evaluation metrics, lacking active human involvement in the model development cycle. However, music is an inherently creative and subjective domain, where subtle aspects such as emotional progression, stylistic nuance, and narrative tension are difficult to capture with automated systems alone. Some of them are even challenging to distinguish for most people and require professionals to participate. Therefore, incorporating *human-in-the-loop* frameworks — especially involving professional composers, musicians, or even target audiences — can offer several advantages. First, expert composers can provide iterative feedback during model training, guiding the model to learn more expressive and contextually appropriate musical patterns. Second, interactive fine-tuning systems can allow users to steer generated results through simple feedback mechanisms (e.g., tags and critiques), enabling personalization and controllability. Third, human-curated data and active learning strategies can prioritize ambiguous or low-confidence samples for labeling or correction, improving both data quality and model alignment. Encouraging deeper collaboration between machine learning researchers and music professionals will be key to building systems that are not only technically competent, but also artistically compelling and socially acceptable.

Under-utilization of Large Model Capabilities: The vision-to-music field, or even the broad music generation area has yet to experience a breakthrough akin to the “GPT moment.” Despite inspiring commercial products and open-source research like Suno² and YuE [120], the current growth in data and model capabilities has not reached a qualitative turning point. Achieving a broadly applicable foundational model for music generation will require substantial investments in resources and data, particularly for foundational tasks such as unconditional music generation or text-to-music synthesis.

Beyond these academic challenges, a compelling area of exploration is to aligning these technologies with the demands of the music industry and end users — whether for consumer products (such as automatically generated background music on short video platforms) or professional applications (such as film and game scoring) — presents significant commercial opportunities.

6.3 Applications

Vision-to-music generation holds significant promise across a wide range of real-world applications. In the entertainment industry, it can streamline film scoring, game music generation, and TV production, enabling composers to rapidly prototype background music tailored to visual narratives, thereby improving creative workflows and reducing production cycles. In short video platforms (e.g., TikTok, Instagram Reels), automatic music generation based on visual input allows content creators to avoid copyright issues and personalize audio tracks, leading to a richer and more engaging user experience. For virtual reality (VR) and augmented reality (AR) environments, dynamically generated background music synchronized with the user’s visual experience can enhance immersion and emotional impact. In the realm of personal media, such as photo albums, home videos, or social media posts, vision-to-music systems can automatically generate soundtracks, making content more compelling and expressive. Moreover, in education and therapy, the technology can assist in music therapy, creative learning, or even help individuals with visual impairments experience visuals through music, thus extending accessibility. As these applications mature, aligning technical capabilities with user needs will be critical for successful integration into real-world products.

7 Conclusion

Vision-to-music generation represents a promising yet relatively underexplored frontier in the multimodal artificial intelligence landscape. By bridging visual content with musical creation, this task holds potential across creative industries, from film and gaming to short video platforms and personal media. In this survey, we provided a comprehensive overview of the field, covering input/output modalities, technical approaches, architectural designs, vision-music relationships, datasets, and evaluation methods. We highlighted key challenges such as aligning visual cues with expressive music, balancing symbolic and audio generation, and the absence of standardized benchmarks. Looking ahead, progress will hinge on large multimodal models, better datasets, and human-in-the-loop feedback, enabling more controllable and generalizable systems for real-world applications. We hope this survey serves as a foundation for further exploration and can pave the way for future research and applications on vision-to-music generation.

²<https://suno.com>

References

- [1] Sami Abu-El-Haija, Nisarg Kothari, Joonseok Lee, Paul Natsev, George Toderici, Balakrishnan Varadarajan, and Sudheendra Vijayanarasimhan. Youtube-8m: A large-scale video classification benchmark. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1609.08675*, 2016.
- [2] Josh Achiam, Steven Adler, Sandhini Agarwal, Lama Ahmad, Ilge Akkaya, Florencia Leoni Aleman, Diogo Almeida, Janko Altschmidt, Sam Altman, Shyamal Anadkat, et al. Gpt-4 technical report. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.08774*, 2023.
- [3] Mahmoud Afifi, Marcus A Brubaker, and Michael S Brown. Histogan: Controlling colors of gan-generated and real images via color histograms. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 7941–7950, 2021.
- [4] Gunjan Aggarwal and Devi Parikh. Dance2music: Automatic dance-driven music generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2107.06252*, 2021.
- [5] Andrea Agostinelli, Timo I Denk, Zalán Borsos, Jesse Engel, Mauro Verzetti, Antoine Caillon, Qingqing Huang, Aren Jansen, Adam Roberts, Marco Tagliasacchi, et al. Musiclm: Generating music from text. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.11325*, 2023.
- [6] Andrea Agostinelli, Timo I Denk, Zalán Borsos, Jesse Engel, Mauro Verzetti, Antoine Caillon, Qingqing Huang, Aren Jansen, Adam Roberts, Marco Tagliasacchi, et al. Musiclm: Generating music from text. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.11325*, 2023.
- [7] Anurag Arnab, Mostafa Dehghani, Georg Heigold, Chen Sun, Mario Lučić, and Cordelia Schmid. Vivit: A video vision transformer. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*, pages 6836–6846, 2021.
- [8] Zalán Borsos, Raphaël Marinier, Damien Vincent, Eugene Kharitonov, Olivier Pietquin, Matt Sharifi, Dominik Roblek, Olivier Teboul, David Grangier, Marco Tagliasacchi, et al. Audioldm: a language modeling approach to audio generation. *IEEE/ACM transactions on audio, speech, and language processing*, 31:2523–2533, 2023.
- [9] Joao Carreira and Andrew Zisserman. Quo vadis, action recognition? a new model and the kinetics dataset. In *proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 6299–6308, 2017.
- [10] Joao Carreira and Andrew Zisserman. Quo vadis, action recognition? a new model and the kinetics dataset. In *proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 6299–6308, 2017.
- [11] Junsong Chen, YU Jincheng, GE Chongjian, Lewei Yao, Enze Xie, Zhongdao Wang, James Kwok, Ping Luo, Huchuan Lu, and Zhenguo Li. Pixart- α : Fast training of diffusion transformer for photorealistic text-to-image synthesis. In *The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations*.
- [12] Zhe Chen, Weiyun Wang, Hao Tian, Shenglong Ye, Zhangwei Gao, Erfei Cui, Wenwen Tong, Kongzhi Hu, Jiapeng Luo, Zheng Ma, et al. How far are we to gpt-4v? closing the gap to commercial multimodal models with open-source suites. *Science China Information Sciences*, 67(12):220101, 2024.
- [13] Xiaowei Chi, Yatian Wang, Aosong Cheng, Pengjun Fang, Zeyue Tian, Yingqing He, Zhaoyang Liu, Xingqun Qi, Jiahao Pan, Rongyu Zhang, et al. Mmtrail: A multimodal trailer video dataset with language and music descriptions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.20962*, 2024.
- [14] Sanjoy Chowdhury, Sayan Nag, KJ Joseph, Balaji Vasan Srinivasan, and Dinesh Manocha. Melfusion: Synthesizing music from image and language cues using diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 26826–26835, 2024.
- [15] Dimitrios Christodoulou and Mads Kuhlmann-Jørgensen. Finding the subjective truth: Collecting 2 million votes for comprehensive gen-ai model evaluation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2409.11904*, 2024.
- [16] Jade Copet, Felix Kreuk, Itai Gat, Tal Remez, David Kant, Gabriel Synnaeve, Yossi Adi, and Alexandre Défossez. Simple and controllable music generation. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36:47704–47720, 2023.

- [17] Shangzhe Di, Zeren Jiang, Si Liu, Zhaokai Wang, Leyan Zhu, Zexin He, Hongming Liu, and Shuicheng Yan. Video background music generation with controllable music transformer. In *Proceedings of the 29th ACM International Conference on Multimedia*, pages 2037–2045, 2021.
- [18] Alexey Dosovitskiy, Lucas Beyer, Alexander Kolesnikov, Dirk Weissenborn, Xiaohua Zhai, Thomas Unterthiner, Mostafa Dehghani, Matthias Minderer, Georg Heigold, Sylvain Gelly, et al. An image is worth 16x16 words: Transformers for image recognition at scale. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2021.
- [19] Benjamin Elizalde, Soham Deshmukh, Mahmoud Al Ismail, and Huaming Wang. Clap learning audio concepts from natural language supervision. In *ICASSP 2023-2023 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, pages 1–5. IEEE, 2023.
- [20] Patrick Esser, Sumith Kulal, Andreas Blattmann, Rahim Entezari, Jonas Müller, Harry Saini, Yam Levi, Dominik Lorenz, Axel Sauer, Frederic Boesel, et al. Scaling rectified flow transformers for high-resolution image synthesis. In *Forty-first international conference on machine learning*, 2024.
- [21] Zach Evans, CJ Carr, Josiah Taylor, Scott H Hawley, and Jordi Pons. Fast timing-conditioned latent audio diffusion. In *Forty-first International Conference on Machine Learning*, 2024.
- [22] Zach Evans, Julian D Parker, CJ Carr, Zack Zukowski, Josiah Taylor, and Jordi Pons. Stable audio open. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.14358*, 2024.
- [23] Han Fang, Pengfei Xiong, Luhui Xu, and Yu Chen. Clip2video: Mastering video-text retrieval via image clip. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.11097*, 2021.
- [24] Chuang Gan, Deng Huang, Peihao Chen, Joshua B Tenenbaum, and Antonio Torralba. Foley music: Learning to generate music from videos. In *Computer Vision—ECCV 2020: 16th European Conference, Glasgow, UK, August 23–28, 2020, Proceedings, Part XI 16*, pages 758–775. Springer, 2020.
- [25] Jort F Gemmeke, Daniel PW Ellis, Dylan Freedman, Aren Jansen, Wade Lawrence, R Channing Moore, Manoj Plakal, and Marvin Ritter. Audio set: An ontology and human-labeled dataset for audio events. In *2017 IEEE international conference on acoustics, speech and signal processing (ICASSP)*, pages 776–780. IEEE, 2017.
- [26] Rohit Girdhar, Alaaeldin El-Nouby, Zhuang Liu, Mannat Singh, Kalyan Vasudev Alwala, Armand Joulin, and Ishan Misra. Imagebind: One embedding space to bind them all. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 15180–15190, 2023.
- [27] Ian J Goodfellow, Jean Pouget-Abadie, Mehdi Mirza, Bing Xu, David Warde-Farley, Sherjil Ozair, Aaron Courville, and Yoshua Bengio. Generative adversarial nets. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 27, 2014.
- [28] Kaiming He, Xiangyu Zhang, Shaoqing Ren, and Jian Sun. Deep residual learning for image recognition. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 770–778, 2016.
- [29] Carlos Hernandez-Olivan and Jose R Beltran. Music composition with deep learning: A review. *Advances in speech and music technology: computational aspects and applications*, pages 25–50, 2022.
- [30] Irina Higgins, Loic Matthey, Arka Pal, Christopher Burgess, Xavier Glorot, Matthew Botvinick, Shakir Mohamed, and Alexander Lerchner. beta-vae: Learning basic visual concepts with a constrained variational framework. In *International conference on learning representations*, 2017.
- [31] Jonathan Ho, Ajay Jain, and Pieter Abbeel. Denoising diffusion probabilistic models. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 33:6840–6851, 2020.
- [32] Sungeun Hong, Woobin Im, and Hyun S Yang. Content-based video-music retrieval using soft intra-modal structure constraint. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1704.06761*, 2017.
- [33] Sungeun Hong, Woobin Im, and Hyun S Yang. Cbvmr: content-based video-music retrieval using soft intra-modal structure constraint. In *Proceedings of the 2018 ACM on international conference on multimedia retrieval*, pages 353–361, 2018.

- [34] Wen-Yi Hsiao, Jen-Yu Liu, Yin-Cheng Yeh, and Yi-Hsuan Yang. Compound word transformer: Learning to compose full-song music over dynamic directed hypergraphs. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 35, pages 178–186, 2021.
- [35] Victor Shea-Jay Huang, Le Zhuo, Yi Xin, Zhaokai Wang, Peng Gao, and Hongsheng Li. Tide: Temporal-aware sparse autoencoders for interpretable diffusion transformers in image generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2503.07050*, 2025.
- [36] Yu-Siang Huang and Yi-Hsuan Yang. Pop music transformer: Beat-based modeling and generation of expressive pop piano compositions. In *Proceedings of the 28th ACM international conference on multimedia*, pages 1180–1188, 2020.
- [37] Shulei Ji, Jing Luo, and Xinyu Yang. A comprehensive survey on deep music generation: Multi-level representations, algorithms, evaluations, and future directions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2011.06801*, 2020.
- [38] Shulei Ji, Xinyu Yang, and Jing Luo. A survey on deep learning for symbolic music generation: Representations, algorithms, evaluations, and challenges. *ACM Computing Surveys*, 56(1):1–39, 2023.
- [39] Wan-He Kai and Kai-Xin Xing. Video-driven musical composition using large language model with memory-augmented state space. *The Visual Computer*, pages 1–13, 2024.
- [40] Bingyi Kang, Yang Yue, Rui Lu, Zhijie Lin, Yang Zhao, Kaixin Wang, Gao Huang, and Jiashi Feng. How far is video generation from world model: A physical law perspective. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2411.02385*, 2024.
- [41] Jaeyong Kang, Soujanya Poria, and Dorien Herremans. Video2music: Suitable music generation from videos using an affective multimodal transformer model. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 249:123640, 2024.
- [42] A Sophia Koepke, Olivia Wiles, Yael Moses, and Andrew Zisserman. Sight to sound: An end-to-end approach for visual piano transcription. In *ICASSP 2020-2020 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, pages 1838–1842. IEEE, 2020.
- [43] Weijie Kong, Qi Tian, Zijian Zhang, Rox Min, Zuozhuo Dai, Jin Zhou, Jiangfeng Xiong, Xin Li, Bo Wu, Jianwei Zhang, et al. Hunyuanvideo: A systematic framework for large video generative models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.03603*, 2024.
- [44] Peter J Lang, Margaret M Bradley, Bruce N Cuthbert, et al. International affective picture system (iaps): Technical manual and affective ratings. *NIMH Center for the Study of Emotion and Attention*, 1(39-58):3, 1997.
- [45] Luca Lanzendörfer, Florian Grötschla, Emil Funke, and Roger Wattenhofer. Disco-10m: A large-scale music dataset. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36:54451–54471, 2023.
- [46] Bochen Li and Aparna Kumar. Query by video: Cross-modal music retrieval. In *ISMIR*, pages 604–611, 2019.
- [47] Bochen Li, Xinzhaoh Liu, Karthik Dinesh, Zhiyao Duan, and Gaurav Sharma. Creating a multitrack classical music performance dataset for multimodal music analysis: Challenges, insights, and applications. *IEEE Transactions on Multimedia*, 21(2):522–535, 2018.
- [48] Jiajun Li, Tianze Xu, Xuesong Chen, Xinrui Yao, and Shuchang Liu. Mozart’s touch: A lightweight multi-modal music generation framework based on pre-trained large models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.02801*, 2024.
- [49] Junnan Li, Dongxu Li, Caiming Xiong, and Steven Hoi. Blip: Bootstrapping language-image pre-training for unified vision-language understanding and generation. In *International conference on machine learning*, pages 12888–12900. PMLR, 2022.
- [50] KunChang Li, Yinan He, Yi Wang, Yizhuo Li, Wenhai Wang, Ping Luo, Yali Wang, Limin Wang, and Yu Qiao. Videochat: Chat-centric video understanding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.06355*, 2023.

- [51] Leida Li, Yipo Huang, Jinjian Wu, Yuzhe Yang, Yaqian Li, Yandong Guo, and Guangming Shi. Theme-aware visual attribute reasoning for image aesthetics assessment. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology*, 33(9):4798–4811, 2023.
- [52] Ruilong Li, Shan Yang, David A Ross, and Angjoo Kanazawa. Ai choreographer: Music conditioned 3d dance generation with aist++. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*, pages 13401–13412, 2021.
- [53] Ruiqi Li, Siqi Zheng, Xize Cheng, Ziang Zhang, Shengpeng Ji, and Zhou Zhao. Muvi: Video-to-music generation with semantic alignment and rhythmic synchronization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.12957*, 2024.
- [54] Sifei Li, Weiming Dong, Yuxin Zhang, Fan Tang, Chongyang Ma, Oliver Deussen, Tong-Yee Lee, and Changsheng Xu. Dance-to-music generation with encoder-based textual inversion. In *SIGGRAPH Asia 2024 Conference Papers*, pages 1–11, 2024.
- [55] Sifei Li, Binxin Yang, Chunji Yin, Chong Sun, Yuxin Zhang, Weiming Dong, and Chen Li. Vidmusician: Video-to-music generation with semantic-rhythmic alignment via hierarchical visual features. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.06296*, 2024.
- [56] Sizhe Li, Yiming Qin, Minghang Zheng, Xin Jin, and Yang Liu. Diff-bgm: A diffusion model for video background music generation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 27348–27357, 2024.
- [57] Xuelong Li, Di Hu, and Xiaoqiang Lu. Image2song: Song retrieval via bridging image content and lyric words. In *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 5649–5658, 2017.
- [58] Xiao Liang, Wensheng Li, Lifeng Huang, and Chengying Gao. Dancecomposer: Dance-to-music generation using a progressive conditional music generator. *IEEE Transactions on Multimedia*, 2024.
- [59] Yan-Bo Lin, Yu Tian, Linjie Yang, Gedas Bertasius, and Heng Wang. Vmas: Video-to-music generation via semantic alignment in web music videos. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2409.07450*, 2024.
- [60] Haohe Liu, Yi Yuan, Xubo Liu, Xinhao Mei, Qiuqiang Kong, Qiao Tian, Yuping Wang, Wenwu Wang, Yuxuan Wang, and Mark D Plumbley. Audioldm 2: Learning holistic audio generation with self-supervised pretraining. *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing*, 2024.
- [61] Shansong Liu, Atin Sakkeer Hussain, Chenshuo Sun, and Ying Shan. M²ugen: Multi-modal music understanding and generation with the power of large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.11255*, 2023.
- [62] Shansong Liu, Atin Sakkeer Hussain, Qilong Wu, Chenshuo Sun, and Ying Shan. Mumullama: Multi-modal music understanding and generation via large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.06660*, 2024.
- [63] Xiulong Liu, Kun Su, and Eli Shlizerman. Let the beat follow you-creating interactive drum sounds from body rhythm. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision*, pages 7187–7197, 2024.
- [64] Xiulong Liu, Kun Su, and Eli Shlizerman. Tell what you hear from what you see—video to audio generation through text. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2411.05679*, 2024.
- [65] Gen Luo, Xue Yang, Wenhan Dou, Zhaokai Wang, Jiawen Liu, Jifeng Dai, Yu Qiao, and Xizhou Zhu. Mono-intervl: Pushing the boundaries of monolithic multimodal large language models with endogenous visual pre-training. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.08202*, 2024.
- [66] Yinghao Ma, Anders Øland, Anton Ragni, Bleiz MacSen Del Sette, Charalampos Saitis, Chris Donahue, Chenghua Lin, Christos Plachouras, Emmanouil Benetos, Elona Shatri, et al. Foundation models for music: A survey. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2408.14340*, 2024.
- [67] Artur Marchewka, Łukasz Żurawski, Katarzyna Jednoróg, and Anna Grabowska. The nencki affective picture system (naps): Introduction to a novel, standardized, wide-range, high-quality, realistic picture database. *Behavior research methods*, 46:596–610, 2014.

- [68] Lejun Min, Junyan Jiang, Gus Xia, and Jingwei Zhao. Polyffusion: A diffusion model for polyphonic score generation with internal and external controls. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.10304*, 2023.
- [69] Yagya Raj Pandeya and Joonwhoan Lee. Deep learning-based late fusion of multimodal information for emotion classification of music video. *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, 80(2):2887–2905, 2021.
- [70] Ashis Pati and Alexander Lerch. Latent space regularization for explicit control of musical attributes. In *ICML Machine Learning for Music Discovery Workshop (MLAMD), Extended Abstract, Long Beach, CA, USA*, 2019.
- [71] William Peebles and Saining Xie. Scalable diffusion models with transformers. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*, pages 4195–4205, 2023.
- [72] Vitali Petsiuk, Alexander E Siemenn, Saisamrit Surbehera, Zad Chin, Keith Tyser, Gregory Hunter, Arvind Raghavan, Yann Hicke, Bryan A Plummer, Ori Kerret, et al. Human evaluation of text-to-image models on a multi-task benchmark. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.12112*, 2022.
- [73] Stanislav Pidhorskyi, Donald A Adjeroh, and Gianfranco Doretto. Adversarial latent autoencoders. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 14104–14113, 2020.
- [74] Adam Polyak, Amit Zohar, Andrew Brown, Andros Tjandra, Animesh Sinha, Ann Lee, Apoorv Vyas, Bowen Shi, Chih-Yao Ma, Ching-Yao Chuang, David Yan, et al. Movie gen: A cast of media foundation models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.13720*, 2024.
- [75] Fan Qi, L Ni, and C Xu. Harmonizing pixels and melodies: Maestro-guided film score generation and composition style transfer. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2411.07539*, 2024.
- [76] Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Chris Hallacy, Aditya Ramesh, Gabriel Goh, Sandhini Agarwal, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Pamela Mishkin, Jack Clark, et al. Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision. In *International conference on machine learning*, pages 8748–8763. PmLR, 2021.
- [77] Colin Raffel, Noam Shazeer, Adam Roberts, Katherine Lee, Sharan Narang, Michael Matena, Yanqi Zhou, Wei Li, and Peter J Liu. Exploring the limits of transfer learning with a unified text-to-text transformer. *Journal of machine learning research*, 21(140):1–67, 2020.
- [78] Robin Rombach, Andreas Blattmann, Dominik Lorenz, Patrick Esser, and Björn Ommer. High-resolution image synthesis with latent diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 10684–10695, 2022.
- [79] Robin Rombach, Andreas Blattmann, Dominik Lorenz, Patrick Esser, and Björn Ommer. High-resolution image synthesis with latent diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 10684–10695, 2022.
- [80] Chaitanya Ryali, Yuan-Ting Hu, Daniel Bolya, Chen Wei, Haoqi Fan, Po-Yao Huang, Vaibhav Aggarwal, Arkabandhu Chowdhury, Omid Poursaeed, Judy Hoffman, et al. Hiera: A hierarchical vision transformer without the bells-and-whistles. In *International conference on machine learning*, pages 29441–29454. PMLR, 2023.
- [81] A Santos, H Pinto, R Pereira Jorge, and Nuno Correia. Musyfi: music synthesis from images. In *Proceedings of the 12th International Conference on Computational Creativity*, pages 103–112, 2021.
- [82] Megha Sharma, Muhammad Taimoor Haseeb, Gus Xia, and Yoshimasa Tsuruoka. M2m-gen: A multi-modal framework for automated background music generation in japanese manga using large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.09928*, 2024.
- [83] Jiaming Song, Chenlin Meng, and Stefano Ermon. Denoising diffusion implicit models. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2021.
- [84] Kun Su, Judith Yue Li, Qingqing Huang, Dima Kuzmin, Joonseok Lee, Chris Donahue, Fei Sha, Aren Jansen, Yu Wang, Mauro Verzetti, et al. V2meow: meowing to the visual beat via video-to-music generation. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 38, pages 4952–4960, 2024.

- [85] Kun Su, Xiulong Liu, and Eli Shlizerman. Audeo: Audio generation for a silent performance video. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 33:3325–3337, 2020.
- [86] Kun Su, Xiulong Liu, and Eli Shlizerman. Multi-instrumentalist net: Unsupervised generation of music from body movements. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2012.03478*, 2020.
- [87] Kun Su, Xiulong Liu, and Eli Shlizerman. How does it sound? *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 34:29258–29273, 2021.
- [88] Hao Hao Tan and Dorien Herremans. Music fadernets: Controllable music generation based on high-level features via low-level feature modelling. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2007.15474*, 2020.
- [89] Xiaodong Tan, Mathis Antony, and H Kong. Automated music generation for visual art through emotion. In *ICCC*, pages 247–250, 2020.
- [90] Yihong Tang, Ao Qu, Zhaokai Wang, Dingyi Zhuang, Zhaofeng Wu, Wei Ma, Shenhao Wang, Yunhan Zheng, Zhan Zhao, and Jinhua Zhao. Sparkle: Mastering basic spatial capabilities in vision language models elicits generalization to composite spatial reasoning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.16162*, 2024.
- [91] Yihong Tang, Zhaokai Wang, Ao Qu, Yihao Yan, Zhaofeng Wu, Dingyi Zhuang, Jushi Kai, Kebing Hou, Xiaotong Guo, Han Zheng, et al. Itinera: Integrating spatial optimization with large language models for open-domain urban itinerary planning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.07204*, 2024.
- [92] Zineng Tang, Ziyi Yang, Chenguang Zhu, Michael Zeng, and Mohit Bansal. Any-to-any generation via composable diffusion. *NeurIPS*, 36, 2024.
- [93] Ha Thi Phuong Thao, Gemma Roig, and Dorien Herremans. Emomv: Affective music-video correspondence learning datasets for classification and retrieval. *Information Fusion*, 91:64–79, 2023.
- [94] Sida Tian, Can Zhang, Wei Yuan, Wei Tan, and Wenjie Zhu. Xmusic: Towards a generalized and controllable symbolic music generation framework. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2501.08809*, 2025.
- [95] Zeyue Tian, Yizhu Jin, Zhaoyang Liu, Ruibin Yuan, Xu Tan, Qifeng Chen, Wei Xue, and Yike Guo. Audiox: Diffusion transformer for anything-to-audio generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2503.10522*, 2025.
- [96] Zeyue Tian, Zhaoyang Liu, Ruibin Yuan, Jiahao Pan, Qifeng Liu, Xu Tan, Qifeng Chen, Wei Xue, and Yike Guo. Vidmuse: A simple video-to-music generation framework with long-short-term modeling. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.04321*, 2024.
- [97] Xinyi Tong, Sitong Chen, Peiyang Yu, Nian Liu, Hui Qv, Tao Ma, Bo Zheng, Feng Yu, and Song-Chun Zhu. Video echoed in harmony: Learning and sampling video-integrated chord progression sequences for controllable video background music generation. *IEEE Transactions on Computational Social Systems*, 2024.
- [98] Hugo Touvron, Louis Martin, Kevin Stone, Peter Albert, Amjad Almahairi, Yasmine Babaei, Nikolay Bashlykov, Soumya Batra, Prajjwal Bhargava, Shruti Bhosale, et al. Llama 2: Open foundation and fine-tuned chat models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.09288*, 2023.
- [99] Shuhei Tsuchida, Satoru Fukayama, Masahiro Hamasaki, and Masataka Goto. Aist dance video database: Multi-genre, multi-dancer, and multi-camera database for dance information processing. In *ISMIR*, volume 1, page 6, 2019.
- [100] Aaron Van Den Oord, Oriol Vinyals, et al. Neural discrete representation learning. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 30, 2017.
- [101] Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N Gomez, Łukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. Attention is all you need. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 30, 2017.
- [102] Gaurav Verma, Eeshan Gunesh Dhekane, and Tanaya Guha. Learning affective correspondence between music and image. In *ICASSP 2019-2019 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, pages 3975–3979. IEEE, 2019.

- [103] Baisen Wang, Le Zhuo, Zhaokai Wang, Chenxi Bao, Wu Chengjing, Xuecheng Nie, Jiao Dai, Jizhong Han, Yue Liao, and Si Liu. Multimodal music generation with explicit bridges and retrieval augmentation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.09428*, 2024.
- [104] Limin Wang, Bingkun Huang, Zhiyu Zhao, Zhan Tong, Yinan He, Yi Wang, Yali Wang, and Yu Qiao. Videomae v2: Scaling video masked autoencoders with dual masking. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 14549–14560, 2023.
- [105] Xinlong Wang, Xiaosong Zhang, Zhengxiong Luo, Quan Sun, Yufeng Cui, Jinsheng Wang, Fan Zhang, Yueze Wang, Zhen Li, Qiyang Yu, et al. Emu3: Next-token prediction is all you need. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2409.18869*, 2024.
- [106] Yajie Wang, Mulin Chen, and Xuelong Li. Continuous emotion-based image-to-music generation. *IEEE Transactions on Multimedia*, 26:5670–5679, 2023.
- [107] Zhaokai Wang, Xizhou Zhu, Xue Yang, Gen Luo, Hao Li, Changyao Tian, Wenhan Dou, Junqi Ge, Lewei Lu, Yu Qiao, and Jifeng Dai. Parameter-inverted image pyramid networks for visual perception and multimodal understanding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2501.07783*, 2025.
- [108] Ziyu Wang, Ke Chen, Junyan Jiang, Yiyi Zhang, Maoran Xu, Shuqi Dai, Xianbin Gu, and Gus Xia. Pop909: A pop-song dataset for music arrangement generation. In *ISMIR*, 2020.
- [109] Shengqiong Wu, Hao Fei, Leigang Qu, Wei Ji, and Tat-Seng Chua. Next-gpt: Any-to-any multimodal llm. *arXiv: 2309.05519*, 2023.
- [110] Xixuan Wu, Yu Qiao, Xiaogang Wang, and Xiaoou Tang. Bridging music and image via cross-modal ranking analysis. *IEEE Transactions on Multimedia*, 18(7):1305–1318, 2016.
- [111] J Xia, M Zhuge, T Geng, S Fan, Y Wei, Z He, and F Zheng. Skating-mixer: Multimodal mlp for scoring figure skating. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2203.03990*, 2022.
- [112] Zhifeng Xie, Qile He, Youjia Zhu, Qiwei He, and Mengtian Li. Filmcomposer: Llm-driven music production for silent film clips. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2503.08147*, 2025.
- [113] Zeyu Xiong, Pei-Chun Lin, and Amin Farjudian. Retaining semantics in image to music conversion. In *2022 IEEE International Symposium on Multimedia (ISM)*, pages 228–235. IEEE, 2022.
- [114] Chengming Xu, Yanwei Fu, Bing Zhang, Zitian Chen, Yu-Gang Jiang, and Xiangyang Xue. Learning to score figure skating sport videos. *IEEE transactions on circuits and systems for video technology*, 30(12):4578–4590, 2019.
- [115] Hu Xu, Gargi Ghosh, Po-Yao Huang, Dmytro Okhonko, Armen Aghajanyan, Florian Metze, Luke Zettlemoyer, and Christoph Feichtenhofer. Videoclip: Contrastive pre-training for zero-shot video-text understanding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2109.14084*, 2021.
- [116] Han Yang, Kun Su, Yutong Zhang, Jiaben Chen, Kaizhi Qian, Gaowen Liu, and Chuang Gan. Unimomo: Unified text, music and motion generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.04534*, 2024.
- [117] Quanzeng You, Jiebo Luo, Hailin Jin, and Jianchao Yang. Building a large scale dataset for image emotion recognition: The fine print and the benchmark. In *Proceedings of the AAAI conference on artificial intelligence*, volume 30, 2016.
- [118] Jiahui Yu, Xin Li, Jing Yu Koh, Han Zhang, Ruoming Pang, James Qin, Alexander Ku, Yuanzhong Xu, Jason Baldridge, and Yonghui Wu. Vector-quantized image modeling with improved vqgan. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.04627*, 2021.
- [119] Jiashuo Yu, Yaohui Wang, Xinyuan Chen, Xiao Sun, and Yu Qiao. Long-term rhythmic video soundtrack. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 40339–40353. PMLR, 2023.
- [120] Ruibin Yuan, Hanfeng Lin, Shuyue Guo, Ge Zhang, Jiahao Pan, Yongyi Zang, Haohe Liu, Yiming Liang, Wenye Ma, Xingjian Du, et al. Yue: Scaling open foundation models for long-form music generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2503.08638*, 2025.

- [121] Yang Yue, Yulin Wang, Bingyi Kang, Yizeng Han, Shenzhi Wang, Shiji Song, Jiashi Feng, and Gao Huang. Deer-vla: Dynamic inference of multimodal large language models for efficient robot execution. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 37:56619–56643, 2024.
- [122] Hang Zhang, Xin Li, and Lidong Bing. Video-llama: An instruction-tuned audio-visual language model for video understanding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.02858*, 2023.
- [123] Hang Zhang, Xin Li, and Lidong Bing. Video-llama: An instruction-tuned audio-visual language model for video understanding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.02858*, 2023.
- [124] Liqian Zhang and Magdalena Fuentes. Sonique: Video background music generation using unpaired audio-visual data. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.03879*, 2024.
- [125] Runbang Zhang, Yixiao Zhang, Kai Shao, Ying Shan, and Gus Xia. Vis2mus: Exploring multimodal representation mapping for controllable music generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.05543*, 2022.
- [126] Zhicheng Zhang, Lijuan Wang, and Jufeng Yang. Weakly supervised video emotion detection and prediction via cross-modal temporal erasing network. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 18888–18897, 2023.
- [127] Hang Zhao, Chuang Gan, Andrew Rouditchenko, Carl Vondrick, Josh McDermott, and Antonio Torralba. The sound of pixels. In *Proceedings of the European conference on computer vision (ECCV)*, pages 570–586, 2018.
- [128] Zitang Zhou, Ke Mei, Yu Lu, Tianyi Wang, and Fengyun Rao. Harmonysset: A comprehensive dataset for understanding video-music semantic alignment and temporal synchronization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2503.01725*, 2025.
- [129] Ye Zhu, Kyle Olszewski, Yu Wu, Panos Achlioptas, Menglei Chai, Yan Yan, and Sergey Tulyakov. Quantized gan for complex music generation from dance videos. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 182–199. Springer, 2022.
- [130] Ye Zhu, Yu Wu, Kyle Olszewski, Jian Ren, Sergey Tulyakov, and Yan Yan. Discrete contrastive diffusion for cross-modal music and image generation. In *The Eleventh International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2023.
- [131] Yueyue Zhu, Jared Baca, Banafsheh Rekabdar, and Reza Rawassizadeh. A survey of ai music generation tools and models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.12982*, 2023.
- [132] Le Zhuo, Ruoyi Du, Han Xiao, Yangguang Li, Dongyang Liu, Rongjie Huang, Wenze Liu, Xiangyang Zhu, Fu-Yun Wang, Zhanyu Ma, et al. Lumina-next: Making lumina-t2x stronger and faster with next-dit. In *The Thirty-eighth Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems*.
- [133] Le Zhuo, Zhaokai Wang, Baisen Wang, Yue Liao, Chenxi Bao, Stanley Peng, Songhao Han, Aixi Zhang, Fei Fang, and Si Liu. Video background music generation: Dataset, method and evaluation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 15637–15647, 2023.
- [134] Heda Zuo, Weitao You, Junxian Wu, Shihong Ren, Pei Chen, Mingxu Zhou, Yujia Lu, and Lingyun Sun. Gvmgen: A general video-to-music generation model with hierarchical attentions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2501.09972*, 2025.