

# SCREENLLM: Stateful Screen Schema for Efficient Action Understanding and Prediction

Yiqiao Jin\*  
yjin328@gatech.edu

Georgia Institute of Technology  
Atlanta, GA, USA

Yu Shen  
shenyu@adobe.com

Adobe Research  
San Jose, CA, USA

Stefano Petrangeli  
petrange@adobe.com

Adobe Research  
San Jose, CA, USA

Gang Wu†  
gawu@adobe.com

Adobe Research  
San Jose, CA, USA

## Abstract

Graphical User Interface (GUI) agents are autonomous systems that interpret and generate actions, enabling intelligent user assistance and automation. Effective training of these agent presents unique challenges, such as sparsity in supervision signals, scalability for large datasets, and the need for nuanced user understanding. We propose *stateful screen schema*, an efficient representation of GUI interactions that captures key user actions and intentions over time. Building on this foundation, we introduce SCREENLLM, a set of multimodal large language models (MLLMs) tailored for advanced UI understanding and action prediction. Extensive experiments on both open-source and proprietary models show that SCREENLLM accurately models user behavior and predicts actions. Our work lays the foundation for scalable, robust, and intelligent GUI agents that enhance user interaction in diverse software environments.

## CCS Concepts

• **Human-centered computing** → **Systems and tools for interaction design**; • **Information systems** → **Web applications**; **Web data description languages**; **Web interfaces**;

## Keywords

Large Language Models, Multimodal LLMs, GUI Agents, Video Understanding

## ACM Reference Format:

Yiqiao Jin, Stefano Petrangeli, Yu Shen, and Gang Wu. 2025. SCREENLLM: Stateful Screen Schema for Efficient Action Understanding and Prediction. In *Companion Proceedings of the ACM Web Conference 2025 (WWW Companion '25)*, April 28-May 2, 2025, Sydney, NSW, Australia. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 6 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3701716.3718379>

\*Work done during an internship at Adobe Research.

†Corresponding Author.


## 1 Introduction

GUI agents are autonomous entities that interpret and generate actions in graphical user interfaces (GUIs) to automate tasks, understand user behavior, and provide intelligent assistance. Recent advancements highlight the potential of these agents. From the *data* perspective, the proliferation of multimodal GUI data—such as software usage logs, screen recordings, and voice commands—presents substantial opportunities to enhance these agents’ intelligence and contextual awareness. YouTube, the second most visited website in the U.S., has over 2.49 billion monthly active users [1, 2], many of whom rely on tutorial videos on the platform for learning software applications [3–5]. From the *model* perspective, multimodal large language models (MLLMs) [6–9] have shown great promise in processing and understanding multimodal content, making them well-suited for building user agents within GUIs.

**Challenges.** Training GUI agents present several challenges. 1) *Sparsity in Supervision Signals.* Unlike common video data that feature daily activities [10], GUIs often remain relatively static, as buttons, menus, and icons show little change unless triggered by user actions. This sparsity in supervision signals limits the amount of actionable information that can be extracted from visual content, making agent training difficult. *Scalability.* Software usage data such as screen recordings are enormous and require efficient processing methods. Traditional methods, such as frame-by-frame analysis, struggle with scalability and real-time scenarios. 3) *User Understanding.* To interpret GUI data effectively, agents must comprehend both user actions and underlying intentions, which demands sophisticated human understanding.

**This Work.** We propose *stateful screen schema*, a compact, informative textual representation of GUI and dynamic user interactions, that captures actions and intentions over time. The schema addresses *data sparsity* and *scalability* by extracting key frames, reducing the need to model all moments in long sessions. We then introduce SCREENLLM, a set of open-source and proprietary models that leverage *stateful screen schema* for UI understanding. For open-source MLLMs, we train on screen tutorials—instructional videos that demonstrate software features and workflows. Extensive experiments show that SCREENLLM significantly enhances user understanding and action prediction, such as a 40.7% improvement in BLEU-2 and 16.5% in ROUGE-L when using LLaVA-13B as the base model, highlighting its potential for automating complex tasks on GUIs.





**Figure 1: SCREENLLM for understanding user actions and intentions in screen UIs.** SCREENLLM first detects key moments in user actions and generates a *stateful screen schema*, a compact representation of dynamic screen UIs. It then uses the *MLLM* to predict user actions, while the *Memory* module stores and summarizes past actions to support future action understanding and prediction.

**Contributions.** Our contributions are as follows:

- (1) *Stateful Screen Schema*: an efficient method to represent GUIs in long user sessions of software usage.
- (2) *ScreenLLM*: A set of Multimodal LLMs tailored for screen UI understanding.
- (3) *Empirical Validation*: Experiments on both open-source and proprietary models showing superior performance of SCREENLLM in understanding user actions and intentions.

## 2 Method

### 2.1 Preliminary

We model a screen recording video  $v$  as a sequence of video clips  $\{c_i\}$ . Each  $c_i$  captures a specific operation  $a_i$ , such as adding a shape to the design or opening a pop-up window. The goal is to comprehend the user’s current action  $a_i$  and their intentions (the subsequent action  $a_{i+1}$ ) given a clip  $c_i$ .


### 2.2 Framework Overview

As illustrated in Figure 1, our SCREENLLM framework is designed to be highly generalizable and comprises three key components: a *stateful screen schema* generation module, a multimodal LLM, and a memory module. The stateful screen schema generation module dynamically constructs a structured representation of GUIs and user actions. The memory module tracks previous user actions to provide context for inferring current intentions. The multimodal LLM in SCREENLLM is versatile, supporting both open-source and proprietary MLLMs.

### 2.3 Stateful Screen Schema Generation

We propose *stateful screen schema*, a compact textual representation of the screen for each video segment  $c_i$ . Unlike previous works focusing on single frames [11], our screen schema models dynamic user sessions.

**Key Frame Extraction.** Screen recordings often feature static UIs in the background, making frame-by-frame processing inefficient,



**Figure 2: The second-order changes in pixel values can be used to detect key frames that involve pop-up windows and menus, corresponding to key user actions.**

especially for real-time AI systems that require prompt responses. To improve efficiency, for each clip  $c_i$ , we extract key frames that capture major UI changes corresponding to important user actions. Identifying these key frames is challenging, as different actions lead to different levels of UI changes. For example, zooming into a section of the screen causes significant changes in pixel values across several frames, while actions like typing or adding text to a canvas cause minimal changes.

To capture significant actions, we compute the second-order pixel changes, which measure the variation in pixel differences between adjacent frames. Such changes highlight more pronounced events like the appearance of new windows, menus, or buttons (Figure 2). We select the top  $k$  frames with the highest second-order pixel changes, ensuring that only frames containing important user interactions are processed, thus improving efficiency.

**UI Element Detection.** We identify rectangular areas in the selected frames where pixel changes exceed a threshold  $\Delta$  and apply OCR to extract text from these areas. This process helps identify text in various UI elements, such as menus, toolbars, dialog boxes, status messages, as well as content in the workspace such as text layers and user notes.

**Cursor Detection.** Cursor location reflects the user’s focus on the screen. We train a compact three-layer CNN model for cursor location detection using a diverse dataset that includes screen captures from different operating systems, display resolutions, and application types (e.g., text editors, web browsers, image editors). Data augmentation techniques, including random rotations, scaling, and translations, are employed to improve the model’s robustness and simulate various cursor behaviors and real-world user interactions.

### 2.4 Schema Composition

To generate the schema for a clip  $c_i$ , we first extract all texts and their locations from the first frame  $f_i^0$  and the identified rectangular regions in subsequent key frames  $\{f_i^t\}$ . The detected texts are then matched with menu items in the software to better understand the user’s actions. A sample screen schema is shown in Figure 3. The schema includes information such as timestamps, frame resolution, the locations of cursors and menu items. It is then fed into the multimodal LLM alongside a textual prompt and the screenshot

Model	Variation	BLEU-1	BLEU-2	ROUGE-L	METEOR	CIDEr-D	Category	Tools
GPT-4o	ZS	0.336	0.197	0.257	0.250	0.545	0.234	0.243
	<b>SLLM</b>	<b>0.367</b>	<b>0.233</b>	<b>0.276</b>	<b>0.261</b>	<b>0.596</b>	<b>0.319</b>	<b>0.338</b>
	Impr.	9.2%	18.3%	7.2%	4.4%	9.4%	36.4%	39.1%
LLaVA-7B	ZS	0.213	0.104	0.248	0.228	0.402	0.263	0.249
	FT	0.248	0.142	0.323	0.239	0.419	0.336	0.298
	<b>SLLM</b>	<b>0.309</b>	<b>0.180</b>	<b>0.348</b>	<b>0.254</b>	<b>0.444</b>	<b>0.416</b>	<b>0.365</b>
	Impr.	24.6%	26.8%	7.7%	6.3%	6.0%	23.6%	22.3%
LLaVA-13B	ZS	0.214	0.105	0.242	0.241	0.453	0.265	0.254
	FT	0.252	0.135	0.329	0.257	0.478	0.337	0.320
	<b>SLLM</b>	<b>0.324</b>	<b>0.190</b>	<b>0.383</b>	<b>0.272</b>	<b>0.545</b>	<b>0.497</b>	<b>0.347</b>
	Impr.	28.6%	40.7%	16.5%	5.8%	14.5%	47.4%	8.7%

**Table 1: Performance on current action understanding using various base models. ZS, FT, and SLLM stand for the performance in the zero-shot setting, fine-tuned model, and our ScreenLLM framework, respectively. For action description, we use standard image captioning metrics: BLEU-1/2, ROUGE-L, METEOR, and CIDEr-D. For classification tasks like category and tool prediction, we use accuracy.**

Model	Variation	BLEU-1	BLEU-2	ROUGE-L	METEOR	CIDEr-D	Category	Tool
GPT-4o	ZS	0.227	0.175	0.254	0.226	0.521	0.482	0.663
	<b>SLLM</b>	<b>0.246</b>	<b>0.217</b>	<b>0.283</b>	<b>0.264</b>	<b>0.553</b>	<b>0.492</b>	<b>0.721</b>
	Impr.	8.4%	24.0%	11.4%	16.8%	6.1%	2.1%	8.7%
LLaVA-7B	ZS	0.222	0.113	0.252	0.228	0.198	0.404	0.383
	FT	0.249	0.135	0.276	0.240	0.295	0.473	0.400
	<b>SLLM</b>	<b>0.287</b>	<b>0.178</b>	<b>0.327</b>	<b>0.266</b>	<b>0.352</b>	<b>0.498</b>	<b>0.418</b>
	Impr.	15.3%	31.9%	18.5%	10.8%	19.3%	5.3%	4.5%
LLaVA-13B	ZS	0.224	0.114	0.316	0.233	0.184	0.425	0.395
	FT	0.266	0.150	0.332	0.257	0.463	0.451	0.420
	<b>SLLM</b>	<b>0.324</b>	<b>0.190</b>	<b>0.381</b>	<b>0.271</b>	<b>0.529</b>	<b>0.477</b>	<b>0.442</b>
	Impr.	21.8%	26.7%	14.8%	5.4%	14.3%	5.8%	5.2%

**Table 2: Performances on user intention understanding.**

of the first frame. This approach tracks changes over time while maintaining a compact and efficient representation of the screen.

### 3 Evaluation

**Metrics.** We evaluate our method and the baselines using standard captioning metrics, including METEOR [12], CIDEr-D [13], BLEU [14], and ROUGE-L [15].

**Dataset.** We use the PsTuts dataset [5] for both training and testing. PsTuts consists of high-resolution YouTube video tutorials on Adobe Photoshop, with detailed temporal segmentation annotations marking user actions and key transitions between different phases. The dataset includes low-level annotations such as selecting tools, opening pop-up windows, drawing shapes, and editing text, from expert Photoshop users sourced from Upwork<sup>1</sup>. Annotators provided concise text descriptions and relevant keywords for each temporal segment. For training, we convert the training samples into instruction-following formats following [7].

**Implementation Details.** We use HuggingFace Transformers [16] for model implementation and the PaddleOCR<sup>2</sup> Python package for OCR. We set the threshold for bounding box detection to 30. For consistent evaluation results, we use a temperature value of 0.0 during inference. Where applicable, we set top- $p$  to 0.7 and the maximum length of the generated text to 256 tokens.

**Task Selection.** Our evaluation includes both classification and natural language generation tasks and focuses on *user action understanding* and *future action prediction*. For both tasks, the model must describe the user action in natural language and identify the tools being used. These tasks require the model to interpret both low-level visual cues and high-level semantics across multiple frames to understand user actions and intentions.

#### 3.1 Major Findings

**Overall Performance Improvement.** SCREENLLM (SLLM) consistently and substantially outperforms both zero-shot (ZS) and fine-tuned (FT) settings across tasks (user intention and current action understanding) and metrics (BLEU, ROUGE, METEOR, and

<sup>1</sup><https://www.upwork.com/>

<sup>2</sup><https://github.com/PaddlePaddle/PaddleOCR>




Figure 3: A sample stateful screen schema. It consists of the configuration and the initial frame of the video. For the followup frames, we identify the areas with changes, and use OCR to identify them

Model	Var.	Current Action		Future Action	
		Category	Tool	Category	Tool
LLaVA-7B	ZS	0.1%	4.9%	0.3%	0.0%
	FT	0.0%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
	<b>SLLM</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
LLaVA-13B	ZS	14.1%	26.9%	11.2%	16.4%
	FT	0.2%	8.8%	5.3%	2.2%
	<b>SLLM</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
GPT-4o	ZS	23.2%	36.1%	30.8%	23.4%
	<b>SLLM</b>	<b>8.8%</b>	<b>15.3%</b>	<b>15.9%</b>	<b>17.8%</b>

Table 3: Failure rates (percentages of answers that do not satisfy the formatting requirements) in the tasks of current & future action understanding. Lower values indicate better performance.

CIDEr). For *current action understanding* (Table 1), the most significant gains are observed on open-source models, particularly LLaVA-13B, where SCREENLLM reaches a 40.7% improvement on BLEU-2 and a 16.5% improvement on ROUGE-L. These results demonstrate SCREENLLM’s ability to effectively adapt to specific tasks when parameter updates are allowed.

**Performance on Proprietary Models.** As shown in Table 2 SCREENLLM consistently outperforms the zero-shot baseline on the task of *user intention understanding* with GPT-4o. SCREENLLM improves BLEU-2 by 24.0% (from 0.175 to 0.217) and CIDEr-D by 6.1% (from 0.521 to 0.553) compared to the zero-shot setting on GPT-4o. This indicates that stateful screen schema enhances both the quality of generated text and the classification accuracy even with frozen parameters.

While the performance improvements on generative tasks are more modest—such as a 2.1% improvement in category prediction accuracy and an 8.7% improvement in tool prediction accuracy—the gains in these tasks still suggest that SCREENLLM significantly enhances the understanding of user actions on screen UIs. These findings suggest that the *stateful screen schema* significantly boosts the understanding of large proprietary models on screen UIs, as it

provides a structured approach to interpreting the complex visual and textual cues in UI interactions.

**Success Rate.** The ability of models to follow instructions is important because it directly influences their capacity to generate outputs that align with user expectations and task requirements. In tasks such as action understanding or user interface interactions in applications like Photoshop, models must correctly interpret and respond to specific commands or instructions. Following previous works [17, 18], we report the failure ratio (Table 3), defined as the percentage of LLM outputs that fail to meet the requested formats or task specifications. Our findings show that applying SCREENLLM significantly improves the model’s ability to produce responses that align with the requested output formats. This improvement suggests that SCREENLLM enhances the model’s instruction-following ability, allowing it to focus more effectively on the task and generate outputs that meet the specified requirements.

## 4 Related Works

### 4.1 Large Language Models

Large language models (LLMs) such as GPT-4 [9], LLaMA [19], Mistral Large 2 [20], and Gemini [21] have shown remarkable generative capabilities [22–25], supporting applications such as virtual assistants and UI agents [26–32]. However, they usually struggle with fine-grained, high-resolution visual data as they often compress input images into low resolutions [33–35], which limits their effectiveness for UI elements like small buttons, cursors, and menu items. Meanwhile, most LLMs are trained on general-purpose image [36, 37] and video data [38–40], which differ significantly from screen recording. This mismatch makes it difficult to apply these models directly to UI-centric videos [5].

### 4.2 GUI Understanding

Graphical user interface (GUI) understanding involves parsing user interface screenshots into structured elements to interpret screen semantics and user intentions. This field presents both significant opportunities and challenges in tasks like visual question

Category	Tools
Move	Move Tool, Artboard Tool
Marquee	Rectangular Marquee Tool, Elliptical Marquee Tool, Single Row Marquee Tool, Single Column Marquee Tool
Lasso	Lasso Tool, Polygonal Lasso Tool, Magnetic Lasso Tool
Object Selection	Object Selection Tool, Quick Selection Tool, Magic Wand Tool
Cropping	Crop Tool, Perspective Crop Tool, Slice Tool, Slice Select Tool
Framing	Frame Tool
Eyedrop	Eyedropper Tool, Color Sampler Tool, Ruler Tool, Note Tool, Count Tool
Repair	Spot Healing Brush Tool, Remove Tool, Healing Brush Tool, Patch Tool, Content-Aware Move Tool, Red Eye Tool
Pen	Brush Tool, Pencil Tool, Color Replacement Tool, Mixer Brush Tool
Stamp	Clone Stamp Tool, Pattern Stamp Tool
History Brush	History Brush Tool, Art History Brush Tool
Eraser	Eraser Tool, Background Eraser Tool, Magic Eraser Tool
Paint	Gradient Tool, Paint Bucket Tool
Blur	Blur Tool, Sharpen Tool, Smudge Tool
Anchor	Pen Tool, Freeform Pen Tool, Curvature Pen Tool, Add Anchor Point Tool, Delete Anchor Point Tool, Convert Point Tool
Type	Horizontal Type Tool, Vertical Type Tool, Vertical Type Mask Tool, Horizontal Type Mask Tool
Shapes	Rectangle Tool, Ellipse Tool, Triangle Tool, Polygon Tool, Line Tool, Custom Shape Tool
Selection	Path Selection Tool, Direct Selection Tool
Drag	Hand Tool, Rotate Wheel Tool

**Table 4: Photoshop tools are organized into categories based on similar functionalities, with each category encompassing multiple tools that serve related purposes.**

answering, visual grounding, and screen summarization. For example, visual grounding [41, 42] aims to map textual plans generated by MLLMs to pixel-level locations on GUIs. Screen summarization leverages multimodal models for extracting screen semantics and generating descriptive language, as demonstrated by works like Screen2Words [43], Pix2Struct [44], OmniParser [45], and Vaquita [46]. In this work, we propose streamlined methods and models for representing screen states to facilitate user intention understanding and action generation.

## 5 Conclusion & Future Work

In this work, we propose *stateful screen schema*, a concise and generalizable approach for representing screen UIs. Using this schema, we propose SCREENLLM, which demonstrates strong performance in action understanding and prediction. Future works can expand on this framework to develop GUI agents that support real-time dynamic action generation based on contextual understanding of user actions and intentions.

## 6 Ethical Considerations

Although we utilize existing licensed dataset such as online videos and annotations for training our GUI framework, training GUI agents generally raises important ethical considerations related to data licensing and user privacy.

**Data Licensing.** Training should only use publicly available and permissible data, adhering to platform policies and intellectual property laws. When applicable, content creators should be credited for their contributions.

**User Privacy.** Identifiable user data should be anonymized or removed during preprocessing. Sensitive or private information, such as user comments or restricted content, should be excluded to ensure compliance with privacy standards and ethical guidelines.

## References

- [1] Simon Kemp. Digital 2024: global overview report. *Data Reportal*, 2024.
- [2] Yiqiao Jin, Yeon-Chang Lee, Kartik Sharma, Meng Ye, Karan Sikka, Ajay Divakaran, and Srijan Kumar. Predicting information pathways across online communities. In *KDD*, 2023.
- [3] Rahmatika Rahmatika, Munawir Yusuf, and Leo Agung. The effectiveness of youtube as an online learning media. *Journal of Education Technology*, 5(1):152–158, 2021.
- [4] Eugene Tafadzwa Maziriri, Parson Gapa, and Tinashe Chuchu. Student perceptions towards the use of youtube as an educational tool for learning and tutorials. *International Journal of Instruction*, 13(2):119–138, 2020.
- [5] Kunpeng Li, Chen Fang, Zhaowen Wang, Seokhwan Kim, Hailin Jin, and Yun Fu. Screencast tutorial video understanding. In *CVPR*, pages 12526–12535, 2020.
- [6] Haotian Liu, Chunyuan Li, Qingyang Wu, and Yong Jae Lee. Visual instruction tuning. *NeurIPS*, 36, 2024.
- [7] Haotian Liu, Chunyuan Li, Yuheng Li, and Yong Jae Lee. Improved baselines with visual instruction tuning. In *NeurIPS 2023 Workshop on Instruction Tuning and Instruction Following*, 2023.
- [8] Junyu Luo, Xiao Luo, Kaize Ding, Jingyang Yuan, Zhiping Xiao, and Ming Zhang. Robustft: Robust supervised fine-tuning for large language models under noisy response. *arXiv:2412.14922*, 2024.
- [9] OpenAI. Gpt-4 technical report. *arXiv:2303.08774*, 2023.
- [10] Sixuan Wu, Alexander Hoelzemann, Marius Bock, Kristof Van Laerhoven, Thomas Plötz, and Alexander T Adams. Basketball shooting performance analysis using multi-modal wearable and mobile sensing in semi-naturalistic settings. In *BSN*, pages 1–4. IEEE, 2024.
- [11] Gilles Baechler, Srinivas Sunkara, Maria Wang, Fedir Zubach, Hassan Mansoor, Vincent Etter, Victor Cărbune, Jason Lin, Jindong Chen, and Abhanshu Sharma. Screenai: A vision-language model for ui and infographics understanding. *arXiv:2402.04615*, 2024.

- [12] Satanjeev Banerjee and Alon Lavie. Meteor: An automatic metric for mt evaluation with improved correlation with human judgments. In *Proceedings of the ACL Workshop on intrinsic and extrinsic evaluation measures for machine translation and/or summarization*, pages 65–72, 2005.
- [13] Ramakrishna Vedantam, C Lawrence Zitnick, and Devi Parikh. Cider: Consensus-based image description evaluation. In *CVPR*, pages 4566–4575, 2015.
- [14] Kishore Papineni, Salim Roukos, Todd Ward, and Wei-Jing Zhu. Bleu: a method for automatic evaluation of machine translation. In *ACL*, pages 311–318, 2002.
- [15] Chin-Yew Lin. Rouge: A package for automatic evaluation of summaries. In *Text summarization branches out*, pages 74–81, 2004.
- [16] Thomas Wolf, Lysandre Debut, Victor Sanh, Julien Chaumond, Clement Delangue, Anthony Moi, Pierric Cistac, Tim Rault, Rémi Louf, Morgan Funtowicz, et al. Transformers: State-of-the-art natural language processing. In *EMNLP*, pages 38–45, 2020.
- [17] Yiqiao Jin, Minje Choi, Gaurav Verma, Jindong Wang, and Srijan Kumar. Mm-soc: Benchmarking multimodal large language models in social media platforms. In *ACL*, 2024.
- [18] Malgorzata Lazuka, Andreea Anghel, and Thomas Parnell. Llm-pilot: Characterize and optimize performance of your llm inference services. In *SC*, pages 1–18, 2024.
- [19] Hugo Touvron, Louis Martin, Kevin Stone, Peter Albert, Amjad Almahairi, Yasmine Babaei, Nikolay Bashlykov, Soumya Batra, Prajwal Bhargava, Shrubti Bhosale, et al. Llama 2: Open foundation and fine-tuned chat models. *arXiv:2307.09288*, 2023.
- [20] Albert Q Jiang, Alexandre Sablayrolles, Arthur Mensch, Chris Bamford, Devendra Singh Chaplot, Diego de las Casas, Florian Bressand, Gianna Lengyel, Guillaume Lample, Lucile Saulnier, et al. Mistral 7b. *arXiv:2310.06825*, 2023.
- [21] Gemini Team, Rohan Anil, Sebastian Borgeaud, Yonghui Wu, Jean-Baptiste Alayrac, Jiahui Yu, Radu Soricut, Johan Schalkwyk, Andrew M Dai, Anja Hauth, et al. Gemini: a family of highly capable multimodal models. *arXiv:2312.11805*, 2023.
- [22] Yijia Xiao, Yiqiao Jin, Yushi Bai, Yue Wu, Xianjun Yang, Xiao Luo, Wenchao Yu, Xujiang Zhao, Yanchi Liu, Haifeng Chen, et al. Large language models can be good privacy protection learners. In *EMNLP*, 2024.
- [23] Yijia Xiao, Edward Sun, Di Luo, and Wei Wang. Tradingagents: Multi-agents llm financial trading framework. *arXiv:2412.20138*, 2024.
- [24] Yiqiao Jin, Qinlin Zhao, Yiyang Wang, Hao Chen, Kaijie Zhu, Yijia Xiao, and Jindong Wang. Agentreview: Exploring peer review dynamics with llm agents. In *EMNLP*, 2024.
- [25] Haixin Wang, Xinlong Yang, Jianlong Chang, Dian Jin, Jinan Sun, Shikun Zhang, Xiao Luo, and Qi Tian. Parameter-efficient tuning of large-scale multimodal foundation model. *NeurIPS*, 36:15752–15774, 2023.
- [26] Chaoyun Zhang, Liqun Li, Shilin He, Xu Zhang, Bo Qiao, Si Qin, Minghua Ma, Yu Kang, Qingwei Lin, Saravan Rajmohan, et al. Ufo: A ui-focused agent for windows os interaction. *arXiv:2402.07939*, 2024.
- [27] Yanda Li, Chi Zhang, Wanqi Yang, Bin Fu, Pei Cheng, Xin Chen, Ling Chen, and Yunchao Wei. Appagent v2: Advanced agent for flexible mobile interactions. *arXiv:2408.11824*, 2024.
- [28] Sejoon Oh, Yiqiao Jin, Megha Sharma, Donghyun Kim, Eric Ma, Gaurav Verma, and Srijan Kumar. Uniguard: Towards universal safety guardrails for jailbreak attacks on multimodal large language models. *arXiv:2411.01703*, 2024.
- [29] Xiulong Liu, Zhikang Dong, and Peng Zhang. Tackling data bias in music-avqa: Crafting a balanced dataset for unbiased question-answering. In *WACV*, pages 4478–4487, 2024.
- [30] Haoxin Liu, Chenghao Liu, and B Aditya Prakash. A picture is worth a thousand numbers: Enabling llms reason about time series via visualization. In *NAACL*, 2025.
- [31] Haoxin Liu, Shangqing Xu, Zhiyuan Zhao, Ling kai Kong, Harshavardhan Kamarthi, Aditya B. Sasanur, Megha Sharma, Jiaming Cui, Qingsong Wen, Chao Zhang, and B. Aditya Prakash. Time-MMD: Multi-domain multimodal dataset for time series analysis. In *NeurIPS Datasets and Benchmarks Track*, 2024.
- [32] Haoxin Liu, Harshavardhan Kamarthi, Zhiyuan Zhao, Shangqing Xu, Shiyu Wang, Qingsong Wen, Tom Hartvigsen, Fei Wang, and B Aditya Prakash. How can time series analysis benefit from multiple modalities? a survey and outlook. *arXiv:2503.11835*, 2025.
- [33] Han-Cheng Dan, Bingjie Lu, and Mengyu Li. Evaluation of asphalt pavement texture using multiview stereo reconstruction based on deep learning. *Constr. Build. Mater.*, 412:134837, 2024.
- [34] Han-Cheng Dan, Zhetao Huang, Bingjie Lu, and Mengyu Li. Image-driven prediction system: Automatic extraction of aggregate gradation of pavement core samples integrating deep learning and interactive image processing framework. *Constr. Build. Mater.*, 453:139056, 2024.
- [35] Wei Luo and Bo Chen. Neural image compression with quantization rectifier. *arXiv:2403.17236*, 2024.
- [36] Shudong Liu, Yiqiao Jin, Cheng Li, Derek F Wong, Qingsong Wen, Lichao Sun, Haipeng Chen, Xing Xie, and Jindong Wang. Culturevlm: Characterizing and improving cultural understanding of vision-language models for over 100 countries. *arXiv:2501.01282*, 2025.
- [37] Md Golam Morshed, Tangina Sultana, Aftab Alam, and Young-Koo Lee. Human action recognition: A taxonomy-based survey, updates, and opportunities. *Sensors*, 23(4):2182, 2023.
- [38] Sidong Feng, Chunyang Chen, and Zhenchang Xing. Video2action: Reducing human interactions in action annotation of app tutorial videos. In *UIST*, pages 1–15, 2023.
- [39] Hildegard Kuehne, Hueihan Jhuang, Estíbaliz Garrote, Tomaso Poggio, and Thomas Serre. Hmdb: a large video database for human motion recognition. In *ICCV*, pages 2556–2563. IEEE, 2011.
- [40] Zhikang Dong, Xiulong Liu, Bin Chen, Pawel Polak, and Peng Zhang. Musechat: A conversational music recommendation system for videos. In *CVPR*, pages 12775–12785, 2024.
- [41] Jiajun Deng, Zhengyuan Yang, Tianlang Chen, Wengang Zhou, and Houqiang Li. Transvg: End-to-end visual grounding with transformers. In *ICCV*, pages 1769–1779, 2021.
- [42] Chaorui Deng, Qi Wu, Qingyao Wu, Fuyuan Hu, Fan Lyu, and Mingkui Tan. Visual grounding via accumulated attention. In *CVPR*, pages 7746–7755, 2018.
- [43] Bryan Wang, Gang Li, Xin Zhou, Zhouong Chen, Tovi Grossman, and Yang Li. Screen2words: Automatic mobile ui summarization with multimodal learning. In *UIST*, pages 498–510, 2021.
- [44] Kenton Lee, Mandar Joshi, Iulia Raluca Turc, Hexiang Hu, Fangyu Liu, Julian Martin Eisenschlos, Urvashi Khandelwal, Peter Shaw, Ming-Wei Chang, and Kristina Toutanova. Pix2struct: Screenshot parsing as pretraining for visual language understanding. In *ICML*, pages 18893–18912. PMLR, 2023.
- [45] Jianqiang Wan, Sibao Song, Wenwen Yu, Yuliang Liu, Wenqing Cheng, Fei Huang, Xiang Bai, Cong Yao, and Zhibo Yang. Omniparser: A unified framework for text spotting key information extraction and table recognition. In *CVPR*, pages 15641–15653, 2024.
- [46] Yizhou Wang, Ruiyi Zhang, Haoliang Wang, Uttaran Bhattacharya, Yun Fu, and Gang Wu. Vaquita: Enhancing alignment in llm-assisted video understanding. *arXiv:2312.02310*, 2023.