

Remarks on the commutation relations between the Gauss–Weierstrass semigroup and monomial weights

Yi C. Huang¹, Ryunosuke Kusaba^{*2}, and Tohru Ozawa³

¹School of Mathematical Sciences,
Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing 210023, China

²Department of Pure and Applied Physics,
Graduate School of Advanced Science and Engineering,
Waseda University, 3-4-1 Okubo, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 169-8555, Japan

³Department of Applied Physics,
Waseda University, 3-4-1 Okubo, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 169-8555, Japan

Abstract

We consider the commutation relations between the Gauss–Weierstrass semigroup on \mathbb{R}^n , generated by convolution with the complex Gauss kernel, and monomial weights. We provide an explicit representation and a sharper estimate of the commutation relations with more concise proofs than those in previous works.

Keywords: Gauss–Weierstrass semigroup, complex Ginzburg–Landau semigroup, monomial weights, commutation relations, commutator estimates.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary: 47B47; Secondary: 35K08, 35K58, 47A55.

E-mail: Yi.Huang.Analysis@gmail.com (Yi C. Huang), ryu2411501@akane.waseda.jp (Ryunosuke Kusaba), txozawa@waseda.jp (Tohru Ozawa)

*Corresponding author: Ryunosuke Kusaba

1 Introduction

The Gauss–Weierstrass semigroup on \mathbb{R}^n is defined by

$$e^{\omega\Delta}\varphi := G_\omega * \varphi$$

for $\omega \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ with $\operatorname{Re}\omega \geq 0$ and $\varphi \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $q \in [1, +\infty]$, where $G_\omega: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is the complex Gauss kernel given by

$$G_\omega(x) := (4\pi\omega)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|x|^2}{4\omega}\right), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

and $*$ is the convolution in \mathbb{R}^n . In 1979, Weissler [18] obtained the L^p - L^q hypercontractive estimates of the Gauss–Weierstrass semigroup with precise operator norms and Gaussian extremizers, subject to certain restrictions on p and q (see [18, Theorem 3]). For recent developments in harmonic analysis related to the so-called Weissler conjecture, we refer to [10]. The Gauss–Weierstrass semigroup also appears in the context of partial differential equations as semigroups associated with time evolution equations such as the nonlinear Schrödinger equation ($\omega = it$ with $t \in \mathbb{R}$):

$$i\partial_t u + \Delta u = \lambda |u|^{p-1} u, \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (1.1)$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, and the complex Ginzburg–Landau equation ($\omega = t\nu$ with $t > 0$ and $\operatorname{Re}\nu > 0$):

$$\partial_t u - \nu\Delta u = \mu u + \lambda |u|^{p-1} u, \quad (t, x) \in (0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (1.2)$$

where $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. We remark that the complex Ginzburg–Landau equation includes the nonlinear heat equation ($\omega = t > 0$) as a special case:

$$\partial_t u - \Delta u = \lambda |u|^{p-1} u, \quad (t, x) \in (0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (1.3)$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. These equations have a broad background in both physics and mathematics. We refer to [16, 3] for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation, [1, 5, 12] for the complex Ginzburg–Landau equation, [6, 15] for the nonlinear heat equation, and the references therein.

In this paper, we focus on the commutation relations between the Gauss–Weierstrass semigroup and monomial weights

$$[x^\alpha, e^{\omega\Delta}] \varphi := x^\alpha e^{\omega\Delta} \varphi - e^{\omega\Delta} (x^\alpha \varphi)$$

for $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$. From the perspective of operator theory, the above commutation relation measures the difference between the semigroup $e^{\omega\Delta}$ and its perturbation $(1/x^\alpha) e^{\omega\Delta} x^\alpha$, or more conveniently, its “dominant” inhomogeneous version $(1 + |x|^2)^{-|\alpha|/2} e^{\omega\Delta} (1 + |x|^2)^{|\alpha|/2}$. This perturbation approach is widely used in establishing various smoothing estimates of the heat semigroup and has a huge impact on problems in harmonic analysis, such as the Calderón–Zygmund theory for non-integral operators. See [4, 2] for example. From the viewpoint of partial differential equations, the commutation relations are useful for investigating properties of solutions. If $\alpha = e_j$, where $(e_j; j = 1, \dots, n)$ is the standard basis of \mathbb{R}^n , then a straightforward computation yields

$$[x_j, e^{\omega\Delta}] \varphi = -2\omega \partial_j e^{\omega\Delta} \varphi.$$

From the above identity, we can expect that the commutation relations provide the connection between the decay of the initial data and the semigroup at the far field and the regularity of the semigroup. In the case where $\omega = it$ with $t \in \mathbb{R}$, Jensen [11] employed the commutation relations to obtain precise information on the smoothing effect of the Schrödinger evolution group in the weighted Sobolev spaces.

See also [13, 14] and the references therein. As for the case where $\omega = t\nu$ with $t > 0$ and $\operatorname{Re} \nu > 0$, in [12], the second and third authors of this paper derived an explicit representation of the commutation relations in terms of a linear combination of the semigroup and its derivatives. Furthermore, they established a commutator estimate that refines the standard weighted estimate

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=m} \|x^\alpha e^{t\nu\Delta} \varphi\|_q \leq C \left(\| |x|^m \varphi \|_q + t^{\frac{m}{2}} \|\varphi\|_q \right).$$

As an application, they presented a new approach to deriving weighted estimates of global solutions to (1.2) in the super Fujita-critical case: $p > 1 + 2/n$ (see Appendix B). The weighted estimates of the global solutions play an important role in investigating their large-time asymptotic behavior. For details on this topic, we refer to [7, 9, 8, 12] for example. In this paper, we concentrate on the case where $\operatorname{Re} \omega > 0$ and refine the results in [12] on the representation of the commutation relations and their estimates.

In what follows, for $q \in [1, +\infty]$, let $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n) = L^q(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{C})$ denote the standard Lebesgue space equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|_q := \|\cdot\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. For each $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, we define the weighted L^q -space by

$$L_m^q(\mathbb{R}^n) := \left\{ \varphi \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n); x^\alpha \varphi \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ for any } \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n \text{ with } |\alpha| \leq m \right\},$$

where $x^\alpha \varphi$ means the function $\mathbb{R}^n \ni x \mapsto x^\alpha \varphi(x) \in \mathbb{C}$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\omega \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfy $\operatorname{Re} \omega > 0$. Let $q \in [1, +\infty]$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, and $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ with $|\alpha| = m$. Then, $x^\alpha e^{\omega\Delta} \varphi \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ holds for any $\varphi \in L_m^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with the identity*

$$[x^\alpha, e^{\omega\Delta}] \varphi = R_\alpha(\omega) \varphi$$

in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where

$$R_\alpha(\omega) \varphi := \sum_{\substack{\beta+\gamma=\alpha \\ \beta \neq 0}} \sum_{\substack{2\kappa \leq \beta \\ \beta \neq 0}} \frac{\alpha!}{\gamma! \kappa! (\beta - 2\kappa)!} \omega^{|\kappa|} (-2\omega\partial)^{\beta-2\kappa} e^{\omega\Delta} (x^\gamma \varphi).$$

In [12], it was shown that $R_\alpha(\omega) \varphi$ is given by

$$R_\alpha(\omega) \varphi = \sum_{\substack{\beta+\gamma=\alpha \\ \beta \neq 0}} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta! \gamma!} (-2\omega\partial)^\beta e^{\omega\Delta} (x^\gamma \varphi) + \sum_{\substack{\beta+\gamma \leq \alpha, |\beta+\gamma| \leq |\alpha|-2 \\ |\beta|+1 \leq \ell \leq \frac{|\alpha|+|\beta|-|\gamma|}{2}}} C_{\ell\beta\gamma}^\alpha \omega^\ell \partial^\beta e^{\omega\Delta} (x^\gamma \varphi)$$

with some implicit constants $C_{\ell\beta\gamma}^\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ independent of ω . Theorem 1.1 implies that the second sum in the above representation can be unified into the first sum with explicit constants.

We prove Theorem 1.1 by using the binomial expansion. In addition, we provide an alternative proof for the case where $q \neq +\infty$, employing the Fourier transform and a density argument. In both proofs, the multi-variable Hermite polynomials with the complex parameter ω play a crucial role. We remark that the Hermite polynomials are also useful for studying the smoothing effect of the Schrödinger evolution group (cf. [13]).

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\omega \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfy $\operatorname{Re} \omega > 0$. Let $1 \leq q \leq p \leq +\infty$ and let $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Then, the estimate*

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=m} \|[x^\alpha, e^{\omega\Delta}] \varphi\|_p \leq A_{m,r}(\theta) |\omega|^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})} \left(|\omega|^{\frac{1}{2}} \| |x|^{m-1} \varphi \|_q + |\omega|^{\frac{m}{2}} \|\varphi\|_q \right)$$

holds for any $\varphi \in L_m^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where

$$A_{m,r}(\theta) := \frac{(n+m-1)!}{(n-1)!m!} (4\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}(1-\frac{1}{r})} \left(\frac{2}{r \cos \theta}\right)^{\frac{n}{2r}} \left\{ \left[\left(\frac{4m}{e \cos \theta}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + 1 \right]^m - 1 \right\},$$

$\theta \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$ with $e^{i\theta} = \omega/|\omega|$, and $r \in [1, +\infty]$ with $1/p + 1 = 1/r + 1/q$.

In [12], it was proved that the estimate

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=m} \|[x^\alpha, e^{\omega\Delta}] \varphi\|_1 \leq C \left(|\omega|^{\frac{1}{2}} \| |x|^{m-1} \varphi \|_1 + \left(|\omega|^{\frac{1}{2}} + |\omega|^{\frac{m}{2}} \right) \|\varphi\|_1 \right)$$

holds for any $\varphi \in L_m^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $C > 0$ is independent of ω . Theorem 1.2 enables us to eliminate the term $|\omega|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\varphi\|_1$ in the above estimate, which is reasonable from the viewpoint of scaling. Furthermore, we explicitly determine how the operator norm bound C depends on the parameter ω . This parameter dependence is important in the scenarios of considering the vanishing limits as $\operatorname{Re} \omega \rightarrow 0$ or $\operatorname{Im} \omega \rightarrow 0$. We emphasize that $A_{m,r}(\theta)$ is independent of $\sin \theta$ and, in particular, that $A_{m,r}(\theta) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $|\theta| \rightarrow \pi/2$. This fact naturally leads us to employ the weighted Sobolev spaces in the case where $\operatorname{Re} \omega = 0$, namely, the case where $|\theta| = \pi/2$ as in [11, 13, 14].

Remark 1.3. An estimate similar to that in Theorem 1.2 is true if the monomial weights x^α with $|\alpha| = m$ are replaced by the positive and monotonic weight $|x|^m$. In fact, under the same assumption as in Theorem 1.2, the estimate

$$\|[|x|^m, e^{\omega\Delta}] \varphi\|_p \leq \tilde{A}_{m,r}(\theta) |\omega|^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})} \left(|\omega|^{\frac{1}{2}} \| |x|^{m-1} \varphi \|_q + |\omega|^{\frac{m}{2}} \|\varphi\|_q \right)$$

holds for any $\varphi \in L_m^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where

$$\tilde{A}_{m,r}(\theta) := (4\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}(1-\frac{1}{r})} \left(\frac{2}{r \cos \theta}\right)^{\frac{n}{2r}} \left\{ \left[\left(\frac{4m}{e \cos \theta}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + 1 \right]^m - 1 \right\},$$

$\theta \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$ with $e^{i\theta} = \omega/|\omega|$, and $r \in [1, +\infty]$ with $1/p + 1 = 1/r + 1/q$. However, it seems impossible to represent $[|x|^m, e^{\omega\Delta}] \varphi$ as a linear combination of the Gauss–Weierstrass semigroup and its derivatives.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we recall the fundamental properties of the multi-variable Hermite polynomials with the complex parameter ω . In Sections 3 and 4, we give the proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, respectively.

2 Hermite polynomials

We first recall the multi-index notation. Let $\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ be the set of all positive integers and let $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} := \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \cup \{0\}$. For $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$, $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$, and $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we define $\alpha \pm \beta$ and $\lambda\alpha$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \pm \beta &:= (\alpha_1 \pm \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_n \pm \beta_n), \\ \lambda\alpha &:= (\lambda\alpha_1, \dots, \lambda\alpha_n). \end{aligned}$$

In addition, $\beta \leq \alpha$ means that $\beta_j \leq \alpha_j$ holds for any $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. We remark that $\alpha + \beta, \lambda \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, while $\alpha - \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ if and only if $\beta \leq \alpha$. For $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ and $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we set

$$|\alpha| := \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j, \quad \alpha! := \prod_{j=1}^n \alpha_j!, \quad x^\alpha := \prod_{j=1}^n x_j^{\alpha_j}, \quad \partial^\alpha = \partial_x^\alpha := \prod_{j=1}^n \partial_j^{\alpha_j}, \quad \partial_j := \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}.$$

For $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, let $e_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ denote a multi-index with $|e_j| = 1$ whose components are 0 except for the j th coordinate.

Let $\omega \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ satisfy $\operatorname{Re} \omega \geq 0$. To rewrite the derivatives of the complex Gauss kernel G_ω explicitly, we next introduce the multi-variable Hermite polynomials with the complex parameter ω . As for the basic properties of the Hermite polynomials, we refer to [17, Section 1.4] and the references therein. For each $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$, we define the Hermite polynomial of order α by

$$\mathbf{H}_{\omega, \alpha}(x) := (-1)^{|\alpha|} \exp\left(\frac{|x|^2}{\omega}\right) \partial^\alpha \exp\left(-\frac{|x|^2}{\omega}\right), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

By a simple computation, we obtain

$$\mathbf{H}_{\omega, \alpha}(x) = \sum_{2\beta \leq \alpha} \frac{(-1)^{|\beta|} \alpha!}{\beta! (\alpha - 2\beta)!} \omega^{-|\alpha - \beta|} (2x)^{\alpha - 2\beta}.$$

In addition, we see that

$$(\partial^\alpha G_\omega)(x) = (-2)^{-|\alpha|} \mathbf{H}_{\omega, \alpha}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) G_\omega(x).$$

Hence, by setting

$$\mathbf{h}_{\omega, \alpha}(x) := \mathbf{H}_{\omega, \alpha}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) = \sum_{2\beta \leq \alpha} \frac{(-1)^{|\beta|} \alpha!}{\beta! (\alpha - 2\beta)!} \omega^{-|\alpha - \beta|} x^{\alpha - 2\beta}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

we can rewrite $\partial^\alpha G_\omega$ as

$$(\partial^\alpha G_\omega)(x) = (-2)^{-|\alpha|} \mathbf{h}_{\omega, \alpha}(x) G_\omega(x). \quad (2.1)$$

The following lemma plays an important role in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$. Then, the identity*

$$x^\alpha = \sum_{2\beta \leq \alpha} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta! (\alpha - 2\beta)!} \omega^{|\alpha - \beta|} \mathbf{h}_{\omega, \alpha - 2\beta}(x)$$

holds for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

The above lemma provides the inverse representation of the Hermite polynomials, namely, the expansion of monomials in terms of the Hermite polynomials. In the case where $\omega = 1$, this representation is relatively well known. However, even in this case, we could not find a reference that gives a rigorous proof. For the convenience of the reader, we present the proof of Lemma 2.1 in Appendix A.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

We first give the proof of Theorem 1.1 with the aid of the binomial expansion.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $\varphi \in L_m^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We note that $x^\beta G_\omega \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for any $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ since $\operatorname{Re} \omega > 0$. For $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ with $|\gamma| \leq m$, it follows from Young's inequality that

$$\|(x^\beta G_\omega) * (x^\gamma \varphi)\|_q \leq \|x^\beta G_\omega\|_1 \|x^\gamma \varphi\|_q < +\infty,$$

which implies $(x^\beta G_\omega) * (x^\gamma \varphi) \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By the binomial expansion, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta!\gamma!} \left((x^\beta G_\omega) * (x^\gamma \varphi) \right) (x) &= \sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta!\gamma!} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (x-y)^\beta G_\omega(x-y) y^\gamma \varphi(y) dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} ((x-y) + y)^\alpha G_\omega(x-y) \varphi(y) dy \\ &= x^\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G_\omega(x-y) \varphi(y) dy \\ &= x^\alpha (e^{\omega \Delta} \varphi)(x). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we deduce that $x^\alpha e^{\omega \Delta} \varphi \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$[x^\alpha, e^{\omega \Delta}] \varphi = \sum_{\substack{\beta+\gamma=\alpha \\ \beta \neq 0}} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta!\gamma!} (x^\beta G_\omega) * (x^\gamma \varphi).$$

Moreover, by virtue of Lemma 2.1 and (2.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{\beta+\gamma=\alpha \\ \beta \neq 0}} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta!\gamma!} \left((x^\beta G_\omega) * (x^\gamma \varphi) \right) (x) &= \sum_{\substack{\beta+\gamma=\alpha \\ \beta \neq 0}} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta!\gamma!} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (x-y)^\beta G_\omega(x-y) y^\gamma \varphi(y) dy \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\beta+\gamma=\alpha \\ \beta \neq 0}} \sum_{2\kappa \leq \beta} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta!\gamma!} \frac{\beta!}{\kappa! (\beta-2\kappa)!} \omega^{|\beta-\kappa|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbf{h}_{\omega, \beta-2\kappa}(x-y) G_\omega(x-y) y^\gamma \varphi(y) dy \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\beta+\gamma=\alpha \\ \beta \neq 0}} \sum_{2\kappa \leq \beta} \frac{\alpha!}{\gamma!\kappa! (\beta-2\kappa)!} (-2)^{|\beta-2\kappa|} \omega^{|\beta-\kappa|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\partial^{\beta-2\kappa} G_\omega \right) (x-y) y^\gamma \varphi(y) dy \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\beta+\gamma=\alpha \\ \beta \neq 0}} \sum_{2\kappa \leq \beta} \frac{\alpha!}{\gamma!\kappa! (\beta-2\kappa)!} \omega^{|\kappa|} \left((-2\omega \partial)^{\beta-2\kappa} e^{\omega \Delta} (x^\gamma \varphi) \right) (x). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1. □

We next prove Theorem 1.1 with $q \neq +\infty$ by using the Fourier transform. Here and hereafter, let $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the Schwartz space, namely, the space of all functions on \mathbb{R}^n whose derivatives are rapidly decreasing. We define the Fourier transform \mathcal{F} on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by

$$(\mathcal{F}\varphi)(\xi) := (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} \varphi(x) dx, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

for $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, whose inverse is given by

$$(\mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi)(x) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix \cdot \xi} \varphi(\xi) d\xi, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Then, we see that the identities

$$(i\partial)^\alpha \mathcal{F}\varphi = \mathcal{F}(x^\alpha \varphi), \quad \xi^\alpha \mathcal{F}\varphi = \mathcal{F}((-i\partial)^\alpha \varphi)$$

hold for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 with $q \neq +\infty$. Since $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $L_m^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if $q \neq +\infty$ and $e^{\omega\Delta}$ is continuous on $L_m^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$, it suffices to consider the case where $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We start with the fact that $e^{\omega\Delta}\varphi$ can be represented as

$$e^{\omega\Delta}\varphi = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left(\exp(-\omega|\xi|^2) \mathcal{F}\varphi \right).$$

We remark that $e^{\omega\Delta}\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By using the above representation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(x^\alpha e^{\omega\Delta}\varphi) &= (i\partial)^\alpha \mathcal{F}e^{\omega\Delta}\varphi \\ &= (i\partial)^\alpha \left(\exp(-\omega|\xi|^2) \mathcal{F}\varphi \right) \\ &= \sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta!\gamma!} (i\partial)^\beta \exp(-\omega|\xi|^2) (i\partial)^\gamma \mathcal{F}\varphi. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the definition of the Hermite polynomials that

$$\begin{aligned} (i\partial)^\beta \exp(-\omega|\xi|^2) &= (-i)^{|\beta|} \left[(-1)^{|\beta|} \exp(\omega|\xi|^2) \partial^\beta \exp(-\omega|\xi|^2) \right] \exp(-\omega|\xi|^2) \\ &= (-i)^{|\beta|} \mathbf{H}_{1/\omega, \beta}(\xi) \exp(-\omega|\xi|^2). \end{aligned}$$

Combining these identities yields

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(x^\alpha e^{\omega\Delta}\varphi) &= \sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta!\gamma!} (-i)^{|\beta|} \mathbf{H}_{1/\omega, \beta}(\xi) \exp(-\omega|\xi|^2) (i\partial)^\gamma \mathcal{F}\varphi \\ &= \sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta!\gamma!} (-i)^{|\beta|} \mathbf{H}_{1/\omega, \beta}(\xi) \exp(-\omega|\xi|^2) \mathcal{F}(x^\gamma \varphi) \\ &= \sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta!\gamma!} (-i)^{|\beta|} \mathbf{H}_{1/\omega, \beta}(\xi) \mathcal{F}e^{\omega\Delta}(x^\gamma \varphi) \\ &= \mathcal{F} \left(\sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta!\gamma!} (-i)^{|\beta|} \mathbf{H}_{1/\omega, \beta}(-i\partial) e^{\omega\Delta}(x^\gamma \varphi) \right), \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$x^\alpha e^{\omega\Delta}\varphi = \sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta!\gamma!} (-i)^{|\beta|} \mathbf{H}_{1/\omega, \beta}(-i\partial) e^{\omega\Delta}(x^\gamma \varphi).$$

We note that

$$(-i)^{|\beta|} \mathbf{H}_{1/\omega, \beta}(-i\partial) = (-i)^{|\beta|} \sum_{2\kappa \leq \beta} \frac{\beta!}{\kappa! (\beta - 2\kappa)!} \omega^{|\beta - \kappa|} (-2i\partial)^{\beta - 2\kappa}$$

$$= \sum_{2\kappa \leq \beta} \frac{\beta!}{\kappa! (\beta - 2\kappa)!} \omega^{|\kappa|} (-2\omega\partial)^{\beta - 2\kappa}.$$

Taking into account the fact that $\mathbf{H}_{1/\omega,0} \equiv 1$, we conclude that

$$x^\alpha e^{\omega\Delta} \varphi = e^{\omega\Delta} (x^\alpha \varphi) + \sum_{\substack{\beta+\gamma=\alpha \\ \beta \neq 0}} \sum_{2\kappa \leq \beta} \frac{\alpha!}{\gamma! \kappa! (\beta - 2\kappa)!} \omega^{|\kappa|} (-2\omega\partial)^{\beta - 2\kappa} e^{\omega\Delta} (x^\gamma \varphi).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1. \square

4 Proof of Theorem 1.2

Let $\varphi \in L_m^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We note that the complex Gauss kernel G_ω has the self-similarity described as

$$G_\omega(x) = |\omega|^{-\frac{n}{2}} G_{e^{i\theta}} \left(|\omega|^{-\frac{1}{2}} x \right), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

which yields

$$\|x^\beta G_\omega\|_r = |\omega|^{-\frac{n}{2}(1-\frac{1}{r}) + \frac{|\beta|}{2}} \|x^\beta G_{e^{i\theta}}\|_r$$

for all $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$. We use the following representation of $R_\alpha(\omega) \varphi$ which is given in the proof of Theorem 1.1 with the binomial expansion:

$$R_\alpha(\omega) \varphi = \sum_{\substack{\beta+\gamma=\alpha \\ \beta \neq 0}} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta! \gamma!} (x^\beta G_\omega) * (x^\gamma \varphi). \quad (4.1)$$

By Young's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \|R_\alpha(\omega) \varphi\|_p &\leq \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \sum_{\substack{\beta+\gamma=\alpha \\ \beta \neq 0}} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta! \gamma!} \|(x^\beta G_\omega) * (x^\gamma \varphi)\|_p \\ &\leq \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \sum_{\substack{\beta+\gamma=\alpha \\ \beta \neq 0}} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta! \gamma!} \|x^\beta G_\omega\|_r \|x^\gamma \varphi\|_q \\ &= \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \sum_{\substack{\beta+\gamma=\alpha \\ \beta \neq 0}} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta! \gamma!} |\omega|^{-\frac{n}{2}(1-\frac{1}{r}) + \frac{|\beta|}{2}} \|x^\beta G_{e^{i\theta}}\|_r \|x^\gamma \varphi\|_q \\ &\leq |\omega|^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \sum_{\substack{\beta+\gamma=\alpha \\ \beta \neq 0}} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta! \gamma!} |\omega|^{\frac{|\beta|}{2}} \| |x|^{|\beta|} G_{e^{i\theta}} \|_r \| |x|^{|\gamma|} \varphi \|_q. \end{aligned}$$

In the case where $m = 1$, since $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ satisfy $|\alpha| = 1$, $\beta \neq 0$, and $\beta + \gamma = \alpha$ if and only if $(\beta, \gamma) = (\alpha, 0)$, we obtain

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=1} \|R_\alpha(\omega) \varphi\|_p \leq |\omega|^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}) + \frac{1}{2}} \|\varphi\|_q \sum_{|\alpha|=1} \| |x| G_{e^{i\theta}} \|_r.$$

If $m \geq 2$, then for any $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ satisfying $|\alpha| = m$, $|\beta| \geq 2$, and $\beta + \gamma = \alpha$, Hölder's inequality implies

$$|\omega|^{\frac{|\beta|}{2}} \| |x|^{|\gamma|} \varphi \|_q \leq |\omega|^{\frac{|\beta|}{2}} \| |x|^{m-1} \varphi \|_q^{\frac{|\gamma|}{m-1}} \|\varphi\|_q^{\frac{|\beta|-1}{m-1}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= |\omega|^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\| |x|^{m-1} \varphi \right\|_q^{\frac{|\gamma|}{m-1}} \left(|\omega|^{\frac{m-1}{2}} \|\varphi\|_q \right)^{\frac{|\beta|-1}{m-1}} \\
&\leq |\omega|^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\left\| |x|^{m-1} \varphi \right\|_q + |\omega|^{\frac{m-1}{2}} \|\varphi\|_q \right) \\
&= |\omega|^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\| |x|^{m-1} \varphi \right\|_q + |\omega|^{\frac{m}{2}} \|\varphi\|_q,
\end{aligned}$$

whence follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{|\alpha|=m} \|R_\alpha(\omega) \varphi\|_p &\leq |\omega|^{-\frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p} \right) + \frac{1}{2}} \left\| |x|^{m-1} \varphi \right\|_q \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \sum_{\substack{\beta+\gamma=\alpha \\ |\beta|=1}} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta! \gamma!} \| |x| G_{e^{i\theta}} \|_r \\
&\quad + |\omega|^{-\frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p} \right)} \left(|\omega|^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\| |x|^{m-1} \varphi \right\|_q + |\omega|^{\frac{m}{2}} \|\varphi\|_q \right) \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \sum_{\substack{\beta+\gamma=\alpha \\ |\beta|\geq 2}} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta! \gamma!} \| |x|^{|\beta|} G_{e^{i\theta}} \|_r \\
&\leq |\omega|^{-\frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p} \right)} \left(|\omega|^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\| |x|^{m-1} \varphi \right\|_q + |\omega|^{\frac{m}{2}} \|\varphi\|_q \right) \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \sum_{\substack{\beta+\gamma=\alpha \\ \beta \neq 0}} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta! \gamma!} \| |x|^{|\beta|} G_{e^{i\theta}} \|_r.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, by a simple computation, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{|\alpha|=m} \sum_{\substack{\beta+\gamma=\alpha \\ \beta \neq 0}} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta! \gamma!} \| |x|^{|\beta|} G_{e^{i\theta}} \|_r \\
&\leq 2^{\frac{n}{2}} \|G_{2e^{i\theta}}\|_r \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \sum_{\substack{\beta+\gamma=\alpha \\ \beta \neq 0}} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta! \gamma!} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left[|x|^{|\beta|} \exp \left(-\frac{\cos \theta}{8} |x|^2 \right) \right] \\
&= 2^{\frac{n}{2}} (8\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{r} \right)} \left(\frac{1}{r \cos \theta} \right)^{\frac{n}{2r}} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \sum_{\substack{\beta+\gamma=\alpha \\ \beta \neq 0}} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta! \gamma!} \left(\frac{4|\beta|}{e \cos \theta} \right)^{\frac{|\beta|}{2}} \\
&\leq \frac{(n+m-1)!}{(n-1)! m!} (4\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{r} \right)} \left(\frac{2}{r \cos \theta} \right)^{\frac{n}{2r}} \left\{ \left[\left(\frac{4m}{e \cos \theta} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + 1 \right]^m - 1 \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2. □

A Proof of Lemma 2.1

We first prove the following lemma.

Lemma A.1. *Let $\omega \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re} \omega \geq 0$, $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$, and $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Then, the identities*

$$x_j \mathbf{h}_{\omega, \alpha}(x) = \begin{cases} \omega \mathbf{h}_{\omega, \alpha + e_j}(x) & \text{if } \alpha_j = 0, \\ \omega \mathbf{h}_{\omega, \alpha + e_j}(x) + 2\alpha_j \mathbf{h}_{\omega, \alpha - e_j}(x) & \text{if } \alpha_j \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

hold for all $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Proof. It suffices to show that the identities

$$2x_j \mathbf{H}_{\omega, \alpha}(x) = \begin{cases} \omega \mathbf{H}_{\omega, \alpha + e_j}(x) & \text{if } \alpha_j = 0, \\ \omega \mathbf{H}_{\omega, \alpha + e_j}(x) + 2\alpha_j \mathbf{H}_{\omega, \alpha - e_j}(x) & \text{if } \alpha_j \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

hold for all $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. If $\alpha_j = 0$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\omega \mathbf{H}_{\omega, \alpha + e_j}(x) &= (-1)^{|\alpha|+1} \omega \exp\left(\frac{|x|^2}{\omega}\right) \partial^\alpha \partial_j \exp\left(-\frac{|x|^2}{\omega}\right) \\ &= (-1)^{|\alpha|} \exp\left(\frac{|x|^2}{\omega}\right) \partial^\alpha \left(2x_j \exp\left(-\frac{|x|^2}{\omega}\right)\right) \\ &= 2x_j \mathbf{H}_{\omega, \alpha}(x).\end{aligned}$$

In the same way, if $\alpha_j \geq 1$, then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\omega \mathbf{H}_{\omega, \alpha + e_j}(x) &= (-1)^{|\alpha|} \exp\left(\frac{|x|^2}{\omega}\right) \partial^\alpha \left(2x_j \exp\left(-\frac{|x|^2}{\omega}\right)\right) \\ &= (-1)^{|\alpha|} \exp\left(\frac{|x|^2}{\omega}\right) \left(2\alpha_j \partial^{\alpha - e_j} \exp\left(-\frac{|x|^2}{\omega}\right) + 2x_j \partial^\alpha \exp\left(-\frac{|x|^2}{\omega}\right)\right) \\ &= -2\alpha_j \mathbf{H}_{\omega, \alpha - e_j}(x) + 2x_j \mathbf{H}_{\omega, \alpha}(x).\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma A.1. \square

Now, we are ready to show Lemma 2.1.

Proof of Lemma 2.1. We prove the assertion by induction on $m = |\alpha|$. The case where $m = 0$, namely, the case where $\alpha = 0$ follows from the fact that $\mathbf{h}_{\omega, 0} \equiv 1$. Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. We assume that Lemma 2.1 is true for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ with $|\alpha| = m$. Let $\alpha' \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ satisfy $|\alpha'| = m + 1$ and let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then, there exist $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ with $|\alpha| = m$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $\alpha' = \alpha + e_j$. If $\alpha_j = 0$, then by the induction hypothesis and Lemma A.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}x^{\alpha'} &= x_j x^\alpha = \sum_{2\beta \leq \alpha} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta! (\alpha - 2\beta)!} \omega^{|\alpha - \beta|} x_j \mathbf{h}_{\omega, \alpha - 2\beta}(x) \\ &= \sum_{2\beta \leq \alpha} \frac{(\alpha + e_j)!}{\beta! (\alpha - 2\beta + e_j)!} \omega^{|\alpha - \beta| + 1} \mathbf{h}_{\omega, \alpha - 2\beta + e_j}(x) \\ &= \sum_{2\beta \leq \alpha'} \frac{\alpha'!}{\beta! (\alpha' - 2\beta)!} \omega^{|\alpha' - \beta|} \mathbf{h}_{\omega, \alpha' - 2\beta}(x).\end{aligned}$$

We next consider the case where $\alpha_j \geq 1$. If α_j is even, then it follows from the induction hypothesis and Lemma A.1 that

$$\begin{aligned}x^{\alpha'} &= x_j x^\alpha = \sum_{\substack{2\beta \leq \alpha \\ 2\beta_j \leq \alpha_j - 1}} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta! (\alpha - 2\beta)!} \omega^{|\alpha - \beta|} x_j \mathbf{h}_{\omega, \alpha - 2\beta}(x) + \sum_{\substack{2\beta \leq \alpha \\ 2\beta_j = \alpha_j}} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta! (\alpha - 2\beta)!} \omega^{|\alpha - \beta|} x_j \mathbf{h}_{\omega, \alpha - 2\beta}(x) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{2\beta \leq \alpha \\ 2\beta_j \leq \alpha_j - 1}} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta! (\alpha - 2\beta)!} \omega^{|\alpha - \beta|} (\omega \mathbf{h}_{\omega, \alpha - 2\beta + e_j}(x) + 2(\alpha_j - 2\beta_j) \mathbf{h}_{\omega, \alpha - 2\beta - e_j}(x)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{2\beta \leq \alpha \\ 2\beta_j = \alpha_j}} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta! (\alpha - 2\beta)!} \omega^{|\alpha - \beta| + 1} \mathbf{h}_{\omega, \alpha - 2\beta + e_j}(x) \\ &= \sum_{2\beta \leq \alpha} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta! (\alpha - 2\beta)!} \omega^{|\alpha - \beta + e_j|} \mathbf{h}_{\omega, \alpha - 2\beta + e_j}(x)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + 2 \sum_{\substack{2\beta \leq \alpha + e_j \\ \beta_j \geq 1}} \frac{\alpha!}{(\beta - e_j)! (\alpha - 2\beta + e_j)!} \omega^{|\alpha - \beta + e_j|} \mathbf{h}_{\omega, \alpha - 2\beta + e_j}(x) \\
= & \sum_{\substack{2\beta \leq \alpha \\ \beta_j = 0}} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta! (\alpha - 2\beta)!} \omega^{|\alpha' - \beta|} \mathbf{h}_{\omega, \alpha' - 2\beta}(x) \\
& + \sum_{\substack{2\beta \leq \alpha + e_j \\ \beta_j \geq 1}} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta! (\alpha - 2\beta + e_j)!} ((\alpha_j - 2\beta_j + 1) + 2\beta_j) \omega^{|\alpha' - \beta|} \mathbf{h}_{\omega, \alpha' - 2\beta}(x) \\
= & \sum_{\substack{2\beta \leq \alpha + e_j \\ \beta_j = 0}} \frac{(\alpha + e_j)!}{\beta! (\alpha - 2\beta + e_j)!} \omega^{|\alpha' - \beta|} \mathbf{h}_{\omega, \alpha' - 2\beta}(x) \\
& + \sum_{\substack{2\beta \leq \alpha + e_j \\ \beta_j \geq 1}} \frac{(\alpha + e_j)!}{\beta! (\alpha - 2\beta + e_j)!} \omega^{|\alpha' - \beta|} \mathbf{h}_{\omega, \alpha' - 2\beta}(x) \\
= & \sum_{2\beta \leq \alpha'} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta! (\alpha' - 2\beta)!} \omega^{|\alpha' - \beta|} \mathbf{h}_{\omega, \alpha' - 2\beta}(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, if α_j is odd, then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
x^{\alpha'} = x_j x^\alpha & = \sum_{2\beta \leq \alpha - e_j} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta! (\alpha - 2\beta)!} \omega^{|\alpha - \beta|} x_j \mathbf{h}_{\omega, \alpha - 2\beta}(x) \\
& = \sum_{2\beta \leq \alpha - e_j} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta! (\alpha - 2\beta)!} \omega^{|\alpha - \beta|} (\omega \mathbf{h}_{\omega, \alpha - 2\beta + e_j}(x) + 2(\alpha_j - 2\beta_j) \mathbf{h}_{\omega, \alpha - 2\beta - e_j}(x)) \\
& = \sum_{2\beta \leq \alpha - e_j} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta! (\alpha - 2\beta)!} \omega^{|\alpha - \beta + e_j|} \mathbf{h}_{\omega, \alpha - 2\beta + e_j}(x) \\
& \quad + 2 \sum_{\substack{2\beta \leq \alpha + e_j \\ \beta_j \geq 1}} \frac{\alpha!}{(\beta - e_j)! (\alpha - 2\beta + e_j)!} \omega^{|\alpha - \beta + e_j|} \mathbf{h}_{\omega, \alpha - 2\beta + e_j}(x) \\
= & \sum_{\substack{2\beta \leq \alpha - e_j \\ \beta_j = 0}} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta! (\alpha - 2\beta)!} \omega^{|\alpha' - \beta|} \mathbf{h}_{\omega, \alpha' - 2\beta}(x) \\
& \quad + \sum_{\substack{2\beta \leq \alpha + e_j \\ \beta_j \geq 1}} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta! (\alpha - 2\beta + e_j)!} ((\alpha_j - 2\beta_j + 1) + 2\beta_j) \omega^{|\alpha' - \beta|} \mathbf{h}_{\omega, \alpha' - 2\beta}(x) \\
= & \sum_{\substack{2\beta \leq \alpha + e_j \\ \beta_j = 0}} \frac{(\alpha + e_j)!}{\beta! (\alpha - 2\beta + e_j)!} \omega^{|\alpha' - \beta|} \mathbf{h}_{\omega, \alpha' - 2\beta}(x) \\
& \quad + \sum_{\substack{2\beta \leq \alpha + e_j \\ \beta_j \geq 1}} \frac{(\alpha + e_j)!}{\beta! (\alpha - 2\beta + e_j)!} \omega^{|\alpha' - \beta|} \mathbf{h}_{\omega, \alpha' - 2\beta}(x) \\
= & \sum_{2\beta \leq \alpha'} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta! (\alpha' - 2\beta)!} \omega^{|\alpha' - \beta|} \mathbf{h}_{\omega, \alpha' - 2\beta}(x).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the induction argument. \square

B Application to the complex Ginzburg–Landau equation

In this section, as an application of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, we show the following proposition on the weighted estimates of global solutions to (1.2) with $\mu = 0$ in the super Fujita-critical case: $p > 1 + 2/n$.

Proposition B.1. *Let $\nu \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re} \nu > 0$, $p > 1 + 2/n$, and $u_0 \in (L^1 \cap L^\infty)(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Let $q \in [1, +\infty]$ and let $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Assume that $u \in C((0, +\infty); (L^1 \cap L^\infty)(\mathbb{R}^n))$ is a global solution to (1.2) with $\mu = 0$ satisfying*

$$\sup_{r \in [1, +\infty]} \sup_{t > 0} (1+t)^{\frac{n}{2}(1-\frac{1}{r})} \|u(t)\|_r < +\infty. \quad (\text{B.1})$$

If $u_0 \in L_m^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $u \in C((0, +\infty); L_m^q(\mathbb{R}^n))$ with the estimate

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=m} \|x^\alpha u(t)\|_q \leq C \left(1 + t^{\frac{m}{2}}\right)$$

for all $t > 0$.

Remark B.2. If $p > 1 + 2/n$ and $\|u_0\|_1 + \|u_0\|_\infty$ is sufficiently small, then a global solution to (1.2) with $\mu = 0$ satisfying (B.1) can be constructed by the standard contraction argument to the following integral equation associated with (1.2):

$$u(t) = e^{t\nu\Delta} u_0 + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\nu\Delta} f(u(s)) ds, \quad (\text{B.2})$$

where $f(\xi) := \lambda |\xi|^{p-1} \xi$. We remark that the smallness of $\| |x|^m u_0 \|_q$ is not assumed in Proposition B.1, which is one of the advantages to employ the commutation relations.

In order to prove Proposition B.1, we need to prepare three lemmas.

Lemma B.3 (cf. [12, Lemma 2.1]). *Let $\omega \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfy $\operatorname{Re} \omega > 0$. Let $1 \leq q \leq p \leq +\infty$ and let $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$. Then, the estimate*

$$\|\partial^\alpha e^{\omega\Delta} \varphi\|_p \leq |\omega|^{-\frac{n}{2}\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}\right)-\frac{|\alpha|}{2}} \|\partial^\alpha G_{e^{i\theta}}\|_r \|\varphi\|_q$$

holds for any $\varphi \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $\theta \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$ with $e^{i\theta} = \omega/|\omega|$ and $r \in [1, +\infty]$ with $1/p + 1 = 1/r + 1/q$.

Lemma B.4. *Let $\omega \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfy $\operatorname{Re} \omega > 0$. Let $1 \leq q \leq p \leq +\infty$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ with $|\alpha| = m$, and $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Then, for any $\varphi \in L_m^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the identity*

$$x_j R_\alpha(\omega) \varphi = R_{\alpha+e_j}(\omega) \varphi - R_{e_j}(\omega) (x^\alpha \varphi) \quad (\text{B.3})$$

holds in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover, the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \|x_j R_\alpha(\omega) \varphi\|_p \\ & \leq \left(n A_{m+1,r}(\theta) + \frac{(n+m-1)!}{(n-1)! m!} A_{1,r}(\theta) \right) |\omega|^{-\frac{n}{2}\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}\right)} \left(|\omega|^{\frac{1}{2}} \| |x|^m \varphi \|_q + |\omega|^{\frac{m+1}{2}} \|\varphi\|_q \right) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.4})$$

holds for all $\varphi \in L_m^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $\theta \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$ with $e^{i\theta} = \omega/|\omega|$ and $r \in [1, +\infty]$ with $1/p + 1 = 1/r + 1/q$.

Remark B.5. If $\varphi \in L_{m+1}^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then it follows from Theorem 1.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} x_j R_\alpha(\omega) \varphi &= x_j [x^\alpha, e^{\omega\Delta}] \varphi \\ &= [x_j x^\alpha, e^{\omega\Delta}] \varphi - [x_j, e^{\omega\Delta}] (x^\alpha \varphi) \\ &= R_{\alpha+e_j}(\omega) \varphi - R_{e_j}(\omega) (x^\alpha \varphi). \end{aligned}$$

However, if $\varphi \in L_m^q(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus L_{m+1}^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then the term $[x_j x^\alpha, e^{\omega\Delta}] \varphi$ in the second identity does not make sense in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For this reason, to show (B.3), we need to compute $x_j R_\alpha(\omega) \varphi$ with the definition of $R_\alpha(\omega) \varphi$ directly.

Proof of Lemma B.4. Let $\varphi \in L_m^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By (4.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &x_j R_\alpha(\omega) \varphi + R_{e_j}(\omega) (x^\alpha \varphi) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\beta+\gamma=\alpha \\ \beta \neq 0}} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta! \gamma!} \left((x_j x^\beta G_\omega) * (x^\gamma \varphi) + (x^\beta G_\omega) * (x_j x^\gamma \varphi) \right) + (x_j G_\omega) * (x^\alpha \varphi) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\beta'+\gamma'=\alpha+e_j \\ \gamma' \leq \alpha}} \frac{\alpha!}{(\beta'-e_j)! \gamma'!} (x^{\beta'} G_\omega) * (x^{\gamma'} \varphi) + \sum_{\substack{\beta'+\gamma'=\alpha+e_j \\ 0 \neq \beta' \leq \alpha}} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta'! (\gamma'-e_j)!} (x^{\beta'} G_\omega) * (x^{\gamma'} \varphi) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\beta'+\gamma'=\alpha+e_j \\ \beta' \neq 0}} \frac{(\alpha+e_j)!}{\beta'! \gamma'!} (x^{\beta'} G_\omega) * (x^{\gamma'} \varphi) \\ &= R_{\alpha+e_j}(\omega) \varphi. \end{aligned}$$

The estimate (B.4) can be shown in the same way as in the proof of Theorem 1.2. \square

Lemma B.6. Let $\omega \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfy $\operatorname{Re} \omega > 0$. Let $\eta \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and let $1 \leq q \leq p \leq +\infty$. Then, the estimate

$$\| [\eta, e^{\omega\Delta}] \varphi \|_p \leq A_{1,r}(\theta) |\omega|^{-\frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p} \right) + \frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \eta\|_\infty \|\varphi\|_q$$

holds for any $\varphi \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $[\eta, e^{\omega\Delta}] \varphi := \eta e^{\omega\Delta} \varphi - e^{\omega\Delta} (\eta \varphi)$, $\theta \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$ with $e^{i\theta} = \omega/|\omega|$, and $r \in [1, +\infty]$ with $1/p + 1 = 1/r + 1/q$.

Proof. For any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} ([\eta, e^{\omega\Delta}] \varphi)(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\eta(x) - \eta(y)) G_\omega(x-y) \varphi(y) dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_0^1 \frac{d}{d\theta} \eta(y + \theta(x-y)) d\theta \right) G_\omega(x-y) \varphi(y) dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^1 (\nabla \eta)(y + \theta(x-y)) \cdot (x-y) G_\omega(x-y) \varphi(y) d\theta dy. \end{aligned}$$

By Young's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \| [\eta, e^{\omega\Delta}] \varphi \|_p &\leq \|\nabla \eta\|_\infty \| |x| G_\omega * |\varphi| \|_p \\ &\leq \|\nabla \eta\|_\infty \| |x| G_\omega \|_r \|\varphi\|_q \\ &= |\omega|^{-\frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p} \right) + \frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \eta\|_\infty \| |x| G_{e^{i\theta}} \|_r \|\varphi\|_q \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq A_{1,r}(\theta) |\omega|^{-\frac{n}{2}\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}\right)+\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla\eta\|_\infty \|\varphi\|_q.$$

Here, we have used the fact that $\| |x| G_{e^{i\theta}} \|_r \leq A_{1,r}(\theta)$. \square

We are ready to show Proposition B.1.

Proof of Proposition B.1. We prove Proposition B.1 by induction on $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. For $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, we define a function $\eta_{j,\varepsilon}: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\eta_{j,\varepsilon}(x) := x_j e^{-\varepsilon|x|^2}, \quad x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Then, we see that $\eta_{j,\varepsilon} \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$\|\nabla\eta_{j,\varepsilon}\|_\infty \leq 2 \sup_{\rho \geq 0} \rho e^{-\rho} + 1 \leq 2. \quad (\text{B.5})$$

We assume that Proposition B.1 holds for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and that $u_0 \in L_{m+1}^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Let $t > 0$ and let $\alpha' \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ satisfy $|\alpha'| = m+1$. Then, there exist $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ with $|\alpha| = m$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $\alpha' = \alpha + e_j$. Multiplying (B.2) by $\eta_{j,\varepsilon} x^\alpha$ and applying Theorem 1.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{j,\varepsilon} x^\alpha u(t) &= \eta_{j,\varepsilon} x^\alpha e^{t\nu\Delta} u_0 + \int_0^t \eta_{j,\varepsilon} x^\alpha e^{(t-s)\nu\Delta} f(u(s)) ds \\ &= e^{t\nu\Delta} (\eta_{j,\varepsilon} x^\alpha u_0) + [\eta_{j,\varepsilon}, e^{t\nu\Delta}] (x^\alpha u_0) + \eta_{j,\varepsilon} R_\alpha(t\nu) u_0 \\ &\quad + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\nu\Delta} (\eta_{j,\varepsilon} x^\alpha f(u(s))) ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t [\eta_{j,\varepsilon}, e^{(t-s)\nu\Delta}] (x^\alpha f(u(s))) ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \eta_{j,\varepsilon} R_\alpha((t-s)\nu) f(u(s)) ds, \end{aligned}$$

where $f(\xi) := \lambda |\xi|^{p-1} \xi$. By (B.1), (B.5), Lemmas B.3, B.4 and B.6, and the induction hypothesis, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\eta_{j,\varepsilon} x^\alpha u(t)\|_q &\leq \|e^{t\nu\Delta} (\eta_{j,\varepsilon} x^\alpha u_0)\|_q + \|[\eta_{j,\varepsilon}, e^{t\nu\Delta}] (x^\alpha u_0)\|_q + \|x_j R_\alpha(t\nu) u_0\|_q \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \|e^{(t-s)\nu\Delta} (\eta_{j,\varepsilon} x^\alpha f(u(s)))\|_q ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \|[\eta_{j,\varepsilon}, e^{(t-s)\nu\Delta}] (x^\alpha f(u(s)))\|_q ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \|x_j R_\alpha((t-s)\nu) f(u(s))\|_q ds \\ &\leq C \|\eta_{j,\varepsilon} x^\alpha u_0\|_q + C t^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla\eta_{j,\varepsilon}\|_\infty \|x^\alpha u_0\|_q + C \left(t^{\frac{1}{2}} \| |x|^m u_0 \|_q + t^{\frac{m+1}{2}} \|u_0\|_q \right) \\ &\quad + C \int_0^t \|u(s)\|_\infty^{p-1} \|\eta_{j,\varepsilon} x^\alpha u(s)\|_q ds \\ &\quad + C \int_0^t (t-s)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla\eta_{j,\varepsilon}\|_\infty \|u(s)\|_\infty^{p-1} \|x^\alpha u(s)\|_q ds \\ &\quad + C \int_0^t \left((t-s)^{\frac{1}{2}} \| |x|^m u(s) \|_q + (t-s)^{\frac{m+1}{2}} \|u(s)\|_q \right) \|u(s)\|_\infty^{p-1} ds \\ &\leq C \|x_j x^\alpha u_0\|_q + C t^{\frac{1}{2}} \|x^\alpha u_0\|_q + C \left(t^{\frac{1}{2}} \| |x|^m u_0 \|_q + t^{\frac{m+1}{2}} \|u_0\|_q \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + C \int_0^t (1+s)^{-\frac{n}{2}(p-1)} \|\eta_{j,\varepsilon} x^\alpha u(s)\|_q ds \\
& + C t^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^t (1+s)^{-\frac{n}{2}(p-1)} \left(1+s^{\frac{m}{2}}\right) ds \\
& + C \int_0^t \left(t^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(1+s^{\frac{m}{2}}\right) + t^{\frac{m+1}{2}} (1+s)^{-\frac{n}{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{q}\right)}\right) (1+s)^{-\frac{n}{2}(p-1)} ds \\
& \leq C \left(1+t^{\frac{m+1}{2}}\right) + C \int_0^t (1+s)^{-\frac{n}{2}(p-1)} \|\eta_{j,\varepsilon} x^\alpha u(s)\|_q ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it follows from the Grönwall lemma that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\eta_{j,\varepsilon} x^\alpha u(t)\|_q \\
& \leq C \left(1+t^{\frac{m+1}{2}}\right) + C \int_0^t \left(1+s^{\frac{m+1}{2}}\right) (1+s)^{-\frac{n}{2}(p-1)} \exp\left(C \int_s^t (1+\tau)^{-\frac{n}{2}(p-1)} d\tau\right) ds \\
& \leq C \left(1+t^{\frac{m+1}{2}}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

By taking $\varepsilon \searrow 0$ and applying Fatou's lemma, we deduce that $x^{\alpha'} u(t) = x_j x^\alpha u(t) \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$\|x^{\alpha'} u(t)\|_q \leq C \left(1+t^{\frac{m+1}{2}}\right).$$

This in turn implies $x^{\alpha'} f(u(t)) \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover, by (B.2) and Theorem 1.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
x^{\alpha'} u(t) &= x^{\alpha'} e^{t\nu\Delta} u_0 + \int_0^t x^{\alpha'} e^{(t-s)\nu\Delta} f(u(s)) ds \\
&= e^{t\nu\Delta} (x^{\alpha'} u_0) + R_{\alpha'}(t\nu) u_0 + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\nu\Delta} (x^{\alpha'} f(u(s))) ds + \int_0^t R_{\alpha'}((t-s)\nu) f(u(s)) ds,
\end{aligned}$$

whence follows $x^{\alpha'} u \in C((0, +\infty); L^q(\mathbb{R}^n))$. In the same way, we can prove Proposition B.1 with $m = 0$. \square

Acknowledgments

The first author was supported by JSPS Invitational Fellowships for Research in Japan # S24040 and he would like to thank Hatem Zaag for continuous encouragement over the years. The second author was supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for JSPS Fellows # JP24KJ2084. The third author was supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (S) # JP24H00024.

References

- [1] I. S. Aranson and L. Kramer, *The world of the complex Ginzburg–Landau equation*, Rev. Modern Phys., **74** (2002), no. 1, 99–143.
- [2] S. Blunck and P. C. Kunstmann, *Calderón–Zygmund theory for non-integral operators and the H^∞ functional calculus*, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana, **19** (2003), no. 3, 919–942.
- [3] T. Cazenave, “Semilinear Schrödinger Equations”, Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 10, New York Univ., Courant Inst. Math. Sci., New York, 2003; Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003.

- [4] E. B. Davies, *Uniformly elliptic operators with measurable coefficients*, J. Funct. Anal., **132** (1995), no. 1, 141–169.
- [5] G. K. Duong, N. Nouaili, and H. Zaag, *Construction of blowup solutions for the complex Ginzburg–Landau equation with critical parameters*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., **285** (2023), no. 1411.
- [6] M.-H. Giga, Y. Giga, and J. Saal, “Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations”, Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications, 79, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2010.
- [7] K. Ishige and T. Kawakami, *Asymptotic expansions of solutions of the Cauchy problem for nonlinear parabolic equations*, J. Anal. Math., **121** (2013), 317–351.
- [8] K. Ishige and T. Kawakami, *Refined asymptotic expansions of solutions to fractional diffusion equations*, J. Dynam. Differential Equations, **36** (2024), no. 3, 2679–2702.
- [9] K. Ishige, T. Kawakami, and K. Kobayashi, *Asymptotics for a nonlinear integral equation with a generalized heat kernel*, J. Evol. Equ., **14** (2014), no. 4-5, 749–777.
- [10] P. Ivanisvili and F. L. Nazarov, *On Weissler’s conjecture on the Hamming cube I*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN, **2022**, no. 9, 6991–7020.
- [11] A. Jensen, *Commutator methods and a smoothing property of the Schrödinger evolution group*, Math. Z., **191** (1986), no. 1, 53–59.
- [12] R. Kusaba and T. Ozawa, *Asymptotic behavior of global solutions to the complex Ginzburg–Landau type equation in the super Fujita-critical case*, Evol. Equ. Control Theory, **14** (2025), no. 2, 210–245.
- [13] T. Ozawa, *Smoothing effects and dispersion of singularities for the Schrödinger evolution group*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., **110** (1990), no. 2, 165–186.
- [14] T. Ozawa, *Invariant subspaces for the Schrödinger evolution group*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Phys. Théor., **54** (1991), no. 1, 43–57.
- [15] P. Quittner and P. Souplet, “Superlinear Parabolic Problems”, Second edition, Birkhäuser Advanced Texts: Basler Lehrbücher, Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2019.
- [16] C. Sulem and P.-L. Sulem, “The Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation”, Applied Mathematical Sciences, 139, Springer, New York, 1999.
- [17] S. Thangavelu, “Harmonic Analysis on the Heisenberg Group”, Progress in Mathematics, 159, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1998.
- [18] F. B. Weissler, *Two-point inequalities, the Hermite semigroup, and the Gauss–Weierstrass semigroup*, J. Functional Analysis, **32** (1979), no. 1, 102–121.