

SYMMETRIC DECOMPOSITION OF THE HILBERT FUNCTION OF AN IDEAL

MEGHANA BHAT, SAIPRIYA DUBEY, AND SHREEDEVI K. MASUTI

ABSTRACT. Let (R, \mathcal{M}) be a local ring over a field k with $k = R/\mathcal{M}$ and J an ideal in R such that $A = R/J$ is an Artinian Gorenstein (AG) k -algebra. In [15] A. Iarrobino introduced the symmetric decomposition of the Hilbert function of A . This became a very powerful tool for classifying the Hilbert functions of AG k -algebras. In this article, we introduce the symmetric decomposition of the Hilbert function of any ideal I in A . Our hope is that this result will be useful in classifying the possible Hilbert function of an ideal in an AG k -algebra. We illustrate this by giving a complete list of 2-admissible sequences of length at most 3 and with $h_0 = 2$ that are realizable by an ideal in an AG k -algebra.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	3
3. Symmetric decomposition of the Hilbert function of $G(I)$	6
4. Some open problems in codimension two	11
5. 2-admissible I -Gorenstein sequences with end degree at most 3 and $h_0 = 2$	13
References	19

1. INTRODUCTION

Let (R, \mathcal{M}) be a local ring over a field k where $k = R/\mathcal{M}$ and J an ideal in R such that $A = R/J$ is an Artinian Gorenstein (AG) k -algebra. One of the most important numerical invariants associated with an AG k -algebra is its Hilbert function. Recall that the Hilbert function of A is by definition the Hilbert function of its *tangent cone*, namely $G(\mathfrak{m}) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathfrak{m}^n / \mathfrak{m}^{n+1}$ where $\mathfrak{m} = \mathcal{M}/J$. Classifying the Hilbert function of AG k -algebras is a widely open problem in commutative algebra. They have been characterized in certain cases, see [3, 14, 20, 21, 24]. The purpose of this article is to understand the structure of the Hilbert function of I , namely, the Hilbert function of its *associated graded ring* $G(I) := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} I^n / I^{n+1}$ for any ideal I in A . The associated graded ring $G(I)$ plays an important role in algebraic geometry, in particular in resolution of singularities. There has been a huge research in the literature on studying the properties of $G(I)$ in terms of the properties of I and a local ring A of any dimension (not just AG k -algebra), see [5, 10, 13, 25, 26, 27, 28, 30].

For a standard graded k -algebra $S = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} S_i$ the Hilbert function $\text{HF} : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ defined as $\text{HF}(S)_i := \ell_{S_0}(S_i)$. It is well known that the Hilbert function of a *graded* AG k -algebra is symmetric. This is no longer

Date: March 28, 2025.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 13D40.

Key words and phrases. Gorenstein rings, symmetric decomposition, Hilbert function.

MB is supported by Prime Minister's Research Fellowship (PMRF), Govt. of India. SD is partially supported by a grant from the Infosys Foundation. SKM is supported by CRG grant CRG/2022/007572 and MATRICS grant MTR/2022/000816 funded by SERB, Govt. of India. The project is partially supported by SPARC grant SPARC/2019-2020/P1566/SL.

true with the Hilbert function of the local AG k -algebra. However, A. Iarrobino in [16] developed a beautiful theory that shows the Hilbert function of an AG k -algebra admits a symmetric decomposition, namely,

$$\mathrm{HF}(G(\mathfrak{m}))_i = \sum_{a=0}^{j-2} H(a)_i,$$

where $H(a)$ is symmetric about $(j-a)/2$. Here j is the *socle degree* of A , that is the maximum integer such that $\mathfrak{m}^j \neq 0$. This became a very powerful tool in classifying the Hilbert functions of local AG k -algebras (see for example [24]). Recently, there has been intense research on symmetric decomposition, for instance in [19] the authors have studied the possible vectors $H(a)$ in a symmetric decomposition of the Hilbert function of AG k -algebra, in [18] the authors have studied its interaction with Jordan type. Very recently, M. Wojtala has extended the symmetric decomposition theory to self-dual modules in [31].

In Section 3, we introduce a symmetric decomposition of the Hilbert function of an arbitrary ideal in an AG local k -algebra. We prove that:

Theorem 1. (Theorem 3.3) *Let (A, \mathfrak{m}) be an AG k -algebra, and I an ideal in A such that $I^u \neq 0$ and $I^{u+1} = 0$. Then the Hilbert function of I*

$$\mathrm{HF}(G(I))_i = \sum_{a=0}^u H(a)_i,$$

where $H(a)$ is symmetric about $(u-a)/2$.

As a consequence, we recover a result by W. Heinzer, M.-K. Kim, and B. Ulrich in [12] which asserts that $G(I)$ is Gorenstein if and only if the Hilbert function of $G(I)$ is symmetric (Theorem 3.8).

Our hope is that Theorem 1 will be useful in classifying the Hilbert function of an ideal in an AG k -algebra. One of the difficulties in understanding the structure of the Hilbert function of $G(I)$ is that, in this case $G(I)_0 = A/I$, which is not a field unless $I = \mathfrak{m}$. In [2] C. Blancafort and S. Nollet characterized the Hilbert functions of standard graded algebras of the form $R_0[x_1, \dots, x_b]/I$ where R_0 is an Artinian ring. They call such a sequence a *b-admissible sequence*. This result generalizes a result of Macaulay which characterizes the Hilbert functions of standard graded algebras over a field [22]. We ask: which *b*-admissible sequences occur as the Hilbert function of $G(I)$ for some ideal I in an AG k -algebra A ? We call a sequence that occurs as the Hilbert function of $G(I)$ for some ideal I in an AG k -algebra A as an *I-Gorenstein sequence*. Recall that a Gorenstein sequence refers to a sequence that occurs as the Hilbert function of some AG k -algebra. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first time realizable Gorenstein sequences are studied in this generality.

As with the case of Gorenstein sequences, the classification of *b*-admissible sequences that are *I*-Gorenstein is a difficult problem. However, we want to investigate the problem in certain cases. For instance, the structure of the Hilbert function of the AG k -algebra of codimension two is well known [21, 23]. Inspired by this, in this paper we investigate the structure of Hilbert function of an ideal in a codimension two AG k -algebra. But we are far from having a complete solution. In Section 4, we propose some problems and conjectures on possible *I*-Gorenstein sequences in a codimension two AG k -algebra. In Section 5, we give a complete list of 2-admissible sequences of length at most 3 and with $h_0 = 2$ that are *I*-Gorenstein sequences which supports our conjecture. To conclude that certain 2-admissible sequences are not *I*-Gorenstein, we have deeply extracted information coming from a possible symmetric decomposition of this sequence. In order to show that a certain 2-admissible sequence H is an *I*-Gorenstein sequence we have constructed an explicit AG k -algebra A and an ideal I in A such that $G(I)$ has the Hilbert function H , see Table 2.

In [15, Theorem 2] Iarrobino showed that the Hilbert function of codimension 2 AG k -algebra determines its symmetric decomposition. In Section 4, we provide an example of a 2-admissible *I*-Gorenstein sequence with two distinct admissible symmetric decompositions (Example 4.5).

We have recalled some properties of bilinear maps, subspaces associated with them, and properties of AG k -algebras in Section 2.

We have used computer algebra systems CoCoA (see [1]), Macaulay2 (see [11]), Singular (see [6]), and the library ([8]) for various computations in this paper.

Acknowledgments: The authors thank M.E. Rossi for helpful discussions and M. Pedro for sharing the Macaulay2 code for computing the symmetric decomposition. We have greatly benefited from the examples computed using this code in Macaulay2.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we recall some properties of bilinear maps and the subspaces associated with them that we need in the next section. These properties are well-known, but we have added details of some results for the sake of completeness. One of the important properties of AG k -algebras is that one can associate a k -bilinear map with it. We recall this correspondence in this section. We also recall the definition of a b -admissible sequence introduced by Blancafort and Nollet in [2].

2.1. Properties of bilinear maps. Let k be a field and V, W be finite-dimensional k -vector spaces. Recall that a map $\varphi : V \times W \rightarrow k$ is said to be bilinear if it satisfies the following properties: for any $x, y \in V, z, w \in W$ and $\alpha \in k$,

- (i) $\varphi(\alpha(x + y), z) = \alpha \varphi(x, z) + \alpha \varphi(y, z)$;
- (ii) $\varphi(x, \alpha(z + w)) = \alpha \varphi(x, z) + \alpha \varphi(x, w)$.

Let $\varphi : V \times W \rightarrow k$ be a bilinear map. For a subset S of V , we define a subset S^\perp of W as

$$S^\perp := \{w \in W \mid \varphi(v, w) = 0 \text{ for every } v \in S\}.$$

Similarly, if T is a subset of W , then a subset $\text{Ann}_V(T)$ of V is

$$\text{Ann}_V(T) := \{v \in V \mid \varphi(v, t) = 0 \text{ for all } t \in T\}.$$

Note that both $\text{Ann}_V(T)$ and S^\perp are subspaces of V and W , respectively. For subsets S, O of V recall that

$$S + O := \{x + y : x \in S \text{ and } y \in O\}.$$

Proposition 2.1. *Let $\varphi : V \times W \rightarrow k$ be a bilinear map and $S, O \subseteq V$, and $T, U \subseteq W$ are subsets. Then*

- (i) *If $T \subseteq U$, then $\text{Ann}_V(U) \subseteq \text{Ann}_V(T)$.*
- (ii) *If $S \subseteq O$, then $O^\perp \subseteq S^\perp$.*
- (iii) *$\text{Ann}_V(T + U) = \text{Ann}_V(T) \cap \text{Ann}_V(U)$.*
- (iv) *$(S + O)^\perp = S^\perp \cap O^\perp$.*

Proof. Easy to check. □

Throughout this paper, for any k -vector space V , we set $\check{V} := \text{Hom}(V, k)$. For a subset S of V , let

$$(0 : {}_V S) := \{f \in \check{V} : f(t) = 0 \text{ for all } t \in S\}.$$

Note that $(0 : {}_V S)$ is a subspace of \check{V} . We denote the k -vector space dimension of V by $\dim V$.

Proposition 2.2. *Let V, W be finite dimensional vector spaces of the same dimension, and $S \subseteq V, T \subseteq W$ are subspaces. Let $\varphi : V \times W \rightarrow k$ be a bilinear map.*

- (i) *If the k -linear map $\varphi' : V \rightarrow \text{Hom}(W, k)$ induced by φ is injective, then*

$$(0 : {}_W T) \cong \text{Ann}_V(T).$$

Moreover, $\dim \text{Ann}_V(T) = \dim W - \dim T$.

(ii) If the k -linear map $\varphi'' : W \rightarrow \text{Hom}(V, k)$ induced by φ is injective, then

$$(0: {}_V S) \cong S^\perp.$$

Moreover, $\dim S^\perp = \dim V - \dim S$.

(iii) If φ is non-degenerate, then

$$\text{Ann}_V(S^\perp) = S, (\text{Ann}_V T)^\perp = T.$$

(iv) Assume φ is non-degenerate. Let S, O be subspaces of V and T, U be subspaces of W . Then

$$(S \cap O)^\perp = S^\perp + O^\perp, \text{Ann}_V(T \cap U) = \text{Ann}_V(T) + \text{Ann}_V(U).$$

Proof. (i) Since $\dim V = \dim W$, $\dim V = \dim W^\checkmark$. Therefore, if

$$\varphi' : V \rightarrow \text{Hom}(W, k) = W^\checkmark$$

induced by φ is injective, then φ' is surjective as well. Hence φ' is an isomorphism.

Let ψ be the restriction map of φ' to $\text{Ann}_V(T)$, that is $\psi : \text{Ann}_V(T) \rightarrow W^\checkmark$ is defined as $\psi(v) = \varphi'(v)$. Note that for $v \in \text{Ann}_V(T)$,

$$\varphi'(v)(t) = \phi(v, t) = 0 \text{ for all } t \in T.$$

Hence $\psi(v) = \varphi'(v) \in (0: {}_W T)$. Therefore $\text{im}(\psi) \subseteq (0: {}_W T)$. Clearly, as φ' is injective, ψ is injective. Also, for any $f \in (0: {}_W T)$, surjectivity of φ' implies that there exists $v \in V$ such that $\varphi'(v) = f$. Then $v \in \text{Ann}_V(T)$ because

$$\phi(v, t) = \varphi'(v)(t) = f(t) = 0 \text{ for all } t \in T.$$

Thus $\psi(v) = \varphi'(v) = f$. Hence $\text{im}(\psi) = (0: {}_W T)$. Thus we get $\text{Ann}_V(T) \cong (0: {}_W T)$.

In order to compute the dimension of $\text{Ann}_V(T)$, first observe that $(0: {}_W T) \simeq (W/T)^\checkmark$. Hence

$$\dim \text{Ann}_V(T) = \dim(0: {}_W T) = \dim(W/T)^\checkmark = \dim W/T = \dim W - \dim T.$$

(ii) Proof is similar to (i).

(iii) We have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \text{Ann}_V(S^\perp) &= \dim W - \dim S^\perp && \text{(from (i))} \\ &= \dim W - (\dim V - \dim S) && \text{(from (ii))} \\ &= \dim S && \text{(since } \dim W = \dim V \text{)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $S \subseteq \text{Ann}_V(S^\perp)$, we obtain that $\text{Ann}_V(S^\perp) = S$.

Similarly, $(\text{Ann}_V T)^\perp = T$ is proved.

(iv) We have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ann}_V(S^\perp + O^\perp) &= \text{Ann}_V(S^\perp) \cap \text{Ann}_V(O^\perp) && \text{(by Proposition 2.1 (iii))} \\ &= S \cap O && \text{(by part (iii))}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (S \cap O)^\perp &= (\text{Ann}_V(S^\perp + O^\perp))^\perp \\ &= S^\perp + O^\perp && \text{(by part (iii))}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $\text{Ann}_V(T \cap U) = \text{Ann}_V(T) + \text{Ann}_V(U)$ is proved. □

2.2. AG k -algebras. Let (R, \mathcal{M}) be a local ring over a field $k \cong R/\mathcal{M}$. In this subsection we recall that there is a one-many correspondence between AG quotients of R and k -linear maps from $R \rightarrow k$ satisfying certain conditions. This map induces a non-degenerate bilinear map from $A \times A \rightarrow k$ which is a key ingredient to derive our results in the next section.

Proposition 2.3. *Let (R, \mathcal{M}) be a local ring over a field k . There is a one-many correspondence between the following sets:*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{AG quotients } A \text{ of } R \\ \text{of socle degree } s \end{array} \right\} \leftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \textit{k-linear map } \varphi : R \rightarrow k \textit{ such that} \\ \varphi|_{\mathcal{M}^{s+1}} = 0, \varphi|_{\mathcal{M}^s} \neq 0. \end{array} \right\}$$

Here $A = R/J$ with $J = \{h \in R \mid \varphi(Rh) = 0\}$.

Proof. Refer to [16, Lemma 1.1]. □

Lemma 2.4. *Let $A = R/J$ be an AG k -algebra of socle degree s . Then there exists a k -linear map $\varphi : A \rightarrow k$ which is non-zero on the socle of A . The k -bilinear map induced by this linear map $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : A \times A \rightarrow k$, namely $\langle a, b \rangle = \varphi(ab)$, is non-degenerate.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.3 corresponding to $A = R/J$, there exists a k -linear map $\psi : R \rightarrow k$ such that $\psi|_{\mathcal{M}^{s+1}} = 0, \psi|_{\mathcal{M}^s} \neq 0$. Define $\varphi : A \rightarrow k$ as $\varphi(a + J) = \psi(a)$. By Proposition 2.3, we have $\psi(Rx) = 0$ for all $x \in J$. Hence, in particular $\psi(x) = 0$ for all $x \in J$. Thus φ is well defined. Moreover, since $\text{Soc } A = \mathcal{M}^s + J/J$, $\varphi(\mathcal{M}^s + J/J) = \psi(\mathcal{M}^s) \neq 0$. Hence φ is non-zero on the socle of A . Clearly, the induced map $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : A \times A \rightarrow k$ given by $\langle a, b \rangle = \varphi(ab)$ is k -bilinear.

We prove that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is non-degenerate. Suppose $a + J \in A = R/J$ is such that $\langle a + J, b + J \rangle = 0$, for all $b \in R$. Then, for all $b \in R$,

$$\langle a + J, b + J \rangle = \varphi(ab + J) = \psi(ab) = 0.$$

Hence by the description of J in Proposition 2.3, we get that $a \in J$. Hence $a + J = 0$.

Similarly, if $b + J \in A$ is such that $\langle a + J, b + J \rangle = 0$ for all $a + J \in A$, then $b + J = 0$ in A . Therefore our claim is proved. □

By abusing the notation we denote the bilinear map $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ in Proposition 2.4 which is induced by the linear map $\varphi : A \rightarrow k$ as φ . We recall the definition of perp and annihilator of an ideal I in A induced by this bilinear map φ :

$$\text{Ann}_A(I) = \{a \in A \mid \varphi(at) = 0, \text{ for all } t \in I\}, \text{ and } I^\perp = \{a \in A \mid \varphi(ta) = 0, \text{ for all } t \in I\}$$

Hence, we get $\text{Ann}_A(I) = I^\perp$.

Proposition 2.5. *Let $A = R/J$ be an AG k -algebra, and $Q \subseteq S \subseteq A$ be ideals in A . Then $(S/Q)^\sim \cong Q^\perp/S^\perp$.*

Proof. Let $\varphi : A \rightarrow k$ be a k -linear map corresponding to A obtained from Lemma 2.4. We have a natural k -linear map $\psi : Q^\perp \rightarrow (S/Q)^\sim$ given by

$$\psi(a) = \psi_a : S/Q \rightarrow k$$

where $\psi_a(s + Q) = \varphi(sa)$ for $s \in S$. Since $a \in Q^\perp$, ψ_a is well defined. Note that

$$\ker \psi = \{a \in Q^\perp \mid \psi(a) = \psi_a = 0\} = \{a \in Q^\perp \mid \varphi(sa) = 0 \text{ for all } s \in S\} = S^\perp \cap Q^\perp = S^\perp.$$

Hence, we have an injective map $\bar{\psi} : Q^\perp/S^\perp \rightarrow (S/Q)^\checkmark$. Further,

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(Q^\perp/S^\perp) &= \dim Q^\perp - \dim S^\perp \\ &= \dim A - \dim Q - (\dim A - \dim S) \quad (\text{by Proposition 2.2}) \\ &= \dim S - \dim Q \\ &= \dim(S/Q) \\ &= \dim(S/Q)^\checkmark. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\bar{\psi}$ is an isomorphism. Thus $(S/Q)^\checkmark \cong Q^\perp/S^\perp$. \square

2.3. b -admissible sequences. In this section, we recall a result by C. Blancafort and S. Nollet which characterizes the Hilbert functions of standard graded algebras over an Artinian local ring that need not be a field. We remark that possible Hilbert functions of standard graded algebras over a field are classically known due to Macaulay [22].

Theorem 2.6 ([2], Theorem 2.3). *Let R_0 be an Artinian ring, $R = R_0[x_1, \dots, x_b]$, and $H : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be a function. Define the functions q and r by the Euclidean division as $H(n) = \binom{n+b-1}{b-1}q(n) + r(n)$ where $0 \leq r(n) < \binom{n+b-1}{b-1}$. Then H is the Hilbert function of R/I for a homogeneous ideal $I \subset R$ if and only if*

- (i) $H(0) \leq \ell(R_0)$;
- (ii) $H(n+1) \leq \binom{n+b}{b-1}q(n) + r(n)_{n+}^+$ for all $n \geq 0$.

Here for the n^{th} Macaulay representation $r(n)_n = \binom{k(n)}{n} + \dots + \binom{k(1)}{1}$ of $r(n)$,

$$r(n)_{n+}^+ := \binom{k(n)+1}{n+1} + \dots + \binom{k(1)+1}{1+1}.$$

Note that the integer b is the embedding dimension of the ring R . A numerical function H satisfying the equivalent condition above is called a b -admissible sequence.

3. SYMMETRIC DECOMPOSITION OF THE HILBERT FUNCTION OF $G(I)$

Let (R, \mathcal{M}) be a local ring over a field k with $k = R/\mathcal{M}$ and J be an ideal in R such that $A = R/J$ is an AG k -algebra. Let I be an ideal in A . We define the *end degree* of I as the least integer u such that $I^u \neq 0$ and $I^{u+1} = 0$. Then we define the following decreasing sequence of ideals of $G(I)$ as follows:

$$G(I) = C(0) \supseteq C(1) \supseteq \dots \supseteq C(u+1) = 0$$

where $C(a)$ is a graded ideal of $G(I)$ with i^{th} component given by

$$(3.1) \quad C(a)_i = \frac{(0 : I^{u+1-a-i}) \cap I^i}{(0 : I^{u+1-a-i}) \cap I^{i+1}} \cong \frac{(0 : I^{u+1-a-i}) \cap I^i + I^{i+1}}{I^{i+1}}.$$

Define $Q(a) := C(a)/C(a+1)$. We note that

$$\begin{aligned} Q(a)_v &= C(a)_v / C(a+1)_v \\ &= \frac{[(0 : I^{u+1-a-v}) \cap I^v + I^{v+1}] / I^{v+1}}{[(0 : I^{u+1-a-1-v}) \cap I^v + I^{v+1}] / I^{v+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

For simplification, let $l = u+1-a-v$. Then

$$(3.2) \quad Q(a)_v = \frac{(0 : I^l) \cap I^v + I^{v+1}}{(0 : I^{l-1}) \cap I^v + I^{v+1}}.$$

Since $(0 : I^{l-1}) \subseteq (0 : I^l)$, we can write

$$(0 : I^l) \cap I^v + I^{v+1} = (0 : I^l) \cap I^v + (0 : I^{l-1}) \cap I^v + I^{v+1}.$$

Therefore

$$(3.3) \quad Q(a)_v = \frac{(0 : I^l) \cap I^v + (0 : I^{l-1}) \cap I^v + I^{v+1}}{(0 : I^{l-1}) \cap I^v + I^{v+1}}.$$

Let $M := (0 : I^l) \cap I^v$, $N := (0 : I^{l-1}) \cap I^v + I^{v+1}$. Then $Q(a)_v = (M + N)/N \cong M/(M \cap N)$.
Now

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} M \cap N &= ((0 : I^l) \cap I^v) \cap (I^{v+1} + (0 : I^{l-1}) \cap I^v) \\ &= [(0 : I^l) \cap I^v \cap I^{v+1}] + [(0 : I^{l-1}) \cap I^v] \quad (\text{since } (0 : I^l) \cap I^v \supseteq (0 : I^{l-1}) \cap I^v) \\ &= (0 : I^l) \cap I^{v+1} + (0 : I^{l-1}) \cap I^v. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(3.5) \quad Q(a)_v \cong \frac{I^v \cap (0 : I^l)}{I^{v+1} \cap (0 : I^l) + I^v \cap (0 : I^{l-1})}$$

where $l = u + 1 - a - v$.

The following lemma is a key tool for proving our main results.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $A = R/J$ be an AG k -algebra, I an ideal of A , and ϕ be a map corresponding to A as in Lemma 2.4. Then $\text{Ann}_A(I) = I^\perp = (0 : I)$, and $I = (0 : I)^\perp$.*

Proof. By definition $\text{Ann}_A(I) = \{a \in A \mid \varphi(aI) = 0\}$. Let $a \in \text{Ann}_A(I)$. Then

$$\varphi(aIA) = \varphi(aI) = 0.$$

Since φ is non-degenerate, we get $aI = 0$. Hence $a \in (0 : I)$.

Conversely, if $x \in (0 : I)$, then $\varphi(xI) = \varphi(0) = 0$. Therefore $x \in \text{Ann}_A(I)$. Hence $\text{Ann}_A(I) = (0 : I)$. As $\text{Ann}_A(I) = I^\perp$, we get $I^\perp = (0 : I)$.

Thus $(\text{Ann}_A(I))^\perp = (0 : I)^\perp$. Since $(\text{Ann}_A(I))^\perp = I$ by Proposition 2.2 (ii), $I = (0 : I)^\perp$. \square

Next, we prove the main technical result that shows that the Hilbert function of $Q(a)$ is symmetric about $(u - a)/2$.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $A = R/J$ be an AG k -algebra, I an ideal in A with the end degree u . Then for any $a \geq 0$,*

$$Q(a)_v \overset{\sim}{=} \text{Hom}(Q(a), k)_v \cong Q(a)_{u-a-v}.$$

Proof. Let $l = u + 1 - (a + v)$. By Equation (3.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
Q(a)_v &\cong \left(\frac{I^v \cap (0 : I^l)}{I^{v+1} \cap (0 : I^l) + I^v \cap (0 : I^{l-1})} \right) \\
&\cong \frac{(I^{v+1} \cap (0 : I^l) + I^v \cap (0 : I^{l-1}))^\perp}{(I^v \cap (0 : I^l))^\perp} && \text{(by Proposition 2.5)} \\
&= \frac{(I^{v+1} \cap (0 : I^l))^\perp \cap (I^v \cap (0 : I^{l-1}))^\perp}{(I^v \cap (0 : I^l))^\perp} && \text{(by Proposition 2.1 (iv))} \\
&= \frac{((I^{v+1})^\perp + (0 : I^l)^\perp) \cap ((I^v)^\perp + (0 : I^{l-1})^\perp)}{(I^v)^\perp + (0 : I^l)^\perp} && \text{(by Proposition 2.2 (iv))} \\
&= \frac{((0 : I^{v+1}) + I^l) \cap ((0 : I^v) + I^{l-1})}{(0 : I^v) + I^l} && \text{(by Lemma 3.1)} \\
&= \frac{(0 : I^{v+1}) \cap [(0 : I^v) + I^{l-1}] + I^l}{(0 : I^v) + I^l} && \text{(since } I^l \subseteq (0 : I^v) + I^{l-1}\text{)} \\
&= \frac{(0 : I^v) + I^{l-1} \cap (0 : I^{v+1}) + I^l}{(0 : I^v) + I^l} && \text{(since } (0 : I^v) \subseteq (0 : I^{v+1})\text{)} \\
&= \frac{I^{l-1} \cap (0 : I^{v+1}) + (0 : I^v) + I^l}{(0 : I^v) + I^l} \\
&\cong \frac{I^{l-1} \cap (0 : I^{v+1})}{[(0 : I^v) + I^l] \cap [I^{l-1} \cap (0 : I^{v+1})]} \\
&= \frac{I^{l-1} \cap (0 : I^{v+1})}{[[(0 : I^v) + I^l] \cap (0 : I^{v+1})] \cap I^{l-1}} \\
&= \frac{I^{l-1} \cap (0 : I^{v+1})}{[(0 : I^v) + I^l \cap (0 : I^{v+1})] \cap I^{l-1}} && \text{(since } (0 : I^v) \subseteq (0 : I^{v+1})\text{)} \\
&= \frac{I^{l-1} \cap (0 : I^{v+1})}{(0 : I^v) \cap I^{l-1} + I^l \cap (0 : I^{v+1})} && \text{(since } I^l \cap (0 : I^{v+1}) \subseteq I^{l-1}\text{)} \\
&= Q(a)_{l-1}. && \text{(by Equation 3.5)} \\
&= Q(a)_{u-a-v} && \text{(since } l = u + 1 - (a + v)\text{)}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

The following is one of the main results of the paper:

Theorem 3.3. *Let $A = R/J$ be an AG k -algebra, and I an ideal in A with the end degree u . Then the Hilbert function of $G(I)$,*

$$\text{HF}(G(I))_i = \sum_{a=0}^u H(a)_i,$$

where $H(a)$ denotes the Hilbert function of $Q(a)$. Moreover, $H(a)$ is symmetric about $(u - a)/2$.

Proof. We have $G(I) = C(0) \supseteq C(1) \supseteq \dots \supseteq C(u + 1) = 0$. By considering the Hilbert function, we obtain

$$\text{HF}(G(I))_i = \sum_{a=0}^u H(a)_i. \text{ Further, by Proposition 3.2, we have}$$

$$Q(a)_v \cong Q(a)_{u-a-v}.$$

Hence $H(a)_v = H(a)_{u-a-v}$. This proves the result. □

Definition 3.4. Let H be a b -admissible sequence. We say H is an I -Gorenstein sequence if there exists a Gorenstein ring A and an ideal $I \subset A$ such that the Hilbert function of $G(I)$ is H .

Corollary 3.5. *Let $H = (h_0, h_1, \dots, h_u)$ be a b -admissible sequence. If $h_u > h_0$, then H is not an I -Gorenstein sequence.*

Proof. Suppose H is an I -Gorenstein sequence. Notice that by Equation (3.5), $Q(a)_u = 0$ for all $a > 0$. Hence by Theorem 3.3, $h_u = H(0)_u$. By symmetry of $H(0)$, we get $h_u = H(0)_u = H(0)_0$. Since $H(0)_0 \leq h_0$, we get $h_u \leq h_0$. This is a contradiction. \square

Next, we give an example to illustrate Theorem 3.3.

Example 3.6. Let $A = \frac{k[[t^4, t^5, t^6]]}{(t^{10})}$. We know that $R = k[[t^4, t^5, t^6]]$ is Gorenstein, since the numerical semigroup ring generated by 4,5,6 is symmetric (see [4, Theorem 4.4.8]). Further, t^{10} is a nonzerodivisor in R . Hence A is an AG k -algebra. Let $I = (t^6)$ be an ideal in A . Then $I^2 = (t^{12})$, and $I^3 = 0$. Hence the end degree of I is 2.

Note that $\ell(A) = 10$, $\ell(A/I) = 6$, $\ell(A/I^2) = 8$. Hence the Hilbert function of $G(I)$ is (6,2,2). Now we compute $C(a)$ to obtain $Q(a)$. We have

$$(0 : I) = (t^4, t^8, t^9, t^{12}, t^{13}, t^{17}),$$

$$(0 : I^2) = (t^4, t^6, t^8, t^9, t^{11}, t^{12}, t^{13}, t^{17}).$$

\cap	I	I^2
$(0 : I)$	(t^{12}, t^{17})	I^2
$(0 : I^2)$	I	I^2

Therefore

$$C(1)_0 = \frac{(0 : I^2) \cap A}{(0 : I^2) \cap I} = \frac{(0 : I^2)}{I}$$

$$C(1)_1 = \frac{(0 : I) \cap I}{(0 : I) \cap I^2} = \frac{(t^{12}, t^{17})}{I^2} = 0$$

$$C(1)_2 = 0$$

$$C(2)_0 = \frac{(0 : I) \cap A}{(0 : I) \cap I} = \frac{(0 : I) + I}{I} = \frac{(t^4, t^6, t^8, t^9, t^{11}, t^{12}, t^{13}, t^{17})}{I} = \frac{(0 : I^2)}{I}$$

$$C(2)_1 = C(3)_0 = 0.$$

Hence

$$Q(0)_0 = C(0)_0/C(1)_0 \cong A/(0 : I^2)$$

$$Q(0)_1 = C(0)_1/C(1)_1 \cong I/I^2$$

$$Q(0)_2 = C(0)_2/C(1)_2 \cong I^2$$

$$Q(1)_0 = C(1)_0/C(2)_0 = 0$$

$$Q(1)_1 = Q(1)_2 = 0$$

$$Q(2)_0 = C(2)_0/C(3)_0 \cong \frac{(0 : I^2)}{I}$$

$$Q(2)_1 = Q(2)_2 = 0.$$

Therefore we have a decomposition of the Hilbert function given below:

HF	6	2	2
H(0)	2	2	2
H(1)	0	0	0
H(2)	4	0	0

The Hilbert series computation of $G(I)$ can also be verified in COCOA as follows:

```
Use S:=QQ[x,y,z];
J:=Ideal(y^2-x*z,y^2,x*z,x^3-z^2);
I:=Ideal(z)+J;
PS:= PrimaryHilbertSeries(J,I); PS;
```

□

Next, we show that, as in the case of symmetric decomposition of $G(\mathfrak{m})$ (see [Theorem 1.5][16]), $Q(0)$ is a Gorenstein quotient of $G(I)$ of socle degree u .

Proposition 3.7. *Let $A = R/J$ be an AG k -algebra, and I an ideal in A with the end degree u . Then $G(I)/C(1) \cong Q(0)$ is a graded Gorenstein quotient of $G(I)$ of socle degree u .*

Proof. First we prove that $Q(0)$ is a Gorenstein k -algebra. Consider $\mathfrak{M} = \frac{\mathfrak{m}}{(0 : I^u)} + Q(0)_{i \geq 1}$, the maximal ideal of $Q(0)$. We prove $(0 : \mathfrak{M})$ is one-dimensional. Let $i < u$ and $\bar{a} \in Q(0)_i$ be such that $\bar{a}\mathfrak{M} = 0$. Then $\bar{a} \cdot Q(0)_1 = 0$. Hence by Equation (3.2), we obtain

$$a \cdot I \subseteq (0 : I^{u-i-1}) \cap I^{i+1} + I^{i+2} \subseteq (0 : I^{u-i-1}).$$

Hence

$$a \in (0 : I^{u-i-1}) : I = (0 : I^{u-i}).$$

This gives $\bar{a} = 0$. Therefore $(0 : \mathfrak{M})$ has no element of degree $< u$.

Now suppose $\bar{x} \in Q(0)_u$ is such that $\bar{x} \in (0 : \mathfrak{M})$. Then $\bar{x} \in \left(0 : \frac{\mathfrak{m}}{(0 : I^u)}\right)$. Again, by using Equation (3.2), we get

$$x\mathfrak{m} \subseteq (0 : I^0) \cap I^u = 0.$$

Therefore $x \in (0 : \mathfrak{m})$. Hence we obtain $(0 : \mathfrak{M})_u = (0 : \mathfrak{m}) \cap I^u$. Since A is a Gorenstein local ring, and an essential extension of $(0 : \mathfrak{m})$, we obtain that $(0 : \mathfrak{M})_u = (0 : \mathfrak{m}) \cap I^u$ is a one-dimensional k -vector space. Hence $Q(0)$ is Gorenstein of socle degree u . □

Next, we provide equivalent criteria for $G(I)$ to be Gorenstein. Note that the equivalence of (i) and (v) in the following result was obtained in [12, Theorem 4.2]. Here we provide an alternative proof of the same with some more equivalent conditions in terms of the vanishing of $Q(a)$ for $a > 0$.

Theorem 3.8. *Let $A = R/I$ be an AG k -algebra, and I an ideal in A with the end degree u . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $G(I)$ is Gorenstein of socle degree u ;
- (ii) $C(1) = 0$;
- (iii) $C(i) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$;
- (iv) $Q(i) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$;
- (v) $\text{HF}(G(I))$ is symmetric.

Proof. (i) \iff (ii) : Suppose $G(I)$ is Gorenstein of socle degree u . By definition 3.1 we have

$$C(1)_i = ((0 : I^{u-i}) \cap I^i + I^{i+1}) / I^{i+1}.$$

We claim that $(0 : I^{u-i}) = I^{i+1}$ for all $0 \leq i \leq u$. Hence $C(1)_i = 0$. Indeed, $I^{i+1} \subseteq (0 : I^{u-i})$. Conversely, suppose there exists $\alpha \in (0 : I^{u-i}) \setminus I^{i+1}$. Choose $j < i + 1$ such that $\alpha \in I^j \setminus I^{j+1}$. Consider the ideal $J = (\alpha^*)$ in $G(I)$ where α^* denotes the initial form of α in $G(I)$. Since $G(I)$ is an essential extension of $\text{Soc } G(I)$ and $G(I)$ is Gorenstein of socle degree u , we get $[\text{Soc } G(I)]_u \cap J_u \neq 0$. Therefore $0 \neq \alpha^* x^* \in \text{Soc } G(I) \cap J$ for

some $x^* \in G(I)_{u-j}$. Since $x \in I^{u-j} \subseteq I^{u-i}$ and $\alpha \in (0: I^{u-i})$, we get $\alpha x = 0$ which is a contradiction. Therefore we have proved the claim.

Conversely, $C(1) = 0$ implies that $G(I) = Q(0)$. Hence $G(I)$ is Gorenstein of socle degree u by Proposition 3.7.

(ii) \iff (iii) : By definition $C(1) \supseteq C(i)$, for all $i \geq 2$. Hence if $C(1) = 0$, then $C(i) = 0$ for all $i \geq 2$. The converse is clear.

(iii) \iff (iv) : By definition, $Q(i) = C(i)/C(i+1)$. Hence $Q(i) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$ if $C(i) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$. Conversely, suppose $Q(i) = 0$, for all $i \geq 1$. Then

$$C(1) = C(2) = \dots = C(u) = C(u+1) = 0.$$

(iv) \iff (v) : Suppose $Q(i) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$. Since $\text{HF}(G(I)) = \sum_{i=0}^u \text{HF}(Q(i))$ by Theorem 3.3, $\text{HF}(G(I)) = \text{HF}(Q(0))$. By Theorem 3.3, $\text{HF}(Q(0))$ is symmetric, and so does the Hilbert function of $G(I)$.

Conversely, suppose that the Hilbert function of $G(I)$ is symmetric. Note that the Hilbert function of $Q(0)$ is also symmetric. Further, $\text{HF}(G(I)) = \sum_{i=0}^u \text{HF}(a)$ by Theorem 3.3. We claim that $Q(a) = 0$ for all $a > 0$. Suppose $Q(a) \neq 0$ for some $a > 0$. Let

$$i := \max\{j : Q(a)_j \neq 0 \text{ for some } a > 0\}.$$

Then $Q(a)_j = 0$ for all $j > i$ and $a > 0$. Hence $\text{HF}(G(I))_{u-k} = H(0)_{u-k}$ for all $u-k > i$. Since the Hilbert functions of $Q(0)$ and $G(I)$ are symmetric,

$$\text{HF}(G(I))_k = \text{HF}(G(I))_{u-k} = H(0)_{u-k} = H(0)_k \text{ for all } k < u-i.$$

In particular, for $k = u-a-i < u-i$ we obtain $H(a)_{u-a-i} = 0$ for all $a > 0$. Hence by Proposition 3.2, $H(a)_i = H(a)_{u-a-i} = 0$ for all $a > 0$, which is a contradiction. □

The above result helps us to conclude the Gorensteinness of the associated graded ring by computing the Hilbert function alone.

4. SOME OPEN PROBLEMS IN CODIMENSION TWO

In this section we assume that $A = \frac{k[[x, y]]}{J}$ is an AG k -algebra. In the codimension two case, Iarrobino proved that the symmetric decomposition of the Hilbert function of $G(\mathfrak{m})$ is unique. We show that for any ideals in A such a result is not necessarily true.

Using Theorem 2.6 we have written the code “isHFA” which is useful to understand the b -admissible sequences of standard graded rings over an Artinian ring. This code is written using the similar ideas of Macaulay2 function “isHF” used to verify admissible Hilbert functions of standard graded rings over the field of rationals. But unlike in the code “isHF”, in the code “isHFA” we need to provide an integer b and a numerical sequence h in the input. The output is “true” if h is the Hilbert function of $\frac{R_0[x_1, \dots, x_b]}{I}$ for some ideal I in $R_0[x_1, \dots, x_b]$ where R_0 is Artinian, else is “false”. We use this code to verify that a numerical sequence is b -admissible in this paper. The code is as follows:

```
loadPackage "LexIdeals"
isHFA = method(TypicalValue=>Boolean)
isHFA(ZZ, List) := (b,hib) ->
    ( result:=true;
      if not all(hilb,i->instance(i,ZZ)) then result=false
```

```

else( if hilb#1 > b*hilb#0 then result=false
else (
leng:=#hilb;
degr:=1; while result==true and degr < leng-1
do ((q,r) :=
    quotientRemainder(hilb#(degr), binomial(degr+b-1, b-1));
if hilb#(degr+1) > q*(binomial(degr+b, b-1))+macaulayBound(r,degr)
    then result=false else degr=degr+1; );
);
);
result
)

```

--Example:

```

i1: isHFA(2, {2,2,3,4})
o1: true

```

Let $A = \frac{k[[x, y]]}{J}$ be an AG k -algebra with the maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} . Inspired by the results from the symmetric decomposition of the Hilbert function of $G(\mathfrak{m})$ in [15] we ask:

Question 4.1. *i. Which b -admissible sequences are I -Gorenstein sequences for an ideal I in A ?
ii. Does every I -Gorenstein sequence have a unique symmetric decomposition?*

We remark that a characterization of Gorenstein sequence of codimension two is classically known due to F.S. Macaulay [21]¹. Namely, an admissible sequences of the form

$$H(A) = (1, 2, \dots, d, h_d, h_{d+1}, \dots, h_s = 1),$$

where $d \geq h_d \geq h_{d+1} \geq h_s = 1$, is a Gorenstein sequence if and only if $|h_i - h_{i+1}| \leq 1$ for all i .

Inspired by this result, we guessed that if a b -admissible sequence (h_0, \dots, h_s) is I -Gorenstein for an ideal I in A , then $|h_i - h_{i+1}| \leq h_0$. The following example shows that this need not to be true.

Example 4.2. Consider a 3-admissible sequence $h = (3, 7, 7, 3)$. Then h is an I -Gorenstein sequence. Indeed, let $A = \frac{k[[x, y]]}{(x^4 + y^4, x^3y^2)}$ and $I = (x^2, y^2, xy) \subset A$ be an ideal in A . Then A is an AG k -algebra, and $G(I)$ has the Hilbert function h .

Note that here $|h_1 - h_0| = 4 > 3$, and h is not a 2-admissible sequence.

We conjecture the following for a 2-admissible sequence to be I -Gorenstein. We say that a sequence $H = (h_0, h_1, \dots, h_u)$ is *partially strict unimodal* if $h_0 < h_1 < \dots < h_i \geq h_{i+1} \geq \dots \geq h_u$ for some $0 \leq i \leq u$.

Conjecture 4.3. *Let $A = k[[x, y]]/J$ be an AG k -algebra. Let $H = (h_0, h_1, \dots, h_u)$ be a 2-admissible sequence such that*

- (i) H is partially strict unimodal; and
- (ii) $|h_i - h_{i+1}| \leq h_0$ for all i .

Then H is an I -Gorenstein sequence.

¹In [21] Macaulay concluded a proof using his inverse systems and some ideas of C. A. Scott in [29]. The characterization of local Gorenstein sequences was also obtained by Briangon [3], and later Iarrobino in [16, Chapter 2] presented a self-contained proof using symmetric decomposition.

A reason for assuming H is partially strict unimodal is that if H is an O-sequence of the form $(1, 2, \dots, h_s)$, then by Macaulay's condition H is partially strict unimodal, which need not be true if H is a b -admissible sequence. In the following we give an example of a 2-admissible sequence, which shows that the assumption (i) in Conjecture 4.3 is necessary.

Example 4.4. Consider a 2-admissible sequence $H = (2, 2, 3, 2)$. Then H is not partially strict unimodal, but $|h_i - h_{i+1}| \leq h_0 = 2$ for all i . But H is not an I -Gorenstein sequence because H does not admit a symmetric decomposition.

In Section 5, we investigate 2-admissible sequences with $h_0 = 2$ and $u \leq 3$, which supports our conjecture.

Now we investigate Question 4.1 (ii). In [15] Iarrobino showed that if A is an AG k -algebra of codimension two, then the Hilbert function of A determines the symmetric decomposition. Moreover, each $Q(a)$ is isomorphic, after a shift in grading, to a complete intersection $k[x, y]/(f_a, g_a)$ [16, Theorem 2.2]. The example below shows that a 2-admissible I -Gorenstein sequence need not determine the symmetric decomposition.

Example 4.5. I -Gorenstein sequence with two different symmetric decompositions: Consider a 2-admissible sequence $H = (4, 4, 1)$. Let $A = Q[[x, y]]/(x^2y^2 - x^3, y^3)$, and $I_1 = (x^2, y^2)$ an ideal in A . Then the Hilbert function of $G(I_1)$ is H . The symmetric decomposition of the Hilbert function of $G(I_1)$ is given in Figure 1. Further, consider the ring $B = Q[[x, y]]/(y^4 - x^5, xy)$, and the ideal $I_2 = (x^3, y^2)$ in B . Then the Hilbert function of $G(I_2)$ is also H . The Hilbert function of $G(I_2)$ has the symmetric decomposition shown in Figure 2.

$\text{HF}(G(I_1))$	4, 4, 1
H(0)	1, 2, 1
H(1)	2, 2
H(2)	1

FIGURE 1

$\text{HF}(G(I_2))$	4, 4, 1
H(0)	1, 1, 1
H(1)	3, 3

FIGURE 2

We conjecture that a 2-admissible I -Gorenstein sequence with $h_0 = 2$ determines the symmetric decomposition.

Conjecture 4.6. *Let H be a 2-admissible $G(I)$ -Gorenstein sequence where I is an ideal in an AG k -algebra $A = k[[x, y]]/J$. Then the symmetric decomposition of H is unique.*

5. 2-ADMISSIBLE I -GORENSTEIN SEQUENCES WITH END DEGREE AT MOST 3 AND $h_0 = 2$

In this section, we give a complete list of 2-admissible I -Gorenstein sequences with $h_0 = 2$ and of end degree at most 3 where I is an ideal in $A = k[[x, y]]/J$. This list shows that the Conjecture 4.3 is true if H is an I -admissible sequence with $h_0 = 2$, and end degree at most 3.

Let I be an ideal in an AG k -algebra $A = k[[x, y]]/J$ such that $G(I)$ has the Hilbert function H with $h_0 = 2$. Then $\ell(A/I) = 2$. The following lemma shows that in this case we can assume that $I = (x, y^2)$, up to a change of coordinates.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $A = k[[x, y]]/J$ be an AG k -algebra with an embedding dimension two. If I is an ideal in A such that $\ell(A/I) = 2$, then $I = (x, y^2)$ up to a change of coordinates.*

Proof. Suppose $\ell(A/I) = 2$. Let $\mathfrak{m} = (x, y)A$. Then we have a composition series $I \subset \mathfrak{m} \subset A$ with $\mathfrak{m}/I \cong A/\mathfrak{m}$. Hence we obtain $\mathfrak{m}^2 \subseteq I$. Since $\ell(A/I) = 2$ whereas $\ell(A/\mathfrak{m}^2) = 3$, $\mathfrak{m}^2 \subsetneq I$. We choose an element $v \in I \setminus \mathfrak{m}^2$. Let $\frac{\mathfrak{m}}{I} = \langle u \rangle$ as a k -vector space.

Claim: $\mathfrak{m} = (u, v)$.

By Nakayama's lemma it is enough to show that \bar{u}, \bar{v} are k -linearly independent in $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$ where \bar{u}, \bar{v} denote the image of u, v in $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$. First note that $u, v \in \mathfrak{m} \setminus \mathfrak{m}^2$. Suppose, u, v are linearly dependent in $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$. Then we may assume that $\bar{u} = c\bar{v}$ for some $c \in A$. Hence $u - cv \in \mathfrak{m}^2 \subsetneq I$. Since $v \in I$, we obtain that $u \in I$. This is a contradiction because \bar{u} is a nonzero element in \mathfrak{m}/I . Hence u, v are linearly independent in $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$.

Therefore $\ell(A/(v, u^2)) = 2$. Since we have $(v, u^2) \subset I$ and $\ell(A/(v, u^2)) = \ell(A/I) = 2$, we get $I = (v, u^2)$. Therefore by a change of coordinates, we can assume that $I = (x, y^2)$. □

Proposition 5.2. *Let $H = (2, h_1, h_2, \dots, h_{u-2}, h_{u-1}, 1)$ be a b -admissible sequence. If H is an I -Gorenstein sequence for an ideal I in an AG k -algebra $A = k[[x, y]]/J$ with $H(1)_0 = 0$, and $H(0) = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$, then $H(1)_1 \leq 1$.*

Proof. By definition $H(a)_j = 0$ whenever $a + j \geq u + 1$. Therefore by Theorem 3.3, we have

$$H(1)_{u-1} + H(0)_{u-1} = h_{u-1}.$$

Since $H(1)_0 = 0$, by Proposition 3.2 we have $H(1)_{u-1} = H(1)_0 = 0$.

Therefore, the decomposition table looks like the following:

H	$2, h_1, \dots, h_{u-1}, h_u$
H(0)	$1, 1, \dots, 1, 1$
H(1)	$0 \ - \ \dots \ 0$
H(2)	$- \ - \ \dots$
\vdots	

By definition $Q(0)_0 = \frac{A}{(0 : I^u)}$. Since $H(0)_0 = 1$, we get

$$(5.1) \quad \mathfrak{m} = (0 : I^u).$$

Moreover, by Equation (3.5) $Q(1)_0 = \frac{(0 : I^u)}{I \cap (0 : I^u) + (0 : I^{u-1})}$. Hence $H(1)_0 = 0$ implies that

$$(5.2) \quad (0 : I^u) = I \cap (0 : I^u) + (0 : I^{u-1}).$$

Substituting Equation (5.1) in Equation (5.2), we obtain

$$(5.3) \quad \mathfrak{m} = I + (0 : I^{u-1}).$$

Further, by Equation (3.5)

$$Q(0)_1 = \frac{I \cap (0 : I^u)}{I^2 \cap (0 : I^u) + I \cap (0 : I^{u-1})} = \frac{I}{I \cap (0 : I^{u-1})}.$$

Since $\ell(A/I) = 2$, and $H(0)_1 = 1$, we obtain

$$(5.4) \quad \ell\left(\frac{A}{I \cap (0 : I^{u-1})}\right) = 3.$$

By Equation (5.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathfrak{m}}{I} &= \frac{I + (0 : I^{u-1})}{I} \\ &= \frac{(0 : I^{u-1})}{I \cap (0 : I^{u-1})}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\ell\left(\frac{\mathfrak{m}}{I}\right) = 1$, we obtain $\ell\left(\frac{(0 : I^{u-1})}{I \cap (0 : I^{u-1})}\right) = 1$. By using Equation (5.4), we obtain $\ell\left(\frac{A}{(0 : I^{u-1})}\right) = 2$. Hence by Lemma 5.1, after a change of coordinates, we can assume that $(0 : I^{u-1}) = (z, w^2)$ where $\mathfrak{m} = (z, w)$ in A .

Since $\ell(A/I) = 2$, by Lemma 5.1 we may assume that $I = (x, y^2)$, up to a change of coordinates.

Claim: \bar{z}, \bar{x} are linearly independent in $\frac{\mathfrak{m}}{\mathfrak{m}^2}$, and hence $\mathfrak{m} = (x, z)$.

Suppose not. Then $z - \alpha x \in \mathfrak{m}^2$ for some $\alpha \in A$. Note that as $\ell(\mathfrak{m}/I) = 1$, $\mathfrak{m}^2 \subseteq I = (x, y^2)$. Hence we obtain $z \in I$, which gives that

$$(0 : I^{u-1}) = (z, w^2) \subseteq I.$$

By Equation (5.3) we obtain

$$\mathfrak{m} = I + (0 : I^{u-1}) = I,$$

which is a contradiction. This proves the claim.

Therefore $\ell(A/(x, z^2)) = 2$. As $(x, z^2) \subseteq I$ and $\ell(A/I) = 2$, we obtain $I = (x, z^2)$. Since $z \in (0 : I^{u-1})$, we get $xz, z^3 \in I \cap (0 : I^{u-2})$. Therefore the set $\{1, x, z, z^2\}$ spans the k -vector space $\frac{A}{I^2 + I \cap (0 : I^{u-2})}$, and hence

$$(5.5) \quad \ell\left(\frac{A}{I^2 + I \cap (0 : I^{u-2})}\right) \leq 4.$$

By Equation (3.5) we have

$$Q(1)_1 = \frac{I \cap (0 : I^{u-1})}{I^2 \cap (0 : I^{u-1}) + I \cap (0 : I^{u-2})} = \frac{I \cap (0 : I^{u-1})}{I^2 + I \cap (0 : I^{u-2})}.$$

Using Equation (5.5) and (5.4) we obtain

$$H(1)_1 = \ell\left(\frac{A}{I^2 + I \cap (0 : I^{u-2})}\right) - \ell\left(\frac{A}{I \cap (0 : I^{u-1})}\right) \leq 4 - 3 = 1.$$

□

Remark 5.3. Proposition 5.2 is useful in obtaining information about the symmetric decomposition of the Hilbert function of $G(I)$ in certain cases. For example, if $H = (2, 4, 3, 1, 1)$ is an I -Gorenstein sequence, then by Proposition 5.2, we conclude that H has the unique symmetric decomposition given below:

H	2, 4, 3, 1, 1
$H(0)$	1, 1, 1, 1, 1
$H(1)$	0 1 1 0
$H(2)$	1 2 1
$H(3)$	0 0
$H(4)$	0

We are now ready to describe the complete set of 2-admissible I -Gorenstein sequences for an ideal I in $A = k[[x, y]]/J$ with the end degree at most 3 and $h_0 = 2$. If $H = (2, h_1, \dots, h_u)$ is an I -Gorenstein sequence, then by Corollary 3.5 we get $h_u \leq 2$. Below is the list of all 2-admissible sequences up to $u = 3$ with $h_u \leq 2$, where we indicate the I -Gorenstein sequences in bold.

In Table 2 we justify that the sequences indicated in bold in Table 1 are I -Gorenstein. In fact, for each such sequence H we provide a polynomial F in the divided power ring $k_{DP}[X, Y]$ so that the apolar algebra $A_F := k[[x, y]]/\text{Ann}_{k[[x, y]]}(F)$ is an AG k -algebra (see [17, Appendix A], [7, Appendix A2.4] for basic properties of divided power rings, and [9] for definition of apolar algebra). Then $I = (x, y^2)$ is an ideal in A_F such that $G(I)$ has the Hilbert function H . Moreover, in Table 2 we also describe the symmetric decomposition of the Hilbert function of $G(I)$.

(2,1)	(2,2)	(2,1,1)	(2,2,1)	(2,2,2)	(2,3,1)	(2,3,2)
(2,4,1)	(2,4,2)	(2,1,1,1)	(2,2,1,1)	(2,2,2,1)	(2,2,2,2)	(2,2,3,1)
(2,2,3,2)	(2,3,1,1)	(2,3,2,1)	(2,3,2,2)	(2,3,3,1)	(2,3,3,2)	(2,3,4,1)
(2,3,4,2)	(2,4,1,1)	(2,4,2,1)	(2,4,2,2)	(2,4,3,1)	(2,4,3,2)	(2,4,4,1)
(2,4,4,2)						

TABLE 1. 2-admissible sequences up to $u=3$ with $h_u \leq 2$.

Next we prove that each of the sequences that are not bold in the Table 1 are not I -Gorenstein sequences. Since $\ell(A/I) = 2$, by Lemma 5.1 we assume $I = (x, y^2)$.

(2,4,1): Suppose (2,4,1) is an I -Gorenstein sequence. Then the possible symmetric decompositions are

H	2, 4, 1	H	2, 4, 1
H(0)	1, 3, 1	H(0)	1, 4, 1
H(1)	1, 1	H(1)	0, 0
H(2)	0	H(2)	1

Since $H(0)_0 = 1$ in both the symmetric decompositions, by definition of $Q(0)_0$ we obtain $(0 : I^2) = \mathfrak{m}$. Hence $\mathfrak{m}I \subseteq (0 : I)$ which gives that $xy, y^3 \in (0 : I)$. Therefore $\ell(A/I^2 + I \cap (0 : I)) \leq 4$. Since by Equation (3.5) we have $Q(0)_1 = \frac{I}{I^2 + I \cap (0 : I)}$, we obtain $H(0)_1 = \ell(A/I^2 + I \cap (0 : I)) - \ell(A/I) \leq 4 - 2 = 2$. This is a contradiction in both the symmetric decompositions. Hence (2, 4, 1) is not an I -Gorenstein sequence.

(2,2,3,1): If $H = (2, 2, 3, 1)$ is an I -Gorenstein sequence, then $\ell(A/I) = 2$, $\ell(A/I^2) = 4$, $\ell(A/I^3) = 7$, and the only possible symmetric decomposition of H is

H	2, 2, 3, 1
H(0)	1, 2, 2, 1
H(1)	1, 0, 1
H(2)	0, 0
H(3)	0

Since $Q(0)_0 = A/(0 : I^3)$ and $H(0)_0 = 1$, we get $(0 : I^3) = \mathfrak{m}$. Hence $\mathfrak{m}I \subseteq (0 : I^2)$. Therefore we obtain

$$(5.6) \quad xy, y^3 \in I \cap (0 : I^2).$$

By Equation (3.5) we have

$$Q(1)_0 = \frac{I^0 \cap (0 : I^3)}{I \cap (0 : I^3) + I^0 \cap (0 : I^2)} = \frac{(0 : I^3)}{I + (0 : I^2)}.$$

Since $\ell(A/(0 : I^3)) = 1$ and $H(1)_0 = 1$, we get $\ell(A/I + (0 : I^2)) = 2$. Further, $I \subseteq I + (0 : I^2)$ and $\ell(A/I) = 2$ implies that $I + (0 : I^2) = I$, which gives $(0 : I^2) \subseteq I$.

Again by Equation (3.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} Q(0)_1 &= \frac{I \cap (0 : I^3)}{I^2 \cap (0 : I^3) + I \cap (0 : I^2)} \\ &= \frac{I}{(0 : I^2)} \quad (\text{since } (0 : I^2) \subseteq I). \end{aligned}$$

Since $H(0)_1 = 2$ and $\ell(A/I) = 2$, we get $\ell(A/(0 : I^2)) = 4$. Moreover $I^2 \subseteq (0 : I^2)$ and $\ell(A/I^2) = 4$, we obtain

$$(5.7) \quad I^2 = (0 : I^2).$$

<i>I</i> -Gorenstein sequence HF	Form F	symmetric decomposition	<i>I</i> -Gorenstein sequence HF	Form F	symmetric decomposition
(2, 1)	$X + Y^2$	$\frac{\text{HF}}{\text{H}(0)} \begin{matrix} 2, 1 \\ 1, 1 \end{matrix}$ $\text{H}(1) \quad 1$	(2, 2)	XY	$\frac{\text{HF}}{\text{H}(0)} \begin{matrix} 2, 2 \\ 2, 2 \end{matrix}$ $\text{H}(1) \quad 0$
(2, 1, 1)	$X^2 + Y^2$	$\frac{\text{HF}}{\text{H}(0)} \begin{matrix} 2, 1, 1 \\ 1, 1, 1 \end{matrix}$ $\text{H}(1) \quad 1, 0$	(2, 2, 1)	$X^2 + Y^3$	$\frac{\text{HF}}{\text{H}(0)} \begin{matrix} 2, 2, 1 \\ 1, 1, 1 \end{matrix}$ $\text{H}(1) \quad 1, 1$ $\text{H}(2) \quad 0$
(2, 2, 2)	X^2Y	$\frac{\text{HF}}{\text{H}(0)} \begin{matrix} 2, 2, 2 \\ 2, 2, 2 \end{matrix}$	(2, 3, 1)	XY^2	$\frac{\text{HF}}{\text{H}(0)} \begin{matrix} 2, 3, 1 \\ 1, 2, 1 \end{matrix}$ $\text{H}(1) \quad 1, 1$ $\text{H}(2) \quad 0$
(2, 3, 2)	$X^2Y + Y^4$	$\frac{\text{HF}}{\text{H}(0)} \begin{matrix} 2, 3, 2 \\ 2, 3, 2 \end{matrix}$	(2, 4, 2)	XY^3	$\frac{\text{HF}}{\text{H}(0)} \begin{matrix} 2, 4, 2 \\ 2, 4, 2 \end{matrix}$
(2, 1, 1, 1)	$X^3 + Y^2$	$\frac{\text{HF}}{\text{H}(0)} \begin{matrix} 2, 1, 1, 1 \\ 1, 1, 1, 1 \end{matrix}$ $\text{H}(3) \quad 1, 0$	(2, 2, 1, 1)	$X^3 + Y^3$	$\frac{\text{HF}}{\text{H}(0)} \begin{matrix} 2, 2, 1, 1 \\ 1, 1, 1, 1 \end{matrix}$ $\text{H}(2) \quad 1, 1$
(2, 2, 2, 1)	$X + Y^6$	$\frac{\text{HF}}{\text{H}(0)} \begin{matrix} 2, 2, 2, 1 \\ 1, 1, 1, 1 \end{matrix}$ $\text{H}(1) \quad 1, 1, 1$	(2, 2, 2, 2)	X^3Y	$\frac{\text{HF}}{\text{H}(0)} \begin{matrix} 2, 2, 2, 2 \\ 2, 2, 2, 2 \end{matrix}$
(2, 3, 1, 1)	$X^3 + Y^4$	$\frac{\text{HF}}{\text{H}(0)} \begin{matrix} 2, 3, 1, 1 \\ 1, 1, 1, 1 \end{matrix}$ $\text{H}(1) \quad 0, 1, 0, 1$ $\text{H}(2) \quad 1, 1$	(2, 3, 2, 1)	$X^2 + Y^6$	$\frac{\text{HF}}{\text{H}(0)} \begin{matrix} 2, 3, 2, 1 \\ 1, 1, 1, 1 \end{matrix}$ $\text{H}(1) \quad 1, 2, 1$
(2, 3, 2, 2)	$X^2 + Y^7$	$\frac{\text{HF}}{\text{H}(0)} \begin{matrix} 2, 3, 2, 2 \\ 2, 2, 2, 2 \end{matrix}$ $\text{H}(1) \quad 0, 1, 0$	(2, 3, 3, 1)	X^2Y^2	$\frac{\text{HF}}{\text{H}(0)} \begin{matrix} 2, 3, 3, 1 \\ 1, 2, 2, 1 \end{matrix}$ $\text{H}(1) \quad 1, 1, 1$
(2, 3, 3, 2)	$X^3 + Y^7$	$\frac{\text{HF}}{\text{H}(0)} \begin{matrix} 2, 3, 3, 2 \\ 2, 3, 3, 2 \end{matrix}$	(2, 4, 2, 1)	$X^2Y + Y^6$	$\frac{\text{HF}}{\text{H}(0)} \begin{matrix} 2, 4, 2, 1 \\ 1, 1, 1, 1 \end{matrix}$ $\text{H}(1) \quad 1, 3, 1$
(2, 4, 2, 2)	$X^2Y + Y^7$	$\frac{\text{HF}}{\text{H}(0)} \begin{matrix} 2, 4, 2, 2 \\ 2, 2, 2, 2 \end{matrix}$ $\text{H}(1) \quad 0, 2, 0$	(2, 4, 3, 1)	XY^4	$\frac{\text{HF}}{\text{H}(0)} \begin{matrix} 2, 4, 3, 1 \\ 1, 2, 2, 1 \end{matrix}$ $\text{H}(1) \quad 1, 2, 1$
(2, 4, 3, 2)	$Y^7 + X^2Y^2$	$\frac{\text{HF}}{\text{H}(0)} \begin{matrix} 2, 4, 3, 2 \\ 2, 3, 3, 2 \end{matrix}$ $\text{H}(1) \quad 0, 1, 0$	(2, 4, 4, 2)	XY^5	$\frac{\text{HF}}{\text{H}(0)} \begin{matrix} 2, 4, 4, 2 \\ 2, 4, 4, 2 \end{matrix}$

TABLE 2. 2-admissible *I*-Gorenstein sequences with a symmetric decomposition

Hence by Equation (5.6), we get

$$xy, y^3 \in I^2.$$

Note that $I^2 = (x^2, xy^2, y^4) + J$ in $k[[x, y]]/J$. Since $\ell(k[[x, y]]/J) = 8$, we get $\ell(k[[x, y]]/J^*) = 8$ where J^* denotes the ideal of initial forms of J in $k[[x, y]]$. Since $xy \in I^2 = (x^2, xy^2, y^4) + J$ we obtain

$$(5.8) \quad xy - c_1x^2 \in J^*$$

for some $c_1 \in k$. Similarly, $y^3 \in I^2$, implies that

$$(5.9) \quad y^3 - (d_1x + d_2y)x^2 + d_3xy^2 \in J^*$$

for some $d_1, d_2, d_3 \in k$. Since $x^4 \in J$, by Equations (5.8) and (5.9) we obtain that the set $\{1, x, y, x^2, y^2, x^3\}$ spans the k -vector space $k[[x, y]]/J^*$. Hence $\ell(k[[x, y]]/J^*) \leq 6$ which is a contradiction.

(2,2,3,2): Since $(2, 2, 3, 2)$ does not admit a symmetric decomposition, it is not an I -Gorenstein sequence.

(2,3,4,1): If $H = (2, 3, 4, 1)$ is an I -Gorenstein sequence, then the possible symmetric decomposition of H is

H	2, 3, 4, 1
H(0)	1, 3, 3, 1
H(1)	1, 0, 1

Since $Q(0)_0 = \frac{A}{(0 : I^3)}$ and $H(0)_0 = 1$, we get $(0 : I^3) = \mathfrak{m} = (x, y)$. Hence $\mathfrak{m}I \in (0 : I^2)$. Therefore, $xy, y^3 \in I \cap (0 : I^2)$. This gives that $\ell(A/I^2 + I \cap (0 : I^2)) \leq 4$.

Again, by Equation (3.5),

$$Q(0)_1 = \frac{I \cap (0 : I^3)}{I^2 \cap (0 : I^3) + I \cap (0 : I^2)} = \frac{I}{I^2 + I \cap (0 : I^2)}.$$

Since $\ell(A/I) = 2$, and $\ell(A/I^2 + I \cap (0 : I^2)) \leq 4$, we obtain $H(0)_1 \leq 2$. This is a contradiction.

(2,3,4,2): Since $(2, 3, 4, 2)$ does not admit a symmetric decomposition, it is not an I -Gorenstein sequence.

(2,4,1,1): Suppose $H = (2, 4, 1, 1)$ is an I -Gorenstein sequence. Then the possible symmetric decomposition of H is

H	2, 4, 1, 1
H(0)	1, 1, 1, 1
H(1)	0, ≤ 1 , 0
H(2)	-, -, 0
H(3)	- 0

Here we conclude $H(1)_1 \leq 1$ by Proposition 5.2. This shows that $H(2)_1 \geq 2$ which implies that $H(2)_0 \geq 2$, a contradiction.

(2,4,4,1): If $H = (2, 4, 4, 1)$ is an I -Gorenstein sequence, then the possible symmetric decompositions of H are

H	2, 4, 4, 1	H	2, 4, 4, 1
H(0)	1, 3, 3, 1	H(0)	1, 4, 4, 1
H(1)	1, 1, 1	H(1)	0, 0
H(2)	0, 0	H(2)	0, 0
H(3)	0	H(3)	1

Since $H(0)_1 = 1$ in both cases, by using the arguments similar to the case $(2, 4, 1)$ we get a contradiction.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Abbott, A. M. Bigatti, and L. Robbiano. CoCoA: a system for doing Computations in Commutative Algebra. Available at <http://cocoa.dima.unige.it>.
- [2] C. Blancafort and S. Nollet. Hilbert polynomials over Artinian rings. *Illinois J. Math.*, 43(2):338–349, 1999.
- [3] J. Briançon. Description de $\text{Hilb}^nC\{x, y\}$. *Invent. Math.*, 41(1):45–89, 1977.
- [4] W. Bruns and H. Herzog. *Cohen-Macaulay Rings*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, 1998.
- [5] A. Corso, C. Polini, and M. E. Rossi. Depth of associated graded rings via Hilbert coefficients of ideals. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 201(1-3):126–141, 2005.
- [6] W. Decker, G.-M. Greuel, G. Pfister, and H. Schönemann. SINGULAR 4-4-0 — A computer algebra system for polynomial computations. Available at <http://www.singular.uni-kl.de>, 2024.
- [7] D. Eisenbud. *Commutative Algebra: With a View Toward Algebraic Geometry*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer New York, NY, 1995.
- [8] J. Elias. Inverse-syst.lib—Singular library for computing Macaulay’s inverse systems. Available at <http://www.ub.edu/C3A/elias/inverse-syst-v.5.2.lib>, 2015.
- [9] J. Elias and M. E. Rossi. The structure of the inverse system of Gorenstein k -algebras. *Adv. Math.*, 314:306–327, 2017.
- [10] S. Goto and K. Nishida. Filtrations and the Gorenstein property of the associated Rees algebras. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 110:69–134, 1994.
- [11] D. R. Grayson and M. E. Stillman. Macaulay2, a software system for research in algebraic geometry. Available at <http://www2.macaulay2.com>.
- [12] W. Heinzer, M.-K. Kim, and B. Ulrich. The Cohen–Macaulay and Gorenstein properties of rings associated to filtrations. *Communications in Algebra*, 39(10):3547–3580, 2011.
- [13] C. Huneke. Hilbert functions and symbolic powers. *Michigan Math. J.*, 34(2):293–318, 1987.
- [14] A. Iarrobino. Compressed algebras: Artin algebras having given socle degrees and maximal length. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 285(1):337–378, 1984.
- [15] A. Iarrobino. The Hilbert function of a Gorenstein Artin algebra. In M. Hochster, C. Huneke, and J. D. Sally, editors, *Commutative Algebra*, pages 347–364, New York, NY, 1989. Springer New York.
- [16] A. Iarrobino. Associated graded algebra of a Gorenstein Artin algebra. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 107(514):viii+115, 1994.
- [17] A. Iarrobino and V. Kanev. *Power sums, Gorenstein algebras, and determinantal loci*, volume 1721 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999. Appendix C by Iarrobino and Steven L. Kleiman.
- [18] A. Iarrobino and P. Macias Marques. Reducibility of a family of local artinian gorenstein algebras, 2021. arXiv:2112.14664.
- [19] A. Iarrobino and P. Macias Marques. Symmetric decomposition of the associated graded algebra of an Artinian Gorenstein algebra. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 225(3):Paper No. 106496, 49, 2021.
- [20] J. Jelisiejew, S. K. Masuti, and M. Rossi. On the Hilbert function of Artinian local complete intersections of codimension three. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 227(7):107326, 2023.
- [21] F. S. Macaulay. On a method of dealing with the intersections of plane curves. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 5(4):385–410, 1904.
- [22] F. S. Macaulay. Some Properties of Enumeration in the Theory of Modular Systems. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 26:531–555, 1927.
- [23] F. S. Macaulay. *The algebraic theory of modular systems*. Cambridge Mathematical Library. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994. Revised reprint of the 1916 original, With an introduction by Paul Roberts.
- [24] S. K. Masuti and M. Rossi. Artinian level algebras of socle degree 4. *Journal of Algebra*, 507:525–546, 2018.
- [25] C. Polini and B. Ulrich. Necessary and sufficient conditions for the Cohen-Macaulayness of blowup algebras. *Compositio Math.*, 119(2):185–207, 1999.
- [26] M. E. Rossi and G. Valla. A conjecture of J. Sally. *Comm. Algebra*, 24(13):4249–4261, 1996.
- [27] M. E. Rossi and G. Valla. *Hilbert functions of filtered modules*, volume 9 of *Lecture Notes of the Unione Matematica Italiana*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin; UMI, Bologna, 2010.
- [28] J. D. Sally. On the associated graded ring of a local Cohen-Macaulay ring. *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, 17(1):19–21, 1977.
- [29] C. A. Scott. On a recent method for dealing with the intersections of plane curves. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 3(2):216–263, 1902.
- [30] W. V. Vasconcelos. *Arithmetic of blowup algebras*, volume 195 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.
- [31] M. Wojtala. Iarrobino’s decomposition for self-dual modules, 2024. arXiv:2405.13829.

(Meghana Bhat) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DHARWAD, PERMANENT CAMPUS, CHIKKAMALLIGWAD, DHARWAD - 580011, KARNATAKA, INDIA

Email address: `mbhat.math@gmail.com`

(Saipriya Dubey) CHENNAI MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, PLOT H1 SIPCOT IT PARK, SIRUSERI, KELAMBAKKAM - 603103, TAMIL NADU, INDIA

Email address: `saipriya721@gmail.com`

(Shreedevi K. Masuti) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DHARWAD, PERMANENT CAMPUS, CHIKKAMALLIGWAD, DHARWAD - 580011, KARNATAKA, INDIA

Email address: `shreedevi@iitdh.ac.in`