

# The number of irreducibles in the plethysm $s_\lambda[s_m]$

Ming Yeon Lim<sup>\*1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Mathematics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA*

**Abstract.** We give a formula for the number of irreducibles (with multiplicity) in the decomposition of the plethysm  $s_\lambda[s_m]$  of Schur functions in terms of the number of lattice points in certain rational polytopes. In the case where  $\lambda = n$  consists of a single part, we will give a combinatorial interpretation of this number as the cardinality of a set of matrices modulo permutation equivalence. This is also the setting of Foulkes' conjecture, and our results allow us to state a weaker version that only involves comparing the cardinalities of such sets, rather than the multiplicities of irreducible representations.

**Keywords:** plethysm, symmetric functions, lattice point counting, representation theory of symmetric groups, wreath product.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\Lambda$  denote the ring of symmetric functions over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . *Plethysm* is a binary operation  $(f, g) \mapsto f[g]$  on  $\Lambda$ , introduced by Littlewood [15] in 1936. In modern language, it is most easily expressed in terms of the power sum symmetric functions  $p_m$  as the unique operation [16] satisfying

1. for  $n, m \geq 1$ ,  $p_n[p_m] = p_{nm}$ ;
2. for  $m \geq 1$ ,  $g \mapsto p_m[g]$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra homomorphism  $\Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$ ;
3. for  $g \in \Lambda$ ,  $f \mapsto f[g]$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra homomorphism  $\Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$ .

The decomposition of the plethysm of Schur functions

$$s_\lambda[s_\mu] = \sum_{\nu \vdash nm} a_{\lambda, \mu}^\nu s_\nu \tag{1.1}$$

for partitions  $\lambda \vdash n, \mu \vdash m$  is of particular importance. Schur functions correspond to irreducible representations of symmetric groups, and from this point of view it can be shown that the *plethysm coefficients*  $a_{\lambda, \mu}^\nu$  are non-negative integers [11, Sec. 5.4]. Various formulas and algorithms have been developed to compute these coefficients [5, 13, 25], though from a computational complexity perspective this is known to be a hard problem

---

<sup>\*</sup>[mylim@umich.edu](mailto:mylim@umich.edu).

in general [8]. Recent works study plethysm via the representation theory of partition algebras [3], party algebras [19], and geometric complexity theory [7, 8].

We focus on the case  $\mu = m$  and study instead the sum

$$\sum_{\nu \vdash nm} a_{\lambda, m}^{\nu}, \quad (1.2)$$

which is the number of irreducibles in the decomposition (1.1), counted with multiplicity.

## 1.1 Notation

Before stating our results, we recall some notions from the representation theory of finite groups and symmetric groups to fix notation.

Unless otherwise stated,  $n$  and  $m$  shall denote positive integers. We use  $\#S$  and  $|S|$  to denote the cardinality of a finite set  $S$ . For a finite group  $G$ , we let

$$\langle \chi, \phi \rangle_G = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi(g) \overline{\phi(g)}$$

denote the inner product of class functions  $\chi$  and  $\phi$  of  $G$ . We omit the subscript  $G$  if the group is clear from context. For a subgroup  $H \leq G$ , we write  $\text{Ind}_H^G \chi$  for the induction of a character  $\chi$  from  $H$  to  $G$  and  $\text{Res}_H^G \chi$  for the restriction of a character  $\chi$  of  $G$  to  $H$ . For a quotient  $G \twoheadrightarrow K$ , denote by  $\text{Inf}_K^G \chi$  the inflation of a character  $\chi$  from  $K$  to  $G$ .

Let  $G \wr \mathfrak{S}_n$  denote the *wreath product* of  $G$  with the symmetric group  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ . By definition, it is the semidirect product  $G^n \rtimes \mathfrak{S}_n$ , with  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  acting on  $G^n$  by permuting the coordinates. Following [11, Sec. 4.1], we write an element of  $G \wr \mathfrak{S}_n$  in the form  $(f; \sigma)$ , where  $f \in G^n$  and  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ . For  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ , we shall sometimes write  $\sigma$  for  $(1; \sigma)$ , where  $1 \in G^n$  is the identity element. We now specialize to  $G = \mathfrak{S}_m$ . For  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , define

$$\mathcal{P}_i = \{(i-1)m + 1, \dots, im\}. \quad (1.3)$$

We have inclusions  $\mathfrak{S}_m^n \leq \mathfrak{S}_m \wr \mathfrak{S}_n \leq \mathfrak{S}_{nm}$ , where  $\mathfrak{S}_m^n$  and  $\mathfrak{S}_m \wr \mathfrak{S}_n$  are identified with the stabilizers of  $(\mathcal{P}_1, \dots, \mathcal{P}_n)$  and  $\{\mathcal{P}_1, \dots, \mathcal{P}_n\}$  respectively under the natural  $\mathfrak{S}_{nm}$ -actions.

Given a partition  $\lambda$ , we let  $\ell(\lambda)$  denote its length. We write  $m^n$  for the partition of  $nm$  consisting of  $n$  occurrences of  $m$ . We generally use  $\lambda \vdash n$  to index the irreducible representations of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  and  $\rho \vdash n$  for cycle types of elements of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ . Thus we write  $\chi^\lambda(\rho)$  for the value of the irreducible character  $\chi^\lambda$  of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  at an element of cycle type  $\rho$ , see [11, Sec. 2.3]. In particular, recall that  $\chi^n = 1$  and  $\chi^{1^n} = \text{sgn}$  are the trivial and sign characters of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  respectively. The plethysm coefficients in our case are then given [11, Sec. 5.4] by

$$a_{\lambda, m}^{\nu} = \langle \chi^{\nu}, \text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{S}_m \wr \mathfrak{S}_n}^{\mathfrak{S}_{nm}} \text{Inf}_{\mathfrak{S}_n}^{\mathfrak{S}_m \wr \mathfrak{S}_n} \chi^\lambda \rangle.$$

## 1.2 Statement of results

We now state our main results. Let  $M(n, m)$  denote the set of  $n \times n$  matrices with non-negative integer entries whose row and column sums are all equal to  $m$ . We shall identify  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  with the group of  $n \times n$  permutation matrices.

**Definition 1.1.** Define the function  $N^m : \mathfrak{S}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  by

$$N^m(\sigma) = \#\{A \in M(n, m) \mid \sigma A^\top = A\}$$

for  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ , where  $A^\top$  denotes the transpose of  $A$ .

It is easy to see that  $N^m$  is a class function of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ . Without the transpose, it would be the permutation character of  $M(n, m)$ . The main result is as follows:

**Theorem 1.2.** For integers  $n, m \geq 1$ ,  $N^m$  is a character of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ . Moreover for  $\lambda \vdash n$ ,

$$\langle \chi^\lambda, N^m \rangle = \sum_{\nu \vdash nm} a_{\lambda, m}^\nu.$$

We shall prove this result in the next section. As we shall explain further in [Section 4](#), for fixed  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ ,  $N^m(\sigma)$  is an Ehrhart quasipolynomial in  $m$ . Thus for fixed  $\lambda \vdash n$ , the sum (1.2) is quasipolynomial in  $m$ . Related to this, according to [14], the function  $s \mapsto a_{n, sm}^{s\nu}$  is a quasipolynomial by a deep result, but is not an Ehrhart quasipolynomial. In the case  $n = 3$ , these quasipolynomials were computed in [1] using the decomposition of  $s_\lambda[s_m]$  for  $\lambda \vdash 3$ . With the aid of a computer, [Theorem 1.2](#) enables us to compute the quasipolynomials (1.2) for all  $\lambda \vdash n$  with  $n \leq 6$ .

**Example 1.3.** For  $n = 6$  and  $\lambda = 6$ , we have computed using SageMath [24] that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\nu \vdash 6m} a_{6, m}^\nu &= \frac{243653}{1434705592320000} m^{15} + \frac{243653}{31882346496000} m^{14} + \frac{91173671}{573882236928000} m^{13} \\ &+ \frac{5954623}{2942985830400} m^{12} + \frac{3895930519}{220723937280000} m^{11} + \frac{149644967}{1337720832000} m^{10} \\ &+ \frac{1072677673}{2006581248000} m^9 + \frac{14723521}{7431782400} m^8 + \frac{350041981}{59719680000} m^7 + O(m^6), \end{aligned}$$

where we have omitted the trailing terms whose coefficients have period greater than 1.

Asymptotics of (1.2) as  $m \rightarrow \infty$  were studied in [10]. We recover and slightly extend one of their results in [Theorem 4.4](#) by studying the dimensions of the polytopes involved.

We call two matrices  $A, B \in M(n, m)$  *permutation equivalent* and write  $A \sim B$  if  $A$  can be transformed into  $B$  by row and column permutations. Let  $T(n, m) = \{A \in M(n, m) \mid A \sim A^\top\}$  denote the subset of matrices that are permutation equivalent to their transpose. A major open problem in algebraic combinatorics is to find a combinatorial interpretation of plethysm coefficients  $a_{\lambda, \mu}^\nu$  [20, Problem 9]. We have the following combinatorial interpretation of the sum (1.2) in the case  $\lambda = n$ :

**Theorem 1.4.** When  $\lambda = n$ , the sum (1.2) is equal to the cardinality of  $T(n, m)/\sim$ :

$$\sum_{v \vdash nm} a_{n,m}^v = \langle 1, N^m \rangle_{\mathfrak{S}_n} = \#T(n, m)/\sim.$$

**Example 1.5.** We compute that  $s_3[s_3] = s_9 + s_{72} + s_{63} + s_{522} + s_{441}$ . Correspondingly, there are five elements of  $T(3, 3)/\sim$ , represented by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In the above setting, Foulkes [9] conjectured in 1950 that if  $n \leq m$ , then  $a_{n,m}^v \leq a_{m,n}^v$ . Brion [4] proved this for  $n \ll m$  in 1993. Among recent works on Foulkes' conjecture, [7] verifies it for an infinite family, and a stable version was proven in [3] via partition algebras. Together with the previous theorem, Foulkes' conjecture implies

**Conjecture 1.6.** If  $n \leq m$ , then  $\#T(n, m)/\sim \leq \#T(m, n)/\sim$ .

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section we prove Theorem 1.2. Define the function  $\theta : \mathfrak{S}_{nm} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by

$$\theta(\sigma) = \#\{\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_{nm} \mid \tau^2 = \sigma\}.$$

It is well-known that the irreducible representations of  $\mathfrak{S}_{nm}$  can be realized over  $\mathbb{R}$ , see e.g. [11, Theorem 2.1.12]. Hence [12, Corollary 23.17] implies

$$\theta = \sum_{v \vdash nm} \chi^v,$$

and is in particular a character. We compute by Frobenius reciprocity

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{v \vdash nm} a_{\lambda, m}^v &= \langle \theta, \text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{S}_m \wr \mathfrak{S}_n}^{\mathfrak{S}_{nm}} \text{Inf}_{\mathfrak{S}_n}^{\mathfrak{S}_m \wr \mathfrak{S}_n} \chi^\lambda \rangle = \langle \text{Res}_{\mathfrak{S}_m \wr \mathfrak{S}_n}^{\mathfrak{S}_{nm}} \theta, \text{Inf}_{\mathfrak{S}_n}^{\mathfrak{S}_m \wr \mathfrak{S}_n} \chi^\lambda \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{m!n!} \sum_{(f; \sigma) \in \mathfrak{S}_m \wr \mathfrak{S}_n} \theta(f; \sigma) \chi^\lambda(\sigma) = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \left( \frac{1}{m!n} \sum_{f \in \mathfrak{S}_m^n} \theta(f; \sigma) \right) \chi^\lambda(\sigma). \end{aligned}$$

The expression in parentheses simplifies as

$$\frac{1}{m!n} \sum_{f \in \mathfrak{S}_m^n} \theta(f; \sigma) = \frac{1}{m!n} \sum_{f \in \mathfrak{S}_m^n} \#\{\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_{nm} \mid \tau^2 = (f; \sigma)\} = \frac{1}{m!n} \#\{\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_{nm} \mid \tau^2 \sigma^{-1} \in \mathfrak{S}_m^n\}.$$

Thus it suffices to show that for  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ ,

$$\frac{1}{m!^n} \#\{\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_{nm} \mid \tau^2 \sigma^{-1} \in \mathfrak{S}_m^n\} = N^m(\sigma).$$

Now fix  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$  and recall the definition of  $\mathcal{P}_i$  in (1.3). The proof is completed by

**Lemma 2.1.** *The map  $F : \{\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_{nm} \mid \tau^2 \sigma^{-1} \in \mathfrak{S}_m^n\} \rightarrow \{A \in M(n, m) \mid \sigma A^\top = A\}$  given by*

$$F(\tau)_{ij} = \#(\mathcal{P}_i \cap \tau \mathcal{P}_j)$$

*is  $m!^n$ -to-1 and surjective.*

*Proof.* We first show that  $F$  has the stated codomain. Let  $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_{nm}$  be such that  $\tau^2 \sigma^{-1} \in \mathfrak{S}_m^n$ , or equivalently  $\tau^2 \mathcal{P}_i = \mathcal{P}_{\sigma(i)}$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Let  $A = F(\tau)$  and for  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ , set  $\mathcal{Q}_{ij} = \mathcal{P}_i \cap \tau \mathcal{P}_j$ . Then

- (i) for each  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $\{\mathcal{Q}_{ij} \mid 1 \leq j \leq n\}$  is a partition of  $\mathcal{P}_i$  with  $\#\mathcal{Q}_{ij} = A_{ij}$ ; and
- (ii) for each  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ ,  $\tau$  restricts to a bijection  $\mathcal{Q}_{ij} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{\sigma(j)i}$ .

Indeed (i) is clear, and (ii) follows from  $\tau \mathcal{Q}_{ij} = \tau \mathcal{P}_i \cap \tau^2 \mathcal{P}_j = \tau \mathcal{P}_i \cap \mathcal{P}_{\sigma(j)} = \mathcal{Q}_{\sigma(j)i}$ . It follows from (i) that  $A$  has row sums equal to  $m$ . The condition  $\sigma A^\top = A$  is equivalent to  $A_{ij} = A_{\sigma(j)i}$  for  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ , which holds by (ii).

Conversely let  $A \in M(n, m)$  be such that  $\sigma A^\top = A$ . We want to count  $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_{nm}$  such that  $\tau^2 \sigma^{-1} \in \mathfrak{S}_m^n$  and  $F(\tau) = A$ . Reversing the above, giving such  $\tau$  is equivalent to giving  $\mathcal{Q}_{ij}$  for  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$  satisfying (i), and bijections  $\mathcal{Q}_{ij} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{\sigma(j)i}$  as in (ii). There are

$$\binom{m}{A_{i1}, \dots, A_{in}}$$

partitions of  $\mathcal{P}_i$  satisfying (i), and  $A_{ij}!$  bijections in (ii) since  $A_{ij} = A_{\sigma(j)i}$ . Thus there are

$$\prod_{i=1}^n \binom{m}{A_{i1}, \dots, A_{in}} \prod_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} A_{ij}! = m!^n$$

such  $\tau$  as required. □

### 3 $(G \wr C_2)$ -sets and representations

Let  $G$  be a finite group and let  $C_2$  denote the cyclic group of order 2, whose generator we shall suggestively denote by  $T$ . Elements of  $G \wr C_2$  have the form  $(g, h; 1)$  or  $(g, h; T)$  for  $g, h \in G$ . In this section we collect some results on  $(G \wr C_2)$ -actions and representations. We will obtain [Theorem 1.4](#) as a consequence of more general results.

### 3.1 Irreducible characters of $G \wr C_2$

We describe the irreducible characters of  $G \wr C_2$  in terms of the irreducible characters of  $G$ . For characters  $\chi_1$  and  $\chi_2$  of  $G$ , let  $\chi_1 \boxtimes \chi_2$  denote their external tensor product. It is a character of  $G \times G$  with

$$(\chi_1 \boxtimes \chi_2)(g, h) = \chi_1(g)\chi_2(h).$$

Suppose  $V$  is a representation of  $G$ . Then  $V \otimes V$  is a representation of  $G \wr C_2$  with action

$$(g, h; 1)(v \otimes w) = gv \otimes hw; \quad (1, 1; \tau)(v \otimes w) = w \otimes v.$$

If  $\chi$  is the character of  $V$ , then the character  $\tilde{\chi}$  of  $V \otimes V$  is given by

$$\tilde{\chi}(g, h; 1) = \chi(g)\chi(h); \quad \tilde{\chi}(g, h; \tau) = \chi(gh).$$

Thus given a character  $\chi$  of  $G$ , we can define the character  $\tilde{\chi}$  of  $G \wr C_2$  by the above. By [11, Theorem 4.4.3], there are three types of irreducible characters of  $G \wr C_2$ , these are:

1.  $\tilde{\chi}$ , where  $\chi$  is an irreducible character of  $G$ ;
2.  $\tilde{\chi} \cdot \text{Inf}_{C_2}^{G \wr C_2} \text{sgn}$ , where  $\chi$  is an irreducible character of  $G$ ;
3.  $\text{Ind}_{G \times G}^{G \wr C_2}(\chi_1 \boxtimes \chi_2)$ , where  $\chi_1, \chi_2$  are distinct irreducible characters of  $G$ .

By a case analysis, we obtain

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $\psi$  be a character of  $G \wr C_2$ . Then  $\psi^\tau(g) = \psi(g, 1; \tau)$  is a virtual character of  $G$ . Moreover if  $\chi$  is an irreducible character of  $G$ , then*

$$\langle \chi, \psi^\tau \rangle = \langle \tilde{\chi}, \psi \rangle - \langle \tilde{\chi} \cdot \text{Inf}_{C_2}^{G \wr C_2} \text{sgn}, \psi \rangle.$$

### 3.2 Orbit-counting with a twist

Let  $X$  be a finite  $(G \wr C_2)$ -set. For  $x \in X$  and  $g, h \in G$ , we write  $gx = (g, 1; 1)x$ ,  $xh = (1, h^{-1}; 1)x$ , and  $x^\tau = (1, 1; \tau)x$ . In this way,  $X$  is equipped with left and right  $G$ -actions and an involution compatible with each other, i.e.

1.  $g(xh) = (gx)h$ , which we simply denote by  $gxh$ ; and
2.  $(gxh)^\tau = h^{-1}x^\tau g^{-1}$ .

Conversely given  $X$  with left and right  $G$ -actions and an involution  $x \mapsto x^\tau$  satisfying the above, we have a  $(G \wr C_2)$ -action on  $X$ .

Let  $(G \times G) \backslash X$  denote the set of  $(G \times G)$ -orbits of  $X$ . For  $x, y \in X$ , write  $x \sim y$  if they lie in the same  $(G \times G)$ -orbit, i.e. if  $(G \times G) \cdot x = (G \times G) \cdot y$ . The set  $(G \times G) \backslash X$  inherits a  $C_2$ -action, given by

$$((G \times G) \cdot x)^\tau = (G \times G) \cdot x^\tau.$$

We let  $((G \times G) \backslash X)^{C_2}$  denote the subset of fixed points under the  $C_2$ -action. This is a set we are interested in counting. Our main example is the following:

**Example 3.2.** Let  $G = \mathfrak{S}_n$  and  $X = M(n, m)$ . We have a natural  $(\mathfrak{S}_n \wr C_2)$ -action on  $X$ , where  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  acts by permuting rows on the left and permuting columns on the right, while  $\tau$  acts by taking transposes. Two matrices  $A, B \in M(n, m)$  are permutation equivalent precisely when they lie in the same  $(\mathfrak{S}_n \times \mathfrak{S}_n)$ -orbit. We have  $((\mathfrak{S}_n \times \mathfrak{S}_n) \backslash X)^{C_2} = T(n, m) / \sim$ .

For an arbitrary finite  $(G \wr C_2)$ -set  $X$  as before, define

$$N(g) = \#\{x \in X \mid gx^\top = x\}.$$

For  $s \in X$ , let  $\text{Stab}_{G \times G}(s)$  denote the stabilizer of  $s$  under the  $(G \times G)$ -action and define

$$N^s(g) = \#\{x \in (G \times G) \cdot s \mid gx^\top = x\}.$$

It is easy to see that  $N$  and  $N^s$  are class functions of  $G$ , and  $N^s$  only depends on the  $(G \times G)$ -orbit of  $s$ . We can say more:

**Proposition 3.3.** For  $s \in X$ ,  $N^s$  is a virtual character of  $G$ . Moreover if  $\chi$  is an irreducible character of  $G$ , then

$$\langle \chi, N^s \rangle = \frac{1}{|\text{Stab}_{G \times G}(s)|} \sum_{\substack{g, h \in G \\ gs^\top h^{-1} = s}} \chi(gh).$$

Applying this to the trivial character of  $G$ , we obtain

**Corollary 3.4.** For  $s \in X$ , we have

$$\langle 1, N^s \rangle = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } s \sim s^\top \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This gives the following generalization of the Cauchy–Frobenius lemma:

**Theorem 3.5.**  $N$  is a virtual character of  $G$ . Furthermore, we have

$$\#\left((G \times G) \backslash X\right)^{C_2} = \langle 1, N \rangle = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} N(g).$$

*Proof of Theorem 1.4.* The first equality of Theorem 1.4 is a special case of Theorem 1.2 with  $\lambda = n$ . The second equality follows immediately from Theorem 3.5 with  $G$  and  $X$  as in Example 3.2.  $\square$

*Remark 3.6.* The equality  $\#T(n, m)/\sim = \sum_{\nu \vdash nm} a_{n,m}^\nu$  was the original motivation for this paper. OEIS sequence [A333737](#) gives the number of non-negative integer symmetric matrices with equal row sums, up to permutation equivalence. This is related to, but not exactly the sequence we have, as  $n \times n$  matrices that are permutation equivalent to their transpose need not be permutation equivalent to a symmetric matrix when  $n \geq 6$ . See [6, Example 1] for a counterexample.

*Remark 3.7.* A related quantity to (1.2) is the number of irreducibles in the decomposition of  $(s_m)^n$ . This is equal to  $N^m(1_{\mathfrak{S}_n})$ , i.e. the number of  $n \times n$  non-negative integer symmetric matrices with row sums equal to  $m$ . This follows from Theorem 1.2 and [22, Corollary 7.12.5]. Alternatively, a bijective proof can be given by using Young's rule (take  $\mu = m^n$  in [22, Corollary 7.12.4]) and the RSK algorithm [22, Theorems 7.11.5, 7.13.1].

## 4 Properties of $N^m$

Now we shall describe some properties of the characters  $N^m$ . For  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ , we write  $N_\sigma(m)$  for  $N^m(\sigma)$  when we want to emphasize that it is a function of  $m$ . If  $\sigma$  is of cycle type  $\rho \vdash n$ , we also set  $N^m(\rho) = N_\rho(m) = N^m(\sigma)$ . Thus, Theorem 1.2 asserts that

$$\sum_{\nu \vdash nm} a_{\lambda,m}^\nu = \sum_{\rho \vdash n} z_\rho^{-1} \chi^\lambda(\rho) N^m(\rho),$$

where  $z_\rho = \prod_{i \geq 1} i^{m_i} m_i!$ , with  $m_i$  being the number of parts of  $\rho$  equal to  $i$ .

### 4.1 Lattice points in polytopes

We study the  $N_\sigma$  as defined above from the point of view of Ehrhart theory, see [2] or [21, Ch. 4] for an introduction to this subject.

Recall that a *rational convex polytope*  $\mathcal{P}$  is the convex hull of finitely many points with rational coordinates in some Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Given such a polytope  $\mathcal{P}$ , let  $L_{\mathcal{P}}(t) = \#(t\mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^n)$  and let  $\text{Vol}(\mathcal{P})$  denote its relative volume. A *quasipolynomial of degree  $n$*  is a function  $f : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  of the form  $f(t) = c_n(t)t^n + \dots + c_1(t)t + c_0(t)$  where  $c_i : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  are periodic functions with  $c_n \neq 0$ . By Ehrhart's theorem [2, Theorem 3.23],  $L_{\mathcal{P}}$  is a quasipolynomial, known as the *Ehrhart quasipolynomial* of  $\mathcal{P}$ , of degree equal to the dimension of  $\mathcal{P}$ .

We now apply this theory in our context. For a set  $S$ , let  $M_n(S)$  denote the set of  $n \times n$  matrices with entries in  $S$ . For  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ , define the rational convex polytope

$$\mathcal{P}(\sigma) = \{A \in M_n(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}) \mid A \text{ has row sums equal to 1 and } \sigma A^\top = A\} \subseteq M_n(\mathbb{R}).$$

Then for  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ ,  $N_\sigma(m) = L_{\mathcal{P}(\sigma)}(m)$ , so  $N_\sigma$  is a quasipolynomial of degree equal to the dimension of  $\mathcal{P}(\sigma)$ . We have



**Proposition 4.1.** For  $\rho \vdash n$ , the degree of  $N_\rho$  is

$$\deg N_\rho = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq \ell(\rho)} \gcd(\rho_i, \rho_j) + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq \ell(\rho)} \left\lfloor \frac{\rho_i - 1}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

**Corollary 4.2.** For  $\rho \vdash n$ , we have  $(-1)^{\deg N_\rho} = (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \varepsilon_\rho^{n-1}$ , where  $\varepsilon_\rho = (-1)^{n-\ell(\rho)}$ .

**Corollary 4.3.** For  $\rho \vdash n$ , we have  $\deg N_\rho \leq \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$  with equality if and only if  $\rho = 1^n$ .

We deduce from this and a similar analysis of the second-highest degree term the following asymptotics for (1.2), c.f. [10, Theorem 3.7 (i)]:

**Theorem 4.4.** For  $\lambda \vdash n$ , as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$(i) \sum_{\nu \vdash nm} a_{\lambda, m}^\nu \sim \frac{\chi^\lambda(1)}{n!} \text{Vol}(\mathcal{P}(1_{\mathfrak{S}_n})) m^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}; \text{ and}$$

$$(ii) \sum_{\nu \vdash nm} a_{\lambda, m}^\nu = \chi^\lambda(1) \sum_{\nu \vdash nm} a_{n, m}^\nu + O\left(m^{\frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2}}\right).$$

The fact that  $N_\sigma$  is a quasipolynomial allows us to extend its definition to all integers, and hence define a class function  $N^m$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Proposition 4.5.** For  $n \geq 1$  and  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have

$$N^m = (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \text{sgn}^{n-1} \cdot N^{-m-n}$$

as virtual characters of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ . Furthermore,  $N^m = 0$  for  $-n+1 \leq m \leq -1$ .

*Proof Sketch.* This follows by Ehrhart–Macdonald reciprocity [2, Theorem 4.1] and Corollary 4.2.  $\square$

## 4.2 A decomposition of $N^m$

Let  $n, m \geq 1$  be integers. As in Section 3, there is a natural way to decompose the character  $N^m$  of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  as a sum

$$N^m = \sum_{\mathcal{C} \in T(n, m)/\sim} N^{\mathcal{C}},$$

where for  $\mathcal{C} \in T(n, m)/\sim$ , we set  $N^{\mathcal{C}}(\sigma) = \#\{A \in \mathcal{C} \mid \sigma A^T = A\}$ . If  $S \in \mathcal{C}$ , then in the notation of Section 3,  $N^{\mathcal{C}} = N^S$  and it is a virtual character of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  by virtue of Proposition 3.1. Theorem 1.2 implies that for  $\lambda \vdash n$ ,

$$\sum_{\nu \vdash nm} a_{\lambda, m}^\nu = \sum_{\mathcal{C} \in T(n, m)/\sim} \langle \chi^\lambda, N^{\mathcal{C}} \rangle.$$

This allows us to measure the contribution of each  $\mathcal{C} \in T(n, m)/\sim$  to the sum (1.2).

**Proposition 4.6.** For  $\mathcal{C} \in T(n, m)/\sim$ , we have  $\langle 1, N^{\mathcal{C}} \rangle = 1$ .

*Remark 4.7.* If  $\mathcal{C}_1 \in T(n, m_1)/\sim$  and  $\mathcal{C}_2 \in T(n, m_2)/\sim$  are such that there are  $A_1 \in \mathcal{C}_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{C}_2$ , and a bijection  $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  such that  $f(A_1) = A_2$ , then  $N^{\mathcal{C}_1} = N^{\mathcal{C}_2}$ .

*Remark 4.8.* Unfortunately  $N^{\mathcal{C}}$  is not a character in general, for example for the matrix in [6, Example 1]. By Proposition 3.3, we see that  $-\chi^{\lambda}(1) \leq \langle \chi^{\lambda}, N^{\mathcal{C}} \rangle \leq \chi^{\lambda}(1)$ . By Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 4.4,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|T(n, m)/\sim|} \sum_{\mathcal{C} \in T(n, m)/\sim} \langle \chi^{\lambda}, N^{\mathcal{C}} \rangle = \chi^{\lambda}(1).$$

Thus when  $m$  is large, for most  $\mathcal{C} \in T(n, m)/\sim$ ,  $N^{\mathcal{C}}$  will be the character of the regular representation of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ .

## 5 The case $m = 2$

We conclude by using our results to study the case  $m = 2$ .

Let  $n_1, n_2 \geq 1$ . For  $\mathcal{C}_1 = [A_1] \in T(n_1, 2)/\sim$  and  $\mathcal{C}_2 = [A_2] \in T(n_2, 2)/\sim$ , we define their sum  $\mathcal{C}_1 + \mathcal{C}_2 \in T(n_1 + n_2, 2)/\sim$  to be the equivalence class of the block diagonal matrix  $A_1 \oplus A_2$ . We call  $\mathcal{C} \in T(n, 2)/\sim$  *irreducible* if it cannot be written as a nontrivial sum  $\mathcal{C}_1 + \mathcal{C}_2$ . They are represented by matrices of the form

$$(2), \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \\ 1 & & 1 \\ & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & & \\ 1 & & 1 & \\ & 1 & & 1 \\ & & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & & & \\ 1 & & 1 & & \\ & 1 & & 1 & \\ & & 1 & & 1 \\ & & & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \dots$$

Furthermore, each  $\mathcal{C} \in T(n, 2)/\sim$  can be expressed as a sum of irreducibles, unique up to ordering. We thus have a bijection between  $T(n, 2)/\sim$  and the set of partitions of  $n$ , sending an equivalence class  $\mathcal{C}$  to the partition  $\lambda_{\mathcal{C}} \vdash n$  recording the sizes of the irreducible summands.

**Example 5.1.** If  $\mathcal{C} \in T(5, 2)/\sim$  is the equivalence class of

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & & & \\ 1 & & 1 & & \\ & 1 & 1 & & \\ & & & 2 & \\ & & & & 2 \end{pmatrix},$$

then  $\lambda_{\mathcal{C}} = (3, 1, 1)$ .

**Proposition 5.2.** For  $C \in T(n, 2)/\sim$ , we have

$$\langle \text{sgn}, N^C \rangle_{\mathfrak{S}_n} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if all parts of } \lambda_C \text{ are odd} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Corollary 5.3.** We have

$$(i) \langle 1, N^2 \rangle_{\mathfrak{S}_n} = \sum_{\nu \vdash 2n} a_{n,2}^\nu = \#\{\text{partitions of } n\}; \text{ and}$$

$$(ii) \langle \text{sgn}, N^2 \rangle_{\mathfrak{S}_n} = \sum_{\nu \vdash 2n} a_{1^n,2}^\nu = \#\{\text{partitions of } n \text{ into odd parts}\}.$$

While the decompositions of  $s_n[s_2]$  and  $s_{1^n}[s_2]$  are well-known [17, p. 138], the above corollary was derived independently of these. As in Remark 4.8, for general  $m$ , it is possible but rare that  $\langle \text{sgn}, N^C \rangle = -1$ . We hope that a better understanding of this will lead to a combinatorial interpretation of (1.2) for  $\lambda = 1^n$ .

## Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank Thomas Lam for introducing them to subject of symmetric functions in a course taught at the University of Michigan during the Winter term of 2024, and for helpful discussions in preparing this paper. In this process, we have used the OEIS [18] database, and computer algebra systems GAP [23] and SageMath [24] to perform computations.

## References

- [1] Y. Agaoka. “Decomposition formulas of the plethysm  $\{m\} \otimes \{\mu\}$  with  $|\mu| = 3$ ”. *Hiroshima University* (2002). [Link](#).
- [2] M. Beck and S. Robins. *Computing the Continuous Discretely: Integer-Point Enumeration in Polyhedra*. 2nd ed. Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer New York, 2015. [DOI](#).
- [3] C. Bowman and R. Paget. “The partition algebra and the plethysm coefficients I: stability and Foulkes’ conjecture”. *Journal of Algebra* **655** (2024), pp. 110–138. [DOI](#).
- [4] M. Brion. “Stable properties of plethysm: on two conjectures of Foulkes”. *Manuscripta Mathematica* **80** (1993), pp. 347–371. [DOI](#).
- [5] L. Colmenarejo, R. Orellana, F. Saliola, and A. Schilling. “The mystery of plethysm coefficients”. *Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics* **110** (2024), pp. 275–292. [DOI](#).
- [6] R. Craigen. “Matrices equivalent to their transpose by permutations”. *Congressus Numerantium* **86** (1992), pp. 33–41. [Link](#).

- [7] J. Dörfler, C. Ikenmeyer, and G. Panova. “On geometric complexity theory: multiplicity obstructions are stronger than occurrence obstructions”. *SIAM Journal on Applied Algebra and Geometry* **4.2** (2020), pp. 354–376. [DOI](#).
- [8] N. Fischer and C. Ikenmeyer. “The computational complexity of plethysm coefficients”. *Computational Complexity* **29** (2020), pp. 1–43. [DOI](#).
- [9] H. O. Foulkes. “Concomitants of the quintic and sextic up to degree four in the coefficients of the ground form”. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society* **1.3** (1950), pp. 205–209. [DOI](#).
- [10] M. Fulger and X. Zhou. “Schur asymptotics of Veronese syzygies”. *Mathematische Annalen* **362** (2015), pp. 529–540. [DOI](#).
- [11] G. James and A. Kerber. *The Representation Theory of the Symmetric Group*. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications. Cambridge University Press, 1984. [DOI](#).
- [12] G. James and M. Liebeck. *Representations and Characters of Groups*. 2nd ed. Cambridge University Press, 2001. [DOI](#).
- [13] T. Kahle and M. Michałek. “Plethysm and lattice point counting”. *Foundations of Computational Mathematics* **16.5** (2016), pp. 1241–1261. [DOI](#).
- [14] T. Kahle and M. Michałek. “Obstructions to combinatorial formulas for plethysm”. *The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics* **25.1** (2018), pp. 1–41. [DOI](#).
- [15] D. E. Littlewood. “Polynomial concomitants and invariant matrices”. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society* **1.1** (1936), pp. 49–55. [DOI](#).
- [16] N. A. Loehr and J. B. Remmel. “A computational and combinatorial exposé of plethystic calculus”. *Journal of Algebraic Combinatorics* **33.2** (2011), pp. 163–198. [DOI](#).
- [17] I. G. Macdonald. *Symmetric Functions and Hall Polynomials*. 2nd ed. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. Oxford University Press, 1995. [DOI](#).
- [18] OEIS Foundation Inc. “The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences”. 2024. [Link](#).
- [19] R. Orellana, F. Saliola, A. Schilling, and M. Zabrocki. “Plethysm and the algebra of uniform block permutations”. *Algebraic Combinatorics* **5.5** (2022), pp. 1165–1203. [DOI](#).
- [20] R. P. Stanley. “Positivity problems and conjectures in algebraic combinatorics”. *Mathematics: Frontiers and Perspectives* **295** (2000), p. 319. [Link](#).
- [21] R. P. Stanley. *Enumerative Combinatorics*. 2nd ed. Vol. 1. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, 2012. [DOI](#).
- [22] R. P. Stanley. *Enumerative Combinatorics*. 2nd ed. Vol. 2. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, 2023. [DOI](#).
- [23] The GAP Group. *GAP – Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.13.1*. 2024. [Link](#).
- [24] The Sage Developers. *SageMath, the Sage Mathematics Software System*. Version 10.4. 2024. [DOI](#).
- [25] M. Yang. “An algorithm for computing plethysm coefficients”. *Discrete Mathematics* **180.1-3** (1998), pp. 391–402. [DOI](#).