

THE (p, t, a) -INERTIAL GROUPS AS FINITE MONODROMY GROUPS

VERSION OF 28 mars 2025

SÉVERIN PHILIP

RÉSUMÉ. Silverberg and Zarhin introduced the notion of a (p, t, a) -inertial group in the hope of having a group theoretic characterization of the finite groups that appear as finite monodromy groups – the group that represent the local obstruction to semi-stable reduction – of abelian varieties in fixed dimension $t + a$. In this text, we provide a positive answer to this problem for p -groups by reframing the question as one of realizing skew fields as endomorphism algebras of abelian varieties over finite fields.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1.1. The finite monodromy groups of abelian varieties have been introduced by Grothendieck in [SGA7.1] exposé IX. They represent the local obstruction to semi-stable reduction. Silverberg and Zarhin studied these groups in [SZ98; SZ05], and the author, in order to give an effective version of Grothendieck’s semi-stable reduction theorem, in [Phi22a; Phi22b; Phi24].

For a fixed natural integer $g \geq 1$, the list of finite groups which can be realized as finite monodromy groups of some abelian variety of dimension g is not known. An attempt to provide this list is made by the notion of (p, t, a) -inertial groups introduced in [SZ05].

Définition 1.1 ([SZ05]). Let p be a prime number or $p = 0$ and t, a positive integers. A finite group G is said to be (p, t, a) -inertial if it satisfies the two following conditions :

- (i) If $p = 0$ then G is cyclic otherwise G is a semi-product $\Gamma_p \rtimes \mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}$ with Γ_p a p -group and n an integer prime to p .
- (ii) For all primes $\ell \neq p$ there is an injection

$$\iota_\ell: G \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_t(\mathbf{Z}) \times \mathrm{Sp}_{2a}(\mathbf{Q}_\ell)$$

such that the projection map onto the first factor is independent of ℓ and the characteristic polynomial of the projection of any element onto the second factor has integer coefficients independent of ℓ .

It follows from the definition that the character χ_ℓ of the \mathbf{Q}_ℓ representation given by the projection on the second factor has integer values and is independent of ℓ . Note that from [Mau68; Mik77], condition (i) is equivalent to the fact that G is a quotient of the absolute inertia group of some local fields of equal and mixed characteristic – a ramification group.

It is known – see part (i) of Theorem 5.2 of [SZ98] – that finite monodromy groups are (p, t, a) -inertial. The question is now whether the converse is true, that is, do Definition 1.1 characterizes

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11G25, 14K15, 20C05.

The author is grateful to J. Bergström, G. Rémond and A. Tamagawa for the fruitful discussions about this project.

the finite groups that appear as finite monodromy groups in dimension $t + a$ over number fields with conditions on the toric and abelian ranks of the reduction with respect to t and a . A precise statement is given by question 1.13 of *loc. cit.*. Specifically, the question is to realize a (p, t, a) -inertial group G as the finite monodromy group of an abelian variety A of dimension $g = t + a$ at a place of residue characteristic p . In the same paper they show that the set of (p, t, a) -inertial groups with $t + a = 2$ are realized as finite monodromy groups of abelian surfaces over local fields of equal characteristic p . Further, Chrétien and Matignon in [CM13] have shown that this list is also realized with abelian surfaces over number fields by an ad hoc construction for the last unrealized $(2, 0, 2)$ -inertial group.

1.1.2. In [Phi24], the first criterion for realizability of finite groups as finite monodromy groups of abelian varieties over number fields in arbitrary fixed dimension is given. This criterion provides a path to answer the question of Silverberg and Zarhin in the case of number fields. From Théorème 1.1 of [Phi24], it is enough to show that a (p, t, a) -inertial group G can be embedded as a subgroup of the automorphism group of a polarized semi-abelian variety A_0 of toric rank t and abelian rank a over a finite field of characteristic p . The result for abelian surfaces over number fields can thus be recovered directly from this theorem and [SZ05].

Consider an abelian variety A over a number field K and a non archimedean place v of K . For L/K an extension such that there is a place $w \mid v$ with semi-stable reduction the toric rank, the dimension of a maximal torus of the reduction $(A_L)_w$, and the abelian rank, the dimension of the maximal abelian quotient of the reduction $(A_L)_w$, are independent of the choice of L and w . We will thus talk about the semi-stable toric rank and semi-stable abelian rank of A at such a place v .

We give a complete answer to the question of Silverberg and Zarhin for p -groups.

Théorème 1.2. *Let G be a finite p -group. Then G is (p, t, a) -inertial if and only if it is the finite monodromy group of an abelian variety A of dimension $g = t + a$ over a number field at a place for which the semi-stable toric rank of A is t and the semi-stable abelian rank is a .*

In general, we show that a finite group G which is (p, t, a) -inertial can be realized in dimension $t + a^2$.

Théorème 1.3. *Let G be a finite group which is (p, t, a) -inertial. Then G is the finite monodromy group of an abelian variety A of dimension at most $t + a^2$ over a number field at a place for which the semi-stable toric rank of A is t and the semi-stable abelian rank is a^2 .*

1.1.3. The first part of the paper is devoted to the representations of algebras and finite groups. We start by showing a unicity result for rational representation of simple algebras. This allows to frame the question of realizing the (p, t, a) -inertial groups as finite monodromy groups as one of realizing skew fields with positive involutions as subalgebras of endomorphism algebras of abelian varieties. Further conditions on the dimension of the varieties depending on Albert's classification and the standard invariants of the algebras in play are given. The second part is aimed towards the proof of our main result Theorem 1.2 and also to give a complete account on the general question of the realization of (p, t, a) -inertial groups with a general but non optimal result in Theorem 1.3. The first step is a reduction to the case of $(p, 0, a)$ -inertial groups, in other words, the toric part plays no significant role. We then proceed to give the different constructions available for abelian varieties with prescribed endomorphism algebras from which our results follow through Théorème 3.10 of [Phi24]. The results of Roquette and Ford [Roq58 ; For87] provide

enough information on the structure of the rational group algebra $\mathbf{Q}[G]$ to have a construction with optimal dimension. This also applies to dihedral groups through the work of [GB02].

2. ON THE RATIONAL REPRESENTATIONS OF FINITE GROUPS AND ALGEBRAS

2.1. Unicity of rational representations of algebras

2.1.1. Let E be a simple, finite \mathbf{Q} -algebra and $F \subset E$ its center. By the structure theorem of Wedderburn we have $E = M_n(D)$ for some $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and some skew field D with center F , a finite extension of \mathbf{Q} . We set $d^2 = [D : F]$ and $f = [F : \mathbf{Q}]$. We shall deal with rational representations of E in the following sense.

Définition 2.1. A representation $\rho: E \rightarrow M_{2g}(\mathbf{Q}_\ell)$ is said to be rational if the characteristic polynomials of the elements of E have coefficients in \mathbf{Q} .

The aim of this section is to show some unicity results for such rational representations of algebras. In the general case, where E is not assumed simple, we only consider rational representations coming from ℓ -adic Tate module maps of abelian varieties over finite fields.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $g \in \mathbf{N} \setminus \{0\}$. There is at most one rational \mathbf{Q}_ℓ -representation of E of dimension $2g$ up to isomorphism.*

Démonstration. The algebra $E \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{Q}_\ell$ decomposes into a product $\prod_{i=1}^r E_i$ where $E_i = E \otimes_F F_i$ is central simple over F_i with $F \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{Q}_\ell = \prod_{i=1}^r F_i$. Note that there is a unique class of non trivial simple E_i -module up to isomorphism which we denote by S_i and let $s_i = \dim_{F_i} S_i$. Let $\rho: E \rightarrow M_{2g}(\mathbf{Q}_\ell)$ be a rational representation of E . There is a factorization

$$E \rightarrow E \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{Q}_\ell \rightarrow M_{2g}(\mathbf{Q}_\ell).$$

Now we also have

$$\mathbf{Q}_\ell^{2g} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r S_i^{n_i}$$

as $E \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{Q}_\ell$ -modules.

We will show that n_i depend only on E and g . By Lemma 2.1 of [Tam95], which crucially uses the rationality hypothesis, the $F \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{Q}_\ell$ -module \mathbf{Q}_ℓ^{2g} is free, that is there is some integer m such that

$$\mathbf{Q}_\ell^{2g} \simeq \left(\prod_{i=1}^r F_i \right)^m.$$

A simple computation gives

$$m = \frac{2g}{[F : \mathbf{Q}]}.$$

It also follows that $S_i^{n_i} = F_i^m$ as F_i -vector space and thus $s_i n_i = m$ which gives that n_i does not depend on ρ but only on g and E . \square

The following corollary is a translation of Proposition 2.2 for abelian varieties.

Corollaire 2.3. *Let k be a finite or number field, g a positive integer and E a simple finite \mathbf{Q} -algebra. Let \mathcal{A} be the set of abelian varieties A over \bar{k} such that $\text{End } A \otimes \mathbf{Q} \simeq E$ taken up to isogeny. Then for any prime number $\ell \neq \text{char } k$, the image of the map*

$$\mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \{\rho: E \rightarrow \text{M}_{2g}(\mathbf{Q}_\ell) \mid \text{rational and faithful}\} / \sim$$

induced by taking the representation given by the ℓ -adic Tate module has at most one element.

Démonstration. For an abelian variety A over a finite field it is a theorem of Tate – see for instance appendix A of [Mum08] – that the ℓ -adic Tate module map gives a faithful representation of $\text{End } A \otimes \mathbf{Q}$. For number fields, this is a consequence of Faltings results in [Fal83].

The statement then follows directly from Proposition 2.2 □

Remarque 2.4. For such a rational representation of E , a simple finite \mathbf{Q} -algebra with invariants n , d and f , we get the inequality $ndf \leq 2g$ by considering the dimension over \mathbf{Q}_ℓ of the module $\bigoplus_{i=1}^r S_i^{n_i}$.

We will now restrict ourselves to the case of abelian varieties over finite fields which leads to the following theorem, completing the previous corollary in that case.

Théorème 2.5. *Let k be a finite field, g a positive integer and E a finite semi-simple \mathbf{Q} -algebra with Wedderburn decomposition $\prod_{i=1}^r M_{n_i}(D_i)$. Let \mathcal{A} be the set of abelian varieties A over \bar{k} such that $\text{End } A \otimes \mathbf{Q} \simeq E$ taken up to isogeny. Then for any prime number $\ell \neq \text{char } k$, the image of the map*

$$\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \{\rho: E \rightarrow \text{M}_{2g}(\mathbf{Q}_\ell) \mid \text{rational and faithful}\} / \sim,$$

induced by the ℓ -adic Tate module map, factors through the set

$$\{\rho: E \rightarrow \text{M}_{2g}(\mathbf{Q}_\ell) \mid \rho = \prod_{i=1}^r \rho_i, \rho_i: E_i \rightarrow \text{M}_{2g_i}(\mathbf{Q}_\ell), g_i = 1/2 \cdot n_i d_i f_i \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^r g_i = g\}.$$

In particular, the image of \mathcal{F} is always empty except possibly for $g = \sum_{i=1}^r g_i$ where it has at most one element.

Démonstration. First let us consider the case where $E = M_n(D)$ is simple. In that case, we know from Tate's theorem – see [WM71] – that if $E = \text{End } A \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ for some abelian variety A over a finite field then $2 \dim A = ndf$ where $f = [F: \mathbf{Q}]$ with F the center of D and $d^2 = \dim_F D$. In particular, the dimension g of the abelian variety A is completely determined by E . The image of \mathcal{F} is thus empty except possibly for $g = g_1$.

For the general case, let A be an abelian variety over \bar{k} such that $\text{End } A \otimes \mathbf{Q} = E$. We can read the isogeny decomposition of A on E . We thus have that A is isogenous to a product of abelian varieties A_1, \dots, A_r such that $\text{End } A_i \otimes \mathbf{Q} \simeq E_i$ and A_i is of dimension $g_i = 1/2 \cdot n_i d_i f_i$ with n_i , d_i and f_i the usual invariants of the simple algebras $M_{n_i}(D_i)$. Let us fix a prime number $\ell \neq \text{char } k$ and consider $\rho: E \rightarrow \text{M}_{2g}(\mathbf{Q}_\ell)$ the rational representation of E coming from A and $\rho_i: M_{n_i}(D_i)$ the ones coming from the A_i 's. As an isogeny give an isomorphism of ℓ -adic Tate modules we have a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \text{End } T_\ell A \otimes \mathbf{Q}_\ell \\ & \searrow \Pi_{i=1}^r \rho_i & \downarrow \sim \\ & & \text{End } T_\ell (\prod_{i=1}^r A_i) \otimes \mathbf{Q}_\ell \end{array}$$

which gives the result with Proposition 2.2. □

2.2. Symplectic and rational representations of finite groups

2.2.1. We recall some facts in the representation theory of ramification groups – groups that satisfy condition (i) of Definition 1.1 for some prime number p or 0 – and their group algebras. Let G be a ramification group and $\mathbf{Q}[G]$ its rational group algebra. We have a semi-simple decomposition

$$\mathbf{Q}[G] = \prod_{i=1}^r M_{n_i}(D_i)$$

where D_i is a skew field over \mathbf{Q} , central over a field extension F_i of \mathbf{Q} . By Theorem 3 of [Ser60] it is known that the group algebra $\mathbf{Q}_\ell[G]$ is totally decomposed for any prime $\ell \neq p$, that is, for all $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ the local invariants of D_i at the places of F_i over ℓ vanish. It follows that every \mathbf{C} -representation of G with character value in \mathbf{Q} is rational over \mathbf{Q}_ℓ by Proposition 1 of *loc. cit.*. We thus have that G is (p, t, a) -inertial if and only if there is a map $G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_t(\mathbf{Z})$ and a character χ of G with values in \mathbf{Q} such that for all $\ell \neq p$ the induced map

$$G \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_t(\mathbf{Z}) \times \mathrm{GL}_{2a}(\mathbf{Q}_\ell)$$

is injective, and the second projection has values in $\mathrm{Sp}_{2a}(\mathbf{Q}_\ell)$. That is, the induced \mathbf{Q}_ℓ -representation of G by χ admits a non-degenerate, invariant bilinear alternating form. In a more convenient way, it is equivalent to ask that the induced map

$$G \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_t(\mathbf{Z}) \times \mathrm{Sp}_{2a}(\mathbf{C})$$

is injective.

The rational algebra $\mathbf{Q}[G]$ is equipped with a positive involution – see for example section 4 of [Lew06] – and thus from Proposition 8.1 of [Rém17] each of the skew fields D that appear in the Wedderburn decomposition of $\mathbf{Q}[G]$ is a finite \mathbf{Q} -algebra with a positive involution. These algebras have been classified by Albert in four groups named as type I, II, III and IV and they are described on p.187 (202) of [Mum08].

2.2.2. We shall consider the \mathbf{Q} -algebras generated by the symplectic representations given by (p, t, a) -inertial groups. Let us first state a basic lemma in representation theory which follows directly from the considerations of section 2, for example, of [Ser60].

Lemme 2.6. *Let G be a finite group and χ a character of G . Let F be a field of characteristic 0 such that there is a linear representation $\rho_F: G \rightarrow M_n(F)$ with character χ . Then the \mathbf{Q} -algebra E generated by the image of G in $M_n(F)$ depends only on χ .*

For a (p, t, a) -inertial group G given with symplectic character χ we can thus consider the \mathbf{Q} -algebra E generated by the image of G in $M_{2a}(\mathbf{Q}_\ell)$ for some fixed $\ell \neq p$. By the previous Lemma, For all $\ell \neq p$, we get a rational representation of E over \mathbf{Q}_ℓ in the sense of Definition 2.1.

In fact, we consider the symplectic \mathbf{C} -representation of order $2a$ of G given by χ . The \mathbf{Q} -algebra generated by the image of G in $M_{2a}(\mathbf{C})$ is again E by Lemma 2.6. To go further we have to give an explicit description of the representation given by χ with relation to the simple factors of E .

2.2.3. Let V be the \mathbf{C} -vector space associated to a symplectic representation of G of dimension $2g$ and character χ with values in \mathbf{Z} . As before, let E denote the semi-simple \mathbf{Q} -algebra generated by G in $\text{End } V$. Let us write

$$E = \prod_{i=1}^r M_{n_i}(D_i)$$

where D_i is central simple over F_i , a finite Galois extension of \mathbf{Q} . We denote by d_i^2 and f_i the respective degrees of D_i over F_i and of F over \mathbf{Q} . Let χ_i be the irreducible character of G given by the reduced trace of the simple algebra $M_{n_i}(D_i)$.

Following Section 2 of [Ser60], the representation V is a direct sum $\bigoplus_{i=1}^r V_i$ of representations V_i related to the simple factors $M_{n_i}(D_i)$ in the following way. For each $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ let $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{f_i}$ denote the maps $F_i \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ and for each $j \in \{1, \dots, f_i\}$ let m_{ij} be the value of $\langle \chi, \sigma_j(\chi_i) \rangle$. The isotypic decomposition of V can thus be written as

$$V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \bigoplus_{j=1}^{f_i} V_{\sigma_j \circ \chi_i}^{m_{ij}}.$$

Since χ has values in \mathbf{Z} the m_{ij} depend only on i . We can thus write

$$V_i = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{f_i} V_{\sigma_j \circ \chi_i}^{m_i}$$

which is of dimension $m_i f_i \dim V_{\chi_i}$. Thus we have $2g = \sum_{i=1}^r g'_i$ where $g'_i = \dim V_i$.

The fact that V is a symplectic representation gives a G -isomorphism $\lambda: V \rightarrow V^\vee$ so that λ restricts to an isomorphism of each isotypical component of V on its dual. As the dual character of χ_i is $\overline{\chi_i}$ we see that λ restricts to an isomorphism of V_i on its dual which is also isomorphic to itself. In other words, each V_i gives a symplectic representation of G whose character has values in \mathbf{Z} by Galois theory. We will now give further restrictions on the relation between g and the invariants n_i , d_i and f_i of E .

Let us fix $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$. Following [Ser78] section 13.2 we have three possibilities for the character χ_i .

- (1) We have $\chi_i \neq \overline{\chi_i}$ and thus there are no bilinear forms invariant by G on V_{χ_i} . In this case, we can see that $V_{\chi_i} \oplus V_{\overline{\chi_i}}$ form a symplectic space and V_i is the orthogonal sum of such spaces over the elements of the Galois group of F_i^+/\mathbf{Q} , the totally real subfield of the CM field F_i . In particular, we get

$$g'_i = 2n_i m_i d_i f_i^+ = 2n_i g_i \text{ with } d_i f_i^+ \mid g_i.$$

This case corresponds to the type IV in Albert's classification.

- (2) We have $\chi_i = \overline{\chi_i}$ and the invariant bilinear form on V_{χ_i} is symplectic. In this case $d_i = 2$ and there are no further restrictions, we get

$$g'_i = 2n_i m_i f_i = 2n_i g_i \text{ with } f_i \mid g_i.$$

This case corresponds to the type III in Albert's classification.

- (3) We have $\chi_i = \overline{\chi_i}$ and the invariant bilinear form on V_{χ_i} is symmetric. It is the only non degenerate invariant bilinear form on V_{χ_i} . Thus, inside V_i the irreducible subspaces are grouped by pairs $\bigoplus V_{\chi_i}^2$ which form a symplectic space. In particular, $m_i = 2m'_i$ is even and we get

$$g'_i = 2n_i m'_i d_i f_i = 2n_i g_i \text{ with } d_i f_i \mid g_i$$

In this case, d_i is either 1 or 2, corresponding to the type I or the type II of Albert's classification.

2.2.4. We can now state the main result of this section as a consequence of the description made in paragraph 2.2.3 and the unicity result of Proposition 2.2.

Théorème 2.7. *Let G be a finite group which verifies condition (i) of Definition 1.1 for some prime number p . The group G is a (p, t, a) -inertial group if and only if there is a finite semi-simple \mathbf{Q} -algebra $E = \prod_{i=1}^r M_{n_i}(D_i)$ with an embedding $G \subset \mathrm{GL}_t(\mathbf{Z}) \times E$ and for which the following holds.*

- (i) *We have $a = \sum_{i=1}^r n_i g_i$ and for all $\ell \neq p$ a rational representation ρ_i of $M_{n_i}(D_i)$ over \mathbf{Q}_ℓ of dimension $2n_i g_i$.*
- (ii) *If D_i is of type I or II, we have $d_i f_i \mid g_i$.*
- (iii) *If D_i is of type III, we have $f_i \mid g_i$.*
- (iv) *If D_i is of type IV, we have $d_i f_i^+ \mid g_i$.*

Moreover, when this is the case, for every family of maps $(\iota_\ell: G \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_{2a}(\mathbf{Q}_\ell))_{\ell \neq p}$ satisfying condition (ii) of Definition 1.1 there is a semi-simple finite \mathbf{Q} -algebra E satisfying (i) – (iv) such that for all $\ell \neq p$ the map $\iota_\ell: G \rightarrow \mathrm{M}_{2a}(\mathbf{Q}_\ell)$ is recovered up to isomorphism by the composition of the projection in E and the rational representation $\prod_{i=1}^r \rho_i$ of E .

Démonstration. First consider that G is (p, t, a) -inertial. By considering the \mathbf{Q} -algebra generated by the image of G in $\mathrm{Sp}_{2a}(\mathbf{Q}_\ell)$ for some $\ell \neq p$ we get an embedding $G \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_t(\mathbf{Z}) \times E$. The fact that E verifies the conditions of (i) – (iv) is given in the paragraph 2.2.3.

For the converse, suppose that G has such an embedding into $\mathrm{GL}_t(\mathbf{Z}) \times E$ for a finite semi-simple \mathbf{Q} -algebra E . Up to replacing E with the subalgebra generated by the image of G we can assume that E is a quotient of $\mathbf{Q}[G]$. Note that the assumptions on the invariants of E still hold. As in paragraph 2.2.3 considering the reduced traces of the simple factors of E and their Galois conjugates we obtain the character χ of a representation of G which has values in \mathbf{Z} . The \mathbf{C} -representation obtained from χ admits an invariant alternate bilinear form by the assumptions on E . By the Theorem of [Ser60], this representation is rational over \mathbf{Q}_ℓ for every $\ell \neq p$ and by the assumption (i) we get a family of maps $\iota_\ell: G \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_{2a}(\mathbf{Q}_\ell)$ which satisfies the condition (ii) of Definition 1.1, that is the group G is (p, t, a) -inertial.

The last part of the statement follows directly from the construction that precedes and the unicity result of Proposition 2.2. \square

It is thus enough to realize the algebras generated by the (p, t, a) -inertial groups as endomorphism algebras of abelian varieties in order to recover, through the ℓ -adic Tate modules maps, the representations of G we started with. More precisely, it is enough to realize each skew field D_i with the restrictions given by table p.187 (202) of [Mum08] as the endomorphism algebra of a simple abelian variety over a finite field (or a subalgebra of the endomorphism algebra if a construction can be made without loss of dimension).

3. THE REALIZATION OF (p, t, a) -INERTIAL GROUPS AS FINITE MONODROMY GROUPS

The question of realizing specific skew fields as endomorphism algebras of abelian varieties is open in characteristic p . Here, we limit ourselves to finite fields for which further restrictions are imposed through Tate's theory. Thus some algebras can not be realized. However, in a lot of cases we can provide an abelian variety of the correct dimension for which the endomorphism algebra is big enough to contain the algebra we first aimed to realize without loss of dimension.

3.1. A first reduction step

3.1.1. The notion of a polarized semi-abelian variety is the one from [Phi24], based on the chapter 2 of [FC90]. We recall it here.

Définition 3.1. Let A_0 and A_0^t be semi-abelian varieties over a finite field. A polarization $\lambda_0: A_0 \rightarrow A_0^t$ is a map of semi-abelian varieties

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & T_0 & \longrightarrow & A_0 & \longrightarrow & B_0 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \lambda_{T_0} & & \downarrow \lambda_0 & & \downarrow \lambda_{B_0} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & T_0^t & \longrightarrow & A_0^t & \longrightarrow & B_0^\vee & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

such that the induced maps λ_{T_0} is an isogeny and λ_{B_0} is a polarization. A semi-abelian variety A_0 equipped with a polarization λ_0 is said to be polarized.

In the next sections of the text, we will conserve the notations T_0 and B_0 for the maximal torus and abelian quotient of a semi-abelian variety A_0 .

3.1.2. We show that, in order to realize a (p, t, a) -inertial group G as a finite monodromy group, it is enough to realize its projection in $\mathrm{Sp}_{2a}(\mathbf{Q}_\ell)$ for some $\ell \neq p$ as a subgroup of the automorphism group of an abelian variety over $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$. A (p, t, a) -inertial group G is given with embeddings

$$\iota_\ell: G \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_t(\mathbf{Z}) \times \mathrm{Sp}_{2a}(\mathbf{Q}_\ell)$$

for all $\ell \neq p$. We denote by p_ℓ the composition of ι_ℓ with the projection on the factor $\mathrm{Sp}_{2a}(\mathbf{Q}_\ell)$.

Lemme 3.2. *Let G be a (p, t, a) -inertial group. Then the groups $p_\ell(G)$ are independent of ℓ . In particular, $p_\ell(G)$ is $(p, 0, a)$ -inertial.*

Démonstration. Let $\ell \neq p$ be fixed. The character of the representation of G on \mathbf{Q}_ℓ^{2a} is independent of ℓ . In particular, its kernel $H_\ell \subset G$ is also independent of ℓ . It follows that $p_\ell(G) \simeq G/H_\ell$ is independent of ℓ .

We thus have induced representations of $p_\ell(G)$ on $\mathbf{Q}_{\ell'}^{2a}$ for all $\ell' \neq p$, which satisfy the conditions of Definition 1.1 with $t = 0$. \square

Proposition 3.3. *Let G be a (p, t, a) -inertial group. Then there is a polarized semi-abelian variety (A_0, λ_0) with toric rank t and abelian rank a and an embedding $\iota: G \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(A_0, \lambda_0)$ such that ι_ℓ is isomorphic to $T_\ell \circ \iota$ if and only if the same statement holds for $p_\ell(G)$ as $(p, 0, a)$ -inertial group.*

Démonstration. Assume first there is a polarized semi-abelian variety (A_0, λ_0) and an embedding $\iota: G \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(A_0, \lambda_0)$ with the desired property. We first remark that this leads to an embedding

$$G \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Aut} T_0 \times \mathrm{Aut}(B_0, \lambda_{B_0})$$

with the notations paragraph section 3.1.1. It is clear that the map T_ℓ respects this decomposition so that the the second projection induces an embedding $p_\ell(G) \hookrightarrow \text{Aut}(B_0, \lambda_{B_0})$ from which the statement follows.

For the converse, we have an embedding $\iota': p_\ell(G) \hookrightarrow \text{Aut}(B_0, \lambda_{B_0})$ for some polarized abelian variety B_0 of dimension a over $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ which satisfies that $T_\ell \circ \iota'$ is isomorphic to $p_\ell \circ \iota_\ell$ for all $\ell \neq p$. Consider now the semi-abelian variety $\mathbf{G}_m^t \times B_0$ with the product polarization $(\text{id}, \lambda_{B_0})$. Its automorphism group is given by

$$\text{GL}_t(\mathbf{Z}) \times \text{Aut}(B_0, \lambda_{B_0})$$

And since G embeds as a subset of $\text{GL}_t(\mathbf{Z}) \times p_\ell(G)$ it has an embedding in $\text{Aut}(A_0, \lambda_0)$ which satisfies the conditions. \square

Using this result we can now restrict ourselves to $(p, 0, a)$ -inertial groups. The following other basic properties for (p, t, a) -inertial groups hold :

- (1) if G is (p, t, a) -inertial then it is (p, t', a') -inertial for all $t' \geq t$ and $a' \geq a$;
- (2) if G is (p, t, a) -inertial then it is $(p, 0, t + a)$ -inertial.

3.2. The realization of (p, t, a) -inertial groups as finite monodromy groups

3.2.1. Let G be a finite group which is $(p, 0, a)$ -inertial. In order to show that G is a finite monodromy group of an abelian variety over a number field we wish to apply the main theorem of [Phi24]. Théorème 1.1 of [Phi24] essentially asserts that every ramification group which is embedded in the automorphism group of some semi-abelian variety can be lifted as a finite monodromy group. As such we look for a polarized abelian variety (A_0, λ_0) over $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ such that $G \subset \text{Aut}(A_0, \lambda_0)$. Note that since G is finite, the existence of an invariant polarization is given as soon as we have $G \subset \text{Aut} A_0$. Assume that we have realized E as a subalgebra of $\text{End} A \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ for some abelian variety A over $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$. Since G embeds in the order generated by $\mathbf{Z} \cdot G$ of E , by Theorem 3.13 of [Wat69] there is an abelian variety A such that $\text{End} A \otimes \mathbf{Q} \simeq E$ and $G \hookrightarrow \text{Aut} A$.

For A an abelian variety over a number field or p -adic field K with potential good reduction and G the finite monodromy group of A at some non archimedean place denote by ι the inclusion of G in $\text{Aut}(A_w)$ where A_w is the reduction of A at a place w above v of an extension L/K for which A_L has good reduction. As a summary of the previous considerations, Theorem 2.7 and Théorème 1.1. of [Phi24] we get the following result.

Proposition 3.4. *Let G be a finite group which is $(p, 0, a)$ -inertial given with an embedding into a semi-simple finite \mathbf{Q} -algebra E . If there is an abelian variety A of dimension a over $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ and an embedding $E \subset \text{End} A \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ then G is the finite monodromy group of an abelian variety A of dimension a with potential good reduction over a p -adic field K such that for all $\ell \neq p$ we have $\iota_\ell \simeq \iota \circ T_\ell$.*

Remarque 3.5. Given a $(p, 0, a)$ -inertial group G with an embedding $G \hookrightarrow E$ as before we will, in general, produce A in the following way. Let $\prod_{i=1}^r M_{n_i}(D_i)$ be the Wedderburn decomposition of E . Then if there is A_i of dimension a_i over $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ such that $D_i \subset \text{End} A_i \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ for all i then we consider $A = \prod_{i=1}^r A_i$ which verifies the assumption of the proposition.

3.2.2. Our aim is thus to realize the skew fields appearing in E as subalgebras of endomorphism algebras of simple abelian varieties over finite fields of dimension g_i . Following [Oor74] and [Rém17] we summarize what is known for each type in Albert's classification. Let us denote by $\mathbf{H}_{p,\infty}$ the quaternion algebra over \mathbf{Q} ramified only at p and ∞ . It is the endomorphism algebra of a supersingular curve over $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$. We begin by a special case.

Lemme 3.6. *Let F be a number field and $D = F \otimes \mathbf{H}_{p,\infty}$. Then there exists an abelian variety A_0 of dimension $f = [F : \mathbf{Q}]$ over $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ such that $D \subset \text{End } A \otimes \mathbf{Q}$.*

Démonstration. Consider $A = C^f$ where C is a supersingular elliptic curve over $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ with $\text{End } C \simeq \mathbf{H}_{p,\infty}$. Then we have

$$F \otimes \mathbf{H}_{p,\infty} \hookrightarrow M_f(\mathbf{H}_{p,\infty}) \simeq \text{End } A \otimes \mathbf{Q}.$$

□

In the general case, we can reduce to constructions that are accessible in characteristic 0 using the following lemma.

Lemme 3.7. *Let A and B be abelian varieties over a number field K . Assume that for a non-archimedean place v of K both A and B have semi-stable reduction. Then the maps*

$$\text{End } A \rightarrow \text{End } A_v \text{ and } \text{Hom}(A, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(A_v, B_v)$$

induced by the reduction at v are injective.

Démonstration. The semi-stable reduction assumption ensures that all sets involved have the structure of free \mathbf{Z} -modules which are compatible with the reduction map. In other words, for any $n \in \mathbf{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ the multiplication by n map $[n]$ on A reduces to itself on A_v and thus is not in the kernel of the reduction.

Since for any isogeny $f \in \text{Hom}(A, B)$ there is another one, g , such that $g \circ f = [n]$ we get that there are no isogenies in the kernel of the reduction map. This is enough to conclude the proof in the case when A and B simple.

In the general case, we reduce to the situation where A and B are given as a product of simple abelian varieties by isogeny. Then, $\text{Hom}(A, B)$ breaks into a product of $\text{Hom}(A_i, B_j)$ where A_i and B_j are simple abelian varieties. This case we have already done, which proves the lemma. □

Proposition 3.8. *Let D be a skew field of finite dimension over \mathbf{Q} with a positive involution. Let F be the center of D , $d^2 = [D : F]$ and $f = [F : \mathbf{Q}]$. The following hold*

- (i) *If $D = F$ is of type I, then there is an abelian variety A_0 of dimension f over $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ such that $D \subset \text{End } A_0 \otimes \mathbf{Q}$.*
- (ii) *If D is of type II, then there is an abelian variety A_0 of dimension $2f$ over $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ such that $D \subset \text{End } A_0 \otimes \mathbf{Q}$.*
- (iii) *If D is of type III, then there is an abelian variety A_0 of dimension f over $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ such that $D = \text{End } A \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ if and only if $f = 1$ and $D \simeq \mathbf{H}_{p,\infty}$ for some prime number p . If $D = F \otimes \mathbf{H}_{p,\infty}$ there is an abelian variety A_0 of dimension f over $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ such that $D \subset \text{End } A_0 \otimes \mathbf{Q}$. In general, there is an abelian variety A_0 of dimension $4f$ over $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ such that $D \subset \text{End } A_0 \otimes \mathbf{Q}$.*
- (iv) *Let D be of type IV. In this case F is a CM-field and let F^+ be the totally real subfield of F and $f^+ = f/2 = [F^+ : \mathbf{Q}]$. If $D = F$, then there is an abelian variety A_0 of dimension*

f^+ such that $D \subset \text{End } A \otimes \mathbf{Q}$. In general, there is an abelian variety A_0 of dimension at most $d^2 f$ over $\overline{\mathbf{F}_p}$ such that $D \subset \text{End } A_0 \otimes \mathbf{Q}$.

In all cases, there is an abelian variety A_0 of dimension at most $d^2 f$ over $\overline{\mathbf{F}_p}$ such that $D \subset \text{End } A_0 \otimes \mathbf{Q}$.

Démonstration. From the constructions over $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$, see Théorème 7.3, Corollaire 7.4 and Lemme 7.5 of [Rém17], we get the stated results for cases (i), (ii), (iv), the general case of (iii) and the last statement, by applying Lemma 3.7.

The first case of (iii) follows from Proposition 4.2 of [Oor74] and the second one is Lemma 3.6. \square

Théorème 3.9. *Let G be a finite group which is (p, t, a) -inertial. Then G is the finite monodromy group of an abelian variety A of dimension at most $t + a^2$ over a number field at a place for which the semi-stable toric rank of A is t and the semi-stable abelian rank is a^2 .*

Démonstration. By Proposition 3.3 it is enough to consider G to be a $(p, 0, a)$ -inertial group and it is enough to show that G embeds in an abelian variety of dimension $g \leq a^2$ over some finite field.

Consider the finite \mathbf{Q} -algebra E given by Theorem 2.7. We have a decomposition

$$E \simeq \prod_{i=1}^r M_{n_i}(D_i)$$

where D_i is a skew field with center F_i and equipped with a positive involution for all i . By Proposition 3.8 there is an abelian variety A_i of dimension at most $d_i^2 f_i$ with $M_{n_i}(D_i) \subset \text{End } A_i^{n_i} \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ when D_i is not of type III with $f_i = 1$. In this last case, Proposition 3.8 ensures there is an elliptic curve A_i with $D_i = \text{End } A_i \otimes \mathbf{Q}$. The result now follows by Proposition 3.4. Indeed, for all i such that D_i is not of type III with $f_i = 1$ we have

$$\dim A_i = d_i^2 f_i \leq g_i^2.$$

For those i such that D_i is of type III with $f_i = 1$ the inequality $\dim A_i \leq g_i^2$ trivially holds. Now then, for $A = \prod_{i=1}^r A_i$ we have

$$\dim A \leq \sum_{i=1}^r n_i g_i^2 \leq a^2$$

and $E \subset \text{End } A \otimes \mathbf{Q}$. \square

In general, we see that in order to realize all G in optimal dimension $t + a$ it would be enough to show that the conditions of the table (8.2) p. 498 of [Oor74] are sufficient, up to embedding.

In some specific cases we obtain an optimal result.

Proposition 3.10. *Let G be a (p, t, a) -inertial group given with a semi-simple finite \mathbf{Q} -algebra with decomposition*

$$E \simeq \prod_{i=1}^r M_{n_i}(D_i)$$

and an embedding $G \subset \text{GL}_t(\mathbf{Z}) \times E$. Assume that for all $1 \leq i \leq r$ the skew field D_i satisfies one of the following properties :

- (i) D_i is of type I or II;
- (ii) D_i is of type III and of the form $F \otimes \mathbf{H}_{p, \infty}$;

(iii) D_i is a CM field.

Then G is the finite monodromy group of an abelian variety A of dimension $t + a$ over a number field at a place for which the semi-stable toric rank of A is t and the semi-stable abelian rank is a .

The proof follows the one of Theorem 3.9 with the difference that in the specific cases of the statement of Proposition 3.10 we can choose A_i of dimension $d_i f_i$ in type I or II, of dimension f_i in type III and of dimension f_i^+ in type IV.

Démonstration. By Proposition 3.3 we restrict ourselves again to the case where G is $(p, 0, a)$ -inertial. Let

$$E \simeq \prod_{i=1}^r M_{n_i}(D_i)$$

be the Wedderburn decomposition of E . By assumption, we have

$$a = \sum_{i=1}^r n_i g_i$$

where g_i is divisible by $d_i f_i$ in the case when D_i is of type I or II, by f_i in the case when D_i is of type III and by f_i^+ in the case when D_i is of type IV – this last condition follows from the assumption. By Theorem 3.8 for each i there is an abelian variety A_i over \overline{F}_p such that $M_{n_i}(D_i) \subset \text{End } A_i \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ and $\dim A_i = d_i f_i$ in the case when D_i is of type I or II, $\dim A_i = f_i$ in the case when D_i is of type III and $\dim A_i = f_i^+$ in the case when D_i is of type IV.

The abelian variety $A = \prod_{i=1}^r A_i^{n_i}$ verifies that

$$E \subset \text{End } A \otimes \mathbf{Q} \text{ and } \dim A \leq \sum_{i=1}^r n_i g_i = a.$$

Hence by Proposition 3.4, G is the finite monodromy group of an abelian variety of dimension a with potentially good reduction over a number field. \square

3.3. The case of p -groups and dihedral groups

3.3.1. Let G be a finite p -group. The result follows from the structure of the rational group algebras of p -groups. The structure of $\mathbf{Q}[G]$ is first described by Roquette in [Roq58] and complemented by Ford in [For87].

Démonstration. of Theorem 1.2.

Let G be a (p, t, a) -inertial group which is a p -group. The rational group algebra $\mathbf{Q}[G]$ is a product of matrix algebras over cyclotomic fields $\mathbf{Q}(\mu_{p^r})$ for an odd prime p . We thus have that all simple factors of $\mathbf{Q}[G]$ are either of type I, of the form $M_n(\mathbf{Q})$ for some $n \geq 1$ or $M_n(D)$ with D a CM field. In both cases, we see that Proposition 3.10 applies and thus yields the result.

Suppose now that p is even. From the results of Roquette and Ford the only skew fields D that can appear in this situation are of the form $F \otimes \mathbf{H}_{2, \infty}$ with F totally real. Otherwise, the simple constituents are matrix algebras over cyclotomic fields or totally real fields. We see that all cases are again covered by Proposition 3.10.

The converse is given, for instance, by section 5 of [SZ98]. \square

3.3.2. The computation of the rational \mathbf{Q} -algebras for dihedral groups D_{p^n} for a prime p and an integer $n \geq 1$ is given by Theorem 1 of [GB02]. We have

$$\mathbf{Q}[D_{p^n}] = \mathbf{Q} \oplus \mathbf{Q} \oplus \bigoplus_{p|d|p^n} M_2(\mathbf{Q}(\mu_d)^+)$$

if p is odd and

$$\mathbf{Q}[D_{p^n}] = (\mathbf{Q} \oplus \mathbf{Q})^2 \oplus \bigoplus_{4|d|p^n} M_2(\mathbf{Q}(\mu_d)^+)$$

if p is even and $n \geq 2$.

Again, we see that $\mathbf{Q}[G]$ satisfies the condition of Proposition 3.10 which yields the following result.

Proposition 3.11. *If the dihedral group D_{p^n} is (p, t, a) -inertial then it is the finite monodromy group of an abelian variety A of dimension $t+a$ over a number field K which has a non-archimedean place v such that for an extension L/K and all places $w \mid v$ of L for which A has semi-stable reduction at v , the toric rank of A_w is t and its abelian rank is a .*

Furthermore, D_{p^n} is $(p, 0, \varphi(p^n))$ -inertial where φ is the standard Euler totient function.

For the second statement, notice that D_{p^n} has a faithful irreducible \mathbf{Q} -representation given by its action on $\mathbf{Q}(\mu_{p^n})$ by multiplication by ζ_{p^n} and complex conjugation.

RÉFÉRENCES

- [CM13] P. CHRÉTIEN et M. MATIGNON, « Maximal wild monodromy in unequal characteristic, » *J. Number Theory*, t. 133, n° 4, p. 1389-1408, 2013 (cf. p. 2).
- [Fal83] G. FALTINGS, « Finiteness theorems for abelian varieties over number fields., » *Invent. Math.*, t. 73, p. 349-366, 1983 (cf. p. 4).
- [FC90] G. FALTINGS et C.-L. CHAI, *Degeneration of abelian varieties* (Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)]). Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990, t. 22, p. xii+316, With an appendix by David Mumford (cf. p. 8).
- [For87] C. E. FORD, « Characters of p -groups, » *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, t. 101, n° 4, p. 595-601, 1987 (cf. p. 2, 12).
- [GB02] C. R. GIRALDO VERGARA et F. E. BROCHERO MARTÍNEZ, « Wedderburn decomposition of some special rational group algebras, » *Lect. Mat.*, t. 23, n° 2, p. 99-106, 2002 (cf. p. 3, 13).
- [SGA7.1] A. GROTHENDIECK, *Modèles de Néron et monodromie*. Sémin. Géom. Algébrique, Bois-Marie 1967–1969, SGA 7 I, Exp. No. 9, Lect. Notes Math. 288, 313-523. Avec un appendice par M. Raynaud, 1972 (cf. p. 1).
- [Lew06] D. W. LEWIS, « Involutions and anti-automorphisms of algebras, » *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, t. 38, n° 4, p. 529-545, 2006 (cf. p. 5).
- [Mau68] E. MAUS, « Die gruppentheoretische Struktur der Verzweigungsgruppenreihen, » *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, t. 230, p. 1-28, 1968 (cf. p. 1).
- [Mik77] H. MIKI, « A note on Maus' theorem on ramification groups, » *Tohoku Math. J. (2)*, t. 29, n° 1, p. 61-68, 1977 (cf. p. 1).

- [Mum08] D. MUMFORD, *Abelian varieties* (Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Studies in Mathematics). Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay ; by Hindustan Book Agency, New Delhi, 2008, t. 5, p. xii+263, With appendices by C. P. Ramanujam and Yuri Manin, Corrected reprint of the second (1974) edition (cf. p. 4, 5, 7).
- [Oor74] F. OORT, « Subvarieties of moduli spaces, » *Invent. Math.*, t. 24, p. 95-119, 1974 (cf. p. 10, 11).
- [Phi22a] S. PHILIP, « On the semi-stability degree for abelian varieties, » *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.*, t. 54, n° 6, p. 2174-2187, 2022 (cf. p. 1).
- [Phi22b] ———, « Variétés abéliennes CM et grosse monodromie finie sauvage, » *J. Number Theory*, t. 240, p. 163-195, 2022 (cf. p. 1).
- [Phi24] ———, « Groupes de monodromie finie des variétés abéliennes, » (*submitted*) *arxiv :2412.11900*, p. 33, 2024 (cf. p. 1, 2, 8, 9).
- [Rém17] G. RÉMOND, « Variétés abéliennes et ordres maximaux, » *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.*, t. 33, n° 4, p. 1173-1195, 2017 (cf. p. 5, 10, 11).
- [Roq58] P. ROQUETTE, « Realisierung von Darstellungen endlicher nilpotenter Gruppen., » *Arch. Math.*, t. 9, p. 241-250, 1958 (cf. p. 2, 12).
- [Ser60] J.-P. SERRE, « Sur la rationalité des représentations d'Artin, » *Ann. of Math. (2)*, t. 72, p. 405-420, 1960 (cf. p. 5-7).
- [Ser78] ———, *Représentations linéaires des groupes finis*, revised. Hermann, Paris, 1978, p. 182 (cf. p. 6).
- [SZ98] A. SILVERBERG et Y. G. ZARHIN, « Subgroups of inertia groups arising from abelian varieties, » *J. Algebra*, t. 209, n° 1, p. 94-107, 1998 (cf. p. 1, 12).
- [SZ05] ———, « Inertia groups and abelian surfaces, » *J. Number Theory*, t. 110, n° 1, p. 178-198, 2005 (cf. p. 1, 2).
- [Tam95] A. TAMAGAWA, « The Eisenstein quotient of the Jacobian variety of a Drinfel'd modular curve., » *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.*, t. 31, n° 2, p. 203-246, 1995 (cf. p. 3).
- [WM71] W. C. WATERHOUSE et J. S. MILNE, *Abelian varieties over finite fields*, English, 1969 Number Theory Institute, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. 20, 53-64 (1971). 1971 (cf. p. 4).
- [Wat69] W. C. WATERHOUSE, « Abelian varieties over finite fields, » *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, t. 2, p. 521-560, 1969 (cf. p. 9).